

NASA TN D-7774

### NASA TECHNICAL NOTE

NASA TN D-7774



## NUMERICAL EVALUATION OF THE SURFACE DEFORMATION OF ELASTIC SOLIDS SUBJECTED TO A HERTZIAN CONTACT STRESS

by Bernard J. Hamrock and Duncan Dowson Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135



NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION • WASHINGTON, D. C. • AUGUST 1974

1. Report No.	2. Government Access	ion No.	3, Recipient's Catalog	No.
4. Title and Subtitle NIMERICAL EVA	LUATION OF T	HE SURFACE	5. Report Date	
DEFORMATION OF ELASTIC S	OLIDS SUBJECT	TED TO	August 1974	
A HERTZIAN CONTACT STREE	SS		6, Performing Organiz	ation Code
7. Author(s)	ecorch Center	and	8. Performing Organiz	ation Report No.
Duncan Dowgon Loode University	sity Londs Eng	land	E-7964	
Danour Dowson, needs onivers			10. Work Unit No.	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address			501-24	
Lewis Research Center		Γ	11. Contract or Grant	No.
National Aeronautics and Space	Administration			
Cleveland, Onio 44135			13. Type of Report an	d Period Covered
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			Technical No	te
National Aeronautics and Space	Administration		14. Sponsoring Agency	Code
Washington, D.C. 20546				
15. Supplementary Notes				
16 Abstract				
The electic deformation of two	allingoidal golide	a in contact and sub	incted to a Herts	vian stress
distribution was our hoted www.	mianlly og nort	of a general study of	fected to a herta	an ation of
distribution was evaluated nume	erically as part of	or a general study of	i the elastic der	prination of a
a second s	. •	All a second second second second		منسخات والاست
such solids in elastohydrodynar	nic contacts. In	the analysis the co	ntact zone was d	livided into
such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it	nic contacts. In was assumed th	the analysis the co. at a uniform pressu	ntact zone was d re is applied ov	livided into er each rec-
such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it tangular area. The influence o	nic contacts. In was assumed th f the size of the	the analysis the co. at a uniform pressu rectangular area up	ntact zone was d re is applied ov on accuracy was	livided into er each rec- a also studied.
such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it tangular area. The influence o The results indicate the distance	nic contacts. In was assumed th f the size of the ce from the cente	the analysis the co at a uniform pressu rectangular area up er of the contact at y	ntact zone was d re is applied ov on accuracy was which elastic def	livided into er each rec- s also studied. Cormation
such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it tangular area. The influence o The results indicate the distanc becomes insignificant.	nic contacts. In was assumed th f the size of the ce from the cente	the analysis the co. at a uniform pressu rectangular area up er of the contact at y	ntact zone was d re is applied ov on accuracy was which elastic def	livided into er each rec- s also studied. Cormation
such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it tangular area. The influence o The results indicate the distanc becomes insignificant.	nic contacts. In was assumed th f the size of the re from the cente	the analysis the co. at a uniform pressu rectangular area up er of the contact at s	ntact zone was d re is applied ov on accuracy was which elastic def	livided into er each rec- s also studied. Formation
such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it tangular area. The influence o The results indicate the distanc becomes insignificant.	nic contacts. In was assumed th f the size of the ce from the cente	the analysis the co at a uniform pressu rectangular area up er of the contact at v	ntact zone was d re is applied ov on accuracy was which elastic def	livided into er each rec- s also studied. formation
such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it tangular area. The influence o The results indicate the distanc becomes insignificant.	nic contacts. In was assumed th f the size of the ce from the cente	the analysis the co. at a uniform pressu rectangular area up er of the contact at v	ntact zone was d re is applied ov on accuracy was which elastic def	livided into er each rec- s also studied. Cormation
such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it tangular area. The influence o The results indicate the distanc becomes insignificant.	nic contacts. In was assumed th f the size of the re from the cente	the analysis the co. at a uniform pressu rectangular area up er of the contact at v	ntact zone was d re is applied ov on accuracy was which elastic def	livided into er each rec- s also studied. Cormation
such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it tangular area. The influence o The results indicate the distanc becomes insignificant.	nic contacts. In was assumed th f the size of the re from the cente	the analysis the co. at a uniform pressu rectangular area up er of the contact at v	ntact zone was d re is applied ow on accuracy was which elastic def	livided into er each rec- s also studied. formation
such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it tangular area. The influence o The results indicate the distanc becomes insignificant.	nic contacts. In was assumed th f the size of the ce from the cente	the analysis the co. at a uniform pressu rectangular area up er of the contact at w	ntact zone was d re is applied ov on accuracy was which elastic def	livided into er each rec- s also studied. formation
such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it tangular area. The influence o The results indicate the distanc becomes insignificant.	nic contacts. In was assumed th f the size of the re from the cente	the analysis the co. at a uniform pressu rectangular area up er of the contact at w	ntact zone was d re is applied ov on accuracy was which elastic def	livided into er each rec- s also studied. formation
such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it tangular area. The influence o The results indicate the distanc becomes insignificant.	nic contacts. In was assumed th f the size of the re from the cente	the analysis the co. at a uniform pressu rectangular area up er of the contact at w	ntact zone was d re is applied ov on accuracy was which elastic def	livided into er each rec- s also studied. Cormation
such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it tangular area. The influence o The results indicate the distanc becomes insignificant.	nic contacts. In was assumed th f the size of the re from the cente	the analysis the co. at a uniform pressu rectangular area up er of the contact at v	ntact zone was d re is applied ow on accuracy was which elastic def	livided into er each rec- s also studied. formation
such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it tangular area. The influence o The results indicate the distanc becomes insignificant.	nic contacts. In was assumed th f the size of the re from the cente	the analysis the co. at a uniform pressu rectangular area up er of the contact at w	ntact zone was d re is applied ow on accuracy was which elastic def	livided into er each rec- s also studied. formation
such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it tangular area. The influence o The results indicate the distance becomes insignificant.	nic contacts. In was assumed th f the size of the re from the cente	the analysis the co. at a uniform pressu rectangular area up er of the contact at w	ntact zone was d re is applied ov on accuracy was which elastic def	livided into er each rec- a also studied. formation
such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it tangular area. The influence o The results indicate the distance becomes insignificant.	nic contacts. In was assumed th f the size of the re from the cente	the analysis the co. at a uniform pressu rectangular area up er of the contact at w 18. Distribution Statement	ntact zone was d re is applied ov on accuracy was which elastic def	livided into er each rec- s also studied. Cormation
such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it tangular area. The influence o The results indicate the distance becomes insignificant.	nic contacts. In was assumed th f the size of the re from the cente	the analysis the co. at a uniform pressu rectangular area up er of the contact at a 18. Distribution Statement Unclassified	ntact zone was d re is applied ow on accuracy was which elastic def	livided into er each rec- s also studied. formation
<ul> <li>such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it tangular area. The influence o The results indicate the distance becomes insignificant.</li> <li>17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Elastohydrodynamics Boll backing</li> </ul>	nic contacts. In was assumed th f the size of the re from the cente	<ul> <li>the analysis the co. at a uniform pressurectangular area up er of the contact at we be contact at we rectangular area up er of the contact at we</li></ul>	ntact zone was d re is applied ov on accuracy was which elastic def	livided into er each rec- s also studied. formation
<ul> <li>such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it tangular area. The influence o The results indicate the distance becomes insignificant.</li> <li>17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Elastohydrodynamics Bail bearings Election defermention</li> </ul>	nic contacts. In was assumed th f the size of the re from the cente	the analysis the co. at a uniform pressu rectangular area up er of the contact at w er of the contact at w 18. Distribution Statement Unclassified - w Category 15	ntact zone was d re is applied ov on accuracy was which elastic def	livided into er each rec- a also studied. formation
<ul> <li>such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it tangular area. The influence o The results indicate the distance becomes insignificant.</li> <li>17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Elastohydrodynamics Bail bearings Elastic deformation</li> </ul>	nic contacts. In was assumed th f the size of the re from the cente	the analysis the co. at a uniform pressurectangular area up er of the contact at w 18. Distribution Statement Unclassified - w Category 15	ntact zone was d re is applied ov on accuracy was which elastic def which mited	livided into er each rec- s also studied. Cormation
such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it tangular area. The influence o The results indicate the distance becomes insignificant. 17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Elastohydrodynamics Ball bearings Elastic deformation	nic contacts. In was assumed th f the size of the re from the center 	the analysis the co. at a uniform pressu rectangular area up er of the contact at a set of the contact at a la. Distribution Statement Unclassified - u Category 15	ntact zone was d re is applied ov on accuracy was which elastic def unlimited	livided into er each rec- s also studied. formation
<ul> <li>such solids in elastohydrodynar equal rectangular areas, and it tangular area. The influence o The results indicate the distance becomes insignificant.</li> <li>17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s))</li> <li>Elastohydrodynamics Ball bearings Elastic deformation</li> <li>19. Security Classif. (of this report)</li> </ul>	20. Security Classif. (c	the analysis the co. at a uniform pressu rectangular area up er of the contact at w 18. Distribution Statement Unclassified - w Category 15	ntact zone was d re is applied ov ion accuracy was which elastic def which elastic def unlimited	livided into er each rec- s also studied. formation

.

\* For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151

\_

terre de la constante de la co

· • · · · · · ·

## NUMERICAL EVALUATION OF THE SURFACE DEFORMATION OF ELASTIC SOLIDS SUBJECTED TO A HERTZIAN CONTACT STRESS by Bernard J. Hamrock and Duncan Dowson<sup>\*</sup> Lewis Research Center

#### SUMMARY

The elastic deformation of two ellipsoidal solids in contact and subjected to a Hertzian stress distribution was evaluated numerically as part of a general study of the elastic deformation of such solids in elastohydrodynamic contacts. In the analysis the contact zone was divided into equal rectangular areas, and it was assumed that a uniform pressure is applied over each rectangular area. The influence of the size of the rectangular area upon accuracy was also studied. The results indicate the distance from the center of the contact at which elastic deformation becomes insignificant.

#### INTRODUCTION

Elastohydrodynamics (ref. 1) is defined as the study of situations in which elastic deformation of the surrounding solids plays a significant role in the hydrodynamic lubrication process. This report is not concerned with the hydrodynamic lubrication process, but only with deformation due to the pressure of one elastic solid upon another.

