

OPTIMIZED SOLUTION OF KEPLER'S EQUATION

by John M. Kohout and Lamar Layton Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Md. 20771

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION • WASHINGTON, D. C. • MAY 1972

and a





				מוככבעט
1. Report No.	2. Government Accession N	٥.	3. Recipient's Cat	talog No.
NASA TN D-6712				
4. Title and Subtitle			5. Report Date	
Outinized Solution of Vo	nlor's Faustion		May 19/2	
Optimized Solution of Ke	pier's Equation		6. Performing Orgo	anization Code
7 Author(s)			8. Performing Org	anization Report No.
John M. Kohout and Lam	ar Lavton		G-1066	
9. Performing Organization Name and	Address		10. Work Unit No.	• ••••
Caldard Grass Elight Cor	+~~			
Goddard Space Fight Cer			11. Contract or Gra	int No.
Greenbelt, Maryland 207	71			
			13. Type of Report	and Period Covered
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Addr	855			T - 4 -
			Technical F	Note
National Aeronautics and	Space Administration	1	14 Sponsoring Age	new Code
Washington, D. C. 20546			14. Sponsoring Age	ency Code
			I	
15. Supplementary Notes				
16 Abstract				
This is surrout anon	unte a datailad dagarin	tion of K	EDIED an IBM	1 360
This document prese	ents a detailed descrip	tion of K	EPLER, an IBM	1 360
This document prese computer program used for	ents a detailed descrip or the solution of Ker	tion of K bler's equa	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent	f 360 tric anomaly.
This document prese computer program used for KEPLER employs a secor	ents a detailed descrip or the solution of Ker nd-order Newton-Rap	tion of K bler's equa hson diffe	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correcti	f 360 tric anomaly. on process,
This document prese computer program used for KEPLER employs a secor and it is faster than previo	ents a detailed descrip or the solution of Ker ad-order Newton-Raph ously developed progr	tion of K bler's equa hson diffe ams by ar	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correction order of magn	f 360 tric anomaly. on process, itude.
This document prese computer program used for KEPLER employs a secor and it is faster than previo	ents a detailed descrip or the solution of Ker ad-order Newton-Rap ously developed progr	tion of K bler's equa hson diffe ams by ar	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correction order of magn	f 360 tric anomaly. on process, iitude.
This document prese computer program used fo KEPLER employs a secor and it is faster than previo	ents a detailed descrip or the solution of Ker nd-order Newton-Raph ously developed progr	tion of K bler's equa hson diffe ams by ar	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correcti n order of magn	f 360 tric anomaly. on process, itude.
This document prese computer program used fo KEPLER employs a secor and it is faster than previo	ents a detailed descrip for the solution of Ker nd-order Newton-Rap ously developed progr	tion of K bler's equa hson diffe ams by ar	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correcti order of magn	f 360 tric anomaly. on process, itude.
This document prese computer program used fo KEPLER employs a secor and it is faster than previo	ents a detailed descrip or the solution of Keg ad-order Newton-Rap ously developed progr	tion of K bler's equa hson diffe ams by ar	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correcti order of magn	f 360 tric anomaly. on process, hitude.
This document prese computer program used for KEPLER employs a secor and it is faster than previo	ents a detailed descrip or the solution of Keg ad-order Newton-Rap ously developed progr	tion of K bler's equa hson diffe ams by ar	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correcti order of magn	f 360 tric anomaly. on process, litude.
This document prese computer program used fo KEPLER employs a secor and it is faster than previo	ents a detailed descrip or the solution of Keg nd-order Newton-Rap ously developed progr	tion of K bler's equa hson diffe ams by ar	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correcti order of magn	f 360 tric anomaly. on process, litude.
This document prese computer program used fo KEPLER employs a secor and it is faster than previo	ents a detailed descrip or the solution of Keg nd-order Newton-Rap ously developed progr	tion of K bler's equa hson diffe ams by ar	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correcti order of magn	f 360 tric anomaly. on process, litude.
This document prese computer program used fo KEPLER employs a secor and it is faster than previo	ents a detailed descrip or the solution of Keg nd-order Newton-Rap ously developed progr	tion of K bler's equa hson diffe ams by ar	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correcti order of magn	f 360 tric anomaly. on process, litude.
This document prese computer program used fo KEPLER employs a secor and it is faster than previo	ents a detailed descrip or the solution of Ker ad-order Newton-Rap ously developed progr	tion of K bler's equa hson diffe ams by ar	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correcti order of magn	f 360 tric anomaly. on process, iitude.
This document prese computer program used fo KEPLER employs a secor and it is faster than previo	ents a detailed descrip or the solution of Ker nd-order Newton-Raph ously developed progr	tion of K bler's equa hson diffe ams by ar	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correcti n order of magn	f 360 tric anomaly. on process, aitude.
This document prese computer program used fo KEPLER employs a secor and it is faster than previo	ents a detailed descrip or the solution of Ker nd-order Newton-Rap ously developed progr	tion of K bler's equa hson diffe ams by ar	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correction order of magn	f 360 tric anomaly. on process, iitude.
This document prese computer program used fo KEPLER employs a secor and it is faster than previo	ents a detailed descrip or the solution of Ker nd-order Newton-Rap ously developed progr	tion of K bler's equa hson diffe ams by ar	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correction order of magn	f 360 tric anomaly. on process, iitude.
This document prese computer program used for KEPLER employs a secon and it is faster than previo	ents a detailed descrip for the solution of Kep ad-order Newton-Raph ously developed progr	tion of K bler's equa hson diffe ams by ar	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correction order of magn	f 360 tric anomaly. on process, iitude.
This document prese computer program used for KEPLER employs a secon and it is faster than previo	ents a detailed descrip for the solution of Ker nd-order Newton-Raph ously developed progr	tion of K oler's equa hson diffe ams by ar	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correction order of magn	f 360 cric anomaly. on process, iitude.
This document prese computer program used for KEPLER employs a secor and it is faster than previous 17. Key Words (Selected by Author(s)) Kepler's Equation	ents a detailed descrip for the solution of Ker nd-order Newton-Raph busly developed progr	tion of K oler's equa hson diffe ams by ar	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correction order of magn	f 360 cric anomaly. on process, iitude.
This document prese computer program used for KEPLER employs a secon and it is faster than previous 17. Key Words (Selected by Author(s)) Kepler's Equation KEPLER (DODS)	ents a detailed descrip for the solution of Kep ad-order Newton-Raph busly developed progr	tion of K oler's equa hson diffe ams by ar istribution St Jnclassifie	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correction order of magn atement	f 360 tric anomaly. on process, iitude.
This document prese computer program used for KEPLER employs a secon and it is faster than previous 17. Key Words (Selected by Author(s)) Kepler's Equation KEPLER (DODS) KEPLR1(DODS)	ents a detailed descrip for the solution of Kep ad-order Newton-Raph busly developed progr 18. pi 	tion of K oler's equa hson diffe ams by ar istribution St Jnclassifie	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correction order of magn order of magn	f 360 tric anomaly. on process, iitude.
This document prese computer program used for KEPLER employs a secon and it is faster than previous 17. Key Words (Selected by Author(s)) Kepler's Equation KEPLER (DODS) KEPLR1(DODS)	ents a detailed descrip for the solution of Kep ad-order Newton-Raph busly developed progr 18. Di U	tion of K oler's equa hson diffe ams by ar istribution St Jnclassifie	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correction order of magn determent	f 360 cric anomaly. on process, iitude.
This document prese computer program used for KEPLER employs a secon and it is faster than previous 17. Key Words (Selected by Author(s)) Kepler's Equation KEPLER (DODS) KEPLR1(DODS)	ents a detailed descrip for the solution of Kep id-order Newton-Rapiously developed progr [18. Di [18. Di [20. Security Classif. (of th	tion of K oler's equa hson diffe ams by ar istribution St Jnclassifie is page)	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correction order of magn atement cd-Unlimited	f 360 cric anomaly. on process, litude. 22. Price
This document prese computer program used for KEPLER employs a secon and it is faster than previous 17. Key Words (Selected by Author(s)) Kepler's Equation KEPLER (DODS) KEPLR1(DODS) 19. Security Classif. (of this report)	ents a detailed descrip for the solution of Kep id-order Newton-Rapiously developed progr [18. Di [20. Security Classif. (of the	tion of K oler's equa hson diffe ams by ar istribution St Jnclassifie is page)	EPLER, an IBM ation for eccent crential correction order of magn atement cd-Unlimited	1 360 cric anomaly. on process, iitude. 22. Price \$2.00

