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## INTRODUCTION

Even though the NASA Structural Analysis (NASTRAN) program is designed to solve numerous structural dynamic problems through the use of available rigid formats, an important class of problems, where the structures are spinning at a constant angular velocity, has been omitted. Rotating shafts, blades of spinning turbines, rotating linkages, and spin stabilized satellites are examples of problems falling within this class. These problems differ from the nonspinning structures in several significant ways. The accelerations of the masses in a nonrotating stationary frame are represented by the second derivatives with respect to time of the spatial variables. In the case of a structure spinning at a constant angular velocity, expressions for the accelerations of the discrete masses contain terms arising from the second derivatives of the spatial variables; in addition, they contain terms caused by coriolis accelerations, which are proportional to the velocities of the masses in the rotating frame. Finally, these expressions reflect the variations in steady-state centripetal accelerations caused by the small displacements of the masses in the rotating frame. The steady-state centrifugal forces set up the steady stresses that give rise to the geometric stiffness matrix.

Since NASTRAN does not construct coriolis and centripetal acceleration matrices, and a centrifugal load vector due to spin about a selected point or about the mass center of the structure, a Fortran subroutine to construct these matrices is added in NASTRAN. The rigid translational degrees of freedom can be removed by using a transformation matrix $T$ and its explicitly given inverse, $T^{-1}$. These matrices are generated in the above Fortran subroutine and their explicit expressions are given in Appendix A.

The complex eigenvalue subroutine of NASTR AN does not measure up to the excellence it has shown in assembling the matrices. If the user desires, an option is available to write out the matrices generated by NASTRAN on a magnetic tape which, in turn, can be used as the input to another eigenvalue program. The probable advantages in using another eigenvalue program are that the user may be able to solve a larger problem within the available core and he may use a more efficient eigenvalue routine if one is available to him. If it is required, the user can write out certain information generated by NASTRAN on a magnetic tape unit using the subroutines OUTPUT2 and WRTAPE used in this program.

## THEORETICAL DESCRIPTION ${ }^{1,2}$

The equations of motion of a spinning structure are briefly derived here to show how they differ from those of a nonspinning structure. The direct use of the Newton-Euler equations gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{F}^{s}=\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{s}} \underline{A}^{\mathrm{s}} \\
& \underline{T}^{\mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{dt}} \underline{H}^{\mathrm{s}} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

for the $s^{\text {th }}$ rigid body of a flexible appendage; where $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{s}}$ is the mass, $A^{s}$ is the absolute translational acceleration vector. $\underline{F}^{s}$ and $T^{s}$ are the sum of the external and connection force and torque vectors, respectively. $\underline{H}^{\text {s }}$ is the angular momentum vector and i denoted differentiation in the inertial frame of reference.

For a rigid body of an appendage spinning nominally in the steady state with an angular velocity $\omega$ (fig. 1), the expression for acceleration is written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\underline{A}^{s}= & \frac{b_{d^{2}}}{d t^{2}}\left(\underline{c}+\underline{u}^{s}\right)+2 \underline{\omega} \times \frac{b_{d}}{d t}\left(\underline{c}+\underline{u}^{s}\right)+\underline{\omega} \times\left(\underline{\omega} \times\left(\underline{c}+\underline{u}^{s}\right)\right)+\underline{\omega} \times\left(\underline{\omega} \times \underline{r}^{s}\right) \\
& +\frac{i_{d}}{d t} \underline{\omega} \times\left(\underline{c}+\underline{u}^{s}+\underline{r}^{s}\right)+\frac{i_{d}}{d t^{2}} \underline{R} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\underline{T}^{s}= & \frac{i_{d}}{d t}\left(I^{s} \cdot \omega^{s}\right)=\frac{i_{d}}{d t}\left(\underline{I}^{s} \cdot\left(\underline{\omega}^{s}+\underline{\beta}^{s}\right)\right) \\
= & \underline{I}^{s} \cdot\left[\frac{i_{d}}{d t} \underline{\omega}+\frac{b_{d^{2}}}{d t^{2}} \underline{\beta}^{s}+\underline{\omega} \times \frac{b_{d}}{d t} \underline{\beta}^{s}\right] \\
& +\underline{\omega} \times I^{s} \cdot \underline{\omega}+\underline{\omega} \times \underline{I}^{s} \cdot \frac{b_{d}}{d t} \underline{\beta}^{s}+\frac{b_{d}}{d t} \underline{\beta}^{s} \times \underline{I}^{s} \cdot \underline{\omega} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

 time $t$ with respect to its steady state position. $\underline{u}^{\mathbf{s}}$ and $\underline{\beta}^{\mathbf{s}}$ are vectors representing the displacement and small rotation, respectively, of the $s^{\text {th }}$ rigid body from its steady state configuration. $\underline{r}^{s}$ is a vector representing the location of the $s^{\text {th }}$ rigid body of the appendage in its steady state configuration measured from the steady state mass center location. $I^{s}$ is the inertia dyadic of the $s^{\text {th }}$ rigid body. Superscript $b$ denotes differentiation in the reference frame $\underline{b}$ imbedded in the rigid body with the origin at the steady state mass center location.

For zero $\operatorname{spin}(\omega=0)$, eq. (2) and (3) reduce to the familiar form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{A}^{s}=\frac{i^{d^{2}}}{d t^{2}}\left(\underline{R}+\underline{c}+\underline{r}^{s}+\underline{u}^{s}\right)  \tag{2-a}\\
& \underline{T}^{s}=\underline{I}^{s} \cdot \frac{i_{d^{2}}}{d t^{2}} \underline{\beta}^{s} . \tag{3-a}
\end{align*}
$$

In matrix notation the second term on the righ hand side of eq. (2), which is due to coriolis acceleration, gives rise to a skew-symmetric matrix; whereas, the third term, which is due to the centripetal acceleration, yields a symmetric matrix. The fourth term in eq. (2) and (3) represents a steady state centripetal acceleration which describes the steady state configuration. Stretching forces, moments and rotations obtained therefrom, give rise to the second order geometric stiffness matrix. In the absence of angular acceleration, the fifth term of eq. (2) vanishes. If rotational dynamics are the primary concern, the effect of translation of the orbit is disregarded and the last term of eq. (2) also vanishes. The last two terms in eq. (3) will cancel each other if the inertia matrix is diagonal with all the terms having the same magnitude. In the computer program no such restriction is imposed on the $I^{s}$ matrix.

Conservation of linear momentum provides the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{c}=-\frac{1}{M} \sum_{\mathrm{s}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{s}} \underline{u}^{\mathrm{s}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is the cumulative mass of all appendages and the central rigid body, and $n$ is the total number of masses representing all of the appendages.

Conservation of angular momentum is not imposed. As a result, the central rigid body is restricted against variations in rotations. Conservation of linear momentum permits the translation of the central rigid body, thus allowing the coupling of the vibrations of all the appendages attached to the central rigid body.

The set of equations representing the motion of all the rigid bodies in the appendage about the steady state configuration is obtained by substituting eq. (4) into eq. (2) and writing the resulting eq. (2) and (3), for all rigid bodies in matrix form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[M^{\prime}\right]\{\ddot{\mathrm{u}}\}+\left[G^{\prime}\right]\{\dot{u}\}+\left[\mathrm{K}^{\prime \prime \prime}+\mathrm{Ke}+\mathrm{Kg}\right]\{\mathrm{u}\}=[F] . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The steady state equation in matrix form is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[K e+K^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]\{u\}^{s}=\{P\}^{s} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The use of eq. (4) eliminates the remaining translational rigid body degrees-of-freedom. As a result, the mass matrix $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ is a symmetric non-diagonal matrix. Matrix $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ is in general, a fully populated skew-symmetric matrix of coriolis acceleration terms. Matrix $\mathrm{K}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is a fully populated non-symmetric matrix of centrifugal acceleration terms. Ke and Kg are elastic and geometric (differential) stiffness matrices, respectively, (and are obtained from the NASTRAN program) and $\{u\}$ is the vector of generalized displacements about the steady state configuration. In the absence of spin, matrices $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}, \mathrm{K}^{\prime \prime \prime}$, and Kg will all be identically zero, and the eigenvalue problem reduces to the standard eigenvalue problem of a free-free structure or a cantilever. $\{u\}^{s}$ is the vector of the steady state generalized displacements from the unstrained configuration $\{r\}$ of the appendages. Since the steady state deformations $\{u\}^{s}$ are very small compared to the unstrained configuration $\{r\}$, it is assumed that the steady state configuration is given by $\{r\}$ instead of $\{r\}+\{u\}^{s}$. The steady state force vector $\{P\}^{s}$ is used to obtain the geometric stiffness matrix, Kg .