Reference 1 distinguishes between two modes of deformation which may exist in machine elements. In one mode, the contact geometry may be affected by overall distortion of the elastic machine element resulting from applied loads, as shown in figure 1(a). In the other, the normal stress distribution in the vicinity of the contact zone may produce local elastic deformations which are significant when compared with the lubricant film thickness, as shown in figure 1(b). This is the mode of deformation with which this report concerns itself. The important distinction is that the first form of deformation is relatively insensitive to the distribution and magnitude of the stresses in the contact zone, whereas the second mode of deformation is intimately linked to the local stress conditions.

\* Professor of Mechanical Engineering, Leeds University, Leeds, England.

Before the deformation can be evaluated, the geometry of the contacting elastic solids and the semimajor and semiminor axes of the contact ellipse must be defined. The analysis is developed in general form since it will ultimately form the basis for calculations of surface deformation in elastohydrodynamic point contacts. But in order to evaluate the influence of mesh or block size upon accuracy, the numerical investigation considers only Hertzian contact stress distributions.

The deformation analysis itself assumed that the contact zone can be divided into rectangular areas and that the pressure is uniform within each rectangular area. Once the elastic deformation had been formulated, investigations were performed to answer the following queries:

(1) How fine do the semimajor and semiminor axes need to be divided to achieve a given accuracy in deformation prediction?

(2) How far from the center of the contact does deformation become insignificant compared with the separation of solids?

These questions were investigated for both light and heavy applied loads and for both equal spheres in contact and a contact that is common to the outer race of a ball bearing.

#### SYMBOLS

- a semimajor axis of contact ellipse
- $\overline{a}$  a/2m
- b semiminor axis of contact ellipse
- $\overline{b}$  b/2m
- D defined by eq. (15)
- E modulus of elasticity

E' 
$$2 \left| \left( \frac{1 - \nu_{\rm A}^2}{E_{\rm A}} + \frac{1 - \nu_{\rm B}^2}{E_{\rm B}} \right) \right|$$

- elliptical integral of second kind
- F normal applied load
- **T** elliptical integral of first kind
- h total film thickness
- h<sub>o</sub> central film thickness due to elastohydrodynamic lubrication
- J function of k defined by eq. (6)
- k elliptical eccentricity parameter, a/b
- 2

m	number of divisions of semimajor or semiminor axis
Ρ	dimensionless pressure, p/E'
р	pressure
R	effective radius
R <sub>1</sub>	$S/\overline{S}$
R <sub>2</sub>	w/s
R <sub>3</sub>	$100\left(\frac{w_{m}-w_{3m}}{w_{3m}}\right)$
r	defined in fig. 3
S	approximate film thickness due to geometry of contacting solids, defined in eq. (24)
ŝ	exact film thickness due to geometry of contacting solids, defined in eq. (23)
W	dimensionless load parameter, $\frac{F}{E'R_{R_{r_{r_{r_{r_{r_{r_{r_{r_{r_{r_{r_{r_{r_$
w	total elastic deformation
w	elastic deformation
$\left. \begin{array}{c} X, \overline{X}, x \\ Y, \overline{Y}, y \\ Z, \overline{Z}, z \end{array} \right\}$	coordinate systems defined in report
г	curvature difference
ν	Poisson's ratio
arphi	auxiliary angle
Subscripts	S:
Α	solid A
В	solid B
х, у	coordinate system defined in report

#### GEOMETRY OF CONTACTING ELASTIC SOLIDS

Two solids having different radii of curvature in a pair of principal planes (x and y) passing through the contact between the solids make contact at a single point under the condition of no applied load. Such a condition is called point contact and is shown in figure 2. (In fig. 2 the radius of curvature is denoted by r.) In the analysis which follows

it was assumed that for convex surfaces as shown in figure 2 the curvature is positive but that for concave surfaces the curvature is negative.

The curvature sum and difference are defined as

$$\frac{1}{R} = \frac{1}{R_x} + \frac{1}{R_y}$$
(1)

$$\Gamma = R \left( \frac{1}{R_x} - \frac{1}{R_y} \right)$$
(2)

where

$$\frac{1}{R_x} = \frac{1}{r_{Ax}} + \frac{1}{r_{Bx}}$$
(3)

$$\frac{1}{R_{y}} = \frac{1}{r_{Ay}} + \frac{1}{r_{By}}$$
(4)

When a normal load is applied to the two solids in figure 2, the point expands to an ellipse with a as the semimajor axis and b as the semiminor axis. The normal applied load F in figure 2 lies along the axis which passes through the center of the solids and through the point of contact and is perpendicular to a plane which is tangential to both solids at the point of contact. For the special case where  $r_{Ay} = r_{Ax}$  and  $r_{Bx} = r_{By}$ , the resulting contact is a circle rather than an ellipse.

The elliptical eccentricity parameter k is defined as

$$\mathbf{k} = \frac{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{b}} \tag{5}$$

From reference 2 the eccentricity parameter k can be written to relate the curvature difference and the elliptic integrals of the first and second kind as

$$J(k) = \sqrt{\frac{2\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{E}(1 + \Gamma)}{\mathcal{E}(1 - \Gamma)}}$$
(6)

where

used, where

$$\mathcal{F} = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \left[ 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{k^{2}}\right) \sin^{2} \varphi \right]^{-1/2} d\varphi$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \left[ 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{k^{2}}\right) \sin^{2} \varphi \right]^{1/2} d\varphi$$
(7)
$$\mathcal{F} = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \left[ 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{k^{2}}\right) \sin^{2} \varphi \right]^{1/2} d\varphi$$
(8)

A one-point iteration method, which has been used successfully in the past (ref. 3) was

$$\mathbf{k}_{n+1} = \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{k}_n) \tag{9}$$

When the elliptical eccentricity parameter k is known, the semimajor and semiminor axes of the contact ellipse can be written as

$$a = \left(\frac{6k^2 \mathscr{E} WRR_x R_y}{\pi}\right)^{1/3}$$
(10)  
$$b = \frac{a}{k}$$
(11)

where W is the load parameter and is defined as

$$W = \frac{F}{E'R_{x}R_{y}}$$
(12)

$$E' = \frac{2}{\begin{bmatrix} 1 - \nu_{A}^{2} + \frac{1 - \nu_{B}^{2}}{E_{A}} + \frac{1 - \nu_{B}^{2}}{E_{B}} \end{bmatrix}}$$
(13)

5

(8)

and

- F normal applied load
- $\nu$  Poisson's ratio
- E modulus of elasticity

#### ELASTIC DEFORMATION

In the previous section the general geometry of two ellipsoidal solids in elastic contact was summarized. In the subsequent analysis it will be convenient to consider the deformation of an equivalent elastic half-space subjected to a Hertzian pressure distribution over the ellipse of semimajor and semiminor axes, a and b, as previously defined. The resulting elastic deformation can be considered to be equivalent to the total deformation of two elastic ellipsoids having elastic constants  $E_A$ ,  $\nu_A$  and  $E_B$ ,  $\nu_B$ , respectively, if the half-space is allocated the equivalent elastic parameter E' defined by equation (13).

Once the semimajor and semiminor axes of the contact ellipse have been defined, the elastic deformation which occurs inside and outside the contact zone can be evaluated. Figure 3 shows a rectangular area of uniform pressure with the coordinate system to be used. From Timoshenko and Goodier (ref. 4) the elastic deformation at a point (X, Y) of a semi-infinite solid subjected to a pressure p at the point  $(X_1, Y_1)$  can be written as

$$d\widetilde{w} = \frac{2p \ dX_1 \ dY_1}{\pi E' \widetilde{r}}$$

The elastic deformation at a point (X, Y) due to the uniform pressure over the rectangular area  $2\overline{a} \times 2\overline{b}$  is thus

$$\widetilde{w} = \frac{2P}{\pi} \int_{-\overline{a}}^{\overline{a}} \int_{-\overline{b}}^{\overline{b}} \frac{dX_1 dY_1}{\sqrt{(Y - Y_1)^2 + (X - X_1)^2}}$$

where

 $P = \frac{p}{E'}$ 

Integrating the preceding equation gives

$$\overline{\mathbf{w}} = \frac{2}{\pi} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{D} \tag{14}$$

where

$$D = (X + \overline{b}) \ln \left[ \frac{(Y + \overline{a}) + \sqrt{(Y + \overline{a})^{2} + (X + \overline{b})^{2}}}{(Y - \overline{a}) + \sqrt{(Y - \overline{a})^{2} + (X + \overline{b})^{2}}} \right] + (Y + \overline{a}) \ln \left[ \frac{(X + \overline{b}) + \sqrt{(Y + \overline{a})^{2} + (X + \overline{b})^{2}}}{(X - \overline{b}) + \sqrt{(Y + \overline{a})^{2} + (X - \overline{b})^{2}}} \right] \\ + (X - \overline{b}) \ln \left[ \frac{(Y - \overline{a}) + \sqrt{(Y - \overline{a})^{2} + (X - \overline{b})^{2}}}{(Y + \overline{a}) + \sqrt{(Y + \overline{a})^{2} + (X - \overline{b})^{2}}} \right] \\ + (Y - \overline{a}) \ln \left[ \frac{(X - \overline{b}) + \sqrt{(Y - \overline{a})^{2} + (X - \overline{b})^{2}}}{(X + \overline{b}) + \sqrt{(Y - \overline{a})^{2} + (X - \overline{b})^{2}}} \right]$$
(15)

As a check on the validity of equation (14) the following two cases were evaluated: Case 1: For  $\overline{b} = \overline{a}$  and X = Y = 0, equation (14) reduces to

$$\overline{w} = \frac{16}{\pi} \operatorname{Pa} \ln \left( 1 + \sqrt{2} \right) \tag{16}$$

Equation (16) represents the elastic deformation at the center of a square of uniform pressure. This equation is in agreement with that shown by Timoshenko and Goodier (ref. 4, eq. (210), p. 370).

Case 2: For  $\overline{b} = \overline{a}$  and  $X = Y = \overline{a}$ , equation (14) reduces to

$$\overline{\mathbf{w}} = \frac{8}{\pi} \mathbf{P} \overline{\mathbf{a}} \ln \left( 1 + \sqrt{2} \right) \tag{17}$$

Equation (17) represents the elastic deformation at the corner of a square of uniform pressure. This equation is also in agreement with that in reference 4. From equations (16) and (17) we find the corner deformation to be one-half the deformation at the center of a square block of pressure.

