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# THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF POTASSIUM TO $2100^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ 

by Sheldon Heimel<br>Lewis Research Center

## SUMMARY

Consistent thermodynamic properties of potassium from the melting point $\left(336.35^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\right)$ to $2100^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ were computed for both the ideal and the real species and are presented in tabular form.

The properties computed for the real monomeric vapor on the saturation line are as follows: pressure, volume, heat capacity, enthalpy of vaporization and enthalpy, entropy of vaporization and entropy, fugacity-pressure ratio, virial coefficients (second, third, and fourth), compressibility factor, density, partial derivative of volume with respect to temperature at constant pressure, and partial derivative of volume with respect to pressure at constant temperature.

The properties computed for the ideal species (condensed phases, monomeric gas, and dimeric gas) are heat capacity, enthalpy, entropy, and free energy.

The real-gas properties were computed from a virial equation of state. The equation used existing second virial coefficients based on the Rydberg potential. Third and fourth virial coefficients exhibiting limited aspects of hard-sphere behavior were adjusted to experimental pressure-volume-temperature (PVT) data.

## INTRODUCTION

Three areas requiring a reliable equation of state of the alkali metals are (1) Rankine-cycle engines, (2) radiation heat transfer in plasmas, and (3) calculation of transport properties. In one study of the Rankine-cycle engine at the Lewis Research Center (ref. 1), an equation of state for potassium was used to calculate the critical weightflow rate in a nozzle.

There are two recent virial equations of state for potassium (refs. 2 to 5). These equations yield discrepant sets of thermodynamic properties. Therefore, the present work was performed to eliminate the discrepancies by presenting an improved equation. The improvement lies in the representation of each virial coefficient (up to the fourth) by the "best" available potential model.

Second virial coefficients derived from the Rydberg potential ( $1100^{\circ}$ to $1600^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ ) were taken from reference 6. The dependencies of the third and fourth virial coefficients on the second virial coefficient were taken from the hard-sphere model (ref. 7). These dependencies correlate the experimental volumes ( $1127^{\circ}$ to $1575^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ ) (refs. 2 to 4) better than analogous dependencies from the Lennard-Jones 12-6 potential (ref. 8).

Analytic functions approximating the virial coefficients were used in the range from $336.35^{\circ}$ to $2100^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ in order to extend the range of the equation of state beyond the range of the pressure-volume-temperature (PVT) data. In addition, smoothed vapor pressures over the entire experimental range of $372^{\circ}$ to $2078^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ (refs. 2 to 4,9 to 11 , and unpublished data of K. J. Bowles of Lewis) were used. The justification for this procedure is the reasonable trend shown by calculated heat capacities, fugacity-pressure ratios, and compressibility factors.

The results of the analysis are as follows:
(1) An improved equation of state is obtained for the temperature range $336.35^{\circ}$ to $2100^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$.
(2) Volumes, heats of vaporization, and isentropic expansion indexes derived from the equation are in good agreement with experimental data.

Tables of thermodynamic functions are presented for the ideal crystal, liquid, monomer, and dimer and for the real monomer on the saturation line.

## THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF IDEAL SPECIES

The thermodynamic properties of the condensed phases (crystal and liquid) and of the monomeric and dimeric gases are given in tables I, II, and III, respectively. These properties were obtained in the following manner.

## Crystal

The JANAF data (ref. 12) for the heat capacity at constant pressure for the standard state $C_{p}^{0}$, the sensible enthalpy for the standard state $H_{T}^{O}-H_{0}^{0}$, the entropy for the standard state $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}$ from $100^{\circ}$ to $300^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ were extrapolated to the melting point by the Lagrangian formula. (Superscript letter o indicates the ideal state. All symbols are defined in appendix A.) The melting point ( $336.35^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ ) and the heat of fusion of 558 calories per mole ( $2334.67 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mole}$ ) were taken from Douglas et al. (ref. 13).

The arbitrary base for assigning values to the enthalpy of crystal and liquid potassium (K) was a value of zero at $298.15^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{i}\right.$. e., $\left.\left(\mathrm{H}_{298.15}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{c}=0\right)$. Since table I gives $\left(\mathrm{H}_{298.15}^{\mathrm{O}}-\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{c}$ as 1693.0 calories per mole ( $7083.5 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mole}$ ), $\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{c}=-1693.0$ calories per mole (-7083. $5 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mole}$ ).

TABLE I. - THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF CRYSTAL AND LIQUID POTASSIUM

| Temperature, T, ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~K}$ | Heat capacity for standard state at constant pressure, $C_{p}^{0} / R$ | Sensible enthalpy for standard state at $\mathrm{T}^{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{K}$, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}-\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{O}}$ RT | Entropy for standard state, $S_{T}^{O} / R$ | Sensible free energy for standard state at$\begin{gathered} \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{o} \mathbf{K}} \\ -\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{o}}-\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{o}}\right) \\ \mathbf{R T} \end{gathered}$ | Sum of sensible enthalpy at $\mathrm{T}^{\mathbf{o}} \mathbf{K}$ and chemical energy at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ for standard state, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}} / \mathrm{RT}$ | Formation from assigned reference state |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Enthalpy } \\ \text { change } \\ \text { at } \mathrm{T}^{\circ} \mathrm{K}, \\ \left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\mathrm{f}} / \mathrm{RT} \end{gathered}$ | Log of equilibrium constant, $\log \mathscr{K}_{\mathrm{f}}$ |
| 100 | 2. 9625 | 2.0834 | 4.2820 | 2.1986 | -6.4363 | 0 | 0 |
| 200 | 3. 2478 | 2.6017 | 6.4338 | 3.8321 | -1.6581 | 0 | 0 |
| 298.15 | 3. 5478 | 2.8575 | 7.7784 | 4.9209 | . 0000 | 0 | 0 |
| 300 | 3. 5568 | 2. 8617 | 7.8005 | 4.9388 | . 0218 | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{a}_{36}{ }^{3} \mathbf{3 5}}$ | 3.9624 | 2. 9594 | 8.2303 | 5.2709 | . 4264 | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} 336.35$ | 3.8655 | 3.7942 | 9.0651 | 5. 2709 | 1. 2612 | 0 | 0 |
| 400 | 3.7885 | 3.7993 | 9.7284 | 5.9292 | 1.6694 | 0 | 0 |
| 500 | 3.6918 | 3.7870 | 10.5629 | 6.7759 | 2.0830 | 0 | 0 |
| 600 | 3.6246 | 3.7651 | 11. 2296 | 7.4645 | 2. 3452 | 0 | 0 |
| 700 | 3. 5870 | 3.7420 | 11.7851 | 8.0431 | 2. 5249 | 0 | 0 |
| 800 | 3.5790 | 3.7218 | 12.2633 | 8.5414 | 2.6569 | 0 | 0 |
| 900 | 3.6005 | 3.7069 | 12.6858 | 8.9789 | 2.7602 | 0 | 0 |
| 1000 | 3.6516 | 3.6985 | 13.0675 | 9.3690 | 2.8466 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{b}_{1030.90}$ | 3.6734 | 3.6975 | 13.1789 | 9.4815 | 2. 8710 | 0 | 0 |
| 1100 | 3.7323 | 3.6977 | 13.4191 | 9.7214 | 2. 9232 | 0 | 0 |
| 1200 | 3.8425 | 3.7050 | 13.7483 | 10.0434 | 2. 9950 | 0 | 0 |
| 1300 | 3.9823 | 3.7208 | 14.0612 | 10.3405 | 3.0654 | 0 | 0 |
| 1400 | 4.1517 | 3. 7453 | 14. 3624 | 10.6171 | 3. 1368 | 0 | 0 |
| 1500 | 4. 3507 | 3. 7789 | 14.6554 | 10.8765 | 3.2109 | 0 | 0 |
| 1600 | 4.5792 | 3.8216 | 14.9433 | 11.1217 | 3. 2891 | 0 | 0 |
| 1700 | 4.8372 | 3.8736 | 15. 2286 | 11.3549 | 3.3725 | 0 | 0 |
| 1800 | 5. 1249 | 3.9350 | 15. 5130 | 11.5780 | 3.4617 | 0 | 0 |
| 1900 | 5.4421 | 4.0058 | 15. 7985 | 11.7927 | 3. 5574 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | 5.7888 | 4.0862 | 16.0863 | 12.0001 | 3.6602 | 0 | 0 |
| 2100 | 6.1652 | 4.1761 | 16.3777 | 12.2016 | 3.7704 | 0 | 0 |

