# NASA Technical Memorandum 100258

# An Experimental Investigation of an Arcjet Thruster Exhaust Using Langmuir Probes

(NASA-TM-100258)AN EXPERIMENTALN88-21253INVESTIGATION OF AN ARCJET THRUSTER EXHAUSIUSING LANGMUIR PROBES M.S. Thesis (NASA)Unclas26 pCSCL 21HUnclas3/200140251

Lynnette M. Carney Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio

April 1988



# AN EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF AN ARCJET THRUSTER EXHAUST

# USING LANGMUIR PROBES

# Lynnette M. Carney National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135

#### SUMMARY

Electrostatic (Langmuir) probes of both spherical and cylindrical geometry have been used to obtain electron number density and temperature in the exhaust of a laboratory arcjet. The arcjet thruster operated on nitrogen and hydrogen mixtures to simulate fully decomposed hydrazine in a vacuum environment with background pressures less than  $5x10^{-2}$  Pa.

The exhaust appears to be only slightly ionized (less than 1 percent) with local plasma potentials near facility ground. The current-voltage characteristics of the probes indicate a Maxwellian temperature distribution. Plume data are presented as a function of arcjet operating condition and also position in the exhaust.

# INTRODUCTION

An arcjet thruster is an electrothermal propulsion device which heats a propellant by means of a high temperature arc discharge and then expands the hot gas through a nozzle to produce thrust. Because it offers relatively high specific impulse at moderate thrust levels, the arcjet is an attractive candidate for auxiliary propulsion applications. At present, the power realistically available for satellite propulsion requirements is between 0.5 and 1.5 kW. When operated with storable propellants at these power levels, the arcjet can provide specific impulses of 400 sec and higher, offering potentially large benefits for mission applications such as stationkeeping on communication satellites. Recently, efforts have been initiated, both at NASA and in industry, to demonstrate the technology readiness of a low power (700 to 1500 W), dc arcjet with storable propellants for such missions. Technology issues currently being addressed included arc start-up and stability, performance, lifetime, power processing and spacecraft integration (refs. 1 to 4). In conjunction with the above efforts, research programs to investigate the plumes of arcjet thrusters have also begun.

A detailed description of the arcjet exhaust is required for not only a fundamental understanding of the plume character but also an accurate assessment of the potential impacts that the plume may have on thruster performance and to the spacecraft upon integration. The primary integration concern is the potential impact due to EMI or induced environment effects on communications. Thrusts losses and thermal loading may also be of concern, depending on the spacecraft/thruster configuration. The exhaust of an arcjet can be described as a low density, high temperature, supersonic flow field that is slightly ionized (less than a few percent) and chemically reactive in nature. Because of this complex combination of plume properties and also the fact that arcjet nozzles are typically very small, it is difficult to obtain local quantitative information on the flow field with conventional probe techniques.

However, experimental investigations of the arcjet exhaust have been conducted previously. In the early 1960's, the Plasmadyne Corporation (ref. 5) measured the shape and radiation intensity of a 1 kW, hydrogen arcjet using motion picture photography and a thermopile radiometer. Additionally, the McDonnell Aircraft Corporation (refs. 6 to 7) applied a variety of diagnostic techniques near the exit plane of high power (10 to 50 kW), hydrogen arcjets. The measurements included: probe surveys to obtain electron number density and temperature, mass flux, impact pressure, and stagnation enthalpy profiles; photometric techniques to measure exhaust velocity; and spectrophotometer observations to determine excitation temperature. Although a great deal of effort was expended in developing the above-mentioned techniques, much of the information gained in the previous experiments was qualitative in nature and not directly transportable to present analyses.

Lastly, Limbaugh (ref. 8) determined the excited state density distribution within the freely expanding plume of an argon arcjet (150 A, 20 V). He found the energy distribution did not fit a Maxwell-Boltzmann population of excited state number densities and that the electron temperature was much greater than the static gas temperature. Using spectral line absorption and emission measurements, electron number densities of 1 to  $4x10^{14}/cm^3$  were obtained at temperatures of approximately 0.6 eV.

This thesis presents initial results in the experimental investigation of low power, dc arcjet plumes. The primary research goals are to obtain plasma number density and temperature as a function of position in the plume and thruster operating condition and to evaluate vacuum facility pressure effects on the measurements. Ultimately, the above experimental results may be used to assess the arcjet plume impacts. For example, once the plume electron number density distribution is known, estimates of the impacts to communications signals transmitted through the plume can be calculated. The Langmuir probe is the primary diagnostic technique used in this investigation.

The first portion of this dissertation provides a discussion of the theory and operation of Langmuir probes for measuring plasma properties. Details of probe design and fabrication, along with factors which govern the data analysis, will be provided. The experimental hardware and vacuum facility for the probe surveys are also discussed.

The major portion of this thesis presents initial experimental data in the plume of a low power, dc arcjet. The arcjet used in this study is a laboratory thruster, operating on a propellant mixture of nitrogen, to simulate fully decomposed hydrazine. Data are presented as a function of position in the exhaust, arc power, and mass flow rate. Comparisons are made between probes of different size and geometry. Finally, recommendations for future work are proposed.

# NOMENCLATURE

А	probe surface area, m <sup>2</sup>
A'	wetted surface area of spherical probe (2 $\pi$ Rp <sup>2</sup> ), m <sup>2</sup>
Ae	nozzle exit area, m <sup>2</sup>
A*	nozzle throat area, m <sup>2</sup>
D	probe diameter, m
е	electron charge, C
G	arc gap setting, m
Ι	current, A
Ι <sub>Ο</sub>	electron saturation current, A
Isp	specific impulse, sec
k	Boltzmann constant, J/K
L	length, m
1	cylindrical probe length, m
Μ	neutral mass, kg
m	charged species mass, kg
n	number density, particles/m <sup>3</sup>
Q	Maxwellian averaged cross section, cm <sup>3</sup> /sec
R	radius, m
R <sub>e</sub>	nozzle exit radius, m
R*	nozzle throat radius, m
Т	temperature, K
V	voltage or potential, v
φ	nozzle half angle, deg
λ	mean free path, m

 $\lambda_D$  Debye length, m

.