Matrices $M^{\prime}, G^{\prime}$, and $K^{\prime \prime \prime}$ have the following properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right] } & =[\mathrm{M}][\mathrm{T}] \\
{\left[\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right] } & =[\mathrm{G}][\mathrm{T}]  \tag{7}\\
{\left[\mathrm{K}^{\prime \prime}\right] } & =\left[\mathrm{K}^{\prime \prime}\right][\mathrm{T}]
\end{align*}
$$

Relations (7) afford a transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\mathrm{y}\}=[\mathrm{T}]\{\mathrm{u}\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of transformation (8) into eq. (5) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathrm{M}]\{\ddot{\mathrm{y}}\}+[\mathrm{G}]\{\dot{\mathrm{y}}\}+\left[\mathrm{K}^{\prime \prime}+[\mathrm{Ke}+\mathrm{Kg}] \mathrm{T}^{-1}\right]\{\mathrm{y}\}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$u=$ Vector of displacements from the steady state config-
uration of the nodal masses in spinning body frame.
$T=$ Transformation matrix relates the displacements of nodal
masses in the body frame with the origin at steady state
mass center to the displacements in another body frame
obtained by translating the above frame to the instanta-
neous mass center. In the absence of vibrations both
above body frames coincide. If the axis of rotation and
the origin of the body frame are both fixed in inertial
space, T and $\mathrm{T}^{-1}$ become identity matrices, additionally.
$\mathrm{M}=$ NASTRAN generated mass matrix
$\mathrm{G}=$ Dummy module generated coriolis acceleration matrix
$K^{\prime \prime}=$ Dummy module generated centripetal acceleration
matrix
$\mathrm{Pg}=$ Dummy module generated steady state centrifugal
force vector
$\mathrm{Kg}=$ NASTRAN generated differential stiffness matrix using
the above load vector Pg
$\mathrm{Ke}=$ NASTRAN generated elastic stiffness matrix.
Explicit forms of the above matrices are given in Appendix A.

## DMAP DESCRIPTION

The following information and options are made available through the input of vector WW with five elements using DMI* cards. The first of the two cards never changes for this program. WW(1), WW(2), WW(3) are the components of the spin vector in the body frame. Terms $W W(4), W W(5)$ can take the values either 0.0 or 1.0 . If $W W(4)=1.0$ the structure is spinning about the mass center of vehicle, and if $W W(4)=0.0$ the structure is spinning about a fixed point in the space. The calculation of matrices $T$ and $\mathrm{T}^{-1}$, which removes the rigid body translational degrees of freedom, is performed if $W W(5)=1.0$. If $W W(5)=0.0$, matrices $T$ and $T^{-1}$ are identity matrices which means that the structure is supported and does not have the rigid body translational degrees of freedom.

The following options can be exercised through the use of $W W(4)$ and WW(5).

Case I. $W W(4)=W W(5)=1.0$, GRID 1 constrained in all six directions. The structure is spinning about the vehicle mass center, and the rigid body translational degrees of freedom are removed. GRID No. 1 is connected by a rigid link to the mass center of the vehicle in the steady state configuration and one or more appendages are cantilevered from GRID No. 1. GRID No. I should be constrained in all six directions by use of SPC cards or permanent SPC on GRID cards.

Case II. $W W(4)=W W(5)=0.0$, GRID I constrained in all six directions. The structure is assumed to be spinning about a point (GRID 1) fixed in inertial space, e.g., a spinning shaft with GRID 1 at bearing.

Case III. $W W(4)=1.0 W W(5)=0.0$, GRID 1 constrained in all six directions. Node No. 1 is rigidly connected to the steady state mass center which is fixed in inertial space. The structure is spinning about mass center.

Case IV. $W W(4)=0.0 \quad W W(5)=1.0$, GRID 1 constrained in all six directions. The structure is spinning about GRID 1 with rigid body translational degrees of freedom removed.

[^0]The DMAP sequence given in Appendix B solves eq. (9) and eigenvectors $y_{i}$ (PHI in DMAP) thus obtained are transformed to $u_{i}$ (PHID in DMAP) which are the eigenvectors of eq. (8).

It is essential that two subcases are used in the case control deck as shown below for successful completion of the NASTR AN run.

```
CASE CONTROL DECK
TITLE
SUBCASE I
DISPLACEMENT = ALL
:
SUBCASE 2
DSCOEFFICIENT = DEFAULT
BEGIN BULK
```

No provision for checkpoint is made since the time taken to assemble the matrices is just a fraction of the time taken to find a few eigenvalues.

## FUNCTIONAL MODULE PROGRAMING NOTES

In writing a functional module for NASTRAN, the concept of open core should be employed even if the corresponding logic for an open core array is not used. This gives the generality and possibility of later expansions without having to alter the program extensively. This does not mean that the fixed dimensioned arrays cannot be used in NASTRAN functional modules. The details of the open core concept are given in Section 1.5 of the Programer's Manual. Once the dimensions are set either by open core or by fixed locations, the next steps are either to retrieve the data (input blocks) to be used for further computations or to store the computed data (output blocks) in a prescribed format within NASTRAN. The data as described in Section 2.2 of the Programer's Manual may be in the form of a matrix, a table or bulk data cards.

A matrix data are stored in two separate parts. One part constitutes the name of the matrix in alpha-numeric form (Header Information). The columns of the matrix are stored on random access peripheral equipments.

The second part is called the Trailer Information and is stored in FIAT which is an executive system table of NASTRAN. The first part is stored as a set of logical records: the first record is the Header information, and the second and subsequent logical records until the end of file is reached are the columns of a matrix. The Trailer informations, which is the collection of the properties (size, real, complex, symmetric, etc.) of all the matrices used in NASTRAN are given in the Programer's Manual but if new matrices are created, their Trailer information should be stored according to the instructions on page 2.2-2 of the Programer's Manual. Either of the above two parts describing a matrix can be called, as shown later, without disturbing the other.

Each of the matrices, whether constructed by NASTRAN or computed in a functional module and designated as an input or output block in a particular DMAP statement should be referred to by a file number. The numbering system of a file is standardized by NASTRAN as consisting of three digits. The first digit takes value 1 if it is an input block and 2 if it is an output block; the second and third digits refer to matrix location in the string of input or output data blocks. For example, file number 102 in DMAP statement DUMMODl given in Appendix B refers to the second input block which is an unreduced mass matrix Mgg whereas 203 refers to the third output block which is the coriolis acceleration matrix G.

In order to read the desired matrix, the following set of calls to subroutines listed below will unpack and read the matrix data. In each of the subroutines the file number for the appropriate matrix data block must be included in appropriate argument.