Now  $\overline{w}$  in equation (14) represents the elastic deformation at a point (X, Y) due to a rectangular area  $2\overline{a} \times 2\overline{b}$  of uniform pressure p. If the contact ellipse is divided into a number of equal rectangular areas, the total deformation at a point (X, Y) due to the contributions of the various rectangular areas of uniform pressure in the contact ellipse can be evaluated numerically. Figure 4 shows how the area inside and outside the contact ellipse may be divided into a number of equal rectangular areas. For purposes of illustration the contact was divided into a grid of  $6 \times 6$  rectangular areas. The effects of the fineness of this grid are discussed in the section COMPUTER PROGRAM. Figure 4 can be used to write the total elastic deformation at any point inside or outside the contact ellipse, caused by the rectangular areas of uniform pressure within the contact ellipse, as

$$w_{k,l} = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{j=1,2,\ldots}^{6} \sum_{i=1,2,\ldots}^{6} P_{i,j} D_{m,n}$$
 (18)

where

$$\mathbf{m} = |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{i}| + \mathbf{1} \tag{19}$$

$$\mathbf{n} = \left| \boldsymbol{l} - \mathbf{j} \right| + \mathbf{1} \tag{20}$$

Note that  $D_{1,1}$  would be D in equation (15) evaluated at X = 0, Y = 0, while  $D_{2,3}$  would be evaluated at  $X = \overline{b}$ ,  $Y = 2\overline{a}$ .

We assumed the pressure within the contact ellipse to be Hertzian. Therefore, for the coordinate system of figure 4 the dimensionless pressure is

$$\mathbf{P} = \frac{3WR_{\mathbf{x}}R_{\mathbf{y}}}{2\pi ab} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\overline{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{a}}{a}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\overline{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbf{b}}{b}\right)^2}$$
(21)

The pressure outside the contact was assumed to be zero. Therefore, for example, from figure 4,  $P_{3,4}$  would be equivalent to the dimensionless pressure P from equation (21) evaluated at  $X = 5\overline{b}$  and  $Y = 7\overline{a}$ .

Equation (22) points out more explicitly the meaning of equation (18). The elastic deformation at the center of the rectangular area  $w_{9,5}$  (shown in fig. 4) caused by the pressure of the various rectangular areas in the contact ellipse can be written as

$$w_{9,5} \approx \frac{2}{\pi} \left\{ P_{1,1} D_{9,5} + P_{2,1} D_{8,5} + \dots + P_{6,1} D_{4,5} + P_{1,2} D_{9,4} + P_{2,2} D_{8,4} + \dots + P_{6,2} D_{4,4} + \dots + P_{6,2} D_{4,4} + \dots + P_{1,6} D_{9,2} + P_{2,6} D_{8,2} + \dots + P_{6,6} D_{4,2} \right\}$$

$$(22)$$

#### FILM THICKNESS

The distance separating the two undistorted solids shown in figure 2, for the coordinate system developed in figure 4, can be written as

$$\overline{S} = R_{x} - \sqrt{R_{x}^{2} - (\overline{X} - b)^{2}} + R_{y} - \sqrt{R_{y}^{2} - (\overline{Y} - a)^{2}}$$
(23)

It has been found in reference 5 and elsewhere that the distance described in equation (23) can be approximated by

 $S = \frac{(\overline{X} - b)^2}{2R_x} + \frac{(\overline{Y} - a)^2}{2R_y}$ (24)

The degree to which equation (24) represents the distance expressed in equation (23) is determined by the ratio

 $R_1 = \frac{S}{\overline{S}}$ (25)

The total film thickness when a contact zone is elastohydrodynamically lubricated can be written as

$$h = h_0 + S(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) + w(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})$$
(26)

where

ho central film thickness due to elastohydrodynamic lubrication

S film thickness due to geometry of contacting solids

w elastic deformation inside and outside contact region

The significance of the elastic deformation relative to the film thickness due to the geometry of the contacting solids can be expressed as

$$R_2 = \frac{W}{S}$$
(27)

#### COMPUTER PROGRAM

Figure 4 shows that we need to be concerned with the following questions:

(1) How fine must the divisions of a and b be? We assume that the number of divisions of a and b will be the same. Therefore, we can define the number of divisions as

$$\mathbf{m} = \frac{\mathbf{a}}{2\overline{\mathbf{a}}} = \frac{\mathbf{b}}{2\overline{\mathbf{b}}} \tag{28}$$

In this report we let m = 3, 4, and 5.

(2) How far from the semimajor and semiminor axes does  $R_2$  (eq. (27)) become insignificant? In this study  $R_2$  was evaluated at distances from the center of the contact of four times the semimajor and semiminor axes.

In order to check the accuracy of the elastic deformation results for m = 3, 4, and 5, the number of equal divisions along the semimajor and semiminor axes was increased by three times (m = 9, 12, and 15), and then corresponding points were compared. The following equation describes the percentage accuracy of the results compared with the finest-mesh-size predictions:

$$R_3 = \left(\frac{w_m - w_{3m}}{w_{3m}}\right) 100 \tag{29}$$

The limiting conditions that were evaluated on a computer are shown in table I. It was speculated that conclusions which could be made for these limiting conditions could also be made for any intermediate conditions. The four limiting conditions shown in table I are two extremes of applied normal load, a light load of 8.964 newtons (2 lbf) and a heavy load of 896.4 newtons (200 lbf). The two extremes of curvature of the solids shown in table I are equal spheres in contact and a ball and outer race of a ball bearing. The elliptical eccentricity parameter (k = a/b) for the equal spheres in contact is 1 and for the ball and outer race it is 5.

The equations thus far developed were programmed on the Leeds University Internal Computers Limited (ICL) model 1906A digital computer.

#### DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Tables II to XIII give the characteristics of the deformed shape of the contacting solids along the semimajor and semiminor axes when the axes are divided into three, four, and five equal divisions and the dimensionless load parameter is equated to  $0.2102 \times 10^{-7}$ ,  $0.5105 \times 10^{-7}$ ,  $0.2102 \times 10^{-5}$ , and  $0.5105 \times 10^{-5}$ . Some observations can be made about these tables:

(1) Because of the coarse grid and the elliptical pressure profile, there is not much decrease in pressure in going from the innermost to the outermost point within the contact area.

(2) The agreement of S with  $\overline{S}$  is seen to be good and is borne out by the ratio of the two expressed in terms of  $R_1$ . The biggest disagreement is seen in table VII where  $R_1 = 0.9870$ , which means that  $\overline{S}$  is in agreement with S within 1.3 percent. Because of this good agreement, S will be used in defining the film thickness.

(3) The ratio  $R_2$  of the elastic deformation to the film thickness due to the geometry of the contacting solids is seen to decrease substantially with increasing distance from the center of the contact zone. Furthermore, the predictions of the distance at which the elastic deformation becomes insignificant compared with the natural separation of the solids do not change whether we have two equal spheres or a sphere and an outer race in contact.

(4) The separation due to the geometry of the contacting solids plus the elastic deformation (S + w) is very close to being constant in the contact zone. The value of S + wat the farthest point from the center of the contact zone and yet still in the contact zone differs the most from the other values of S + w in the contact zone, increasing slightly.

(5) The percentage difference in surface deformation calculations for two mesh sizes differing by a factor of 3 was shown to be small. For the worst case shown in table XI,  $R_3$  was found to be equal to 7.494 percent. That is, the surface deformation for m = 3 differs from the film shape at corresponding points when m = 9 by 7.5 percent, which is extremely good.

(6) Comparing tables II with V, III with VI, and so forth, which amounts to changing

the normal applied load from 8.964 newtons (2 lbf) to 896.4 newtons (200 lbf), leads to the following conclusions:

(a)  $R_2$  does not change in the corresponding tables. That is, regardless of the normal applied load, the ratio of the elastic deformation to the natural separation of the solids is unchanged.

(b)  $R_3$  does not change in the corresponding tables. This condition is undoubtedly because of the condition mentioned in (a).

In order to better illustrate the results shown in the tables, figures 5 to 9 are presented. In figures 5 to 7 the solid curves represent the case of equal spheres in contact, which is represented by  $R_x = R_y = 0.5558$  centimeter (0.2188 in.); and the dashed curves represent the ball and outer race in contact, which is represented by  $R_x = 1.284$  cm (0.5055 in.),  $R_y = 15.00$  cm (5.906 in.). Also as a result of the observation made in discussing the tables that  $R_2$  and  $R_3$  are not functions of the normal applied load, the results shown in figures 5 to 7 apply for any normal applied load.

Figures 5(a) and (b) show the effects of the location along the semimajor and semiminor axes, respectively, on the percentage difference in elastic deformation when m = 3 and 9. Here an "edge effect" can be seen, which is a rapid rise in percentage difference in the film thickness when m = 3 and for corresponding points when m = 9. This rapid rise is due to the pressure being either zero if the center of the rectangular area shown in figure 4 is outside the contact zone or of order  $10^5$  if the center of the rectangular area is within the contact zone. However, it is speculated that in lubricated contacts, where the pressure gradients are, in general, more gradual than those encountered near the edge of a dry Hertzian contact, this edge effect is likely to be less significant. Also note that outside the contact zone the value of  $R_3$  decreases.

Figures 6(a) and (b) show the effect of the location along the semimajor and semiminor axes, respectively, on the percentage difference in elastic deformation when m = 3, 4, and 5 and the more exact elastic deformation when m = 9, 12, and 15. These figures show a large drop in  $R_3$  from m = 4 to m = 5, which also brings down the edge effect considerably. There is, therefore, a good case for letting m = 5 in any further computer evaluations.

Figures 7(a) and (b) show the effect of the location along the semimajor and semiminor axes, respectively, on the ratio of the elastic deformation to the distance separating the two solids in contact due to the geometry of the solids. These figures show the effect that the shape of the contact zone has on the distance from the semimajor and semiminor axes at which the elastic deformation becomes insignificant. To be more specific, from the curves we see that for equal spheres in contact (represented by solid lines in the figures)  $R_2 < 0.05$  corresponds to x > 2.6 b and y > 2.6 a. Thus, the elastic deformation is less than 5 percent of the film shape due to the geometry effects at a distance from the center of the contact zone that is no less than 2.6 times the semi-

major or semiminor axis. For the ball and outer race in contact,  $R_2 < 0.05$  corresponds to y > 1.9 a and x > 4.0 b. In other words, the elastic deformation is less than 5 percent of the film shape due to geometry effects at a distance of only 1.9 times the semimajor axis and 4.0 times the semiminor axis from the center of the contact zone.