----

Sub- or superscripts:

- a arc
- c constrictor
- e electron
- f floating
- i ion
- n neutral particles
- o centerline value
- p probe
- ∞ plasma

## LANGMUIR PROBE THEORY AND OPERATION

The Langmuir probe is one of the most widely used and accepted means of obtaining information on plasma properties. In its simplest form, it consists of a small conductive element and an associated power supply which is capable of biasing the probe to various voltages, both positive and negative, with respect to the plasma to be investigated. The current drawn to the probe as a function of voltage, or the "probe characteristic," yields two primary quantities, electron number density and electron temperature.

The theory that governs interpretation of the probe characteristic is quite complicated. Excellent reviews of general probe theory in both the presence and absence of collisions may be found in (refs. 9 to 11). Laframboise (ref. 12) established both spherical and cylindrical probe theory in a collisionless, stationary plasma. Further, the application of the Langmuir probe to flowing, collisionless plasmas has been investigated by French (ref. 13), Sonin (ref. 14), and Clayden (ref. 15).

An ideal probe characteristic is illustrated in figure 1. If the probe is biased sufficiently negative with respect to the plasma potential, all electrons will be repelled and only ions will be collected by the probe. A layer of positive charge, called an "ion sheath," builds up around the probe. Its formation is primarily dependent upon the ion mass and temperature. As the probe voltage is increased positively, electrons are also attracted to the probe surface. At the floating potential,  $V_f$ , the magnitude of the ion and electron currents are equal. Increasing the probe voltage further draws still more electrons to the probe. If the velocity distribution of electrons in the plasma is Maxwellian, this transition region will be marked by an exponential increase in electron current. At some point,  $V_{\infty}$ , the probe potential is equal to that of the plasma. There is still a small ion contribution at  $V_{\infty}$ . Biasing the probe beyond  $V_{\infty}$  causes electrons to be repelled. In this region, the excess of negative charge near the probe surface again forms a sheath. As long as the probe size is large in comparison with the Debye length, the sheath thickness may be assumed to be relatively constant with potential and equal to that of the probe dimensions.

Provided that the probe does not disturb the plasma (or the macroscopic flow field) and that the electrons exhibit a Maxwellian distribution, interpretation of the characteristic is reasonably straightforward. In the transition region, the electron current collected by the probe will be given by

$$I_{e} = A_{p}en_{e}\sqrt{\frac{kT_{e}}{2\pi m_{e}}} \left[\frac{exp^{-e(V_{p}-V_{\infty})}}{kT_{e}}\right]$$
(1)

Taking the logarithm of Eq (1) and differentiating with respect to the probe voltage gives

$$\frac{d}{dV}(\ln I_e) = \frac{-e}{kT_e}$$
(2)

Consequently, the slope of a semi-log plot of electron current as a function of probe voltage yields electron temperature. Plasma potential is generally obtained by extrapolating the linear portion of the transition and electron accelerating regions of this same plot. At plasma potential, using a thin sheath analysis,

$$I_{o} = e^{A} P^{n} e^{\sqrt{kT_{e}} \frac{\pi m_{e}}{2\pi m_{e}}}$$
(3)

The saturation electron current,  $I_0$ , is the electron current at plasma potential ( $V_p = V_{\infty}$ ). Rearranging Eq. (3) gives the electron number density in terms of known quantities, as,

$$n_{e} = (3.73 \times 10^{13}) \frac{I_{o}}{A_{p}} \sqrt{\frac{11.600}{T_{e}}}$$
(4)

The above analysis is predicated on the assumption that

where the Debye length is

$$\lambda_{\rm D} = 6.9 \times 10^3 \sqrt{\frac{T_{\rm e}}{n_{\rm e}}}$$
 (6)

and  $\lambda$  represents the collision mean free paths. A discussion of the relevant collision phenomena and the associated mean free paths may be found in the Appendix.

For low density plasma flows, previous investigators (refs. 13 and 14) have used cylindrical probes aligned with the flow streamlines to avoid the

effects of bulk fluid (neutral) motion. Spherical probes are promising because they do not have this alignment constraint. Clayden (ref. 15) has obtained reasonable results using spherical probes in a low density plasma jet; however, a "wetted" current collection area (one-half of the spherical surface area) was used in the calculation of  $n_e$  (eq. (4)). This modification was made to account for the existence of a wake behind the probe which electrons are unable to penetrate.

# EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS

#### Langmuir Probes

Langmuir probes of both spherical and cylindrical geometry were used in this investigation. Figure 2 displays sketches of the stationary and movable probes. The stationary probes were made from carbide steel ball bearings with radii of 0.562 cm. Each bearing was welded to a 0.76 mm tungsten wire. Stainless steel tubing was used as the insulator between the wire and the shield. It was recessed 0.16 cm from the end of the stainless shield. Kapton tape covered the shield in the vicinity of the sphere. A larger spherical probe (radius of 0.794 cm) was installed at the off-axis stationary location for later measurements.

The support assembly for the movable probes had a U-shaped geometry because they had to sweep across the plume. The spherical probe was a carbide steel ball bearing of radius 0.316 cm. The cylindrical probe was made of tungsten wire of radius 0.114 cm and length 0.952 cm. Both probes used ceramic insulation as the main support at the location of the measurement.

Figure 3 displays an electrical schematic of the probe bias circuitry. The bias supply could linearly ramp the probe voltage from a preselected negative value to a positive value over a 5 sec time period. The ramp voltage ranges which could be selected were: -10 to +10 V, -50 to +50 V, and -100 to +100 V. The probe voltage was measured by a high impedance divider network while the probe current was obtained by measuring the voltage across a 1.00  $\Omega$ resistor. The two signals were sent through isolation amplifiers to an X-Y recorder. Because the current collected by the probe increases exponentially near plasma potential, the probe bias supply ramped slowly enough so that the X-Y recorder could keep up. As long as the arcjet exhibited a stable operating condition the dc current change with time varied by less than one percent when the probe was biased at large positive or large negative voltages with respect to plasma potential. However, a slight difference in anode potential could have a marked effect in current collected near plasma potential. Thermal and time dependent offsets in the amplifiers were zeroed out prior to probe sweep. The estimated uncertainty in the current measurements was approximately 0.3 mA.