CALL UNPACK
CALL CLOSE
The subroutine RDTRL calls on the file number appearing in its argument for the Trailer information. A call on RDTRL can also be made after calling OPEN, if desired. Subroutine OPEN opens the file to be read. FWDREC positions the requested file forward one logical record thereby skipping the first record in this particular example. If for
some reason two logical records need to be skipped, FWDREC is called twice. Each call to UNPACK allows the reading of one column (a logical record) of a matrix at a time. The call to UNPACK can be put within a DO-loop once the information on the number of columns of the matrix is obtained from Trailer information. After the reading of columns is completed the subroutine CLOSE is called to close the file as soon as practicable.

If the data are in tabular form, instead of calling UNPACK, call READ to read the data. Each call to READ reads one logical record of the data. The programer's manual should be consulted for structure of the record read. The call to READ can be put either within a DO-loop once the information on the number of records is obtained from Trailer information or within an unending DO-loop in which case, when the end of file is reached, the transfer will be made to a statement number appearing in the argument of READ. The set of calls is shown as

CALL RDTRL
CALL OPEN
CALL FWDREC
CALL READ
$\vdots$
CALL READ
CALL CLOSE
If the data on Bulk Data Cards are desired to be retrieved the following set of calls to subroutines should be employed.

CALL PRELOC
CALL LOCATE
CALL READ
$\vdots$
CALL READ
CALL CLOSE
Subroutine PRELOC locates the file on which the bulk data card images are stored and LOCATE locates the desired number of carđs in the file.

In DMAP statement DUMMODl file number 101 which is GEOMI, contains the geometric information from Bulk Data Cards. This file number is called in PRELOC subroutine. For each bulk data card to be read, subroutine $R E A D$ should be called.

To pack the matrices calculated in a subroutine and appearing as output data block the following set of calls to subroutines is required.

CALL OPEN
CALL FNAME (finds Header information)
Prepare Trailer information (e.g. $M(1), M(2),--M(7)$ )
according to instructions appearing in Section 2.2-2 of the Programer's Manual.
CALL WRITE (writes Header information)
Perform computations.


CALL PACK
CALL WRTTRL (M(1))
CALL CLOSE

Subroutine OPEN opens the file to be written on and FNAME finds and stores the Header information as appearing in DMAP subroutine (e.g., if file number 204 is referred in OPEN, FNAME will go to the fourth output block of DUMMODI which is matrix AA and store AA as the Header information). Next prepare the Trailer information in the vector $M$ according to the instructions in Programer's Manual with the following exceptions. On page 2.2-2 of the Programer's Manual $M$ has the dimension 6 which is an error, it should be dimensioned $M(7)$ and $M(1)=$ File Number

$$
M(2)=0
$$

$$
M(3)=M(2) \text { of the Programer's Manual }
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\vdots \\
M(7)=M(6) \text { of the Programer's Manual. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that $M(2)$ is set equal to zero and not one as implied in the Programer's Manual. If $\mathrm{M}(2)=1$, the information on the number of columns stored in Trailer information will show one more than the value desired. Hence when this Trailer information is used to read the columns, the READ will try to take it past the end of file resulting in fatal error.

Subroutine WRITE writes the Header information and then one column at a time is packed by subroutine PACK. Call WRTRL to write Trailer information and then call subroutine CLOSE.

If it is desired when developing functional modules, the number of input, output blocks and parameters can be altered by altering the information in MPL (subroutine XMPLBD). In the development of this program, four functional modules are written. In addition several other functional modules for other NASA projects at MSFC have also been written. All these functional modules are given dummy names as given in User's Manual. Because the procedure given in NASTRAN's program manual are either incomplete or in error, attempts to add new functional modules with unique name and unique MPL (Module Properties List) have not been met any degree of success.

It is acknowledged that most of the information presented in this section may be found throughout the Programer's Manual. However, it will take a considerable time to assemble and use. Here we have presented the information we collected through trial and error and months of diligent work by two expert programers. We present it with the hopes that someone wishing to write their new functional modules will not have to encounter the same difficulties.
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$$
\left[K^{\prime}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
{\left[K^{\prime}\right]^{1}} & & & \\
& {\left[K^{\prime}\right]^{2}} & & \\
& & {\left[K^{\prime}\right]^{3}} & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & {\left[K^{\prime}\right]^{n}}
\end{array}\right]_{6 n \times 6 n}
$$

where
$\left[K^{\prime}\right]^{i}=\left[\begin{array}{l:c}{\left[k_{11}^{\prime}\right]^{i}} & \vdots \\ \hdashline 0 & {\left[k_{22}^{\prime}\right]^{i}}\end{array}\right]$
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[K_{11}^{i}\right]^{i}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
-\mathrm{m}^{i}\left(\omega_{2}^{2}+\omega_{3}^{2}\right) & \mathrm{m}^{i} \omega_{1} \omega_{2} & \mathrm{~m}^{i} \omega_{1} \omega_{3} \\
\mathrm{~m}^{i} \omega_{1} \omega_{2} & -\mathrm{m}^{i}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{3}^{2}\right) & \mathrm{m}^{i} \omega_{2} \omega_{3} \\
\mathrm{~m}^{i} \omega_{1} \omega_{3} & \mathrm{~m}^{i} \omega_{2} \omega_{3} & -\mathrm{m}^{i}\left(\omega_{2}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right.
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[k_{22}^{1}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
k_{11} & k_{12} & k_{13} \\
k_{21} & k_{22} & k_{23} \\
k_{31} & k_{32} & k_{33}
\end{array}\right]} \\
& k_{11}=\quad\left(I_{22}^{i}-I_{33}^{i}\right)\left(\omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{3}^{2}\right)+4 I_{23^{i} \omega_{2} \omega_{3}} \\
& +I_{12}^{i} \omega_{1}^{\omega_{2}}+I_{13}^{i} \omega_{1}^{\omega_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{12}=\left(I_{33}^{i}-I_{11}^{i}\right) \omega_{1} \omega_{2}-2 I_{31}^{i} \omega_{2} \omega_{3} \\
& +I_{21}^{i}\left(\omega_{3}^{2}-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)-I_{32^{i} \omega_{1} \omega_{3}} \\
& k_{13}=\quad i_{31}^{i}\left(\omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{3}^{2}\right)-I_{32}^{i} \omega_{2} \omega_{2} \\
& -2 I_{21^{\omega}}^{i}{ }_{2}^{\omega_{3}}-\left(I_{11}^{i}-I_{22}^{i}\right) \omega_{1} \omega_{3} \\
& k_{21}=\quad I_{21}^{i}\left(\omega_{3}^{2}-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)-I_{31}^{i} \omega_{2} \omega_{3} \\
& -\left(I_{22}^{i}-I_{33}^{i}\right) \omega_{1} \omega_{2}-2 I_{32}^{i} \omega_{1} \omega_{3} \\
& \begin{array}{c}
k_{22}=\left(I_{33}^{i}-I_{11}^{i}\right)\left(\omega_{3}^{2}-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)+I_{32^{i \omega_{2}} \omega_{3}}^{i} \\
+4 I_{31}^{i} \omega_{1} \omega_{3}+I_{21}^{i} \omega_{2} \omega_{1}
\end{array} \\
& k_{23}=\left(I_{21}^{i}-I_{22}^{i}\right) \omega_{2} \omega_{3}-2 I_{21}^{i} \omega_{1} \omega_{3} \\
& -I_{32}^{i}\left(\omega_{3}^{2}-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)-I_{31}^{i} \omega_{1} \omega_{2} \\
& k_{31}=\left(I_{22}^{i}-I_{33}^{i}\right) \omega_{1} \omega_{3}-2 I_{32}^{i} \omega_{1} \omega_{2} \\
& -I_{31}^{i}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)-I_{21}^{i} \omega_{2} \omega_{3} \\
& k_{32}=I_{32}^{i}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)-I_{21}^{i} \omega_{1} \omega_{3} \\
& -\left(I_{33}^{i}-I_{11}^{i}\right) \omega_{2} \omega_{3}-2 I_{31}^{i} \omega_{1} \omega_{2} \\
& k_{33}=\left(I_{11}^{i}-I_{22}^{i}\right)\left(w_{1}^{2}-w_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +4 I_{21}^{i} \omega_{1} \omega_{2}+I_{31}^{i} \omega_{1} \omega_{3}+I \frac{i}{32}^{i} \omega_{2} \omega_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\text { where } R_{j G}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{j}^{i} m_{i} / M \quad j=1,2,3 .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[P_{1}^{\prime}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
-m^{i}\left[-\left(\omega_{2}^{2}+\omega_{3}^{2}\right) R_{1}^{\prime i}+\omega_{1} \omega_{2} R_{2}^{\prime i}+\omega_{1} \omega_{3} R_{3}^{i i}\right. \\
-m^{i}\left[\omega_{1} \omega_{2} R_{1}^{\prime i}-\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{3}^{2}\right) R_{2}^{(i}+\omega_{2} \omega_{3} R_{3}^{i i}\right. \\
-m^{i}\left[\omega_{1} \omega_{3} R_{1}^{\prime i}+\omega_{2} \omega_{3} R_{2}^{\prime i}-\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right) R_{3}^{1 i}\right.
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[P_{2}^{i}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(I_{22}^{i}-I_{33}^{i}\right) \omega_{2} \omega_{3}-I_{32}^{i}\left(\omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{3}^{2}\right)-I_{31}^{i} \omega_{1} \omega_{2}+I_{21}^{i} \omega_{1} \omega_{3} \\
\left(I_{33}^{i}-I_{11}^{i}\right) \omega_{1} \omega_{3}-I_{31}^{i}\left(\omega_{3}^{2}-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)-I_{21}^{i} \omega_{2} \omega_{3}+I_{32}^{i} \omega_{1} \omega_{2} \\
\left(I_{11}^{i}-I_{22}^{i}\right) \omega_{1} \omega_{2}-I_{21}^{i}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)-I_{32}^{i} \omega_{1} \omega_{3}+I_{31}^{i} \omega_{2} \omega_{3}
\end{array}\right]} \\
& R_{1}^{i}=R_{1}^{i}-R_{1 G} \\
& R_{2}^{{ }^{i}}=R_{2}^{i}-R_{2 G} \\
& R_{3}^{i d}=R_{3}^{i}-R_{3 G}
\end{aligned}
$$