[^0]TABLE II. - THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF IDEAL POTASSIUM GAS (K $\mathbf{K}_{1}$ )

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Temper- } \\ \text { ature, } \\ \mathrm{T}, \\ { }^{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{~K} \end{gathered}$ | Heat capacity for standard state at constant pressure, $C_{p}^{o} / R$ | Sensible enthalpy for standard state at $\mathrm{T}^{0} \mathrm{~K}$, $\frac{\mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}-\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{O}}}{\mathrm{RT}}$ | Entropy for standard state, $S_{T}^{0} / R$ | Sensible free energy for standard state at$\begin{gathered} \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{~K}, \\ -\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{o}}\right) \\ \mathrm{RT} \end{gathered}$ | Sum of sensible enthalpy at $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{K}$, and chemical energy at $0^{0} \mathrm{~K}$ for standard state, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}} / \mathrm{RT}$ | Formation from assigned reference state |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Enthalpy } \\ \text { change } \\ \text { at } \mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{~K}, \\ \left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{o}}\right)_{\mathrm{f}} / \mathrm{RT} \end{gathered}$ | Log of equilibrium constant, $\log \mathscr{K}_{\mathrm{f}}$ |
| 100 | 2. 5000 | 2. 5000 | 16. 5404 | 14.0404 | 101.6531 | 108.0894 | -41.6189 |
| 200 | 2. 5000 | 2. 5000 | 18.2732 | 15.7732 | 52.0766 | 53.7347 | -18.1949 |
| 298.15 | 2. 5000 | 2.5000 | 19.2714 | 16.7714 | 35.7561 | 35.7561 | -10.5373 |
| 300 | 2. 5000 | 2.5000 | 19.2869 | 16.7869 | 35.5510 | 35.5292 | -10.4417 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }} 336.35$ | 2. 5000 | 2. 5000 | 19. 5728 | 17.0728 | 31.9792 | 30.7179 | -8.7772 |
| 400 | 2. 5000 | 2. 5000 | 20.0061 | 17.5061 | 27.2883 | 25.6189 | -6.6626 |
| 500 | 2. 5000 | 2. 5000 | 20. 5640 | 18.0640 | 22.3306 | 20.2476 | -4.4500 |
| 600 | 2. 5000 | 2. 5000 | 21.0198 | 18.5198 | 19.0255 | 16.6804 | -2.9924 |
| 700 | 2. 5000 | 2. 5000 | 21.4052 | 18.9052 | 16.6647 | 14. 1398 | -1.9629 |
| 800 | 2. 5000 | 2. 5000 | 21.7390 | 19.2390 | 14.8941 | 12.2373 | -1.1993 |
| 900 | 2. 5000 | 2. 5000 | 22.0334 | 19.5334 | 13.5170 | 10.7568 | -. 6120 |
| 1000 | 2. 5000 | 2. 5000 | 22.2968 | 19.7968 | 12.4153 | 9.5687 | -. 1474 |
| 1030.90 | 2.5000 | 2. 5000 | 22.3729 | 19.8729 | 12.1181 | 9.2471 | -. 0231 |
| 1100 | 2. 5000 | 2.5000 | 22.5351 | 20.0351 | 11.5139 | 8.5907 | . 2281 |
| 1200 | 2. 5001 | 2. 5000 | 22.7527 | 20.2526 | 10.7628 | 7.7677 | 5370 |
| 1300 | 2. 5003 | 2. 5000 | 22.9528 | 20.4528 | 10.1272 | 7.0618 | . 7946 |
| 1400 | 2. 5008 | 2. 5001 | 23.1381 | 20.6380 | 9.5824 | 6.4457 | 1.0119 |
| 1500 | 2. 5018 | 2. 5001 | 23.3107 | 20.8105 | 9.1103 | 5. 8994 | 1. 1968 |
| 1600 | 2. 5034 | 2. 5003 | 23.4722 | 20.9719 | 8.6974 | 5. 4082 | 1.3552 |
| 1700 | 2. 5060 | 2. 5005 | 23.6240 | 21.1235 | 8.3331 | 4.9606 | 1. 4917 |
| 1800 | 2. 5099 | 2. 5009 | 23.7673 | 21. 2664 | 8.0095 | 4. 5478 | 1.6097 |
| 1900 | 2.5154 | 2. 5016 | 23.9032 | 21.4016 | 7.7201 | 4. 1627 | 1.7120 |
| 2000 | 2. 5228 | 2. 5024 | 24.0324 | 21.5300 | 7.4601 | 3.7999 | 1.8007 |
| 2100 | 2. 5324 | 2. 5036 | 24.1557 | 21.6521 | 7.2252 | 3.4548 | 1.8775 |
| 2200 | 2. 5446 | 2. 5052 | 24.2738 | 21.7686 | 7.0121 |  |  |
| 2300 | 2. 5596 | 2. 5072 | 24.3872 | 21.8800 | 6.8182 | -------- |  |
| 2400 | 2. 5775 | 2. 5098 | 24.4965 | 21.9868 | 6.6411 | -------- | -------- |
| 2500 | 2. 5988 | 2. 5129 | 24.6022 | 22.0893 | 6.4790 |  |  |

$\mathrm{a}_{\text {The }}$ reference element is crystal potassium below $336.35^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ and liquid potassium above $336.35^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$.

TABLE III. - THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF IDEAL POTASSIUM GAS (K $\mathbf{K}_{2}$ )