# Arcjet Thruster

Two different laboratory arcjets of similar components were used in this investigation. A schematic of the arcjet design is shown in figure 4. The main body of the thruster is stainless steel with boron nitride insulation. The thruster discharge region incorporates a vortex stabilized, conventional

constricted arc design. The tungsten insert shown in the figure serves both as anode and expansion nozzle. Vortex stabilization is estabilished by means of a gas injection disk which inputs the propellant flow tangentially into the arc discharge region. The arc gap setting, defined as the distance between the upstream end of the constrictor and the face of the cathode, may be set to a predetermined distance through use of a modified Swagelok fitting at the rear of the thruster. A complete description of the major design features of the low power arciet may be found in reference 1. The major difference between the two thrusters used in this plume investigation was in the amount of laboratory use that individual components had received. The insulation and conductive elements of first thruster (designated as Thruster A) had been used extensively in arciet tests prior to this experiment. Thruster B, however, consisted of the same insulator housing and exterior propellant feed but had a previously unused anode housing and insert. It also had a new injector disk to supply the vortex propellant flow. Major characteristics of the arc constrictor and nozzle geometry for the two arcjets are listed in table I.

Arcjet power was provided from a well regulated, pulse width modulated supply (ref. 2). The design consists of a starting circuit which provides brief, high voltage pulses to initiate the arc discharge via Paschen breakdown and a second circuit for a well regulated, current-controlled mode of operation. In normal operation, arcjet current ranged from 8 to 12 A, although most of the tests reported herein were conducted at 11 A. The arc current was measured with a commercially available current probe while arc voltage was measured with a high impedance divider network. The entire system was isolated with anode potentials, during arcjet operation, typically near facility ground due to the plasma bleed. The thruster floating voltage, anode to ground, was monitored with 10 M $\Omega$  digital meter.

Typically, the arcjet was operated on a 2:1 volume ratio of nitrogen to hydrogen to simulate fully decomposed hydrazine. The two gases were stored separately and mixed upstream of the thruster inlet. Digital flow meters, calibrated for the specific gas type, were used in conjunction with precision needle valves to control individual volume flow rates. The full scale reading of each digital meter was 5.00 slpm. The mixed propellant was fed to the thruster through a flexible feed tube. Unless otherwise noted, the mass flow rate of the thruster using the nitrogen-hydrogen mixture was 0.045 g/sec (2.00 slpm N2 and 4.00 slpm H2). Additionally, there were a number of initial plume measurements made using only pure nitrogen as the propellant. The typical mass flow rate for these nitrogen-only tests was 0.061 g/sec.

#### Instrumentation

Measurements of the dc arc current and voltage, and the pre-mixed propellant volume flow rates were monitered by a chart recorder. Isolation amplifiers and shielded cables were used for all signal processing. The real-time transients of the arc current were also monitored using an analog oscilloscope with a differential amplifier input. Lastly, the thruster inlet pressure, facility pressure, and thruster floating voltage were recorded manually at regular intervals. Typical arcjet operating conditions and performance characteristics are listed in tables II and III. Also, figure 5 displays thruster performance in terms of specific impulse as a function of specific power for the two arcjets.

### Test Facility and Experimental Setup

The Langmuir probe surveys were conducted in a 1.5 m diameter by 5 m long vacuum facility at NASA Lewis. The tank is equipped with four oil diffusion pumps and, for the experimental conditions described here-in, maintained a background pressure less than  $5 \times 10^{-2}$  Pa ( $4 \times 10^{-4}$  Torr).

The arcjet thruster, as displayed in figure 6, was mounted on a cradle assembly at the end of a push/pull rod that could be extended well into the tank environment. Here, it rested on a fixed table to ensure that the thruster position would be stable and repeatable from one test date to the next. The thruster exhausted along the tank centerline as shown in figure 7. The two stationary, spherical probes were mounted inside the tank at the locations denoted in the figure. One probe was located on the plume centerline, 30.5 cm downstream of the thruster exit plane, while the other probe was at the same axial plane but 15.2 cm off centerline. The movable probes were positioned in the plume using a push/pull rod entering through a side port entrance. Probes of both spherical and cylindrical geometry were used. The only rotation available with this arrangement was in the vertical plane; therefore, cylindrical probe measurements could only be obtained on the plume centerline. The spherical probes were used to obtain radial profiles of the arcjet plume properties. The axial distance for these measurements was 18.4 cm.

#### PROCEDURE

The positions of the thruster and the probes were fixed at their predetermined locations prior to the experiment and every time a probe was changed. The Langmuir probes were cleaned chemically before placement in the tank. Subsequent cleaning at the beginning of each test period was accomplished by ion sputtering. The probes were biased to a large negative potential for several minutes while the thruster was operating. Generally, the thruster was allowed to run for 20 to 30 min after the arc discharge was initiated so that it could reach a steady state condition. Subsequent changes to thruster operation, such as variations in arc current, required only a few minutes for the arcjet to reach a new steady state operating condition.

#### Nitrogen-Hydrogen Mixture Operation - Thruster A

The bulk of data presented were taken with Thruster A operating on a 2:1 mixture ratio of hydrogen and nitrogen, as previously specified. The Langmuir probe drive supply was tied to anode potential and the probes were ramped linearly through a voltage range of -10 to +10 V. Since the greatest change in current collected by the probe varies over a short voltage range, probe data were taken with the X-Y recorder at two different sensitivities.

Using the stationary probes, probe data were obtained at the downstream locations as a function of arcjet operating condition. With the mass flow constant at 0.045 g/sec, the arc current was varied from 9 to 12 A. Typical arc voltages for these measurements ranged from 100 to 105 V, although sometimes excursions between 92 and 110 V were noted for a given arc current and a fixed flow rate. Since the arc voltage is primarily dependent upon the

manner in which arc attachment is made at the anode, slight variations in arc voltage are not unusual. As long as the arcjet exhibited a stable operating condition, with a stable arc voltage and a nonwavering plume, data could still be obtained. Slight voltage excursions do not significantly impact thruster performance (ref. 16).

