GALLIUM ARSENIDE (GaAs) POWER CONVERSION CONCEPT A.A. Nussberger, Rockwell International 12214 Lakewood Boulevard, Downey, CA 90241

The Rockwell Satellite Power System concept utilizes gallium arsenide (GaAs) solar cells and flat plate concentrators (CR=2) to generate 9.52 GW of power at the array sufficient for the satellite microwave antenna system to deliver 5 GW at the utility interface. The solar array bay configuration and design factors are shown in Figure 1. This concept shows a 3-bay by 10-bay matrix 3,900 m wide by 16,000 m long exclusive of the antenna. Each bay contains two panels 600 m by 750 m, providing a voltage string of 45.7 kV. The 600-m width consists of 24 rolls each 25-m wide.

The solar array is sized for worst conditions using summer solstice values (1311.5 W/m²), end of life reflector values (CR effective = 1.83), solar cell degradation allowance (4% non-annealable loss), operating temperature of 113°C at summer soltice (solar cell temperature coefficient of $\Delta \eta / \Delta T = 0.0282\%/°C$), north-south seasonal inclination (altitude tipping of the SPS configuration accounts for 9.05° of the nominal misorientation of 23.5°, resulting in a seasonal factor of 0.968), packaging and array voltage mismatch factor of 0.89, and switch gear factor of 0.997. Array power output is calculated to be 352.6 W/m². The solar cell array area of 27 x 10⁶ m² provides a 1.7% margin.

°••4

Key functional requirements include: delivery of 5 GW at constant power (except during solar eclipse) to the utility network; operation in geosynchronous orbit for 30 years (size for end of life); and cost-competitiveness with ground-based power generation. The last requirement (cost competitive with ground-based power generation) has driven the Rockwell design toward use of higher technology hardware.

The solar cell used in the satellite system design is a GaAs cell having a nominal efficiency of 20% (AMO, 28°C). Based on today's technology, 20% cell efficiency is expected by the year 1990. The best laboratory GaAs cells are presently around 18% (Hughes, Rockwell International). The basic SPS cell concept is an inverted GaAs/sapphire design having a specific mass of 0.252 kg/m² (Figure 2). This cell design has a 20 μ m sapphire (Al₂O₃) substrate upon which is grown a 5 μ m single crystal GaAs junction. The Electronic Research Center (ERC) of Rockwell has supported this effort with investigations of the development and mass producibility requirements of the baseline GaAs/Al2O3 cells using a metallic oxide-chemical vapor deposition (MO-CVD) process. Figure 3 shows a production model of inverted structure GaAs/Al2O3 continuous ribbon solar cell. Trade studies by Rockwell on the system level have shown GaAs to be the preferred cell material compared to silicon. This is based on its higher efficiency (20% versus 17.3%); potential for cell efficiency improvements (the multi-bandgap concept is essentially a gallium arsenide cell with potential of 25-30% or greater); lower space radiation degradation damage (125°C threshold temperature for annealing versus >500°C); lower specific mass (0.252 kg/m² versus 0.427 kg/m²); better compatibility with concentrators (improved temperature coefficient, $\Delta \eta / \Delta T = 0.028\%/^{\circ}C$ versus 0.043%/°C); and lower overall SPS cost.

A comparison of GaAs solar cell annealing effects after proton irradiation is presented in Figure 4. Over 400 small-area (0.4-cm-square) solar cells were tested by Rockwell.¹ Both typical and best cell annealing results are shown in Table 1. The SPS design assumes that nearly all radiation damage can be self-annealed out or annealed out with sufficient time and proper temperature.

A cost comparison was made of single-crystal GaAs, single-crystal silicon (Si), and amorphous silicon (A-Si). The baseline GaAs configuration was utilized at a mass of 0.252 kg/m², the single-crystal silicon cell stack configuration was taken from the DOE/NASA reference system report² 0.427 kg/m², and an amorphous silicon configuration was modeled from an RCA paper.³ It was assumed that the A-Si cell stack weight was equivalent to 1-mil glass (0.143 kg/m²) and that the blanket configuration was the same as in the baseline GaAs. Figure 5 summarizes results and shows that A-Si must achieve near theoretical efficiency (~15%) and low cell cost (~\$20/m²) to provide a cost-competitive SPS system. Single-crystal silicon (even at the high efficiency of 17.3%) appears to result in a significantly higher SPS cost ($\Delta \cos t \sim 2.13$ B) compared to the GaAs CR=2 baseline.

Gallium Arsenide Solar Concentrator Hardness Study, Rockwell International, Technical Report AFAPL-TR-78-30 (May 1978).

²Concept Development and Evaluation Program, U.S. Department of Energy and NASA Reference System Report (October 1978). ³Twelfth IEEE Photovoltaic Specialist Conference, p 893.



