General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

5105-144 Solar Thermal Power Systems Project Parabolic Dish System Development DOE/JPL-1060-80 Distribution Category UC-62

Development of an Organic Rankine-Cycle Power Module for a Small Community Solar Thermal Power Experiment

T. Kiceniuk

January 15, 1985

Prepared for

U.S. Department of Energy

Through an Agreement with National Aeronautics and Space Administration

by

Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California

JPL Publication 85-3

5105-144 Solar Thermal Power Systems Project Parabolic Dish System Development COE/JPL-1060-80 Distribution Category UC-62

(NASA-CR-175650) DEVELOPMENT OF AN ORGANIC N85-24523 RANKINE-CYCLE POWER MODULE FOR A SMALL COMMUNITY SOLAR THEFMAL FOWER EXPERIMENT (Jet Propulsion Lab.) 134 F EC A07/MF A01 Unclas CSCL 10A G3/44 14816

> Development of an Organic Rankine-Cycle Power Module for a Small Community Solar Thermal Power Experiment

T. Kiceniuk





January 15, 1985

Prepared for

U.S. Department of Energy

Through an Agreement with National Aeronautics and Space Administration

by

Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California

JPL Publication 85-3

Prepared by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Lastitute of Technology, for the U.S. Department of Energy through an agreement with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

The JPL Solar Thermal Power Systems Project is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy and is part of the Solar Thermal Program to develop lowcost solar thermal and electric power plants.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Work conducted through NASA Task RE-152, Amendment 327, and sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy under Interagency Agreement DE-AM04-80AL13137.

ABSTRACT

An organic Rankine-cycle (ORC) power module was designed and developed for use in a multi-module solar power plant to be built and operated in a small community. Although neither final design nor construction of the multi-module plant took place, many successful components and subsystems, including the receiver, power conversion subsystem, energy transport subsystem, and control subsystem, were developed and tested before the program was halted. In addition, tests were performed on a complete power module using a test bed concentrator in place of the proposed concentrator at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory's Parabolic Dish Test Site at Edwards Air Force Puse, California. Test results with the complete module verified that all major single-module program functional objectives were met and that multi-module operation presented no apparent problems. The hermetically sealed, self-contained, ORC power conversion unit subsequently successfully completed a 300-hour endurance run with no evidence of wear or operating problems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The work reported in this overview represents the efforts of many talented and dedicated individuals at the U.S. Department of Energy, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Ford Aerospace and Communications Corporation, Barber-Nichols Engineering Company, Simmonds Precision, and Nova Electric. Although the intended multi-module plant envisioned under this program never materialized, the equipment and information they produced should prove valuable in the search for an effective way to produce electricity using the sun's energy.

(

GLOSSARY

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AFB Air Force Base ATC Aerothermochemical Laboratory (FACC Facility) B-N Barber-Nichols Engineering Company CCIA Central Control Interface Assembly (interfaces MPC to RCIAs) C&I Control and Instrumentation CRT Cathode Ray Tube DOE U.S. Department of Energy DSM Drafting Standards Manual (FACC) EAFB Edwards Air Force Base, California ETS Energy Transport Subsystem EPROM Erasable, Programmable Read-Only Memory EU Engineering Units FACC Ford Aerospace and Communications Corporation GE General Electric Company JPL Jet Propulsion Laboratory LeRC Lewis Research Center (NASA) MOP Master Operational Program MPC Master Power Controller (central computer) NASA National Aeronautics and Space Administration NBO Newport Beach Operations (FACC) ORC Organic Rankine Cycle PCA Power-Conversion Assembly (receiver plus PCS) PCS Power-Conversion Subsystem PDC-1 Parabolic Dish Concentrator No. 1 (GE design)

PDC-2	Parabolic Dish Concentrator No. 2 (Acurex design)
PDTS	Parabolic Dish Test Site (JPL test facility at Edwards AFB)
PFDR	Point-Focusing Distributed Receiver
PMA	Permanent Magnet Alternator
PNL	Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories
RAM	Random Access Memory
RCIA	Remote Control Interface Assembly (computer for module control)
ROP	Remote Operational Program
RTD	Resistance Temperature Detector
SERI	Solar Energy Research Institute
SCSE	Small Community Solar Thermal Power Experiment
TAP	Turbime/Alternator/Pump
TBC	Test Bed Concentrator
TBD	To Be Determined
TFR	Trouble/Failure Reports
TP	Test Procedure
UPS	Uninterruptible Power Supply

and a start of the start of the start of the

ş

14

CONTENTS

1.	INTRO	DUCTION
	Α.	Mc DONNELL-DOUGLAS ASTRONAUTICS COMPANY
	В.	GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY
	c.	FORD AEROSPACE AND COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION 1-3
11.	THE M	ULTI-MODULE PLANT
	A.	BACKGROUND
	Β.	REQUIREMENTS FOR A 100-kWe, MULTI-MODULE PLANT 2-2
		1. System Definition and Objectives
		2. General Description
		3. Assemblies, Power Module, and Power Plant 2-7
		4. System Rated Power
		5. Operating Limit
		6. Efficiency/Performance
		7. Electrical Energy Requirements
		8. Plant Operation
		9. Module Operation
		10. Utility Interfaces
		11. Design Service Life
		12. Reliability/Availability
		13. Environmental Conditions
III.	DEVEL POWER	OPMENT OF COMPONENTS AND SUBSYSTEMS FOR THE ORC MODULE
	A.	CONCENTRATOR
		1. General Characteristics
		2. Parabolic Dish Concentrator No. 2 Subsystem Description

¢,

.

1

¢,

		3. Interface Definition
		4. Operational Criteria
		5. Environmental Conditions
		6. Other Environments
		7. Inspection and Maintenance
		8. Mirrors
		9. Overall Characteristics
	в.	THE POWER-CONVERSION ASSEMBLY
		1. Description of the Power-Conversion Assembly 3-13
		2. Receiver
		3. The Power-Conversion Subsystem
	C.	ENERGY TRANSPORT SUBSYSTEM
	D.	THE CONTROL SUBSYSTEM
IV.	DEVEI AND S	OPMENT TESTS OF THE ORC MODULE COMPONENTS SUBSYSTEMS
	A.	POWER-CONVERSION ASSEMBLY VERIFICATION TEST AND ENERGY TRANSPORT SUBSYSTEM QUALIFICATIONS
	в.	TEST OBJECTIVES
		l. Primary Objectives
		2. Secondary Objectives
	с.	TEST CONFIGURATION
		1. Test Operations 4-9
		2. Test Parameters 4-9
	D.	TEST RESULTS
		1. The Concentrator
		2. The Receiver
		3. Power-Conversion Subsystem
		4. Toluene Analysis

.

: ; ;

đ,

		5.	The	Ene	gy	Trai	nsport	S	78 t	em.	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	4-25
		6.	Over	al1	Sys	tem	Perfo	rm.	Inc	e.	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	4-27
۷.	CONCL	USIONS	AND	REC	DMME	ENDA'	TIONS.	•	•	••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5-1
REFER	ENCES	•••	•••	••	••	••	•••	•	•	••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6-1
APPEN	DIXES																						
	A.	THE CA	ARTEI	R STI	eam	ENG	INE.,	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	A-1
	в.	SAMPL	E PR	INTO	UT (OF A	LL DIS	SPL	AYS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	B-1
	C.	DOCUM	ENTA	TON							_				_				_	_	_		C-1

Figures

ļ

Frontpiece:	One-Megawatt Experimental Plant Originally Proposed for the Small Community Solar Thermal Power	
	Experiment (SCSE)	xii
2-1.	SCSE System Schematic (Power Plant)	2-4
2-2.	SCSE Power Module Configuration Using the Acurex PDC-2 Concentrator	2-5
2-3.	Power-Conversion Assembly (PCA)	2-8
3-1.	Scale Models of Proposed Concentrators	3-2
3-2.	Mirrored Cellular Glass Gore	3-4
3-3.	Power-Conversion Subsystem	3-14
3-4.	Schematic Diagram of ORC Fower Conversion Assembly	3-16
3-5.	Diagram of Pressure/Enthalpy for the Organic Rankine Cycle	3-17
3-6.	Cut-Away View of Receiver Assembly	3-19
3-7.	Turbine/Alternator/Pump (TAP) Assembly	3-22
3-8.	Relationship Between Turbine Power Output and Speed for Various Thermal Inputs	3-24

3-9.	Effect of Variations in Current (or Power) Output for Alternators with Predetermined Internal Impedance Feeding a Variable Load	3-25
3-10.	Cross Section of TAP Assembly Showing, to Scale, Relative Sizes and Locations of Various Components	3-26
3-11.	Block Diagram of Single Power-Module Electrical Power Output Equipment	3-28
3-12.	Vapor-Valve Control Law	3-30
3-13.	Typical Plant Moding Hierarchy	3-32
4-1.	Fower-Conversion Assembly and Electrical Boxes on Test Bed Concentrator No. 1	4-6
4-2.	Comparison of Predicted and Measured Receiver Wall Temperatures (Runs 13 and 17)	4-7
4-3.	Simplified Schematic Diagram of Single-Module Experiment Hardware at Parabolic Dish Test Site	4-8
4-4.	Test Bed Concentrator with 25% Checkerboard Masking Pattern	4-11
4-5.	TV Monitor Showing 25% Masking Spots on Back Wall of Receiver	4-11
4-6.	Typical Receiver Efficiency Plot (Run 16, Test No.11)	4-18
4-7.	Receiver Fluid Outlet Temperature vs. Valve Position (Run 13, Test No. 8)	4-19
4-8.	Receiver Temperatures for Run 13 (Test No. 8)	4-20
4-9.	Power-Conversion Subsystem Performance History for Run 13 (Test No. 8)	4-22
4-10.	Measured ORC Engine Efficiency	4-24
4-11.	Inverter Efficiency Data and Pre-Test Predictions	4-28
4-12.	Measured Component, Module, and System Performance for a Typical Run	4-30

╲

-

Tables

2-1.	Efficiency/Performance	Goals	and	Minimum	Values at	
	Rated Conditions	• • •				2-10

2-2.	Electrical Energy Requirements and Grid	
	Characteristics	2-11
3-1.	PDC-2 Summary	3-12
3-2.	Power-Conversion Subsystem Characteristics and	
	Specification Summary	3-20
4-1.	Tests Performed During Development of the Organic	
	Rankine Power Module	4-2
4-2.	Test Parcueters	4-10
4-3.	Parabolic Dish Test Site Test Summary	4-13
4-4.	Power-Conversion Subsystem Test Data at Parabolic	
	Dish Test Site	4-23
4-5	Inverter Requirements	4-26

.

•

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



One-Megawatt Experimental Plant Originally Proposed for the Small Community Solar Thermal Power Experiment (SCSE)

20

SECTION I

INTRODUCTION

This report deals with the design, development, and test of an organic (toluene) Rankine-cycle (ORC) power module that was intended for the Small Community Solar Thermal Power Experiment (SCSE). Although much has been written about the program, most of the information has appeared in contract documents that have not been widely distributed and is, therefore, not readily available to workers in the field. The objective of this summary and discussion of programmatic considerations and constraints is to identify relevant publications and to discuss the rationale behind many of the decisions that were made in the course of the development of this technology.

The time period covered by this report is from 5 July 1978 to 1 August 1983, beginning with the Phase I effort and ending with the formal termination of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) cognizance of the Ford Aerospace and Communications Corporation (FACC) Phase II effort. Some of the results presented herein were actually obtained after 18 November 1983 when FACC began Phase III under an interim letter contract with the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). Also, references are cited in this report that were published after the official cut-off date but which contain results that might prove useful in the design, fabrication, or operation of potential future ORC engine designs.

-

とうまですないないであるとなっていた。 してたななながないので、

The Experiment had its beginnings in 1977 when Congress, responding to strong and continuous community pressure, sought to provide alternative electric power supplies that could demonstrate reduced dependence on nonrenewable energy sources. To help meet this problem, Congress appropriated funds for a 5-MWe solar thermal demonstration, but the proposed plant later was reduced in size to 1 MWe when it was decided that a smaller facility would provide a valid model at lower cost. Subsequently, its size was to be reduced even further. The technical programs undertaken at JPL were augmented by market and commercialization studies to establish cost goals that might serve as a basis for engineering decisions and performance evaluation.

To ensure that all solar thermal technology options would be considered, a concept-definition phase was initiated in which competitive studies were to be performed in each of three categories:

- Category A General (to include, but not be limited to, central-receiver and line-focusing systems).
- (2) Category B Point-focusing concentrators, distributed receivers, central-power conversion.
- (3) Category C Point-focusing concentrators, distributed receivers, power conversion at each concentrator.

A multiphase approach was adopted as the best means of meeting the objectives of the experiment in the shortest period of time. Phase I addressed the problem of exploring all competitive technologies for this application and recommended those that should be studied in greater detail. Competitive bids were received in each of the categories listed above, and awards were made on the basis of merit. One contractor was selected in each category.

In Phase I each contractor was asked to develop a preferred system concept, to perform sensitivity analyses, and to outline recommended approaches for the follow-on Phase II design program. The systems recommended by the contractors in each of the categories and a brief description of each system follows.

A. McDONNELL-DOUGLAS ASTRONAUTICS COMPANY: Central tower with field of south-facing heliostats (Reference 1-1).

The system proposed by the McDonnell-Douglas Astronautics Company (MDAC) was similar in principle to the 10-MWe, central-receiver solar plant (later known as "Solar One") then under construction (and now operating) near Barstow, California, in conjunction with the Southern California Edison Company. The proposed plant and tower were smaller in size, and the field of heliostats were to be distributed south of the tower rather than surrounding it, as in the Barstow plant. The receiver was a partial cavity-cone design, consisting of an absorber unit, structural assembly (including housing and doors) instrumentation, insulation, and heaters. The tower assembly was to be a guy-wire-supported lattice structure 131 ft high supporting the receiver as well as the thermal transport fluid (HITEC) riser and downcomer.

In this system, the energy transport subsystem collects thermal energy from the receiver and transports it to the energy storage subsystem and then to the power-conversion subsystem. HITEC was proposed as the transport fluid because of its relatively low melting temperature (142°C) and because of the vast experience accumulated with it in industrial process applications. Steam produced from the steam generator was to drive a steam Rankine-cycle turbine coupled to an electrical generator to produce electricity. A power plant building housed the entire power-conversion subsystem, with the exception of the cooling tower and waste-water pond. The balance of plant used equipment and techniques similar to those used in oil-fired or gas-fired steam power plants.

B. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY: A field of parabolic dishes with steam piped to a central turbine-generator unit (Reference 1-2).

The General Electric concept borrowed heavily from the plant designed by GE as a total energy system for the Bleyle plant at Shenardoah, Georgia (the Shenandoah Total Energy System). This design made use of a field of GEdesigned concentrators (Parabolic Dish Concentrator No. 1, also called PDC-1) to generate steam, which was then transported through low-loss piping to a central steam turbine generator unit. The collector field was divided into two sections: those dishes that carried saturated steam, and dishes that extended the heating into the superheat range. The central steam turbine and balance of the plant were to be adaptations of existing, well-proven components.

C. FORD AEROSPACE AND COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION: A field of parabolic dishes with a Stirling-cycle engine/generator unit at the focus of each dish (Reference 1-3).

The system concept originally selected by Ford Aerospace and Communications Corporation in the Phase I study comprised multiple dish concentrators employing a Stirling-cycle heat engine with direct-coupled ac generators at the focal point of each concentrator. The basic power module consisted of parabolic concentrators 18.6 m in diameter using the United Stirling (Sweden) P-75 Stirling-cycle engine for power conversion. Each module included the parabolic concentrator and a cavity receiver with an integral sodium pool boiler, sodium thermal-transport hardware, and the engine/generator assembly. The proposed parabolic dish concentrator was to be a front-braced design with an azimuth-elevation mount and tripod structure with a reflector surface composed of back-silvered, high-reflectivity mirror segments made of fusion glass.

Soon after the completion of the Phase I studies, DOE directives and ongoing technical studies at JPL and elsewhere produced two important programmatic changes:

(1) Category A (and with it the system recommended by McDonnell-Douglas Astronautics Company) was eliminated by DOE from further participation in subsequent phases of the experiment to achieve better program balance because the central-tower concept was already under development at Barstow.

-

(2) Budget constraints, combined with promising and timely results in the Point-Focusing Distributed Receiver Technology (PFDRT) development program at JPL, dictated that subsystem development within the experiment be minimized. Instead, designs for appropriate subsystems were to come from ongoing development work or from other existing sources. PDC-1 was considered the most promising concentrator for use with the experiment. Meanwhile. results of the technology comparison studies performed at the Solar Energy Research Institute (SERI) and at the Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories (PNL) indicated that distributed-power generation was preferred to central-power generation when using point-focus technology for 1-MW plants without storage. In addition, the Shenandoah Total Energy Project was scheduled for completion prior to the Small Community Experiment and would thus serve as verification and demonstration of the point-focusing, central-generation concept. These factors, in addition to the JPL evaluation of the technology choices for this experiment, led to a decision to select Category C and to proceed with this approach in Phase II. As a result, FACC (the successful contractor in this category) was selected to continue in Phase II. On the basis of lowest predicted energy costs, FACC recommended the Stirling-cycle engine, with the Rankine-cycle engine its second choice. In light of ongoing engine studies at Lewis Research Center and at JPL, which indicated that Stirling engine receiver technology was not yet ready for field experiments, it was decided to incorporate a Rankine-cycle engine in the configuration selected for design and test in Phases II and III.

•

ł

SECTION II

THE MULTI-MODULE PLANT

A. BACKGROUND

In August 1979, a sole-source RFP was sent to Ford Aerospace and Communications Corporation soliciting its participation to act as system contractor for Phase II of the experiment. The contractor was required to conduct a preliminary design, component and subsystem development, subsystem and system-level verification testing, and detailed design for a multi-module plant. FACC was also asked to complete the plans for site preparation and hardware implementation. The technology was restricted to distributed energy conversion using the Rankine-cycle engine.

At this point neither the working fluid nor the engine configuration had been determined although the system recommended by FACC in their proposal made use of the organic Rankine-cycle engine with a turbine expander. A special ad hoc committee was formed, consisting of personnel from JPL, LeRC, and SERI to evaluate the readiness of the available Rankine engines because it was considered important to select an engine that required a minimum amount of time and money to develop or adapt for solar use. It was of prime importance that the selected engine not constitute a risk to the success of the experiment.

The committee, which visited the facilities of manufacturers of Rankine-cycle, organic-fluid, and steam engines, found that off-the-shelf designs did not exist and that some development work would be required, regardless of which technology was selected. The evaluation process drew heavily on previous NASA Lewis Research Center experience and studies (References 2-1, 2-2, 2-3) aimed at identifying concepts suitable for paraboloidal dish applications. The number of candidates was reduced to two: (1) the steam-reciprocator engine and (2) the organic-fluid turbine. Although the committee was inclined to favor the steam-reciprocator engine (e.g., the engine described in Appendix A) from the viewpoint of cost and risk, the potential for long life with low maintenance caused the project management to concur with the alternative recommended by FACC. The configuration selected was one using the organic (toluene) Rankine-cycle engine, hermetically sealed, with a permanent magnet alternator integrally mounted on the single-stage, high-speed turbine shaft.

1

Because the power module and its subsystems and components were designed and tested (for test evaluations, see Section IV and Appendix B) to be used in the multi-module plant of the Small Community Solar Thermal Power Experiment, a description of the proposed complete experimental facilities plant is necessary for a complete understanding and evaluation of these elements. For that reason, the description and specifications for those portions of the intended multi-module plant that would have significantly impacted the design of the power module are given in the following paragraphs. For the greater part, these descriptions were taken from the Critical Item Specification documents written by FACC during the course of the development. A complete listing of applicable specification documents can be found in Appendix C. Although a 1-MWe plant was originally proposed for the experiment, the rating of the proposed plant was ultimately reduced to 100-kWe as a result of several decisions made during the course of the development program. In spite of this reduction in plant size, the design of the power module itself was not significantly affected. Only those items involving central control and energy collection and transport were altered to accommodate the reduced number of modules.

Indeed, an increase in module size was ultimately proposed for the Phase III plant¹ as a means of increasing the plant output rating when it became apparent that FACC would not be able to build a 100-kWe plant under the cost constraints required by DOE. The solution to this dilemma was to reduce the plant cost by reducing the number of modules while increasing the rated power output of each module. Some of this increase was to be achieved by enlarging the proposed concentrator diameter to 12.2 m (thereby increasing the flux impinging on the receiver) while some improvement was realized by refinements in engine-component design. The remaining increase came as a result of changes in the rating system itself and in the assumed ambient conditions of operation. In the ensuing descriptions and tables, the descriptions and values presented typically apply to the elements of the final multi-module plant design with each module rated at approximately 25 kWe. This 25-kWe module and the orignal 20-kWe module are individually identified wherever a distinction between the two units is required in this report. In all cases, the size of the total multi-module plant is 100 kWe.

1

1.

B. REQUIREMENTS FOR A 100-kWe, MULTI-MODULE PLANT

The following description and requirements were established to define and control the design and development of the multi-module plant. Except for minor editorial changes and abridgement, they are quoted from Reference 2-4.

1. System Definition and Objectives

The Small Community Solar Thermal Power Experiment² is based on a modular, point-focusing, distributed-generation type of solar thermal electric system and commonly designated as a PFDR or "Point-Focusing Distributed Receiver." The system is comprised of multiple point-focusing concentrators using Rankine-cycle heat engines with directly coupled ac generators for power conversion at the focal point of each concentrator. Electrical energy is transported from the module-mounted energy conversion equipment to the collection point for power conditioning and transmission to the utility network.

¹The specifications for the 25-kWe module, 100-kWe plant are based on Reference 2-4.

²The plant proposed for this experiment did not go beyond the preliminary design stage. Although intended for deployment at Osage City, Kansas, this specific plant was never built.

The objectives of the SCSE multi-module plant are twofold:

- To establish technical feasibility of small, solar-thermal, point-focusing, distributed receiver technology in a small community/utility environment.
- (2) To determine performance, functional, and operational characteristics of the selected system in a user environment.
- 2. General Description

The SCSE power plant consists of multiple power modules connected to a central control building by electrical power lines and instrumentation/control cables. The control building serves as the collection point for further power conditioning. Interface equipment is used to connect the output power to the local utility grid. The plant consists of four major subsystems:

- (1) Collector Subsystem.
- (2) Power-Conversion Subsystem (PCS).
- (3) Energy Transport Subsystem (ETS).
- (4) Plant Control Subsystem.

These subsystems are identified on the system schematic shown in Figure 2-1. The power module is shown in Figure 2-2 and consists of the collector subsystem and the power-conversion assembly (PCA), comprising the receiver, engine, and those associated electricity-generating components mounted at the focus of the dish.

a. <u>Collector Subsystem</u>. The collector subsystem consists of the sun-tracking parabolic concentrator and receiver subassembly. The concentrator collects solar radiation and focuses it on the receiver wall. The Acurex Parabolic Dish Concentrator No. 2 (PDC-2) shown in Figure 2-2 is proposed for this application. The receiver transforms the radiant energy to thermal energy and transfers it to the fluid medium for transport to the power-conversion subsystem.

b. <u>Power-Conversion Subsystem (PCS)</u>. The power-conversion subsystem converts the thermal energy from the receiver subassembly to electrical energy. The power-conversion subsystem consists of the components supplied by the PCS subcontractor, Barber-Nichols Engineering:

- (1) Components located at the focal point.
 - (a) Turbine/Alternator/Pump (TAP)
 - (b) Regenerator



Figure 2-1. SCSE System Schematic (Power Plant)





- (c) Condenser and fan
- (d) Control valve
- (e) Plumbing and ancillary equipment
- (2) Rectifier.
- (3) PCS controls.
- (4) Brake (electrical).
- (5) Motor starters.
- (6) Fan control.

c. <u>Energy Transport Subsystem</u>. The energy transport subsystem (ETS) is used to carry electrical energy from the individual power modules to the collection point and then to the local utility grid. The subsystem consists of the following major components:

- (1) Electrical power cables.
- (2) Switchboard.
- (3) Central inverter(s).
- (4) Load bank(s).
- (5) Grid-interface equipment.

d. <u>Control Subsystem</u>. The control subsystem consists of the hardware, software, and facilities necessary for the operation and monitoring of all subsystems, components, and plant-level functions. The key components are the Master Power Controller (MPC) and the Remote Control Interface Assembly (RCIA). Computational functions are performed in both the MPC and the RCIA: the MPC uses the Data General Eclipse S/120 minicomputer and the RCIA uses the Z-80 microprocessor. The MPC acts as the command center for mode control³ and other central-control functions for the entire plant. The RCIA provides detailed control of the concentrator and PCA, data encoding, power-module automoding, and communication with the central MPC. One RCIA is assigned to each power module, i.e., it is the local control unit for each module under the overall control of the MPC. The building that houses the central control equipment is classified as part of the control subsystem

³Mode controi includes normal plant operations such as start-up, normal operation and shutdown; abnormal operations such as an environmental shutdown or an individual power-module failure; and plant-wide emergency conditions such as utility-grid failure, MPC failure, or failure of other critical components in the energy-transport subsystem.

although it also contains the switchboard, inverter, and other electrical equipment.

3. Assemblies, Power Module, and Power Plant

a. <u>Power-Conversion Assembly (PCA)</u>. The PCA consists of the hardware installed at the focal point. It has two major parts (Figure 2-3) connected to form an integral unit:

 Receiver Subassembly. All receiver components, including heat-transfer surfaces, insulation, attach structure, etc. į

:

I

Ì

ł

(2) Power-Conversion Subsystem Components. The PCA includes all the PCS components located at the focal point. (Other PCS components, such as the rectifier, are mounted on the ground near the concentrator and are not part of the PCA.) Figure 2-3 illustrates the location of the major components that comprise the PCA.

b. <u>Power Module</u>. The power module consists of the concentrator, the PCA, and equipment located near the concentrator base, i.e., the rectifier, PCS control box, brake, fan control, motor starters, RCIA, PDC-2 control, and local cabling.

c. <u>Power Plant</u>. The power plant consists of items identified in Figure 2-1: multiple power modules, energy-transport subsystem, and control subsystem. All the components shown in Figure 2-1 are part of the power plant. This includes the central-control building and ancillary components, such as an uninterruptible power supply (UPS).

4. System Rated Power

The plant shall deliver at least 100 kWe net electrical power to the local grid at rated conditions. Net power is defined as that produced after deducting power consumption associated with individual modules. Parasitic power to operate central equipment such as the MPC, inverter, lights, and air conditioning is not included because these requirements are essentially independent of plant size. Rated conditions are defined as follows:

(1) A direct normal isolation of 1.0 kW/m^2 available to the concentrator (circumsolar flux not included).⁴

⁴The selection of this insolation value is best explained in the SCSE Technical Report TR-SCSE-009 (See Appendix C).





(2) Ambient temperature: 0°C (32°F).

(3) Wind speed: 0.

Appropriate scaling techniques shall be used to ratio the actual output to rated conditions.

5. Operating Limit

The plant shall operate properly at an insolation of 1100 W/m^2 with clean reflectors.

6. Efficiency/Performance

The efficiency of the plant, subsystems, and components shall be maximized, consistent with design and program constraints. The efficiency or performance goals and minimum levels at rated conditions are summarized in Table 2-1.

7. Electrical Energy Requirements

The electrical energy supplied to the utility grid shall meet the requirements in Table 2-2. The grid characteristics under which these are met are also identified in Table 2-2.

8. Plant Operation

The system shall exhibit stable, controlled, and safe operations during start-up, normal operation, shutdown, emergency, and intermittent operation.

The plant shall be capable of automatic operation by the on-site, plant control subsystem. With the future addition of communication equipment the design shall be capable of remote operation by the utility power dispatcher without assistance from on-site operators. Automatic or autonomous operation shall be implemented by computer logic structured in a hierarchy involving the plant, the ETS, the power modules, the PCAs, and the concentrators. Plant modes and ETS modes will be controlled by the central computer or MPC; power-module, PCA, and concentrator modes shall be controlled by the local microprocessor, i.e., the RCIA.

Typical plant-operating modes and features, each involving combinations of the lower-level modes, are described below.

a. <u>"Ready" Plant Mode</u>. The "ready" mode is initiated first. When the computer has determined that all conditions are met for power generation (i.e., minimum insolation, sun elevation angle above 5 deg, etc.) the logic will progress to the next mode, provided there are no fault flags. The concentrators remain in the stowed condition in this mode.