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Temper- } \\ \text { ature, } \\ \mathbf{o}_{\mathrm{K}} \end{gathered}$ | Heat capacity for standard state at constant pressure, $C_{p}^{O} / R$ | Sensible enthalpy for standard state at $\mathbf{T}^{0} \mathrm{~K}$, $\underline{\underline{H_{T}^{O}}-H_{0}^{O}}$ RT | Entropy for standard state, $S_{T}^{O} / R$ | Sensible free energy for standard state at$\frac{\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{~K},}{-\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}-\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)}$ | Sum of sensible enthalpy at $\mathrm{T}^{\mathbf{O}} \mathbf{K}$ and chemical energy at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ for standard state, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}} / \mathrm{RT}$ | Formation from assigned reference state |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Enthalpy } \\ \text { change } \\ \text { at } \mathrm{T}^{\circ} \mathrm{K}, \\ \left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\mathrm{f}} / \mathrm{RT} \end{gathered}$ | Log of equilibrium constant, $\log \mathscr{K}_{f}$ |
| 100 | 4. 3895 | 3.9898 | 25.1220 | 21.1321 | 142.6636 | 155. 5362 | -60.3574 |
| 200 | 4.5137 | 4.2285 | 28.2136 | 23.9851 | 73.5654 | 76.8817 | -26.7246 |
| 298.15 | 4. 5579 | 4.3303 | 30.0248 | 25.6945 | 50.8417 | 50.8417 | -15.7969 |
| 300 | 4. 5585 | 4.3317 | 30.0530 | 25.7213 | 50.5563 | 50.5127 | -15.6610 |
| $\mathrm{a}_{336.35}$ | 4. 5709 | 4.3569 | 30.5751 | 26.2182 | 45.5859 | 43.0634 | -13.2975 |
| 400 | 4.5905 | 4.3925 | 31.3689 | 26.9764 | 39.0610 | 35.7222 | -10.3406 |
| 500 | 4.6188 | 4.4350 | 32. 3964 | 27.9614 | 32.1697 | 28.0037 | -7. 2671 |
| 600 | 4.6455 | 4. 4679 | 33.2408 | 28.7730 | 27.5802 | 22.8898 | -5. 2585 |
| 700 | 4.6715 | 4.4951 | 33.9589 | 29.4638 | 24.3056 | 19.2558 | -3.8510 |
| 800 | 4.6972 | 4.5188 | 34.5844 | 30.0656 | 21. 8530 | 16. 5393 | -2.8149 |
| 900 | 4.7226 | 4. 5400 | 35.1391 | 30.5991 | 19.9482 | 14.4277 | -2. 0239 |
| 1000 | 4.7479 | 4. 5595 | 35.6380 | 31.0785 | 18.4269 | 12.7337 | -1.4031 |
| 1030. 90 | 4.7557 | 4. 5653 | 35.7826 | 31.2173 | 18.0170 | 12. 2749 | -1. 2379 |
| 1100 | 4.7730 | 4. 5778 | 36.0917 | 31.5139 | 17.1845 | 11.3381 | -. 9053 |
| 1200 | 4.7982 | 4.5951 | 36.5081 | 31.9130 | 16.1513 | 10.1612 | -. 4994 |
| 1300 | 4.8233 | 4.6117 | 36.8931 | 32.2814 | 15. 2789 | 9.1481 | -. 1639 |
| 1400 | 4.8483 | 4.6277 | 37.2515 | 32.6238 | 14.5330 | 8.2594 | . 1161 |
| 1500 | 4.8734 | 4.6432 | 37. 5868 | 32.9436 | 13.8882 | 7.4664 | . 3516 |
| 1600 | 4.8984 | 4.6584 | 37.9021 | 33.2437 | 13.3255 | 6.7473 | . 5507 |
| 1700 | 4.9234 | 4.6733 | 38.1999 | 33.5266 | 12.8305 | 6.0856 | . 7197 |
| 1800 | 4.9484 | 4.6878 | 38.4820 | 33.7941 | 12.3919 | 5.4686 | . 8631 |
| 1900 | 4.9733 | 4.7022 | 38.7502 | 34.0480 | 12.0008 | 4.8860 | . 9846 |
| 2000 | 4.9983 | 4.7164 | 39.0059 | 34.2895 | 11.6501 | 4. 3297 | 1.0873 |
| 2100 | 5.0233 | 4.7304 | 39.2504 | 34.5200 | 11.3339 | 3.7931 | 1. 1734 |
| 2200 | 5.0482 | 4.7443 | 39.4847 | 34.7404 | 11.0476 | -------- | -------- |
| 2300 | 5.0732 | 4.7580 | 39.7096 | 34.9516 | 10.7873 |  |  |
| 2400 | 5.0982 | 4.7717 | 39.9260 | 35. 1543 | 10.5498 | -------- | -------- |
| 2500 | 5. 1231 | 4.7853 | 40.1347 | 35. 3494 | 10.3322 |  |  |

$\mathrm{a}_{\text {The }}$ reference element is crystal potassium below $336.35^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ and liquid potassium above $336.35^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$.

## Liquid

The following equations for the thermodynamic properties of the liquid in the range of $338^{\circ}$ to $1070^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ were taken from reference 13:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{l}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\mathrm{c}}=-231.40909+8.8849061 \mathrm{~T}-2.2831074 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~T}^{2}+9.792447 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~T}^{3} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left(C_{p}^{\mathrm{o}}\right)_{\imath}=8.8849061-4.5662147 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~T}+2.9377334 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~T}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{l}=20.458252 \log \mathrm{~T}-4.5662147 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~T}+1.4688667 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~T}^{2}-32.310079 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The units for heat capacity and entropy are calories per mole per ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}(4.184 \mathrm{~J} /$ (mole) $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\right)$ ). Equation (1) agrees well with measurements from $1040^{\circ}$ to $1329^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ (refs. 2 to 4).

## Monomer and Dimer (Ideal Gases)

The methods used to compute the thermodynamic properties of the ideal monomer and the ideal dimer are the methods for monatomic and diatomic molecules described in reference 14. The energy levels for atomic potassium were taken from reference 15.

The molecular constants for the dimer were taken from reference 16 and are shown in table IV. The chemical energy of the monomer in its standard state $\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\text {monomer }}$

$$
\text { TABLE IV. - MOLECULAR CONSTANTS }{ }^{a} \text { FOR DIATOMIC POTASSIUM }
$$

$$
\text { Molecular weight, g/mole . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 78.204
$$

Symmetry number . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2

Electronic state
${ }^{1}{ }_{\Sigma}$
Statistical weight . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1
Zero-order vibrational frequency, $\omega_{e^{\prime}} \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \quad 92.64$

Rotational constant, $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 0.05622
Vibration-rotation interaction constant, $\alpha_{e}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 0.000219
Spectroscopic constant for rotational stretching, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{ecm}^{-1} \ldots . . . . . . . .8 .34 \times 10^{-8}$

[^1]be computed from the relation
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\text {monomer }}=\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\mathrm{c}}-\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\mathrm{v}}+\Delta\left(\mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{O}}-\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\mathrm{v}}=19703.3 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mole}(82438.6 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mole})$
where
$$
\Delta\left(\mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{O}}-\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{v}=\left(\mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{O}}-\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\text {monomer }}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{O}}-\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{c}
$$

The chemical energy of the crystal in its standard state $\left(H_{0}^{0}\right)_{c}$ has been shown to equal -1693.0 calories per mole ( $-7083.5 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mole}$ ). The average value of $\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}^{O}\right)_{v}$ is 21184.6 calories per mole ( $88636.4 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mole}$ ) from table V. This value is the average of the apparent values resulting from the thermodynamic analysis presented herein.

TABLE V. - APPARENT VALUES OF
ENTHALPY CHANGE ON EQUILIBRIUM
VAPORIZATION TO 1 MOLE OF REAL
MONOMER AT $298.15^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ AS FUNC-
TION OF TEMPERATURE

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Temperature, } \\ \mathrm{T}, \\ \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{K}} \end{gathered}$ | Apparent values ${ }^{\text {a }}$ of $\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}^{O}\right)_{v}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | cal/mole | $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{mole}$ |
| 900 | 21256.2 | 88935.9 |
| 950 | 21239.0 | 88864.0 |
| 1000 | 21223.0 | 88797.0 |
| 1030.9 | 21213.6 | 88757.7 |
| 1100 | 21194.1 | 88676.1 |
| 1150 | 21181.0 | 88621.3 |
| 1200 | 21168.6 | 88569.4 |
| 1250 | 21156.7 | 88519.6 |
| 1300 | 21144.9 | 88470.3 |
| 1350 | 21132.9 | 88420.1 |
| 1400 | 21120.5 | 88368.2 |
| $\mathrm{a}_{\text {Average }}\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\mathrm{V}}=21184.6 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mole} ;$ |  |  |
|  | $=88636$ | mole |
| Spread = 136 cal (569 J) |  |  |
| $=0.65$ percent |  |  |

From reference 17,

$$
\left(\Delta H_{0}^{0}\right)_{\text {dimer }}=-D_{0}^{o}=\left(H_{0}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\text {dimer }}-2\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\text {monomer }}=-11850 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mole} ;-49580 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mole}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}^{0}\right)_{\text {dimer }}=-11850+2(19703.3)=27556.6 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mole} ; 115296.8 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mole}
$$

## Heats of Formation and Equilibrium Constants

Values for heats of formation of the ideal species from K (crystal and liquid) (i. e. , $\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\mathrm{f}}$ ) are given in tables I to III. For $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ (ideal gas) at $298.15^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, for example,

$$
\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{298.15}^{\mathrm{o}}\right)_{\mathrm{f}, \text { dimer }}=\left(\mathrm{H}_{298.15}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\text {dimer }}-2\left(\mathrm{H}_{298.15}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{c}
$$

The logarithms of the equilibrium constants for the type of reaction just discussed are also listed in tables $I$ to $I I I$. The equilibrium constant $\mathscr{K}_{\mathrm{f}}$ for formation from the assigned reference element is obtained from the standard free-energy change $\left(\Delta F_{T}^{O}\right)$, for example,

$$
\left(\Delta \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{o}}\right)_{\mathrm{f}, \text { dimer }}=\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{o}}\right)_{\text {dimer }}-2\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{o}}\right)_{\text {cond }}
$$

by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \mathscr{K}_{\mathrm{f}}=-\frac{\left(\Delta \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\mathrm{f}}}{2.3025851 \mathrm{RT}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Physical Constants

The atomic weight, 39.102 grams per mole, was taken from Cameron and Wichers (ref. 18). The values of the universal gas constant $R, 1.98717$ calories per mole per ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ (8. $3143 \mathrm{~J} /(\mathrm{mole})\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\right)$, the entropy constant, -3.664953 , and the second radiation constant
$h c / \mathrm{k}, 1.43879$ were computed from the constants given in reference 19.