*For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151

CONTENTS

Abstract i 1.0 INTRODUCTION 1 1.1 General Description of KEPLER 1 1.2 KEPLER and KEPLR1 1 1.3 Outline of Remainder of This Document 2 2.0 A COMPARISON OF KEPLER AND KEPLR1 2 2.1 Accuracy of KEPLER 2 2.2 Execution Times 2 2.3 Core Requirements 3 2.4 Reentrancy of KEPLER 3 2.5 Optimal Execution Times for KEPLER 3 3.0 KEPLER-MODULE PERFORMANCE AND DESIGN DESCRIPTION 3 3.1 Language 3 3.2 Module Size 3 3.3 Purpose of KEPLER 3 3.4 Linkage Information 4 3.5 Functional Analysis 4 3.6 Restrictions and Limitations 8 3.7 Storage Tables External to KEPLER 8 3.8 Input/Output Device Requirements 8 3.9 Error Conditions and Recovery 9 3.10 Module Design Techninque <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>Pa</th><th>ge</th></td<>			Pa	ge
1.0 INTRODUCTION 1 1.1 General Description of KEPLER 1 1.2 KEPLER and KEPLR1 1 1.3 Outline of Remainder of This Document. 2 2.0 A COMPARISON OF KEPLER AND KEPLR1 2 2.1 Accuracy of KEPLER 2 2.1 Accuracy of KEPLER 2 2.3 Core Requirements 2 2.4 Reentrancy of KEPLER 3 2.5 Optimal Execution Times for KEPLER 3 3.0 KEPLER-MODULE PERFORMANCE AND DESIGN DESCRIPTION 3 3.1 Language 3 3.2 Module Size 3 3.3 Purpose of KEPLER 4 3.4 Linkage Information 4 3.5 Functional Analysis 4 3.6 Restrictions and Limitations 8 3.7 Storage Tables External to KEPLER 8 3.8 Input/Output Device Requirements 8 3.9 Error Conditions and Recovery 9 3.10 Module Design Technique 9 3.11	Abs	stract		i
1.1General Description of KEPLER11.2KEPLER and KEPLR111.3Outline of Remainder of This Document.22.0A COMPARISON OF KEPLER AND KEPLR122.1Accuracy of KEPLER22.2Execution Times22.3Core Requirements32.4Reentrancy of KEPLER32.5Optimal Execution Times for KEPLER33.0KEPLER-MODULE PERFORMANCE AND DESIGN DESCRIPTION33.1Language33.2Module Size33.3Purpose of KEPLER43.4Linkage Information43.5Functional Analysis43.6Restrictions and Limitations83.7Storage Tables External to KEPLER83.8Input/Output Device Requirements93.10Module Design Technique93.11Test Procedures and Results94.0SECOND-ORDER NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD95.0CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS115.1Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance115.4Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine11	1.0	INTRODUCTION		1
1.2 KEPLER and KEPLR1 1 1.3 Outline of Remainder of This Document. 2 2.0 A COMPARISON OF KEPLER AND KEPLR1 2 2.1 Accuracy of KEPLER 2 2.2 Execution Times 2 2.3 Core Requirements 3 2.4 Reentrancy of KEPLER 3 2.5 Optimal Execution Times for KEPLER 3 3.0 KEPLER-MODULE PERFORMANCE AND DESIGN DESCRIPTION 3 3.1 Language 3 3.2 Module Size 3 3.3 Purpose of KEPLER 4 3.4 Linkage Information 4 3.5 Functional Analysis 4 3.6 Restrictions and Limitations 8 3.7 Storage Tables External to KEPLER 8 3.8 Input/Output Device Requirements 9 3.10 Module Design Technique 9 3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 4.0 SECOND-ORDER NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD 9 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 <td< td=""><td></td><td>1.1 General Description of KEPLER</td><td></td><td>1</td></td<>		1.1 General Description of KEPLER		1
1.3 Outline of Remainder of This Document. 2 2.0 A COMPARISON OF KEPLER AND KEPLR1 2 2.1 Accuracy of KEPLER 2 2.2 Execution Times 2 2.3 Core Requirements 3 2.4 Reentrancy of KEPLER 3 2.5 Optimal Execution Times for KEPLER 3 3.0 KEPLER-MODULE PERFORMANCE AND DESIGN DESCRIPTION 3 3.1 Language 3 3.2 Module Size 3 3.3 Purpose of KEPLER 4 3.4 Linkage Information 4 3.5 Functional Analysis 4 3.6 Restrictions and Limitations 8 3.7 Storage Tables External to KEPLER 8 3.8 Input/Output Device Requirements 8 3.9 Error Conditions and Recovery 9 3.10 Module Design Technique 9 3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 4.0 SECOND-ORDER NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD 9 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance 11 5.2 Effect of DPSC on DODS 11 5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine 11		1.2 KEPLER and KEPLR1		1
2.0 A COMPARISON OF KEPLER AND KEPLR1 2 2.1 Accuracy of KEPLER 2 2.2 Execution Times 2 2.3 Core Requirements 3 2.4 Reentrancy of KEPLER 3 2.5 Optimal Execution Times for KEPLER 3 3.0 KEPLER-MODULE PERFORMANCE AND DESIGN DESCRIPTION 3 3.1 Language 3 3.2 Module Size 3 3.3 Purpose of KEPLER 4 3.4 Linkage Information 4 3.5 Functional Analysis 4 3.6 Restrictions and Limitations 8 3.7 Storage Tables External to KEPLER 8 3.8 Input/Output Device Requirements 8 3.9 Error Conditions and Recovery 9 3.10 Module Design Technique 9 3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 4.0 SECOND-ORDER NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD 9 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance 11 <tr< td=""><td></td><td>1.3 Outline of Remainder of This Document</td><td></td><td>2</td></tr<>		1.3 Outline of Remainder of This Document		2
2.1 Accuracy of KEPLER 2 2.2 Execution Times 2 2.3 Core Requirements 3 2.4 Reentrancy of KEPLER 3 2.5 Optimal Execution Times for KEPLER 3 3.0 KEPLER-MODULE PERFORMANCE AND DESIGN DESCRIPTION 3 3.1 Language 3 3.2 Module Size 3 3.3 Purpose of KEPLER 3 3.4 Linkage Information 4 3.5 Functional Analysis 4 3.6 Restrictions and Limitations 8 3.7 Storage Tables External to KEPLER 8 3.8 Input/Output Device Requirements 8 3.9 Error Conditions and Recovery 9 3.10 Module Design Technique 9 3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 4.0 SECOND-ORDER NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD 9 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance 11 5.2 Effect of DPSC Module on KEPLER 11	2.0	A COMPARISON OF KEPLER AND KEPLR1		2
2.2 Execution Times 2 2.3 Core Requirements 3 2.4 Reentrancy of KEPLER 3 2.5 Optimal Execution Times for KEPLER 3 3.0 KEPLER-MODULE PERFORMANCE AND DESIGN DESCRIPTION 3 3.1 Language 3 3.2 Module Size 3 3.3 Purpose of KEPLER 3 3.4 Linkage Information 4 3.5 Functional Analysis 4 3.6 Restrictions and Limitations 8 3.7 Storage Tables External to KEPLER 8 3.8 Input/Output Device Requirements 9 3.10 Module Design Technique 9 3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance 11 5.2 Effect of the DPSC Module on KEPLER 11 5.3 Effect of DODS 11		2.1 Accuracy of KEPLER		2
2.3 Core Requirements 3 2.4 Reentrancy of KEPLER 3 2.5 Optimal Execution Times for KEPLER 3 3.0 KEPLER-MODULE PERFORMANCE AND DESIGN DESCRIPTION 3 3.1 Language 3 3.2 Module Size 3 3.3 Purpose of KEPLER 3 3.4 Linkage Information 4 3.5 Functional Analysis 4 3.6 Restrictions and Limitations 8 3.7 Storage Tables External to KEPLER 8 3.8 Input/Output Device Requirements 8 3.9 Error Conditions and Recovery 9 3.10 Module Design Technique 9 3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 4.0 SECOND-ORDER NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD 9 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance 11 5.2 Effect of DPSC on DODS 11 5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine 11 <td></td> <td>2.2 Execution Times</td> <td></td> <td>2</td>		2.2 Execution Times		2
2.4 Reentrancy of KEPLER 3 2.5 Optimal Execution Times for KEPLER 3 3.0 KEPLER-MODULE PERFORMANCE AND DESIGN DESCRIPTION 3 3.1 Language 3 3.2 Module Size 3 3.3 Purpose of KEPLER 3 3.4 Linkage Information 4 3.5 Functional Analysis 4 3.6 Restrictions and Limitations 8 3.7 Storage Tables External to KEPLER 8 3.8 Input/Output Device Requirements 8 3.9 Error Conditions and Recovery 9 3.10 Module Design Technique 9 3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 4.0 SECOND-ORDER NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD 9 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance 11 5.2 Effect of DPSC on DODS 11 5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine 11		2.3 Core Requirements		3
2.5 Optimal Execution Times for KEPLER 3 3.0 KEPLER-MODULE PERFORMANCE AND DESIGN DESCRIPTION 3 3.1 Language 3 3.2 Module Size 3 3.3 Purpose of KEPLER 3 3.4 Linkage Information 4 3.5 Functional Analysis 4 3.6 Restrictions and Limitations 8 3.7 Storage Tables External to KEPLER 8 3.8 Input/Output Device Requirements 8 3.9 Error Conditions and Recovery 9 3.10 Module Design Technique 9 3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance 11 5.2 Effect of the DPSC Module on KEPLER 11 5.3 Effect of DPSC on DODS 11 5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine 11		2.4 Reentrancy of KEPLER		3
3.0 KEPLER-MODULE PERFORMANCE AND DESIGN DESCRIPTION 3 3.1 Language 3 3.2 Module Size 3 3.3 Purpose of KEPLER 3 3.4 Linkage Information 4 3.5 Functional Analysis 4 3.6 Restrictions and Limitations 4 3.7 Storage Tables External to KEPLER 8 3.8 Input/Output Device Requirements 8 3.9 Error Conditions and Recovery 9 3.10 Module Design Technique 9 3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance 11 5.2 Effect of the DPSC Module on KEPLER 11 5.3 Effect of DPSC on DODS 11 5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine 11		2.5 Optimal Execution Times for KEPLER		3
3.1 Language	3.0	KEPLER-MODULE PERFORMANCE AND DESIGN DESCRIPTION		3
3.2 Module Size. 3 3.3 Purpose of KEPLER 4 3.4 Linkage Information 4 3.5 Functional Analysis 4 3.6 Restrictions and Limitations 4 3.6 Restrictions and Limitations 8 3.7 Storage Tables External to KEPLER 8 3.8 Input/Output Device Requirements 8 3.9 Error Conditions and Recovery 9 3.10 Module Design Technique 9 3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 4.0 SECOND-ORDER NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD 9 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance 11 5.2 Effect of the DPSC Module on KEPLER 11 5.3 Effect of DPSC on DODS 11 5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine 11		3.1 Language		3
3.3 Purpose of KEPLER 4 3.4 Linkage Information 4 3.5 Functional Analysis 4 3.6 Restrictions and Limitations 4 3.6 Restrictions and Limitations 8 3.7 Storage Tables External to KEPLER 8 3.8 Input/Output Device Requirements 8 3.9 Error Conditions and Recovery 9 3.10 Module Design Technique 9 3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 4.0 SECOND-ORDER NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD 9 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance 11 5.2 Effect of the DPSC Module on KEPLER 11 5.3 Effect of DPSC on DODS 11 5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine 11		3.2 Module Size		3
3.4 Linkage Information 4 3.5 Functional Analysis 4 3.6 Restrictions and Limitations 4 3.6 Restrictions and Limitations 8 3.7 Storage Tables External to KEPLER 8 3.8 Input/Output Device Requirements 8 3.9 Error Conditions and Recovery 9 3.10 Module Design Technique 9 3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 4.0 SECOND-ORDER NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD 9 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance 11 5.2 Effect of the DPSC Module on KEPLER 11 5.3 Effect of DPSC on DODS 11 5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine 11		3.3 Purpose of KEPLER		4
3.5 Functional Analysis 4 3.6 Restrictions and Limitations 8 3.7 Storage Tables External to KEPLER 8 3.8 Input/Output Device Requirements 8 3.9 Error Conditions and Recovery 9 3.10 Module Design Technique 9 3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 4.0 SECOND-ORDER NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD 9 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance 11 5.2 Effect of the DPSC Module on KEPLER 11 5.3 Effect of DPSC on DODS 11 5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine 11		3.4 Linkage Information		4
3.6 Restrictions and Limitations 8 3.7 Storage Tables External to KEPLER 8 3.8 Input/Output Device Requirements. 8 3.9 Error Conditions and Recovery 9 3.10 Module Design Technique 9 3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 4.0 SECOND-ORDER NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD 9 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance 11 5.2 Effect of the DPSC Module on KEPLER 11 5.3 Effect of DPSC on DODS 11 5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine 11		3.5 Functional Analysis		4
3.7 Storage Tables External to KEPLER 8 3.8 Input/Output Device Requirements 8 3.9 Error Conditions and Recovery 9 3.10 Module Design Technique 9 3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 4.0 SECOND-ORDER NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD 9 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance 11 5.2 Effect of the DPSC Module on KEPLER 11 5.3 Effect of DPSC on DODS 11 5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine 11		3.6 Restrictions and Limitations		8
3.8 Input/Output Device Requirements. 8 3.9 Error Conditions and Recovery 9 3.10 Module Design Technique 9 3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 4.0 SECOND-ORDER NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD 9 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance 11 5.2 Effect of the DPSC Module on KEPLER 11 5.3 Effect of DPSC on DODS 11 5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine 11		3.7 Storage Tables External to KEPLER		8
3.9 Error Conditions and Recovery 9 3.10 Module Design Technique 9 3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 4.0 SECOND-ORDER NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD 9 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance 11 5.2 Effect of the DPSC Module on KEPLER 11 5.3 Effect of DPSC on DODS 11 5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine 11		3.8 Input/Output Device Requirements.		8
3.10 Module Design Technique 9 3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 4.0 SECOND-ORDER NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD 9 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance 11 5.2 Effect of the DPSC Module on KEPLER 11 5.3 Effect of DPSC on DODS 11 5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine 11		3.9 Error Conditions and Recovery		9
3.11 Test Procedures and Results 9 4.0 SECOND-ORDER NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD 9 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance 11 5.2 Effect of the DPSC Module on KEPLER 11 5.3 Effect of DPSC on DODS 11 5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine 11		3.10 Module Design Technique		9
4.0 SECOND-ORDER NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD 9 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance 11 5.2 Effect of the DPSC Module on KEPLER 11 5.3 Effect of DPSC on DODS 11 5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine 11		3.11 Test Procedures and Results	•••	9
5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance 11 5.2 Effect of the DPSC Module on KEPLER 11 5.3 Effect of DPSC on DODS 11 5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine 11	4.0	SECOND-ORDER NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD		9
5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance 11 5.2 Effect of the DPSC Module on KEPLER 11 5.3 Effect of DPSC on DODS 11 5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine 11	5.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	1	1
5.2 Effect of the DPSC Module on KEPLER115.3 Effect of DPSC on DODS115.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine11		5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance	1	1
5.3 Effect of DPSC on DODS 11 5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine 11		5.2 Effect of the DPSC Module on KEPLER	1	1
5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine		5.3 Effect of DPSC on DODS	1	1
		5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine	1	1