Component/Item	Goal	Minimum
Concentrator	Produce 101 kWt through a 37.95-cm (14.94-in.) aperture	98.2 kWt through the aperture
Receiver	97%	967
PCS (Ergine/Alternator/ Rectifier), based on gross output and 427°C (800°F) turbine inlet temperature	30.2 %	27.5 %
Emergy Transport Sub- system ^a (cables, switchboard, and inverter; transformer not included)	91.6%	88.7%
Module Parasitic Losses (N = no. of modules)	0.92 N kWe	1.2 N kWe
Plant Output	110 kWe at rated conditions	100 kWe at rated conditions

Table 2-1. Efficiency/Performance Goals and Minimum Values at Rated Conditions

^a Based on a subscale inverter efficiency of 90% (minimum) or 93% goal. An ETS efficiency of 96.5% is predicted for a full-size plant.

b. <u>"Normal Power" Plant Mode</u>. When this mode is entered, the power modules cycle through the following sequence: (1) slew to offset track, (2) self test, if OK, then (3) slew on sun, and (4) power generation following receiver heat-up. This mode will continue until some condition occurs that signals the computer to shut down the modules. During periods in which the PCS is producing power, the RCIA will attempt to control the fluid flow to maintain a constant fluid temperature at the outlet of the receiver. If this is not possible due to cloud cover or other reasons, idle conditions will be maintained as long as practical.

c. <u>"Normal Shutdown" Plant Mode</u>. Collection of solar energy will be terminated when insolation falls to the level at which no net energy

Parameter	Electrical Energy Supplied by SCSE	Grid Characteristics
Voltage (nominal)	480 V, 3-phase from inverter, transformed by site participant to match grid voltage of 2400 V.	2400, 30, <u>+</u> 10 % voltage variation
Voltage Regulation	Follow grid variations	Voltage variations of <u>+</u> 10%
Frequency	Synchronize with grid frequency	60 Hz <u>+</u> 2 Hz
Waveform Distortion	<5% total harmonic _ output current at rated conditions	Not applicable
Regulation Time Constant	2 cycles for inverter	Not applicable
Effective Power Factor (PF)	0.95 <u>+</u> 0.05 supplied to grid by inverter when providing 75% of rated power	-0.7 to +0.7 for SCSE operation. Rated output of plant at 0.95 +0.05 grid PF

Table 2-2. Electrical Energy Requirements and Grid Characteristics

can be collected. When this occurs, or when shutdown is directed for some other reason (such as adverse weather), all modules will be shut down and stowed.

d. <u>"Emergency Shutdown" Plant Mode</u>. The plant control subsystem will monitor the status of each subsystem during all operations and will diagnose subsystem malfunctions. In the event a malfunction is deemed "serious" (leading to potential equipment damage or safety hazard), an emergency shutdown procedure will be initiated automatically. Manual backup will also be available. The procedure depende on the nature of the failure but in all cases is designed to maximize safety while minimizing equipment damage.

The plant automatically disconnects from the grid in the case of a grid malfunction. When the fault has cleared and any tripped manual relays reset, the plant may return to automatic control by the operator and be reconnected to the grid.

e. <u>"Standby" Plant Mode</u>. Following normal shutdown or emergency shutdown, the system is placed in a standby mode. In this mode the control subsystem will continue automatically to monitor temperatures and activate elements, as required to maintain the system in a ready condition for subsequent start-up. The concentrator will usually be located in the offset track position during standby.

f. <u>Extended Shutdown ("Out of Service") Plant Mode</u>. This mode disables the power modules and thus provides a safe means of making maintenance, repair, or equipment modifications.

9. Module Operation

Each module of the plant shall be capable of operation independently of the other modules. If a malfunction occurs that is associated with an individual module and that may lead to equipment damage or a safety hazard, that module shall be automatically shut down, with manual backup. Modules not associated with the malfunction shall continue operating. Each module, as well as other major elements of the plant, shall be capable of being independently shut down or removed from plant operations for maintenance, repair, or modification.

10. Utility Interfaces

The system shall be compatible with standard electric power transmission networks and meet the requirements in Table 2-2.

11. Design Service Life

The plant shall be designed for an operational lifetime of 20 years, the standard for the solar industry.

1

12. Reliability/Availability

Design, fabrication, assembly, and checkout approaches shall be used that maintain a high level of reliability and availability, consistent with the size and purpose of the plant. High reliability and availability shall be achieved in the system design by providing adequate operating margins, using proven standard designs and procedures, maintaining design simplicity, and using conservative design and operational practices.

13. Environmental Conditions

The plant shall be capable of operating in, and surviving, appropriate combinations of environmental conditions that exist at Edwards AFB, California, or Osage City, Kansas (See Footnote 2). These conditions include insolation, temperature extremes, winds and gusts, dust and sandstorms, rain, hail, snow and ice, humidity, freezing and thawing, seismic loads, and lightning, as defined in the following paragraphs.

a. <u>Insolation</u>. Plant performance predictions over a specified period of time shall be based on direct, normal insolation data obtained at the Osage City site. Rated conditions shall be based on the 1.0 kW/m² condition (See Footnote 4). The maximum direct normal insolation for plant operation shall be 1100 W/m².

The plant shall operate properly at the maximum rate of change of incident flux resulting from the passage of the shadow from an opaque cloud moving across the collector field at a velocity of 20 m/s.

b. Temperature.

Survival. The plant shall be able to survive, without damage, ambient air temperatures in the range of $-26^{\circ}C$ ($-15^{\circ}F$) to $50^{\circ}C$ ($122^{\circ}F$). Exposed elements shall also be able to be subjected to the heat load from a maximum insolation condition of 1100 W/m².

<u>Operating conditions</u>. The plant shall be able to operate continuously when the ambient temperature is between $-10^{\circ}C$ (15°F) and 46°C (115°F). Components with a restricted range of operating temperature shall be placed in a conditioned enclosure -- primarily the central computer, which is restricted to ambient conditions of 10° to $32^{\circ}C$ (50 to $90^{\circ}F$).

c. <u>Winds and Gusts</u>. The wind shall be measured 10 m (33 feet) above ground level. Wind gusts, which are superimposed on the average wind speeds, may occur at any time. A 20% increase in wind velocity during a 2-s duration (2-s triangular pulse) shall be used to model typical gusts; the resulting loads should not result in any equipment damage.

Operating Conditions. The concentrators shall be capable of operating within specification in a 4.5-m/s (10-mph) wind. Safe operation without damage to any module component shall occur in wind speeds to 11.2 m/s (25 mph), at which point the concentrators shall be commanded to stow.

The following conditions shall be used as a guide for wind-rise rate, velocity profile. and frequency. A maximum wind-rise rate of 0.01 m/s^2 (1.3 mph/min) and the appropriate level of wind gusts shall be used in calculating wind loads during slew-to-stow. Wind-velocity profile variesexponentially with height to the 0.15 power, where the reference height is taken as 10 m (33 feet):

$$v_z = v_{10 \text{ m}} \left(\frac{z}{10 \text{ m}}\right)^{0.15}$$

2-13

where

 V_{10} m = reference wind velocity at a height of 10 m

0.15 = power law exponent for flat open country

- Z = height in meters
- V_z = mean wind velocity at height Z

d. <u>Dust and Sandstorms</u>. The plant shall survive, without damage, blowing dust equivalent to the conditions at the Parabolic Dish Test Site (PDTS) at Edwards AFB or at Osage City, Kansas, whichever is more severe.

e. <u>Rain, Snow, Ice, and Hail</u>. The plant (with the concentrators in the stowed position) shall survive, without damage, the environmental conditions established for the concentrator (See Section III-5).

f. <u>Combined Environments</u>. The plant (with the concentrators in the stowed position) shall survive, without damage, realistic combinations of adverse environmental conditions (e.g., high winds with ice on the concentrator or high humidity).

g. <u>Seismic</u>. The plant shall survive, without structural damage or yielding, an earthquake that produces an acceleration of 0.25 g horizontal in any direction, combined with a vertical earthquake acceleration of 0.17 g superimposed on a normal 1-g gravity load. The spectrum presented in U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Regulator Guide 1.60 (Revision 1, dated December 1973) should be used as a guide. Realignment of the concentrators after an earthquake is acceptable.

i

;

İ.

h. <u>Lightning</u>. The plant shall be provided with lightning protection. Total destruction of a single module and its controller (RCIA) subjected to a direct lightning strike is acceptable. Damage to a module adjacent to a direct lightning strike shall be minimized within appropriate cost-risk limits. The central controller (MPC) and local controllers (RCIAs) adjacent to a direct lightning strike shall be protected or alternate control methods provided to minimize loss of module control.

i. <u>Noise</u>. Noise emissions resulting from normal operations shall be minimized.

j. <u>Transportability: Sizing and Weight Limitations</u>. System elements shall be designed for transportability within applicable federal and state regulations by highway and railroad carriers using standard transport vehicles and materials-handling equipment. Whenever feasible, components shall be segmented and packaged to sizes that are transportable under normal, commercial transportation limitations.

k. <u>Safety</u>. The SCSE shall be designed to minimize potential hazards to operating personnel, equipment, and the general public. Safety considerations shall include equipment design and facilities, safety features and warning devices, personnel safety operating procedures, and hazardous materials. Fail-safe shutdown of the plant in the case of grid failure or of the power module in the case of such conditions as engine failure or drive power failure is a design requirement.

SECTION III

DEVELOPMENT OF COMPONENTS AND SUBSYSTEMS FOR THE ORC POWER MODULE

A prime driving factor in the design and development of the power module for the Small Community Solar Thermal Power Experiment was its suitability for deployment in a multi-module plant that could produce high quality electric power. Although it had been realized that the first generations of the design would probably not be economically viable in a free market, it was nevertheless determined to make all technical choices and decisions as if they would lead to a commercial, mass-produced plant. Thus, a complete systems approach was adopted, and the importance of the system contractor's role emphasized. The development of the individual components and subsystems was carefully integrated and periodically reviewed so that the objectives and characteristics of the complete plant were not subordinated to those of the constituent elements.

A. CONCENTRATOR

1. General Characteristics

The physical characteristics of the concentrator selected for the module can vary widely without affecting the functional performance of the power module or the entire plant, that is, many different sizes and kinds of concentrators would be compatible with the multi-module plant, subject to the provision that the concentrator design meet the environmental requirements, be compatible with the proposed control system with regard to tracking and stowing, and focus the correct quantity of solar energy into a 15-in. aperture with a flux distribution approximately like that reflected from a paraboloid of revolution. Thus, two different concentrators were proposed for the power module at different times in the course of this program.

The first candidate (Figure 3-la) was the GE-designed PDC-1. This design (for a description, see Reference 3-1) was rejected when studies showed that a cost ceiling and plant performance requirements set by DOL could be met only if the number of modules was limited to four and the power of each module increased from 20 to 25 kWe. In place of PDC-1, FACC proposed a 12.2-mdiameter version of the Acurex-designed dish (Figure 3-lb), which was derived from an advanced concentrator concept designed by Acurex in collaboration with JPL.

The Acurex PDC-2 was selected for use with the SCSE power module on the basis of measurements made on a prototype reflective panel developed under a prior technology development contract between JPL and Acurex. The panel was designed for an ll-m-diameter dish, using structural foam glass as the substrate, to which a thin, back-silvered glass sheet had been cemented. Notwithstanding the difficulty of securing glass panels of sufficient size,



Figure 3-1. Scale Models of Proposed Concentrators (a) General Electric PDC-1 and (b) Acurex PDC-2 FACC, the system contractor, determined that the original ll-m dish could be enlarged to 12.2 m and that four dishes of this size (using 25-kWe power conversion assemblies) could meet the 100-kWe plant rating requirement for the Small Community Solar Thermal Power Experiment. Because of subsequent problems in meeting set cost ceilings, the development of this concentrator did not go much beyond the design stage, and only preliminary test mirror panels and tooling were fabricated. The following paragraphs describe the PDC-2 concentrator, proposed and designed but never built as part of this ORC module development program. These specifications are drawn from the critical item specification, Reference 3-2.

2. Parabolic Dish Concentrator No. 2 Subsystem Description

The Parabolic Dish Concentrator No. 2 (PDC-2) is a singlereflection, point-focusing, two-axis tracking solar concentrator with a reflective surface diameter of 12.2 m (See Figure 2-2). The concentrator consists of five subsystems.

a. <u>Reflective Surface</u>. The concentrator surface consists of two concentric rings of independently reflective elements that form a physically discontinuous paraboloidal reflective surface with a common focal point. Twenty-four elements make up the inside ring, and 40 comprise the outside ring. The aperture diameter is 12.2 m with a focal-length-toaperture-diameter ratio (f/D) value of approximately 0.55.

The 64 reflective elements are installed on a ring-link gore support structure with statically determinant, three-point attachments. These attachments have sufficient degrees of freedom to allow fine tuning of the composite surface geometry and differential/thermal displacements.

Each reflective element, or gore (Figure 3-2), is fabricated of thin, back-silvered glass mirror bonded to a contoured substrate of cellular (foam) glass.

b. <u>Support Structures</u>. There are four elements in the support structure subsystem.

Gore Support. The gore support structure is a space-frame ring truss made of structural steel tubing. The ring structure provides the structural interface for:

- (1) The reflective gores.
- (2) The PCA support structure.
- (3) The elevation drive mechanism and bearings on the drive-support structure.


Figure 3-2. Mirrored Cellular Glass Gore

Drive Support. The drive-support structure serves as an intermediate structure between the reflector assembly and the pedestal. It is pivoted about the azimuth axis at the top of the pedestal. Thrust loads are transmitted at that point to the pedestal through thrust bearings. The reflector (dish) is also pivoted, in elevation, about the two upper corners of the drive-support structure. The elevation drive is mounted near the azimuth pivot bearing mount.

<u>Power-Conversion Assembly Support</u>. The support structure for the power-conversion assembly is a quadripod formed from four structural steel truss-style legs and braced with tension elements. The quadripod also provides a means of routing cables and lines to the equipment located at the focal point. The structure is designed to support the PCA at the focal point and is rigidly attached to the gore structure at four points located 45 deg with respect to the vertical and horizontal dish axis. Structural elements are insulated to protect them against heating by the focal spot during a transient passage and steady-state operation.

Pedestal. A cylindrical pedestal structure is constructed of structural steel. It provides a fixed axis about which the concentrator assembly is pivoted. It transmits elevation actuator loads and horizontal wind forces to the foundation. The pedestal provides a minimum of 0.61-m (2-ft) clearance with the ground when the dish is pointed at the horizon and provides a means of supporting cabling/conduit and junction boxes. c. <u>Drive Subsystem</u>. The concentrator can be driven independently about elevation and azimuth axes at two speeds: slew and tracking.

<u>Azimuth Drive</u>. Azimuth rotation is provided by a ring/gear pinion drive mounted at the top of the pedestal. The pinion is driven by a motor through a gear reduction to provide the necessary mechanical advantage.

Elevation Drive. The elevation rotation is accomplished with & linear actuator mounted between the drive-support structure and gore-support structure. The motor is equipped with a brake to prevent movement when power to the brake is removed.

d. <u>Electrical Instrumentation and Control Subsystems</u>. The electrical subsystem provides power to the concentrator drives and transmits the output power from the PCS to a rectifier box mounted on a rack near the pedestal. Also mounted on the rack are (1) two PDC-2 motor controllers (azimuth and elevation drive) with local (manual) control and electrical disconnect, (2) the RCIA, and (3) boxes used for PCS control (electrical brake, PCS control, fan control, motor starters, and a junction box). All cables are contained in rigid or flexible conduit (or equivalent). Other components in the electrical system include the power to operate the PDC-2 brake, convenience outlets on the concentrator and the rack, and emergency/ safety switches.

.

ł

f

ł

.....

ł

The control subsystem elements located at the concentrator consist of a sun sensor, azimuth and elevation positional feedback device, and the RCIA. The RCIA contains the control algorithms and logic for providing signals to the motor controllers that provide power to the drive motors. Adverse environmental conditions will be sensed in the Master Power Control (MPC) and signals will be sent to the RCIA to stow the concentrator.

e. <u>Foundation</u>. The foundation support consists of a cylindrical (pipe) pedestal and concrete pier. The pier is a poured-in-place, reinforced concrete cylinder in which the pedestal is embedded.

3. Interface Definition

The PDC-2 shall meet the physical and functional interface requirements for the subsystems defined below.

a. <u>PCA Configuration and Weight Estimates</u>. The concentrator is designed to support a 681-kg (1500-1b) PCA that is 1.12 m (44.25 in.) in diameter and 2.33 m (92 in.) in length. The center of mass of the PCA is located 1.05 m (41.2 in.) aft of the receiver aperture.

3-5

b. <u>Receiver Aperture</u>. The solar flux produced by the concentrator is delivered to a 37.95-cm (14.94-in.)-diameter receiver aperture located at the focal plane.

c. <u>Focal-Spot Location</u>. The focal spot must be located within the following limits. Panel adjustments shall be available to meet these requirements.

<u>Axially</u>. Aperture plane (focal length) within <u>+</u>5 cm (+2 in.) of the theoretical location.

<u>Radially</u>. Center of the focal spot (beam) within <u>+</u>2.5 cm (+1 in.) of the geometric centerline of the dish.

d. <u>Electrical Drive Power</u>. Electrical power to the motor controllers is 480-V, three-phase power. This power will be delivered via the switchboard. Electrical drive power to operate the breke shall be determined during the design phase.

e. <u>Requirements for Operational Configuration</u>. The following requirements shall be met with the power module in its operational configuration, i.e., with the PCA, cabling, and on-module boxes installed.

<u>Thermal Performance</u>. The primary performance requirement for the concentrator is that it shall supply a minimum of 96.5-kW thermal power through the aperture when the clean reflective surface is illuminated with a direct (normal) solar flux of 1.0 kW/m². This "rated" condition shall be maintained as the concentrator tracks the sun during normal operation conditions, including wind speeds from zero to 4.5 m/s (10 mph). The goal is to obtain a thermal power though the aperture of 101 kW at rated conditions. This requirement is equivalent to defining a concentrator efficiency requirement as follows:

$$\eta_{\rm conc} \geq P_{\rm c}/A_{\rm c}$$

where

- P_c = Concentrator reflected power, kWt at 1.0 kW/m² insolation = 96.5 minimum, 101 as a goal
- A_c = Aperture area of dish, m² (A_c = 116.9 m² for 12.2-m diameter)

 $\eta_{\rm conc} = \rho_{\rm K_b K_D \gamma}$

where

- P = hemispherical reflectivity
- K_b = "blockage" coefficient (all losses due to gaps, engine/mounting structure, blockage and shading;
- K_D = dust coefficient = 1.0 (clean surface)
 - γ = intercept factor at receiver aperture (includes errors due to slope ($\sigma_{\rm g}$ = 3 mr), pointing ($\sigma_{\rm p}$ = 1.745 mr), sun source ($\sigma_{\rm ss}$ = 2 mr), and specularity ($\sigma_{\rm spec}$ = 0.2 mr). Nominal value of γ = 1.0

<u>Brake</u>. A holding brake shall be provided for the elevation axis that is applied by either control contact "open" or removal of power. The brake shall be capable of holding the elevation drive mechanism stationary under the stow and operating conditions.

<u>Tracking</u>. Tracking may be commanded in either direction of each axis, singly or simultaneously. The concentrator shall then rotate at a rate of 0.11 deg/s until the signal is removed. This speed shall be repeatable with 10% of the selected rate under operating conditions, and the tracking rate shall be adjustable. The tracking error due to all sources (i.e., sensor alignment, wind gusts that occur when the operating wind speed is below 11.2 m/s [25 mph], gravity sag, and controller operation) shall meet the focal-spot location requirements.

<u>Slew</u>. Slew may be commanded in either direction of each axis, independently or simultaneously. The drive speeds for slew will be selected by Acurex but shall not be less than the following: (1) elevation: 1.0 deg/s and (2) azimuth: 1.0 deg/s.

Zero Speed. The maximum rate bias of the controller when zero speed is commanded shall be less than +0.01 deg/s.

<u>Speed</u>. In addition to the track and slew speeds, a "stop" or zero speed shall be available. During "stop" the power consumed by the concentrator drive components shall be minimized, consistent with cost and reliability considerations.

and

<u>Acceleration/Deceleration</u>. Acceleration from a stop should be greater than 1 deg/s². Deceleration when stopping from slew speed should be greater than 1 deg/s² and shall be repeatable so that the angle traversed during the deceleration to zero speed is predictable to within ± 0.1 deg of the nominal value.

<u>Method of Control</u>. The concentrator shall be designed so that the implementation of control techniques will allow the concentrator to: (1) maintain sun track within the defined accuracy by use of a sun sensor, (2) follow the sun's path while behind clouds (ephemeris track using the predicted position calculated by the RCIA), (3) do an offset track using ephemeris prediction, (4) be commanded to other positions including stow, and (5) satisfactorily make the transition on and off sun track (acquisition and detrack from sun). Local controls for each axis shall be provided near the concentrator. These controls shall allow operations independently of the RCIA.

<u>Focal-Spot Location</u>. Any radial offset in the normal location of the focal spot shall not exceed 0.1 deg during sun track in wind speeds up to 4.5 m/s (10 mph). The offset at winds up to the operational limit of 11.2 m/s (25 mph) shall result in no face-plate damage.

4. Operational Criteria

a. <u>Travel</u>. The range of travel for the two axes shall be as foilows: The concentrator shall have the capability of pointing due north (0 deg) in azimuth (Az) for the purpose of resolver (encoder) calibration, maintenance of the PCA, and dish maintenance and cleaning. Azimuth travel for solar acquisition and tracking shall be from 0 (North) to 310 deg. Travel is not required in the segment for 310 to 360 deg in azimuth.

The range of elevation (El) travel shall be a minimum of 0 to 90 deg, where 0 deg is horizontal.

The maximum range of elevation angles for operation of the PCA shall be from 5-deg elevation in the morning until 5-deg elevation before sunset.

b. <u>Stow</u>. The high-wind stowage position shall be toward the zenith (straight up). This shall also be the stow position used for normal nighttime and cloudy weather conditions. An optional stow condition for nighttime and daytime conditions shall be the maintenance position.

c. <u>Maintenance</u>. During maintenance, the concentrator shall be pointed due north at 0-deg elevation.

1

5. Environmental Conditions

a. Wind

<u>Operational</u>. The concentrator shall operate safely without damage to the receiver face plate or other components in wind speeds up to 11.2 m/s (25 mph). The concentrator shall meet the performance requirements specified for wind velocities (speeds) up to 4.5 m/s (10 mph) in any direction. Degradation in slope and pointing errors for wind speeds up to the operational limit shall be consistent with the focal-spot requirements previously given.

Wind gusts may occur at any time; these gusts are superimposed on the average wind-speed requirements defined herein. An increase in wind velocity to 20.7 m/s (46.2 mph) during a 2-s duration (2-s triangular pulse with a base wind speed of 11.2 m/s [25 mph]) shall cause no structural damage with the concentrator in any position.

Slew to Stow. The concentrator will be commanded to initiate a slew to the stow position when the wind reaches an average velocity of 11.2 m/s (25 mph) from any direction. The wind will be measured 10 m (33 ft) above ground level, using the weather-station anemometer. The concentrator shall survive slew-to-stow without damage from any initial orientation. Typical wind rise rates of 0.01 m/s² (1.3 mph/min) shall be used as a guide for estimating wind loads during stowage. The wind gust defined above shall also be considered.

Stow position. The concentrator shall survive "fastest mile" wind speeds of 35.8 m/s (80 mph) from any direction. At this wind speed, less that 5% of the reflective panels shall have cracks or other types of failure after a total cumulative time of ó h. If cracks occur, this shall not cause the panels to fall from their supports. A 2-s wind gust of 42.5 m/s (95 mph) from a base speed of 35.8 m/s (80 mph) shall be survived with no structural damage. -----

ţ

i

:

b. Temperature

<u>Operating</u>. The concentrator shall be safely operable within the ambient temperature range of -10° C (15° F) to 46° C (115° F) and meet the performance requirements within the temperature range of 0° C (32° F) to 40.5° C (105° F).

Storage. The concentrator shall withstand without damage repeated exposure to ambient temperatures ranging from $-26^{\circ}C(-15^{\circ}F)$ to 50°C (122°F) and relative humidity up to 100%.

c. <u>Seismic</u>. The power wodule (concentrator/PCA/etc.) shall survive a lateral acceleration of 0.25 g in any direction, combined with a vertical load of 1.17 g due to gravity and seismic acceleration. No damage shall be experienced when subjected to this loading with the concentrator in any position. Realignment of the concentrators after an earthquake is acceptable.

6. Other Environments

The concentrator shall survive without damage and operate properly after withstanding the following environments and reasonable combination of environments.

a. Precipitation

Hail. 1.9 cm (3/4 in.) in diameter, a specific gravity of 0.9 at 23 m/s (51 mph) and at any orientation of the concentrator.

Rain. 7.5 cm (3 in.) within a 24-h period, annual average of 86 cm (33.7 in.)

Snow and ice accumulation. 250 Pa $(5 \ 1b/it^2)$.

Freezing and Thawing. Repetitive throughout life of concentrator for relative humidity up to 100%.

c. <u>Blowing Sand and Dust</u>. Equivalent to conditions of PDTS or Osage City, whichever is more severc.

c. <u>Lightning</u>. The concentrator shall have lightning protection devices to minimize the damage caused by a direct lightning strike.

d. <u>Birds</u>. The reflector shall be resistant to bird droppings and be readily cleaned. Nooks and crannies that can be used by birds for uesting or roosts shall be minimized.

e. <u>Vandals</u>. The concentrator shall be as resistant as practical to damage by vandals.

7. Inspection and Maintenance

Maintainability shall be considered in the design and operation of all components of the concentrator to ensure minimum cost for maintenance over the plant life and downtimes, consistent with reliabilit and availability requirements.

3-10

8. Mirrors

The following are specifications and requirements for the reflective panels:

a. <u>Gore Material</u>. Each reflective-element gore shall be designed to incorporate a back-silvered glass mirror surface, bonded to a contoured substrate of cellular glass.

b. <u>Back-Silvered Glass Mirror (Wet Chemical Silvering Process</u>). Glass type is Sodalime (chemically strengthened), drawn glass, or equivalent.

c. <u>Slope Errors</u>. The nominal rms (root mean square) slope error of the reflective panels mounted on the concentrator shall not exceed 3.0 mrad, including fabrication, gravity loads, thermal gradients, panel alignment, support-structure gravity loads, and loading from a wind of 4.5 m/s (10 mph). The value for the overall slope error shall be consistent with the requirements listed previously.

•

-

ŧ

d. <u>Reflectance and Specularity</u>. The average total hemispherical reflectance of the mirror surface over the solar spectrum shall be 0.92 (0.95 as a goal). The final value shall be consistent with the performance requirements. The nominal specularity shall be 0.2 mrad (1) or less.

9. Overall Characteristics. A summary of the characteristics of the PDC-2 concentrator is shown in Table 3-1.

B. THE POWER-CONVERSION ASSEMBLY

The circumstances that attended the engine studies and the rationale employed in the selection of the organic Rankine-cycle engine have been mentioned in Section I. In addition to those general considerations, the attributes that resulted in the specific Barber-Nichols design were determined by the following requirements for the overall plant:

(1) The plant and its components should have an economic life of 20 to 30 yr. To meet this requirement, the engine cannot be of the reciprocating piston type unless maintenance and repair costs are brought down to a very low level. Only turbines running on hydrodynamic or gasdynamic bearings promised this capability. On the other hand, existing steam turbines in this size range, although demonstrating satisfactory longevity, are known to operate at very low efficiency.

1

Parameter	Design Summary	
Physical Characteristics	Diameter = 12.2 m (40.03 ft)	
	Aperture area = 116.9 m ²	
	Weight capability at focal plane = 680 kg (1500 lb) for PCA	
	Geometric concentration ratio (FACC aperture) = 1000	
	f/D = 0.55 (= 45-deg rim angle)	
Optical Performance	Shadowing $(K_b) = 0.897$, minimum, 0.91 goal	
	Reflectivity (σ) = 0.92, minimum, 0.95 as a goal	
	Slope error = 3 mrad , 1	
Tracking and Operation	Computer coarse track within $\pm 1^{\circ}$ (during clouds, etc.)	
	Fine track accuracy within 1.745 mrad, 1.	
	Automatic acquisition and stow; morning and evening	
	Track rate - adjustable, 0.1 deg/s nominal	
	Slew rate = 1 deg/s	
	Normal stow at zenith (straight up)	
	Max. wind speed for operation within spec. = 4.5 m/s (10 mph).	
	Max. wind speed for operation = 11.2 m/s (25 mph).	

^aType: Elevation-over-azimuth with single post mount.