## SELECTION OF REAL-GAS DATA

The initial data considered in the thermodynamic analysis are vapor pressures, second virial coefficients, heat of dissociation of dimer at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, heats of vaporization, and volumes of vapor. Where it is appropriate, the manner of selecting these data is discussed in the following paragraphs.

## Vapor Pressures

References 2 to 4 present vapor pressures measured with great care from $1127^{\circ}$ to $1575^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$. Several sets of data in the range from $372^{\circ}$ to $2078^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ are also available. Each set was combined with the data of references 2 to 4 and fitted by the least-squares technique to a three-constant equation. Four of the sets did not increase the standard deviation of the data of references 2 to 4 appreciably. Therefore, these four sets (refs. 9 to 11 and Bowles' data) were pooled with the data of references 2 to 4 and yielded an overall standard deviation of 1.43 percent.

The data of reference 20 were not used because of the uncertain corrections made to the raw data. The data of Buck and Pauly (ref. 21) and of Rigney et al. (ref. 22) are considered acceptable but were not available when the analysis was completed.


Figure l. - Smoothed experimental vapor pressures above liquid potassium ( $336.35^{\circ}$ to $2100^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ ).
table vi. - BOILING POINTS OF POTASSIUM FROM

## $10^{-8}$ TO $10^{2}$ UNITS OF PRESSURE REFERRED TO <br> STANDARD PRESSURE

| Pressure, $\log \left(\mathbf{P} / \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ | Boiling points, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~K}$ | Pressure, $\log \left(P / P_{o}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Boiling points, }{ }^{o_{K}} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -8 | 361.21 | -2 | 700.36 |
| -7 | 392.64 | -1 | 833.18 |
| -6 | 430.17 | 0 | 1030.90 |
| -5 | 475.78 | 1 | 1359.35 |
| -4 | 532.41 | 2 | 2027.78 |
| -3 | 604.71 |  |  |
| ${ }^{\mathbf{a}_{\text {From eq }} .}$ | $\log \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}}\right)=-46$ | $63+6.598$ | $-0.700643 \log \mathrm{~T}$ |

The equation fitted to the accepted vapor pressures referred to the standard pressure $P_{o}$ of 1 atmosphere ( $1.01325 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(\frac{P}{P_{O}}\right)=-\frac{4625.3}{T}+6.59817-0.700643 \log T \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 1 and table VI present the smoothed experimental vapor pressures of liquid potassium from this equation as a function of the boiling points.

## Heat of Dissociation of Dimer at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ and Second Virial Coefficients

The heat of dissociation of the dimer $D_{0}^{0}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ and the second virial coefficients can be related by the following expressions

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{RT}_{\mathscr{C}} \mathscr{K}_{\text {dimerization }} \text { (ref. 6) } \\
\left(\Delta \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\text {dimerization }}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathscr{K}_{\text {dimerization }} \text { (ref. 23) }
\end{gathered}
$$

and the identity

$$
\left(\Delta \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\text {dimerization }} \equiv \Delta\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\text {dimerization }}-\mathrm{D}_{0}^{\mathrm{O}}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{D}_{0}^{\mathrm{o}}=-\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{o}}\right)_{\text {dimerization }}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-B=R T \exp \left[\frac{\mathrm{D}_{0}^{\mathrm{o}}-\Delta\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\text {dimerization }}}{\mathrm{RT}}\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (6) was used to calculate values of the second virial coefficient $B$ from $D_{0}^{O}=11850$ calories per mole (49 $580 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mole}$ ) (ref. 17) and values of $\mathrm{F}_{0}^{\mathrm{O}}-\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{O}}$ for the ideal monomer and dimer. These values of $B$ may be compared with the two latest sets in the literature, those from Davies et al. (ref. 6) and those from Ewing et al. (ref. 2 to 4).

Davies et al. calculated values of $B$ from two estimates of the potential energy curve for the interaction of ground-state $K$ atoms, one from the Rydberg potential ${ }^{1}$ and the other from an adjusted Rydberg potential. Ewing et al. obtained values of $\mathbf{B}$ graphically from their PVT data.

The following table shows that the values of $B$ based on $D_{0}^{o}\left(B_{V}, \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mole}\right)$ seem to favor the average of Davies' two sets.

| ```Temper- ature, T, O``` | Second virial coefficient -B, cc/mole, from - |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eq. (6) for $a$ dissociation energy $D_{0}^{0}$ of $11850 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mole}$ | Ref. 6 |  |  | Ref. 2 |
|  |  | By Rydberg potential | By adjusted <br> Rydberg potential | Average |  |
| 1100 | 3900 | 3550 | 4390 | 3970 | 4824 |
| 1200 | 2620 | 2320 | 2890 | 2605 | 3276 |
| 1300 | 1860 | 1630 | 2040 | 1835 | 2376 |
| 1400 | 1420 | 1250 | 1570 | 1410 | 1815 |
| 1500 | 1111 | 960 | 1210 | 1085 | 1443 |
| 1600 | 906 | 770 | 990 | 880 | 1186 |

${ }^{1}$ The Rydberg potential is "the most realistic three-parameter diatomic potential energy function that is available at present" (ref. 24). It uses spectroscopic values of $D_{0}^{0}$, equilibrium internuclear separations, and vibrational frequencies.

Therefore, a curve fit of the average values of $B$ was used in the analysis. The equation selected was of the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log (-B)=\frac{a}{T}+b+c \log T \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The method of least squares yielded the constants

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=2943.72 \\
& b=-2.52548 \\
& c=1.13330
\end{aligned}
$$

## Heat of Vaporization of Liquid and Volume of Vapor

Achener (ref. 9) reported direct measurements of the heat of vaporization of liquid potassium in the range $815^{\circ}$ to $1315^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$. Ewing et al. (refs. 2 to 4) have measured the volumes of the saturated vapor in the range $1127^{\circ}$ to $1575^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$.

## DEVELOPMENT OF VIRIAL EQUATION OF STATE

If it is assumed that potassium vapor exists as an ideal monomer, it can be shown that third-law heats of vaporization at some reference temperature vary appreciably with the temperature at which the vapor pressure was measured. For example, substituting the fitted vapor pressures from equation (5) and the values for sensible free-energy changes for vaporization to the ideal monomer into the third-law expression

$$
\left(\Delta H_{298}^{\mathrm{o}}\right)_{v}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{P}-\Delta\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{o}}\right)_{\mathrm{v}}
$$

yields heats of vaporization of $20850,20557,20010$, and 19146 calories per mole ( $87236,86010,83722$, and $80107 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mole}$ ) at $500^{\circ}, 1000^{\circ}, 1500^{\circ}$, and $2000^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, respectively. This nonideality can be explained by assuming either that the vapor consists of more than one molecular species or that it is a monatomic imperfect gas.