Page

5.5	Recommended Use of ALC Entry Points in DPSC
5.6	Expansion of the DPSC Module
5.7	Element Conversion Module
ACKNO	WLEDGMENT
Referenc	e
Appendi	x A–Definitive Orbit Determination System–Model 1 (Module Performance and Design) 15
Appendi	x B-Test 1 and Results
Appendix	x C-Test 2 and Results
Appendix	x D-KEPLR1/KEPLER Source Code

.

OPTIMIZED SOLUTION OF KEPLER'S EQUATION

by

John M. Kohout and Lamar Layton Goddard Space Flight Center

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 General Description of KEPLER

KEPLER is an IBM 360 computer program used to solve Kepler's equation for eccentric anomaly:

 $E = M + e \sin E \; .$

The double precision input to the program consists of mean anomaly M (in radians) and eccentricity of the orbit e. The double precision output from program consists of eccentric anomaly E (in radians), sin E, and cos E.

1.2 KEPLER and KEPLR1

KEPLER has been developed by the authors as a replacement for KEPLR1, a similar program contained in the definitive orbit determination system (DODS) used at Goddard Space Flight Center to determine orbits for NASA's scientific satellites. KEPLR1 was not a hastily coded and formulated program designed for early replacement as soon as DODS became operational. On the contrary, KEPLR1 was developed after a rather extensive research effort that recommended a particular algorithm, the Myles Standish algorithm.* This algorithm was then implemented by Federal Systems Division of IBM under contract to GSFC (see Appendix A). KEPLR1 has been in productive use since May 1968.

KEPLER was developed as a part of an effort to optimize the execution times of frequently called subprograms in DODS. The initial thought was simply to recode KEPLR1 in assembly language coding (ALC), since, like most other programs in DODS, KEPLR1 was coded in FORTRAN, and previous experience with other programs in DODS led the authors to anticipate a 20 to 30 percent speed improvement from a FORTRAN-to-ALC recoding. However, a little analysis of the formulation used in KEPLR1 led the authors to believe that a new analytic approach to the age-old problem was

^{*}Cole, Isabella, and Borchers, Raymond V., "A Comparison of Some Iterative Techniques for the Solution of Kepler's Equation", NASA/GSFC Document X-552-67-421, September 1967.

in order. As a result, they engaged in a research effort of their own* and developed a completely new computer program, KEPLER.

KEPLER not only solves Kepler's equation for eccentric anomaly but also outputs accurate values for the sine and cosine of the eccentric anomaly. This is important since in almost every case for which DODS calls on KEPLR1 to solve Kepler's equation for E, it then uses E as the input argument to the DSIN(X) and DCOS(X) functions. These function calls are unnecessary when KEPLER is used since sin E and cos E are part of its output.

1.3 Outline of Remainder of This Document

Section 2 of this document is a description of the relative performance of KEPLER versus that of KEPLR1. Section 3 is a detailed design and performance description of the newly developed program, KEPLER. Section 4 presents the mathematical derivation of the principal formulas used in KEPLER, namely the second-order Newton-Raphson differential correction of eccentric anomaly. Section 5 summarizes the significance of the use of KEPLER and its called module in DODS, DPSC (double precision sine/cosine), and recommends the development of related programs.

Appendix A is a module performance and design description of KEPLR1 prepared for GSFC by IBM. Appendixes B and C list the test programs used to compare KEPLER with KEPLR1. Appendix D lists KEPLR1 (in FORTRAN) and KEPLER and its called program, DPSC (in ALC).

2.0 A COMPARISON OF KEPLER AND KEPLR1

This section deals with accuracy, speed, core storage requirement, and reentrant properties of the KEPLER program. The DODS module KEPLR1 is used as a benchmark for comparison.

2.1 Accuracy of KEPLER

Appendix B lists a test program, TEST 1, which is used to exercise both KEPLR1 and KEPLER over a full range of the input arguments, M and e. The maximum error produced by each program over full ranges of the arguments is obtained by substitution of the solution for eccentric anomaly back into Kepler's equation:

KEPLR1 error = $|E - (M + e \sin E)| = 0.50 \times 10^{-15}$ KEPLER error = $|E - (M + e \sin E)| = 0.44 \times 10^{-15}$.

2.2 Execution Times

Appendix C lists a test program, TEST 2, which times the execution of KEPLER and KEPLR1 on GSFC's IBM 360/95 computer. Full ranges of *e* and *M* are used in this test. The average execution

I.

^{*}Kohout, J., and Layton, L., "GSFC Optimized Solution of Kepler's Equation", NASA/GSFC Document X-541-71-229, May 1971.

times reported are based on 400 000 test cases:

Average KEPLR1 execution time = $314 \ \mu s^*$

Average KEPLER execution time = $74 \ \mu s$.

2.3 Core Requirements

KEPLR 1 requires 820 bytes of core for itself and 620 bytes of core for its called module, IHCLSCN, the standard, release 19 FORTRAN library (FORTLIB) double precision sine/cosine routine. KEPLER requires 440 bytes of core for itself and 2360 bytes of core for its called module, DPSC.

2.4 Reentrancy of KEPLER

Both KEPLER and its called module, DPSC, are reentrant and, therefore, are candidates for the high-speed system link pack area. Neither KEPLR1 nor its called module are reentrant. Therefore, they may not be stored in the high-speed system link pack area.

2.5 Optimal Execution Times for KEPLER

The favorable ratio of execution times reported in Section 2.2 (KEPLR1/KEPLER = 314/74 = 4.24) will be enhanced by factors of 2, 3, and 4, on the IBM 360/95, 360/75, and 360/65, respectively, when KEPLER and DPSC are located in the high-speed system link pack area and KEPLR1 and its called module are located in low-speed core. Under these optimal conditions, the execution time ratios would be 8.48, 12.72, and 16.96, respectively.

Furthermore, when KEPLER and DPSC are located in the system link pack area, several concurrent jobs could be calling on KEPLER, and only one copy of KEPLER would be in core. (The core storage requirement of KEPLER would be charged to system overhead and not to a particular job.)

3.0 KEPLER-MODULE PERFORMANCE AND DESIGN DESCRIPTION

3.1 Language

KEPLER is written in ALC in order to reduce both execution time and core storage. The core storage savings are incidental; the main reason for the use of ALC is to produce a faster executing program. It is estimated that the use of ALC for KEPLER is responsible for about one-third of the improvement in execution time when that program is used in place of KEPLR1.

3.2 Module Size

KEPLER requires 440 bytes of core storage.

^{*}This figure includes the time required to calculate sin E and cos E. Without these calculations, the average execution time for KEPLR1 is 266 μ s.

3.3 Purpose of KEPLER

KEPLER solves Kepler's equation for eccentric anomaly when mean anomaly and eccentricity are known. The sine and cosine of eccentric anomaly are output by this module.

3.4 Linkage Information

3.4.1 Calling Sequence

KEPLER is invoked via the following call statement:

CALL KEPLER(MA, ECC, IERR, OUT),

where

MA (input)	= M, mean anomaly (in radians);		
ECC (input)	= e, eccentricity;		
IERR (output)	= error code		
	= 0, if no error		
	= 1, if e is negative or greater than 0.99;		
OUT (output)	= 3 word matrix		
OUT (1)	= E, eccentric anomaly		
OUT(2)	$= \sin E$		
OUT(3)	$= \cos E.$		

The qualities M, e, E, sin E, and cos E are double precision floating point numbers. The error code, IERR, is a full word integer.

3.4.2 Called Modules

KEPLER calls on the reentrant DPSC module. It uses the ALC entry point, SINCOS, which inputs x in FR0 and outputs sin x in FR0 and cos x in FR2. (Note: FR0 is floating point register 0 and FR2 is floating point register 2.)

3.4.3 Calling Modules

The following modules in DODS call on KEPLER:

NEGEN0,	DCCON0,
EPTRB0,	CNVRT0,
ELCON0,	UNCAL0.

.

3.5 Functional Analysis

3.5.1 Module Component 1, Main Program

3.5.1.1 Method

Kepler's equation, $E = M + e \sin E$, is solved for E by use of a second-order Newton-Raphson iterative algorithm. The derivation of this algorithm is discussed in Section 4 of this document. The iterative algorithm is enhanced by four features of KEPLER:

(1) The mean anomaly M is reduced to a value between $-\pi$ and $+\pi$, and the resultant sign is saved. Then, the absolute value of M is used to solve Kepler's equation. After a solution is obtained, E is set equal to $2\pi - E$ if the reduced value of M is negative.

(2) A highly efficient initial estimate algorithm is used to generate E', a starting value for the iterative process. This algorithm is discussed in Section 3.5.2.

(3) The SINCOS entry in the DPSC module is used to calculate simultaneously $\sin E'$ and $\cos E'$.

(4) Sum formulas are used to calculate $\sin (E' + C)$ and $\cos (E' + C)$ whenever C becomes small enough that first- or second-order approximations of $\sin C$ and $\cos C$ are tolerable.