Figures 8(a) and (b) show the effect of the location along the semimajor and semiminor axes, respectively, on the separation due to the geometry of the contacting solids plus the elastic deformation when the dimensionless load parameter is  $0.2107 \times 10^{-7}$ ,  $0.5105 \times 10^{-7}$ ,  $0.2107 \times 10^{-5}$ , and  $0.5105 \times 10^{-5}$ . These figures show the film shape to be constant within the contact zone.

Figure 9 shows the effect of the number of divisions across the ellipse axes on the computer time for running all four conditions shown in table I. Here we see that the computer run time quickly becomes exorbitant as m is increased. This is why only selected data for  $R_2$  were obtained.

#### CONCLUSIONS

A numerical analysis of the surface deformation of two contacting ellipsoidal solids has been performed. The analysis assumed that the pressure in the contact zone was Hertzian. It was also assumed that the contact zone could be divided into rectangular areas with uniform pressure within each rectangular area. The resulting equations were programmed on a digital computer. Four limiting conditions were evaluated on the computer. They consist of two extremes of applied normal loads, a light load of 8.964 newtons (2 lbf) and a heavy load of 896.4 newtons (200 lbf). The two other extremes are of the curvature of the contacting solids: two equal spheres in contact, and a ball and outer race of a ball bearing. It was speculated that conclusions which could be made for the limiting conditions could also be made for any intermediate condition.

The results indicate that division of the semimajor and semiminor axes into five equal subdivisions is adequate to obtain accurate elastic deformation results. It was also found that the elastic deformation becomes insignificant compared with the normal surface separation for two equal spheres in contact at a distance from the center of 2.6 times the semimajor axis. For a ball and outer race in contact, it was found that a similar observation applied at a distance from the center of 1.9 times the semimajor axis and 4.0 times the semiminor axis. Finally, it was found that the separation due to the geometry of the contacting solids plus the elastic deformation (S + w) was almost constant in the contact region. However, numerical values of S + w at points near the edge of the Hertzian contact show that a slight edge effect or error may be encountered in such regions. In lubricated contacts, where the pressure gradients are, in general. more gradual than those encountered near the edge of a dry Hertzian contact, this effect is likely to be less significant.

Lewis Research Center,

National Aeronautics and Space Administration,

Cleveland, Ohio, May 28, 1974, 501-24.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Dowson, D.: Elastohydrodynamic Lubrication An Introduction and a Review of Theoretical Studies. Paper R1, Inst. of Mech. Eng., May 1965.
- 2. Harris, Tedric A.: Rolling Bearing Analysis. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1966.
- Hamrock, B. J.; and Anderson, W. J.: Analysis of an Arched Outer-Race Ball Bearing Considering Centrifugal Forces. J. Lub. Tech., vol. 95, no. 3, July 1973, pp. 265-276.
- 4. Timoshenko, Stephen; and Goodier, J. N.: Theory of Elasticity. 2nd ed., McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., 1951.
- 5. Dowson, D.; and Higginson, G. R.: Elastohydrodynamic Lubrication. Pergamon Press, 1966.

#### TABLE I. - INPUT CONDITIONS USED FOR COMPUTER EVALUATIONS

[Effective clastic modulus, E', 21.97  $MN/cm^2$  (3.187×10<sup>7</sup> psi); radius of curvature for solid A,  $r_{Ax} = r_{Ay} = 1.111 \text{ cm} (0.4375 \text{ in.}).]$ 

Condition	Dimensionless	Normal a	oplied		Effective	radius		Radius of curvature for solid B				
	load param- eter,	force, F		R	R <sub>x</sub>		y	r	r <sub>Bx</sub>		r <sub>By</sub>	
	W	N	lbf	cm	in.	cm	in.	cm	in.	cm	in.	
1	0.5105×10 <sup>-7</sup>	8.964	2	0.5558	0.2188	0,5558	0.2188	1, 111	0.4375	1, 111	0. 4375	
2	.5105×10 <sup>-5</sup>	896.4	200	.5558	.2188	. 5558	.2188	1.111	. 4375	1.111	. 4375	
3	. 2102×10 <sup>-7</sup>	8.964	2	1.284	.5055	15.00	5.906	-8.260	-3.252	-1.200	4725	
4	$.2102 \times 10^{-5}$	896.4	200	1.284	.5055	15.00	5.906	-8.260	-3.252	-1.200	4725	

TABLE II. - CHARACTERISTICS OF FILM SHAPE ALONG SEMIMAJOR AND SEMIMINOR AXES WHEN THESE AXES ARE DIVIDED INTO THREE EQUAL DIVISIONS AND THE DIMENSIONLESS LOAD PARAMETER IS 0.5105×10<sup>-7</sup>;

THAT IS, COMPITION FOF TABLE I, ON A DETIEND IN CONTACT WITH A DIME.	THAT IS,	CONDITION 1 O	F TABLE I,	OR A SPHERE	IN CONTACT	WITH A SPHER
--	----------	---------------	------------	-------------	------------	--------------

Coord	linates	Press	ure, p	Ratio	Elastic def	ormation, w	Ratio	Total separ	ation, S + w	Ratio
x	Ŧ	N/cm <sup>2</sup>	psi	$R_1 = \frac{S}{\overline{S}}$	cm	in.	$R_2 = \frac{W}{S}$	¢m	· in.	R <sub>3</sub>
7 <b>b</b>	7 <b>a</b>	0.0851×10 <sup>6</sup>	0.1235×10 <sup>6</sup>	1.000	0.0856×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.0337×10 <sup>-3</sup>	35.33	0. 0881×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.0347×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0. 7910
1	9a	. 0745	. 1080		. 0759	. 0299	6.275	.0881	.0347	. 9363
	11 <del>a</del>	. 0462	.0670		.0577	. 0227	1.830	. 0892	. 0351	1.984
}	13 <del>a</del>	0	0	•	. 0366	. 0144	. 6021	. 0970	. 03 82	1.787
	15a			. 9999	. 0269	. 0106	.2720	. 1265	. 0498	. 8633
( '	17a		( )	. 9999	. 0216	. 0085	.1468	. 1697	. 0668	. 4847
1 .	19 <del>a</del>		{ ·	. 9998	. 0183	. 0072	. 0882	. 2243	. 0883	
	21 <del>a</del>			. 9998	.0157	. 0062	. 0572	. 2896	.1140	]
}	23ā		]	. 9997	.0137	. 0054	. 0392	. 3653	.1438	]
	25ã		]	. 9996	. 0122	.0048	. 0282	. 4511	. 1776	
	27a		11	. 9995	. 0112	. 0044	. 0207	. 5469	.2153	
	29ā	Ÿ	, v	. 9994	. 0102	. 0040	. 0158	. 6525	. 2569	
9 <b>b</b>	$7\overline{a}$	. 0745	.1080	1.000	. 0759	. 0299	6.279	. 0881	. 03 47	. 9363
11b		. 0462	. 0670	1.000	. 0704	. 0277	1.832	. 0892	. 0351	1.987
13b		0	ļ	1.000	. 0366	. 0144	. 6027	. 0970	. 03 82	1.787
15b			{	. 9999	. 0269	. 0106	. 2723	. 1262	. 0497	. 8617
175			•	. 9999	. 0216	. 0085	. 1469	. 1694	. 0667	. 4849
195			]	. 9998	. 0183	. 0072	. 0883	. 2240	. 0882	
21b				. 9998	. 0157	. 0062	. 0572	. 2893	. 1139	
23b	ļ		[ [	. 9997	. 0137	. 0054	. 0392	. 3650	. 1437	
25b			[ ]	. 9996	. 0122	. 0048	. 0280	. 4509	. 1775	
27b			i i	, 9995	. 0112	. 0044	. 0207	.5464	. 2151	
29b		¥	¥	. 9994	. 0102	. 0040	.0158	. 6520	. 2567	

TABLE III. - CHARACTERISTICS OF FILM SHAPE ALONG SEMIMAJOR AND SEMIMINOR AXES WHEN THESE AXES ARE DIVIDED INTO FOUR EQUAL DIVISIONS AND THE DIMENSIONLESS LOAD PARAMETER IS 0.5105×10<sup>-7</sup>; THAT IS, CONDITION 1 OF TABLE I, OR A SPHERE IN CONTACT WITH A SPHERE

Coord	inates	Press	ure, p	Ratio	Elastic defe	ormation, w	Ratio	Total separ	ation, S + w	Ratio
Ī	Ŧ	N/cm <sup>2</sup>	psi	$R_1 = \frac{S}{\overline{S}}$	cm	in.	$R_2 = \frac{w}{s}$	cm	in.	н3
9b	9 <b>a</b>	0.0863×10 <sup>6</sup>	0. 1251×10 <sup>6</sup>	1.000	0.0864×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.0340×10 <sup>-3</sup>	63.43	0.0879×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.0346×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0. 7284
	11 <del>a</del>	. 0805	. 1168		.0810	.0319	11.89	. 0879	. 0346	. 7808
	13 <del>a</del>	. 0675	. 0979		. 0704	. 0277	3.965	. 0881	. 0347	. 9380
	15 <del>a</del>	. 0410	. 0594		. 0546	.0215	1.603	. 0886	. 0349	1.674
	17a	0	0	}	. 0378	.0149	. 6762	. 0937	.0369	
	19 <del>a</del>	11	1	. 9999	. 0295	. 0116	. 3543	.1125	. 0443	
1	21a			. 9999	. 0244	. 0096	. 2106	. 1402	. 0552	
	23ā			. 9999	.0208	. 0082	. 1356	. 1701	. 0689	
	25ā	}		9998 .	. 01 83	. 0072	. 0926	.2159	. 0850	
	27ā	11		. 9998	.0163	. 0064	. 0660	. 2631	. 1036	
	29ā		<b>\</b>	. 9997	. 0147	.0058	. 0487	.3160	. 1244	
}	31 <del>a</del>			.9997	. 0135	. 0053	. 0370	. 3747	. 1475	
ļ	33 <del>a</del>	] {		. 9996	.0122	.0048	. 0288	. 4392	. 1729	
ĺ	35ā	<u>]</u> [	1	. 9996	.0114	. 0045	. 0228	.5090	. 2004	
	37a	l e		. 9995	.0107	. 0042	. 0184	.5847	. 2302	
[	39 <del>a</del>	{ 🕈	ŧ	. 9994	. 0099	. 0039	. 0150	.6657	. 2621	
11b	9ā	. 0805	. 1168	1.000	. 0810	. 0319	11.90	. 0879	. 0346	. 7808
136		. 0675	. 0979		.0704	. 0277	3.968	. 0879	. 0346	. 9381
156		. 0410	. 0594		. 0546	. 0215	1.604	. 0886	. 0349	1.674
175		0	0		. 0378	. 0149	. 6768	. 0937	. 0369	
196	l		1	Į 🕴	. 0295	.0116	. 3547	. 1125	. 0443	
21b	[	lí		. 9999	. 0244	. 0096	. 2108	. 1402	.0552	
23b	ļ			. 9999	. 02 08	. 0082	. 1358	.1748	. 0688	
255				. 9999	. 0183	. 0072	. 0927	.2159	. 0850	
27b	Ì		}	. 9998	. 0163	. 0064	. 0661	, 2629	. 1035	
295	}		1)	. 9998	.0147	. 0058	. 0488	.3157	. 1243	
31b			11	. 9997	. 0135	. 0053	. 0371	. 3744	. 1474	
33b	1		11	. 9997	.0122	. 0048	. 0288	. 4387	. 1727	
35b		1)	11	. 9996	. 0114	. 0045	. 0228	. 5088	. 2003	1
376			1	. 9995	. 0107	. 0042	. 0184	. 5842	.2300	
395		1	14	. 9994	. 0099	. 0039	. 0151	. 6652	. 2619	