Preliminary analysis in terms of an ideal mixture of monomer and dimer did not correlate the experimental vapor pressures satisfactorily. Since the properties of the
higher polymers of potassium are not known, the mixture approach was not pursued any further. Therefore, the vapor was treated as an imperfect gas.

## Formulation of Third and Fourth Virial Coefficients

The virial equation of state, to the number of terms used in the present work,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P V=R T+B P+C P^{2}+D P^{3} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

describes the deviations from ideality in terms of the virial coefficients $B, C$, and $D$, which are functions of T .

It is necessary to express $B, C$, and $D$ as explicit functions of $T$ in order to use the equation of state (eq. (8)) directly. Unfortunately, an explicit function based on a realistic potential (ref. 6) is available only for B (i. e., eq. (7)). One way to overcome this difficulty is to assume relations of the following type:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C=\frac{d_{1}(-B)^{m}}{T^{n}}  \tag{9a}\\
& D=\frac{d_{2}(-B)^{q}}{T^{r}} \tag{9b}
\end{align*}
$$

and to substitute these relations into equation (8). Then the constants $d_{1}, d_{2}, m, n, q$, and $r$ could be fitted to the PVT data (refs. 2 to 4).

The preceding empirical approach was improved by deriving values of $m, n, q$, and $\mathbf{r}$ from two less realistic potentials, the Lennard-Jones 12-6 (ref. 7) and the hardsphere (ref. 8) potentials.

In this way, only the scale factors $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ were fitted to the PVT data. The set of constants derived from the Lennard-Jones potential is $m=8.5561, n=-10.056$, $\mathrm{q}=12.359$, and $\mathrm{r}=-13.093$. For the hard-sphere potential, the constants are $\mathrm{m}=2$, $\mathrm{n}=1, \mathrm{q}=3$, and $\mathrm{r}=2$. For this potential, equations (9a) and (9b) simplify to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}=\frac{\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{~B}^{2}}{\mathrm{~T}} \tag{10a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}=\frac{\mathrm{k}_{2} \mathrm{~B}^{3}}{\mathrm{~T}^{2}} \tag{10b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The hard-sphere relation reproduces the experimental volumes (refs. 2 to 4 ) somewhat better than the Lennard-Jones relation ( 0.75 percent standard deviation against 2.20 percent). Either potential provides a good representation of the experimental heats of vaporization (ref. 9) (3.11 percent standard deviation against 3.25 percent).

Table VII compares the two models with respect to fugacity-pressure ratio $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{P}$, heat capacity $C_{p}$, and isentropic expansion index $\gamma_{s}$. Figure 2 shows the variation in $C_{p}$. From $1000^{\circ}$ to $2000^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, either potential yields a gradual variation for $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$, and $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}$. However, between $800^{\circ}$ and $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, the Lennard-Jones potential shows a sharp decrease in $C_{p}$ and a sharp increase in $\gamma_{s}$.

TABLE VII. - COMPARISON OF THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES FROM LENNARD-JONES 12-6

## AND HARD-SPHERE POTENTIALS

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Temper- } \\ \text { ature, } \\ \mathrm{T}, \\ \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{K}} \end{gathered}$ | Lennard-Jones potential |  |  |  | Hard-sphere potential |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fugacitypressure ratio, f/P | Real-gas heat capacity at constant pressure, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ |  | Isentropic expansion index,$\begin{gathered} \gamma_{S}, \\ \left(\frac{\partial \ln P}{\partial \ln \rho}\right)_{\mathbf{S}} \end{gathered}$ | Fugacitypressure ratio, f/p | Real-gas heat capacity at constant pressure, $C_{p}$ |  | Isentropic expansion |
|  |  | $\frac{\text { cal }}{(\text { mole })\left({ }^{0} \mathrm{~K}\right)}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{J}}{(\text { mole })\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\right)}$ |  |  | $\frac{\text { cal }}{(\text { mole })\left({ }^{\text {O K K }} \text { ) }\right.}$ | $\frac{J}{(\text { mole })\left({ }^{0} \mathrm{~K}\right)}$ | $\gamma_{S}$, $\left(\frac{\partial \ln P}{\partial \ln \rho}\right)_{S}$ |
| 336.35 |  | ----- |  |  | 0.99995 | 5.012 | 20.970 | 1.659 |
| 500 |  |  |  |  | . 9982 | 5.627 | 23. 543 | 1. 583 |
| 800 | 0.9307 | 39.37 | 164.72 | 1.095 | . 9744 | 8.679 | 36.313 | 1. 448 |
| 900 | . 9564 | 21.70 | 90.79 | 1. 201 | . 9590 | 9.748 | 40.786 | 1.437 |
| 1000 | . 9418 | 11. 36 | 47.53 | 1. 392 | . 9408 | 10.671 | 44.647 | 1. 434 |
| 1500 | . 8420 | 11. 59 | 48.49 | 1. 451 | . 8336 | 12.311 | 51. 509 | 1. 440 |
| 2000 | . 7569 | 10.96 | 45.86 | 1. 389 | . 7423 | 10.683 | 44.698 | 1. 371 |

On the other hand, the hard-sphere relation shows a gradual variation in all three properties over the entire range of temperatures. Therefore, the hard-sphere relation probably gives a better description of the properties of potassium vapor.

This conclusion does not imply that potassium is a hard-sphere gas. It may indicate that, when the Rydberg potential curves for higher interactions are eventually determined, the expressions for $C$ and $D$ will show dependencies on $B$ which are similar to those for a hard-sphere gas.


Figure 2. - Comparison of calculated heat capacities of saturated vapor.

## Hard-Sphere Expressions Used for Higher Virial Coefficients

Equation (7) derived from Davies' second virial coefficients can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{V}=-10^{b} 10^{\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mole} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing $B$ in calories per mole per atmosphere ( $4.12929 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~J} /(\mathrm{mole})\left(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ ) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{c}_{1} 10^{\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~T} \mathrm{c} \tag{11a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
c_{1}=-0.024217256 \times 10^{b}
$$

Substituting equations (10) into equation (8) results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
P V=R T+B P+k_{1} \frac{B^{2} P^{2}}{T}+k_{2} \frac{B^{3} P^{3}}{T^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further substitution of equation (11a) into equation (12) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{RT}+\mathrm{c}_{1} 10^{\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{P}+\mathrm{c}_{2} 10^{2 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~T}^{2 \mathrm{c}-1} \mathrm{P}^{2}+\mathrm{c}_{3} 10^{3 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~T}^{3 \mathrm{c}-2} \mathrm{P}^{3} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{2}=k_{1} c_{1}^{2}  \tag{14a}\\
& c_{3}=k_{2} c_{1}^{3} \tag{14b}
\end{align*}
$$

With the values of $a, b$, and $c$ (and therefore $c_{1}$ ) determined from Davies' second virial coefficients, the constants $c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ were fitted to the PVT data. The curve-fitting process yielded

$$
c_{2}=-2.55216 \times 10^{-9}
$$

and

$$
c_{3}=2.41943 \times 10^{-13}
$$

Fitting equation (8) yields

$$
c_{1}=-7.22185 \times 10^{-5}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\mathrm{k}_{1}=-0.489340
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{k}_{2}=-0.642345
$$

Thus, the final recommended equation of state becomes
$\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{RT}-7.22185 \times 10^{-5} 10^{2943.72 / \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}}} \mathrm{T}^{1.13330} \mathrm{P}-2.55216 \times 10^{-9} 10^{5887.44 / \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}}}{ }^{1.26660} \mathrm{P}^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+2.41943 \times 10^{-13} 10^{8831.16 / \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}} 1.39990} \mathrm{P}^{3} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Consistency Test for Equation of State

It is necessary to calculate the heat of vaporization at $298.15^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ as a function of temperature in order to check the consistency of the calculated properties from equation (15).