(2) Experimental risk should be kept to a minimum. Metallurgical problems associated with righ temperatures were avoided by selecting a working fluid cemperature of 750°F,⁵ but even at this modest temperature there was some concern that the coluene might decompose and form secondary compounds that could interfere with the long-term operation of the engine. Laboratory experiments (Reference 3-3) indicated that acceptable freedom from decomposition can be realized, even at temperatures above 850°F, if the toluene is not allowed to come into contact with air, water, or a variety of other common materials. Careful attention was thus given to the selection of materials, and a hermetically sealed design was adopted. For the purpose of this project a hermetic design is one in which no moving seals are employed, and where static seals are designed and tested to ensure that the loakage rate is below the threshold of detectability, using standard industrial instruments. It was expected that commercial versions of the design would employ welded joints and seams wherever possible.

644 (math 14) 1 (1.14) (1.15) 1

- (3) The system must privide power of a high quality with no risk of dynamic interaction between the individual modules or between the plant and the distribution grid. Although only a single module was developed, labricated, and tested in this project, the integrity of the plant design was always uppermost; no technology was selected, nor any decision made that might have subsequent negative repercussions when the modules were called upon to operate in parallel in a user environment. Thus the alternator, the control system, and the energy transport system were designed "from the top down." The outcome of this approach to the design of the module components was the selection of a directly-coupled, permanent magnet alternator producing a high-frequency, three-phase alternating current. An efficient rectifier tied directly to each alternator then changed the alternating current output to direct current so that the outputs of each module could be connected in parallel and fed to a central inverter.
- 1. Description of the Power-Conversion Assembly (Reference 3-4)

The Power-Conversion Assembly (PCA) of the ORC power module consists of a receiver and the Power-Conversion Subsystem (PCS), or engine. The PCS, in turn, is made up of a turbine/alternator/pump (TAP) assembly, a regenerator, condenser, cooling fan, and a network of pipes, valves, and controls (Figure 3-3).

⁵A later proposal by FACC called for raising this to 800°F to increase the plant rated output.



秋

Figure 3-3. Power-Conversion Subsystem

1 20

ŗ

2

The thermodynamic cycle used in this power-conversion subsystem and the physical implementation of the cycle in the module can best be understood by an examination and comparison of Figures 3-4 and 3-5. The path of the working fluid as it traverses the state points of the circuit (See Figure 3-4) is designated by circled numbers that correspond to those on the pressureenthalpy diagram (See Figure 3-5).

Several interesting features can be pointed out in Figures 3-4 and 3-5.

- (1) The pressure-enthalpy diagram for toluene (Figure 3-5) exhibits a drying characteristic upon expansion (points 2 to 3). This property is desirable in that there is no problem with entrained liquid particles impinging on the turbine wheel. On the other hand, for higher efficiency, a regenerator is required (points 3 to 4) to extract heat from the superheated vapor and transfer it to the liquid before it is returned to the receiver.
- (2) As depicted in the diagram, the toluene is in the super-critical regime during its passage through the receiver at higher power levels. This mode of operation was originally adopted because it avoided the potential risk of boiling instability in the receiver, although at a slight cost of efficiency. It was discovered during receiver qualification tests that the boiler behavior was exemplary in this regard; therefore, the selected state points were altered to permit operation in the sub-critical range at the lower power loads.
- (3) The peak temperature to which the toluene is subjected is 750°F. It had been determined on the basis of laboratory tests that pure toluene (not exposed to air, water, or certain materials) can be operated for several years without expectation of decomposition. The record of performance in actual engines is not so clear because the operating conditions in engines have never been exactly defined, unlike the laboratory tests. The actual lifetime of the working fluid was to have been a discovery of the experiment, and the systems were designed for convenient draining and refilling of the toluene to permit analysis and as a maintenance procedure.

- ----

.....

.

-

•

ţ

(4) The toluene working fluid is used to cool the TAP assembly by circulating liquid to toluene through a cooling jacket surrounding the stator windings. This has the effect of recycling some of the energy normally lost as heat due to friction, turbulence, and electrical losses.

2. Receiver

The receiver was initially regarded as a high-risk development item, and every attempt was made to find a scheme that would be compatible with a cylindrical cavity configuration and that would subject the toluene working fluid to temperatures no higher than those it encountered at the turbine inlet valve. Low parasitic pumping losses and some short-term thermal



Schematic Diagram of ORC Power-Conversion Assembly. See Figure 3-5 for Temperature, Pressure, and Enthalpy of Working Fluid at Positions Identified by Circled Numbers. Schematic Diagram of ORC Power-Conversion Assembly. Figure 3-4.



Figure 3-5. Diagram of Pressure/Enthalpy for the Organic Rankine Cycle. Numbers Designating State Points Correspond to Numbers in Figure 3-4

storage were also regarded as desirable attributes for a successful design. Many concepts were considered, including using liquid (non-boiling) sodium as a heat-transfer fluid, terphenol in a pool-boiling mode, and various intermediate heat-transfer media, including molten salts and silicone-based fluids. In the end, analysis showed that a single stainless steel tube, brazed to the exterior of a copper cylindrical shell, directly heated, could be expected to perform satisfactorily withou: encountering boiling instability and without acorching the toluene. To secure an additional margin of safety with regard to possible flow instabilities, it was decided to operate the receiver above the critical region for toluene even though calculations had shown that a small penalty in efficiency would result. Test results prompted a change to sub-critical operation when the difficulties were shown to be nonexistent.

The receiver (Figure 3-6) consists of a core assembly, support structure, insulation, outer case, and a copper aperture plate. The core comprises a cylindrical cavity 0.61 m (24 in.) in diameter by 0.48 m (19 in.) deep. Helical grooves machined into the outer surface of both the cylinder and the flat backwall receive the single-pass beiler tubing. Stainless steel tubing 1.59 cm (0.625 in.) outside diameter and 0.89 mm (0.035 in.) wall thickness was laid into the grooves, then brazed to it to ensure good thermal contact. Careful consideration had been given to the choice of materials to ensure freedom from reaction between the toluene and the tubing and to ensure a correct match between the thermal expansion coefficients of the brazing material with that of the shell and the tubing. The entire assembly was plated (using an electroless nickel process) to eliminate the possibility of corrosion. A detailed description of the materials and of the fabrication process is given in References 3-5 and 3-6.

A test loop was constructed at FACC to verify the analysis used in the design of the receiver. This loop permitted the receiver to function as if it were part of the power-conversion subsystem while permitting detailed measurements of temperatures, pressure drop, flow rate, etc. to be performed under controlled operating conditions. During these tests it became apparent that the receiver exceeded all requirements. The Development Test Report (Reference 3-7) and the Receiver Qualification Test Report (Reference 3-8) provided the following summary:

- The predicted efficiency of the receiver was 97.2%, the same value as previously determined from the development tests.
- (2) The measured pressure drop through the receiver core was 38 psi at maximum flow conditions, considerably less than the allowable maximum of 65 psi.
- (3) The measured receiver core temperature profiles were essentially identical to those predicted by analysis and by those measured in the development tests.
- (4) The toluene outlet temperature was easily controlled, stable, and well-behaved.

Test results also indicated that the receiver was able to operate throughout the subcritical range consistent with the requirements of the Barber-Nichols





Figure 3-6. Cut-Away View of Receiver Assembly

power-conversion subsystem. (Subcritical conditions result when the unit is operated at lower TAP speed and at low to moderate power levels).

No design deficiencies were found, and it was concluded that the basic receiver design meets or exceeds all of the performance requirements for the SCSE application and is a very "forgiving" design. This is primarily due to the large amount of copper, which acts as "buffer" storage for thermal energy and which eliminates potential hot spots by making use of lateral and axial heat conduction.

3. The Power-Conversion Subsystem

Although the general operating requirements had been specified prior to the design of the PCS, it was recognized that the actual values of some of the operating parameters might be changed to optimize the overall unit as operating experience was obtained. Table 3-2 provides a summary of the characteristics of the PCS as they were proposed for what was to be the "final" version to be employed in the multi-module plant. This module was designed for a 95 kWt input and an electrical output of almost 30 kWe, considerably more than was planned for or achieved with the actual PCS fabricated and tested for this program. More detailed descriptions and specifications of the PCS can be found in References 3-3, 3-9, and 3-10.

GENERAL

Toluene working fluid (C6H5CH3, also called methylbenzene)

Hermetically sealed system with integral electrical alternator (ac-dc-ac system^b)

Operates at all solar-related elevation angles (5 to 90 deg)

Uses forced air-cooled condenser

20 Str. 1984 (1973) St. 19 St. 4.

All components mounted at focal point except for rectifier, brake-control circuitry, PCS control box, and motor starters

FUNCTIONAL

Rated power: 29.4 kWe (dc) output at 97.4 kWt input Peak power: 32 kWe (dc) output at 107 kWt input Net gross efficiency - goal (heat in/electricity out): 30.2% at rated conditions (800°F turbine inlet temperature and 32°F ambient) (See Table 2-1). Maximum weight: 430 kg (950 lb) for equipment mounted at focal point Diameter = 1.124 m (44.25 in.) Toluene turbine inlet temperature range (typical) = 385 to 427°C (725 to 800°F)

^a25-kWe module.

^bThe final ac conversion is accomplished by using a central inverter(s) that is not part of the PCS.

Within the power-conversion subsystem the TAP assembly can be regarded as the key element. It was concluded that an efficient, lightweight, long-lived PCS (which could someday be produced at low cost) would require a design that pushes the state of the art in its use of sophisticated technologies and exotic materials. A case in point is the three-phase, permanent magnet alternator using magnets made from samarium cobalt. The use of this material permits the alternator to be very small, and thus capable of withstanding the rotational speeds of 60,000 rev/min. At the same time, a clever design of the PCS control system obviates the need for complex or inefficient speed controls by matching the electrical characteristics of the alternator armature windings (stator) to the constant input voltage capability of the inverter control logic. This allows the turbine inlet temperature to be held at a constant, optimum value.

Similarly, the decision to use hydrodynamically lubricated tilting pad bearings, cooled and lubricated by the toluene working fluid itself, was made to attain long life and freedom from shaft dynamics problems.

A simplified cutaway drawing of the TAP unit is shown in Figure 3-7. The relationship of the TAP to the entire circuit can be seen in Figure 3-4. Starting at the exit from the boost pump, the toluene is piped to the feed pump attached to the near enl of the turbine-alternator shaft where an inducer leads the toluene to the partial emission centrifugal feed pump. This single-stage pump raises the pressure of the toluene to approximately 550 psi and circulates it through a helical cooling passage surrounding the stator winding, out of the housing, through the regenerator and then to the receiver, where the toluene is heated and vaporized. The superheated toluene vapor then passes through the vapor control valve, which has been programmed to throttle the flow of the toluene to maintain a nearly constant turbine inlet temperature of 750°F (800°F in the proposed Phase III version). As previously montioned, the turbine speed varies over a small range as the passive electrical characteristics of the alternator interact with the inverter control, which maintains a constant input voltage across the dc line coming from the module rectifiers. The toluene vapor is discharged through 10 supersonic nozzles equally spaced around the circumference of the turbine housing. One hundred ten symmetrical impulse blades convert the momentum of the vapor into the torque, which drives the 5-in.-diameter turbine. The shaft that connects the pump and turbine also supports the collar carrying the samarium-cobalt magnets that are distributed around it and held in place by bands of Inconel 718.

The radial bearings in the TAP employ toluene-lubricated tilting pads. This type of bearing was selected for its high stiffness and excellent damping characteristics, qualities which tend to reduce susceptibility of the rotating shaft to dynamic instability. In spite of this choice, excessive bearing wear was a serious, persistent problem during much of the development program. To address the problem a special test apparatus was built, materials investigations were undertaken, and extensive dynamic measurements and analyses were performed. A thorough treatment of this bearing development program is presented in Reference 3-11. The configuration that demonstrated freedom from dynamic problems and from wear consisted of a five-pad bearing built by the Waukesha Company. Observations made during the test program





k

dł.

à

indicated that even after solving the observed dynamics problems, rapid wear was still taking place and causing early failure of the radial bearings. The cause of this bearing distress was discovered by FACC personnel while photographing and enalyzing the bearing parts with a scanning electron microscope. Using X-ray energy-dispersion techniques, they detected the presence of zinc in the bearing pads (where none existed in the original material); thus it was concluded that the contamination was due to electrical arcing between the rotating shaft, the bearing shoes, and an adjacent brass fluid-retention ring. This problem was solved by insulating the bearings with carrier bushings made of glass-filled insulating plastic material. The detailed mechanism that accounts for the generation of this electrical current is not well understood in this specific unit, but installing the insulating bushings did solve the problem to the extent that no bearing wear was measurable after more than 300 h of subsequent operation. A shaft grounding contact had originally been installed for static discharge although it is not known if this component was necessary.

Problems had also been encountered with the axial, gimbal-mounted, thrust bearing, and many tests and modifications were also performed on these bearings. However, it is not unlikely that the problems were induced by radial bearing failures. A Babbitt metal-coated, Rayleigh-step configuration was employed for the turbine end-thrust bearing, while a simpler, radially grooved, gimballed, bronze flat-washer was retained at the pump end. It is likely that the original bronze flat-washer type of thrust bearing was satisfactory, but that excessive wear had been induced through dynamic shaft whirl or improper lubrication during earlier tests. All bearings in the final design, radial as well as axial thrust, were externally fed and fully flooded with toluene.

The alternator consists of the rotating permanent magnet assembly with a stationary, copper-wound, laminated nine-tooth stator.⁶ At the design rotational speed of 60,000 rev/min this unit was designed to produce a 3-kHz, three-phase alternating current output between 500 to 600 V. Although not mounted near the focus of the dish, a directly coupled rectifier is considered to be part of the PCS. It changes the ac into dc so the outputs of many modules can simply be connected in parallel without need for synchronization. The combined output is subsequently inverted to 60-Hz power for distribution.

i

1

:

ł

İ

One of the key requirements in the design of the Small Community Plant was that the individual power modules should be able to operate efficiently even though some or all of the modules were in partial shade or producing less than rated power (Reference 3-12). To achieve this capability it is necessary that each module be operated independently at the same maximum allowable turbine inlet temperature, regardless of the heat input to the engine. Thus the primary control parameter, given the variability of insolation, is the mass flow rate of the working fluid. By regulating this flow with the

⁶After the test series on the test bed concentrator, the alternator was redesigned to achieve higher efficiencies. Although detailed measurements were not made, it seems that the peak efficiency remained at about 90%, 5% less than the predicted design value.

throttle valve, the turbine inlet temperature can be controlled and kept at a predetermined, measured value. On the other hand, examination of Figure 3-8 shows that the locus of optimum operating points is a line, near-vertical, gently curving upward and to the right. If the internal impedence of the various elternators is fixed at the correct predetermined value, and the bus that connects the rectified output of these alternators is controlled by the logic of the single inverter to maintain a set input emf (e.g., 600 V), then the voltage drop through each armature (stator) will exactly cancel out the increment in generated voltage at the higher power output resulting from the higher speed (Figure 3-9).

It remains only to match the optimum operating line with the alternator power output line (being fed into the load) to arrive at a near-optimum operating condition for varying thermal inputs. This system requires a "smart" inverter that maintains the pre-set voltage across the dc input bus regardless of variations in conditions downstream, i.e., in the distribution grid. This is achieved by varying the duty cycle within the inverter.

The pump employed in the TAP unit is a partial-emission, centrifugal pump with radial blades and an integral inducer that extends into the supply line carrying the liquid toluene to the feed pump. The axial pressure forces on the pump were kept small by employing a small-diameter impeller disc, thus requiring the impeller blades to be cantilevered beyond the disc. Figure 3-10 shows a cross-section view of the TAP assembly in which the relative sizes and locations of the various parts are illustrated.



Figure 3-8. Relationship Between Turbine Power Output and Speed for Various Thermal Inputs.

Ser. 2



Figure 3-9. Effect of Variations in Current (or Power) Output for Alternators with Predetermined Internal Impedance Feeding a Variable Load that Maintains a Constant (600-V) Voltage Across Its Input.

C. ENERGY TRANSPORT SUBSYSTEM

The Energy Transport Subsystem (ETS) comprises that part of the power module or of the plant that carries the electricity generated at each module to the central collection point, then to the local utility grid (See Figure 2-1). The ETS consists of the following components:

- (1) Electrical power cables.
- (2) Switchboard.
- (3) Control inverter(s).
- (4) Load bank(s).
- (5) Grid interface equipment.

The key element in the ETS is the inverter: it plays a critical role in the control of the individual modules and of the entire plant. It is also important because its efficiency has a direct bearing on the efficiency of the plant. This factor suggests that a multi-module plant should employ a single, large inverter to handle the output of all the modules because machines of this type are more efficient when their capacity is large. On the other hand, the efficiency of a specific inverter usually decreases at partial load, and it may be advantageous to interchange several inverters so that each accepts the electrical output from several modules and operates at near-peak efficiency.





3-26

10

2. 2.

In any case, the single power module designed and tested in this program used a single 30-kWe inverter built by the Nova Electric Manufacturing Corporation of Nutley, New Jersey. The specifications for this inverter are contained in Reference 3-13 while the details of operations are explained in Reference 3-12.

The switchboard consists of a single, free-standing unit that provides the necessary electrical equipment to operate the single power module and to distribute its electrical power output either to the distribution grid or to a local, resistive load bank. In the case of the multi-module plant, the switchboard will be connected to multiple-modules to form a power plant. The block diagram (Figure 3-11) shows the relationship of the switchboard to the other elements of the power module for a single-module installation.

The function of the resistive load bank is to absorb the electrical power output of the power module when the module is operating "on-sun" but not feeding the distribution grid. This component is essential for safe operation because there is no simple way to stop the flow of heat into the working fluid in the event the module is accidentally disconnected from the grid. Only by expanding the vapor through the nozzles can the heat be removed in an acceptable, continuous manner. The load bank is desirable for test and diagnostic purposes and is also required during start-up and shutdown procedures.

į

Same summer dataset

an state office of the second

ŧ

1

The grid interface equipment is designed to deliver the generated power to the distribution grid, while ensuring that all possible safety measures are observed and that all potential classes of failure (either of the solar module or of the distribution grid) result in an orderly disconnection of the plant from the grid. A fundamental assumption underlying the use of the all-solar', single power module or of the multiple-module plant is that the generated power capacity will be a small fraction of the power available to the grid from other sources. The distribution grid will, therefore, be comparatively insensitive to the abrupt opening or closing of the gridinterface contact.

D. THE CONTROL SUBSYSTEM

As with the other subsystems in the ORC power module development program, the control subsystem was designed from its conception to be part of the greater plant system. It was decided, nevertheless, in the interest of cost effectiveness and schedule, to postpone the detailed design of the control system for the entire multi-module plant until the design of the power module could be validated. It was also considered appropriate to isolate the concentrator controls from those of the combined engine and plant subsystems during the development phase of the program. The reasons for this decision were twofold:

(1) The control system of the selected concentrator was scheduled to be designed considerably later than that of the engine. Thus, for the on-sun testing of the engine, the plan was to employ a Test

^{&#}x27;In contrast to the hybrid module, which can operate with some or all of the heat input supplied by fossil fuel or other sources.





en. .

(*

Bed Concentrator (TBC) already in existence and operating at the JPL Parabolic Dish Test Site. The TBC employs an independent, tried-and-proven, drive and control system.

(2) It was considered prudent to isolate the engine and dish control functions until each had been separately perfected. Later in the program, when the engine and its control subsystem would have been successfully tested, it would be desirable to combine the two functions in the RCIA so that they could be incorporated in the multi-module plant in an efficient, cost-effective manner. In this report both approaches are discussed.

The basic requirements for the engine control have already been mentioned, i.e., that the temperature of the working fluid is held constant at 750°F and the turbine speed is allowed to seek its own operating speed within a small range near the design value. A simplified block diagram of the control law for the vapor valve is shown in Figure 3-12. As indicated by this figure, the inner-loop control sensing the receiver shell temperature has a time constant of approximately 10 s, whereas the outer loop has a time constant of about 6 min.

Cloud passage or shading by another dish decreases the input power to the engine. In response to the measured decrease in fluid exit temperature below the set point of 750°F, the vapor valve partially closes. If the input power drops still lower, the output voltage will drop below the control voltage range of the inverter while the turbine speed continues to fall. When this occurs, the control law changes to the speed control loop, maintaining the turbine speed at a predetermined value of approximately 35,000 rev/min. If input power returns, the receiver output temperature rises and the control law reverts to the temperature control mode.

Control of the plant, whether single- or multiple-module, requires that control functions be performed at both the power-module level and plant level. The computer hierarchy that provides this control is based on the concept that each module operates autonomously, using its own RCIA. The RCIAs (or ensemble of RCIAs in the case of a multi-module plant) are under the supervisory control of the MPC, which performs the overall plant control and provides the means for collecting module- and plant-operating data. The RCIAs are connected to the MPC by a two-way serial data link. The actual hardware used for the single-module plant MPC is configured around a Data General Nova 4/X minicomputer. This unit possesses 65K words of MOS semiconductor memory and a 6.25M-word Winchester disk. The operator can communicate with the MPC by means of a Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) display, keyboard, and printer. Interface cards mounted in the Nova computer chassis perform analog-to-digital and digital-to-analog conversions as well as provide for discrete input/output (I/O) and serial data links. A magnetic tape recorder is incorporated for recording data. Reference 3-14 enumerates the functions of the MPC and RCIAs as follows:

- (1) Read keyboard or remote entries from the plant operator.
- (2) Send data to and receive data from the RCIA at each power module via the serial data link.



!

$$G_{1}(s) = \frac{1.5}{0.5 s + 1}, \ \% \ VALVE/^{o}F$$

$$G_{2}(s) = 0.00153 \frac{190s + 1}{s}, \ \frac{^{o}F/S}{^{o}F}$$

$$G_{3}(s) = 0.4 \frac{10s + 1}{s}, \ \frac{\%/S}{KRPM}$$

Figure 3-12. Vapor-Valve Control Law

(3) Collect analog and discrete data from the ETS and from the weather station.

3.5

.

and a state of the state of the second second second second second second second second second second second s

1.2.10

- (4) Display data on the operator's CRT.
- (5) Print data in real time on the local printer.
- (6) Record all data collected on magnetic tape for later playback.
- (7) Perform specified logic functions relative to control of the ETS and the power modules.
- (8) Compute ephemeris data for the concentrator and transmit to all RCIAs.

Each power module is controlled by an RCIA and its software program, the Remote Operational Program (ROP). The RCIA is a 230-based microprocessor installed in a weatherproof NEMA enclosure located at the base of the concentrator. The processor and associated support and I/O circuitry are built on STD BUS boards by Pro-Log Corporation and are designed to operate in a wide temperature range (-25° to $+49^{\circ}$ C). The RCIA is under the high-level supervision of the MPC by means of commands on the MPC/RCIA serial data link. The functions that the RCIA must perform are:

- (1) Read any of the specified data messages from the MPC serial data link.
- (2) Synchroffize its one-second cycle to the MPC by receiving the SYNC command on the serial data link.
- (3) Send a long or short data message or a circular buffer dump to the MPC on request.
- (4) Perform debug functions as required.
- (5) Perform power module automoding logic.
- (6) Perform detailed PCA control.
- (7) Perform detailed concentrator control.

The hierarchical relationship between the various control elements permits the complete plant to operate unattended. The computer logic that enables this to be done is called Automoding, also discussed under control plant requirements. Figure 3-13 depicts the logic structure. As indicated previously, plant modes and energy transport control modes are performed by the MPC, while the power module modes, PCA modes, and concentrator modes are performed by each RCIA. The commands that originate with the MPC are distributed to the RCIA at each module by the serial data links. Reference 3-12 enumerates and describes the function of each plant mode as follows:

(1) Manual Plant Mode. Allows the plant operator to input the power module mode of individual modules.



Figure 3-13. Typical Plant Moding Hierarchy

- (2) Out of Service. Disables the operation of all power modules. This plant mode is provided to allow for maintenance or other intentional down time.
- (3) Emergency shutdown. Shuts the plant down in the normal manner but sets the Emergency Shutdown Fault flag, which prevents the plant from restarting without operator intervention.
- (4) Shutdown. Shuts all power modules down, waits until they have completed shutdown and are stowed, and then changes the Plant Mode to Ready. The plant will restart if the following appropriate conditions are met:
 - (a) Self Test. Commands all modules to Self-Test mode.
 - (b) Standby. Commands all modules to Standby.
 - (c) Ready. The normal enabled mode of the plant while waiting for conditions suitable for generating power.

ļ

-

(d) Normal Power. The plant mode when power is being generated. Power generation will continue until some reason exists to stop. The mode will then be changed to Shutdown or Emergency Shutdown.

The power module modes may be commanded to the RCIA from the MPC or may be determined by mode logic within the RCIA. The modes are implemented by generating mode commands to the PCA control and the concentrator control. A summary of the functions performed by the Power Module Modes is as follows:

- (1) Power Module Manual. Allows processing of PCA mode and concentrator mode commands from the plant operator.
- (2) Stow. Waits for PCA mode Poweroff and then commands the concentrator to stow.
- (3) Standby. Commands the concentrator to Offset Track.
- (4) Self Test. Performs a sequence of tests on the concentrator and PCA to determine that the module is healthy.
- (5) Power On. First initiates Self-Test mode. If the self test passes, the concentrator is brought on-sun, the turbine is started, and power is generated until a stop criterion is reached.
- (6) Power Down. Takes the concentrator off-sun, waits for the turbine to shut down, and then commands Stow mode.

SECTION IV

DEVELOPMENT TESTS OF THE ORC MODULE COMPONENTS AND SUBSYSTEMS

The original program plan and schedule for the Small Community Solar Thermal Power Experiment called for an orderly and systematic progression from conceptual design through preliminary and detailed design of the various components and subsystems, followed by an "all-up" test of a complete power module and single-module plant control system. Upon successful completion and qualification of the power module by means of a thorough test program, final design of the multi-module plant was to be initiated. Several factors prevented this course of action from being carried out as planned:

- (1) The concentrator, which was being developed by General Electric Company under a separate technology development contract and which was intended to be used for the module, fell behind schedule and would not be ready in time to meet the proposed completion date.
- (2) The various components and subsystems slated for incorporation in the module exhibited widely varying degrees of readiness. In the interest of minimizing the expenditure of resources and moving the program forward along the shortest time path, fabrication and testing was started on some components, while other components closely associated with it were still only in the design stage.

í

1

;

Concession of the local division of the loca

、文子語が変通の

(3) Changes in program emphasis and funding levels by the Department of Energy eliminated early work on the multi-module plant control system and precluded following multiple development paths for critical power-conversion subsystem elements.

These factors, as well as persistent development problems with excessive bearing wear (See Reference 3-11) resulted in a development program that fell behind its intended goals. Furthermore, plans to continue development of the power module and to build the multi-module plant foundered when the negotiating parties (DOE and FACC) failed to reach agreement on the terms of the contract.⁸ Nevertheless, the ORC development task succeeded in producing significant, well-documented, experimental data and test results. Table 4-1 lists the more significant test programs and references that describe each program in detail.

When the GE concentrator failed to meet the projected delivery date of the power-conversion assembly, it was decided to continue the PCA development and test it on a test bed concentrator at the JPL Parabolic Dish Test Site, Edwards Air Force Base (Reference 4-1). Two 11-m-diameter TBCs had been designed and put in place at the Test Site as a facility for concentrating solar flux to test materials, receivers, engines, and other components. The

⁸The Department of Energy subsequently issued a request for new proposals for a 100-kWe plant to be located at the same site in Osage City, Kansas. Ford Aerospace and Communications Corporation did not elect to respond to this request.

SCSE Report Number	Test	Date
014	Test Results Summary for Receiver Qualification Tests	29 June 1981
015	Test Report: Receiver Qualifi- cation Tests	6 August 1981
016	Test Results Summary for Control Subsystem Qualification Test	6 August 1981
017	Test Report: Control Subsystem Qualification Tests	26 August 1981
018	Test Results Summary for the Inverter Acceptance Test	11 September 1981
019	Test Results Summary for the Switchboard Acceptance Test	29 September 1981
020	Test Results Summary for Power Conversion Subsystem (PCS) Qualifications Tests	26 October 1981
TR042	Test Results for the Development Receiver Core	11 June 1981
021	Test Results Summary for PCS/RCR Compatibility Test	14 January 1982
022	PCS/RCR Compatibility Tests at FACC	16 April 1982
023	Power Conversion Assembly Verifi- cation Test on the TBC and Energy Transport Subsystem Qualification	23 April 1982
	PCS Qualification Test Report ^a	November 1981
Task 11	Final Report, Evaluation of Carter Reciprocator Steam Engine ^b	May 1981

Table 4-1. Lests Performed During Development of the Organic Rankine Power Module

^aPerformed and reported by Barber-Nichols, under subcontract to FACC. ^bPerformed and operated by W. J. Wingenbach, Carter Enterprises, under subcontract to FACC.