$[G]=\left[\begin{array}{llll}{[G]^{1}} & & \\ & {[G]^{2}} & & \\ & & {[G]^{3}} & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & {[G]^{n}}\end{array}\right]$

$$
6 n \times 6 n
$$

where $[G]^{i}=$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c:c}
G_{11} & 0 \\
\hdashline 0 & G_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and
$G_{11}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0 & -2 m^{i} \omega_{3} & 2 m^{i} \omega_{2} \\ 2 m^{i} \omega_{3} & 0 & -2 m^{i} \omega_{1} \\ -2 m^{i} \omega_{2} & 2 m^{i} \omega_{1} & 0\end{array}\right]$

$$
G_{22}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \left(I_{33}^{i}-I_{11}^{i}-I_{22}^{i}\right) \omega_{3} & \left(I_{33}^{i}+I_{11}^{i}-I_{22}^{i}\right) \omega_{2} \\
+2 I_{32}^{i} \omega_{2}+2 I_{31}^{i} \omega_{1} & -2 I_{32}^{i} \omega_{3}-2 I_{21}^{i} \omega_{1} \\
\left(I_{11}^{i}+I_{22}^{i}-I_{33}^{i}\right) \omega_{3} & 0 & \left(I_{11}^{i}-I_{22}^{i}-I_{33}^{i}\right) \omega_{1} \\
-2 I_{32}^{i} \omega_{2}-2 I_{31}^{i} \omega_{1} & 0 & +2 I_{21}^{i} \omega_{2}+2 I_{31}^{i} \omega_{3} \\
\left(I_{22}^{i}-I_{33}^{i}-I_{11}^{i}{ }^{i} \omega_{2}\right. & \left(I_{22}^{i}+I_{33}^{i}-I_{11}^{i}\right) \omega_{1} & 0 \\
+2 I_{32}^{i} \omega_{3}+2 I_{21}^{i} \omega_{1} & -2 I_{21}^{i} \omega_{2}-2 I_{31}^{i} \omega_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The inverse of this matrix is expressed in the following form, rather than inverting [T] by some matrix inversion technique.
where

$$
\mu_{k}^{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{m^{i}}{n} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { if the motion of mass at node } i \text { in } k t h \\
\text { direction is not constrained to be }
\end{array} \\
\text { zero } \\
0 & \begin{array}{l}
\text { if the motion of mass at node i in } k t h \\
\text { direction is constrained to be zero }
\end{array}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
S_{k o}=1-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{k}^{i}
$$

where $m^{i}$ is the mass at the ith node point of the total of ' $n$ ' nodes and $I_{j k}^{i}$ is the moment of inertia of the rigid body at the ith node, $M_{o}$ is the mass of the central rigid body and $\{\omega\}$ is the spin vector.

Matrices [G], [ $\left.\mathrm{K}^{1]}\right],[\mathrm{T}]$, and $\left[\mathrm{T}^{-1}\right]$ are the square matrices of the dimension $6 \mathrm{n} \times 6 \mathrm{n}$. Rows and columns corresponding to the degree-of-freedom which are either constrained to be zero or have no mass should be removed. This will reduce the above matrices to NxN where N is the total degree-of-freedom of the problem.