Plume data were often taken under similar arcjet operating conditions on different test dates and at different times during a iven test date to verify repeatability and consistency of the measurements. Also, data were taken with probes of different size and geometry. In all cases, the arcjet operating condition was established by setting the arc current (usually 11 A) and mixture flow rate (0.045 g/sec) to the same values.

Radial profiles were obtained with a movable probe of spherical geometry. It took a period of approximately 15 min to obtain all of the radial data. During that time the arc remained stable in terms of its operating characteristics, including arc voltage. Nonetheless, stationary probe data were obtained before and after each radial test sequence to ensure consistent plume characteristics.

### Nitrogen-Only Tests - Thruster A

A limited amount of experimental data was obtained with a arcjet operating on pure nitrogen gas. The arc current for the data presented was 10 A at a flow rate of 0.061 g/sec. The Langmuir probes were tied to cathode common, which typically ran at a potential of 60 V below facility ground. The probes ramped through a -100 to +100 V sweep at two different recorder sensitivities.

# Nitrogen-Hydrogen Mixture Operation - Thruster B

After the initial probe data were analyzed and arcjet plume trends established, the plume surveys were continued using a thruster with formerly unused components. Langmuir probe data were repeated under previous operating conditions to determine the sensitivity of the plume character from one thruster to another. Additionally, the movable probe was used to investigate the plume symmetry about the thrust axis in the horizontal plane. In this experiment, the probe was biased sufficiently positive of the plasma potential (Vp = 10 V), so that only electrons would be drawn to the probe. The current collected by the probe as a function of position was measured with the X-Y recorder in the time sweep mode. An axial survey was also made along the plume centerline of Thruster B. Here, the arcjet was moved with respect to both the 0.562 cm radius and the 0.312 cm radius spherical probes.

#### Experimental Data Reduction

A computer code originally developed at the Colorado State University (ref. 27) was used to calculate the plume parameters from the V-I characteristic. Probe electron current (total probe current minus ion contribution) and associated voltage pairs were input in the program. A least squares fit was used to extrapolate the linear portions of the retarding and accelerating regions of the probe characteristic. Plasma potential was defined as the point at which the two extrapolated lines intersected. The

computer code relied on an estimate of plasma potential and also the voltage at which the accelerating portion of the characteristic began. Consequently, the data were first plotted graphically to obtain reasonable estimates of these values. The plasma potential input was chosen as the value of probe voltage at which the slope of the logarithmic current versus voltage first deviated from linearity.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The first portion of this section discusses typical Langmuir probe characteristics for arcjet operation on pure nitrogen and also nitrogen-hydrogen mixtures. The general character of the plume under the two different modes of operation is described, along with estimates of the uncertainty of the measurements. Comparisons are made between probes of different size and geometry. Lastly, the exhaust of an arcjet operating on mixtures is described in terms of electron number density and temperature as a function of arcjet operating condition and also location in the plume.

#### Representative Probe Characteristics - Thruster A

Figures 8 and 9 display representative V-I characteristics for the spherical probe located 30.5 cm, downstream of the thruster exit on the plume centerline. In the two figures, the logarithm of the current collected by the probe (total probe current minus ion contribution) is plotted against the probe voltage with respect to arcjet common. The different symbols in the figures denote the X-Y recorder sensitivities.

In figure 8, the arcjet was operating on pure nitrogen propellant at a mass flow rate of 0.06 g/sec. The arc current was 10 A with a corresponding arc voltage of 63 V. The anode potential was approximately 3 V above facility ground.

The linearity over three decades of current change indicates a Maxwellian distribution of electron velocities. Applying the foregoing analysis, extrapolation of the linear portions of the transition and accelerating regions yields an electron number density of  $1.9 \times 10^{10}/\text{cm}^3$  at a temperature of 0.86 eV. The estimated uncertainty in the number density calculation is about 8 percent, primarily due to the uncertainty in the slope determination (±0.10 eV). The plasma potential appears relatively close to that of anode potential, at 2 V.

Similarly, figure 9 displays the characteristic for the same probe with the arcjet operating on nitrogen-hydrogen mixtures. In this figure, the probe voltage is referenced to the arcjet anode, which is again fairly close to facility ground, at +0.8 V.

Even though the arc power is considerably higher in this case, the plume exhibits a much lower concentration of charged species. Because the current collected by the probe is linear over at least two decades, a Maxwellian distribution is assumed, and the electron density is calculated at  $3.5 \times 10^9$ /cm<sup>3</sup>. However, the estimated uncertainty is 14 percent, since overall current values are considerably smaller than in the previous case. Also, the plume electron temperature is slightly cooler with the arcjet operating on mixtures. The measured electron temperature is 0.51 eV  $\pm$  0.10 eV. The Debye length, based on the measured values of T<sub>e</sub> and n<sub>e</sub>, is  $9.0 \times 10^{-3}$  cm, a factor of 60 smaller than the probe radius, so the thin sheath analysis is applicable. Values of the charged-charged and charged-neutral mean free paths are at least one to two orders of magnitude larger than the probe radius at this measurement location. Estimates of the relevant mean free paths for the various collision phenomena may be found in table IV.

Variation in electron number density in the plume is due to the combined effect of gas dynamic expansion and also recombination. Recombination may be the result of radiative, dissociative, or three body collisions in the exhaust. The reason for the lower concentration of electrons in the plume of the arcjet operating on mixtures is presumably due to the large amount of hydrogen in the flow. Hydrogen, although it has the same ionization potential as nitrogen, has significantly higher electron-ion recombination coefficients. Consequently, although the collision frequencies in the plume of the arcjet operating of pure nitrogen are probably higher than in the case of mixtures, as there are higher neutral densities, a smaller percentage of the charged species recombine. The data obtained thus far is insufficient to fully document the relative magnitudes of collisional effects on recombination in the arcjet exhaust.