19 - 19 all 1 - 1

•

TBC's multi-faceted design permitted the experimenters to exercise some control over the flux distribution at the focal plane by adjusting the mounting screws that secured the mirror facets to the underlying structural support.

As previously mentioned, the tracking and control system for the concentrator was self-contained and autonomous and hence placed no requirements on the component being tested. To control the onset and termination of solar heating of the receiver under test, the concentrator was provided with a new, enlarged, water-cooled aperture plate and sliding shutter assembly. Keeping the shutter in the "closed" position permitted the concentrator to "acquire" the sun and to track it accurately without exposing the receiver or engine to the solar flux. Opening the shutter by means of a remote control enabled full solar flux to enter the receiver sperture within a few seconds. Similarly, the shutter was activated by fault-detection systems so that it would slide shut and cut off the solar flux in an emergency or if commanded to do so by the operator. The test of the power-conversion assembly and of the energy transport system was intended as a precursor to the test of an "all-up", single-module power module, which was to employ the GE-designed PDC-1 concentrator⁹ and which was to possess a nearly autonomous, complete plant control system.

Although the final system test of a single-module plant did not take place, two important subsystem tests were completed that warrant further discussion. The first of these, a test of the power-conversion assembly on a test bed concentrator, demonstrated the compatibility of the various components and subsystems and verified the soundness of the design approach for the overall system although it did reveal a serious deficiency in the engine bearing design. The second subsystem test was performed to verify the effectiveness of the solution to the bearing problem (See Reference 3-11).

A. POWER-CONVERSION ASSEMBLY VERIFICATION TEST AND ENERGY TRANSPORT SUBSYSTEM QUALIFICATIONS

This test, the subject of Reference 4-2, covers both the test of the PCA and the Energy Transport Subsystem. The material presented in this overview has, for the greater part, been abstracted from that publication, which presents the best description of the procedures for operating the test apparatus and provided the most complete discussion of the results.

The test was conducted at the PDTS during the months of February and March 1982 when more than 33 h of actual on-sun operation was achieved. The test site, described in Reference 4-1, provided the engineering personnel from FACC with an operating test facility that included a test bed concentrator and meteorological station. Test-site personnel operated both these facilities and provided assistance with installation, removals, and maintenance. The subsystem under test, as well as most of the computer- operated test instrumentation and controls were operated by, and under the supervision of, FACC employees.

>

 $^{^9}$ The decision to use the PDC-2 was not made until later in the program.

The primary objective of the test series was to validate the design of the PCA, switchboard, and inverter, requiring that the module be operated under a wide range of operating conditions and be subjected to artificially imposed perturbations and "glitches." Because many of the components or subsystems had never been run together, the interfaces between these elements needed to be verified.

B. TEST OBJECTIVES

The overall objectives for the verification tests on the TBC, called out in the test plan and in the test report (Reference 4-2), were twofold: (1) to verify operating characteristics of the elements of the SCSE single-module system and (2) to determine the compatibility of each interfacing subsystem/assembly. The specific objectives are listed below and identified as "primary"; other desirable objectives are identified as "secondary."

1. Primary Objectives

- (1) Verify that all PCA operational control modes were in accordance with SCSE system specification requirements.
- (2) Verify stable control of the engine/receiver.
- (3) Verify the power control approach, i.e., control of the dc input voltage at the preselected level by the inverter.
- (4) Determine the performance and efficiency of each element of the system (except the concentrator), such as the receiver, PUS, and inverter.
- (5) Determine output power as function of incident solar flux and ambient conditions.
- (6) Verify that the electrical energy quality was within SCSE system specification requirements.
- (7) Determine system transient response during partially cloudy days.
- (8) Determine system characteristics/response to grid dropouts.
- (9) Determine the boundary contour for acceptable noise level(85 dBA).
- (10) Verify grid interface compatibility.

2. Secondary Objectives

- (1) Validate operating procedures.
- (2) Gather data to assist in establishing maintenance requirements.
- (3) Gather data to contribute to a determination of degradation of PCA performance with time.
- (4) Gather failure rate and time-to-repair data.
- (5) Validate safety provisions and procedures.
- (6) Gather data necessary to determine variation of receiver performance with ambient conditions.
- (7) Gather data relative to degradation of toluene quality.

The test instrumentation, because it was highly computerized, also needed to be "debugged" and validated. The final goal was to connect the electrical output of the module to the Southern California Edison distribution grid and to demonstrate that the EST and control systems could operate in a multi-module mode. The single-plant module was the "first of a kind" in that it possessed an automated "plant" control that used a master plant-control computer; local computers subordinate to it operated each engine.

C. TEST CONFIGURATION

An a start of the

Figure 4-1 shows the power-conversion assembly mounted at the focus of a test bed concentrator at the Parabolic Dish Test Site. The separate mirror facets had been previously adjusted and aimed to produce a flux distribution at the receiver similar to that predicted for a "true" paraboloidal reflector of slightly greater rim angle.¹⁰ This was done to make the concentrator performance compatible with the requirements of the receiver, a goal that was met satisfactorily, judging from the measurements of the receiver temperature (Figure 4-2). The schematic showing the test setup (Figure 4-3) can be compared with the originally proposed SCSE multi-module system (See Figure 2-1). Except for the concentrator, the components and subsystems tested are described elsewhere in this report under the appropriate subsystem headings.

¹⁰As indicated previously, the concentrator originally selected for the module was the GE-designed PDC-1. The Acurex-designed PDC-2 was to have replaced it in the more recent designs.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

89.1

THE PART OF



Figure 4-1. Power-Conversion Assembly and Electrical Boxes on Test Bed Concentrator No. 1

*


1

Figure 4-2. Comparison of Predicted and Measured Receiver Wall Temperatures (Runs 13 and 17)





LEGEND:



Figure 4-3. Simplified Schematic Diagram of Single-Module Experiment Hardware at Parabolic Dish Test Site

-

;

:





ŝ

1. Test Operations

The process of running the tests and obtaining the data that would yield the final performance figures is best exemplified by the following description in this subsection, which is a verbatim excerpt from Reference 4-2:

A typical run would begin by bringing the MPC "up" by setting the internal clock, initializing the tape, and going through its checks at the same time. The function of the sliding plate was checked and left in the "remote" mode so it could be closed by the computer in the RCIA if any serious fault occurred. The concentrator was then brought "on-sun" by rotation in azimuth in a clockwise direction looking down at the dish (the required elevation angle had previously been reached). As the sun was first acquired, the plate was opened to help protect the mounting ring and water hoses from the beam, then closed as the sun spot moved across the plate to prevent the beam from entering the open aperture. After the TBC sun tracker centered the beam at the proper location (as verified by viewing and TV monitor) and the appropriate items on the checklist were completed, the sliding plate was opened and the receiver began to heat up. The heat-up period before turbine start was approximately 4 to 5 min for the full mirror area and insolation of 900 W/m^2 or greater. Longer periods were required for less power input. Once the sliding plate was opened, the startup sequence was entirely under computer control. The normal sequence during the startup period was:

- (1) When receiver shell temperature began rising, PCA mode changed to "powerup" and pumps and fan started.
- (2) The control value opened slightly to relieve toluene pressure in the receiver when it reached 675 psi. This cycling ("burping") continued to maintain a safe pressure.

ł

de la comp

- (3) The turbine started when receiver shell temperature number 5 (RCSTP5) reached 680°F or when turbine speed was greater than 5000 rev/min and RCSTP5 was greater than 300°F.
- (4) After turbine start, the flow was controlled to maintain RCSTP5 at a computed (changing) set point to allow the receiver outlet temperature to reach its normal operating value of 750°F. This set point started at about 580°F and increased as conditions changed. A period of 20 to 30 min or more was required to reach steady-state receiver temperatures. An even longer period was required to stabilize regenerator and condenser conditions.
- 2. Test Parameters

The primary test parameters (variables) and their purposes are listed in Table 4-2 as:

- (1) Concentrator masking (Figures 4-4, 4-5).
- (2) Insolution.

4-9

1. Test Operations

The process of running the tests and obtaining the data that would yield the final performance figures is best exemplified by the following description in this subsection, which is a verbatim excerpt from Reference 4-2:

A typical run would begin by bringing the MPC "up" by setting the internal clock, initializing the tape, and going through its checks at the same time. The function of the sliding plate was checked and left in the "remote" mode so it could be closed by the computer in the RCIA if any serious fault occurred. The concentrator was then brought "on-sun" by rotation in azimuth in a clockwise direction looking down at the lish (the required elevation angle had previously been reached). As the sun was first acquired, the plate was opened to help protect the mounting ring and water hoses from the beam, then closed as the sun spot moved across the plate to prevent the beam from entering the open aperture. After the TBC sun tracker centered the beam at the proper location (as verified by viewing and TV monitor) and the appropriate items on the checklist were completed, the sliding plate was opened and the receiver began to heat up. The heat-up period before turbine start was approximately 4 to 5 min for the full mirror area and insolation of 900 W/m² or greater. Longer periods were required for less power input. Once the sliding plate was opened, the startup sequence was entirely under computer control. The normal sequence during the startup period was:

- (1) When receiver shell temperature began rising, PCA mode changed to "powerup" and pumps and fan started.
- (2) The control value opened slightly to relieve toluene pressure in the receiver when it reached 675 psi. This cycling ("burping") continued to maintain a safe pressure.
- (3) The turbine started when receiver shell temperature number 5 (RCSTP5) reached 680°F or when turbine speed was greater than 5000 rev/min and RCSTP5 was greater than 300°F.
- (4) After turbine start, the flow was controlled to maintain RCSTP5 at a computed (changing) set point to allow the receiver outlet temperature to reach its normal operating value of 750°F. This set point started at about 580°F and increased as conditions changed. A period of 20 to 30 min or more was required to reach steady-state receiver temperatures. An even longer period was required to stabilize regenerator and condenser conditions.
- 2. Test Parameters

The primary test parameters (variables) and their purposes are listed in Table 4-2 as:

- (1) Concentrator masking (Figures 4-4, 4-5).
- (2) Insolation.

- (3) Transient input power (door closings or clouds).
- (4) Inverter input (dc) voltage level.

The insolation available during the test period covered the range of zero to one instance of slightly over 1.0 kW/m². A number of days were so cloudy, or the clear periods so infrequent, that tests were not possible. At least two weeks had only one or two days of good sunshine. It was determined that the lowest insolation for sustained PCA operation was approximately 300 W/m^2 for the full TBC area. At this level all SCSE subsystems would be operating at or slightly above the parasitic power level, i.e., little net power would be produced.

Table 4-2. Test Parameters

Parameter	Purpose
Masking of the concentrator, i.e., variation in the area of the reflective surface	Vary the maximum solar power available to evaluate part-load efficiency
Insolation	Operate under real conditions
Transient power conditions due to sliding plate (door) closings or clouds	Evaluate the stability and performance of control subsystem
Voltage level at input to inverter (equivalent to turbine speed)	Evaluate engine performance vs. speed
Operate the unit in a pre-selected mode including simulated emergency shutdowns and hot restarts	Check ability to operate properly under all conditions and modes
Normal, steady-state operation	Evaluate component performance and efficiency (computer program "OPWEG")
Fan speed	Determine trade-off between fan parasitic loss and PCS performance degradation
Inverter load (load bank or grid)	Check all inverter options and ability to switch automatically to proper load

-

4-10

A. Martin

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



Figure 4-4. Test Bed Concentrator with 25% Checkerboard Masking Pattern



Figure 4-5. TV Monitor Showing 25% Masking Spots on Back Wall of Receiver

Insolation measurements were taken by a local Eppley pyrheliometer, first by a unit that was mounted on a tracker south of TBC No. 1 and then with an Eppley mounted directly to the TBC structure and aligned with the sun. Most tests were run with the dish-mounted Eppley, which had the advantage of having the TBC act as an accurate tracker and by showing when the sun was acquired and detracked. Insolation data were also obtained from the JPL weather station. Weather station data were updated at one-minute intervals (by JPL) and included two Kendell and one Eppley pyrheliometers and a pyranometer. The local Eppley reading was modified to account for an average circumsolar effect in the calculation of the power available to the TBC.

Input transients were obtained by closing the water-cooled sliding plate (door) for periods of 30 s to 5 min. Clouds were present on many occasions but were not so convenient (or controllable) as closing the door. It was found that the engine would keep running for about 4.5 min after door closure at normal operating conditions, provided the control subsystem immediately commanded "idle" mode (35,000 rev/min turbine speed) to maximize the running time.

The dc voltage setting in the inverter was varied from about 480 to 560 V to determine the best operating point in terms of engine efficiency. It was found that the lower voltages (lower turbine speed) were best from this standpoint, and most of the testing was in the range of 500 to 520 Vdc to the inverter (Reference 4-2).

D. TEST RESULTS

The test program was successful and met all major objectives although it did reveal a major shortcoming in the bearing design that was not solved until much later in the testing program. Key results for the tests are summarized in Table 4-3.

The performance and characteristics of the PCA, ETS, and control system were verified, and stable operation was demonstrated over a wide range of operating conditions and also when subjected to abrupt changes to create "worst case" situations. The control system demonstrated the ability to hold operating parameters well within specified limits, i.e., the fluid temperature at the receiver outlet was controlled to $750 \pm 5^{\circ}$ despite short-period insolation variations of over two-to-one. Similarly, the output voltage could be held to ± 5 V of the selected value set for the inverter control.

The automatic data measuring and recording system performed excellently, yielding more than 100 performance/diagnostic measurements per second during each test. Although the computation and plotting of data could not be done in "real time," the data were reduced during slack times or at the end of the day so that results were available to modify the test plan on a daily basis if desired.

Two incidents occurred that resulted in significant delay of the test series: the control valve became inoperative because of contamination in the commercially procured servo unit, and the inverter failed when it was first connected to the power-distribution grid. Both problems were solved although complete verification of the inverter control had not been established for Table 4-3. Parabolic Dish Test Site Test Summary

ŧ

Balaka and and

Date (1982)	Test No.	Run No. (Tape No.)	Run Duration	Conditions	Purpose/Test Parameters/Problem Areas
Feb 8	-	3 (02082)	4 Min	 25% Masking of TBC (75% of area available) Power to load bank (Runs 3 thru 20) 	 Initial check-out Receiver shell temp (RCSTP5)[*] drift, automatic shut-down after 1 min., restarted for a 4 min. cool-down.
Feb 11	2	5 (02111)	20 Min	• 25% Masking • Solar flux 700-900 W/m ²	 Control system and component charts. Automatic shut-down after 20 min. due to A/D calibration channel out- side of limits. Control valve was inoperative after shutdown, requir- ing removal of valve and replacement of valve driver.
Feb 23	ñ	7 (02231)	5.3 Нг.	 25% Masking Early high clouds, then 40 min. cloud, followed by clear conditions 	 System response to door closure (simulated cloud passage) for 0.5, 1, 2, & 5 minutes. Vary voltage level (inverter set- ting), and thus turbine speed. Check speed control mode. Response to 40 min. cloud (with ~50% insolation.)
Feb 25 (AM)	4	8 (02251)	1.4 Hr.	 No masking of TBC (full area) for Runs 8 thru 17 Clouds of varying intensity 	 Check operation in moderate to heavy cloud cover. Early shutdown and restart due to clouds. Run stopped after bad receiver out- let temp. sensor (RCOTTP)[*] detected.
*All 1	nstrume	entation char	nnels are o	defined in Appendix B.	

•

Table 4-3. (Cont'd)

Conditions Purpose/Test Parameters/Problem Areas	clouds, ~900 1) Check response to three 0.5 min. and two 1 min. door closures. 2) Check effect of modified control constants. 3) Evaluate effect of low fan speed.	<pre>in clouds growing 1) Door closures of 1 min. avier during last 2) Check speed control mode during door rt of run closure of 5 minutes, with a hot restart. 3) Evaluate control constants.</pre>	<pre>avy broken clouds 1) Check operation during broken clouds th 5 min. clear 2) Valve did not respond after normal tervals shut-down, and required filter change.</pre>	 ear with winds of 1) Evaluate different periods of door -20 mph with closures. sts to 28 mph 2) Steady-state operations in mid-day (~2 Hr.) 3) Check speed control mode. 4) Simulate emergency shutdown, then hot restart. 5) Effect of fan speed for windy conditions. 	ear day []) Evaluate effect of inverter voltage setting (turbine speed)
Run Duration	2.7 Hr. • N	4.1 Hr. • T h P	0.7 Hr. • H w i	6.7 Hr. • C 1 8	1.6 Hr. • C
Run No. (Tape No.)	9 (02252)	11 (02261)	12 (03021)	13 (03031)	14 (03041)
Test No.	S	9	7	80	6
Date (1982)	Feb 25 (PM)	Feb 26	Mar 2	Mar 3	Mar 4

•

4-14

*

•

100

Purpose/Test Parameters/Problem Areas	 Determine minimum solar flux for operation. Evaluate controls and multiple restarts. 	 Steady state operation into load bank. Check mods to power and energy program including updated effective area. 	 Normal operation with scattered clouds. Inverter dc contactor malfunction, bu software controlled turbine speed without brake operation. 	 Low power, steady state run. Evaluate low fan speed. Evaluate inverter voltage setting (turbine speed). Short period of high power to inverter using both power supply and turbine at 95% valve position (rapid cool-down mode). 	 Check 25% masking conditions. Evaluate effect of start-up with bypass valve closed (Runs 18 through 21).
Conditions	• Overcast, insolation 200-400 W/m ²	● Mostly clear sky, ~920 W/m ²	 Full area of TBC (Runs & thru 17) Serice of small clouds, insolation ~920 W/m² during clear periods 	 50% masking of fBC Clear sky, over 1000 W/m² at start 	• 25% masking • Clear sky, 800 W/m ²
Run Duration	1.3 Hr.	1.6 Hr.	1.1 Hr.	2.8 Нг.	0.4 Hr.
Run Nc. (Tape No.)	15 (03051)	16 (03121)	17 (03181)	18 (03191)	19 (03192)
No.	10	11	12	13	14
Date (1982)	Mar 5	Mar 12	Mar 18	Mar 19 (AM)	Mar 19 (PM)

Table 4-3. (Cont'd)

7

4-15

1.15,

20

\$1.00

.

×

1

Table 4-3. (Cont'd)

Purpose/Test Parameters/Problem Areas	 Medium power, steady state run. Evaluate high and low fan speed and voltage setting. Use of power supply with PCA into load bank. Temporary shutdown due to sensor malfunction, test continued after mods. 	 Check out power-to-grid mode. Inverter malfunction when "idle" condition reached. MPC drop-out at end of test. Inverter components accidently damaged during post-test checkout, requiring repair at Nova. 	
Condicions	 25% masking Flux 900 W/m² with some high clouds 	 Full area of TBC (no masking) Flux ~900 W/m² until cloud-caused shutdown Power to grid 	
Run Duration	3.0 Hr.	0.6 Нг.	$\Sigma = 33.6$
Run No. (Tape No.)	20 (03221)	21 (03261)	
Test No	15	16	
Date (1982)	Mar 22	Mar 26	

2

1

100

Sec. ...

"on-sun" operation by the end of the test series. Considering the magnitude and scope of the design challenge and the severe requirements imposed on the module and its control system, the test must be regarded as highly successful.

1. The Concentrator

The TBC was a part of the PDTS test facility rather than an element of the ORC module under development, but its input to the power conversion was the first in a series of steps and needed to be evaluated if a valid assessment were to be made of the PCA performance. Using estimates of TBC performance and the measured insolation from the local Eppley pyrheliometer, the OPWEG program calculated the power input in real time, once per second. In the calculation procedure used, a "circumsolar factor" of 0.965 was applied, a factor that is difficult to justify at the high values of insolation actually measured (above 900 W/m²) and considering the large size of the receiver aperture. The effect of using the "circumsolar factor" is to make the module appear more efficient than it actually is.

2. The Receiver

The receiver, which had been considered a high-risk component at the beginning of the program, proved to be one of the most successful. The test of the PCA on the TBC provided important experimental data of two kinds for the receiver:

- Overall receiver performance.
- (2) Detailed receiver temperature distribution.

The overall receiver performance was evaluated using the computer program OPWEG (Reference 4-3) as explained and reported in Reference 4-2. Typical results are shown in Figures 4-6, 4-7, and 4-8, which also serve to show how the data are presented to the experimenters after using the OPWEG program. Note that on a given plot a maximum of three variables may be selected to be plotted against time.

The second result, the detailed temperature distribution, was obtained by monitoring the output of thermocouples located at various points in the receiver body -- much the same as was done during tests performed in the receiver-development test explained and presented in References 3-7, 3-8, and 4-4. As seen in Figure 4-2, the curve of observed temperatures follows the predicted value closely and is "conservative" in that measured values are lower than predicted values all along the receiver, with no local "hot spots" in evidence.

Power-Conversion Subsystem

The input to the Power-Conversion Subsystem (PCS) is the thermal energy cutput of the receiver while the first power output able to be measured



ý





Receiver Fluid Outlet Temperature vs. Valve Position (Run 13, Test No. Figure 4-7.

8



Figure 4-8. Receiver Temperatures for Run 13 (Test No. 8)

A. C. N. 181

4-20

ALP D

is the dc electrical output of the rectifier. The paucity of available data arises from the fact that the Turbine/Alternator/Pump Assembly is a unitary design in which it is not possible to separate the performance of the various components (pump, turbine, alternator). Even on the specially built fixture employed during component development, these measurements were difficult to disaggregate, and estimates of component performance involved suppositions, cxtrapolation, and inaccuracies because of the large forces involved. Abrupt decreases in output were due to deliberate shutter closings or operatorinitiated shutdowns identified in Figure 4-7. Figure 4-9 presents a time history showing how the output and efficiency of the PCS varies as a result of the abrupt changes in input identified in the other plots from this run (No. 13, test No. 8). The PCS efficiency tabulated in Table 4-4 is best represented in Figure 4-10 where net power is plotted against thermal power input to the PCS. The reference curve was taken directly from a run performed during the PCS qualification test (Reference 4-5).

PCS parasitic power, which was identified and subtracted to get the net power output, included power to run the PCS fan, boost pump, valve drivers, data transmitters, etc. Actual output power was measured at the switchboard with a wattmeter.

4. Toluene Analysis

An original, and still unresolved, concern with the ORC engine is the possibility of degradation of the toluene after prolonged operation at high temperatures. After a thorough search of the literature and discussion with individuals with ORC experience, it was concluded that toluene degradation would not be a problem if air was effectively excluded and the working fluid temperature was kept below 427° C (800° F). At the same time, it was appreciated that unexpected factors might cause degradation and that an important result from this experiment would certainly be an evaluation of the lifetime of the toluene working fluid.

During repair and maintenance operations performed while the unit was under initial tests, samples of toluene were taken and analyzed at JPL. It was found that a small quantity (0.05%) of aliphatic hydrocarbon and pthalate ester were present and that the toluene was discolored.

It was suspected that vacuum-pump oil might be contaminating the sample (the system is pumped down as part of the filling operation), so a cold trap was installed in the line. A sample taken at the conclusion of the test was clear and had only 0.004% residue of pthalate and polygylcol, suggesting that the source of the contaminant had indeed been the vacuum-pump oil. It was also decided that the material used for accumulator bladders might have contained plasticizers that were another potential source of contamination. Although the degradation problem seems to have been eliminated, future ORC work should always be conducted with careful consideration and measurement of the purity of the working fluid during the course of the experiments.



Figure 4-9. Power-Conversion Subsystem Performance History for Run 13 (Test No. 8)

Run No. (Date)	Time	Power to PCS, PM4 (kW _t)	Gross Power Out, PM5 (kWp)	Net PCS Power Out* (kW _e)	Net PCS Eff.	Fan Spd.	dc Volts	₹ Mask. (%)	Amb. Temp (^O F)
8 (2-25)	09:46	58.0	12.7	12.0	20.7	HI	500	0	52
9 (2-25)	14:00	67.0	14.0	13.6	20.3	ю	500	0	66
11 (2-26)	12:10 13:32	72.0 55.5	16.0 11.2	15.3 10.5	21.3 18.9	HI HI	500 500	0 0	62 68
13 (3-03)	10:50 12:00 13:55 14:50	69.0 70.4 65.0 61.5	15.3 16.2 15.0 13.2	14.6 15.5 14.3 12.5	21.2 22.0 22.0 20.3	HI HI HI HI	500 500 500 500	0 0 0 0	54 55 54 53
17 (3-18)	09:36	66.4	14.5	13.8	20.8	HI	500	0	45
18 (3-19)	12:30 12:50 13:30	36.5 36.5 35.0	5.0 5.0 4.0	4.6 4.3 3.3	12.7 11.8 9.5	LO HI HI	500 500 550	50 50 50	51 52 52
19 (3-19)	15:28	47.5	8.5	7.8	16.5	HI	500	25	53
20 (3-22)	12:18 13:00 13:05 13:15 13:56 14:40	52.7 34.5 42.0 51.0 16.5 49.5	10.8 4.7 7.0 9.2 1.3 9.0	10.1 4.3 6.6 8.8 0.6 8.3	19.2 12.5 15.8 17.3 3.7 16.8	HI 19 19 19 HI HI	500 500 500 500 500 500 550	25 25 25 25 25 25 25	60 60 61 60 61

Table 4-4. Power-Conversion Subsystem Test Data at Parabolic Dish Test Site

*Net Power = PM5 minus parasitics

Ţ





5. The Energy Transport System

Although the energy transport system includes items like the switchboard, cabling, and junction boxes, the inverter is the key element. Not only does it change the generated dc into grid-compatible, 60-Hz, three-phase power, but it also serves as the control voltage reference that controls the turbine-alternator power output.

Initial tests made use of the load bank that was installed at the PDTS especially for this test series. The resistance elements in the bank provide a means of dissipating the power generated by the module in the event of failure of the grid or of electrical connecting lines leading to the grid. It also provides a known and stable load during the start-up sequence, during which time the output of the module is below the level acceptable to the grid. Switching between the load bank and the grid is done by the switchboard, which performed this function satisfactorily during these tests.

The inverter also performed well after it was repaired, but it had not been completely qualified by the completion of the test series. Table 4-5, (see Reference 4-2), lists the requirements placed on the inverter and shows the degree of success achieved in meeting these requirements. The efficiency of the inverter, like that of the other energy-handling subsystems, is important in establishing the economic value of the plant. In general, the efficiency of inverters increases significantly with size, especially in the smaller size ranges. The actual measured performance of the inverter is compared to the predicted value in Figure 4-11. Several features of this plot should be noted:

- (1) Although the maximum output of the module as tested was 16.2 kWe, the inverter was rated at 30 kWe. Because the energy consumption is size-dependent, there is a definite penalty associated with using an inverter that is larger than required and thus operating at part load. On the other hand, it was considered necessary to demonstrate the capability of the energy transport subsystem to operate in the multi-module mode. This capability was demonstrated by operating the module in parallel with a dc power supply so that the combined output of the dish (on-sun) and the dc power supply (drawing from the laboratory utility supply) was within 3 kWe of the rated capacity of the inverter. This power was fed to the Edwards Air Force Base power grid, a part of the Southern California Edison distribution system.
- (2) By throwing the switch on the dc power supply, it was possible to study the effect on the control system of an additional simulated power module starting up or dropping out while connected in parallel with the module under test. The response of the control system to these perturbations was essentially instantaneous, with no indication of mutual influence between the active power module and the dc power supply.