## APPENDIX B

|  | BEGIN | EIGENVALUE ANALYSIS OF ROTATING STRUCTURES. \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GP1 | GEDM1, GEDM2,/GPL, EQEXIN,GPDT, CSTM, BGPDT, SIL/V,N,LUSET/C,N,123/ |
|  |  | V,N;NAGPDT \$ |
| 3 | SAVE | LUSET $\$$ |
|  | GP2 | GEOM2,EQEXIN/ECT \$ |
| 5 | PLTSET | PCDB, EQEXIN, ECT/PLTSETX, PLTPAR§GPSETS,ELSETS/V,N,NSIL/V,N, JUMPPLOT $\$$ |
| 6 | SAVE | NSIL, JUMPPLDT $\%$ |
| 7 | PRTMSG | PLTSETX// \$ |
| 8 | SETVAL | //V;N,PLTFLG/C\&N,L/V,N;PFILE/C;N,O\$ |
| 9 | SAVE | PLTFLG/PFILE |
| 10 | CONO | PliJUMPPLOT \$ |
| 11 | PLOT | PLIPAR,GPSETS, ELSETS,CASECC,BGPDT, EQEXIV,SIL,,/PLRTXI/V,N. NSIL/VIN,LUSET/V,NGJUMPPLGT/V,N,PLTFLG/V,N,PFILE \$ |
| 12 | SAVE | JUMPPL§T, PLTFLG, PFILE \$ |
| 13 | PRTMSG | PLOTXI// \$ |
| 14 | LABEL | P1 \$ |
| 15 | GP 3 | GE®M3, EQEXIN,GEQM2/SLT/GPTT/C,N,123/V,N,NQGRAV/C, V, 123 \$ |
| 16 | TAl: | , ECT, EPT, BGPDT\&SIL;GPTT,CSTM/EST, ,GEI,ECPT,GPCT/V,N,LUSET/C,N, 123/V,N,NOSIMP/C,N:O/VGN,NOGENL/V,N,GENEL \$ |
| 17 | SAVE | NOGENL $\$$ NOSTMP,GENEL \$ |
| 18 | COND | ERRRRI INOSIMP \$ |
| 19 | PURGE | OGPST/GENEL \$ |
| 20 | SMA1 | CSTM, MPT, ECPT,GPCTGDITAKGGX,,GPST/V,N,NDGENL/V,N,VOK4GG \$ |
| 21 | SMA2 | CSTM,MPT,ECPT,GPCT,OITZMGG;/V,Y,WTMASS=1,O/V,N,NDMGG/V,N,NøBGG/ $V, Y$, COUPMASS=-1 \$ |
| 22 | SAVE | NDMGG \$ |
| 23 | COND | LBLI,GRDPNT \$ |
| 24 | COND | ERRØR4 4 NOMGG |
| 25 | GPWB | BGPDT, CSTM; EQEXIN,MGG/OGPWG/V,Y,GROPNT $=-1 / V, Y$,WTMASS $\$$ |
| 26 | OFP | ØGPWG, ${ }^{\text {G }}$, ,///V,N,CARDNO \$ |
| 27 | SAVE | CARDN® $\$$ |
| 28 | LABEL | LBLI \$ |
| 29 | EQUIV | KGGX,KGG/NDGENL $\$$ |
| 30 | COND | LBL11,NOGENL \$ |
| 31 | SMA3 | GEI,KGGX/KGG/V,N,LUSET/V,NGNDGENL/V,N,NOSIMP \$ |
| 32 | LABEL | LBLILs |
| 33 | PARAM | //C;N,MPY/V;N,NSKIP/C,N,O/C,N,O \$ |
| 34 | GP4 | CASECC, GEDM4, EQEXIN,SIL,GPDT/RG,YS,USET/V,N,LUSET/V,N,MPCF1/V, N,MPCF2/V,N,SINGLE/V,N/EMIT/V,N,REACT/V,N,NSKIP/V,N,REPEAT/V, $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NOSET} / \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NOL/V}, \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{NQA} \$$ |
| 35 | SAVE |  |
| 36 | COND | ERRORSINOL |
| 37 | PURGE |  SINGLE/UBODV/OMIT/YBS,PBS,KBFS;KBSS,KDFS,KDSS/SIVALE |
| 38 | EQUIV | KGG;KNN/MPCF1/MGG,MNN/MPCF1 \$ |
| 39 | CENO | LBL4D,REACT \$ |
| 40 | JUMP | ERR@R2 |
| 41 | LABEL | LBL4D ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |
| 42 | COND | LBL4,GENEL |
| 43 | GPSP. | GPL,GPST,USET,SIL/OGPSF \$ |
| 44 | QFP | QGPST, \& . $\%$ Y/V,N'CARDND \$ |
| 45 | SAVE | CARDND $\$$ |
| 46 | LABEL | LBL4 |
| 47 | CDNO | LBL2,MPCF2 |
| 48 | MCEI | USET,RG/GM \$ |
| 49 | MCE2 | USET,GM,KGG;MGG, \%/KNN,MNN, $\}$ |
| 50 | LAbEL | LBL2 |
| 51 | EQUIV | KNN, KFF/SINGLE/MNN;MFF/SINGLE \$ |
| 52 | CONO | LBL3,SINGLE \$ |


| 53 SCE1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 54 LAPEL | LBL3 3 |
| 55 EQUIV | KFFOKAA/DMIT/MFF,MAA/GMIT \$ |
| 56 CDND | LBL5, 0 MIT \$ |
| 57 SMPL |  |
| 58 LABEL | LBLS 5 |
| 59 DUMHDO1 | GEOM1, MGG, BGPOT, WW:USET, , /RKP,PG,G:AA,T,TI,RPP, NDDF/ \$ |
| 60 EOUIV | PG;PI/NOSET \$ |
| 61 RBMG2 | KAA/LLI, ULL \$ |
| 62 CDNO | LBLIO,NOSET \$ |
| 63 SSG2 | USET,GMg.YS;KFSKGD, ;PG/iPQ,PS,PL \$ |
| 64 LABEL | LBL10 \$ |
| 65 SS63 |  $V, Y, I R E S=-1$ \$ |
| 66 CDNO | LBL9,IRES $\$$ |
| 67 MATGPR | GPL;USET, SIL, RULV//C,NGL \$ |
| 68 MATGPR | GPL,USET,SIL,RUQV//C,NIO \$ |
| 69 LABEL | L8L9 ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |
| 70 SDRI | USET, PG, ULV, UDOV,YS,GO6GM, PS,KFS,KSS,/USV,PGG,QG/C,N, $1 / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{OS} \square \mathrm{S}$ |
| 71 DUMMDO2 | UGU, , A, \%, /UGVX, , \#, m, /V, YSIUGV=0 \$ |
| 72 SAVE | IUGV \$ |
| 73 MATPRN |  |
| 74 COND | LBB,IUGV \$ |
| 75 DSMG1 | CASECC,GPTT,SIL,EDT,UGV,CSTM,MPT,ECPT,GPCT,DIT/KPGG/ $V, N$ OSCOSET $\$$ |
| 76 SAVE | OSCOSET \$ |
| 77 ADD | KPGG, KGGX/KDGG/C,Y\{ALPHA $=(1,0,0.0) / C, Y, B E T A=(1.0,0.0) ~ \$$ |
| 78 EQUIV | KDGG,KDNN/MPCF2 \$ |
| 79, CDND | LBL2D.MPCF2 $\$$ |
| 80 MCE2 | USET,GM,KDGG \%, $/ 1 \mathrm{KDNN}, \mathrm{I}$ ( $\$$ |
| 81 LABEL | LBL20 |
| 82 EQUIV | KDNN,KDFF/SINGLE \$ |
| 83 CQNO | LBL3D,SINGLE \$ |
| 84 SCEI | USET,KDNN, \%; KDFF,KDFS!KDSS;, \$ |
| 85 LABEL | LBL3D $\$$ |
| 86 EQUIV | KDFF,KDAA/QMIT \$ |
| 87 Cond | LBLSD, DMIT 5 |
| 88 SMPI | USET, KDFF, |
| 89 LABEL | LBL5D |
| 90 LABEL | LBB $\$$ |
| 91 EQUIV | KAA;KDAA/IUGV/GD,GDO/IUGV \$ |
| 92 MATPRN | KAA;G®tri/7 \$ |
| 93 MPYAD |  |
| 94 MPYAD | KAA; TI//KSUM2/C, $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}, 1 / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}, 1 \mathrm{l}$ |
| 95 ADD | KSUM, KP/KSUML/C; Y, ALPHA $=(1: 0,0.0) / C, Y, B E T A=(1.0,3.0) \$$ |
| 96 DPD |  |
| 97 OUTPUT 2 | RPP, NDQF, TI, ///C,Y\&P1=-1/C $/ \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{P} 2=-1 \$$ |
| 98 OUTPUT2 | MAA, KSUM2,KSUM;KP,G//C/Y,Pl=-1/C,Y,P2=-1 \$ |
| 99 CEAD | KSUMI, GrMAA, EED, CASECC/PHISCLAMA, \&CEIGS/V,N,EIGVS \$ |
| 100 SAVE | EIGVS |
| 101 DFP | ØCEIGS/CLAMA*, $\mid$ ///V.N,CARDND |
| 102 SAVE | CARDNO $\$$ |
| 103 CDNO | LBLI6,EIGVS $\$$ |
| 104 MPYAD |  |
| 105 MATPRN | PHID, PHL, $6 / / 1$ \$ |
| 106 MDDC | AA;PHIE//C6N*-1 \$ |
| 107 VER | CASECC:EQOYN.USETOIPHID,CLAMA,G/GPHID,/G,N,CEIGN/E,N,DIRECT/ $C, N, O / V, N, N D D / V, N, N D P / C, N, O \$$ |
| 108 SAVE | NRO, NOP \$ |

```
109 C0ND LBL15,NDD $
110 BFP GPHID,,mF,//V,N,CARDNG$
l11SAVE CAROND $
112 LABEL LBLI5 $
113GOND LBLIG,NOP $
II4EQUIV PHID,CPHIPNNOA $
115COND LBLIT,NOA $
116 SDRL USETD,IPHID,,,GDE,GM,,KFS,G/CPHIP,'OPC/E,N,I/C,N,DYNAMICS $
117 LABEL LBLI7$
118 SDR2 CASECC&CSTM,MPT,OIT,EQDYN,SILD,,,,CLAMA,QPC,CPHIP,EST,I,DQPCI,
    ロCPHIP;DESCI,DEFCL{/C,N,CEIG $
    ØCPHIPIDQPCL,ØEFC1/DESC1,,//V,N,CARONO $
    CARDND$
    LBL16 $
    FINIS $
    ERRDR1 $
    //C,N,-1/C&N,MODES$
    ERRQR2 $
    //C,N,-2/C!N,DIFFSTIF$
    ERROR4 $
    //C,N,-4/CIN,DIFFSTLIF$
    ERRORS $
    //C,N,-5/CGN,DIFFSTIF$
    FINIS $
    $
```