The basic equation for a real gas obeying equation (8) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F-F^{o}\right)_{T}=R T \ln P+B P+\frac{C P^{2}}{2}+\frac{D P^{3}}{3} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is derived in appendix $B$. The relative free energy $\left(F-F^{0}\right)_{T}$ is the constanttemperature free energy of the real gas relative to the free energy of the ideal gas.

The quantity $Q$, defined in reference 5 as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q \equiv-\Delta\left(F_{T}^{O}-H_{298}^{o}\right)_{V}-R T \ln P \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be simply related to the virial coefficients in the following manner. The ideal free energy of vaporization is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\mathrm{V}} \equiv\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\text {monomer }}-\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\text {cond }} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the saturation line, equilibrium demands

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{\text {monomer }}=\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{\text {cond }} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If it is assumed that the condensed phase is in its standard state,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{\text {monomer }}=\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathbf{O}}\right)_{\text {cond }} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (20) into equation (18) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\mathrm{V}}=\left(\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{O}}-\mathrm{F}\right)_{\mathrm{T}, \text { monomer }} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and substituting equation (21) into equation (17) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}=\left(\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\mathrm{T}, \text { monomer }}-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{P}+\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\mathrm{v}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (16) into equation (22) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta H_{298}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{v}=Q-B P-\frac{C P^{2}}{2}-\frac{D P^{3}}{3} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equations (10) results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}^{0}\right)_{v}=Q-\mathrm{BP}-\frac{\mathrm{k}_{1}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~B}^{2} \mathrm{P}^{2}}{\mathrm{~T}}-\frac{\mathrm{k}_{2}}{3} \frac{\mathrm{~B}^{3} \mathrm{P}^{3}}{\mathrm{~T}^{2}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ have already been determined by fitting the PVT data ( $1127^{\circ}$ to $1575^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ ) to equation (12), it is a simple matter to compute apparent values of $\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\mathrm{V}}$.

The choice of a reasonable interval for averaging $\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{v}$ must be a compromise because there is only a small interval $\left(1100^{\circ}\right.$ to $\left.1300^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\right)$ that includes experimental values of both the vapor volume and the heat capacity of the liquid. A representative average probably could be obtained by a small extrapolation of volumes to $900^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ (i. e., using eq. (13)) and a small extrapolation of the cubic equation for heat capacity (eq. (2)) to $1400^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$. The interval from $900^{\circ}$ to $1400^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ was thus chosen for averaging $\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\mathrm{V}}$. In this way, from $900^{\circ}$ to $1100^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, experimental enthalpies were combined with extrapolated volumes, and, from $1300^{\circ}$ to $1400^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, extrapolated enthalpies were combined with experimental volumes.

Table $V$ shows the variation in apparent values of $\left(\Delta H_{298}^{O}\right)_{v}$ as a function of temperature. The maximum difference is 0.65 percent, with an average value of 21184.6 calories per mole (88 $636.4 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mole}$ ).

## REAL-GAS PROPERTIES ON SATURATION LINE

Table VIII presents calculated real-gas properties on the saturation line at assigned
table vili. - thermodynamic properties of real monomer gas on saturation line

temperatures from $336.35^{\circ}$ to $2100^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$. These values are computed by the use of equation (5) for the vapor pressures and of equation (15), the derived equation of state. Details of the calculations are given in the following paragraphs.

## Virial Coefficients

The virial coefficients from equation (15) are in calories per mole per atmosphere squared $\left(4.07527 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~J} /(\right.$ mole $\left.)\left(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)^{2}\right)$ for C and in calories per mole per cubic atmosphere $\left(4.02199 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{~J} /(\mathrm{mole})\left(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)^{3}\right)$ for D . The values given in table VIII have been converted to units of ( $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mole}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mole}$ ), respectively, in order to conform to the usual virial equation:

$$
\frac{P V}{R T}=1+\frac{B_{V}}{V}+\frac{C_{V}}{v^{2}}+\frac{D_{V}}{V^{3}}
$$

The conversion is accomplished by the following equations from reference 25 :

$$
\begin{gathered}
B=B_{V} \\
C=\frac{C_{V}-B_{V}^{2}}{R T} \\
D=\frac{D_{V}-3 B_{V} C_{V}+2 B_{V}^{3}}{(R T)^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Volume, Entropy, Enthalpy, and Heat Capacity

The volumes are calculated at assigned temperatures from equation (15) by use of the smoothed experimental vapor pressures. The fugacity-pressure ratio $f / \mathrm{P}$ is calculated from equation (B9).

The relative functions $\left(S-S^{0}\right)_{T},\left(H-H^{O}\right)_{T}$, and $\left(C_{p}-C_{p}^{0}\right)_{T}$ are calculated from equations (B11), (B12), and (B13). Adding the relative functions to the corresponding functions of the ideal monomer yields the following functions for the real gas on the saturation line:

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{T}=\left(S-S^{O}\right)_{T}+S_{T}^{o}  \tag{24a}\\
& H_{T}=\left(H-H^{o}\right)_{T}+H_{T}^{o}  \tag{24b}\\
& C_{p}=\left(C_{p}-C_{p}^{o}\right)_{T}+C_{p}^{o} \tag{24c}
\end{align*}
$$

It is necessary to calculate $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}$ for the ideal monomer as follows, in order to compute the function $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}$ for the real monomer:

$$
\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{v}=\left(\mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{c}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\text {monomer }}=-\left(\mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\text {monomer }}
$$

Since $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}-\mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)$ has already been calculated for the ideal monomer (table II, p. 4),

$$
\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\dot{\mathrm{O}}}\right)_{\text {monomer }}=\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}-\mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\text {monomer }}-\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\mathrm{v}}
$$

## Enthalpy of Vaporization and Entropy of Vaporization

The enthalpy of vaporization in calories per mole ( $4.184 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mole}$ ) is simply

$$
\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{\mathrm{v}}=\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{\text {monomer }}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{l}
$$

The entropy of vaporization, in calories per mole per ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\left(4.184 \mathrm{~J} /(\right.$ mole $\left.)\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\right)\right)$ is calculated from the expression

$$
\left(\Delta S_{T}\right)_{v}=\frac{\left(\Delta H_{T}\right)_{v}}{T}
$$

The use of this expression in the real-gas table implies the use of the apparent values of $\left(\Delta H_{298}^{O}\right)_{v}$. However, this use is necessary to meet the requirement of a zero Gibbs free energy change $\left(\Delta \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{\mathrm{V}}$ on the saturation line.

## Density

Since the volume $V$ of the real gas is in cubic centimeters per mole of monomer ( 39.102 g ), the density is $\rho=39.102 / \mathrm{V}$ in grams per cubic centimeter.

## Volume-Temperature and Volume-Pressure Coefficients

The volume-temperature coefficient $(\partial \mathrm{V} / \partial \mathrm{T})_{\mathrm{P}}$ and the volume-pressure coefficient $(\partial \mathrm{V} / \partial \mathrm{P})_{\mathrm{T}}$ are needed to compute the isentropic expansion index (eq. (29)) and also the coefficients of thermal expansion and compressibility as follows (ref. 23):

$$
\alpha=\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~V}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{~T}}\right)_{\mathrm{P}}
$$

and

$$
\kappa=-\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~V}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{P}}\right)_{\mathrm{T}}
$$

Solving equation (8) for $V$ and differentiating with respect to $P$ at constant $T$ yield

$$
V=\frac{R T}{P}+B+C P+D P^{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial P}\right)_{T}=-\frac{R T}{P^{2}}+C+2 D P \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating $V$ with respect to $T$ at constant $P$ results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T}\right)_{P}=\frac{R}{P}+\frac{d B}{d T}+P \frac{d C}{d T}+P^{2} \frac{d D}{d T} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating equations (10) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d C}{d T}=\frac{2 C}{B} \frac{d B}{d T}-\frac{C}{T}  \tag{27a}\\
& \frac{d D}{d T}=\frac{3 D}{B} \frac{d B}{d T}-\frac{2 D}{T} \tag{27b}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting equations (27) into equation (26) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T}\right)_{P}=\frac{R}{P}+\frac{d B}{d T}+\frac{2 P C}{B} \frac{d B}{d T}+\frac{3 P^{2} D}{B} \frac{d B}{d T}-\frac{P C}{T}-\frac{2 P^{2} D}{T} \\
& \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T}\right)_{P}=\frac{R}{P}+\frac{d \ln B}{d T}\left(B+2 C P+3 D P^{2}\right)-\frac{P}{T}(C+2 P D) \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Isentropic Expansion Index

The isentropic expansion index is defined (ref. 1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{S}=-\frac{V}{P}\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial V}\right)_{S} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial V}\right)_{S}=\left[\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial P}\right)_{T}+\frac{T}{C_{p}}\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T}\right)_{P}^{2}\right]
$$

Simple substitution of $T, C_{p}$, equation (25), and equation (28) into equation (29) yields the isentropic expansion index.