Table 4-5. Inverter Requirements

10.1

BAN WERFELL MARCH AND T BE MINNER

Requirements	Test Results/Achievements	Comments
MAJOR:		
1. Produce grid-compatible ac power and ac power and ac power to load bank	 Load bank operation ok Grid operation (Run 21) ok until idle condition or reverse current reached 	 Control circuit to be modified to switch to load bank when reverse current sensed
 Maintain input voltage within 2% (load control for turbine/alternator) 	 Requirement met, voltage normally held within +5V (+1%) for steady state operation 	 Width of voltage band adjustable in inverter
3. Operate with input voltages between 500 and 630V	 Requirement met, some operation as low as ~475V and as high as 601V (high voltage run at ATC) 	 Voltage setting adjustable. Tap on output trans- former now set on lower range
4. Operate over a power range from 0 to 30 kVA	 Requirement met for all levels up to maximum available (26.8 kW) 	 Unit should be operational to 40 kVA according to Nova
OTHER REQUIREMENTS:		
5. Input voltage ripple less than 5%; input current ripple less than 1%	 Beli ved to be accept- able, but a function of the rectifier, not the inverter 	 Future measure- ments planned
6. Input and power source impedance (Para. 3.6.1.5 of Ref. 3-12)	 Acceptable; input impedance = 15.7 +0.2 ohms 	 Future measure- ments planned
7. Output power factor of 0.95 <u>+</u> 0.05	 Believed to be accept- able, but low voltage setting affects P.F. 	 Future measure- ments planned
8. Output voltage: 480 <u>+</u> 48V to grid	 Acceptable in Run 21; voltage to load bank not a requirement 	 Future measure- ments planned

Table 4-5. (Cont'd)

Requirements	Test Results/Achievements	Comments
9. Output distortion and regulation (Para. 3.2.6.5, 3.2.6.0 of Ref. 3-12)	• Acceptable in grid test (Run 21)	 Future measure- ments planned
10. Efficiency: greater than 80% at power levels between 10- 20 kVA	 Acceptable, test data shown in Figure 4-11 	• Low input voltage (~500V) increases losses compared to design voltage of 600V
11. Protective circuitry, test circuits, controls, and switch contacts (Para. 3.6.4- 3.6.6 of Ref. 3-12)	 Generally acceptable for normal operation; circuit modifications identified for grid- connected mode 	 Reliability of circuits/compo- nents needs improvements

6. Overall System Performance

The performance of the overall system was measured using the data obtained at the Test Site and computed and plotted by the OPWEG program (see Reference 4-3). The following account, taken from that reference, gives an in-depth look at a specific representative run (No. 14, test No. 8) and shows how it was calculated and presented. This same run forms the body of Appendix B (also from Reference 4-3), which shows how the data were recorded and tabulated.

The individual component and subsystem efficiencies and performance obtained during the verification tests have been combined to yield "module" and "overall" values. The term "module" refers to the power out of the PCS, i.e., module efficiency is PCS power out (PM5 in program OPWEG) divided by the power available to the concentrator. "Overall" or "system" refers to the power out of the inverter (PM6); thus, overall efficiency is PM6 divided by available power to the concentrator.

The example selected as representative of a typical steady-state condition was Run 13 (Test No. 8) at 12:00 noon. This test was conducted on March 3, 1982, and had a total run time of 6.7 h. A data printout for a short period after noon for Run 13 is given in Appendix B, and sample plots are given in Figures 4-7 and 4-8. The insolation from the Eppley pyrheliometer at 12:00 was 983 W/m², but insolation available for focusing was 3.5% less, due





ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

4-28

to the average circumsolar effect.¹¹ Thus, the power available for focusing was

(983)(0.965)(87.6)/1000 = 83.1 kW

where the term 87.6 is the effective area of the concentrator in square meters. The value of 83.1 kW is the first step on a waterfall-type performance chart in Figure 4-12. This figure also shows the efficiency of the individual components and subsystems. The values used were primarily obtained from the output of program OPWEG (see Appendix B, Table B-1) by the techniques summarized below; additional details are contained in Reference 4-4.

- (1) The efficiency of the concentrator was calculated as the product of the reflectivity, dust, and blockage factors. The updated blockage ratio of 0.967 was used.
- (2) The receiver efficiency was obtained by averaging the "measured" efficiency from the program printout (EMR¹²) over a period of about 30 s before and after noon.
- (3) The PCS and inverter efficiencies (EM5 and EM6 in program OPWEG) were obtained by averaging the outputs from the program over the intervals described above.

Numbers in parentheses in Figure 4-12 refer to net values; that is, they have accounted for the PCS parasitic power of 0.68 kWe for high-speed fan conditions. For example, the module efficiency for the conditions noted was 19.5% measured or gross, or 18.7% net. The module (PCS) power output was 16.2 kWe gross or 15.5 kWe net.

The overall or system efficiency was 15.5% (net) or three points less than that for the module due to the losses through the inverter (83.3% efficient). As described previously, the inverter was a subscale unit (30 kVA, rated) and operated at an input dc voltage for this test of 500 V, compared to a design value of 600 V. Both of these factors reduce the efficiency that could be obtained with a larger unit operating at a higher voltage.

Figure 4-10 also shows that all components in Test 13 were operating well below design power levels even though the insolation was only about 10% below the peak rated value (983 vs. 1100 W/m^2). The primary reason for the relatively low power output was that the TBC is not large enough to provide

¹¹See Section IV D-1 for comment on the legitimacy of using this assumption.

¹²The values for EM4 in Table B-1 (Appendix B) must be increased by 5.5% to correct for the erroneous value for the concentrator effective area that had been used until Run 16.



ьомев' км

Measured Component, Module, and System Performance for a Typical Run Figure 4-12. the design power inputs and, thus, the higher component efficiencies associated with them. The following comparisons illustrate this fact.

Component/Subsystem	Input Power for Run	Design Input Power
Receiver	74.4 kWt	95 kWt
PCS	70.8 Wt	92.4 kWt
Inverter	16.2 kWe	30 kVA rated, 40 kVA peak

As seen in this comparison, the PCS was operating about 30% below the design power level and is the component that is most sensitive to part-load performance penalties. Therefore, the 22 to 23% efficiency of the PCS for this run is not unexpected, especially when the off-design considerations are included.

SECTION V

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Although this program did not realize its inte-ded ambitious goal of placing a 1-MWe, solar-thermal power plant into operation in a small community environment, it did achieve some significant accomplishments. Before the program started it was assumed that a small, Rankine-cycle power conversion unit was virtually an "off-the-shelf" item. It was soon evident, however, that no unit actually existed that was suitable for the intended application. To meet this need, a small, diph-mounted, ORC power-conversion assembly was developed, which satisfied all of the important functional requirements and which met initial performance specifications. The effect of this milestone achievement is to provide a baseline ORC power-conversion design that can be modified or improved to provide candidate receivers and engines for future terrestrial as well as space solar and non-solar applications. The same can also be said for the control and energy transport systems as they were developed for use with the chosen ORC and its associated electrical-generation and conditioning systems.

The concentrator developed under this program has not been fabricated. so it would be premature to announce its suitability for other potencial uses, or even to predict its performance. The drawings and specifications are a part of the public domain, however, and they can be used as resource material by others facing the problem of developing a high-performance parabolic dish concentrator.

On the basis of actual measured performance the following conclusions can be drawn:

- (1) An efficient, successful power-conversion subsystem with the potential of excellent lifetime has been made for solar point-focusing applications, using toluene as the working fluid in an hermetically sealed, turbine-driven engine.
- (2) The receiver problem for the solar-powered toluene receiver has been solved by using a single-pass, stainless steel tube wrapped around the outside of a cylindrical copper shell.
- (3) High quality, grid-compatible electric power has been produced by a plant using a control-type inverter to process the rectified output of many semi-independent modules.
- (4) A plant control system has been designed that promises to be capable of fully unattended operation.

These conclusions, if combined with other lessons learned during this program, suggest that the following efforts be continued in the future:

(1) The units designed and fabricated under this program should continue to be tested and operated for long periods to discover any latent problems that remain undiscovered. The highly developed control system should be assembled and used in future tests.

5-1

- (2) Follow-on units should be built and tested that incorporate some of the design changes suggested by measured test results. These include:
 - (a) Changes in the nozzle profiles to provide a better match with the measured back pressure. The present nozzles over-expand the toluene vapor, probably resulting in unnecessary shock losses.
 - (b) Improve the efficiency of the regenerator by modifying the design of the nozzle exit shroud so that a "dead" zone does not exist in the center of the regenerator heat exchanger.
 - (c) Increase the diameter of the alternator to improve the geometry of the magnetic path.
 - (d) Perform tests on the feed pump to determine and, if possible, improve its efficiency.
 - (e) Modify the configuration of the TAP housing to permit final assembly without having first to dismantle the rotor components (and thereby introducing the chance of reassembling it in an unbalanced condition).
 - (f) Conduct life tests on the improved TAP unit, using a cost-effective semi-automated test stand.
 - (g) Conduct studies to examine production techniques and material studies to reduce the cost of the organic Rankinecycle power-conversion assembly.

New Cold Street

14

131

(-2

REFERENCES

- 1-1. Phase 1 of the First Small Power System Experiment (Engineering Experiment No. 1), Final Report No. MDC G7833, Vol. I through V, McDonnell Douglas Corporation, Huntington Beach, California, May 1979.
- 1-2. Phase 1 of the First Small Power System Experiment (Experimental System No. 1), Vol. I, General Electric Company, Energy Systems Programs Department, May 1979.
- 1-3. <u>Phase 1 of the First (Solar) Small Power System Experiment (Experimental</u> <u>System No. 1)</u>, Final Report No. U-6529, Ford Aerospace and Communications Corporation, Newport Beach, California, May 5, 1959.
- 2-1. Bland, T. (Sunstrand Corporation), <u>15-kWe (Nominal) Solar Thermal</u> <u>Electric Power Conversion Concept Definition Study - Steam Rankine</u> <u>Turbine System</u>, Final Report DOE/NASA/0061-79/1, NASA CR-159589, AER 1713, October 1979.
- 2-2. Fuller, H., Demler, R., Paulin, E. and Dantowitz, P. (Foster-Miller Associates, Inc.), <u>15-kWe (Nominal) Electric Power Conversion Concept</u> <u>Definition Study - Steam Rankine Reheat Reciprocator System</u>, Final Report DOE/NASA/0062-79/1 NASA CR-1595909, June 1979.
- 2-3. Wingenbach, W., and Carter, J. (J. Carter Enterprises), <u>15-kWe</u> (Nominal) Solar Thermal-Electric Power Conversion Concept Definition <u>Study - Steam Rankine Reciprocator System</u>, Final report DOE/NASA/0063-79/1 NASA CR-159591, June 1979.
- 2-4. Clark, T.B., <u>Prime Item Specification for the Small Community Solar</u> <u>Thermal Power Experiment (SCSE)</u>, <u>Phase III</u>, Ford Aerospace and <u>Communications Corporation</u>, AS 2001116 (Draft), August 3, 1983.
- 3-1. Dennison, E.W., and Thostensen, T., <u>Development and Testing of</u> <u>Parabolic Dish Concentrator No. 1</u>, JPL Publication 85-4, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California, December 15, 1984.
- 3-2. Clark, T.B., <u>Critical Item Development Specification for the Parabolic</u> <u>Dish Concentrator-2 (PDC-2)</u>, Ford Aerospace and Communications Corporation, AS2001185, September 16, 1983.
- 3-3. Marciavak, T.J., et al; Comparison of Rankine-Cycle Power Systems: Effects of Seven Working Fluids, Arizona National Laboratory, ANL/CNSV-TM-87, June 1981.
- 3-4. Clark, T.B., and Boda, F.P., <u>Critical Item Product Specification SCSE</u> <u>Power Conversion Subsystem (PCS)</u>, Ford Aerospace and Communications Corporation, AS2001378, August 29, 1983.
- 3-5. Campanaro, L., <u>Brazing and Finishing of Copper Solar Receiver</u>, Ford Aerospace and Communications Corporation, APS 149487, February 4, 1981.

- 3-6. Haskins, J.J., Taylor, R.M., and Osborn, D.B., "Development of a Solar Receiver for an Organic Rankine-Cycle Engine," Paper No. 819750, Presented at the 16th Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference, Atlanta, Georgia, August 9-14, 1981.
- 3-7. Osborne, D.B., and Conway, W.A., <u>Test Results for the Development</u> <u>Receiver Core</u>, Ford Aerospace and Communications Corporation, TR No. SCSE-042, June 9, 1981.
- 3-8. Osborn, D.B., <u>Test Report Receiver Qualification Tests</u>, Ford Aerospace and Communications Corporation, SCSE Report No. 015, August 6, 1981.
- 3-9. Pons, R.L., "Development Status of the Small Community Solar Power System," <u>Proceedings of the Parabolic Dish Solar Thermal Power Annual</u> <u>Review</u>, Atlanta, Georgia, December 1981. JPL Publication 82-66.
- 3-10. Barber, R.E., and Boda, F.P., "Organic Rankine Power Conversion Subsystem Development for the Small Community Solar Thermal Power System," <u>Proceedings of the Parabolic Dish Solar Thermal Power Annual</u> <u>Review</u>, Atlanta, Georgia, December 1981. JPL Publication 82-66.
- 3-11. Nesmith, B., <u>Bearing Development Program for a 25-kWe</u>, <u>Solar-Powered</u> <u>Organic Rankine-Cycle Engine</u>, JPL Report 5105-146, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California, March 15, 1985.
- 3-12. Bergthold, F., and Garrigus, W., <u>Inverter Control Methods and PCS</u> <u>Electrical Matching Characteristics</u>, Ford Aerospace and Communications Corporation, TR No. SCSE-014, September 15, 1980.
- 3-13. Garrigus, W.E., <u>Performance Specification for a 30-KVA Inverter</u>, Ford Aerospace and Communications Corporation, AS147633, March 21, 1981.
- 3-14. Fulton, D.G., "Control System Development for the Small Community Solar Power System," <u>Proceedings of the Fourth DOE Parabolic Dish</u> <u>Solar</u> <u>Thermal Power Program Review</u>, Pasadena, California, December 1, 1982.
- 4-1. Selcuk, M.K., <u>Parabolic Dish Test Site: History and Operating</u> <u>Experience</u>, JPL Report 5105-147, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California, January 15, 1985.
- 4-2. Clark, T.B., <u>Power Conversion Assembly Verification Test on the TBC and</u> <u>Energy Transport Subsystem Qualification</u>, Ford Aerospace and <u>Communications Corporation</u>, SCSE Report No. 023, April 23, 1982.
- 4-3. Clark, T.B., <u>Power/Energy/Efficiency Calculations for the TBC PDTS -</u> <u>Program OFWEG</u>, Ford Aerospace and Communications Corporation, TR SCSE-044A, January 29, 1982, Revised April 8, 1982 (TR SCSE-044B).
- 4-4. Osborn, D.B., Haskins, H.J., Conway, W.A., and Wan, C.C., "Design and Test of a Solar Receiver for an Organic Rankine Cycle Engine," ASME Solar Energy Conference, Albuquerque, New Mexico, April 26-30, 1982.
- 4-5. Qualification Test for the PCS, Barber-Nichols Engineering, November 1981.

APPENDIX A

THE CARTER ENGINE

Although toluene was selected for use in the proposed engine, there remained sufficient uncertainty about its expected life at the time it was selected to warrant consideration of a back-up engine using a different working fluid. After exploring the availability of alternative engines, it was determined that only the Carter steam reciprocator expander engine satisfied requirements of size and efficiency and actually existed as hardware (Figure A-1). To verify the functional and performance characteristics of the Carter engine, Jay Carter Enterprises was awarded a contract to conduct a series of tests¹ on its two-cylinder, paratransit vehicle engine at a branch office in California. For these tests (Figure A-2) the steam source consisted of the automotive steam generator unit designed and built for that engine and a 25-hp, three-plase induction alternator to absorb the power. The electric power that was produced was fed into the Santa Barbara distribution grid of the Southern California Edison Company. A brief description and summary of results is shown in Table A-1.

Model:	Paratransit Vehicle Engine
Туре:	Two-Cylinder, Single-Acting Uniflow
Bore:	2.5 in.
Stroke:	3 in.
Displacement:	29.54 in. ³
Expansion Ratios:	10:1
	14.4:1
Speed:	3600 cev/min
Nominal Power Rating:	23.09 hp (at 1000 ^o F, 10:1 Expansion Ratio)
	70115-

Table A-1. Carter Steam Engine Specifications

¹For a description of these tests, see W.J. Wingenbach, <u>Evaluation of</u> <u>Carter Keciprocator Steam Engine</u>, Final Report, FACC P.O. G23581, Jay Carter Enterprises, Inc., May 1981; and Wingenbach, W.J., and Kiceniuk, T., "Recent Tests on the Carter Small Reciprocating Steam Engine," JPL Parabolic Dish Solar Thermal Power Annual Program Review, Atlanta, Georgia, December 8-10, 1981; JFL Publication 82-66.



Figure A-1. Cross Section Drawing of a Proposed Carter Single-Cylinder Engine Similar to the Two-Cylinder Unit Tested as Part of the Study in this Report

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



A.

.

Figure A-2. Comparison of Test Results with Calculated Predictions for Jay Carter Enterprises Two-Cylinder Steam Engine

APPENDIX B

SAMPLE PRINTOUT OF ALL DISPLAYS

The following pages contain reduced copies of the complete printout record for a short (~1 minute) test period at noon for Run 13 (Test No. 8). This run was selected as being representative of a steady-state, normal operating condition.

Each printout is preceded by a page which contains a description of each heading. All printouts have the identical first three columns: time (self explanatory), RCIA data link status (RLS) and weather data link status (WLS). A value of zero for RLS and WLS is normal; a value of 1 would indicate a failure in the link.

The list of the printouts corresponds to that contained in Paragraph 3.4, i.e., display No. 1 is printed as Print Ol. Since the number of columns on the printer is limited, each display is divided into two separate printed pages, e.g., Print Ol left (OLL) and Ol right (OLR). The display/print summary is given below.

101 5 T 1 2 - ----

Pri	nt No	<u>.</u>	Display No.	Title	Table No.
01L	and	R	1	Power and Energy, Program OPWEG	B-1
02L	and	R	2	PCA Temps and Pressures	B-2
03L	and	R	3	Other PCA Measurements	B-3
04L	and	R	4	PCS Events (Discretes)	B-4
05L	and	R	5	ETS Data	B-5
06L	and	R	6	Weather Data	B-6
	-		7	TBC Data (Not implemented)	-
08L	and	R	8	PCS Performance Data	B-7
PRINT 01 DESCRIPTION - POWER AND ENERGY

No.	Parameter	Description*
01L	PM1	Local Eppley pyrheliometer reading
01L	PT2**	PMl corrected for circumsolar effects, i.e. flux available to concentrator
01L	E2	Energy available to concentrator
01L	PT3	Power available to receiver (theoretical)
01L	PT4	Power available to PCS (theoretical)
01L	РМ4	Power available to PCS (measured value derived from mass flow and enthalpy rise)
01L	ET4	Efficiency of receiver (theoretical)
01L	EM4***	Efficiency of receiver (calculated)
01L	PT5	Power out of PCS (theoretical)
01L	PM5	Power out of PCS (measured)
OIR	ET5	Efficiency of PCS (theoretical)
01R	EM5**	Efficiency of PCS (measured)
01R	PT6	Power out of inverter (theoretical)
01R	PM6	Power out of inverter (measured)
01R	E6	Energy out of inverter
01R	ET6	Efficiency of inverter (theoretical)
01R	EM6	Efficiency of inverter (measured)
01R	OEP**	Efficiency of module based on input and output power
01R	OEE**	Efficiency of module based on input and output energy

*See TR-SCSE-044A for an explanation of the equations used for the Power and Energy calculations (Ref. B-1).

**The definition of these parameters has changed slightly in the latest version of program OPWEG (see TR-SCSE-044B). The 'A' version of the program was used for the tests reported here.

***The value of EM4 for Run 13 and all runs prior to 16 were low due to incorrect area for concentrator. Add 5.5% to value printed to obtain correct number, i.e. 89.9 becomes 95.4.

B-2

	L: 01L				FACC BMA	LL COMMUNITY DATE: 03/0	r Bolar Exp 3782	ERIMENT			2	VOE: 0001
0 0	E RLS	.s.	PM1 W/H=H	PT2 W/H+H	C HIN	ET4 WM	AT4 WM	¥N Ku	ET4 PERCENT	EM *	E B	Ēž
	80	• •	0982.8	0948.4	0280.6	0078.7	0076.8	0070. B	C097. 5	6.6800	0016.4	0016.2
0000 000000 00000 00000 <td< td=""><td>50</td><td>••</td><td>0982.8</td><td>4 8460</td><td>0280.7</td><td>0078.7</td><td>0076.8</td><td>0071.2</td><td>0097.5</td><td>0600</td><td>0016.5</td><td>0016.4</td></td<>	50	••	0982.8	4 8460	0280.7	0078.7	0076.8	0071.2	0097.5	0600	0016.5	0016.4
	0	•	0982. 6	0948.4	0280.7	0078.7	0076.8	0071.1	0097. 5	0090.2	0016. 5	0016.1
		•	0962.8	0948.4	0280.7	0078.7	0076.8	0071.0	6.790	0040.2	0016.4	0016.1
	-	0 0	2 2840	1.9460	0280.8	2 0078. 7	0076.8	0071.B	6 . 2400	1 1400	0016.7	0016.0
0 00001 00000 000	80	• •	6 2860	1.948.1	0280.8	2020 J	0076.8	1.1200	C . 2600	0400	0016. 5	1 100
0 00001 00001 00000 000			8.7840			1 8/00			- 1000			
0 000000000000000000000000000000000000		•		1.0480			0016					
11 0		• •	1 1990	0040	0080	0078 8	0076 9	0071 8	0067 5	1 100	1 100	
		• •	8 2860	0048	0280 9	0078 7	0076.8	0011 0	0001 3	0000	100	0014 2
11 0 0792 0793 0793 0793 0793 0793 0793 0793 0793 0794 0714 0794 0714 0794 0714	12	• •	0982 8	4 8400	9.0800	0078.7	0076.8	0072.3	0097.5	8 1600	0014.8	0016.3
11 0 0998.1 0948.4 0281.1 0077.3 0090.2 0014.4 0014.4 17 0 0982.1 0948.4 0281.1 0077.3 0090.1 0014.4 0014.4 17 0 0982.1 0948.4 0281.1 0078.1 0074.3 0014.4	13 0	0	0982. 5	0948.1	0281.0	0078.7	0076.8	0071.3	0097. 5	0600	0016. 5	0016.2
	•	•	1 .6840	0748.6	0281.0	0078.8	0076. 9	0071.1	0097. 5	0000.2	0014. 4	0016.1
16 0 0992 0991 0000	15 0	•	0982. 5	0948.1	0281.0	0078.7	0076.8	0071.1	0097. 5	00400 3	0016. 5	0016.0
17 0 0992 03941 0071 0077 0071 007	16 0	•	0982.8	4 'E 760	0281.0	0078.7	0076.8	0071.0	0097. 5	0000.1	0016.4	0016.2
1 0 000000000000000000000000000000000000	17 0	•	0982.8	0948.4	0281.1	0078.7	0076.8	0071.8	0097. 5	1.1000	0016.7	0016.1
17 0 000000000000000000000000000000000000	18 0	•	1 .0983	0948.6	0281.1	0078.8	0076. 9	0071.2	0097. 5	0040.3	0016. 5	0016.0
0 0	19 0	•	0982.8	0948.4	0281.1	0078.7	0076.8	0071.1	0097.5	00400 J	0016.5	0016.0
22 0	20	•	0982.8	0948.4	0281.1	0078.7	0076.8	0069.7	0097. 5	0068.5	0014.0	0016.4
22 0 0071 2 0071 2 0071 2 0011	21 0	0	0982.8	0948.4	0281.2	0078.7	0076.8	0071.9	0097. 5	0091.3	0014.7	0016.1
22 0	55	•	0983.1	0948.6	0281.2	0078.8	0076. 9	0071.2	6.7900	0000	0014. 5	0016.4
27 0 0071 0077 0071	53	•	0982.8	0948.4	0281.2	0078.7	0076.8	0071.8	6.790	0091.2	0016.7	0016.3
25 0 09783 0078 0078 0076 0077 0077 0077 0076 0016 001			1 .6940	9-8460	1920	0078.8	0076.9	6.000	6 . 1600	4.4900		0016-1
7 0			1.5840		E 1820		6/00		C	6.1600		
30 0							0 1400	- T/00				
37 0 09981 09981 09981 09981 00881 00881<	82	• •	2 5860	0949	E 1800	0078 8	0074 9	0071 3	0001 3	10000	0014 5	0014.2
30 0 0988.7 0947.2 0288.4 0076.7 0077.3 0097.3 0097.3 0016.3	50	• •	¥ 6840	6 8460.	0281.3	0078.8	0076.9	0071.0	6 1600	0000	014.4	0016.4
31 0 09944 09447 0074 0074 0077 00977 00977 00977 00977 0014	0000	•	7.5840	0949.2	0281.4	0078.8	0076. 9	0070. 6	0097.5	0089.6	0016. 3	0016.2
32 0 0984.0 0947.3 0281.4 0076.8 0077.3 0097.3 0096.0 0016.3 0016.4	31 0	•	0984.0	0949. 5	0281.4	0078.8	0076.9	0071.2	6.7900	00400 B	0016. 5	0016.2
33 0 6 0983.7 0949.2 0281.4 0078.8 0075.4 0001.2 00090.1 0001.4 0016.4 35 0 0 0983.7 0949.2 0281.5 0078.8 0077.5 0079.1 0016.4 0016.4 35 0 0 0983.7 0949.2 0281.5 0078.8 0077.5 0097.5 0091.1 0016.4 0016.4 36 0 0 0983.7 0979.4 0281.5 0078.9 0011.4 0016.4 0016.4 37 0 0 0984.4 0790.1 0281.5 0078.9 0077.1 0077.1 0077.1 0016.4 0016.4 0016.4 37 0 0 0984.4 0790.4 0281.6 0078.4 0016.4 0016.4 0016.4 0016.4 37 0 0 0984.4 0790.4 0281.6 0078.4 0016.4 0016.4 0016.4 0016.4 37 0 0 0770.1 0077.1 0077.1 0077.1 0016.4 0016.4 0016.4 0016.4	32 0	•	0.984.0	0949. 5	0281.4	0078.8	0076. 9	0071.4	0097. 5	0040.5	0016. 5	0016.1
33. 0 09783.7 0949.2 02381.5 0078.4 0016.4 0016.4 0016.4 33. 0 0 9983.7 0949.2 02381.5 0078.4 0071.1 0097.5 0090.1 0016.4 0016.4 33. 0 0 9983.7 0949.2 0281.5 0078.4 0071.1 0097.5 0091.1 0016.7 0016.4 0016.4 34 0 0 9984.7 0730.1 0281.6 0078.4 0011.1 0097.5 0091.1 0016.7 0016.4 0016.1 39 0 0 0984.7 0281.6 0078.4 0077.0 0077.1 0097.5 0091.1 0016.7 0016.4 0016.4 0016.4 39 0 0 0984.7 0281.7 0078.4 0077.0 0077.5 0097.7 0016.4	33 0	0	0983.7	0949.2	0281.4	0078.8	00.76. 9	0070. 9	0097. 5	0089. 9	0016.4	0016.1
35 0 0948.1 0949.2 0281.5 0076.8 0077.3 0097.3 0090.1 0016.7 0016.4 37 0 0 0949.2 0281.5 0078.9 0075.7 0077.3 0091.1 0016.7 0016.4 37 0 0 0949.4 07930.4 0281.5 0077.0 0071.1 0077.3 0091.1 0016.7 0016.7 37 0 0 0949.4 0281.6 0077.0 0071.1 0077.3 0091.1 0016.7 0016.7 39 0 0 0 07930.4 0281.6 0077.0 0071.1 0077.3 0091.1 0016.7 0016.7 41 0 0 07930.4 0281.7 0078.9 0077.0 0071.4 0077.3 0091.4 0016.7 0016.7 41 0 0 07930.4 0281.7 0078.9 0077.0 0077.3 0097.4 0016.7 0016.7 0016.7 0016.7 0016.7 0016.7 0016.7 0016.7 0016.7 0016.7 0016.7 0016.7 0016.7	0 46	•	2.6890	0949.2	0281.5	0078.8	0676. 9	0071.1	0097. 5	0090.1	0014.4	0016.2
36 0 0981.7 0949.2 0281.5 0078.9 0071.5 0071.5 0091.7 0091.7 0016.7 37 0 0 0993.7 0281.5 0078.9 0077.0 0071.1 0097.5 0091.1 0016.7 0016.1 37 0 0 0994.4 0281.6 0078.9 0077.0 0071.1 0097.5 0091.1 0016.7 0016.1 37 0 0 0794.4 0281.6 0077.0 0077.1 0097.5 0091.1 0016.7 0016.1 41 0 0 0794.4 0281.6 0077.0 0077.1 0077.5 0097.7 0016.4 0016.1 42 0 0 0774.9 0077.0 0077.1 0077.5 0097.7 0016.4 0016.7 41 0 0 0790.4 0281.7 0077.0 0071.1 0077.5 0091.4 0016.7 0016.7 016.4 0016.7 42 0 0 077.0 0071.0 0071.1 0077.5 0091.4 0016.7 0016.7 016.7	32	•	0983.7	0949.2	0281.5	0078.8	0076. 9	0071.2	0097. 5	0040.2	0016. 5	0016. 4
37 0 000000000000000000000000000000000000	36 0	•	0983.7	0949.2	0281. 5	0078.8	0076. 9	0072. 5	C097. 5	0091.9	0014. 9	0016.0
38 0 0994.9 0990.4 0281.6 0077.0 0077.1 0097.5 0090.4 0016.5 0016.5 37 0 0 0994.4 0790.4 0281.6 0077.0 0077.1 0097.5 0097.7 0016.4 0016.4 40 0 0 0984.7 0790.4 0281.6 0077.0 0077.2 0097.7 0097.7 0016.7 0016.4 0016.4 41 0 0 0984.7 0790.4 0281.7 0077.0 0077.2 0097.7 0097.4 0016.7 0016.4 016.4 </td <td>0 LE</td> <td>•</td> <td>0985.2</td> <td>0430.7</td> <td>0281.5</td> <td>0078.9</td> <td>0077.0</td> <td>0071.9</td> <td>0097. 5</td> <td>0091.1</td> <td>0014.7</td> <td>0016.1</td>	0 LE	•	0985.2	0430.7	0281.5	0078.9	0077.0	0071.9	0097. 5	0091.1	0014.7	0016.1
37 0 09944.6 0790.1 0281.6 0077.0 0077.1 0097.5 0097.1 0091.4 0016.4 0016.4 41 0 0 0944.7 0750.4 0281.7 0077.0 0077.2 0097.5 0097.0 0016.4 0016.4 41 0 0 0944.7 0750.4 0281.7 0077.0 0077.2 0097.7 0097.2 0097.4 0016.4 0016.4 42 0 0 0944.7 0750.4 0281.7 0077.0 0077.1 0097.7 0097.7 0097.4 0016.4	38	•	0984.9	0620.4	0281.6	0078.9	0077.0	0071. 4	6 . 2600	0040.4	0016. 5	0016. 5
0 0 000000000000000000000000000000000000	60	•	9.984.6	0420.1	0281.6	0078.9	0077.0	0071.1	6.7900	0000	0016.4	0016.8
1 0 0097.1 0097.2 0097.2 0097.2 0094.4 0014.3 0			6.4840	4 .0640	0281.6	6.00	0077.0	6 .2.00	C . 1600	6 -7600	0010.4	0016.1
43 0 000113 0000113 0000113 000113 000113 000114 0016.3 44 0 0 0984.4 0994.1 0281.7 00071.0 00011.3 0097.5 0090.4 0016.3		• •	6.4840	4.0640	0281.6	6.8200	0077.0	0072.6	6 . 1400	0.640	0016. 9	9100
4.0 0 0984.6 0950.1 0281.7 0071.0 0071.4 0097.5 0091.1 0016.7 0015.7 4.6 0 0 0984.7 07930.1 0281.7 0077.0 0071.1 0097.5 0091.1 0016.7 0016.7 4.6 0 0 0983.7 07930.1 0281.7 0077.0 0071.1 0077.5 0097.5 0016.4 0016.4 0016.4 4.7 0 0 0983.7 07930.2 0281.8 0077.0 0071.1 0077.5 0097.5 0016.4			1.1810	+	1 1820	A 19/00	0.100		- 1000			
47 0 000000000000000000000000000000000000			1 1000	B	1920	A 18/00						
46 0							0.100					
47 0												
48 0		•										
47 0				10100								
0 0									-			
0 0												
21 0 0 0985.4 0950.9 0281.9 0079.0 0071.0 0071.9 0077.5 0087.7 0014.4 0015.0 53 0 0 0985.7 0951.2 0281.9 0079.0 0077.1 0070.4 0077.5 0087.1 0014.2 0016.0 54 0 0 0986.0 0951.4 0282.0 0077.0 0077.1 0070.4 0077.5 0087.1 0014.2 0016.0 54 0 0 0986.0 0951.4 0282.0 0077.1 0070.4 0077.5 0087.0 0014.2 0016.1 54 0 0 0986.0 0951.4 0282.0 0077.1 0070.4 0077.5 0087.0 0014.2 0016.1					4 1920	6 8/00						
32 0 0 0785.4 0730.7 0281.7 00/7.0 00/7.1 00/0.7 0077.3 0087.1 0016.2 0016.0 53 0 0 0985.7 0951.2 0281.9 0079.0 0077.1 0070.4 0077.5 0089.1 0016.2 0016.0 54 0 0 0986.0 0951.4 0282.0 0077.1 0077.1 0070.4 0077.5 0089.0 0016.2 0016.1				1.0000	1 1820	4 8/00	0.200					
33 0 0 0785.7 0751.2 0281.7 0077.0 0077.1 0070.4 0077.3 0087.0 0016.2 0016.0 54 0 0 0786.0 0751.4 0282.0 0077.0 0077.1 0070.4 0077.5 0087.0 0016.2 0016.1 ac incurrent, see note on previous bage				6.0640	6 1820	0.4/00	0.7700		- 1400			
34 0 0 07466.0 0731.4 0282.0 00/7.0 00/7.1 00/0.4 007/.3 0057.0 0016.2 0016.1 as incurrent, see note on previous bage		• •	1 .6840	2 1640	6 1820	0.7700	1.700	0/00	- 1400	1.4900		0019.0
as incorrect, see note on previous bage		•	0.486.0	4 .1640	0.282.0	0.7700	0077.1	• .0/00	C . 1400	0.7900	019.3	0019.1
	es inco	Drrec	t. see n	ote on p	revious	oage						а