#### TABLE IV. - CHARACTERISTICS OF FILM SHAPE ALONG SEMIMAJOR AND SEMIMINOR AXES WHEN THESE AXES

ARE DIVIDED INTO FIVE EQUAL DIVISIONS AND THE DIMENSIONLESS LOAD PARAMETER IS 0.5105×10<sup>-7</sup>;

Coord	linates	Press	sure, p	Ratio	Elastic def	ormation, w	Ratio	Total separ	ation, S+w	Ratio
x	Ŷ	N/cm <sup>2</sup>	psi	$R_1 = \frac{S}{\overline{S}}$	cm	in.	$R_2 = \frac{w}{s}$	cm	in.	R <sub>3</sub>
11b	11 <del>a</del>	0. 0867×10 <sup>6</sup>	0. 1258×10 <sup>6</sup>	1.000	0, 0864×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.0340×10 <sup>-3</sup>	99, 05	0.0874×10 <sup>-3</sup>	$0.0344 \times 10^{-3}$	0.0291
	13 <del>a</del>	. 0832	. 1206		.0828	. 0326	19.01	.0874	. 0344	.0378
	15ā	. 0754	. 1093		. 0759	. 0299	6.698	.0874	. 0344	.0757
J	17a	. 0620	. 0899		. 0655	. 0258	3.008	.0874	. 0344	.1921
	19 <del>a</del>	. 0372	. 0539		. 0521	. 0205	1.459	. 0879	. 0346	. 7276
	21ā	0	0		. 03 84	. 0151	. 7195	- 0914	0360	
	23ā			. 9999	. 0310	. 0122	. 4170	.1052	. 0414	
	25a				.0262	. 0103	. 2658	. 1247	,0491	<b>-</b>
	27a				. 0229	. 0090	.1804	1.1494	0588	
	$29\overline{a}$				. 0203	. 0080	. 1282	.1783	. 0702	
	31a	1		. 9998	.0183	. 0072	. 0944	.2111	. 0831	
	33 <del>a</del>			. 9998	. 0165	. 0065	.0716	.2479	. 0976	
	35a			. 9998	.0152	.0060	. 0556	. 2883	. 1135	
	37a			. 9997	. 0140	. 0055	.0440	. 3325	. 1309	
	39 <del>a</del>			. 9997	. 0130	. 0051	. 0355	. 3805	.1498	
	41 <del>a</del>			. 9996	. 0122	. 0048	. 0290	. 4321	. 1701	
•	43 <del>a</del>			. 9996	.0114	. 0045	. 0240	. 4869	. 1917	
	45 <del>a</del>			. 9995	. 0107	. 0042	. 0201	. 5456	.2148	
	47ā			. 9995	. 0102	. 0040	. 0170	. 6081	.2394	
	49 <del>a</del>	¥	¥	. 9994	. 0097	. 0038	. 0145	. 6739	. 2653	
135	11ā	. 0832	. 1206	1.000	. 0828	• , 0326	19.02	.0874	. 0344	. 0408
15b		. 0754	. 1093		. 0759	. 0299	6.702	. 0874	.0344	.0757
17b		. 0620	. 0899		. 0655	. 0258	3.010	. 0874	. 0344	, 1921
19 <del>0</del>		. 0372	. 0539		.0521	. 0205	1.460	. 0879	.0346	. 7243
21b		0	0	¥	. 0384	.0151	. 7202	. 0914	.0360	
23b		1		. 9999	.0310	. 0122	. 4174	. 1052	.0414	
25b					. 0262	. 0103	. 2660	. 1247	.0491	
27b					. 0229	. 0090	.1805	.1494	.0588	
29b					. 0203	. 0080	. 1283	. 1781	.0701	
31b				. 9998	. 0183	. 0072	. 0945	. 2108	. 0830	
33b				. 9998	.0165	. 0065	.0717	. 2477	.0975	
35b				. 9998	. 0152	. 0060	.0556	. 2880	.1134	
37b				. 9997	. 0140	. 0055	. 0441	. 3322	.1308	
39b				. 9997	.0130	. 0051	. 0355	. 3802	. 1497	
41b				.9996	. 0122	. 0048	. 0290	. 4315	.1699	
43b				. 9996	.0114	. 0045	. 0240	. 4867	.1916	
45 <del>6</del>				. 99 <b>9</b> 5	.0107	. 0042	. 0201	. 5453	. 2147	
47b				. 9995	.0102	- 0040	. 0170	. 6076	. 2392	
49 <del>6</del>		*	¥	.9994	. 0097	.0038	. 0145	. 6734	.2651	

TABLE V. - CHARACTERISTICS OF FILM SHAPE ALONG SEMIMAJOR AND SEMIMINOR AXES WHEN THESE AXES ARE DIVIDED INTO THREE EQUAL DIVISIONS AND THE DIMENSIONLESS LOAD PARAMETER IS 0.5105×10<sup>-5</sup>;

Coord	inates	Press	ure, p	Ratio	Elastic defe	ormation, w	Ratio	Total separ	ation, S + w	Ratio
x	Ŷ	N/cm <sup>2</sup>	psi	$R_1 = \frac{S}{\overline{S}}$	cm	in.	$R_2 = \frac{w}{S}$	cm	in.	R <sub>3</sub>
7 <del>0</del>	7 <del>a</del>	0.3953×10 <sup>6</sup>	0.5734×10 <sup>6</sup>	1.000	$1.844 \times 10^{-3}$	0.7261×10 <sup>-3</sup>	35.33	1.897×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.7467×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.8136
	9ā	.3457	.5014	. 9998	1.639	. 6451	6.275	1,900	. 7479	1.088
	11 <del>a</del>	.2144	. 3109	. 9994	1.243	. 4892	1.830	1.922	. 7565	3.102
	$13\overline{a}$	0	0	. 9989	. 7861	. 3095	. 6021	2.092	. 8235	4.897
	15ā			. 9981	.5824	. 2293	. 2720	2.723	1.072	4.170
	17a			. 9972	. 4676	.1841	. 1468	3.653	1.438	3.924
•	19 <del>a</del>			. 9960	. 3917	. 1542	. 0882	4.831	1.902	
	21 <del>a</del>			. 9947	.3376	. 1329	. 0572	6.238	2.456	
	23 <del>a</del>			. 9932	. 2967	. 1168	. 0392	7.869	3.098	
	25 <del>a</del>			. 9915	. 2647	. 1042	. 0280	9.718	3.826	
	27a			. 9896	.2390	.0941	. 0207	11.78	4.638	
	29 <del>a</del>	¥	¥	.9874	. 2179	.0858	. 0158	14.06	5.535	
9b	7 <b>a</b>	.3457	. 5014	. 9998	1.639	.6451	6.279	1.900	. 7479	1.086
$11\overline{b}$		.2144	. 3109	. 9994	1.243	. 4893	1.832	1.922	. 7564	3.104
13 <del>b</del>		0	0	. 9989	. 7861	. 3096	. 6027	2.092	. 8232	4.896
15b				. 9981	. 5824	. 2294	. 2723	2.723	1.072	4.164
17 <del>6</del>				.9972	4676	. 1841	. 1469	3.65 <b>3</b> ·	1.438	3.922
19 <del>b</del>				.9960	. 3917	. 1543	. 0883	4.829	1.901	
21b			:	. 9947	. 3317	. 1329	.0572	6.236	2.455	
23b				. 9932	. 2967	. 1168	. 0392	7.864	3.096	
$25\overline{b}$				. 9915	. 2649	. 1043	. 0280	9.710	3.823	
$27\overline{b}$				. 9896	. 2393	. 0942	. 0207	11.77	4.635	
29b		*	*	. 9874	. 2182	.0859	. 0158	14.05	5.531	

.

THAT IS, CONDITION 2 OF TABLE I, OR A SPHERE IN CONTACT WITH A SPHERE

.