3.5.1.2 Main Program Algorithm

Step 1:	Error exit if e is negative or greater than 0.99.	
Step 2:	Reduce M modulo 2π to range $[-\pi, +\pi]$. Save sign of M and set $M = M $.	
Step 3:	E' = ESTIMATE(M, e).	(See module component 2.)
Step 4:	Calculate sin E' and cos E' via SINCOS routine.	
Step 5:	$F = M + e \sin E' - E'.$	(linear correction)
Step 6:	$D=1-e\cos E'.$	(first-order derivative)
Step 7:	$D' = D + 0.5Fe \sin E'/D.$	(second-order derivative)
Step 8:	C = F/D'.	(second-order correction)
Step 9:	E'' = E' + C; store as E'	(enhanced E')
Step 10:	If $ C > 10^{-5}$, return to Step 4.	
Step 11:	If $ C < 10^{-8}$, skip to Step 13.	
Step 12:	$\sin E'' = \sin (E' + C) = (1 - 0.5C^2) \sin E' + C \cos E';$ $\cos E'' = \cos (E' + C) = (1 - 0.5C^2) \cos E' - C \sin E'.$ Replace sin E' with sin E'', replace cos E' with cos E'', and return to Step 5.	(second-order sums formulas)
Step 13:	$\sin E'' = \sin (E' + C) = \sin E' + C \cos E';$ $\cos E'' = \cos (E' + C) = \cos E' - C \sin E'.$	(first-order sums formulas)
Step 14:	If sign of reduced M is positive, skip to Step 16.	
Step 15:	Set $\sin E' = -\sin E'$ and $E' = 2\pi - E'$.	

- Step 16: Output E = E', sin $E = \sin E''$, and cos $E = \cos E''$, with E, sin E, and cos E accurate to 15 decimal places.
- Step 17: Return to calling program.
- 3.5.1.3 Explanation of Algorithm
- Step 1: If *e* is out of range, no output other than error code is generated.
- Step 2: The reduction of M to the range [-π, +π] and the saving of its sign have several advantages: (1) it increases the precision of the calculation of the linear correction in Step 5 since M and E' will not exceed π; (2) it simplifies the estimation function (Step 3) since M is constrained to the range [0, π]; (3) it provides a convenient test for E being output in the range [0, 2π] (Steps 14-15).
- Step 3: The estimation function is treated in detail in Section 3.5.2. The purpose of this function is to provide a sufficiently accurate initial estimate of eccentric anomaly to ensure (1) that the differential correction process defined in Steps 4-9 converges and (2) that this convergence takes place in a minimum number of iterations.
- Steps 4-9: This sequence of steps constitutes one second-order Newton-Raphson iteration. This algorithm is considerably more accurate than a first-order differential correction and its use has two basic advantages: (1) it converges in fewer iterations than the first-order correction and (2) the convergence tolerance ϵ used to terminate the second-order differential correction process may be larger than that for the first-order correction because the correction is more accurate. (Other programs use a convergence tolerance of 5×10^{-12} , but KEPLER is able to maintain accuracy with a convergence tolerance of 10^{-8} .)
- Step 10: If the absolute value of the correction C, is greater than 10^{-5} , Steps 4-8 are repeated. That is, the lengthy SINCOS routine is reexecuted in Step 4 to provide accurate values for sin (E' + C) and cos (E' + C).
- Step 11: If the absolute value of C is less than 10^{-8} , sufficient convergence is obtained to guarantee that E is accurate to 15 significant digits. Step 12 is skipped when this condition is met.
- Step 12: When the absolute value of C is between 10^{-5} and 10^{-8} , the algorithm iterates, but the lengthy sine/cosine calculation (Step 4) is replaced by the second-order sum formulas in Step 12. The largest truncated term in these sum formulas is $C^3/3!$. This means that when $C < 10^{-5}$, the sum formulas have a relative accuracy of 0.167×10^{-15} , which is slightly more accurate than the original calculation of sin E' and cos E' in Step 4.
- Step 13: When convergence takes place $(C < 10^{-8})$, sin E' and cos E' are updated by the first-order sum formulas in Step 13. The largest truncated term in the first-order sum formula is $C^2/2!$. This means that when $C < 10^{-8}$, the sum formulas have a relative accuracy of 0.5×10^{-16} , which again is more accurate than the original calculation of sin E' and cos E'.

- Step 14: If *M* is positive after being reduced to the range $[-\pi, +\pi]$, Step 15 is skipped $(\sin E > 0, E < \pi)$.
- Step 15: If $0 > M > -\pi$, sin E' is set negative, and E' is set equal to $2\pi E'$.
- Step 16: The quantities E, sin E, and cos E are sequentially output in a 3 word matrix.
- Step 17: The program is concluded.
- 3.5.2 Module Component 2, Initial Estimate

3.5.2.1 Method

As stated in the preceding section, the main purpose of the initial estimate algorithm is to provide a starting value for the differential correction process defined in Steps 4-9 of the main program. There is an obvious tradeoff between time and accuracy in this initial estimate algorithm. As the initial estimate is made more accurate, the number of times Steps 4-9 of the main program must be executed is reduced. There are, however, constraints on this tradeoff.

When E' is as accurate as one part in 10^5 , the time-consuming sine/cosine calculation step in the main program will be executed only once. Therefore, it is desirable to generate E' to this degree of accuracy for most combinations of the input parameters e and M. However, it would be uneconomical to spend too much time trying to achieve a greater overall accuracy since, regardless of the accuracy achieved, the full sine/cosine calculation must be executed at least once in order to further refine E' and to generate the sin E and cos E output.

The algorithm used by KEPLER for the initial estimate was selected only after a large number of alternative algorithms were tested and proven to be less efficient.* The name abbreviated Newton-Raphson is given to this algorithm because it represents a first-order Newton-Raphson correction in truncated precision. It possesses two desirable properties: (1) it is executed in a minimal amount of time (37 μ s on the IBM 360/75) and (2) for most combinations of *M* and *e*, it achieves the desired accuracy of one part in 10⁵.

3.5.2.2 Initial Estimate Algorithm (Abbreviated Newton-Raphson)

The abbreviated Newton-Raphson algorithm consists of two steps.

Step A:
$$\overline{E} = M + ez$$
, $0 < M < \pi$,

where z is a linear estimate of sin M:

$$z = 0.75 M, M \le \pi/2;$$

 $z = 0.75 (\pi - M), M > \pi/2.$

Step B:

$$E' = \overline{E} + \frac{M + e \sin \overline{E} - \overline{E}}{1 - e \cos \overline{E}}$$

.

^{*}Kohout, J., and Layton, L., "GSFC Optimized Solution of Kepler's Equation", NASA/GSFC Document X-541-71-229, May 1971.

where $\sin \overline{E}$ and $\cos \overline{E}$ are calculated from the first two terms of the Maclaurin expansions:

$$\sin x = x - \frac{x^3}{3!}$$
$$\cos x = 1 - \frac{x^2}{2!}$$

Step B involves several substeps:

- (1) Set $x = \overline{E}$ and S = 1 (S = SWITCH).
- (2) If $x > \pi/2$, set $x = \pi x$ and S = 2.
- (3) If $x > \pi/4$, set $x = \pi/2 x$ and S = -S.
- (4) Calculate $\sin \overline{E} = x x^3/6$ and $\cos \overline{E} = 1 x^2/2$.
- (5) If S is negative, exchange $\sin \overline{E}$ and $\cos \overline{E}$ and set S = -S.
- (6) If S = 2, set $\cos \overline{E} = -\cos \overline{E}$.

(7)
$$E' = \overline{E} + \frac{M + e \sin \overline{E} - E}{1 - e \cos \overline{E}}$$

3.5.2.3 Relation of Component 2 to Main Program

The initial estimate function (component 2) is linearly coded as Step 3 of the main program (component 1). Component 2 does not have a separate entry point in KEPLER.

3.5.3 Flowcharts

No flowcharts are provided for the main program or the initial estimation function since KEPLER's source code and source code comments directly conform to the logic outlined in Sections 3.5.1.2 and 3.5.2.2.

3.6 Restrictions and Limitations

If the input value of e is negative or greater than 0.99, no output other than error code is generated.

3.7 Storage Tables External to KEPLER

None.

3.8 Input/Output Device Requirements

None.

3.9 Error Conditions and Recovery

The error code, IERR, is examined after execution. If IERR = 1, the input value of e is out of range, and hence no other output can be expected.

3.10 Module Design Technique

KEPLER is reentrant and, therefore, may be loaded in the system link pack area. KEPLER is optimized for fast execution; it is several times faster than existing modules.

3.11 Test Procedures and Results

The speed and accuracy of KEPLER have been verified by the test programs given in Appendixes B and C. The results of these tests are summarized in Sections 2.1 and 2.2.

4.0 SECOND-ORDER NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD

Deutsch applies the Newton-Raphson method to the problem of solving Kepler's equation (Reference 1, pp. 24–25). He extends the procedure to include second-order effects, but the final equation in the development includes an error in sign, as will be noted later.

If

 $f'(E) = 1 - e \cos E$.

 $f(E) = E - M - e \sin E ,$

Let

then,

$$\Delta E = E_1 - E_0 \; .$$

Then,

$$\Delta E = \frac{-(E_0 - M - e \sin E_0)}{1 - e \cos E_0} + O[(\Delta E)^2] .$$

To obtain an expression valid to terms of order $(\Delta E)^2$, Deutsch proceeds as follows:

$$M = (E_0 + \Delta E) - e \sin (E_0 + \Delta E)$$
$$= E_0 + \Delta E - e(\sin E_0 \cos \Delta E + \sin \Delta E \cos E_0)$$
$$= E_0 + \Delta E - e\left\{\sin E_0 \left[1 - \frac{(\Delta E)^2}{2}\right] + \Delta E \cos E_0\right\}$$

then,

$$\frac{e\sin E_0}{2} (\Delta E)^2 + (1 - e\cos E_0)\Delta E + (E_0 - M - e\sin E_0) = 0.$$

Let

$$x = \frac{1}{\Delta E} ,$$

$$A = E_0 - M - e \sin E_0 ,$$

$$B = 1 - e \cos E_0 ,$$

$$C = \frac{e \sin E_0}{2} .$$

We have then

Hence,

$$x = \frac{-B \pm \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}}{2A}.$$
$$\Delta E = \frac{2A}{-B \pm \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}}.$$

 $Ax^2 + Bx + C = 0$

$$-B \pm \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}$$
$$\simeq \frac{2A}{-B \pm (B - 2AC/B)}.$$

Following Deutsch, we adopt the minus sign as the appropriate choice in the denominator;

,

$$\Delta E = \frac{E_0 - M - e \sin E_0}{-(1 - e \cos E_0) + (1/2)(E_0 - M - e \sin E_0)e \sin E_0(1 - e \cos E_0)^{-1}}$$

$$\Delta E = \frac{M - E_0 + e \sin E_0}{1 - e \cos E_0 + (1/2)(M - E_0 + e \sin E_0)e \sin E(1 - e \cos E_0)^{-1}},$$

$$\Delta E = \frac{M - E_0 + e \sin E_0}{1 - e[\cos E_0 - (1/2)(M - E_0 + e \sin E_0) \sin E_0(1 - e \cos E_0)^{-1}]}.$$

(The minus sign within the brackets in the denominator which precedes (1/2) is incorrectly given as a plus sign in Reference 1.)