Table B-1. Printout of 01 for Run 13

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

PAGE: 0001

FACC SMALL COMMUNITY SOLAR EXPERIMENT DATE: 03/03/82

PRINT: 01R

Table B-1. (Cont'd)

OEE PERCENT	0013.1	1 . 100	0013.1	0013.1	1 6100	0013.1	0013.1	1 .6100	0013.1	0013.1	0013.1	1 . 6100	0012.1	0013.1	0013.1	0013.1		1 2100	1.2100	1.5700	1.6100		0013.1	0013.1	1.6100	0013.1	0013.1	0013.1	0013.1	1 2100	1 100	0013.1	1 . 6100			1 100	0013.1	0013.1	1		0013.1	0013.1	0013.1
DEP	0013.4	5 5100	4 . 5100	0015.4	100	0015.4	0015.7	0013. 3	4 1100	0015. 4	E 6100	4 6100	0015.4	0019.2	0015.4	0019. 7	1 100	013	E	0013.4	6100	1 100	0015. 4	0019. 3	0013.3	6 6100	0019.3	0015.6	0013.4		0015.8	0013.4	0015.6	4 100		0015.8	0015. 5	0015.3	0016.3		0019.1	0015.4	0015.3
EM6 PERCENT	0.683.0	5 2800	8 .5800	6 .5800	8 1800	1 2800	0084. 3	0083.2	9 .5800	2.000	\$ E800	0084.1	0083.3	9 .6800	6.6800	2 2000	A 1900	0082.6	2 .5800	9.6800	1.000	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0082.4	0083.1	0082.7	2 1800	0082 8	9.083.4	0084.3	4 2800	0082.5	0084.1	0084.1	CB00	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0082.8	0.6900	0084.0	0083.7		0084.3	0.4800	8 2800
ET6 PERCENT	0083. \$	8 0800	E 1800	0083.2	6.000	+ E800	9.6800	6 CB00	4 .CB00	4.6900	0083.3	0.83.1	E 1000	1 .5800	0083.2	0083.8	C . 5800	0.083.6	C .E800	C . C 800	9.083	- FB00	0083.7	\$ E800	6.000	F 1800	0063.5	0083.7	0083.1	E EBOO	0004 3	0083. 3	6 683	2 1800		0084.2	8 .0800	0083.2	0084.6		0082.7	0083.2	0083 2
E6 Kuh	0036. B	8.9600	8.9000	0036.8	8.9600	8.9000	8 9200	8.9000	0036. B	0036.8	8 9000	8.9200	8.9000	0036.8	0036.8	0036.8	8.9600	0036.8	8.9000	8.9600	8 9200	6 9000	6.9000	6.9600	4.9000	6 9000	6.9000	6.9600	6 9200	- WEDO	6.9000	6.9000	6.9600	6.9000		6 9000	6.9000	. 6 .9600	6.9000	0036 P	6.9000	6.9600	0037 0
8 J.	0013.5	9 6100	5 2100	\$ E100	6 6100	5 6100	0013.8	4 E100	6 6100	0013.5	¥ E100	1 2100	· E100	E E100	\$ E100	2 100	1 2100	1 5100	.E100	0013.5	E 100	6 E100	£ 100	\$ E100	+ E100	9 6100	A.E100	0013.7	6 6100	9 2100	6 2100	0013.5	2 100	100	01100	6 6100	.9 .6100	S .E100	E +100	1 2100	E 100	0013.5	A E100
PT6 KW	0013.6	8 2100	• 6100	C E100	C013 5	6 6100	9 6100	5 . 6100	5 6100	S E100	. E100	E	1 100	E E100	C 100	1 2100	- E100	9 6100	+ E100	¥ 2100	9 2100	5 6100	1 E100	0013.5	6 100	6 6100	9 6100	2 5100	E E100	8 2100	2 100	\$ E100	9 2100	100	6 5100	1 1100	8 0013 8	0013.4	0114 4	1 5100	0 6100	+ E100	4 5100
EM5 PERCENT	0022.5	1 6200	0022 7	0022 3	1 2200	0022 7	6 2200	0022 5	0022 7	0022 7	2200	0022 5	0022 5	• 2200	0022 5	0023 5	1 2200	0022 7	0022 B	0022 4	0022 8	1 0000	0 6200	6 2200	8 2200	8 2200	0022 9	0 6200	1 2200	1 1.000	9 2200	0022.2	0022 4	5 2200	1 2000	9 6200	€ E200	0022.4	8 0023 8		0022 1	0.022.7	8 2200
ET5 PERCENT	0023.0	0 2200	0.2300	0023.1	0023.0	0 2200	0023.0	1 6200	0 6200	1 2200	0023.0	0.5200	0023.1	0.5300	0.5200	0022 9	1	0023.1	0 6200	0023.1	0 2200	1 2200	0 6200	0023.0	0.223.0	0 2200	0.5200	0.23.0	2 2200	1 2200	0 6200	2 6200	0023 2	1 6200	1 1200	0023.0	9022.9	1. 5200	1 0023	0.5200	0 6200	0.23.0	6 2200
RLS WLS	0 0 0 0	00	00	0 0	0 0) C	0	0	ۍ د ه د	00	0	• •	•••	•	0 0	0		•••	•	0	00	0	0	0	•••	00	•	0 0	•		0	0	0	• •		0	0 0	•	•		0	•	0
TIME	12:00:00	12:00:02	12.00	12:00:05	12:00:06	12:00:08	12:00:03	12:00:10	12:00:11	12:00:13	12:00:14	12:00:15	12.00.17	12:00:18	12:00:19	12:00:20	12.00.01	12:00:23	12:00:24	12:00:25	200 29	BC 00 21	12:00:29	12:00:30	1E 00 21	EE 00 21	12:00:34	12:00:35	12:00:36	12 00 3B	12:00:39	12:00.40	12:00:41		12.00.44	12 00 45	12: 00: 46	12:00:47	12:00:48	12.00.30	12 00 21	12:00:52	12:00:53

ORIGINAL PAGE 19 OF, POOR QUALITY

PRINT 02 DESCRIPTION - PCA TEMPERATURES AND PRESSURES

Print		
No.	Parameter	Description
02L	RCSTP 1	Receiver shell temperature at location 1*
02L	RCSTP2	Receiver shell temperature at location 2*
02L ;	RC STP 3	Receiver shell temperature at location 3*
02L	RCSTP4	Receiver shell temperature at location 4*
02L	RCSTP 5	Receiver shell temperature at location 5*
02L	RCSTP6	Receiver shell temperature at location 6*
02L	RCO TT P	Receiver fluid outlet temperature
02L	TRINTP	Turbine inlet temperature
02L	TREXTP	Turbine exit temperature
021.	CNINTP	Condenser inlet temperature
02R	CNEXTP	Condenser exit temperature
02R	RLINTP	Regenerator liquid inlet temperature
02R	RLEXTP	Regenerator liquid exit temperature
02R	ALTT P**	Alternator temperature
02R	RCOTPR	Receiver outlet pressure
02R	TRINPR	Turbine inlet pressure
02R	TREXPR	Turbine exit pressure
02R	CNEXPR	Condenser exit pressure
02R	SYINPR	System (feed) pump inlet pressure
02R	SYOTPR	System (feed) pump exit pressure

*Locations of these thermocouples are defined in Figure 4-2.

******This thermocouple was inoperative for these tests.

Table B-2. Printout of 02 for Run 13

FACC BVALL COMMUNTY BOLAR EXPERIMENT "DATE: 03/03/92

PRINT: 02L

PAGE: 0001

REXTP CNINT	17.6 0172.	117.6 0173.0	917.6 0173.	11.4 0173.0	317.6 0173.	517.6 0173.	117.6 0173.5	318. 0 0173.	917.6 0173.0	318.0 0173.	17.6 0173	517. 6 0173. A	517.2 0173.	018.0 0173.	517.6 0173.	517.6 0173.	10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	117.6 0173.	518. 0 0173.	918.0 0173.	017 6 0173.6	518. 0 0174. C	917.6 0173.	518.0 0173.	518.0 0173.	518.0 0173.	318. 0 0173.	518.0 0173.	516.8 0173.	17.6 0173.	518. 0 0173.	518. 0 0173.	518 0 0173.	318. 4 0173.	518. 0 0173.	918. 0 0173.	117.6 0173.	918.0 0172.4	317.6 0174.5
YRINTP TH DEG F DG	0745.2 0	0741.6	0 9.6420	0741.6	0743.6 0	0743.6 0	0 4 24/0	0743.6 0	0743.6 0	0744.0	0745.2 0	0743.6 0	0743.6 0	0743.6	0743.6 0	0 9 6420	0.43.6	0743.6 0	0743.6 0	0741.6 0	0744.0	0743.6 0	0143.6 0	0741.6 0	0741.6 0	0143.6 0	0741.6 0	0741.6 0	0741.6 0	0.43.6	0741.6 0	0143.6 0	0.43.6	0741.6 0	0743.6 0	0 9 8.0	0741.6 0	0743.6 0	0143 4 05
RCOTTP DEG F	0730.4	0750.4	0150.4	0130.4	4.0220	0749.6	8.0270	0130.4	0748.0	0130.0	0130.4	0750.4	0130.4	0130.4	0750.4	0730.8	1.0670	0730.0	0749.6	0730.4	0730.4	0750.0	0749.6	0730.4	0750.0	0130.4	0720.0	0749.6	0749.6	0790.0	0749. 5	0730.0	0.750.0	0749.6	0750.0	0749.6	0749.6	0749. 6	0749 4
RCETP6 DE0 F	2.460	2.4600	2.4950	8 9450	2.4450	0394.2	2 9650	2.4600	0394. 2	4 .E4E0	2 4620	0394. 2	9 \$620	8 6450	+ .24E0	2.460	B FACO	2.4650	0394. 2	2.9600	2 4600	8.6450	0394.2	8 6460	2.4660	2.4950	2.4600	4 .E4E0	2 4620	2.460	0394. 6	8.660	2 4450	0394.2	5 ¥4E0	9.4450	2 9620	0.6450	2 1950
RCBTP5 DE0 F	0.589.0	0.9820	0.9820	0.9850	0589.4	0.989.0	0.7950	0.9820	0588.2	0.9850	0.589.0	0.989.0	0.989.0	0.989.0	0.987.0	0589.8	0.9850	0.587.0	0.587. 0	0.989.0	0.9850	0.589.0	0.589.0	02889.0	0589.4	0.989.0	0.7850	0.989.0	0.989.0	0.980	0.589.0	0.989.0	0 6850	0.6960	0.9850	0389.4	0289.0	0.989.0	0 0000
RCSTP4 DE0 F	0606.2	0,000	0607.8	0000	0607.0	0607.0	0608.2	0606.2	0606. 6	0909 2	0406 2	0606. 2	0606.2	0000	0606. 2	0606.2	7 9090	0607 0	0607.0	0606.6	0000 2	0606.2	0606. 2	0606.2	0606. 2	0404.2	0606.2	0606. 2	0407 0	0607 4	0606. 2	0606. 6	0606.6	0606. 6	0607.0	4 . 6090	0901 0	0902 8	0 2040
RCBTP3 DE0 F	0679.8	0679.8	0679.8	06779.8	0679.4	0679.8	0679.8	0679.8	0679.8	0679.8	0679 8	0679.8	0679.8	0679.8	0679.8	0679 8	0890	0679.8	0679. 4	0679.8	0679.0	0679 8	0679.4	0677.4	0679.4	0679.8	0678.2	0678. 6	0679.4	0679.4	0679.8	0679.4	0679 4	0680.2	0678.6	0679.4	0679	0679.4	1470
RCSTP2 DEC F	4 0175	0776.2	0777.8	4 6//0	0776.2	0776.2	B 1110	0777.8	0777.8	0776.2	0777 8	0777.8	B 1110	0776.2	0775.8	0777 B	8 1110	0775.8	0776.2	0777.B	0775 8	0779	0776	0775. 1	0776.2	0776.2	0776.2	0777. B	8 1110	0775.8	0777 B	0775.4	0777 8	0775.8	0777.8	0775.4	0776.2	0775 4	0775 4
RCBTP1 DE0 F	0789.0	0789.0	0789.0	0788.6	0789. 4	0789.0	0788.6	0788. 6	0788. 6	0789.0	0.789.0	0789.8	0788.6	0789.0	0.789.0	0789.4	4 . 48/0	0788.6	0789.0	0788.6	0789.0	0788.6	0789.0	0.787.0	0788.6	0789.0	0788.6	0789.4	0766 4	0787.0	0787.0	0788.6	0787 8	0787.0	0789.0	0788.2	0788.6	0786.6	0788 4
RLS WLS	0 0	00	•••		•	0	• •	00	0 0	00	0	0	00		0	0		00	о 0	00	0 0 0 0	0	0	• •	0	• •	00	0 0	• •	00	•	•	0 0	0	0	•	00	00	•
w	8	000	E0 :0	50	90 0	20	800	59	11 0	200		0: 15	91 0	1 0	61:0	0: 50		22	0: 24	52	22	0: 28	53	0.0	32		50.03	96:0	10.00	50	0+ :0			-	54:0	46			0. 50

Mar - La and

(

_
P
Cont
3-2.
ble

FACC SMALL COMMUNITY BOLAR EXPERIMENT DATE: 03/03/82

PRINT: 02R

PAGE: 0001

SYOTPR PSIA	0327. 4	1 10200	0.9280	0527.2	0528.8	0528.8	0328.8	- B250	4 10000		4 8250	0.528.0	0528.0	0328.0	0527. 4	0328.4	0327. 6				0328.4	0528.4	0528.4	0527.2	0528.4			0.226	0328.4	0320.4	0528. 9	0528.8			0328.8	0328.8	0527. 6	0327. 6	0527.6	1	1 1000	4 8050	0528.4	0.528.0	0529.2	0527.6	0528.0		
SVINGR PSIA	0027.8		0027.7	0027.8	0027.4	0027. 4	0027.7	0027.8	0027.5	- 1200	1200	0027.7	0027.8	0027.8	0027.7	0027.8	0027.				1 100	0027.8	0027.8	0027.8	0027.7	1.1200	1 1000	1000	0027.8	0027.7	0027. 9	0027. 6	0027.6	- Leve	CO27. 3	0027.3	0028.0	0027.8	0027. 5	1.1200		1000	0027.3	C027.3	0027.7	9.7500	0027. 9		
CNEXPR	1.1000		1000	0001.1	0001.1	1.1000	0001.1	1 1000	1.1000		1000	1 1000	0001.1	0001.1	0001.1	0001.1	1.1000				1000	0001.1	0001.1	0001.1	0001.1	1000			1 1000	0001.1	0001.1	1 1000	1.1000		0001	0001.1	0001.1	0001.1	1.1000	1.1000		1000	1000	1000	0001 1	0001.1	0001.1		
TREXPR	5 TOOO		1000	0001.9	0001. 5	0001. 5	0001.9	0001. 3	1000		1000	0001.5	0001. 5	0001. 5	0001 3	0001. 5	0001.5	1000			1000	0001.5	0001. 5	0001. 5	0001. 3	0001			0001 3	0001.9	0001. 5	0001.5	E 1000		6 1000	6 1000	0001.5	0001. 3	0001.5	6 1000		1000	000	0001 3	0001.5	0001 5	0001.5		
TAINPR	0410.8			0409.6	0413.2	0410.8	0410.8	0410.8	2.1140			0410.8	0410.4	C409. 6	0414.0	0410.4	0410.8	8 2040				0415.6	0410.8	0406.0	0412.4	0410.8			0409.2	0410.8	0410.8	0418.8	0413.6		0418.0	0419.6	0412.4	0412.4	0413.6	0410.8	8.0040			0418.8	0410.8	0409.6	0406.0		
RCOTPR	0494.0	0.4440	4 4440	0494.4	0494.4	0494. 4	0494.4	0494.4	4 4440	0.5440	0444 B	0494.8	0494.4	0494.8	0444.0	0492.0	0475.6	2.6440	0 1440		4 4640	444.4	0494.4	0494.4	0494.4	444.4	0.6440		0444 8	0494.8	0494.4	9 .640	0494.4	0.0440	4 4440	0493.6	0494.0	0494.4	0494.0	8.4640	0.5440		A 0940	0493.6	0493.2	8.444	0494.8		
ALTTP * DEO F	0056.6	0.000	0020	0036. 3	0056. 5	0056. 6	0036. 4	0026.6	4 9600		4 9500	0056.4	0056. 6	0056.2	0036.7	0026. 6	0036.6				4 4500	0056.6	0036.7	0036. 6	0036. 6	0000	1 1200		0036.6	0036.6	0056. 6	0036. 9	9 9600	0.000	0036.7	0036.8	0036.6	0036.7	0036.7	0026.8	9.9000	1 9600	1 1000	0036 7	0036.7	0036.6	0056.7		
RLEXTP DEG F	0380.4	· 8/00	8.8200	0277.6	4.8760	\$ BLEO	0376.8	0.378.0	8 8/60	8 8/50	A 8750	● B2E0	4 8460	\$ BLEO	0379. 2	0378. 4	· 8/20	B/EO		- B/20	4 9750	4 B/EO	0378.0	0378. 4	0379. 2	B/EO	8.9/50	4 9750	2 6460	2.9750	4 'ELEO	5 6/20	2 6/00		- B/EO	0379.2	\$ B160	2.9750	2 6460	2 4/20	- B/EO	- BLEC	A BYEN	2 6460	2 6/E0	0378. 4	4.8760		
KLINTP DEG F	0091.6	1400	1600	1 1600	2.1400	4 1600	1600	6 1600	C 1600	6 1400	1000	4 1600	5 1600	C 1600	0091.4	E 1600	E 1600	1600		1000	1000	0.1400	0041.0	0.1700	0041.0	0 1400	1 1400	1000	6 0600	6 0600	8.0700	6 0600	1 0600	0400	0600	0000 B	0040.6	0040.7	0000 9	4 0400	0400	1 0600	0600	6 0400	00.00	0600	0040.5	ve	
CNEXTP DE0 F	0082.8	8 2800	0082 7	90	0	00.2.6.	0082.6	0082.6	0082.6	1000	A 0000	0082.4	0082. 5	0082.7	0082.4	0082.2	0082.3	5 2800			0080	0082.3	0082.1	0082.1	0082.0	0082.1	1 2800		0.992	0082.3	0081.9	6 1800	0082.0		00H1 9	9.1900	0082.3	0081.8	0081.8	0.2800	8 1800	0.1001	DOB1 B	0081.9	0081.8	0081.4	0081.8	inoperatí	
Ĩ	•	0 0	0	• •	•	•	•	• •	• •		0	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		• •	0	•	•	0	• •			0	• •	•	0	0		• •	0	•	•	0	0 0	0 0	,	, c	• •	• •	0	0	uple	
RLB	0	•	0	• •	•	•	•	0	0 0	0 0	0	•	•	•	•	0	0	0 0		• •	0	0	•	•	0	0 0	0 0	• •	0	• •	•	•	6 0	0 0	0	0	0	•	0	0 0	0 0	2 0	0	0	0	0	•	000	
TINE	12:00:00		12.00.03	12:00:04	12:00:05	12:00:06	12:00:07	12:00:08	60:00:21			12:00:14	12:00:15	12:00:16	12:00:17	12:00:18	12:00:19				- 00 - CT	12:00:25	12:00:26	12:00:27	12:00:28	12:00:21			12 00 33	12:00:34	12:00:35	12:00:36	12:00:31		00 10	12:00:41	12:00:42	12:00:43	12:00:44	12:00:43	00 21		00.4	12:00:30	12 00 51	12:00:52	12:00:53	* Therm	

,

-

Ber

PRINT 03 DESCRIPTION - OTHER PCA MEASUREMENTS

Print		
No.	Parameter	Description
03L	RCOT PR*	Receiver outlet pressure
03L	RCOTTP*	Receiver fluid outlet temp.
03L	TRVLPC	Vapor valve position command
03L	TRVLP	Vapor valve position
03L	TDAC1	Test channel (= RCOTPR)
03L	TDAC2	Test channel (= TRVLP)
03L	RCSTP5*	Receiver shell temp., location 5
03L	TURPM	Turbine (alternator) speed
03L	REOTCR**	Rectifier output current
03L	RESLVL	Reservoir fluid level
03R	DELT	Shell temp. rise in 100 sec.
03R	TCURR	Current average shell temp.
03R	ADCAL	A/D calibration check signal
03R	REOTVT**	Rectifier output voltage
03R	SETP	Setpoint used in control of
		receiver outlet temp.
03R	PPMODS	RCIA/PCA modes***
03R	DSEVT 1	RCIA discrete events No. 1***
03R	RCSTP3*	Receiver shell temp., location 3
03R	RTDRS1	Receiver shell temp. using RTD
		No. 1 (location 3, temp. No. 7)
03R	RTDRS2	Receiver shell temp. using RTD

*Repeated from Print 02.