#### Plume Data With Arcjet Operation on Mixtures - Thruster A

All of the experimental data presented herein apply to arcjet operation with nitrogen and hydrogen mixtures to simulate decomposed hydrazine. Figure 10 displays electron number density values obtained with the stationary spherical probe. The data in figure 10(a) were taken on the plume centerline, 30.5 cm downstream of the thruster exit, while the data in figure 10(b) were taken at the same axial station but 15.2 cm off axis. Because a set arc current did not always give a consistent arc voltage, the calculated electron number density values are plotted as a function of arc power. The various sysmbols, as described in the figures, denote the different arc current settings. The estimated uncertainty in the centerline data is fourteen percent. Both figures display an increase in measured electron number density with arc power. Further, within the limitations of the probe technique, there is a reasonable consistency in the measured values of electron number density for a given arc current and voltage. For example, the closed symbol data taken at 10 A (fig. 10(a)) were taken during the same test, but at time intervals of at least 40 to 80 min apart. Further, the open symbol data point at 10 A was taken during a different test date but at approximately the same operating condition.

Figure 11 displays corresponding values of electron temperature for the stationary probe on the plume centerline. A systematic variation in temperature with arc current, voltage, or power is not evident, as the scatter in data is fairly large. One might expect an increase in electron temperature with arc current, because the core temperature increases with current but, within the scatter of data found, this trend was not directly apparent, as shown in figure 11. However, it should be noted that the average temperature for measurements at 10 A is  $0.53 \pm 0.10 \text{ eV}$ , while at 11 A, the average value is slightly higher at  $0.64 \pm 0.10 \text{ eV}$ .

#### Variations in Probe Size and Geometry - Thruster A

Plume data were taken with spherical probes of two different radii at the off axis, stationary location. Referring back to figure 10(b), there is excellent agreement in measured number density between the two probes under similar arcjet operating conditions. However, differences do exist in the measured electron temperatures. Table V provides specific information on individual data points.

A comparison of probe geometry was also made using the movable probe arrangement. Table VI presents individual probe data taken with the spherical and cylindrical probes at an axial distance of 18.4 cm, on the plume centerline. The electron temperatures are relatively consistent independent of the probe geometry but there is a definite increase in measured electron number densities for the spherical probe data. There is an increased uncertainty in the cylindrical probe data due to the very small among of current drawn to the probe with bias voltage, but this in itself does not account for the discrepancy. Clayden (ref. 15) also found that spherical probes gave higher values of electron number densities than cylindrical shapes. It should be mentioned that a wetted surface area (one half of the spherical surface area) was used to interpret the spherical probe data. While this modification is made to account for the existence of a wake behind the probe which electrons are unable to penetrate (ref. 15), it may represent a source of error in interpretation of data and certainly warrants further investigation.

#### Radial Plume Measurements - Thruster A

A spherical probe was used to obtain a radial profile at an axial distance of 18.4 cm downstream of the thruster exit plane. Figure 12 presents the measured electron number density and temperature variation as a function of angle off plume centerline. As shown in figure 12(a), the measured number density decreases exponentially. The measured number density is approximately one half of the centerline value at an angle of 25°.

Figure 12(b) presents electron temperature values based on the same set of measurements. There is no apparent variation in temperature within the radial distance off centerline (approx 23 cm maximum). These findings are similar to previous investigations (refs. 7 and 15). Even though the neutral gas temperature varies markedly with radial position, the electrons do not follow this pattern because of the poor energy exchange between the heavy particle neutrals and the highly mobile electrons (ref. 14).

The radial plume measurements are again plotted in figure 12, as the ratio of electron number density to the centerline value. Also plotted is the magnitude of electron current collected by the probe, relative to its centerline value, when the probe is biased sufficiently positive of plasma potential, i.e.,  $V_p = +10$  V. As seen in the figure, the ratios  $n_e/n_{eO}$  and  $I_e/I_{eO}$  correspond reasonably well, indicating that the measurement of electron current in such a manner serves as an indicator of the relative distribution of the plume electron number density distribution, without the necessity of discrete V-I characteristics.

# Investigation of Plume Symmetry - Thruster B

Once the validity of the diagnostic technique was established with Thruster A, the plume surveys were continued using a thruster with new components in the arc constrictor and nozzle regions. As shown in figure 5, this thruster had slightly better performance. The first experiment conducted with this thruster investigated the symmetry of the exhaust about the plume centerline. Figure 14 displays the magnitude of electron current collected by the probe ( $V_p = 10$  V) as a function of distance off centerline. The decreasing curve on the right side of the thrust axis is an exponential fit of the data points. The increasing, dashed curve on the left is a mirror image of the first. As seen in the figure, there is a slight asymmetry to the magnitude of current collected and therefore, to the electron number density distribution also.

# Axial Plume Survey - Thruster B

An axial probe survey of Thruster B was also obtained by moving the arcjet with respect to the two centerline probes. Figure 15 displays electron number density as a function of distance along centerline. The data for the two probes of different size are in good agreement. The solid curve in the figure is best fit of the data points and decreases as the inverse of the distance squared.

# Plume Data with Arcjet Operation on Mixtures - Thruster B

A comparison of the cumulative plume data for Thrusters A and B at a constant mass flow rate of 0.045 g/sec is presented in figure 16. The open symbol data indicate an arc gap setting of 0.074 cm while the closed symbols denote a gap setting of 0.058 cm. As with the first arcjet tested, Thruster B exhibits an increase observed electron number density with arc power; however, the values are higher by factors of 2 to 3. There is also a noticeable difference in the measured electron temperatures of the second arcjet. Figure 17 displays electron temperatures at the measurement location 30.5 cm downstream of the nozzle exit. Since a large portion of the data were taken at variable flow rates, electron temperature is plotted as function of specific power; i.e., arc power/mass flow rate. The temperatures appear to be more consistent yet much lower than those of the first arcjet. It is apparent in the two previous figures that the individual thrusters have distinct plume characters; however, the data are insufficient to determine the roles that such variables as arc gap setting, arc anode attachment, arc constrictor and nozzle geometry, and strength of vortex propellant flow, have on the charged species distribution in the exhaust. In general, the two thrusters exhibited the same experimental trends; that is, as long as the thruster maintained a nonfluctuating voltage for a given arc current, an increase in centerline electron number density was observed with increasing arc power and constant mass flow rate or with increasing flow rate at constant current. This latter trend may be seen in figure 18, which plots measured electron number density as a function of specific power.

