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## A NOTE ON LAMBERT'S THEOREM

This note combines all the various cases of Lambert's Theorem into a single form which is particularly convenient for numerical work. This is made possible by appropriate choice of parameter and independent variable.

Suppose a particle in a gravitational central force field has distances $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ at times $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ from the center of attraction. Let $c$ be the distance and $\theta$ the central angle between the positions of the particle at the two times. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{s}=\left(\mathrm{r}_{1}+\mathrm{r}_{2}+\mathrm{c}\right) / 2 \\
& \mathrm{~K}=1-\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{s} \\
& \mathrm{q}= \pm \mathrm{K}^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The sign of $q$ is taken care of by the angle $\theta$ if we make use of
$c^{2}=r_{1}{ }^{2}+r_{2}{ }^{2}-2 r_{1} r_{2} \cos \theta$
to derive

$$
q=\left[\left(r_{1} r_{2}\right)^{1 / 2} / s\right] \cos (\theta / 2)
$$

We further define

G = universal gravitational constant
$M=$ mass of attracting body
$\mu=\mathbf{G M}$
a = semimajor axis of transfer orbit
E $=-\mathrm{s} / 2 \mathrm{a}$ for elliptic transfer
$=s / 2$ a for hyperbolic transfer
$T=(8 \mu / s)^{1 / 2}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right) / s$
$m=$ number of complete circuits during transfer time.
Note that $-1 \leq q \leq 1,0<\mathrm{E}<\infty$ for hyperbolic transfer, $-1 \leq \mathrm{E}<0$ for elliptic transfer, and $\mathrm{E}=0$ for parabolic transfer. Also $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ if $0 \leq \mathrm{q} \leq 1$ and $\pi<\theta \leq 2 \pi$ if $-1 \leq \mathrm{q}<0$.

Lambert's Theorem ${ }^{1}$ for elliptic transfer gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}=(-\mathrm{E})^{-3 / 2}[2 \mathrm{~m} \pi+\alpha-\beta-(\sin \alpha-\sin \beta)] \\
& \mathbf{E}=-\sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2), 0 \leq \alpha \leq 2 \pi \\
& \sin (\beta / 2)=\mathrm{q} \sin (\alpha / 2), \quad-\pi \leq \beta \leq \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

For hyperbolic transfer,

$$
\begin{align*}
& T=-(E)^{-3 / 2}[\gamma-\delta-(\sinh \gamma-\sinh \delta)]  \tag{2}\\
& E=\sinh ^{2}(\gamma / 2) \\
& \sinh (\delta / 2)=\mathrm{q} \mathrm{E}^{1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

If $E$ is chosen ${ }^{2}$ as the independent variable, $\alpha$ is ambiguous. We avoid any ambiguity by choosing as the independent variable

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x} & =\cos (\alpha / 2),-1 \leq x<1 \\
& =\cosh (\gamma / 2), \mathbf{x}>1
\end{aligned}
$$

For both elliptic and hyperbolic transfer
$\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{x}^{2}-1$.

For the elliptic case let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{y}=\sin (\alpha / 2)=(-E)^{1 / 2} \\
& \mathbf{z}=\cos (\beta / 2)=(1+\mathrm{KE})^{1 / 2} \\
& \mathbf{f}=\sin (1 / 2)(\alpha-\beta)=\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{q} \mathbf{x}) \\
& \mathbf{g}=\cos (1 / 2)(\alpha-\beta)=\mathbf{x z}-\mathbf{q E} \\
& 0 \leq \alpha-\beta \leq 2 \pi \text { since } 0 \leq \mathbf{f} \leq 1 \\
& \mathbf{h}=(1 / 2)(\sin \alpha-\sin \beta)=\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}-\mathrm{qz}) \\
& \lambda=\arctan (\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{g}), 0 \leq \lambda \leq \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

It then follows for the elliptic case that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=2(m \pi+\lambda-h) / y^{3} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the hyperbolic case let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{y}=\sinh (\gamma / 2)=\mathbf{E}^{1 / 2} \\
& z=\cosh (\delta / 2)=(1+K E)^{1 / 2} \\
& \mathbf{f}=\sinh (1 / 2)(\gamma-\delta)=\mathbf{y}(z-\mathbf{q} \mathbf{x}) \\
& \mathbf{g}=\cosh (1 / 2)(\gamma-\delta)=\mathbf{x z}-\mathbf{q E} \\
& 0 \leq \gamma-\delta<\infty \quad \text { since } 0 \leq f<\infty \\
& h=(1 / 2)(\sinh \gamma-\sinh \delta)=y(x-q z) \\
& (1 / 2)(\gamma-\delta)=\operatorname{arctanh}(f / g) \\
& =(1 / 2) \ln [(f+g) /(g-f)] \\
& =(1 / 2) \ln \left[(f+g)^{2} /\left(g^{2}-f^{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\ln (f+g)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus for the hyperbolic case