** Unreliable sensor.

***See TR-SCSE-027E (Ref. B-2) for a definition of these modes and events.

A Los and a los

Table B-3. Printout of 03 for Run 13

1000	EBLVL	078.2	0 B/0	078.3	078.1	077.7	1 820	077.8	077.0	077.4	077.7		074.7	077.4	077.7	077.0	017.0	6.110			078.8	077.3	077.1	210	a	077.3	077.1	077. 3		0.76	077.0	077.8	1.10		077.2	077.0	077. 4	077.7	076. 7		0 420	076.9	076.6	077.1	077 2	0.77.0	1.110
PAOE:					•	•				•	~				•	•	-					•	-				~					-				•		-					0	•	-	~	
	REDTCI	0029.		0030	0029.	0000	6200	0000	6200	0029.	0030		8000	0020	0030	0020	6005				0020	0030	0050	6200	6200	0050	6200	-6200			0028	0029	0000		0029	0000	0024	0029.				0000	0029.	0030	0029		- 97G
	TURPH KRPH	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	B. / 100	4 100	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0047.8	0047.6	1000	4 100	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0.47.6	0047.8	0047.6	04/.00	0047.8	0047.8	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0.1400		0047.8	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0.100
	RCBTP5 DE0 F	0289.0	0.7950	0289.0	0589.0	0289.0	0.0000 A	0289.0	0389.0	0589.0	0568.2	0289.0		0.689.0	0289. 0	0.589. 0	0289.0	0289.0	8 . 4900		0289.0	0289.0	0289.0	0289.0	0.9850	0289.0	0289.0	0588.2	0589.4	0.5850	0289.0	0389.0	0.6850	0.7850	0289.0	0289.0	0.589.0	0392.2	0.7850	0.7960	1 1900	0.989.0	0.989.0	0.587. 0	0.7850	0.685.0	0.7850
ER IMENT	TDAC2	0047.3	0047.2	0047.4	0047.4	0047.4	0047.4	0047.3	0047.3	0047.6	0047.4	1 100	0047.4	0047.4	0047.3	0047.6	0047.4	4 . 1400	0046.6	4 1400	0047.6	0047.3	0047.6	0047.4	0047.1	0047.3	0047.0	0047.4	0047.6	4 1400	0047.4	0048.0	0047.4	100	0047.7	CO47.8	0047.4	0047.6	4.7400	1.1000	4 1400	0047.4	0047.3	0047.7	0047.4	0047.2	0.1400
. 90LAR EXP 13/82	TDAC1	0494.0	0444.0	4.44	0494. 4	0494.4	4444	444	0494. 4	0493.6	9 6440	0.4940	DATA B	0494.4	0474.8	0494.0	0492.0	0495.6	2.6440	A APAG	0494.0	0494.4	0494.4	0494.4	0494.4	0494.4	0495. 6	0494.4	0494.8	8 4440	444.4	9.6493. 6	0495.4	0.6440	4 4640	0493.6	0494.0	0494. 4	0494.0	8.4440		4 4640	0492.4	0493.6	0493.2	8 4640	0444 B
COMMULTY DATE: 03/0	TRULP	0047.3	0047.0	0047.4	0047.4	0047.4	0047.4	0047.3	0047.3	0047. 6	0047.	1400	4 7400	0647.4	0047.3	0047.6	0047.4	1.100	0000		0047.6	0047. 3	0047.6	0047.4	0047.1	6 7400	0047.0	0047.4	0047.6	1000	0047.4	0048.0	0047.4	1400	0047.7	0047.8	0047.4	0047.6	0047.4	4.7400		4 7400	0047. 3	0047.7	0047.4	0047.2	0.47.0
FACC SMALL	TRVLPC	0048.3	0048.1	0048.3	0048.3	0048.3	0048.3	0048.1	0048.0	0048.4	0047.8	E .8400	0048 4	0048.2	0048.3	0048.4	0048.2	0048.1	E		0048.4	CO48. 0	0048.1	0048.1	0048.5	0.48.00	0048.1	0048.1	0048.3		0048.1	0048.2	0048.1	0048.0	0048.1	0048.3	0048.4	0049.1	0048.1	0047.9		0048.00	0049.2	0048.1	0048.2	8.7400	0.48.0
	RCOTTP DEG F	0750.4	0.750.4	0750.4	0750.4	0750.4	0150.4	0750.8	0749.6	0750.4	0748.0	0/20.0	0220	0750.4	0750.4	0750.4	0750.4	0150.4	8.06/0		0750.0	0749.6	0750.4	0150.4	0750.4	0749.6	0750.0	0750.4	0730.0	10020	0750.0	0749.6	0749.6	0/20.4	0749.6	0750.0	0749.6	0750.0	0745.6	0.220.0	1 14/0	0749 6	0749.6	0749.6	0751.6	0749.2	0/44.0
	RCOTPR PBIA	0494.0	0.474.0	0494.4	0494. 4	0494.4	4 4640	4 440	0494.4	0493.6	0493.6	0.4440	0494 8	0494.4	0494.8	0494.0	0492.0	0495.6	2.0440		0494.0	0494.4	0494.4	0494.4	0494.4	0494.4	0495.6	0494.4	0494.8	8 4440	0494.4	0493.6	0494.4	9 .0440	0494.4	0493.6	0494.0	0494.4	0494.0	9444.8		444	0492.4	0493.6	0493. 2	0494.8	0494.8
	ML8	00	• •	• •	•	0	00	0	0	•	0	0 0	••	0	•	•	0	0 0	00	00	0	•	•	0 0	00	c	Q	0	0 0	0 0	0	•	0	0 0	• •	0	•	0	0	0 0	0 0	• •	• •	•	•	• •	D
031.	BLS	0	00	• •	•	•	00	00	•	•	• •	0 0	•••	0	•	•	•	•	•		0	•	•	•	• •	••	•	•	0 0		0	0	•	0 0	0	•	•	•	0	•			0	•	•	• •	Ģ
PRINT:	TIME	12:00:00	12:00:02	12:00:03	12: 00: 04	12: 00: 03	12:00:04	12:00:08	12:00:09	12:00:10	12:00:11		12:00:14	12:00:15	12:00:16	12:00:17	12: 00: 18	12:00:19		00.01	12:00:23	12:00:24	12:00:25	12:00:26	12:00:21	12:00:29	12:00:30	12:00:31	12:00:32	12.00.31	12:00:33	12:00:36	12: 00: 37	12:00:38	12:00:40	12:00:41	12: 00: 42	12: 00: 43	12: 00: 44	12:00:45		12:00:48	12: 00: 49	12: 00: 50	12:00:51	12:00:52	12: 00: 33

「「「「山田大田山市の

-
_
- · ·
÷
-
=
0
0
_
-
.
ė.
8-3.
B-3.
B-3.
e B-3.
Le B-3.
le B-3.
ble B-3.
able B-3.
able B-3.

FACC SMALL COMMUNITY BOLAR EXPERIMENT DATE: 03/03/82

PAGE: 0001

NC NC
ž
Ľ

RTDR82	DEO F	8.2490	1490	0690	0691.2	0691.4	0692.0	0692.0	0.5700	1401		0.692.0	0691.6	0692.0	0692.0	0690.4	0691.6	1400				0691.2	0694.0	0690.4	0691. 6	0691. 6	0692.0	1040	0691.6	0690.8	0691. 6	0.1990	0691.6	0690.8	0691.6	0691.6		0691.6	0690.8	0690.8	0691.6	0690	0640	C691.2	0690.8	4 0690 H	0690
RTDR81	DEO F	0 2490		0.640	0691.6	0672.0	0692.0	0.5490	0672.0			0691.6	0691.6	0492.0	C693. 2	0692.0	0692.0	0.5760	0.1400			4 2690	0691.6	0691.2	0691.6	0691.6	0691.6		0691.6	0691.6	0691. 2	0.1490	0691.2	0691.2	0691. 6	0691.6		0691.2	0691.2	0691.6	0691.2	2 1490	0491.2	0691.2	0691.6	2 1490	4 0690
ACBTP3	DEO F	0679.8	8.440	0679.8	0679.8	0679.8	0679. 4	0679.8	8 6/90			0679.8	0.679.0	0679.8	0679.8	0679.8	0679.8	8.4/90	8.440		0474	0679.8	0679.4	0679.8	0679.0	0679. 4	9679.8	1 9/90	0677.4	0679.4	0679.8	8.4/90	0678.6	0479.4	0679.0	4 .6290	0 1/00	0679.4	0679. 4	0680.2	0678.6	4.6490	0679	0679.4	0679.4	0679.8	0679.4
DBEVT1	EVENTS	00000110	01100000	00000110	00000110	00000110	000000110	00000110	01100000			000000110	00000110	00000110	00000110	00000110	00000110	01100000	01100000			00000110	00000110	00000110	000000110	000000110	00000110		00000110	00000110	00000110	01100000	00000110	00000110	00000110	000000110		00000110	00000110	00000110	00000110	01100000		00000110	00000110	000000110	0110
RPMODB	EVENTS	01000000	01000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000010	0000010	00000010	00000010	00000010			00000010	00000010	00000010	00000010	00000010	00000010	01000000	01000000			0000000	00000010	00000010	00000010	00000010	00000010		00000010	00000010	00000010	01000000	01000000	00000010	00000010	00000010		00000010	00000010	00000010	00000010	00000010		00000010	00000010	00000010	0100000
BETP	DEOB	0220 3	0.0460	0200 3	0290.3	0250.3	0240.3	0220 3	0.0660			0240.3	0290.3	0250.3	0290.3	0290.3	0660	0460				E 0660	C 0660	0250.3	0290.3	0240.3	0220.3	2 0460	0250 3	0290.3	0390. 3	0240.3	0.000	0290.3	0390.3	0220.3	5 0460		0290.3	0390. 3	0250.3	E .0460	5 0460	0250.3	0390. 3	0240.3	
REDTVT	VOL.TB	0468.8		0483.6	0464. 4	0464.0	0474.0	0466.4	0480.0			0466.4	0470.0	0474.0	0468.4	0462.0	0476.4	0460.0	9.1/40	0 1010		0.480.0	0470.4	0475.2	0475.2	0474.0	0471.6	- 1740	0476.0	0466.0	0489.2	0485.6	0466.4	0460.4	0470.0	0463.6	0 7790	0477 2	0461.2	0455.2	0462.4	0456.4	0440	0472.0	0456.8	0476.8	4444
ADCAL		0155.6	0.001		0155.6	0155.5	0155.8	1	0139.6	1 110		0135.1	0155.6	0155.6	0155.6	0155.6	0135.6	0123.6	9.0010				0155.6	0155.6	0155. 6	0155.6	0155.8		0133.6	0155.6	0155.6	0133.6	0133.6	0133.6	0155.1	0155.6		9 122 0	0155.6	0155.6	0155.6	0133.6		0155.6	0155.6	0155.1	
TCURR	DEO F	0610.0	6 .B090	0,000	0609.7	0609.1	6.8090	0609.1	0 6090	1.0000		4 6090	0608. 9	0609.1	4 . 6090	0607.3	0609. 4	+ .6090	6.9090	1 1000	10070	4 6040	0609.1	0607.1	0609.4	0.6090	6.9060	0.400	0608.9	0608.7	0608. 9	0.6090	0,000	0 6090	0609. 4	0609.3	1 . 1000	0000	0609.1	0607. 3	0609.1	E 6090	1 8090	0609.1	0608. 9	6.8080	
DELT	DE03	-0008.2		-0010.6	-0011.2	-0012.0	-0012.6	-0013.0	0.100-			-0012.6	-0013.0	-0013.0	-0012.8	-0012. 4	-0012.0	0.1100-	0.1100-			-0010	-0010.2	-0009. 8	-0009. 6	-0009.8	-0009.8		-0010.6	-0010.8	-0010.8	-0100-	1100-	-0011.2	-0011.2	-0011.4		-0011.4	-0011.0	-0010.6	-0010.2	- 6000-	- 6000-	-0009. 4	-0009. 6	-0009.8	
MLB		0		••	0	•	0	0	0 0	0 0	•	• •	0	•	•	•	•	0 0	0 0		•	• •	• •	0	•	•	0 0		0	•	0	0 0	00	0	0	0 0	•	• •	• •	0	•	0 0		0	0	• •	• •
RLE		0	0 0	0	0	•	0	•	0 0			0	0	0	•	•	0	0 0	0 0		00	0	0	0	•	•	0 0		0	0	•	0 0	00	• •	•	0 0		• •	0	•	•	0 0	0 0	0	•	• •	20
TIME		12: 00: 00		12:00:03	12:00:04	12:00:05	12:00:06	12: 00: 07	80:00:21			12.00.12	12:00:13	12:00:14	12:00:15	12:00:16	12: 00: 17	12:00:18	41 :00 :21			12.00.21	12:00:24	12:00:25	12:00:26	12:00:27	12:00:28		12:00:31	12:00:32	12: 00: 33	12:00	12:00:36	12:00:37	12:00:38	12:00:39		12:00:42	12:00:43	12:00:44	12: 00: 45	12:00:46	12:00:48	12:00:49	12:00:50	12:00:51	

1 Partie

and the second second

W. H.

PRINT 04 DESCRIPTION - PCS EVENTS (DISCRETES)

Print No.	Parameter*	Description
04L	PPMOD S**	RCIA/PCA modes
04L	FLTDAT	PCA fault summary
04L	DSCMD1	RCIA discrete commands No. 1
04L	D SCMD 2	RCIA discrete commands No. 2
04L	DSEVT 1**	RCIA discrete events No. 1
04L	DSEVT 2	RCIA discrete events No. 2
04L	PCAFL1	PCA faults No. 1
04L	PCAFL2	PCA faults No. 1
04L	RCFLTS	RCIA faults
04L	CHN/3RR	Analog error channel No.
04R	RCIACD	RCIA discrete commands No. 1
04R	RCIAC2	RCIA discrete commands No. 2
04R	ETSEV1	ETS discrete events No. 1
04R	ETSEV 2	ETS discrete events No. 2 (not used)

*These parameters are defined in TR-SCSE-027E (Ref. B-2) or Ref. B-3. **Repeated from Print 03R. .

していいのの湯が

「日日の一世間」

Table B-4. Frintout of 04 for Run 13

FACC BHALL COMMUNITY BOLAR EXPERIMENT DATE: 03/03/82

04L RLB	MLB	RPMODB	FLTDAT EVENTS	DSCMD1 EVENTB	DBCMD2 DBCMD2 EVENT8	DBEVT1 EVENT8	DBEVT2 EVENT8	PCAFL1. EVENTB	PCAFL2 EVENT8	PAG RCFLTB EVENT8	E: 0001 CHNERR
0,0		00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	01100000	010111000	00000000	00000000	0000000	0000
•		00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	00000110	01011100	00000000	00000000	0000000	0000
0 0		00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	00000110	01011100	00000000	00000000	00000000	0.000
0		00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	00000110	01011100	00000000			
0		00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	000000110	00111010	00000000	00000000	00000000	0.0000
• •		00000010			20001001	00000110	01011100	00000000	00000000	00000000	0000
0 0		0100000	00000000	11110110	20001001	00000110	01011100	000000000	00000000	00000000	
0		00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	00000110	010111000	00000000	0000000		
0		00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	000000110	00111010	00000000	0000000	00000000	0.0000
0 0		01000000		11110110	20001001	00000110	01011100	00000000	00000000	00000000	0000
0		00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	00000110	01011100	00000000	00000000	0000000	
0		00000010	00000600	11110110	20001001	00000110	01011100	0000000			
•	-	00000010	00000000	11110110	20001002	01100000	00111010			00000000	0000
0	-	00000010	00000000	01101111			01011000	00000000	00000000	00000000	0.0000
	~ ~	00000010		1110110	20001001	00000110	01011100	00000000	0000000	00000000	0000
		00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	00000110	01011100	00000000	0000000	00000000	
		00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	00000110	01011100	00000000	00000000		
-	~	00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	01100000	00111010	00000000	0000000	0000000	0.0000
-	~	00000010	00000000		10010002	000000110	01011100	00000000	00000000	0000000	0000
		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000	11110110	20001001	00000110	01011100	00000000	00000000	00000000	0000
	. 0	00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	00000110	01011100	00000000			0000
	0	00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	01011000	00000000	00000000	00000000	00000
		01000000	00000000		2001001001	00000110	01011100	00000000	00000000	00000000	0.000
				11110110	20001001	000000110	01011100	00000000	00000000	00000000	0000
		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000	11110110	20001001	00000110	01011100	00000000	00000000	00000000	
	0	00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	00000110	010111000	00000000			0.000
	•	00000010	00000000	11110110	2001001000	01100000		0000000	00000000	00000000	0.0000
	0 0	01000000	00000000		20001001	00000110	01011100	00000000	00000000	00000000	0000
		00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	00000110	01011100	00000000	00000000	00000000	
	0	00000010	000000000	11110110	20001001	00000110	01011100	00000000	00000000		
	0	00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	000000110	00111010			00000000	0.0000
	0	00000010	00000000		20001001	000000110	01011100	00000000	00000000	00000000	0.0000
					20001001	000000110	01011100	00000000	0000000	00000000	0000
			0000000	11110110	20001001	00000110	01011100	00000000	00000000	00000000	
	0	00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	00000110	01011100	00000000	00000000		
	0	00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	000000110	01011100	00000000			
	0	00000010	0000000	11110110	20001001	00000110	00111010			0000000	0.0000
	0	00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	01100000	00111010			00000000	0.0000
	0	00000010	00000000	01101111	10010002		01011100	00000000	00000000	00000000	0000
	0	01000000	00000000		20001001	01100000	01011100	00000000	00000000	00000000	0000
	0 0	00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	00000110	01011100	00000000	00000000	0000000	
	00	00000010	0000000	11110110	20001001	00000110	01011100	00000000	00000000	~~~~~~	
	0	00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	00000110	01011100	00000000			0000
	. ~	00000010	00000000	11110110	20001001	00000110	010111000	0000000		~~~~~	

V. M. O.

Table B-4. (Cont'd) Facc BMALL COMMUNITY BOLAR EXPERIMENT DATE: 03/03/82

PRINT: 04R

PADE: 0001

ETSEV2 EVENTB		
ETBEV1 EVENTS		
RC I AC2 EVENTB		
RC I ACD EVENTS		
ML8		
RLB		
TINE	44444444444444444444444444444444444444	

Print No.	Parameter	Description
05L	INDCAM	Inverter input current (dc)
05L	INOTP1*	Inverter output voltage. Phase 1 (ac)
05L	INOTP 2*	Inverter output voltage, Phase 2 (ac)
05L	INOTP3*	Inverter output voltage, Phase 3 (ac)
05L	INVA1	Inverter output current, Phase 1 (ac)
05L	INVA2	Inverter output current, Phase 2 (ac)
05L	INVA3	Inverter output current, Phase 3 (ac)
05L	INVPF1*	Inverter output power factor, Phase 1
05L	ETSEV1**	ETS discrete events No. 1
05R	PYRHL4***	Local Eppley pyrheliometer reading
05R	AMBTMP	Ambient temperature (from weather station)
05R	ADCAL****	A/D calibration check signal
05R	ALTVL 1	Alternator voltage Phase 1
05R	ALTVL2	Alternator voltage, Phase 2
05R	ALTVL 3	Alternator voltage, Phase 3

*Valid only when inverter connected to the grid. Final sensor calibration completed after these tests were completed.

**Repeated from Print 04R.

***Repeated from Print OlL; same as PM1.

****Repeated from print 03R.

13	
Run	
for	
05	
of	
Printout	
B-5.	
[able	

FACC SMALL COMMUNITY BOLAR EXPERIMENT

PRINT: 05L					DATE: 03/03	1/82				<.	0E: 0001
-				*	*	*				*	
TING	3 M 8	INDCAN	VOLTS	INDTP1	VOLT8	VOLT8	INVAI	INVA2 AMPB	BUN	INVPF1	ET8EV1 EVENT8
12:00:00	••	0032.2	0203.2	0001.0	0000	0004.2	6 0023	0024.7	0024.5	-0086. 5	/01101
			1.0000	C 1000	0.0000	1.0000				19900-	
12:00:03	0	0032.3	0498.6	0000	4.0000	0.0000	0024.0	1 1000	0024.8	-0088 P	/01101
12: 00: 04 0	•	9.1E00	0503.7	0002.4	0.0000	0000	0024.8	0023. 6	0024.7	-0086. 9	/01101
12:00:05	•	0032.1	0498.4	0002.6	0000	0000	0024.4	0024. 6	0024.0	-0084. 4	/011011
90:00	•	E .2200	0498.9	0000	0.000	E .1000	0024.7	0023.6	6.9200	-0087.8	110110/
			8 . 4440	1.0000	0.000				A	-	
12:00:09	0	4 2200	1 6690	0000	0.0000	E 1000	0024.7	6 2200	00213	- 0001-	
12:00:10	0	0032.2	0301.3	0002 1	0000	0.0000	0024	0024.6	0024.0	-0086.3	/011011
12: 00: 11 0	•	0032.3	0499.6	E .E000	0.0000	00000	0024.3	0023.8	0025.1	-0087.1	110110/
12:00:12 0	•	4 .5500	0501.8	0000	0.0000	0000.1	0024.7	0023.6	0024.9	-0087.8	/011011
12: 00: 13 0	•	0032.4	0497.9	0000.4	0.0000	0001.9	0024.1	0024.7	0024.4	-0088. 5	/011011
12:00:14	•	0032.0	0502.8	0000	00000	9.000	0024.0	0024.2	0024.8	-0087. 9	/011011
12:00:15	•	0032.0	0498.6	9000	2 .000	0001.4	0024.9	0023.7	0024.4	-0086.3	1101:0/
12:00:16		0032.5	4 1440	1000	0.0000	0000	1 1200	0023.8	0025.2	-0087.0	110110/
		5.2500	9 8640	9.1000	0.000	1000		- E200	0.029.0	100-	110110/
			0.1440		0.000	1.0000		4.5500			
12:00:22	0	0032 8	0200	0000		0001	0024 8	8 2200	0.000	-0087 7	/0110/
12.00.23		4 0000	0201 6	0000		1000		0 2000	1 1000	-OORB	/0110/
12:00:24	0	0032.2	0499.8	0000	0000	0001.7	6 0023	0024.7	0024.3	-0088.6	/011011
12: 00: 25 0	•	0032.0	0303. 0	9 . 6000	0003. 5	0001.3	0024. 5	0023.6	0025.0	-0087.1	/011011
12:00:26 0	•	0032. 3	0.503.0	0000 8	0000 1	0000	0024.0	0024.8	0024.4	-0086.0	/011011
12:00:27 0	•	0032.3	0504.2	0000	0000 1	0001.3	0024.0	0024. 5	0024.3	-0086.4	/011011
12: 00: 28	•	0032.3	0200.8	0000	0000	0001.0	0025.0	0024.1	0024.0	-0088.6	/011011
12:00:29	0	0032.4	0.9050	0001.1	0000	0000	0024.4	0024.6	1.100	6.9800-	110110/
12:00:30	0 0	0.2500	0.000	1000	0000	0.000	2.4200	8.6200	0023.0	-/200-	
		1.2000	0.4000	- 0000	1000	• • •					
			1440 A			0.000	4 1000	B			
12:00:34	00		1 0050				0.004	0.000			110110/
12:00:35	0	9 2200	02020	0001.9	0000	0000	0024	0024	0023 0	0080.3	110110/
12: 00: 36 0	0	0032.1	0497.4	0.000	0.0000	0001.0	0025.0	0024.2	6.0023. 9	-0036. 2	/011011
12:00:37 0	•	0032.2	0200.3	0001.9	0.0000	0000	6.E200	0024.7	CO24. 5	-0084.4	10110/
12:00:38	•	0032. 6	0504.2	0000.7	0000	0.000	0024.2	0024.1	0023.1	-0087.1	/011011
12: 00: 39	•	E . EE00	2.503.7	0001.3	0000	0001.7	0023.4	0024 2	0024. 3	9.088.0	10110/
	0 0	2 2000	+ B6+0	1 2000	0.000	0000					
12:00:41			0.0000	0.0000			1000	8 9200	0024.3	0000	10110/
12:00:43	0	4 2200	0499.6	0000 8	00000	0000	0024.3	0023.8	0023.1	-0087.1	/01101
12: 00: 44 0	0	0031.7	0200.8	0002.4	0.0000	0000	0024. 6	0023.4	0024.2	-0088.4	/011011
12:00:45	•	CO33. 2	0503.2	0005.4	0000 1	0000 2	0024. 6	0025.0	0024. 5	-0088. 5	/011011
12:00:46 0	•	0032.5	0504. 5	E .E000	0001.3	0000	0025.1	0024.3	0024.1	-0086.0	/011011
12: 00: 47 0	•	0032.1	0498.9	0002.0	0000	0000.7	0025.0	0024.2	6.6200	-0086.4	/011011
12:00:48	••	6.0033.9	0501.8	0002.1	0000	0001.0	0024. 9	0024. 5	0025.8	-0087.0	110110/
12:00:49	•	E 2200	0202.8	E 1000	0000	0000	0.023	4.6500	0.4200	9 1990	110110/
12:00:50	•	0032.2	4 . 1640	0000	0001.0	0000	P.500	0.4200	0054.0	10000-	110110/
		C 1500	4 1940	1000	1000	0.000					
12:00:53	•••	0032.1	6 498.9	0001.6	0000	0000	0024. 5	0024.5	0024.0	-0086.3	/011011
til and the	1										
MOL VALLE	IOI	Cnese Les	SLS								

-

Table B-5. (Cont'd)

FACC SMALL COMMUNITY BOLAR EXPERIMENT DATE: 03/03/82

PAGE: 0001

	ALTVL3 VOLTB	0238.0	0238.6	- BC20	0238.2	023B. 4	0238.2	0238.4	+ · · · · · · · ·	A BECO	4 0238	0238.4	0238.4	0238.2		A 9520	0 8020	0238.0	0238.0	0.238.0	0238.0	0238.4	0.239.0	0238.2	0238.2	0238.4	0238.2	0238.2	- AC20	0238.2	0238.2	0238.4	0238.4	- BC20	0.9550	4 .8620	0238.8	2.9238.2		0028	0237.8	0238.6	0238.4	0238.4	0238.8	0238.2	1	0638. 4,
/85	ALTVL2 VOLTB	0236.0	0236.4	0520	0236. 2	0236. 6	0236.2	0236.2	0236.2	2.4500	0236.2	0236. 2	0236.4	(236. 2	0000	8.6520	6 92.60	0233.6	0234.8	0236.0	0235. 6	0235. 6	0236.2	0236.6	0236. 2	0236.0	0236.2	0236.2	00200	0.236.0	0236. 6	0236.2	0236. 2	0.0530	0235.4	0236. 4	0236. 6	0236.2	1 10000	0.220.0	0236.4	0236. 6	0236. 6	0236. 2	0236. 6	0236. 2	0.356.0	0530.0
ATE: 03/03	ALTVL1 VOLTS	0236.4	0237.4	C 1820	0237.2	0237.4	0237.4	1.100	8.7520	6 1520	4 .7520	0237.6	0237.2	0237.4	2 /620	0.1650	F 10:00	4 .7520	0236.8	4.7530	4 .7620	0237.4	2 . 1620	0237.2	4.7520	1237. 4	4 . 1020	0237.2	9.1620	¥ 1620	0237.2	4.7520	0237.4	2 1520	0236.4	0237.4	0237.8	0237.2		1 1020	0236.8	0237.4	9237.4	0237.4	0237.6	0237.4	· 1620	0.1520
-	ADCAL	0155.6	0155.6	9 2210	0155.6	0155. 5	0155.8	1 2210	0133.6	7 2210	0155.6	0155.1	0155.6	0155.6	0.0010	0.0010		0133.6	0155.6	0155.6	0:35.6	0155.6	0133.6	0155.6	0133.6	0155.8	0133.6	0155.1	9.0010	0155.6	0135. 6	0135.6	0155.6		0133.6	0135.6	0155.6	0135.6			0155.6	0155.6	0155.6	0155.6	9123.6	0155.1	0100.0	0.0010
	AMBTMP DEO F	0034. 5	0054.5		0054.5	0054. 5	0034. 5	0034.3	0024. 3		6.4600	0054.5	0054.5	6 1600		C	E 9500	0054.5	0054. 5	0054.5	0034. 5	0054.5	0024.0	5 100	0054. 5	0054. 5	0054. 5	0024.5	C .+CDO	6 VE00	0034.3	0054. 5	0034.3		0034. 3	0054. 5	0054. 5	0034.3		1 1000	0034.5	0054. 5	0054. 5	0054. 5	0024. 5	0055. 0	0033.0	0.6600
	PVRHL4	0982.8	0982.5	8 2860	0982. 8	0982. 5	0982. 5	8.2840	5 EB40	1.0800	0982.8	0982.8	0982. 5	0983.1	0.7840	8.2840	0983 1	0982.8	0982.8	0982.8	1 .6840	0982.8	1 . 5960	0983.4	C .E840	7.0983.7	4 .683	6.6840	0.4240	0.4840	0983.7	1 .6840	0983.7	2 . 6840	0984. 6	0984.9	0984.9	0984.9		0.084 0	6820	0985.7	4.0985.4	0985. 4	0985.2	0985.2	4 .0480	1.0480
¥	RLG WLS	0 0	•		•••	0 0	•	•	•		, o	0	•	•				0	0	0 0	0	•	•••	•••	0	• •	•	•		00	0	0 0	•		0	0 0	•	••			, o	0	•	0 0	•	0 (0 (•	•
PRINT: 05	TINE	12:00:00	12:00:01		12:00:04	12:00:05	12: 00: 06	12:00:07	80:00:01		12:00:11	12:00:12	12:00:13	12: 00: 14	CI :00 :21	12:00:10	12.00.18	12:00:19	12:00:20	12:00:21	12:00:22	12: 00: 23	12:00:21	12:00:26	15.00.27	12:00:28	12:00:29	12:00:30	15:00:21	EE 00.21	12:00:34	12:00:35	12:00:36	15:00:31	12:00:39	12:00:40	12:00:41	12:00:42		12.00.45	12:00:46	12:00:47	12:00:48	12: 00: 49	12:00:50	12:00:51	12:00:21	14: 00: 31

ŀ

この時間で

ŧ

PRINT 06 DESCRIPTION - WEATHER DATA

No.	Parameter	Description
06L	MVREF	Reference signal value
06L	AMBTP*	Ambient temperature
06L	WINDSP	Wind speed
06L	WINDIR	Wind direction $(0^{\circ} = North 270^{\circ} = West)$
06L	DEWPT	Dew point temperature
06L	PYRHL 1	Pyrheliometer No. 1 (Kendall)
06L	PYRHL 2	Pyrheliometer No. 2 (Kendall)
06L	PYRNOM	Pyranometer output
06L	BARPR	Barometric pressure
06L	PYRHL4***	Local Eppley pyrheliometer reading
		Purchalizzator No. 3 (Faploy)
OOR	FINES	Fyrnellometer No. 5 (Eppley)
OPK	QCALC****	Correlation for input power based on thermocouple readings; ((PCSTPI-PCSTP2) Y factor)
		((RUSIFI-RUSIFZ) A TACTOR)

*Repeated from Print 5R.

**Repeated from Print OlL and O5R.

***Repeated from Print OlL; same as PM1.

****Not Calibrated for TBC; results for these tests are invalid.

Table B-6. Printout of 06 for Run 13

FACC SMALL COMMUNTY BOLAR EXPERIMENT DATE: 03/03/82

PRINT: 061.