2. GP1 generates coordinate system transformation matrices, table of grid point locations, and tables for relating internal and external grid point numbers.
3. GP2 generates Element Connection Table with internal indices.
4. PLTSET transforms user input into a form used to drive structure plotter.
5. PRTMSG prints error messages associated with structure plotter.
6. Go to DMAP No. 14 if no undeformed structure plot request.
7. PLOT generates all requested undeformed structure plots.
8. PRTMSG prints plotter data and engineering data for each undeformed plot generated.
9. GP3 generates Grid Point Temperature Table.
10. TAl generates element tables for use in matrix assembly and stress
recovery.
11. Go to DMAP No. 123 and print error message if there are no structural elements.
12. SMAl generates stiffness matrix $\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{gg}}^{\mathrm{x}}\right]$ and Grid Point Singularity
Table.
13. SMA2 generates mass matrix [ $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{gg}}$ ].
14. Go to DMAP No. 28 if no weight and balance request.
15. Go to DMAP No. 127 and print error message if no mass matrix exists.
16. GPWG generates weight and balance information,
17. OFP formats weight and balance information and places it on the system output file for printing.
18. Equivalence $\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{gg}}^{\mathrm{X}}\right]$ to $\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{gg}}\right]$ if no general elements.
19. Go to DMAP No. 32 if no general elements.
20. SMA3 adds general elements to $\left[K_{g g}^{X}\right]$ to obtain stiffness matrix $\left[K_{g g}\right]$.
21. GP4 generates flags defining members of various displacement sets (USET), forms multipoint constraint equations $\left[R_{g}\right]\left\{u_{g}\right\}=0$ and forms enforced displacement vector $\left\{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{s}}\right\}$.
22. Go to DMAP No. 129 and print error message if no independent degrees of freedom are defined.
23. Equivalence $\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{gg}}\right.$ ] to [ $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{nn}}$ ] and [ $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{gg}}$ ] to [ $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{nn}}$ ] if no multipoint
24. Go to DMAP No. 41 if no free-body supports supplied.
25. Go to DMAP No. 125 and print error message if free-body supports are present.
26. Go to DMAP No. 46 if general elements present.
27. GPSP determines if possible grid point singularities remain.
28. OFP formats table of possible grid point singularities and places it on the system output file for printing.
29. Go to DMAP No. 50 if MCE1 and MCE2 have already been executed for current set of multipoint constraints.
30. MCEl partitions multipoint constraint equations $\left[R_{g}\right]=\left[R_{m} / R_{n}\right]$
and solves for multipoint constraint transformation $\left.{ }_{\text {matrix }}\right]$

$$
\left[G_{m}\right]=-\left[R_{m}\right]-1\left[R_{n}\right]
$$

49. MCE2 partitions stiffness and mass matrices

$$
\left[K_{g g}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{K}_{n n} & 1_{K}{ }_{n m} \\
\bar{K}_{\mathrm{mn}} & \dagger_{\mathrm{K}}- \\
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{mm}}
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{gg}}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\bar{M}_{\mathrm{nn}} & M_{\mathrm{nm}} \\
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{mn}} & M_{\mathrm{mm}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and performs matrix reductions

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[K_{n n}\right]=} & {\left[\bar{K}_{n n}\right]+\left[G_{m}^{T}\right]\left[K_{m n}\right]+\left[K_{m n}^{T}\right]\left[G_{m}\right] } \\
& +\left[G_{m}^{T}\right]\left[K_{m m}\right]\left[G_{m}\right] \text { and } \\
{\left[M_{n n}\right]=} & {\left[\bar{M}_{n n}\right]+\left[G_{m}^{T}\right]\left[M_{m n}\right]+\left[M_{m n}^{T}\right]\left[G_{m}\right] } \\
& +\left[G_{m}^{T}\right]\left[M_{m m}\right]\left[G_{m}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

51. Equivalence $\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{nn}}\right.$ ] to $\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ff}}\right.$ ] and $\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{nn}}\right.$ ] to $\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ff}}\right.$ ] if no singlepoint constraints.
52. Go to DMAP No. 54 if no single-point constraints.
53. SCEl partitions out single-point constraints.
$\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{nn}}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cl}\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ff}} & 1 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{fs}} \\ \frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sf}}}{} & +\frac{K_{\mathrm{ss}}}{-}\end{array}\right]$
and
$\left[M_{n n}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c:c}M_{f f} & M_{f s} \\ \frac{M_{s f}}{} & M_{s s}\end{array}\right]$.
54. Equivalence $\left[K_{f f}\right]$ to $\left[K_{a a}\right]$ and $\left[M_{f f}\right]$ to $\left[M_{a a}\right]$ if no omitted coordinates.
55. Go to DMAP No. 58 if no omitted coordinates.
56. SMP1 partitions constrained stiffness and mass matrices

$$
\left[K_{f f}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c:c}
\bar{K}_{\mathrm{fa}} & K_{\mathrm{aoo}} \\
\frac{K_{\mathrm{oa}}}{} & \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{oo}}
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ff}}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c:c}
\bar{M}_{\mathrm{aa}} & M_{\mathrm{ao}} \\
\hdashline M_{\mathrm{oa}} & M_{\mathrm{oo}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

solves for transformation matrix $\left[\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{o}}\right]=-\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{oo}}\right]^{-1}\left[\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{oa}}\right]$,
and performs matrix reductions $\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{aa}}\right]=\left[\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{\mathrm{aa}}\right]+\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{oa}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]\left[\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{o}}\right]$ and $\left[M_{a a}\right]=\left[\bar{M}_{a a}\right]+\left[M_{o a}^{T}\right]\left[G_{o}\right]+\left[G_{o}^{T}\right]\left[M_{o a}\right]$

$$
+\left[G_{o}^{T}\right]\left[M_{o o}\right]\left[G_{o}\right]
$$

59. Dummy module DUMMODl constructs coriolis acceleration matrix [G], centripetal acceleration matrix $\left[K^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]$, transformation matrix $[\mathrm{T}]$ and its inverse [ T$]^{-1}$, and centrifugal load vector $\left\{P_{g}\right\}$. Rows and columns corresponding to the degrees of freedom constrained to be zero or have no mass have been removed from $[\mathrm{G}],\left[\mathrm{K}^{711}\right],[\mathrm{T}]$, and $[\mathrm{T}]-1$. Centrifugal load vector $\left\{P_{g}\right\}$ is in g-set and is reduced in the following D-MAP statements.
60. Equivalence $\left\{P_{g}\right\}$ to $\left\{P_{1}\right\}$ if no constraints applied.
61. RMBG2 decomposes constrained stiffness matrix $\left[\mathrm{K}_{11}\right]=\left\lceil\mathrm{L}_{11}\right]\left[\mathrm{U}_{11}\right]$.
62. Go to DMAP No. 64 if no constraints applied.
63. SSG2 applies constraints to static load vectors