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=2[h-\ln (f+g)] / y^{3} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $m=0$, equations (1), (2), (3) and (4) break down for $x=1$ and suffer from a critical loss of significant digits in the neighborhood of $x=1$. To remedy this (1) is written

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{T}=\phi(-\mathrm{E})-\mathrm{qK} \phi(-\mathrm{KE}),  \tag{5}\\
& \phi(\mathrm{u})=2\left[\arcsin \mathrm{u}^{1 / 2}-\mathrm{u}^{1 / 2}(1-\mathrm{u})^{1 / 1}\right] / \mathrm{u}^{3 / 2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing $\arcsin u^{1 / 2}$ and $(1-u)^{1 / 2}$ by series ${ }^{3}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi(u)=4 / 3+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} u^{n},|u|<1 \\
& a_{n}=1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots(2 n-1) / 2^{n-2}(2 n+3) n!
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar procedure produces the same series for the hyperbolic case. In fact (5) holds for the elliptic ( $m=0$ ), parabolic, and hyperbolic cases provided $0<x<2$.

It is now apparent that, given $q$ and $x$, the following steps produce $T$ for all cases:

1. $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{q}^{2}$
2. $E=x^{2}-1$
3. $\rho=|\mathbf{E}|$
4. If $\rho$ is near 0 , compute T from (5).
5. $\mathrm{y}=\rho^{1 / 2}$
6. $z=(1+K E)^{1 / 2}$
7. $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{z}-\mathrm{qx})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 8. } g=x z-q E \\
& \text { 9. If } E<0, \lambda=\arctan (f / g), d=m \pi+\lambda, 0 \leq \lambda \leq \pi \\
& \text { If } E>0, d=\ln (f+g)
\end{aligned}
$$

10. $T=2(x-q z-d / y) / E$

The following formula for the derivative holds for all cases except for $\mathrm{x}=0$ with $\mathrm{K}=1$ and for $\mathrm{x}=1$.

$$
\mathrm{dT} / \mathrm{d} \dot{\mathrm{x}}=(4-4 \mathrm{qKx} / \mathrm{z}-3 \mathrm{xT}) / \mathrm{E}
$$

If $x$ is near 1 , the series representation should be differentiated. If $q=1$ we have a left-hand derivative of -8 and a right-hand derivative of 0 at $x=0$. If $q=-1$ we have a left-hand derivative of 0 and a right-hand derivative of -8 at $\mathrm{x}=0$. (See Figure 1.)

In the derivation of Lambert's Theorem for the elliptic case $a$ and $\beta$ are defined in such a way that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}_{2}-\mathbf{E}_{1}=a-\beta+2 \mathrm{~m} \pi \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are the values of the eccentric anomaly at times $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$. Thus from equation (1)

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E}_{2}-\mathbf{E}_{1} & =(-E)^{3 / 2} T+\sin \alpha-\sin \beta \\
& =y^{3} T+2 y(x-q z) . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

We now obtain a formula for the scalar product

$$
S_{1}=r_{1} \cdot v_{1}=r_{1} v_{1} \sin \psi_{1}
$$

of the position and velocity vectors at time $t_{1}, v_{1}$ and $\psi_{1}$ being the speed and flight path angle.

Kepler's equation can be written ${ }^{4}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mu / a^{3}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)= & E_{2}-E_{1}+S_{1}\left[1-\cos \left(E_{2}-E_{1}\right)\right] /(\mu a)^{1 / 2} \\
& -\left(1-r_{1} / a\right) \sin \left(E_{2}-E_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting $a=-s / 2 E, t_{2}-t_{1}=s^{3 / 2} T /(8 \mu)^{1 / 2}$, and making use of (5) and (6) we have, after some algebra,

$$
\mathrm{S}_{1}=(2 \mu \mathrm{~s})^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{q} z\left(\mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{r}_{1}\right)-\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{r}_{2}\right)\right] / \mathrm{c}
$$

A similar procedure produces the same formula for $S_{1}$ in the hyperbolic case. It also holds for the parabolic case.

Figures 1 and 2 show $T$ as a function of $x$ for elliptic and hyperbolic transfer, the parabolic case occurring for $x=1$. We suggest the reader compare these curves with those in Reference 2 showing $T$ as a double-valued function of E with infinite slope at $\mathrm{E}=-1$.

No solutions of Lambert's equation exist in the shaded regions of figures 1 and 2. $\mathrm{x}=1(\mathrm{~m}>0)$ and $\mathrm{x}=-1$ are vertical asymptotes. $\mathrm{T} \rightarrow 0$ as $\mathrm{x} \rightarrow \infty$.
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Figure 1-E vs. T for elliptic case

$\times$
Figure 2-E vs. T for hyperbolic case
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