PAGE: 0001

H-H-H	0982.	0982	2860	0982	0982.	2860	2860	2860	6983	0982.	2860	2860	2840	1000	2860	6963	0982.	0983.	0982	C860	2840			2840	E840	.E860	.E840		1860		6860	C840	5860			0984.	0584	0284	1840		5860	5860	6860	6860	10800	6860
£																					n																		ne							
IN I	0027	0027	200	0020	0027	005			0027	0027	002	005	200		600	005	0027	0027	0020	005	200	1200		200	0027	0027	0027	005	6000		0027	0021	1200		0027	0027	0027	0057			200	0027	0027	6200	200	0027
HCNNDH M-H-H	0623.0	0823.0	0823.0	0823.0	0853. 0	0823.0	0.5580		0823. 0	0823.0	0823.0	0853.0	0.5590		0 2280	0 6833.0	0823.0	0853.0	0.5580	0823.0	0 .5580	0823.0		0 6530	0853.0	0823.0	0833.0	0823.0	0823.0		0823.0	0823.0	0853.0	0.5580	0823.0	0.5590	0823.0	0653.0	0623.0		0833.0	0823.0	0823.0	0853.0	0 2580	0852.0
PVRHL2 W/Men	1006.0	1006. 0	0.900	1006.0	1006. 0	1006.0	0.9001		1006. 0	1006.0	1006. 0	1006.0	0.9001	1006.0	1006.0	1006.0	1006.0	1006. 0	1006.0	1006.0	1006.0	0.900		0.9001	1006.0	1006.0	1006. 0	1006.0	1006.0	0.9001	1006.0	1006. 0	1009.0	1006.0	1006. 0	1006. 0	1006. 0	1006.0	1006. 0		1006.0	1006. 0	1006. 0	1006.0	1003	1005.0
PYRHL1 W/M+H	0445.0	0.5660	0445.0	0.6660	0.645.0	0.5650	0.6660	0.5550	0.5995.0	0995. 3	0.6660	0.995.0	0.6590	0.5550	0 5660	0.645.0	0.995. 0	0.5660	0.645.0	0.5660	0.5990	0.6460	0.0000	0 5660	0.660	0.5660	0.595. 0	0.595.0	0.5490	0.6440	0.5660	0.5550	0.5650	0.5460	0.645.0	0.995. 0	0495.0	0.699.0	0.6490	0.000	0.5560	0.6490	0.5650	0.5-60	0.6440	0.6560
DEMPT DEG F	0019.2	0019.2	0019.2	0019.2	0019.2	0019.2	2 6100	100	0019.2	0019 2	0019.2	0019.2	2.6100	0010	0019 2	0017.2	0019.2	0019.2	0019.2	0019.2	0019.2	0019.2		00190	0019.2	0019.2	0019.2	0019.2	0017.2	100	0019.2	0019.2	0019.2	2 6100	0019.2	0019.2	0019.2	0017.2	0019.2	100	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	0017.2	0019.2	0019.2	100	0019 2
WINDIR DEGB	0228. 4	0228.4	0228.4	0228.4	0228.4	0228.4	0228.4	- 8220	0228.4	0228.4	0228.4	0228.4	9228	- B220	- BCCO	0228.4	0228.4	0228. 4	0228. 4	0228.4	0228. 4	0228.4		4 8220	0228.4	0228.4	0228.4	0228.4	0228.4	- A220	0228.4	0228.4	0228.4	- B220	0228.4	0228. 4	0228.4	0228.4	0228.4	- 19770	1 8220	0228	0228.4	0228.4	0246.2	0246.2
HdH	0008. 6	0008.6	9 8000	0008.6	9 8000	0008.6	9 .8000	9 18000	0008.6	0008.6	9 8000	9 8000	9 8000	0.9000	9 8000	0008.6	0000.6	0008.6	9.8000	0008.6	0008.6	0008.6	0.000	0000	9 9000	9 8000	0008.6	0008.6	9 0000	0.000	0008 6	0008.6	0008.6	9 8000	0008.6	0008. 6	0008.6	0008.6	9 8000	9 8000	9.8000	0008.6	0008.6	0008.6	0001.4	0001 9
AMBTP DEG F	0054. 5	0054.5	0054.5	0054.5	0054.5	0054.5	0024. 5	C	0024 3	0034.5	0054. 5	0054.5	6.400		5 9500	0054.5	0054.5	0054.5	0054.5	0054 5	0054.5	0024		C 4500	0054 5	0054.5	0054. 5	0024 5	0054.5		0034.5	0054 5	0024. 5	0024.5	0054 5	0054.5	0054. 5	0034 5	0054.5	6.4600		0034.5	0034.5	0054.5	0022.0	0022 0
PERCENT	6.990	0099.9	6.6600	6 6600	9099.9	6 6600	6 6600		6 6600	9.999. 9	G099. 9	6 6600	6 6600		0 000	6 6600	6.990.9	9.990	6.9900	6.990.9	6 . 6600	6 6600		0 000	6 6600	6 6600	6 6600	0099.9	0049.9	6 6600	6 6600	6 6400	9099. 9	6 6600	6 6600	6 6600	6 6.00	0044. 9	6 6600	A	0.000	6 6600	6 6600	6 6600	6.9900	6 6600
S MLS	•	•	• •	••	•	•	•		•••	•	•	•				0	•	•	•	•	0	••	•			0	•	0	•			•	•		0	•	•	•	••			••	•	•	••	, o
ž.	0:00	0:01		80.0	0: 05	90:09	10:00		01 10	0:11	0:12 0	ELO		11.0	11.0	0.18	0: 19	0: 20	0: 21	0: 22	0: 23	100			82 0	62 0	0: 30	16:0	220		SE O	0: 36	10:37		04 0	14:0	0:42	E# :0	4	10.0		84 :0	0: 49	0: 20	10.0	0.53
Ē	12:0	12:0		10	12:0	12.0			12	12:0	12:0	12:0	12			10	12:0	12:0	12:0	12	12:0				1	12.0	12:0	12:0	21		12	12:0	12:0		10	12:0	12:0	12.0	12			12	12:0	12		10

12

Г

Table B-6. (Cont'd)

FACC SMALL COMMUNITY BOLAR EXPERIMENT DATE: 03/03/82

PAGE: 0001

	DCALC *	0000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000	
	PYPHL3 W/ MeH		
×.	M.B	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
890	RLE		
PRINT:	TINE	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	

4.4 *Not valid for these tests

PRINT OS DESCRIPTION - PCS PERFORMANCE DATA

Print		
No.	Parameter*	Description
08L	TRINPR	Turbine inlet pressure
08 L	TRINTP	Turbine inlet temperature
08L	RLEXTP	Regenerator liquid exit temperature
08L	SYOTPR	System (feed) pump exit pressure
08L	TREXPR	Turbine exit pressure
08L	TREXTP	Turbine exit temperature
08L	RCOTTP	Receiver fluid outlet temp
08L	RCOTPR	Receiver fluid outlet pressure
08L	PM4	Power available to PCS
08L	PM5	Power out of PCS
08R	CNINTP	Condenser inlet temperature
08R	CNEXT P	Condenser exit temperature
08R	CNEXPR	Condenser exit pressure
08R	RLINTP	Regenerator liquid inlet temperature
08R	SYINPR	System (feed) pump inlet pressure
08R	TRVLP	Vapor valve position
08R	TURPM	Turbine speed
08R	RESLVL	Reservoir fluid level
08R	DSEVT 1	RCIA discrete events No. 1
08R	DSEVT 2	RCIA discrete events No. 2

ŝ

*All parameters on this print are repeats, primarily from Prints 02 and 03.

B-20

Table B-7. Printout of 08 for Run 13

FACC SMALL COMMUNITY SOLAR EXPERIMENT DATE: 03/03/82

PRINT: 08L

PAGE: 0001

-												-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4									-	-					-	-	-	4						1			4
	3	1 00	8	88	8	8	8	88	38	88	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	88	Bi	88	88	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	B	B	38	8	8	8	8
		8.0										-	2.3	1.1	1.1	1.0		1.2	1.1	2	•	2.2		ę			2						1.1	2.2				2		1.4	2.3								• •	4
ŧ	1	8	8	88	8	8	8	88	38	88	88	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	B	B	88	88	88	8	8	8	8	38	88	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	89	38	8	8	8	8
R	<	0 T	0.9			-								8.1	ł	E Z	e T	0.0	•	2	•	-	e T	Ţ										-					-	0 7	-					is		2	8.1	
RCC		8	8			8	3				2	3	8	3	8	3	8	8	8	3	3	3	3	3	8	2						3	3	3	8		1	3	8	8	3	8	8	5		58	3	8	8	č
Ē		* 0	0		•	• •	•							4.0	•	•	•	•	•	8	•	4	c	4.6								•	0.0	o o	•				0	4.6	0	4.6	0					9.1		9.6
RCD	DEC	075	10		10	075	01	6			-	20	10	075	075	01	6	075	610	10	10	6	079	5	10	16						10	073	6	6			10	679	07.	0	20	10	6	10	20	074	073	07.4	074
Ē	u .	7.6	4.6	••	1	7.6	4.6						0	7.6	7.6	7.2	7.6	0.8	1.6	7.6	9.6	0	7.6	0									4	0					0	0.8	0	4			••			0	8.0	0
TRE	DEO	160	100		100	160	160	6				100	160	160	160	150	160	160	160	150	160	160	100	150	160	160	5	100				100	160	160	100	5		100	160	160	021	120	100	160	50		160	150	160	160
R																																								•										-
TRE	184	8	8	88	8	8	8	88		38	88	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	88	88	38	88	8	8	8		88	88	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	38	200	8	8	ŝ
¥.		9.	•		2	8				• •				0	0.6	0.5		•	•	•	4	•	2		4	•		•						8.6					8	4	•	•	2	•	••	• •			9	
BYDI	184	092	03260		032	055	03260	0				092	0926	0321	09260	0526	092	052	260	092	0526	092	032	052	035	03260	260	260				032	0520	0324	035			0925	0326	092	0521	0527	032	025	092		032	032	052)	050
ŧ		• •	•	• •	•	*	•					-					2	•	•	•	2	•	4	9.6	•	0	•					0	2	•	2			-	2		2	2	~	•	•••		10	101		
RLE	DEO	BE0	160		100	LEO	LE0	100				100	10371	1260	LE0	160	6	100	160	LE0	60	100	160	100	LE0	LE0		100				100	100	1150	100			LEO	100	LE0	100	6037	100	100	100		100	100	160	120
đ	u .	2	•	• •	0	•	•	•	•	• •	• •	0	0	3	9.	•		•	•	•	\$	•	9	•	•	•		•		•	• •		•	•	•	•		-	•	9	•	•	•		•	• •		-0	9	
TRIP	DEO	074	074		074	0741	0743	10				074	674	220	0743	074	0743	0742	0743	0743	0743	0741	0743	0743	074	074	1	10				0745	0741	0741	0			074	0743	0743	0743	074	074	10	10	110	074	074	0741	0740
ž		8	•	•	-	0						-	-9		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		4			•								•			-0	•	•	•			•				4	•
TRIN	F184	910	0416		000	E110	0410					-	-	0110	0410	640	100	0410	500	0402		5	100	0410		0110							0410	0410					0419	0412	0412	5	0110					0150	0404	0404
3		•	0	• •	•••	•	•	• •						•	•	•	•	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•							•	•	•				• •	0	•	•	0	•	• •				•	•
RL8		•	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0			• •		c	0	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	•	ò	0 0	0 0	•			0	•	0	•		•	0	0	0	•	0	•	0	0 0		0	0	•	•
ų		8	10	88	1	60	8	68	88	59		-	2	-		116	112	. 18	- 10	8	2	8	2	ž	33	2				87				-	2			9	-	9	-	1		-				10	22	
E		8	8		8	8	8	88	38	38	38	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	88	88	38	8	8	8	8	38	38	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	88	38	8	8	8	8
		-	-		1	-	-	-				÷	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	-

•	ਚ
	Ŀ
	5
	2
1	1
1	Ŕ
	e
	q
1	2

FACC SMALL COMMUNITY BOLAR EXPERIMENT DATE: 03/03/82

PRINT: OBR

PAGE: 0001

LAEVT2 EVENT8	00111000	01011100	01011100	010111000	010111000	010111000		01011100	01011100	010111000	01011100	010111000	01011100	00111010		01011100	01011100	01011100	01011100	01011100	010111000	00111010	01011100	01011100	01011100	01011100	010111000		01011100	01011100	010111000		01011100	01011100	01011100	00111010	0111100	01011100	010111000	01011100	01011100	00111010	010111000	010111000
DBEVTI	000000110	000000110	00000110	000000110	000000110	01100000		00000110	00000110	01100000	000000110	00000110	000000110	000000110		000000110	00000110	00000110	000000110	00000110	01100000	000000110	000000110	00000110	00000110	00000110	000000110		00000110	00000110	000000110		000000110	00000110	00000110	01100000		00000110	00000110	00000110	00000110	01100000	01100000	00000110
REBLUC	0078.2	0078.3	0078.3	0077.7	0078.1	0078.3	8.100	0077.4	0077.7	0077.7	0076.7	0077.4	0077.7	0011.0	2400	0077 8	0077.1	0078.0	0078.8	0077.3	1.700	0.11.0	0077 0	0077.3	0077.1	0077.3	4.1700	100	0077.0	0077.8	0077.7		0077.2	0077.0	0077.4	2.700	00/6. 7	2200	0076. 9	0076. 9	0076. 6	1.7700	0077.00	0077.1
TURPM KRPH	0047.6	0047.6	0047.4	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	4 1400	0047.6	0047.6	0047.8	9.7400	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	1 1 000	4 7400	0047.8	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0047 B	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	4 1400	0047.6	0047.8	0047.6	4 1400	0047.8	0047.8	0047.6	0047.6	0047.6	4 7400	0047.6	0047.8	0047.6	0047.6	047.6	0047.6
TRULP	0047.3	0047.2	0047.4	0047.4	0047.4	0047.2		0047.6	0047.4	0047.7	4.7400	0047.4	0047.3	0047.6		4 4400	0047.6	0047.4	0047.6	0047.3	0047.6	1000	4 100	0047.3	0047.0	0047.4	0047.6		0047.4	0048.0	0047.4		0047.7	0047.8	0047.4	0047.6	10047.4		0047.4	0047.4	0047.3	0047.7	0047.4	0047.0
SYINPR PBIA	0027.8	0027.8	0027.7	B. (200	0027. 6	0027.7		0027. 5	0027. 6	0027.7	0027.7	0027.8	0027. B	0027.7	8./200	O BCOO	0027.7	0027.8	0027.6	0027. 6	0027.8	0027.8	1 1000	0027.7	0027.8	0027.7	0027. 9	8.1200	0027. 9	0027.6	0027.6	6.7500	0027. 5	0027.3	0028.0	0027.8	0027.5		0027.4	0027. 5	0027. 5	0027.3	002	0027.9
RLINTP DEG F	0091.6	0091. 7	0091.7	0091.7	4 1600	0091.7		0091. 5	0091.4	0091.4	0.140	J091. 5	0091. 3	4 1600	1400	000100	E 1600	0091.2	0091.1	0091.1	0.1400	0.1400	0.1900	0041.0	0091.1	6.0600	0091.1	- 0400	0000 B	0090.9	00000	- 0400	0000	0040° B	0000 6	1.0600	9.0600	4 0000	0000	0000.6	0040. 5	6.0600	0400	0600
CNEXPR PBIA	1 1000	0001.1	0001.1	1 1000	CO01. 1	1 1000		000L.1	0001.1	1 1000	0001	1 1000	0001.1	1 1000	1 1000	1 1000	0001.1	0001.1	0001.1	0001.1	0001.1	1.1000	1 1000	0001.1	0001.1	0001.1	1.1000	1.1000	0001 1	0001.1	1.1000	1 1000	0001.1	0001.1	0001.1	1.1000	1 1000	1000	0001.1	0001.1	0001.1	1.1000	1 1000	0001 1
CNEXTP DEG F	0082.8	0082.6	0082.7	0085.7	0082.6	0082.6		0082.6	0082.5	0062.5	0082	0082.5	0082.7	0082.4	2 2800	0.6800	0082.3	0082.1	0082.1	0082.2	0082.3	1.2800	0.6200	0082.1	0082.1	0062.1	0082.1		0081.9	0081.9	0082.0	- TB00	0081.9	0081.8	0082.3	8.1800	8 1800	0081 8	0081.6	0081.8	0081.8	4.1800	0081.6	0081.8
CNINTP DEG F	0172.9	0173.0	0 173.0	0 5/10	C173. 2	C 1210		6 EL10	0173.0	1 .6210	C E210	4 EL10	4 .EL10	0173.3	2 E2 E0	5 6210	4 E210	0173. 5	0173.6	C 173.7	6 E210	0177.0	0174.0	0173.8	0173. 6	0173.5	6 . 67 10		0173. 3	0173.4	6 6110	6 6410	E .E.10	0173.5	0173.6	C .E/10	C 1210	E	0173.5	£ .6110	0172.6	0174. B	173 0	0173.1
RL8 WLS	0 0 0 0	• •	••	00	0	• •		0	• •	• •	00	•	• •	•			0	•	0 0	0	•	•		0	0	0	•		00	0 0	• •		0	0 0	0	0			00	•	0	•		, o
TIME	12:00:00	2:00:02	12:00:03	12:00:03	12:00:06	12:00:01		12:00:10	12:00:11	12:00:12	12:00:14	12:00:15	12:00:16	12:00:17		00.00	12:00:21	12:00:22	12: 00: 23	12:00:24	12:00:23	12:00:21	12.00.0H	12: 00: 29	12:00:30	12:00:31	12:00:32		12:00:35	12:00:36	12:00:37		12:00:40	12:00:41	12: 00: 42	12:00:43	12:00:44	10.00.44	12:00:47	12: 00: 48	12: 00: 49	12:00:50	12:00:51	12:00:53

The state of the s

REFERENCES

- B-1. Clark, T.B., <u>Power/Energy/Efficiency Calculations for the TBC PDTS</u> <u>Tests - Program OPWEG</u>, FACC TR SCSE-044A, January 29, 1982.
- B-2. Fish, V. and Kramer, R.M., Data Lists for the SCSE Links, FACC TR SCSE-027E, January 25, 1982.
- B-3. Kramer, R.M., <u>SCSE Operational Computer Programs Product</u> Specification, FACC Specification No. AS2000211, March 1, 1982.

B. J. B. S. A. S. A.

APPENDIX C

DOCUMENTATION

During the course of this program many documents were generated in fulfillment of contractual obligations or for internal control or record keeping. Monthly progress reports were issued that summarized work completed in a given period, often with appendices reporting a specific technical effort. The latter documents were usually called TRs (Technical Reports). The following is a listing of significant documents.

SPECIFICAT IONS

APS149487	Brazing and Finishing of Copper Solar Receiver
AS147438	Critical Item Development Specification for the SCSE Power Conversion Subsystem (PCS)
AS147633	Performance Specification 30 KVA Inverter
AS 148588	Prime Item Development Specification for the Small Community Solar Thermal Power Experiment (SCSE)
AS149027	Master Power Controller (MPC) and Central Control Interface Assembly (CCIA) System Specification for the Small Community Solar Thermal Power Experiment (SCSE)
AS149029	Remote Control Interface Assembly (RCIA) Specification for the Small Community Solar Thermal Power Experiment (SCSE)
AS149495	Critical Item Development Specification for the SCSE Receiver Subassembly
AS2000149	Critical Item Development Specification for the SCSE Control Subsystem
AS2000211	Volume 1: SCSE Operational Computer Programs Products Specification
	Volume 11: Master Operational Program (MOP) Listing
	Volume 111: Remote Operational Program (ROP) Listing
AS2000503	Performance Specification SCSE Switchboard
AS2000932	Procurement Specification SCSE Switchboard, Phase III SCSE 1
AS2000933	Critical Item Development Specification - SCSE Inverter(s), Phase III ¹
AS2001116	Prime Item Development Specification for the Small Community Solar Thermal Power Experiment (SCSE), Phase III ¹
AS2001117	SCSE Site Specification, Osage City, Kansas

¹These specifications are unreleased by FACC. They were part of the Fhase III program and apply to the four (25-kWe) module - 100 kW plant.

C-2

SPECIFICATIONS (Cont'd.)

AS2001 185	Critical Item Development Specification for the Parabolic Dish Concentrator-2 (PDC-2)
AS2001377	Critical Item Product Specification - SCSE Receiver Subassembly ¹
AS2001378	Critical Item Product Specification - SCSE Power Conversion Subsystem (PCS) ¹

¹These specifications are unreleased by FACC. They were part of the Phase III program and apply to the four (25-kWe) module - 100 kW plant.

TECHNICAL REPORTS

Technical Report No.	Title	Date	Reference ² Monthly Progress
SCSE-001	SCSE Inverter Requirements Trade-offs	1/25/80	l (Appendix A)
SCSE-002	Preliminary Energy Transport System Selection	1/30/80	1 (Appendix B)
SCSE-003	Preliminary Receiver Baseline Parameters	-	2 (Appendix A)
SCSE-003A	Preliminary Receiver Baseline Parameters (Revised)	2/29/80	4 (Appendix A)
SCSE-004	SCSE Measurement list	4/30/80	4 (Appendix B)
SCSE-004A	SCSE Measurement List	8/25/80	8 (Appendix A)
SCSE-005	SCSE Master Power Controller (MPS) Software-Related Requirements	5/8/80	5 (Appendix A)
SCSE-006	Preliminary Fault Tree Analysis for Phase II and Phase III	5/7/80	5 (Appendix B)
SCSE-007	Test Plan, Receiver Development Test	-	-
SCSE-007A	Test Plan, Receiver Core Development Test	10/31/80	10 (Appendix A)
SCSE-008	SCSE FACC/JPL Real Time Data Interface	5/15/80	5 (Appendix C)
SCSE-008A	SCSE FACC/JPL Real Time Data	6/17/80	(Not included in a TR)
SCSE-009	Rated Insulation for Barber-Nichols PCS	5/22/80	5 (Appendix D)
SCSE-010	Proposed Derating Criteria for Electronic/Electrical Parts	-	5 (Appendix E)
SCSE-01 1A	Development Plan-Power Conversion Subsystem	6/29/80	6 (Appendix A)

•

Contraction and the second sec

100

²Beyond Monthly Technical Progress Report No. 16 (reporting period 28 March to 2 May 1981), the TRs were published as separate documents.

TECHNICAL REPORTS (Cont'd.)

Technical Report No.	Title	Date	Reference ² Monthly Progress
SCSE-012	SCSE RCIA Processor Software-Related Requirements (Preliminary)	6/13/80	6 (Appendix B)
SCSE-013	SCSE PCA Installation Procedure	-	(Not included in a TR)
SCSE-014	Inverter Control Methods and PCS Electrical Matching Characteristics	9/15/80	9 (Appendix A)
SCSE-015	SCSE System Performance Sensitivity Analysis	7/11/80	7 (Appendix A)
SCSE-016	"CAV" Code for the Determination of incident Flux Distribution in an Axisymmetrical Cavity From a Parabo- loid Reflector	7/15/80	7 (Appendix B)
SCSE017	"CVT" Code for the Determination of Temperature Distribution in an Axisymmetrical Cavity	7/24/80	7 (Appendix C)
SCSE-018	Phase II Procurement and Fabrication Plan (Preliminary)	7/15/80	7 (Appendix D)
SCSE-018A	Phase II Procurement and Fabrication Plan	11/6/80	11 (Appendix A)
SCSE-019	Electrical Cable Characteristics PCS to Rectifier	10/10/80	11 (Appendix B)
SCSE-020	SCSE PCA Digital Control System Description and Requirements	8/11/80	8 (Appendix B)
SCSE -0 21	SCSE Status Panels	8/22/80	9 (Appendix B)
SCSE-022	Hazardous Area Classification and Definitions for SCSE Frogram Electrical System	9/23/80	9 (Appendix C)

N. N.

²Beyond Monthly Technical Progress Report No. 16 (reporting period 28 March to 2 May 1981), the TRs were published as separate documents.

TECHNICAL REPORTS (Cont'd.)

Technical Report No.	Title	Date	Reference ² Monthly Progress
SCSE-023	Solar Energy Computer Codes-System Analysis	11/18/80	12 (Appendix A)
SCSE-024	Engineering Unit Scaling and DAC/ADC Conversions in SCSE Software	12/2/80	12 (Appendix B)
SCSE-025	SCSE MPC CRT Display and Printout	11/26/80	12 (Appendix B)
SCSE-026	FACC Solar Receiver Oxidation/ Corosion Tests	11/17/80	11 (Appendix C)
SCSE-027	Data Lists for the SCSE Links	12/8/80	12 (Appendix D)
SCSE-027A	Data Lists for the SCSE Links	2/5/81	(Rec. at SDR)
SCSE-027B	Data Lists for the SCSE Links	4/22/81	16 (Appendix A)
SCSE-027C	Data Lists for the SCSE Links	6/24/81	-
SCSE-027D	Data Lists for the SCSE Links	9/2/81	-
SCSE-028	Definition of Phase III Control Subsystem Operating Modes		(In progress)
SCSE-029	Receiver/Engine Dynamic Analysis	7/29/81	-
SCSE-030	Preliminary Parts and Suppliers List	12/12/80	12 (Appendix E)
SCSE-031	SCSE Control Subsystem Description	12/15/80	12 (Appendix F)
SCSE-032	Turbine/Inverter Dynamic Stability Analysis	5/11/81	-
SCSE -033	SCSE Software Documentation Defini- tion and Guidelines	12/17/80	12 (Appendix G)
SCSE-034	Preliminary Hazard Analysis	2/9/81	14 (Appendix A)
SCSE-035	Recommended Lightning Protection for SCSE Equipment	2/19/81	14 (Appendix B)

Ç

 $^{^{2}}$ Beyond Monthly Technical Progress keport No. 16 (reporting period 28 March to 2 May 1981), the TRs were published as separate documents.

TECHNICAL REPORTS (Cont'd)

Technical Report No.	Title	Date	Reference ² Monthly Progress
heport hor			
SCSE-036	Typical Field Layouts for a Phase III Plant	2/19/81	14 (Appendix C)
SCSE-037	Failure Mode and Effects Analysis for the Small Community Thermal Power Experiment	3/6/81	15 (Appendix A)
SCSE-038	Availability Prediction for the Small Community Thermal Power Experiment	3/6/81	15 (Appendix B)
SCSE-039	PCS Control Requirements (Draft)	4/1/81	16 (Appendix B)
SCSE-039B	PCS Control Requirements	2/2/83	
SCSE-040	Aperture Plate Thermal Analysis	5/29/81	-
SCSE-042	Test Results for the Development Receiver Core	6/11/81	-
SCSE-043	Aperture Plate Thermal Stress Analysis	6/11/81	-
SCSE-044	Power/Energy/Efficiency Calculations for the TBC PDTS Tests - Program OPWEG	9/17/81	-
SCSE-045	Data Playback Program Requirements	10/5/81	-
SCSE-046	Data Playback Program Operation and Use	11/16/81	- "
SCSE-047	Requirements for a Plot Playback Program	9/15/81	-

²Beyond Monthly Technical Progress Report No. 16 (reporting period 28 March to 2 May 1981), the TRs were published as separate documents.

OTHER REPORTS

SCSE Report No. 001 (Revision A)	Small Community Solar Thermal Power Experiment System Description Document (Draft)	10/8/80
(No number)	Receiver Selection Methodology	6/3/80
IOM SCSTPE- 80-002	Nomenclature and Definitions for the Small Community Solar Thermal Power Equipment	1/15/80
SCSE Report No. 012	Small Community Solar Thermal Power Experiment (SCSE) Preliminary Design Study for Verification of SCSE Elements on the TBC	4/21/81
SCSE Report No. 014	Test Results Summary for Receiver Qualification Tests (DCRL No. 33)	6/29/81
SCSE Report No. 015	Test Report: Receiver Qualification Tests (CDRL No. 34)	8/6/81
SCSE Report No. 016	Test Results Summary for Control Subsystem Qualification Tests (CDRL No. 33)	8/6/81
SCSE Report No. 017	Test Report: Control Subsystem Qualification Tests (CDRL No. 34)	8/26/81
SCSE Report No. 018	Test Results Summary for the Inverter Acceptance Test	9/11/81
SCSE Report No. 019	Test Results Summary for the Switchboard Acceptance Test	9/29/81
SCSE Report No. 020	Test Results Summary for Power Conversion Subsystem (PCS) Qualification Tests	10/26/8
Task II Report	Final Report, Evaluation of Carter Reciprocator Steam Engine (CDRL No. 40)	5/81
SCSE Report No. 021	Test Results Summary for PCS/RCR Compatibility Test	1/14/82
SCSE Report No. 022	PCS/RCR Compatibility Tests at FACC	4/16/82

R

4

C-8