## COMPARISON OF CALCULATED REAL-GAS PROPERTIES WITH EXPERIMENTAL DATA AND ANOTHER ANALYSIS

The real-gas properties presented compare favorably with the experimental data and the calculated heat capacity appears to show a more reasonable trend with temperature than the result of an empirical analysis (ref. 2) as shown in the following paragraphs.

## Enthalpy of Vaporization and Entropy of Vaporization

Figure 3 compares Achener's (ref. 9) experimental enthalpies of vaporization with those computed herein and those computed by Ewing (ref. 2). Figure 4 is a similar comparison for the entropies of vaporization.


Figure 3. - Comparison of calculated and experimental heats of vaporization.


Figure 4. - Comparison of calculated and experimental entropies of vaporization.

The two sets of calculated heats of vaporization agree very well and differ from experiment by about 3.5 percent (maximum). The entropies show a similar trend.

## Volume

When the choice of a potential function for the higher virial coefficients was discussed in the section DEVELOPMENT OF VIRIAL EQUATION OF STATE, the author explained that the constants $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ were fitted to the volumes, vapor pressures, and virial coefficients. The heats of vaporization were not fitted because their formulation not only involves the virial coefficients but also their derivatives with respect to temperature (cf eq. (B12)). It is therefore not surprising that the volumes deviated less from experiment than did the heats of vaporization ( 0.75 percent against 3.11 percent).

## Isentropic Expansion Index

Goldman (ref. 1) reported experimental expansion indexes $\gamma_{\mathbf{S}}$ varying from 1.33 to 1.42 in the range from $943^{\circ}$ to $1051^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, with an average of 1.38 . From the equation


Figure 5. - Comparison of calculated and experimental compressibility factors.
of state of the present work, $\gamma_{s}$ values of 1.434 at $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ and 1. 436 at $1100^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ were computed.

## Compressibility Factor

Figure 5 compares Ewing's nine experimental compressibility factors Z (ref. 2) with those computed by Ewing et al. (ref. 2) and those computed herein. Some of the experimental compressibility factors are closer to Ewing's curve, some are closer to the present curve, and a few agree well with either curve. In view of the scatter of the experimental points, neither set of calculated compressibility factors appears to be superior.

## Heat Capacity

Figure 2 and table VII illustrate the agreement of the hard-sphere and LennardJones models in predicting a gradual increase in $C_{p}$ of the real gas from $1100^{\circ}$ to $1500^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, followed by a gentle decline. On the other hand, Ewing's analysis predicts a gradual rise to $1200^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, a gradual decline to $1500^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, and then a sharp increase. The agreement of both potential models in this region tends to confirm a gradual change in $C_{p}$ in this temperature range.

## CONCLUDING REMARKS

The method of analysis used herein, fitting of pressure-volume-temperature (PVT) data, may be compared with an alternative method of Hicks in which volumes are traded off with free energies (PFT data).

The advantages of the PVT fit are that it preserves the experimental vapor pressures and the properties of the liquid (specific heat, enthalpy, entropy, and Gibbs free energy), and only slightly perturbs the experimental volumes. The disadvantage of this approach is that it does not guarantee a temperature-independent value of enthalpy change on equilibrium vaporization to 1 mole of real monomer at $298^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\mathrm{V}}$ (eq. (23)). However, this variation in $\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{v}$ is small compared with the variation obtained by assuming ideal behavior. For example, between $1000^{\circ}$ and $1400^{\circ} \mathrm{K},\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}^{O}\right)$ for the ideal gas varies from 20557 to 20119 calories per mole ( 86010 to $84178 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mole}$ ), whereas for the real gas, it varies from 21223 to 21120 calories per mole ( 88797 to $88366 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mole}$ ). The variation has thus been reduced by 75 percent.

The method of Hicks for fitting pressure, free energy, and temperature does yield a constant $\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\mathrm{v}}$, but applying it to the present set of initial data caused a 9.5 -percent deviation in volume and approximately a 15 -percent deviation in vapor pressures below $800^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$. On the whole, therefore, the PVT fit does a better job than the PFT fit.

The variation of apparent $\left(\Delta H_{298}^{O}\right)_{V}$ obtained through this analysis at temperatures from $1127^{\circ}$ to $1575^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ may be caused by

1. Truncation of the virial expansion at the fourth coefficient
2. Assumption that the higher coefficients are simple functions of the second virial coefficient.
3. Discrepancies in the experimental data.

The third and fourth virial coefficients of the present analysis depart markedly from hard-sphere behavior (ref. 7) in two ways: First, the scale factors differ as follows:

Fit to PVT data (present work):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{V}=0.0276 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{V}}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V}}=-4.454 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{V}}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theoretical hard-sphere model:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{V}=0.625 \mathrm{~B}_{V}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{D}_{V}=0.2869 \mathrm{~B}_{V}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B_{V}, C_{V}$, and $D_{V}$ are the second, third, and fourth virial coefficients, respectively. Second, and of more importance, in the hard-sphere model the virial coefficients are independent of temperature, whereas $C_{V}$ and $D_{V}$ of the present analysis depend strongly on temperature. This dependence is caused by the fact that $B$ is a function of temperature (eq. (7)).

Lewis Research Center,
National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Cleveland, Ohio, June 16, 1967, 129-01-02-01-22.

## APPENDIX A

## SYMBOLS

| a B | constant <br> second virial coefficient, | $\mathrm{D}_{V}$ | fourth virial coefficient, $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mole}\right)^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | cal/(mole)(atm); | d | constant |
|  | $\mathrm{J} /(\mathrm{mole})\left(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | F | Gibbs free energy |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{e}}$ | rotational constant, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{T}$ | Gibbs free energy of real gas |
| ${ }^{B} \mathrm{~V}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { second virial coefficient, } \\ & \mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mole} \end{aligned}$ | $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathbf{o}}-\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathbf{O}}\right)$ | at $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{K}$, cal/mole; $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{mole}$ sensible free energy for |
| b | constant |  | standard state at $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{K}$, |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { third virial coefficient, } \\ & \text { cal/(mole)(atm) }{ }^{2} ; \\ & \mathrm{J} /(\text { mole })\left(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | cal/mole; J/mole <br> Gibbs free energy at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, cal/mole; J/mole |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | ```real-gas heat capacity at constant pressure, cal/(mole)( }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ}\textrm{K}) J/(mole)( }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ}\textrm{K}``` | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta F_{T}^{o} \\ & \left(\Delta F_{T}\right)_{V} \end{aligned}$ | standard free-energy change <br> Gibbs free-energy change on vaporization to 1 mole of real monomer at $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{K}$, |
| $C_{p}^{o}$ | ```standard-state heat capacity at constant pressure, cal/(mole)( }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{O}}\textrm{K}) J/(mole)( }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ}\textrm{K}``` | g | cal/mole; J/mole fugacity, atm; $N / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ gas phase |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}}$ | third virial coefficient, $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mole}\right)^{2}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}$ | ```enthalpy of real gas to T}\mp@subsup{T}{}{O}\textrm{K}\mathrm{ , cal/mole; J/mole``` |
| c | constant | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}$ | sum of standard-state sensi- |
| D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fourth virial coefficient, } \\ & \text { cal/(mole)(atm) }{ }^{3} ; \\ & J /(\text { mole })\left(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)^{3} \end{aligned}$ | T | ble enthalpy at $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{K}$ and chemical energy at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ for standard state, |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$ | ```spectroscopic constant for rotational stretching, cm``` | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}-\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{O}}$ | cal/mole; J/mole <br> sensible enthalpy for standard state at $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{K}$, |
| $\mathrm{D}_{0}^{0}$ | dissociation energy at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, cal/mole; J/mole |  | cal/mole; J/mole |