#### CONCLUDING REMARKS

Langmuir probes of spherical and cylindrical geometry have been used to investigate the exhaust of a low power, dc arcjet thruster operating on nitrogen and hydrogen mixtures. Preliminary measurements with a spherical probe located approximately 30 cm downstream of the thruster exit plane have indicated electron number densities on the order of  $5 \times 10^9/\text{cm}^3$  at temperatures between 0.2 to 0.5 eV. This low concentration of electrons represents less than 1 percent of the total centerline density. An increase in the measured number density was observed with increasing arc power at a constant mass flow rate and also with an increasing mass flow rate at constant current. In general, probes of different size yielded consistent results, within the limitations of the existing measurement technique. However, the spherical probe gave higher measured electron temperatures agreed.

A radial profile at a distance of 18 cm downstream of the thruster was obtained using a movable Langmuir probe of spherical geometry. The measured electron density profile decreased exponentially as a function of angle off centerline while the values of electron temperature exhibited no systemmatic variation with radial position. Similarly, an axial survey of the plume centerline revealed that the electron number density decreases as the inverse of the distance squared.

It is apparent that detailed plume surveys of the arcjet exhaust will require probes of different size and geometry. In some regions it may be impossible to avoid the use of complex probe theories in order to interpret the probe characteristics. The macroscopic effects of the flow field on sheath formation (thick sheath analysis) and also the application of continuum methods require investigation. Further, although the spherical probe does not require alignment with flow streamlines, there is some question about the true current collection surface area near plasma potential.

To obtain better measurement of ion saturation current, it will be necessary to obtain greater accuracy in the current collected by the probe, probably down to the tens of micro-amperes. This will permit information on ion temperatures and densities to also be extracted from a single probe characteristic. Lastly, vacuum facility pressure effects play a large role in the region where meaningful information can be obtained. The effects of facility pressure on the gas dynamic expansion and also the recombination rates will require detailed investigation.

# APPENDIX - COLLISION PHENOMENA

In order to apply collisionless theory to the Langmuir probe characteristic, it is important that both the probe radius and the Debye length are small in comparison with the representative mean free paths. In a plasma where the percentage of ionization is small, i.e., less than a few percent, collisions between charged species and also neutrals become relevant. Tables IV and VII list order of magnitude estimates of the most important mean free paths for the two primary plume centerline measurement locations. The collisions between charged species were calculated using Spitzer's relation (refs. 14 and 18) while the mean free paths between neutrals were calculated using gas kinetic theory. Electron-neutral collisions (both elastic and inelastic) were calculated using Maxwellian averaged cross sections (ref. 19) based on empirical data for the collision cross sections as a function of electron velocity. Ion-neutral mean free paths were estimated using the assumption that  $\lambda_{in}$  is approximately one-third of  $\lambda_{nn}$  (ref. 14).

The available experimental data were used to obtain necessary estimates of charged specie number densities at the two measurement locations. Reasonable values of the neutral densities, of both molecular and dissociated species, were not easily attainable. A detailed plume analysis requires a complete description of the nozzle flow as input. The highly viscous arcjet nozzle flow, coupled with the unwieldy size of the nozzles, precludes the immediate adaptation of conventional nozzle flow computational techniques. Further, the nonequilibrium nature of the flow, in conjunction with the fact that considerable amount of heat addition occurs in the nozzle itself, add considerable complexity to the problem.

The following technique was employed to describe the expansion of the neutral gas mixture into vacuum. A source flow analysis (ref. 20) which has demonstrated reasonable agreement with measurements of similar flow fields was adapted. The technique is a modified version of Simons' method which describes the density at a given location in the plume in terms of a centerline density which is calculated from continuity relations. Major plume parameters are written in terms of conditions at the nozzle exit and also the boundary layer thickness.

A 1-D, isentropic analysis of the nozzle flow would be invalid in this situation. The arcjet nozzle temperature profile is very steep along the nozzle centerline; however, the majority of the gas flow passes through the relatively cooler boundary layer. The centerline Mach number will probably be reduced and the viscous nature of the flow will probably lend itself to a very large portion of the boundary layer as being subsonic. For this analysis, experimental performance data were used to obtain nozzle exit properties. The boundary layer thickness was assumed to be approximately seventy percent of the exit radius. Conditions at the nozzle throat were calculated using a discharge coefficient of 0.9 and an assumed Mach number of 1.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The efforts and assistance of the following individuals are gratefully acknowledged: Dr. Theo G. Keith for his helpful suggestions regarding the implementation of the diagnostic technique; Mr. Thomas W. Haag and Dr. Francis M. Curran for the integration and maintenance of the laboratory arcjet