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left\{P_{g}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\bar{P}_{n} \\
-P_{m}
\end{array}\right\}, & \left\{P_{n}\right\}=\left\{\bar{P}_{n}\right\}+\left[G_{m}^{T}\right]\left\{P_{m}\right\}, \\
\left\{P_{n}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\bar{P}_{f} \\
-P_{-} \\
P_{s}
\end{array}\right\}, & \left\{P_{f}\right\}=\left\{\bar{P}_{f}\right\}-\left[K_{f s}\right]\left\{Y_{s}\right\}, \\
\left\{P_{f}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
P_{a} \\
-- \\
P_{o}
\end{array}\right\} & \text { and } \quad\left\{P_{1}\right\}=\left\{P_{a}\right\}+\left[G_{o}^{T}\right]\left\{P_{o}\right\},
\end{array}
$$

65. SSG3 solves for displacements of independent coor dinates

$$
\left\{u_{1}\right\}=\left[K_{11}\right]^{-1}\left\{P_{1}\right\}
$$

solves for displacements of omitted coordinates

$$
\left\{u_{0}^{0}\right\}=\left[K_{00}\right]^{-1}\left\{P_{0}\right\},
$$

calculates residual vector ( $R U L V$ ) and residual vector error ratio for independent coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{\delta P_{1}\right\} & =\left\{P_{1}\right\}-\left[\mathrm{K}_{11}\right]\left\{u_{1}\right\} \\
\in_{1} & =\frac{\left\{u_{1}^{T}\right\}\left\{\delta P_{1}\right\}}{\left\{P_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\right\}\left\{u_{1}\right\}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and calculates residual vector ( $R U O V$ ) and residual vector error ratio for omitted coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\delta P_{o}
\end{array}\right\} & =\left\{P_{o}\right\}-\left[K_{o o}\right]\left\{u_{o}^{o}\right\} \\
\epsilon & =\frac{\left\{u_{o}^{T}\right\}\left\{\delta P_{o}\right\}}{\left\{P_{o}^{T}\right\}\left\{u_{o}^{o}\right\}}
\end{aligned}
$$

66. Go to DMAP No. 69 if residual vector is not to be printed.
67. Print residual vector for independent coordinates (RULV).
68. Print residual vector for omitted coordinates (RUOV).
69. SDRI recovers dependent displacements

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{u_{o}\right\}=\left[G_{0}\right]\left\{u_{1}\right\}+\left\{u_{0}^{o}\right\}, \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{a} \\
\overline{u_{0}}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{u_{f}\right\}, \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{f} \\
\bar{Y}_{s}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{u_{n}\right\}, \\
& \left\{{ }^{u}{ }_{m}\right\}=\left[G_{m}\right]\left\{\left\{_{n}{ }_{n},\left\{\begin{array}{c}
u_{n} \\
\bar{u}_{\mathrm{m}}^{-}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.u_{\mathrm{g}}\right\},
\end{array}\right.\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and recovers single-point forces of constraint

$$
\left\{q_{s}\right\}=-\left\{P_{s}\right\}+\left[K_{f s}^{T}\right]\left\{u_{f}\right\}+\left[K_{s s}\right]\left\{Y_{s}\right\}
$$

71. DUMMOD2 checks if vector $\left\{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{g}}\right\}$ is a null vector. IUGV $=-1$ if $\left\{u_{g}\right\}$ is null (geometric stiffness matrix KDGG is also a null matrix) otherwise IUGV $=0$.
72. Go to DMAP No. 90 if $I U G V=-1$.
73. DSMG1 generates differential stiffness matrix $\left[K_{g g}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}\right]$.
74. ADD elastic and geometric stiffness matrices in g-set

$$
\left[K_{g g}^{\mathrm{x}}\right]+\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{gg}}^{\mathrm{p}}\right]=\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{gg}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right]
$$

78. Equivalence $\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{gg}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right.$ ] to $\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{nn}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right.$ ] if no multipoint constraints.
79. Go to DMAP No. 81 if no multipoint constraints.
80. MCE2 partitions differential stiffness matrix

$$
\left[K_{g g}^{d}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c:c}
\bar{K}_{n n}^{d} & K_{n m}^{d} \\
\hdashline K_{m n}^{d} & K_{m m}^{d}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and performs matrix reduction $\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{nn}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right]=\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{nn}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right]+\left[\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{mn}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right]$

$$
+\left[K_{m n}^{d}\right]\left[G_{m}\right]+\left[G_{m}^{T}\right]\left[K_{m m}^{d}\right]\left[G_{m}\right]
$$

82. Equivalence $\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{nn}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right]$ to $\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ff}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right]$ if no single-point constraints.
83. Go to DMAP No. 85 if no single-point constraints.
84. SCEl partitions out single-point constraints.

$$
\left[K_{n n}^{d}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c:c}
K_{f f}^{d} & K_{f s}^{d} \\
\hdashline K_{s f}^{d} & K_{s s}^{d}
\end{array}\right]
$$

86. Equivalence $\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ff}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right]$ to $\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{aa}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right]$ if no omitted coordinates.
87. Go to DMAP No. 89 if no omitted coordinates.
88. SMPl partitions constrained stiffness matrix

$$
\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ff}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c:c}
\bar{K}_{\mathrm{aa}}^{\mathrm{d}} & \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{ao}}^{\mathrm{d}} \\
\hdashline- & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{oa}}^{\mathrm{d}}
\end{array} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{oo}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right]
$$

solves for transformation matrix $\left[G_{o o l}\right]=-\left[K_{o o}^{d}\right]^{-1}\left[K_{o a}^{d}\right]$,
and performs matrix reductions $\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{aa}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right]=\left[\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{\mathrm{aa}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right]+\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{oa}}^{\mathrm{Td}}\right]\left[\mathrm{G}_{o o}\right]$.
91. Equivalence $\left[K_{a a}^{d}\right]$ to $\left[K_{a a}\right]$ and $\left[G_{o}\right]$ to $\left[G_{o o}\right]$ if geometric stiffness matrix $\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{gg}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right]$ is a null matrix.
93. Multiplies the matrices $\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{aa}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right][\mathrm{T}]^{-1}=[\mathrm{KSUM}]$.
94. Multiplies the matrices $\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{aa}}\right][\mathrm{T}]^{-1}=[$ KSUM2 $]$.
95. Adds matrix KSUM and the centripetal acceleration matrix [K'1] .
96. DPD generates flags defining members of various displacement sets used in dynamic analysis (USETD), tables relating internal and external grid point numbers, including extra points introduced for dynamic analysis, and prepared Transfer Function Pool and Eigenvalue Extraction Data。
97. Matrices $\left[R_{p}^{1}\right],[N D O F]$ and $[T]^{-1}$ are output on magnetic tape. $\left[R_{p}^{\prime}\right]$ is ( $n \times 4$ ) matrix where $n=$ no. of grid points. First three columns represent the coordinates of grid points in basic coordinates and fourth column stores the mass data at grid points.
[NDOF] is ( 3 xn ) matrix. Value of 1.5 is written if the translational D. O. F. at a grid point is not constrained by SPC, MPC, OMIT or permanent SPC on GRID cards. Otherwise it is written 0.0 .
98. Matrices $\left[M_{a a}\right],\left[K_{a a}\right],\left[K_{a a}^{d}\right],\left[K^{\prime \prime}\right],[G]$ are output on magnetic tape.
$\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{aa}}\right]$ is the reduced elastic stiffness matrix
[ $K_{a a}^{d}$ ] is the reduced (elastic + geometric) stiffness matrix
[ $K^{\prime \prime \prime}$ ] is the reduced centripetal acceleration matrix
[G] is the reduced coriolis acceleration matrix.
99. CEAD extracts complex eigenvalues from the equation