In general, for functions f(E) for which the relevant derivatives exist, we obtain from a Taylor's series expansion:

$$\Delta E = \frac{f(E_0)}{-f'(E_0) + f(E_0)f''(E_0)/2f'(E_0)} ,$$

٠

where terms through $(\Delta E)^2$ have been included.

5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Effect of KEPLER on DODS Performance

The use of KEPLER in DODS results in a relatively insignificant enhancement of that system because, prior to the use of KEPLER, DODS was spending less than three percent of its time solving Kepler's equation. Therefore, even if KEPLER were one hundred times faster than KEPLR1, the time saving would not be highly significant in DODS operation.

5.2 Effect of the DPSC Module on KEPLER

Of more significance to DODS is the concurrent development of DPSC, with ALC entry points SINCOS, DSINX, and DCOSX and FORTRAN entry points, DPSC, DSIN, and DCOS. KEPLER uses only the SINCOS entry point. The use of this efficient subroutine to simultaneously calculate $\sin E'$ and $\cos E'$ accounts for about one-third of KEPLER's enhancement of DODS. Use of ALC and the improved mathematical model account for the other two-thirds.

5.3 Effect of DPSC on DODS

The inclusion of the DSIN and DCOS entry points in the DPSC module will enhance DODS considerably more than the inclusion of KEPLER alone. Every sine and cosine calculation in DODS will be executed more efficiently since the DSIN and DCOS entry points in DPSC will override the DSIN and DCOS entry points in the FORTLIB module, IHCLSCN.

5.4 Recommended Use of Simultaneous Sine/Cosine Routine

The DODS formulation contains many situations in which the calculation of both the sine and cosine of a given angle is required. The simultaneous sine/cosine entry points (DPSC and SINCOS) in the DPSC module are now available to DODS programmers who are optimizing DODS modules (such as KEPLER) that call for the calculation of both sin (x) and cos (x). The FORTRAN subprogram call,

takes about one-half as much time to execute as the separate function calls to IHCLSCN:

$$SC(1) = DSIN(X)$$

 $SC(2) = DCOS(X)$

(When DSIN and DCOS entry points are in the DPSC module, the time enhancement is reduced from a factor of 2 to a factor of 1.5.)

5.5 Recommended Use of ALC Entry Points in DPSC

The ALC entry points in the DPSC module enable an ALC program to execute register-to-register sine/cosine functions that bypass the highly indirect FORTRAN convention of passing to the function program *the address of the address* of the argument in general register 1. Besides saving time (the ALC

functions are about seven percent faster), the ALC entry points make it possible for some calling programs to be written in reentrant code, without using the time-consuming GETMAIN macro. This is possible since the ALC functions do not require the storage of an argument list and use only the last eight bytes of the save area, which they can share with the calling program. KEPLER is a good example of a second-order reentrant program sharing its save area with the SINCOS routine.

5.6 Expansion of the DPSC Module

Because of the frequency of calls to mathematical functions in DODS (and other production programs run on GSFC computers), the authors are developing a series of reentrant modules to replace the most frequently called function subprogram modules in FORTLIB. The following function subprograms, called TRIGPACK, are all either completed or nearing completion:

DSQRT(X),	DTAN(X),	DATAN2(X, Y),
DSIN(X),	DCOT(X),	DASIN(X),
DCOS(X),	DATAN(X),	DACOS(X).

The single precision counterparts of these double precision function subprograms are also nearing completion.

Besides the standard FORTRAN entry points, these modules all contain corresponding ALC entry points (FORTRAN name with an X suffix-DTANX, for example). The ALC entry points assume that the argument is already in floating point register 0. (For the case of the double argument in the DATAN2X function, the arguments are assumed to be in floating point registers 0 and 2.) The ALC entry points will permit the development of a large number of reentrant second-order subroutines since only the last eight bytes of the save area are used and the storage of an argument list is not required as a prelude to the subroutines execution.

5.7 Element Conversion Module

In conjunction with the development of KEPLER and an optimized reentrant TRIGPACK (Section 5.6), the authors are recoding a DODS module called ELCON0, which contains two inverse subprograms: one for converting position and velocity vectors to osculating Keplerian elements, and the other for performing the reverse of this transformation. This third-order module, written in ALC, calls on KEPLER and the ALC entry points SINCOS, DSQRTX, DSINX, DATANX, DATAN2X, DACOSX, and DTANX in the TRIGPACK modules. It also calls on ALC entry points, VCROSSX, VDOTX, and XDOTX in a newly developed vector package. KEPLER and the SINCOS entry in DPSC are the heart of this newly optimized module.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Miss Anne Bomford provided invaluable computer programming support in the development of the programs presented in this document.

Goddard Space Flight Center National Aeronautics and Space Administration Greenbelt, Maryland, November 4, 1971 311-80-22-02-51

REFERENCE

1. Deutsch, Ralph, "Orbital Dynamics of Space Vehicles", Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1963.

÷

Appendix A*

Definitive Orbit Determination System–Model 1 (Module Performance and Design)

5. MODULE NAME: KEPLR1-SOLUTION OF KEPLER'S EQUATION FOR ECCENTRIC ANOMALY.

5.1 <u>LANGUAGE</u> FORTRAN IV

5.2 <u>MODULE SIZE</u>

The source deck of KEPLR1 consists of 18 executable FORTRAN statements and requires 820 bytes of core storage.

5.3 PURPOSE

KEPLR1 solves Kepler's equation for eccentric anomaly given mean anomaly and eccentricity by the Myles Standish algorithm.

- 5.4 INTERFACE INFORMATION
- 5.4.1 LINKAGE DEFINITION

Linkage to this module requires the following CALL statement: CALL KEPLR1 (MA, ECC, ERRC, E2). See Table 1 for the definition of the calling sequence arguments.

5.4.2 INTERFACE BLOCK DIAGRAM



^{*}Prepared by J. H. Seid, International Business Machines, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-10022, May 1968. The format employed in this appendix is defined in GSFC X-544-70-324: Documentation Standards for the Definitive Orbit Determination System-Performance and Design Descriptions by R. R. Hohl and Lamar Layton (August 1970).

Argument Name	Analytic Symbol	ī⁄o	Description	Units	Format*	Limits Min/Max	Dimensions
MA	M	I	Mean anomaly	Radians	\mathbf{LF}	-	1
ECC	е	Ι	Eccentricity	-	\mathbf{LF}	0 - 1	1
ERRC		0	Error code - LI =0, convergence <0, no convergence		-	1	
E2	Е	0	Eccentric anomaly	Radians	\mathbf{LF}	-	1

Table 1. Calling Sequence Arguments

*Format Key

- LF Long Form Floating Point
- LI Long Form Integer

5.4.3	INTERFACE BLOCK DIAGRAM NARRATIVE
	None
5.4.4	CALLED MODULES

None

- 5.4.5CALLING MODULES
ELCON0 Elements Conversion Package
DCCON0 DC Control
CNVRT0 CONVERT Control
UNCAL0 Unknown Calculation
CONEG0 CONVERT Normal Equations
NEGEN0 DC Normal Equations
EPTRB0 EPHEM Tape Record Builder5.5FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS
- 5.5.1 MODULE COMPONENT 1: Solve Kepler's equation
- 5.5.1.1 Method:

Given the mean anomaly, M, and the eccentricity, e, the algorithm for

computing the eccentric anomaly, E, will be:

- 1. Set error code = 0 Set limit of number of iterations, MAX = 10
- 2. Set E = 0If M = 0, go to Step 13 If $M \neq 0$, go to Step 3
- 3. E₀= M + e sin M Set number of iterations = 1
- 4. $F = E_0 (e \sin E_0) M$
- 5. $D = 1.0 [e \cos (E_0 0.5F)]$
- 6. $E = E_0 F/D$
- 7. If $|E_0 E| TOL \le 0$, go to Step 13; otherwise continue to Step 8.
- 8. Add 1 to number of iterations
- 9. If (number of iterations MAX) \leq 0, continue; otherwise go to Step 12
- 10. $E_0 = E$
- 11. Return to Step 4
- 12. Set error code = 4
- 13. Modulo E by 2 π
- 14. Return to calling program

The limit of iterations through Steps 4 to 11 is 10. Thus MAX = 10. If this number is exceeded, the error code is set to 4.

TOL is the tolerance at which the last significant digit of the difference between the previous calculated eccentric anomaly and the present calculated anomaly is allowed. TOL allows an error of $\pm 5 \times 10^{-15}$

5.5.1.2 Source and Type of Inputs:

The mean anomaly, M, and the eccentricity, e, will be obtained by this module from the calling sequence of the CALL statement which transfers control to this function. The format of M and e will be long form floating-point.

5.5.1.3 Destination and Type of Outputs:

Output of this module will be the eccentric anomaly, E, in long form floating-point format. Also the error code, ERRC, in long integer format will be returned to the calling sequence of the CALL statement which transfers control to this function.



1

- 5.5.1.5 Component Level Flowchart Description
 - 1A Values for mean anomaly, M, and eccentricity, e, are transferred to the module via the calling sequence. The eccentric anomaly, E, and the error code, ERRC, are returned via the calling sequence.
 - 1B The counter for the number of iterations is set to 1.
 - 1C Test to see if mean anomaly is equal to zero.
 - 1D Sets the eccentric anomaly, E, equal to zero when the mean anomaly, M, is equal to zero and returns to calling module.
 - 1E Computes the initial eccentric anomaly, E_0 .
 - 1F Computes the expression E_0 (e sin E_0) M using the initial computed value of E.
 - 1G Computes the expression 1.0 [e cos ($E_0 0.5F$)] using the initial computed value of E_0 and F computed in 1F.
 - 1H Computes a more accurate value for eccentric anomaly, $E = E_0 - F/D.$
 - 11 Test to see if eccentric anomaly has been determined. If the value of the expression $|E_0 E|$ TOL is equal to or less than zero, E has been determined. If E has been determined control is returned to the calling module.
 - 1J Increase number of iterations by 1 when eccentric anomaly has not been determined.
 - 1K Test to see if number of iterations is less than or equal to the maximum number of iterations allowed.
 - 1L If the number of iterations exceeds the maximum, set error code equal to 4. Return control to calling module.
 - 1M If the number of iterations meets the test, make the initial eccentric anomaly, E₀, equal to the computed eccentric anomaly, E, and return to 1F.
- 5.6 RESTRICTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

None

- 5.7 STORAGE TABLES EXTERNAL TO MODULE
 None
 5.8 INPUT/OUTPUT DEVICE REQUIREMENTS
- None

5.9	ERROR CONDITIONS AND RECOVERY			
	Check to see that number of iterations does not exceed the maximum.			
	If so, set error code, $ERRC = 4$.			
5.10	MODULE DESIGN TECHNIQUE			
5,10,1	MODULARITY REQUIREMENT			
	None			
5.10.2	EXPANDABILITY REQUIREMENT			
	None			
5.10.3	PARAMETERIZATION			
	The maximum number of iterations to determine the eccentric			
	anomaly was set equal to 10.			
5.10.4	SPECIAL FEATURES			
	None			
5.11	TESTING PROCEDURES AND RESULTS			
5.11.1	UNIT TEST DRIVER DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION			
	Test 1 - Input data-eccentricity and mean anomaly are read from data			
	cards, subroutine KEPLR1 is executed and the results are printed.			
	Test 2 - The eccentricity is varied from 0 to 1 by increments of .05 for			
	all values of eccentric anomaly from 0 to 360 degrees, incremented by			
	15 degrees in order to compute values of mean anomaly. Subroutine			
	KEPLR1 is called for all values of mean anomaly and corresponding			
	eccentricity, and the results are printed out.			