thruster; Mr. Elmer R. Theman for his help in design and implementation of the Langmuir probe drive system; and Messrs. Craig N. Horak and Charles A. Reuger for their technical support during the plume experiments.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Curran, F.W.; and Haag, T.W.: Arcjet Component Conditions Through a Multistart Test. AIAA Paper 87-1060 May 1987 (NASA TM 89857).
- 2. Gruber, R.P.: Power Electronics for a 1-kilowatt Arcjet Thruster. AIAA Paper 86-1507 June 1986 (NASA TM-87340).
- Hardy, T.L.; and Curran, F.M.: Low Power dc Arcjet Operation with Hydrogen/Nitrogen/Ammonia Mixtures. AIAA Paper 87-1948, June 1987 (NASA TM-89876).
- Haag, T.W.; and Curran, F.W.: Arcjet Starting Reliability: A Multistart Test on Hydrogen/Nitrogen Mixtures. AIAA 87-1061, May 1987 (NASA TM-89867).
- McCaughey, O.J.: Development of a Large Plasmajet Rocket Engine for Attitude and Orbit Control. (FR-112-651, Plasmadyne Corp.; NASA Contract NAS5-651) NASA CR-58268, 1964.
- 6. Van Camp, W.M., et al.: Analysis of an Arc-jet Exhaust. NASA CR-54102, 1964.
- 7. Van Camp, W.M., et al.: Study of Arc-jet Propulsion Devices. NASA CR-54691, 1966.
- 8. Limbaugh, C.C.: Determination of the Excited State Density Distribution Within a Nonequilibrium, Freely Expanding Argon Arcjet Plume. AEDC-TR-77-23, Mar. 1977. (Avail. NTIS, AD-A037352.)
- 9. Chen, F.: Electric Probes. Plasma Diagnostic Techniques. R.H. Huddleston and S.L. Leonard, eds., Academic Press, 1965.
- 10. de Leeuw, J.J.: Electrostatic Plasma Probes. Physico-Chemical Dianostics of Plasmas, T.P. Anderson, R.W. Springer, and R.C. Warder, Jr., eds. Northwestern University Press, 1964, pp. 65-95. (AIAA Paper 63-370.)
- 11. Chung, P.M.; Talbot, L.; and Touryan, K.J.: Electric Probes in Stationary and Flowing Plasmas. Theory and Application. Springer-Verlag, 1975.
- 12. Laframboise, J.G.: Theory of Spherical and Cylindrical Laugmuir Propes in a Collisionless, Maxwellian Plasma at Rest. University of Toronto Institute for Aerospaces Studies, UTIAS-100, June 1966. (Avail. NTIS, AD-634596.)
- 13. French, J.B.: Langmuir Probes in Flowing Low Density Plasma. University of Toronto Institute of Aerophysics, UTIA-79, Aug. 1961.

- 14. Sonin, A.A.: the Behavior of Free Molecule Cylindrical Langmuir Probes in Supersonic Flows, and Their Application to the Study of the Blunt Body Stagnation Layer. University of Toronto Institute for Aerospace Studies, UTIAS-109, Aug. 1965. (Avail. NTIS, AD-626451.)
- 15. Clayden, W.A.: Langmuir Probe Measurements in the R.A.R.D.E. Plasma Jet. Third International Symposium on Rarefied Gas Dynamics, Advances in Applied Mechanics, Vol. 2, J.A. Laurmann, ed., Academic Press, 1962, pp. 435-470.
- 16. Curran, F.M.: Personal communication. NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio, 1987.
- 17. Beattie, J.R.. Numerical Procedure for Analyzing Langmuir Probe Data. AIAA J., vol. 13, no. 7, July 1975, pp. 950-952.
- Spitzer, L. Jr.: Physics of Fully Ionized Gases, Interscience Publishers Inc., New York, 1956.
- 19. Sovie, R.J.; and Klein, B.M.: Volume Ion Production in a Tenuous Helium Plasma. NASA TN D-2324, 1964.
- 20. Zana, L.M., et al.: An Analytical and Experimental Investigation of Resistojet Plumes. AIAA Paper 87–0399, Jan. 1987. (NASA TM-88852.)

	Thruster A	Thruster B
Nozzle geometry Exit radius, R <sub>e</sub> Throat radius, R* Half angle, φ Area ratio, A <sub>e</sub> /A*	4.76x10-3 m 2.92x10-4 m 20° 266	4.95x10 <sup>-3</sup> m 3.18x10 <sup>-4</sup> m 20° 243
ARC constrictor geometry Constrictor diameter, D <sub>C</sub> Constrictor length, L <sub>C</sub> Arc gap setting, G	6.35x10-4 m 6.35x10-4 m 7.37x10-4 m	6.35x10-4 m 2.54x10-4 m 7.37x10-4 m 5.84x10-4 m

TABLE I. - ARCJET THRUSTER DIMENSIONS

TOPE II. THINGS INCOME THEORY AND A STATEMENT OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE	ABLE 1	II 1	IYPICAL	ARCJET	THRUSTER	PERFORMANCE	CHARACTERISTI	CS
--	--------	------	---------	--------	----------	-------------	---------------	----

(THRUSTER A)

	H <sub>2</sub> :N <sub>2</sub> mixture ratio	2:1	2:1	3:1	3:1
	N2 flow rate, slpm	2.00	2:00	1.70	1.70
	H <sub>2</sub> flow rate, slpm	4.00	4:00	5.10	5.10
	Total mass flow rate, g/sec	0.044	0.044	0.041	0.041
	Average molecular weight	10.6	10.6	8.5	8.5
	Inlet pressure, N/m <sup>2</sup>	4.58x10 <sup>5</sup>	4.66x10 <sup>5</sup>	4.53x10 <sup>5</sup>	4.71x10 <sup>5</sup>
1	Arc voltage, V	93.0	95.4	95.3	92.5
	Arc current, A	10.0	11	10	12
	Arc power, W	930	1049	953	1110
ļ	Thrust, a	17.3	18.0	17.0	17.6
	Specific impulse, sec	393	409	414	430
	Overall efficiency, percent	34	33	34	32
	Cold flow Isp. sec	114	114	125	125
	Cold flow thrust, g	5.0	5.0	5.1	5.1
					1

TABLE III. - TYPICAL ARCJET THRUSTER PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS

	H <sub>2</sub> :N <sub>2</sub> mixture ratio	2:1	2:1	3:1	3:1
1	Nɔ̃ fl̃ow rate, slpm	2.00	2:00	1.62	1.55
	H <sub>2</sub> flow rate, slpm	4.00	4:00	3.25	3.10
	Total mass flow rate, g/sec	0.049	0.049	0.040	0.038
1	Average molecular weight	10.6	10.6	8.5	10.6
	Inlet pressure, N/m <sup>2</sup>	4.28x10 <sup>5</sup>	4.44x10 <sup>5</sup>	3.89x105	4.10x10 <sup>5</sup>
	Arc voltage, V	104.3	104.5	98.3	97.5
	Arc current, A	10.0	11.0	11.0	11.0
	Arc power, W	1043	1150	1085	1072
1	Thrust, g	20.3	21.4	18.3	17.8
	Specific impulse, sec	411	433	460	470
	Overall efficiency, percent	37	37	36	37
	Cold flow Isp, sec	117	117	118	<b></b>
	Cold flow thrust, g	5.8	5.8	4.7	
		1			

(THRUSTER B)

-----

TABLE IV. - RELEVANT COLLISION PHENOMENA AND ESTIMATED MEAN FREE PATHS

,

[Location:	Plume	centerline,	X	=	30.5	cm.]