## TABLE VI. - CHARACTERISTICS OF FILM SHAPE ALONG SEMIMAJOR AND SEMIMINOR AXES WHEN THESE AXES ARE DIVIDED INTO FOUR EQUAL DIVISIONS AND THE DIMENSIONLESS PARAMETER IS $0.5105 \times 10^{-5}$ ;

Coord	inates	Press	sure, p	Ratio	Elastic defe	ormation, w	Ratio	Total separ	ation, S + w	Ratio
x	Ŷ	N/cm <sup>2</sup>	psi	$R_1 = \frac{S}{\overline{S}}$	em	in.	$R_2 = \frac{w}{S}$	cm	in.	R3
9 <u>5</u>	9ā	0.4004×10 <sup>6</sup>	0.5807×10 <sup>6</sup>	1.000	1.863×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.7333×10 <sup>-3</sup>	63,43	$1.892 \times 10^{-3}$	0.7448×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.7391
	1 i <del>a</del>	.3736	.5419	. 9999	1.746	. 6874	11.89	1.893	. 7452	. 8450
	13 <del>a</del>	. 3134	. 4546	. 9997	1.514	. 5962	3.965	1, 896	7465	1.180
	15ā	. 1902	. 2759	. 9994	1.177	. 4633	1.603	1.911	. 7525	2.748
	17a	0	0 0	. 9989	.8143	.3206	. 6762	2.019	. 7948	<b></b>
	19a			. 9984	.6350	. 2500	. 3543	2.427	.9555	
	21 <del>a</del>		4	.9978	. 5258	.2070	.2106	3.023	1.190	
	23ā			. 9970	. 4503	. 1773	. 1356	3.769	1.484	
	25 <del>a</del>			. 9962	. 3942	. 1552	. 0926	4.653	1.832	
	27ā			.9952	.3510	. 1382	. 0660	5.669 ´	2.232	
	29ā			. 9942	. 3165	. 1246	. 0487	6.810	2.681	
	31ā			. 9930	. 2883	. 1135	. 0370	8.072	3.178	
	33 <del>a</del>			. 9917	. 2647	. 1042	. 02 88	9.459	3.724	
	35ā			.9903	. 2446	. 0963	. 0228	10.97	4.318	
	37ā		L.	. 9888	.2276	.0896	. 0184	12.60	4.959	
	39 <del>a</del>	٧	Ţ	.9872	.2126	. 0837	. 0150	14.34	5.647	
$11\overline{b}$	9 <u>a</u>	.3736	.5419	. 9999	1.746	. 6874	11.90	1.893	. 7452	. 8450
13 <del>5</del>		.3134	. 4546	. 9997	1.514	.5962	3.968	1.896	.7464	1.178
15b		. 1902	. 2759	. 9994	1.177	. 4634	1.604	1.911	. 7523	2.747
17 <del>b</del>		0	0	. 9989	. 8143	. 3207	. 6768	2.018	. 7946	
19b		3		. 9984	. 6350	. 2500	. 3547 :	2.426	.9550	
$21\overline{b}$				. 9978	. 5258	. 2071	.2108	3.020	1.189	
23 <del>b</del>				. 9970	. 4503	. 1773	. 1358	3, 767	1.483	
25b				. 9962	. 3942	. 1553	. 0927	4.651	1.831	
$27\overline{b}$			1	. 9952	.3510	. 1382	. 0661	5,664	2.230	<b>-</b> -
29 <u>b</u>				. 9942	.3165	. 1246	.0488	6.805	2.679	
31 <del>b</del>				. 9930	. 2883	. 1135	. 0371	8.067	3.176	
33b				. 9917	.2647	. 1042	. 0288	9,454	3.722	·
35b				. 9903	. 2446	. 0963	. 0228	10.96	4.315	
37b				. 9888	. 2276	. 0896	.0184	12.59	4.955	
39b		¥	¥	. 9872	.2126	. 0837	.0151	14.33	5.643	

THAT IS, CONDITION 2 OF TABLE I, OR A SPHERE IN CONTACT WITH A SPHERE

# TABLE VII. - CHARACTERISTICS OF FILM SHAPE ALONG SEMIMAJOR AND SEMIMINOR AXES WHEN THESE AXES

ARE DIVIDED INTO FIVE EQUAL DIVISIONS AND THE DIMENSIONLESS LOAD PARAMETER IS  $0.5105 \times 10^{-5}$ ;

THAT IS, CONDITION 2 OF TABLE I, OR A SPHERE IN CONTACT WITH	THAT IS. CO	DITION 2 OF	TABLE I,	OR A	SPHERE	IN	CONTACT	WITH A	SPHERE
--	-------------	-------------	----------	------	--------	----	---------	--------	--------

Coord	inates	Press	sure, p	Ratio	Elastic def	ormation, w	Ratio	Total separ	ation, S + w	Ratio
x	Ŧ	N/cm <sup>2</sup>	psi	$R_1 = \frac{S}{\overline{S}}$	cm	in.	$R_2 = \frac{w}{s}$	cm	in.	R <sub>3</sub>
115	11 <del>a</del>	0.4027×10 <sup>6</sup>	0.5840×10 <sup>6</sup>	1.000	1.862×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.7329×10 <sup>-3</sup>	99.05	1.880×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.7403×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.0287
	13ā	. 3859	. 5597	. 9999	1.787	.7034	19.01	1.881	.7404	. 0398
	15ā	. 3499	. 5075	. 9998	1.637	. 6445	6.698	1.881	.7407	. 0854
ļ	17a	.2876	. 4172	. 9996	1.414	. 5566	3.008	1.884	.7417	. 2558
	19ā	. 1726	. 2503	. 9993	1.124	. 4427	1.459	1.895	. 7461	1.228
1	21 <del>a</del>	0	0	. 9990	. 8252	3249	. 7195	1,972	. 7764	
	23 <del>a</del>			. 9986	. 6662	. 2623	. 4170	2.264	. 8915	
Į	25 <u>a</u>			. 9981	. 5646	. 2223	.2658	2.690	1.059	
	27ā		ļ	.9976	. 4917	. 1936	. 1804	3.218	1.267	
1	29ā			. 9970	. 4361	. 1717	.1282	3.840	1.512	
	31ā		! [	. 9963	. 3922	. 1544	. 0944	4.547	1.790	
	33 <del>a</del>			. 9955	. 3566	.1404	.0716	5.339	2.102	
	) 35 <u>a</u>			. 9947	. 3272	.1288	. 0556	6.213	2.446	
ļ	37a			. 9938	. 3020	. 1189	. 0440	7.165	2.821	
	39 <del>a</del>	.		. 9928	. 2807	. 1105	. 0355	8, 197	3.227	
	41 <del>a</del>	]]		.9918	. 2621	. 1032	. 0290	9.307	3.664	
{	43ā	[]		.9907	. 2459	.0968	. 0240	10.49	4.131	
	45 <del>a</del>			. 9895	. 2316	. 0912	. 0201	11.76	4.629	
	47a	{		.9883	. 2189	. 0862	. 0170	13.10	5. 157	
ĺ	49 <del>a</del>	{ <b>¥</b>	l V	.9870	. 2075	. 0817	. 0145	14.52	5.715	
135	11 <del>a</del>	. 3859	. 5597	. 9999	1.787	.7034	19.02	1.881	. 7404	. 0412
155		.3499	. 5075	. 9998	1.637	.6445	6.702	1.881	. 7407	. 0854
175		.2876	. 4172	. 9996	1.414	. 5567	3.010	1.884	. 7416	. 2557
19b	ļ	. 1726	. 2503	. 9993	1.124	. 4427	1.460	1.895	. 7460	1.228
21b	ĺ	0	0	. 9990	. 8252	. 3249	. 7202	1.971	. 7761	
23b				. 9986	. 6662	. 2624	. 4174	2.263	. 8911	
256		ļļ		. 9981	. 5646	. 2224	. 2660	2.687	1.058	
27b				. 9976	. 4917	. 1936	.1805	3.216	1.266	
29 <del>5</del>		]]		. 9970	. 4361	. 1718	. 1283	3.838	1.511	
315				. 9963	. 3922	. 1545	. 0945	4.544	1.789	
33b				. 9955	.3566	. 1404	.0717	5.337	2.101	
35b				. 9947	. 3272	. 1288	. 0556	6.208	2.444	
376				. 9938	. 3020	. 1190	. 0441	7.160	2.819	
39b	ļ		[]	. 9929	. 2807	. 1105	. 0355	8.192	3.225	
410				. 9918	. 2621	. 1032	. 0290	9.299	3.661	
43b	}		11	. 9907	. 2459	. 0969	. 0240	10.49	4. 128	
45b			[]	. 9895	.2316	. 0912	. 0201	11.75	4.625	
47b				. 9883	. 2189	. 0862	.0170	13.09	5.153	
49b		🕈	1 🕈	. 9870	. 2075	.0817	.0145	14.51	5.711	

TABLE VIII. - CHARACTERISTICS OF FILM SHAPE ALONG SEMIMAJOR AND SEMIMINOR AXES WHEN THESE AXES ARE DIVIDED INTO THREE EQUAL DIVISIONS AND THE DIMENSIONLESS LOAD

PARAMETER IS  $0.2102 \times 10^{-7}$ ; THAT IS, CONDITION 3 OF TABLE I, OR A SPHERE

IN CONTACT WITH AN OUTER-RACE GROOVE

Coord	inates	Press	ure, p	Elastic defe	ormation, w	Ratio	Total separ	Ratio	
x	Ŧ	N/cm <sup>2</sup>	psi	cm	in.	$R_2 = \frac{w}{S}$	cm	in.	R <sub>3</sub>
7 <del>0</del>	7ā	0.0248×10 <sup>6</sup>	0.0360×10 <sup>6</sup>	0.0399×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.0157 $\times 10^{-3}$	35.42	0.0409×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.0161×10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.030
	9ā	.0217	. 0315	. 0338	. 0133	4.662	.0409	. 0161	1.135
	11 <del>a</del>	.0134	. 0195	.0210	. 0087	1.132	.0414	. 0163	4.030
	13 <del>a</del>	0	0	. 0102	. 0040	. 2705	. 0480	.0189	7.494
	15 <del>a</del>			.0071	0028	. 1128	.0691	. 0272	4.993
	17 <u>a</u>			. 0056	. 0022	. 0591	. 0983	.0387	4.350
	19 <del>a</del>			. 0046	. 0018	. 0351	. 1339	. 0527	
	21 <del>a</del>			. 0038	. 0015	. 0225	.1760	. 0693	
1	23 <del>a</del>		1	. 0033	. 0013	. 0154	. 2243	. 0883	
	25 <del>a</del>			. 0030	. 0012	. 0109	. 2789	. 1098	
	27 <del>a</del>			. 0028	. 0011	. 0081	. 3396	. 1337	
	29 <del>a</del>	Y	•	. 0025	. 0010	. 0061	. 4067	. 1601	
9 <del>0</del>	7 <b>a</b>	. 0217	. 0315	.0371	. 0146	9.205	. 0411	. 0162	1.271
11b		. 0134	. 0195	.0315	. 0124	3.210	.0414	. 0163	2.283
13b		0	0	.0249	. 0098	1.340	.0434	. 0171	2.820
15b		•		.0211	. 0083	. 6966	.0511	. 0201	2.544
17 <u></u>				. 0183	. 0072	. 4114	.0630	. 0248	2.517
19 <del>0</del>				. 0163	.0064	.2638	.0782	. 0308	
21b				. 0147	. 0058	.1794	. 0970	. 0382	
23 <del>0</del>				. 0135	. 0053	. 1276	. 1189	. 0468	
$25\overline{b}$				.0124	. 0049	. 0940	. 1438	. 0566	
27b				. 0114	. 0045	. 0712	. 1720	. 0677	
$29\overline{b}$		¥ .	<b>  ¥</b>	. 0107	. 0042	. 0552	. 2029	. 0799	