5.11.1.1 Unit Test Driver Flowchart

_







5.11.2 BENCHMARK TESTING None 5.11.3 TEST RESULTS AND ACCURACY This item is not covered at the module level; it is included in the system evaluation document.* 5.12 GLOSSARY A glossary of internal symbols associated with quantities having analytic significance is given in Table 2.

5.13 <u>REFERENCES</u> Memorandum from I. Cole to IBM; 1 August 1967

Table 2. Internal Symbols

Program Symbol	An al ytic Symbol	Description of Term	Units	Format	Limits Min/Max
E1	E ₀	Eccentricity anomaly Compare value	Radians	\mathbf{LF}	-
ITER	ITER	Number of iterations Completed	-	LI	-
MAX	MAX	Limit of number of iterations	-	LI	-
TOL	TOL	Tolerance for convergence	-	\mathbf{LF}	-

L

^{*}Working document in use at GSFC.



- . . .

Appendix B

Test 1 and Results

С TEST 1 С TEST PROGRAM TO TIME TWO KEPLER PROGRAMS č PROGRAMMER ANNE BOMFORD REAL#8 M,E,EA(3),DE 500 FORMAT('1',//,49X, 'EXECUTION TIMES FOR KEPLER AND KEPLR1',//) WRITE(6,500) E=.10-03 ITIME =2 CALL INTIMO(1) DO 3 I=1,20 M=.10-02 DO 2 J=1,200 DO 1 K=1,100 1 CALL KEPLER(M,E,IRR,EA) 2 M=M+6.28D-02 3 E=E+.49D-01 CALL INTIMO(ITIME) ITIME = ITIME / 400000 506 FORMAT(//, 30X, 'AVERAGE EXECUTION TIME FOR KEPLER ON 360/95', 1X, I3, 11X, MICROSECONDS!) WRITE(6,506) ITIME E=.1D-03 ITIME=2 CALL INTIMO(1) DO 6 I=1+20 M=.1D-02 DO 5 J=1,200 DO 4 K=1,100 4 CALL KEPLR1(M,E,IRR,E2) 5 M=M+6.28D-02 6 E=E+.49D-01 CALL INTIMO(ITIME) ITIME=ITIME/400000 507 FORMAT(//,30X, 'AVERAGE EXECUTION TIME FOR KEPLR1 ON 360/95',1X,I3, 11X, MICROSECONDS!) 508 WRITE(6,507) ITIME E=.1D-03 ITIME =2 CALL INTIMO(1) DO 10 I=1,20 M=.1D-02 DO 11 J=1,200 DO 12 K=1,100 CALL KEPLR1(M,E,IRR,E2) EA(2) = DSIN(E2)12 EA(3)=DCOS(E2) 11 M=M+6.28D-02 10 E=E+.49D-01 CALL INTIMO(ITIME) ITIME = ITIME/400000 607 FORMAT(//,30X, 'AVERAGE EXECUTION TIME FOR KEPLR1 ON 360/95', 1X, I3, 11X, MICROSECONDS (WITH SINCOS)) WRITE(6,607) ITIME RETURN ENÐ ****************** ****** *************** EXECUTION TIMES FOR KEPLER AND KEPLR1 AVERAGE EXECUTION TIME FOR KEPLER ON 360/95 74 MICROSECONDS (WITH SINCOS)AVERAGE EXECUTION TIME FOR KEPLRI ON 360/95 314 MICROSECONDS (WITHOUT SINCOS)AVERAGE EXECUTION TIME FOR KEPLR1 ON 360/95 266 MICROSECONDS ****** ****** •

Appendix C

ľ

Test 2 and Results

С TEST 2 С TEST PROGRAM TO TEST VALIDITY OF TWO KEPLER PROGRAMS С PROGRAMMER ANNE BOMFORD REAL*8 M, E, EA(3), DE REAL*8 A,B,ERR,MAXERR REAL*8 E2 800 FORMAT('1',//,49X,'VALIDITY TEST FOR KEPLER AND KEPLR1'.//) WRITE(6,800) DO 501 K=1,2 MAXERR=0.0D00 E=.1D-03 DO 802 I=1,100 M=.1D-02 DO 803 J=1,1000 IF(K.EQ.2) GO TO 505 CALL KEPLER(M,E,IRR,EA) ERR=DABS(EA(1)-M-E*EA(2)) IF(ERR.LE.MAXERR) GO TO 803 MAXERR=ERR Δ=M 8=E GO TO 803 505 CALL KEPLR1(M,E,IRR,E2) EA(1)=E2 EA(2)=DSIN(E2) $ERR=DABS(EA(1)-M-E \neq EA(2))$ IF(ERR.LE.MAXERR) GO TO 803 MAXERR=ERR A=M B=E 803 M=M+.628D-02 802 E=E+ .980D-02 IF(K.EQ.2) GO TO 808 806 FORMAT(//,20X, MAXIMUM ERROR FOR KEPLER=',D10.3, M=',E10.3, E= 1',E10.3) WRITE(6,806) MAXERR,A,B GO TO 501 807 FORMAT(//,20X, 'MAXIMUM ERROR FOR KEPLR1=',D10.3,' M=',E10.3,' E = 1',E10.3) 808 WRITE(6,807) MAXERR,A,B 501 CONTINUE RETURN END VALIDITY TEST FOR KEPLER AND KEPLR1 MAXIMUM ERROR FOR KEPLER= 0.444D-15 M= 0.520D 01 E= 0.951D 00 MAXIMUM ERROR FOR KEPLR1= 0.500D-15 M= 0.264D 01 E= 0.941D 00



Appendix D

ļ

.