$$
\left[M_{d d} p^{2}+B_{d d} p+K_{d d}\right]\left\{u_{d}\right\}=0
$$

and normalizes eigenvectors according to one of the following user requests:
(1) Unit magnitude of selected coordinate
(2) Unit magnitude of largest component.
101. OFP formats the summary of complex eigenvalues and summary of eigenvalue extraction information and places them on the system output file for printing.
103. Go to DMAP No. 121 if no eigenvalues found.
104. $\{\phi\}$, the eigenvector of

$$
\left[M p^{2}+G p+\left[K^{\prime \prime \prime}+\left[K_{e}+K_{g}\right] T^{-1}\right]\right]\{\phi\}=0
$$

is given by complex eigenvalue analysis step \#91. $\left\{\phi_{d}\right\}$, the eigenvector of

$$
\left[\mathrm{MTp}^{2}+G T p+\mathrm{K}^{11 \prime} \mathrm{~T}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{e}}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{g}}\right]\left\{\phi_{\mathrm{d}}\right\}=0
$$

is obtained in this step $\left\{\phi_{d}\right\}=[T]^{-1}\{\phi\}$.
105. Eigenvectors $\left\{\phi_{d}\right\}$ and $\{\phi\}$ are printed.
106. $\left[\delta^{\mathrm{T}} \delta\right]$, a ( $3 \times 3$ ) matrix for each of the eigenvector $\left\{\phi_{d}\right\}$ is constructed and printed.
107. VDR prepares eigenvectors for output, using only the independent degrees of freedom.
109. Go to DMAP No. 112 if no output request for the independent degrees of freedom.
110. OFP formats the eigenvectors for independent degrees of freedom and places them on the system output file for printing.
113. Go to DMAP No. 121 is no output request involving dependent degrees of freedom or forces and stresses.
114. Equivalence $\left\{\phi_{\mathrm{d}}\right\}$ to $\left\{\phi_{\mathrm{p}}\right\}$ if no constraints applied.
115. Go to DMAP No. 117 if no constraints applied.
116. SDRI recovers dependent components of eigenvectors

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\{\phi_{\mathrm{o}}\right\}=\left[\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{oo}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right]\left\{\phi_{\mathrm{d}}\right\},\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{\mathrm{d}} \\
-\phi_{\mathrm{o}}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\phi_{\mathrm{f}}+\phi_{\mathrm{e}}\right\}, \\
&\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{\mathrm{f}}+\phi_{\mathrm{e}} \\
-\phi_{\mathrm{s}}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\phi_{\mathrm{n}}+\phi_{\mathrm{e}}\right\},\left\{\phi_{\mathrm{m}}\right\}=\left[\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right]\left\{\phi_{\mathrm{n}}+\phi_{\mathrm{e}}\right\}, \\
&\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\phi_{\mathrm{n}}+\phi_{\mathrm{e}} \\
-\phi_{\mathrm{m}}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\phi_{\mathrm{p}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and recovers single-point forces of constraint

$$
\left\{q_{s}\right\}=\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{fs}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]\left\{\phi_{\mathrm{f}}\right\}
$$

118. SDR2 calculates element forces and stresses (OESC1, OEFC1) and prepares eigenvectors and single-point forces of constraint for output (OCPHIP, OQPC1).
119. OFP formats tables prepared by SDR2 and places them on the system output file for printing.
120. Go to DMAP No. 131 and make normal exit.
121. Normal mode analysis error message No. 1-Mass matrix required for real eigenvalue analysis.
122. Static analysis with differential stiffness error message No. 2 Free body support not allowed.
123. Static analysis with differential stiffness error message No. 4 Mass matrix required for weight and balance calculations.
124. Static analysis with differential stiffness error message No. 5 No independent degrees of freedom have been defined.





```
            CALL WRITEINAM2, HEAD2(1)& TWD, EQR) OM130300
            CALL DPEN{$501; IBG, XKPIIBGRI., 0) DM130430
            CALL FWDREC($501, 1BG)
            CALL PPRIMIRGG NODE, WI
    501 CONTINUE
            CALL CLOSE(NAM2, 1)
            CALL CLOSE(IBG;' 1)
c
C ZERD DUT OPEN CDRE
C
    D0 2001 11=1,N1
    2001 XKP(II) =0.0
    CALL QPEN($3000, NAM3% XKP(LCOL+1), 1)
    CALL FNAME(NAM3* HEAO3(1))
    CALL WRITE(NAM3, HEAD3(1)G TWØ. EDR)
    CALL GMAT(XM, NGDE, W)
    3000 CONTINUE
    CALL CLQSE(NAM3, 1)
C
C ZERD EUT gPEN CORE
C
    DD 3001 11=1,NI
    XKP(I.I) = 0.0
    3001 CONTINUE
    CALL DPEN($4000, NAM4& XKP(LCDL+1), 1)
    CALL FNAMEINAM4, HEAD4(1))
    CALL WRITE(NAM4. HEAD4(1)G TWD. EDR)
C
    call amatlndoe)
    CALL CLDSEINAM4. 1)
C
    CALL DPEN($4000, NAM5; XKP(LCOL+1), 1)
    CALL FNAME(NAM5, HEAD4(1)]
    CALL WRITE(NAM5, HEAD4(1)G TWO. EOR)
    CALL TMAT(XM,NDDEGW,ITT)
    CALL CLDSEINAMS, 1)
C
    CALL GPEN($4000, NAMG, XKP(LCOL+1), 1)
    CALL FNAMEINAMG* HEAD4(1)1
    CALL WRITE(NAMG. HEAD4(1); TWD. EQRI
    CALL TIMAT(NQDE,WiITT;XM)
    call ClgSEINAMG, 11
C
C
    CALL GPEN($4000, NAM7; XKP(LCQL+1), 1)
    CALL FNAMEINAMT. HEAD4(111
    CALL GRITEINAM7, HEAD4(1); TWO,. EQR)
    NL = NGDE
    MM(1) = 207
    MM(2) = 0
    MM(3) = NQDE
    MM(4) =2
    MM(5) = 1
    MM(6) = NDOE
    D& 3500 II=1,3
    CALL PACKIRP(1,II), NAMT, WRITE, MM)
    3500. CENTINUE
c
    PACK MASSES
C
```

```
    CALL PACK(M, NAM7S WRITE; MM)
    CALL GRTTRL(MMI1)
    CALL CLDSE(NAMT. 1)
C P
    CALL GPENI$4000, NAM8% XKP(LCDL+1), 1)
    CALL FNAME{NAM8, HEAD4(1)1
    CALL HRITE(NAM8, HEAD4(1)! TWO, EDR)
    D0 3550 11 =1,6
    DD 3550 JI=1,N\varnothingDE
3550 IF(NDEGF(II,JI).GT. 0) DOF(II,J1)=1.5
    NI=3
    MM(1)=208
    MM(2) =0
    MM(3) = 6
    MM(4)=2
    MM(5) =1
    MM(6) = 6
    OO 3600 II=1,NADE
    CALL PACKI DDF(1,II)(NAM8,WRITE, MM)
3600 CDNTINUE
    CALL WRTTRL(MM(1))
    CALL CLDSE(NAM8,1)
4000 CONTINUE
    RETURN
    END
    D:A136400
    DM136500
    DM136620
C
    OH136750
DM136900
DM137090
01137100
OM137200
DM137300
DM137400
    DH137550
DM137600
    DM137700
OM137800
0H137900
DM138000
DM138100
DM138200
DN138300
DN138400
DM138570
DM138600
0W138700
    \ OM138900
```

For complete listing of this program on Univac 1108 Computer, write to Reference 3.


FIGURE 1. GEOMETRY OF SPINNING FLEXIBLE APPENDAGE AND CENTRAL BODY


[^0]:    * Refer to NASTRAN User's Manual for definitions of card names used herein.