[Ratio  $R_1 = S/\overline{S}, 1.000.$ ]

## TABLE IX. - CHARACTERISTICS OF FILM SHAPE ALONG SEMIMAJOR AND SEMIMINOR AXES WHEN

THESE AXES ARE DIVIDED INTO FOUR EQUAL DIVISIONS AND THE DIMENSIONLESS LOAD

PARAMETER IS  $0.2102 \times 10^{-7}$ ; THAT IS, CONDITION 3 OF TABLE I, OR A

SPHERE IN CONTACT WITH AN OUTER-RACE GROOVE

 $\left[\text{Ratio } \mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{S}/\mathbf{\overline{S}}, \ \mathbf{1}, \ \mathbf{000.}\right]$ 

Coord	inates	Press	ure, p	Elastic de:	formation, w	Ratio	Total separation, S + w		Ratio
x	Ŧ	N/cm <sup>2</sup>	psi	cm	in.	$R_2 = \frac{W}{S}$	cm	in.	R <sub>3</sub>
9 <u></u> 5	9 <u>a</u>	$0.0252 \times 10^{6}$	0. 0365×10 <sup>6</sup>	0.0401×10 <sup>-3</sup>	$0.0158 \times 10^{-3}$	63.53	0.0409×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.0161×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.8600
	11 <del>a</del>	. 0235	. 0341	.0368	.0145	9.039	. 0409	. 0161	.9554
1	13 <del>a</del>	. 0197	. 0286	.0300	.0118	2.735	. 0409	.0161	1.290
	15 <u>a</u>	.0119	. 0173	.0201	. 0079	.9417	.0411	. 0162	3.867
	17a	0	0	.0107	. 0042	.3032	.0455	. 0179	
}	19 <del>a</del>	1		.0076	. 0030	.1478	. 0599	. 0236	'
	21 <del>a</del>			,0061	. 0024	. 0854	. 0790	. 0311	
	23 <del>a</del>	1		.0053	. 0021	. 0542	. 1021	. 0402	
	25ā			.0046	.0018	. 0367	. 1288	. 0507	
	27a			.0041	.0016	. 0260	. 1593	. 0627	<b>-</b>
	$29\overline{a}$			,0036	.0014	.0191	. 1930	. 0760	
	$31\overline{a}$			.0033	. 0013	. 0145	. 2306	. 0908	
	33 <del>a</del>			.0030	. 0012	. 0112	. 2715	. 1069	
	35 <del>a</del>			.0028	.0011	. 0089	. 3160	. 1244	
	37 <del>a</del>			.0025	.0010	. 0071	.3640	. 1433	
	39 <del>a</del>	<b> </b> ₩	1₩	.0023	. 0009	. 0058	. 4153	. 1635	
11b	$9\overline{a}$	. 0235	. 0341	.0386	. 0152	17.06	. 0409	. 0161	.9431
13b		. 0197	. 0286	.0353	. 0139	6.410	. 0409	. 0161	1.161
15b		. 0119	. 0173	.0307	. 0121	2.948	. 0411	. 0162	1.855
17b		0	0	.0254	. 0100	1.501	. 0424	. 0167	
19b			11	.0221	. 0087	. 8849	. 0472	. 0186	
21b				.0198	.0078	. 5700	. 0546	. 0215	
23b				.0180	. 0071	. 3900	. 0643	. 0253	
25b				.0165	. 0065	.2789	. 0759	. 0299	
27b				.0152	. 0060	.2065	. 0892	. 0351	
29b		11		0142	. 0056	. 1572	. 1044	. 0411	
31b				0132	.0052	. 1225	. 1214	. 0478	
33b				.0124	.0049	. 0973	. 1402	. 0552	
35b				0117	. 0046	. 0785	. 1605	. 0632	
37b				0109	. 0043	. 0643	. 1829	. 0720	
395	1		1	0104	. 0041	. 0533	. 2068	. 0814	

### TABLE X. - CHARACTERISTICS OF FILM SHAPE ALONG SEMIMAJOR AND SEMIMINOR AXES WHEN

## THESE AXES ARE DIVIDED INTO FIVE EQUAL DIVISIONS AND THE DIMENSIONLESS LOAD

PARAMETER IS 0.  $2102 \times 10^{-7}$ ; THAT IS, CONDITION 3 OF TABLE I, OR A

SPHERE IN CONTACT WITH AN OUTER-RACE GROOVE

 $\begin{bmatrix} Ratio & R_1 = S/S, 1.000. \end{bmatrix}$ 

Coord	inates	Press	ure, p	Elastic def	ormation, w	Ratio	Total separ	ation, S + w	Ratio
x	Y	N/cm <sup>2</sup>	psi	cm	in.	$\mathbf{R}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{s}}$	cm	in.	R3
115	11ā	0.0253×10 <sup>6</sup>	0.0367×10 <sup>6</sup>	$0.0401 \times 10^{-3}$	0.0158×10 <sup>-3</sup>	99.24	$0.0406 \times 10^{-3}$	0.0160×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.1962
	13 <del>a</del>	.0243	. 0352	.0378	. 0149	14.58	. 0406	. 0160	. 1273
	15 <del>a</del>	.0220	.0319	. 0335	. 0132	4.786	.0404 .	.0159	0682
	17ā	. 0181	. 0262	. 0269	.0106	1.981	. 0406	. 0160	. 0094
	19à	. 0108	.0157	.0185	. 0073	. 8242	. 0409	.0161	1.621
	21ā	0	o.	.0107	. 0042	. 3220	. 0442	.0174	
1	23 <del>a</del>		11	.0081	. 0032	. 1749	. 0546	. 0215	
	25a			. 0066	. 0026	. 1085	.0686	.0270	
	27ā			.0058	. 0023	.0725	- 0853	. 0336	
	29ā	· (		.0051	. 0020	. 0510	. 1044	. 0411	
	31a			. 0046	. 0018	0373	. 1257	. 0495	
	33 <del>a</del>	1		. 0041	. 0016	. 02 82	.1496	. 0589	'
[	35a			. 0038	. 0015	.0218	. 1755	.0691	
	37a	(		.0036	.0014	0172	. 2040	. 0803	
	39ā	:		.0033	.0013	. 0138	. 2344	. 0923	
[	41ā			. 0030	. 0012	. 0113	. 2672	. 1052	
	43 <del>a</del>			.0028	. 0011	. 0093	. 3023	. 1190	
	45a		}	. 0025	. 0010	. 0078	. 3393	. 1336	
	47 <del>a</del>			. 0025	.0010	. 0066	. 3787	. 1491	
_	49a	<b>7</b> (	Y .	. 0023	. 0009	. 0056	. 4204	. 1655	
13b	11 <del>a</del>	. 0243	.0352	. 0391	.0154	27.05	. 0406	.0160	. 2210
15b	•	. 0220	.0319	0371	.0146	10.50	. 0406	.0160	.2814
17b		. 0181	. 0262	. 0340	.0134	5.105	.0406	. 0160	. 4123
19b		0108	.0157	. 0300	. 0118	2.767	.0409	.0161	. 8459
21b		0	0	0257	. 0101	1.597	. 0417	. 0164	
23b				. 0229	. 0090	1.025	. 0452	. 0178	
25b	· · ]	] ]		. 0208	. 0082	. 7020	. 0503	.0198	
27b				. 0191	.0075	. 5036	. 0572	. 0225	
.29b			`т	.0178	- 0070	. 3742	. 0650	. 0256	
31b		] ]		. 0165	. 0065	. 2859	. 0744	. 0293	
33b				. 0155	. 0061	. 2235	.0848	.0334	
35b _				.0145	. 0057	. 1781	. 0963	.0379	
37b				. 0137	. 0054	. 1442	.1090	. 0429	
39b				. 0130	. 0051	. 1184	. 1229	. 0484	
<b>41</b> b				. 0124	. 0049	. 0984	. 1379	. 0543	
43b				0117	. 0046	. 0827	.1539	. 0606	
45b				. 0112	. 0044	. 0702	. 1712	. 0674	
47b				.0107	. 0042	. 0600	. 1895	. 0746	
49b		۲	¥	.0102	. 0040	. 0517	. 2088	. 0822	

TABLE XI. - CHARACTERISTICS OF FILM SHAPE ALONG SEMIMAJOR AND SEMIMINOR AXES WHEN THESE AXES ARE DIVIDED INTO THREE EQUAL DIVISIONS AND THE DIMENSIONLESS LOAD PARAMETER IS  $0.2102 \times 10^{-5}$ ; THAT IS, CONDITION 4 OF TABLE I, OR A SPHERE IN CONTACT WITH AN OUTER-RACE GROOVE

Coord	inates	Pressure, p		Ratio	Elastic deformation, w		Ratio	Total separation, $S + w$		Ratio
Ī	Ÿ	N/cm <sup>2</sup>	psi	$R_1 = \frac{S}{\overline{S}}$	cm	in.	$R_2 = \frac{w}{S}$	cm	in.	R <sub>3</sub>
75	7a	0.1153×10 <sup>6</sup>	0. 1673×10 <sup>6</sup>	1.000	0.8588×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.3381×10 <sup>-3</sup>	35.42	0.8829×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.3476×10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.028
1.	9 <u>a</u>	. 1009	.1463		. 7262	. 2859	4.662	.8821	. 3473	1.135
	11 <del>a</del>	. 0625	. 0907		. 4745	.1868	1.132	. 8933	. 3517	4.033
	13ā	lo	0		. 2202	. 0867	. 2705	1.034	. 4069	7.494
	15a	11			. 1511	. 0595	. 1128	1.491	. 5869	4.994
1	175			. 9999	. 1181	. 0465	.0591	2.116	. 8329	4.353
	19 <del>a</del>			. 9999	. 0978	. 0385	. 0351	2.885	1.136	
	21 <del>a</del>			. 9999	. 0836	. 0329	. 0225	3.792	1.493	
	23ā		}	. 9998	. 0732	. 0288	. 0154	4.834	1.903	
	25 <u>a</u>			. 9998	.0650	. 0256	. 0109	6.010	2.366	
	27a			. 9998	. 0587	. 0231	. 0081	7.318	2.881	
	29ā	🕈	¥	. 9997	. 0533	.0210	. 0061	8,760	3.449	
de	7ā	. 1009	. 1463	1.000	. 7978	. 3141	9.205	. 8844	. 3482	1.267
11b		. 0625	. 0907	. 9999	. 6789	.2673	3.210	. 8905	. 3506	2,280
13b		0	0	. 9999	. 5344	.2104	1.340	. 9332	. 3674	2.820
15b		11	11	. 9998	. 4519	. 1779	. 6966	1.100	. 4332	2.543
17b				. 9996	. 3952	. 1556	. 4114	1.356	. 5338	2.517
195		{ {		. 9995	. 3523	. 1387	. 2638	1.687	.6643	
21b				. 9993	. 3180	. 1252	. 1794	2.090	. 8229	
23b	ļ	{	ļĮ	. 9991	.2898	. 1141	. 1276	2.560	1.008	
25 <del>b</del>	1	11		. 9989	. 2662	. 1048	. 0940	3.099	1.220	
27b				. 9987	. 2461	. 0969	. 0712	3.703	1.458	
29b	]	•	*	. 9984	. 2289	. 0901	. 0552	4.374	1.722	