KEPLR1 and KEPLER Source Code

	SUBROU	TINE KEPLR1(MA,	ECC,ERRC,E2)
С С С	TH FOR EC	IS SUBROUTINE I CENTRIC ANOMALY	S USED TO SOLVE KEPLER'S EQUATION GIVEN MEAN ANOMALY AND ECCENTRICITY
Ċ	BY THE	MYLES STANDISH	ALGORITHM.
С	IN THE	CALLING SEQUEN	ICE MA IS THE MEAN ANOMALY, ECC IS
С	THE EC	CENTRICITY, ERR	C IS THE ERROR CODE FOR NUMBER OF
С	ITERAT	IONS AND ER IS	THE ECCENTRIC ANOMALY.
	INTEGE	R ERRC, MAX, ITE	R,EC
	DOUBLE	PRECISION MA,E	CC, E1, E2, F, D, ABS
	REAL*8	3 TOL/.05D-10/,P	12/6.283185307179586/
	MAX≈10		
	ERRC=0)	
2		- 391393 .ECC#DSTN/MAN	
5	TTCD=1	ECCTDSINCHAT	
4	F=F)-(ECC*DSIN(E1))M	
·	D=1.0D	0-(ECC*DCOS(E1-	0.5D0*F))
	E2=E1-	·F/D	
С	TESTF	OR CONVERGENCE	
6	IF(DAB	S(E1-E2)-TOL) 1	3,13,8
8	ITER=I	TER + 1	
С	TEST F	OR NUMBER OF IT	ERATIONS
	IF(ITE	R-MAX) 10,10,1	.2
10	E1=E2		
	60 10	4	
12		H ND/52 DI2)	
15	15(52.	JU(E29F12) JT.() E2=P12+E2	
	RETURN	J	•
	FND	•	
	2.02		
KEPLER	CSECT		
	USING	*.15	
	STM	14.4.12(13)	SAVE REGISTERS
	LM	1,4,0(1)	ARGUMENT ADDRESSES M, E, IERR, EA
* ST	EP ONE		
	LD	2,0(2)	E
	LTDR	2,2	TEST E FOR MINUS
	BM	ERROR	
	CE	2,=E'.99'	IESTE FOR SIZE
50000	BL	**12	+ SET EBDOD CODE -1
EKKUK	MVI	212191 EVIT	T SET EKKUK CUUE FI
	D MV 1	3(3).0	7 FRO FRROR CODE (NO FRROR)
		~~~~	

* STEP TWO LD 4.0(1)HOLD FOR SIGN M LPDR 0,4 M ABSOLUTE CD 0,TWOPI OK IF LESS THAN 2PI *+20 BL * LDR 6,0 * OTHERWISE * REDUCE M DD 6.TWOPI AW 6,ZER014 * TO MODULO 2PI 6,TWOPI * MD SDR 0.6 * 0,PI CD * BL *+12 *** IF X GREATER THAN PT** LCDR 4,4 * AND REVERSE SIGN LCDR 0,0 * AND SET X = 2PI-X AD 0,TWOPI * STE  $4 \cdot 52(13)$ SIGN OF M SAVED 0,56(13)STD M ABSOLUTE BETWEEN O AND PT STEP THREE-A * 0.=E'1.57' * CE *+10 BL × LCDR 0,0 * QUICK AND DIRTY SIN(M) AD 0, P I * HDR 0,0 * =(3/4)XX = MM BETWEEN 0-PI/2 4,0 * HDR OR X = PI - MM BETWEEN PI/2-PI ADR 0.4 * MER 0,2 E*SIN(E) AD 0,56(13)  $EA = M + E \neq SIN(M)$ , 1ST ESTIMATE HOLD AS EA (POSITIVE) STD 0,0(4)STEP THREE-B × LA S=1 (ASSUMES EA IN QUAD1) 0,1 0,=E'1.5707963' CE OK 1ST QUADRANT BL QUAD1 0,2 OTHERWISE SET S=2 * LA 0.0 LCDR AND 0,PI EA = PI - EAAD * EA LESS THAN PI/2 QUAD1 CE 0,=E'.7853981' BL OCT1 OK 1ST OCTANT LCR 0,0 * OTHERWISE SET S - * * AND LCDR 0,0 0,=E'1.5707963 * EA = PI/2 - EAAF * EA LESS PI/4 2,0 OCT1 LCDR -X HDR 4,0 X/2 MER 2,4 -X2/2MER -X3/4 4,2 ME 4,=E1.666666671 -X3/6 2, ONEX AD 1-X2/2 = COS(EA)ADR 0,4 X - X3/6 = SIN(EA)LTR 0,0 TEST S FOR + OR -OK 1ST OCTANT BP *+12 LCR * OTHERWISE SET S =+1 OR +2 0,0 * AND LDR 4,2 LDR 2,0 ***** EXCHANGE * SIN(EA) AND COS(EA) LDR 0,4 BCT 0,*+6 * -COS(EA) - IN QUAD 1 LCDR 2,2 * -COS(EA) + IN QUAD 2

I

MF 0.0(2)E*SIN(EA) MF 2.0(2) -E*COS(EA)**1ST ORDER** ΔD 2. ONEX 1-E*COS(EA)=D ۸D 0.56(13)M+E*SIN(FA) 0,0(4)M+E*SIN(EA) -EA =F SÐ = C. DER F/D 1ST ORDER 0.2 ٨D 0,0(4) FA+C0,0(4) STORE AS EA ESTIMATE (POSITIVE) STD * STEP FOUR 15 = V(SINCOS)1 BALR 14,15 USING *.14 STEP FIVE ITERATE STD 0.8(4)SIN(EA) MD 0.0(2) $E \neq SIN(EA)$ HUB 4.0 •5*E*SIN(EA) HOLD 0,56(13)M+E*SIN(EA) AD SD 0.0(4)M + E + SIN(EA) - EA=F * STEP SIX LCDR -COS(EA) 6,2 -E*COS(EA) MD 6.0(2)6, ONEX 1ST ORDER 1-E*COS(EA)=D AD STEP SEVEN * MER 4.0 F*.5*E*SIN(EA) DER 4,6 F*.5*E*SIN(EA)/D ADR  $D + F \approx .5 \times E \times SIN(EA)/D$ = D 2ND ORDER 6,4 * STEP FIGHT DDR F/D = C 2ND ORDER 0,6 SAVE C LDR 4,0 STEP NINE * EA+C AD 0.0(4)SAVE AS ENHANCED EA STD 0,0(4)STEP TEN * **C** ABSOLUTE L PER 6.4 CE 6,=E*1.E-5* ***** RETURN FOR FULL SINCOS AND ITERATE BCR 2.15 * IF C GREATER THAN .00001 STEP ELEVEN * 6,=E'1.E-8' * CONVERGENCE CE OUT * WHERE C LESS THAN .00000001 **BL** STEP TWELVE * -C LCDR 0,4 2ND ORDER SUMS FORMULAE HDR 6,4 C/2 C BETWEEN 10**-5,10**-8 -C*C/2 MDR * 0,6 AD 0, ONEX 1-C*C/2 = COS(C)* LDR 6.4 C = SIN(C)MDR 6,2 SIN(C) * COS(EA) * COS(C)*COS(EA) * MDR 2,0 MD 4,8(4)SIN(C)*SIN(EA) 0,8(4)COS(C) * SIN(EA)MD ADR SIN(EA+C)=SIN(C)+COS(EA)+COS(C)+SIN(EA) * 0,6 SDR 2,4 COS(EA+C)=COS(C)*COS(EA)-SIN(C)*SIN(EA) * BR 14 ITERATE STEP THIRTEEN 士 OUT LDR **1ST ORDER SUMS FORMULAE** * 0.4 C MDR 0,2 C*COS(EA) C LESS THAN 10**-8 * 1

I

*

*

*

*

±

±

SIN(EA+C) = SIN(EA) + C*COS(EA)* AD 0,8(4)**‡** 4,8(4) MD C*SIN(EA) SDR COS(EA+C) = COS(EA) - C*SIN(EA)* 2.4 STEP FOURTEEN * CHECK SIGN OF M TM 52(13),128 OK IF M POSITIVE ΒZ PLUS STEP FIFTEEN * OTHERWISE COMPLIMENT SIN(EA) LCDR 0.0 4,TWOPI * AND LD * SET 4,0(4)SD 4 + 0(4) $\star EA = 2PI-EA$ STD STEP SIXTEEN ± OUTPUT SIN(EA) PLUS STD 0,8(4) OUTPUT COS(EA) STD 2,16(4)STEP SEVENTEEN * RESTORE REGISTERS EXIT LM 14,4,12(13) AND RETURN BR 14 DC D'6.2831853071795864* 2P I TWOPI DC X 413243F6A8885A31 ΡI ΡI DC. X*4E0000000000000000 **ZER014** ONEX DC X 40FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF END =E'.99' =E'1.57' =E'1.5707963' =E.7853981* =E1.66666671 =V(SINCOS) =E'1.E-5' =E'1.E-8' INTIMO START O BC 15,12(15) BRANCH AROUND CONSTANTS DC X 171 ESTABLISH A HALF-WORD BOUNDARY CL7! INTIMO! NAME DC SAVE THE REGISTERS STM 14,12,12(13) BASE REGISTER BALR 12,0 USING *,12 LR 3,1 Ł 7,0(3) 3(7),X'2' CLI CHECK THE INDICATOR 8, INTERV BRANCH IF SECOND ENTRY BC SET THE TIMER STIMER TASK, TUINTVL=INTER **RESTORE THE RGGISTERS** 14,12,12(13) LM INDICATE CONTROL RETURNEC MVI 12(13),X'FF' BCR 15.14 **RETURN TO CALLING PROGRAM** 5, INTER INTERV LOAD MAXIMUM TIME L TTIMER SR DETERMINE ELAPSED TIME 5,0 M 4, TWSIX CONVERT UNITS TO MICRO SECONDS 5,0(7) STORE TIME IN RETURN LOCATION ST 14,12,12(13) **RESTORE REGISTERS** LM INDICATE CONR ROL RFTURNED MVI 12(13),X*FF* RETURN TO CALLING PROGRAM BCR 15,14 DS 0F F 121474836471 INTER DC DC F1261 TWSIX FND

DPSC	CSECT USING STM	*,15 14,2,12(13	3)		SAVE REGS				
	LM	1,2,0(1)			X, SC ADDR	ESSES			
	LD	0,0(1)			X TO FRO				
		15,SINCUS			SINCUS ADD	)	<b>TO C D</b>		
	STD	14,12			SIN IU FRU		IU FRO	)	
	STD	2.8(2)				N ( A ) C ( Y )			
	IM	14.2.12()	3)						
	BR	14			AND RETUR	N			
	ENTRY	SINCOS							
	USING	*,15							
SINCOS	L DR	6,0			SIGN OF SI	IN(A)			
	LPDR	0,0			X ABSOLUT	E			
	CD	O, TWOPI	*						
	BL	*+20 ~ ~	*	IF	X GREATE	R THAN	2P1		
	LDK	2,0	*	RE	DUCE				
		2,1WUP1 2.750014	*						
	MD	2 # Z E KU 14 2. TWORI	≁ ☆	mu Tu					
	SDR	0.2	*	1 77					
	CD	0,PI	*						
	BL	*+12			* IF X GRE	EATER T	HAN PT		
	LCDR	6,6			* REVERSE	SIGN C	F SINE		
	LCDR	0,0			* AND SET	X = 2P	Y-I		
	AD	O,TWOPI			*				
	LA	0,2	SET	S	WITCH = 2	(COS	+, NO	SIN/COS I	EXCHANGE)
	CD	0,PIOVER2	*	_					
	BL	*+14	*	I	F X GREATE	ER THAN	PI/2		
		0,1	* *		ND SET SW1	Х (тсц -1	1005		
	AD	0,0	*	-	IND SET SHI	1108 -1		-,	
	CD	0.PIOVER4	•		* IF X GRE	-ATER 1	ΉΔΝ ΡΤ	14	
	BL	<b>*+</b> 16			* SET X =	PI/4 +	PI/4 -	-X	
	LCR	0,0			* AND SET	SWITCH	1 -	(SIN/COS	EXCHANGE)
	LCDR	0,0			*				
	AD	0,PIOVER4			*				
	AD	0,PIOVER4			*				
	LER	2,0				1 CT 11		<b>T</b> OF V	
	AU	2,=X+45000	0000	•	4500000X	121 HE	X DIGI	I OF X	= INDEX
	SIE	2,68(13)							
		1,08(13)			45000001	C TANT 1		1-0 1 2	
	SEL	2.0				AND ED		1=0,1,2,	••••12
	MDR	2.0			X 10 FR2	AND FRU	,		
	LDR	4.2			X2				
	MD	4, 55(1)							
	AD	4,54(1)							
	MÐR	4,2							
	AD	4,53(1)							
	MDR	4,2							
	AD	4,52(1)							
	MUR	4,Z							
	MDP	4931(1) 0.4			STN/Y1 VT				
	MUK	U 9 T			2114/11 41	A 718 L	JEGKEE	OUD PULY	NUMIAL

33

ļ

	LDR	4,2	X2
	CE	4,=E'.25'	X2 VS 1/4
	BL	*+18	8TH DEGREE COS IF X LESS THAN 1/2
	MD	2,C6-64(1)	
	AD	2,C5(1)	<b>10TH DEGREE COS IF X GREATER THAN 1/2</b>
	MDR	2,4	
	8	*+8	
	MD	2,05(1)	
	AD	2,C4(1)	
	MDR	2,4	
	AD	2,C3(1)	
	MDR	2,4	
	AÐ	2,C2(1)	
	MDR	2,4	
	AD	2,C1(1)	COS(X) VIA 8 OR 10 DEGREE POLYNOMIAL
	LTR	0 🖸	TEST SWITCH FOR SIGN
	BP	*+12	NO EXCHANGE IF +
	LCR	0,0	OTHERWISE SET SWITCH +1 OR +2