$h c / k$
enthalpy change on equilibrium vaporization to 1 mole of real monomer at $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{K}$, cal/mole; J/mole
enthalpy change on equilibrium vaporization to 1 mole of ideal monomer at $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{K}$, cal/mole; J/mole
chemical energy at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ for standard state, cal/mole; $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{mole}$
enthalpy change for formation of substance from element in atomic gas state at $\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{O}} \mathrm{K}$, cal/mole; J/mole
enthalpy change for formation of substance from assigned reference element at $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{K}$, cal/mole; J/mole
second radiation constant
equilibrium constant for reaction of formation from element in atomic gas state
equilibrium constant for reaction of formation from assigned reference element
Boltzmann constant
liquid phase
constant constant absolute pressure, $\operatorname{atm} ; \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ standard pressure, 1 atm ; $1.01325 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
$-\Delta\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathbf{o}}-\mathrm{H}_{298}^{\mathrm{o}}\right)_{\mathrm{V}}-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{P}$, cal/mole; J/mole
constant
universal gas constant,

$$
1.98717 \mathrm{cal} /(\operatorname{mole})\left({ }^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{~K}\right)
$$

$$
82.05601\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)(\mathrm{atm}) /(\text { mole })\left({ }^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{~K}\right) \text {; }
$$

$$
8.3143 \mathrm{~J} /(\mathrm{mole})\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\right)
$$

constant
entropy of real gas, cal $/($ mole $)\left({ }^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{K}\right) ; \mathrm{J} /($ mole $)\left({ }^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{K}\right)$
entropy of real gas at $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{K}$, $\mathrm{cal} /($ mole $)\left({ }^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{K}\right) ; \mathrm{J} /($ mole $)\left({ }^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{K}\right)$
entropy for standard state, $\mathrm{cal} /($ mole $)\left({ }^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{K}\right) ; \mathrm{J} /($ mole $)\left({ }^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{K}\right)$
entropy change on equilibrium vaporization to 1 mole of real monomer at $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{K}$, cal $/($ mole $)\left({ }^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{K}\right) ; \mathrm{J} /($ mole $)\left({ }^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{K}\right)$
entropy change on equilibrium vaporization to 1 mole of ideal monomer at $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{K}$, cal/mole; J/mole
absolute temperature, ${ }^{o_{K}}$ volume, $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mole}$
compressibility factor; PV/RT
coefficient of thermal expansion, $\left({ }^{0} \mathrm{~K}\right)^{-1}$
vibration-rotation interaction constant for diatomic molecule, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$
isentropic expansion index, $(\partial \ln P / \partial \ln \rho)_{S}$


## APPENDIX B

## DERIVATION OF RELATIVE FUNCTIONS AND FUGACITY

## Relative Free Energy, $\left(\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{F}^{0}\right)_{T}$

For a system of constant composition,

$$
\mathrm{dF}=\mathrm{VdP}-\mathrm{SdT}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial P}\right)_{T}=V \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For 1 mole of ideal gas, $V=R T / P$, so that integration of equation (B1) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=R T \ln P+F^{0} \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integration constant $\mathrm{F}^{0}$ depends only on the temperature and nature of the gas. For a real gas, the fugacity $f$ is defined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=R T \ln f+F^{0} \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the actual pressure $P$ approaches zero (i.e., as the real gas approximates ideal behavior), f/P approaches 1.

To determine $f$, differentiate equation (B3) and utilize equation (B1) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial P}\right)_{T}=V=R T\left(\frac{\partial \ln f}{\partial P}\right)_{T} \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

At a definite temperature,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R T d \ln f=V d P \tag{B5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$ is the actual molar volume. For the ideal gas

$$
\begin{equation*}
R T d \ln P=\frac{R T}{P} d P \tag{B6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtracting equation (B6) from equation (B5) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
R T \operatorname{d} \ln \left(\frac{f}{P}\right)=\left(V-\frac{R T}{P}\right) d P \tag{B7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating between the pressures zero and $P$ gives

$$
R T\left[\ln \frac{\mathrm{f}}{\mathrm{P}}\right]_{\mathrm{P}=0}^{\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}}=\int_{0}^{\mathrm{P}} \frac{\mathrm{PV}-\mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{dP}
$$

Because f/P approaches 1 at low pressures

$$
\begin{equation*}
R T \ln f=R T \ln P+\int_{0}^{P} \frac{P V-R T}{P} d P \tag{B8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral must be evaluated either graphically or analytically to evaluate the fugacity. Solving the equation of state for ( $\mathrm{PV}-\mathrm{RT}$ )/P and substituting this expression into equation (B8) give

$$
R T \ln f=R T \ln P+\int_{0}^{P}\left(B+C P+D P^{2}\right) d P
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
R T \ln \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{P}+\mathrm{BP}+\frac{\mathrm{CP}}{}{ }^{2} \mathrm{DP}^{3} \tag{B9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (B3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
R T \ln f=F-F^{0} \tag{B10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (B10) into equation (B9) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{P}+\mathrm{BP}+\frac{\mathrm{CP}^{2}}{2}+\frac{\mathrm{DP}^{3}}{3} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equation (16) of the main text.

$$
\text { Relative Entropy }\left(\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}^{0}\right)_{\mathrm{T}}
$$

For a system of constant composition,

$$
\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial T}\right)_{P}=-S
$$

Differentiating equation (16) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
S-S^{\mathrm{O}}=-\left[\frac{\partial\left(\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)}{\partial \mathrm{T}}\right]_{P}=-R \ln P-\frac{d B}{d T} P-\frac{d C}{d T} \frac{P^{2}}{2}-\frac{d D}{d T} \frac{P^{3}}{3} \tag{B11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Relative Enthalpy $\left(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}^{0}\right)_{\top}$

Since $F=H-T S$,

$$
\begin{align*}
H-H^{\mathrm{O}} & =\left(F-F^{\mathrm{O}}\right)+\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{O}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{P}+\mathrm{BP}+\frac{C P^{2}}{2}+\frac{D P^{3}}{3}-T\left(R \ln P+\frac{d B}{d T} P+\frac{d C}{d T} \frac{\mathrm{P}^{2}}{2}+\frac{d D}{d T} \frac{P^{3}}{3}\right) \\
& =\left(B-T \frac{d B}{d T}\right) P+\left(C-T \frac{d C}{d T}\right) \frac{P^{2}}{2}+\left(D-T \frac{d D}{d T}\right) \frac{P^{3}}{3} \tag{B12}
\end{align*}
$$

## Relative Heat Capacity $\left(C_{p}-C_{p}^{0}\right)_{T}$

The relative heat capacity is simply obtained by differentiation of equation (B12)

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{p}-C_{p}^{O}=\left[\frac{\partial\left(H-H^{0}\right)}{\partial T}\right]_{P}=-T\left[\left(\frac{d^{2} B}{d T^{2}}\right) P+\left(\frac{d^{2} C}{d T^{2}}\right) \frac{P^{2}}{2}+\left(\frac{d^{2} D}{d T^{2}}\right) \frac{P^{3}}{3}\right] \tag{B13}
\end{equation*}
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Melting point.
    $\mathbf{b}_{\text {Normal boiling point. }}$

[^1]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Constants from Herzberg (ref. 16).