TABLE XII. - CHARACTERISTICS OF FILM SHAPE A LONG SEMIMAJOR AND SEMIMINOR AXES WHEN THESE AXES ARE DIVIDED INTO FOUR EQUAL DIVISIONS AND THE DIMENSIONLESS LOAD PARAMETER IS  $0.2102 \times 10^{-5}$ ; THAT IS, CONDITION 4 OF TABLE I, OR A SPHERE IN CONTACT WITH AN OUTER-RACE GROOVE

Coord	inates	Press	sure, p	Ratio	Elastic defe	ormation, w	Ratio	Total separ	ation, S + w	Ratio
x	Ŧ	$N/cm^2$	psi	$R_1 = \frac{S}{\overline{S}}$	cm	in.	$R_2 = \frac{W}{S}$	cm	in.	R <sub>3</sub>
9 <b>b</b>	9 <u>ā</u>	0, 1168×10 <sup>6</sup>	0.1694×10 <sup>6</sup>	1.000	0.8664×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.3411×10 <sup>-3</sup>	63.53	0.8801×10 <sup>-3</sup>	$0.3465 \times 10^{-3}$	0.8604
	11 <del>a</del>	.1090	. 1581		. 7922	.3119	·9.039	. 8799	. 3464	. 9549
	13ā	. 0914	. 1326		. 6444	. 2537	2.735	. 8801	. 3465	1.290
	15 <del>a</del>	. 0555	. 0805	-	. 4310	. 1697	. 9417	. 8849	. 3498	3.869
	17 <del>a</del>	0	0		. 2286	. 0900	. 3032	.9822	.3867	
	19 <del>a</del>				1661	.0654	. 1478	1.290	. 5077	,
	21 <del>a</del>			Ť	. 1339	. 0527	. 0854	1.702	. 6699	i
	23a			. 9999	. 1130	. 0445	. 0542	2.199	. 8657	
	$25\overline{a}$				. 0983	.0387	, .0367	2.776	1.093	
	27 <del>a</del>				. 0869	. 0342	. 0260	3.432	1.351	
-	29 <del>a</del>			¥	. 0780	. 0307	. 0191	4.161 ·	1.638	
	31ā			9998	. 0709	. 0279	. 0145	4.968	1.956	
	33 <del>a</del>			. 9998	. 0650	. 0256	.0112	5.850	2.303	·`
	35 <del>a</del>			. 9998	. 0599	. 0236	. 0089	6.807	2.680	
1	37a			.9997	. 0556	.0219	. 0071	7.838	3.086	
	39 <del>a</del>	7	Y	. 9997	.0518	. 0204	,0058	8.946	3.522	<b></b> -
115	9a	.1090	. 1581	1.000	. 8319	. 3275	17.06	8806	. 3467	.9433
13 <del>b</del>		.0914	.1326	1.000	. 7628	. 3003	6.410	. 8816	.3471	1.162
15b		.0555	.0805	. 9999	. 6612	.2603	2.948	. 8854	.3486	1.855
17b		0	0	. 9999	.5476	. 2156	1.501	.9124	. 3592	
195			1	. 9998	. 4780	1882	. 8849	1.019	. 4010	
21 <del>0</del>				. 9997	. 4280	. 1685	.5700	1.179	. 4642	
236			j	. 9996	3886	. 1530	. 3899	1.386	5455	
256				. 9995	. 3564	. 1403	. 2789	1.634	. 6433	
27b				.9994	. 3292	1296	. 2065	1.923	. 7570	
29b				. 9993	.3058	. 1204	. 1572	2.251	. 8861	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
31b		]		.9991	.2855	. 1124	. 1225	2.616	1.030	
33b				.9989	. 2677	.1054	. 0973	3.020	1.189	
35 <del>b</del>				.9988	. 2520	0992	. 0785	3.462	1.363	<u>.                                    </u>
37b				.9986	. 2380	. 0937	. 0631	3.940	1.551	
39b		٧	<u> </u>	. 9984	. 2253	. 0887	. 0533	4,453	1.753 .	

## TABLE XIII. - CHARACTERISTICS OF FILM SHAPE ALONG SEMIMAJOR AND SEMIMINOR AXES WHEN THESE AXES ARE DIVIDED INTO FIVE EQUAL DIVISIONS AND THE DIMENSIONLESS LOAD PARAMETER IS 0.2102×10<sup>-5</sup>;

THAT IS, CONDITION 4 OF TABLE I	, OR A SPHERE IN CONTACT WITH AN OUTER-RACE GROOVE
---------------------------------	--

Coord	inates	Pressure, p		Ratio	Elastic deformation, w		Ratio	Total separation, S + w		Ratio
x	Ŷ	$N/cm^2$	psi	$R_1 = \frac{S}{\overline{S}}$	cm	in.	$R_2 = \frac{w}{s}$	cm	in.	R <sub>3</sub>
115	11ā	0.1179×10 <sup>6</sup>	0.1704×10 <sup>6</sup>	1.000	0.8661×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.3410×10 <sup>-3</sup>	99.24	0.8750×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.3445×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0. 1998
1	137	. 1126	. 1633	ļ	. 8179	, 3220	14.58	. 8740	. 3441	. 1244
1	155	.1021	. 1481		. 7216	. 2841	4.786	. 8725	. 3435	0668
	173	. 0839	. 1217		.5804	. 2285	1.981	. 8733	. 3438	. 0088
ł	197	. 0503	. 0730		. 3975	. 1565	. 8242	. 8799	. 3464	1.617
	21a	0	0		. 2316	. 0912	.3220	.9507	. 3743	
	231	lī			. 1755	.0691	. 1749	1.179	. 4640	<b></b>
	25a		\		. 1448	. 0570	. 1085	1.480	.5825	
	27a			. 9999	. 1242	. 0489	. 0725	1.838	. 7236	
	29a		<u> </u>		. 1092	. 0430	. 0510	2.249	. 8855	
	31a				. 0975	. 0384	. 0373	2.710	1.067	
	331				. 0884	. 0348	. 0282	3.223	1.269	
	35 <u>a</u>				.0808	.0318	. 0218	3.785	1.490	
1	37ā				. 0744	. 0293	. 0172	4.392	1.729	]
1	397			9998	. 0688	.0271	.0138	5.050	1.988	
1	41a			ļι	. 0643	. 0253	. 0113	5.756	2.266	
	43a				.0602	. 0237	. 0093	6.510	2.563	
	45a				. 0566	. 0223	. 0078	7.310	2.878	
	47a	ļļ	ļļ	. 9997	. 0536	. 0211	. 0066	8.161	3.213	
	497	1	🕇	. 9997	.0508	. 0200	. 0056	9.058	3.566	
136	117	.1126	. 1633	1,000	. 8440	. 3323	27.05	. 8753	.3446	. 2262
15		. 1021	. 1481	1.000	. 7996	. 3148	10.50	. 8755	.3447	. 2836
17b		. 0839	. 1217	1.000	. 7330	. 2886	5.105	. 8766	. 3451	. 4141
190		. 0503	. 0730	. 9999	. 6459	. 2543	2.767	. 8793	. 3462	. 8447
215		0	0	. 9999	. 5525	.2175	1.597	. 8981	. 3536	
235				. 9998	. 4925	. 1939	1.025	.9731	.3831	
25b	1			. 9998	. 4478	. 1763	. 7020	1.086	. 4275	
27b	1			. 9997	. 4117	. 1621	. 5036	1.230	. 4841	
29b				. 9996	. 3818	. 1503	. 3742	1.402	. 5519	
31b				. 9995	. 3559	. 1401	. 2859	1.601	. 6302	
33b				. 9994	.3335	. 1313	. 2235	1.825	. 7187	
35b				. 9993	. 3137	. 1235	. 1781	2.075	. 8171	
376				. 9992	. 2962	. 1166	. 1442	2.350	. 9252	
39 <del>0</del>				. 9991	.2804	. 1104	. 1184	2.649	1.043	
41b				. 9990	. 2664	. 1049	. 0984	2.972	1.170	
43b	ļ			. 9988	. 2535	. 0998	. 0827	3.320	1.307	
45b				. 9987	. 2418	. 0952	. 0702	3.688	1.452	
47b				. 9985	. 2311	. 0910	. 0600	4.082	1.607	
49b		🛊	1.	. 9983	. 2212	. 0871	. 0517	4.501	1.772	



(b) Local elastic deformation.

1987年全部





Figure 2, - Geometry of contacting elastic solids,



Figure 3. - Surface deformation of a semi-infinite body subjected to a uniform pressure over a rectangular area.



Figure 4. - Example division of area in and around contact zone into equal rectangular areas.



Figure 5. - Effect of location along semimajor and semiminor axes on percentage difference in elastic deformation when m=3 and 9.



Figure 6. - Effect of location along semimajor and semiminor axes on percentage difference in elastic deformation when m = 3, 4, and 5 and on the more exact film shape when m = 9, 12, and 15, respectively.

)



Figure 7, - Effect of location along semimajor and semiminor axes on ratio of elastic deformation to distance separating two solids in contact due to geometry of the solids.







Figure 9. - Effect of number of divisions across ellipse axis on computer run time.