Figure 2. GaAs on Sapphire Solar Cell Blanket Cross-Section

Figure 5. Solar Array Cost Comparison

. .

(1,1)

.

4

OF POOR QUALITY



Figure 6. Configuration Options

Eight different satellite configuration options (Figure 6) were studied to obtain a better understanding of the impact of solar cell selection (GaAs versus Si), antenna mounting location (end versus center), number of troughs (range 3 to 10), concentration ratio (CR=2 versus non-concentrated), and radiation degradation assumption (annealable versus non-annealable). For these studies, solar cell and power distribution efficiencies were held constant, as was antenna mass. The data are summarized in Table 2.

Very little SPS mass difference was calculated between configurations with different numbers of troughs; however, construction considerations strongly favor a narrow configuration. A relatively small mass savings is indicated for a center-mounted antenna (0.4 kg/kW_{ut}); similarly, a relatively small difference in mass was shown between GaAs annealable and non-annealable CR=1 configurations (0.36 kg/kW_{ut}) and between GaAs CR=1 and CR=2 (0.89 kg/kW_{ut}).

Figure 7 shows a plot of SPS mass estimates made over the last few years. A mass curve was prepared which normalized to an early estimate made by Dr. Peter Glaser in 1974 (\sim 2.3 kg/kW utility power). As shown, SPS mass estimates have grown by a factor of approximately 2.3 for GaAs configurations and 3.5 for Si configurations (NASA reference concepts). The GaAs concept falls near the nominal range of uncertainty established initially by NASA/JSC in-house studies conducted in 1975. Various alternative concepts are compared, including solid-state (SS) configurations which replace klystrons with solid-state power amplifiers for the dc to RF microwave system and multi-bandgap (MBG) solar cells replacing the reference GaAs single function cells. The impact felt by cell efficiency improvements is demonstrated by the MBG concepts, which use a 30% nominal cell efficiency.

OF FOOR QUALITY

GaAs solar array major technology needs for the SPS program are identified in Table 3. Assumed values are given for critical parameters used in the satellite concept definition. Impacts on the SPS design from a failure to achieve the design values also are given in the table. These technology requirements are to be addressed as part of the Ground-Based Exploratory Development (GBED) activities.⁴

		6		0	0	6	Θ	0	FIRST Q
CONFIGURATION		0		<u> </u>	9	<u> </u>			BASELINE
CELL MATERIAL	GaAs				Si		GeAs		
CONC BATIO	2							CENTER	
		LENIER		.84	.96	.70	.96		
CELL OUTPUT W/M	362.7		218,2	190.9	182,9	133,4	362.7		336.6
SOLAR CELL AREA (106 M2)	28.4		47,2	53,95	56,3	77.2	28.4		30,6
REFLECTOR AREA (10° MA)	57.6								(650)
NAM DIAMENEROLIE (NA	700 X 1700				700 x 2550 -		750 X 1700 -		600 X 1600
NUMBER SOLAR CELL BAYS	4 X 8 = 32 -		6 X 9 = 54	6 X 10 = 60	7 X 6 = 42	10 X 6 = 60 .	3 x 10 = 30 -		3 x 12 = 36
	1						1400 × 1700		(1350) 1250 x 1600
REFL BAY DIMENSION (M)	1300 X 1700		4200 × 15300	4200 x 17000	4900 X 15300	7000 x 15300	4200 X 17000		3850 × 19200
PLAN FORM (M)	70.72		64.3	71.4	74.97	107.1	71,4		73.92
NO. SWITCHGEAR (ON ARRAY)	1120		1890	2100	2436	3480	1140		1260
COLLECTOR ARKAY (10" KG)									
STRUCTURE & MECH	2,156		2,043	2,160	2,230	2,791	2,156 —		3,825
SOLAR PANELS	7.250		11,897	13,595	23,998	34,506	7.258		7.722
SOLAR REFLECTORS	1.102	1.55	2 879	2 445	1 054	3.47	3.60	1.272	1.081
ATT CONTROL ANS/ROT IT	.305	1.35	.350	.389	.408	.583	.385		,385
	(13,699)	(12.53)	(17,259)	(18.697)	(27, 684)	(41,750)	(14,011)	(12,253)	(14,127)
ANTENNA SECTION	16.77/							 	
SUBTOTAL	29.996	28,827	33,556	34,994	45.961	58.047	30,308	28,55	30,424
25% GROWTH	7,499	7,206	8,389	8,749	11,495	14,512	7,577	7.137	7,606
TOTAL	77 495	34 (01)	41.945	43.743	57.476	72.559	37.005	35.487	38.029





Table 3. SPS Solar Cell Parameters as Design Drivers

-

.

.

2

6.5

402

⁴Satellite Power System Ground-Based Exploratory Development (GBED) System Analysis and Technology Plan. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Rough Draft (December 1, 1979).