	LDR	4,2	AND
	LDR	2,0	EXCHANGE
	LDR	0,4	SIN AND COS
	BCT	0,*+6	* IF SWITCH = 1
	LCDR	2,2	* SET COSINE -
	LTDR	6,6	* IF SIGN OF SIN +
	BCR	10,14	* EXIT
	LCDR	0,0	OTHERWISE SET SIGN -
	BR	14	AND EXIT
	USING	*.15	
	ENTRY		
ncns			
2005	โก	0.0(1)	
		0.0	
	AD	0.PIOVER4	
	ΔĎ	0.PINVER4	
		15-DSTNY	
	BR	15	
	USING	*.15	
DCOSX	INDR	0.0	
DCODA	AD	0.PTOVER4	
		0.PIOVER4	
		15.DSINY	
	BR	15	
	ENTRY		
DSTN	1	1.0(1)	
0311	ເກ	0.0(1)	
		15.12(15)	
	LIS TNG	* 15	
DSTNY	1 08	6.0	
53144	IPDR	0.0	
	CD	O THOPT	
	BI	*+20	
	I DR	2.0	
	00	2. THOPI	
	Δ₩	2,7FR014	
	MD	2.TWOPT	
		LY MOT I	

SDR	0,2
CD	0,PI
BL	*+10
LCDR	6,6
SD	0,PI
CÐ	0,PIOVER2
BL	*+10
LCDR	0,0
AD	0,PI
CD	0,PIOVER4
BNL	COSS
LER	2,0
AU	2,=X*4500000*
STE	2,68(13)
L	1,68(13)
SLL	1,3
L DR	2,0
MDR	2.2
I DR	4.2
MD	4.55(1)
ΔD	4.54(1)
MDR	4.2
AD	$4 \cdot 53(1)$
MDR	4.2
AD	4.52(1)
MDR	4.2
A D	4.51(1)
MDR	
TDR	5 • 6
BCP	10-14
ICDR	
BR	14
	0.0
AD	0.PIOVERA
AD	O_PIOVER4
1 6 0	2.0
	2,
STE	2 = -1 + 3000000 = -2 + 68(13)
31L 1	1 49/12)
с. ст.	1 2
MDP	1+5
	2.0
	2 - 5 1 25 1
	29-E'+27'
	-+10
	0, (5, 1)
MDD	
D	*+9
D MD	TTO 0.05(1)
	0,0011
MDD	
	0 (211)
	0,2
AU	0,02(1)
MUNK	U • Z

COSS

l

	AD	0,C1(1)		
	LTDR	6,6		
	BCR	10,14		
	LCDR	0,0		
	BR	14		
	DS	0 D		
ZERO14	DC	X!4E0000000000000		
TWOPI	DC	D 6.2831853071795864	2PI	
ΡI	DC	X <b>!</b> 413243F6A8885A31 <b>!</b>	PI	
PIOVER2	DC	X •411 921FB54442D18 •	PI/2	
PIOVER4	DC	X <b>'40C90FDAA22168C2'</b>		
*	SINE	COEFFICIENTS		
S1	DC	XI40FFFFFFFFFFFFF	0.0	
	DC	X 40FFFFFFFFFFFF77	0.62500000000-0	)]
	DC	X'40FFFFFFFFFFFFD7C'	0.12500000000000	00
	DC	X 40FFFFFFFFFE8D8	0.18750000000 0	00
	DC	X'40FFFFFFFFFFC96A'	0.2500000000000000000000000000000000000	00
	DC	X 40FFFFFFFFFF49BA	0.31250000000 0	0
	DC	X 40FFFFFFFFFFFFCFB23	0.37500000000 0	0
	DC		0.43750000000 0	00
	DC	X*40FFFFFFFFCL5C90*	0.5000000000000000000000000000000000000	00
	DC	X 40FFFFFFF608C98*	0.56250000000 0	00
	DC	X 40FFFFFFF63UA15		0
			0.88750000000 0	)U
		X*40FFFFFF85A9960*	0.750000000000000	0
52				. 1
				1
		X • C U2 AA AA AA AA AA B D 2 I •		0
				50
				0
			0.3750000000 0	
			0.4375000000000000000000000000000000000000	
				0
		YIC02AAAAAA71E40EB	0.56250000000000000000000000000000000000	0
	nc	X 1 C 02 A A A A A A 80 2504 8 1	0.62500000000 0	iõ.
		XIC02AAAA84ED178E	0.68750000000 0	0
		X +C 02AAAAA69E0419B!	0.7500000000 0	0
53	DC	X 3E222221EB15B5CE	0.0	Ŭ
55	DC	X * 3F2 2222 21 24 75F04*	0.625000000D-0	1
	DC	X'3E222221B88AD9A'	0.125000000D 0	0
	DC	X * 3F22222221225BEF1*	0.1875000000D 0	0
	DC	X*3F2222221106E925*	0.2500000000 0	0
	DC	X '3F22222 203229637'	0.3125000000D 0	0
	DC	X'3F222221D93FF490'	0.3750000000D 0	0
	DC	X '3F22222 15CBB1C5D'	0.43750000000 0	0
	DC	X'3F222220934E1941'	0.5000000000000000000000000000000000000	0
	DC	X '3F2 222 1F3A705D7F '	0.56250000000 0	0
	DC	X'3F22221CBB9904EF'	0.625000000D 0	0
	DC	X ' 3F22 22 18FE3 7316B'	0.68750000000 0	0
	DC	X'3F2222146F331054'	0.750000000D 0	0
S4	DC	X BDCFBE6DAB3 3F92D	0.0	
	DC	XIBDD008956DAAEA4BI	0.625000000D-0	1
	DC	X BDD00C4AC2C8225A	0.125000000D 0	0
	DC	X'BDD00C15380ED130'	0.1875000000D 0	0

DC

DC DC

DC

DC

DC

DC

DC DC

DC

DC

DC

DC

DC

DC

DC

DC

DC

DC

DC

DC

DC

С3

DC	X <b>!</b> 40FFFFFFF
DC	X C0800000
DC	X*C0800000
DC	X * C 0800000
DC	XICO7FFFFFF
DC	X CO7FFFFFF
DC	XICO7FFFFFF
DC	X CO7FFFFFF
DC	XICO7FFFFFF
DC	X CO7FFFFFF
DC	XIC0800000
DC	X • C 08 00 0000
DC	XICO7FFFFFF
ĐC	X CO7FFFFFF
DC	ΧΊЗΓΑΑΑΑΑΑΑ
DC	Χ • 3 Ε Α Α Α Α Α Α
DC	X'3FAAAAAAA
DC	Χ • 3 Ε Α Α Α Α Α Α Α
DC	Χ"3FAAAAAA4

DC

С	X <b>!</b> BDD00C4960797744 <b>!</b>	0.250000000D	00
С	X*BDD00C0081D853F7*	0.312500000D	00
C	X	0.375000000D	00
C	X BDD0099428EEBB20	0.437500000D	00
C	X • BDD00789E59C8C04•	@•5000000000	00
C	X BDD004BB8BF 3436D 1	0.5625000000	00
D.	X * BDD000816BFF2313*	0.625000000D	00
DC	X'BDCFFB44C616A714	0.68750000000	00
n.	X BDC FF5CC ED99F6BD	0.7500000000	00
ñ	X+BD27ABC0019B0975+	0.0	
ic ic	X 13C274020A16A8780	0-6250000000-	01
n C	X+3C2DC3E3A8A96C91+	0.12500000000	00
in in	X 12C2DE2C5E202B5DE1	0.18750000000	00
	X + 3C2DE2C3E2C2D30L +	0.2500000000	00
	X 302E010434E33703	0.31250000000	00
	X 3020F9F110003009	0.3750000000	00
	X-3020E4A360061871*	0.5750000000	00
	X 3020020082081AB	0.43750000000	00
	X•302DA291A6506887•	0.5000000000	00
UC.	X 3C2D7F6C 3453E649	0.56250000000	00
	X 302054506801566F	0.62500000000	00
C	X "3C2D284AE4CA5DCB"	0.6875000000	00
C	X•3C2D00E6F7D092D6+	0.750000000D	00
C	OSINE COEFFICIENTS		
00	X'4110000000000000	0.0	
C	X •4110000000000000	0.625000000D-	01
00	X'411000000000010'	0 <b>.125000000</b> D	00
C	X *40FFFFFFFFFF6B5*	0 • 18 7 50 0 0 0 0 D	00
C	X <b>!</b> 40FFFFFFFFFF02CC <b>!</b>	0.250000000D	00
<b>)</b> C	X •40FFFFFFFF9D8B0•	0.312500000D	00
)C	X <b>!</b> 40FFFFFFFFDB4BF3!	0.375000000D	00
C	X 40FFFFFFF66CA3C	0.437500000D	00
C	X <b>!4</b> 0FFFFFFFFBFB694 <b>!</b>	0.500000000D	00
С	X •41100000002779F •	0.562500000D	00
oc	X <b>*</b> 41100000000C484*	0.625000000D	00
C	X 40FFFFFFFFFOACD4	0.687500000D	00
)C	X <b>!</b> 40FFFFFFF528278 <b>!</b>	0.750000000D	00
)Ċ	X • C 08 00 00 00 00 08 FC *	0.0	
ō	X*C08000000001F56*	0.625000000D-	01
õ	X * C 0800000000A56E *	0.125000000D	00
ō.	X*CO7FFFFFFFFB422C*	0.187500000D	00
õ	X * CO7FFFFFFFC18410*	0.250000000D	00
оč.	XICO7FFFFFFFFFFFFF8A8	0.312500000D	00
)Č	XICO7EEEEEBA0034AI	0.37500000000	00
nc.	X1007EEEEE24D42821	0.43750000000	00
n.	XICO7EEEEEB7C7615	0.5000000000	00
n n	X10080000022408381	0.56250000000	00
ic ic	X 1000000002240000	0-6250000000	00
ic nc	XICO7EEEEEE1A57071	0.6875000000	00
o n	X *CO7FFFFF888586464	0.7500000000	00
$\frac{1}{2}$	V12EAAAAAACEADO101	0.0	
n Se	X*3FAAAAAAAUFAU710* V 135AAAAAAAAUFAU710*	0.6250000000-	.01
טי אר	^ * JF AAAAAAADD4FOVA * V12688888880000000000	0.1250000000	00
	X 100 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	0 1875000000	00
		0 250000000	00
ル い い		0.21250000000	00
ル		0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	00

DC	X*3FAAAAA756C2D236*	0.37500000000 00
DC	X * 3FAAAAA2D85E052B*	0-4375000000D 00
DC	XI3FAAAAAA8A34E1B3EI	0.500000000D 00
DC	X  3FAAAAAB6650E57D	0.5625000000D 00
DC	X'3FAAAAAABBBF8614'	0.625000000D 00
DC	X	0.68750000000 00
DC	X*3FAAAAA8E1C6081B*	0.75000000000 00
DC	X * BE5B05E544ACFAED *	0.0
DC	X BE580583A3D232D3	0.625000000D-01
DC	X * BE 58 05 B1 3C6 70 BD8 *	0.125000000D 00
DC	X*BE5B059A0ECCDD46*	0.187500000D 00
DC	X * BE5B0563A45C8E91*	0.2500000000 00
DC	X*BE5B0511816D0F42*	0.3125000000D 00
DC	X • BE 58 046D420B0F0B •	0.3750000000D 00
DC	X'BE5B0375D64C08AC'	0.4375000000D 00
DC	X BE5805386D350E39	0.5000000000 00
DC	X'BE5B05CF9CE1EFA5'	0.5625000000D 00
DC	X * BE5B 05 AF47 05 E0 15 *	0.625000000D 00
DC	X'BE5B059FF6063D5B'	0.6875000000D 00
DC	X * BE 58 0576C215745A *	0.7500000000 00
DC	X <b>'3</b> D1B83EA0F58760F'	0.0
DC	X * 3D1 A0381E55BED90*	0.6250000000D-01
DC	X <b>'3D19FD902FF6A01A'</b>	0.125000000D 00
DC	X <b>'</b> 3D19F15D857FB484 <b>'</b>	0.1875000000D 00
DC	X'3D19E3CB6B016FA1'	0.2500000000 00
DC	X '3D1 9D6 896 D105 CAD'	0.3125000000D 00
DC	X <b>'</b> 3D19C48042D34407 <b>'</b>	0.375000000D 00
DC	X • 3D1 9B076C277F E83•	0.4375000000D 00
DC	X <b>'</b> 3D19F45FAA3F5386'	0.500000000D 00
DC	X • 3D1 A040A69823422 •	0.562500000D 00
DC	X*3D1A0107B2439E18*	0.625000000D 00
DC	X '3D1 9FFE547902218'	0.6875000000 00
DC	X•3D19FD9AC88121EB•	0.750000000D 00
DC	X * BB4010A69645256D*	0.5000000000 00
DC	X • BB 4A BF0291131076 •	0.562500000D 00
DC	X •BB48F9D4FC6AC452•	0.625000000D 00
DC	X'BB487121A5139E61'	0.6875000000D 00
DC	X	0.750000000D 00
END		

=X \$45000000 =E \$.25

C4

C5

C6

-