Type of collision	Collision partners	Mean free path, cm	Assumptions
Elastic	ion-ion electron-electron ion-electron electron-N <sub>2</sub> electron-N <sub>2</sub> electron-H <sub>2</sub> electron-H <sub>2</sub> ion-N <sub>2</sub> ion-H <sub>2</sub>	4x101 1x102 1x102 8x103 1x103 2x104 4x102 7x102 7x101 4x102 6x101 2x101	use rms v <sub>i</sub> ; kT <sub>i</sub> = 0.1 ev; n <sub>i</sub> = 8x10 <sup>9</sup> use rms ve; kT <sub>e</sub> = 0.8 eV; n <sub>e</sub> = 8x10 <sup>9</sup> $\lambda_{ei} \sim \lambda_{ee}$ (ref. 14) n <sub>i</sub> = 8x10 <sup>9</sup> (refs. 18 and 14) kT <sub>e</sub> = 0.8 eV Q = 7x10 <sup>-8</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> /sec; n <sub>N</sub> = 4.6x10 <sup>11</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup> Q = 9x10-8 cm <sup>3</sup> /sec; n <sub>H</sub> = 4.0x10 <sup>12</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup> Q = 1x10 <sup>-7</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> /sec; n <sub>H</sub> = 1.4x10 <sup>12</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup> $\lambda_{in} \sim 0.3 \lambda_{nn}$
Inelastic	N <sup>2</sup> -N <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> -H <sub>2</sub> N-N H-H electron-N <sub>2</sub> (ioniz.) electron-H <sub>2</sub> electron -N <sub>2</sub> (excit.) electron-H <sub>2</sub>	2×10 <sup>2</sup> 4×10 <sup>1</sup> 1×10 <sup>3</sup> 6×10 <sup>2</sup> 5×10 <sup>5</sup>  7×10 <sup>6</sup>	$n_{N_{2}} = 9 \times 10^{11} / \text{cm}^{3}$ $n_{N_{2}} = 9 \times 10^{11} / \text{cm}^{3}; n_{H_{2}} = 1.7 \times 10^{12} / \text{cm}^{3}$ $n_{N} = 2 \times 10^{11} / \text{cm}^{3}$ $n_{H} = 6 \times 10^{11} / \text{cm}^{3}$ $Q = 6 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^{3} / \text{sec}$ $Q = 4 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^{3} / \text{sec}$

Thruster operating condition				
Arc current, A	10.9	10.9	10.8	10.9
Arc voltage, V	100	104	104	103
Arc power, W	1090	1134	1123	1123
Mass flow rate, slpm	2.0/4.0	2.0/4.0	2.0/4.0	2.0/4.0
Mass flow rate, g/sec	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.045
Inlet pressure, N/m <sup>2</sup>	4.8x105	4.8x10 <sup>5</sup>	4.8x105	4.8x105
Tank pressure, N/m <sup>2</sup>	4.9x10-2	$5.1 \times 10 - 2$	5.2x10-2	5.2x10-2
Floating voltage, V	+0.4	+0.1	+0.3	+0.4
[(+) to grd]				
Langmuir probe information				
Probe type	Sph	Sph	Sph	Sph
Probe radius, cm	0.562	0.562	0.794	0.794
Plume characteristics				
Electron temperature, K	1.2x10 <sup>4</sup>	$1.2 \times 10^4$	6.3x103	7.7x10 <sup>3</sup>
Electron temperature, eV	1.0	1.1	0.54	0.66
Electron number density. cm <sup>-3</sup>	1.7x10 <sup>9</sup>	2.0x109	2.0x109	1.6x10 <sup>9</sup>
Plasma potential. V [with	+1.4	+1.1	+0.9	+1.0
respect to facility grd]				
Electron sat. current. mA	9.0	10.7	15.6	13.4
Debve length, cm	1.8x10-2	$1.7 \times 10^{-2}$	1.2x10-2	$1.5 \times 10^{-2}$
Rp/λp	31	33	66	53
				55

TABLE V. - COMPARISON OF LANGMUIR PROBE SIZE VARIATION

[Location: x = 30.5 cm; r = 15.2 cm.]

TABLE VI. - COMPARISON OF LANGMUIR PROBE GEOMETRY VARIATION

·····	r	T	r		r	,
Thruster operating condition						
Arc current, A	10.1	10.9	10.9	10.9	10.9	10.8
Arc voltage, V	108	98	103	94	94	92
Arc power, W	1091	1068	1123	1025	1025	994
Mass flow rate, slpm	2.0/4.0	2.0/4.0	2.0/4.0	2.0/4.0	2.0/4.0	2.0/4.0
Mass flow rate, g/sec	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.045
Inlet pressure, N/m <sup>2</sup>	4.7x105	4.8x10 <sup>5</sup>	4.9x10 <sup>5</sup>	4.8x10 <sup>5</sup>	4.8x105	4.7x105
Tank pressure, N/m <sup>2</sup>	5.0x10 <sup>-2</sup>	4.9x10 <sup>-2</sup>	4.9x10 <sup>-2</sup>	5.3x10 <sup>-2</sup>	5.2x10 <sup>2</sup>	5.1x10 <sup>2</sup>
Floating voltage, V	+0.6	+0.4	+0.4	+0.1	0.0	0.0
[(+) to grd]						
Langmuir probe information						
Probe type	Sph	Sph	Sph	Cy1	Cy1	Cy1
Probe radius, cm	0.316	0.316	0.316	0.057	0.057	0.057
Probe length, cm				0.476	0.476	0.476
Plume characteristics						
Electron temperature, K	8.6x103	1.0x104	8.0x10 <sup>3</sup>	1.2x104	8.5x103	1.5x104
Electron temperature, eV	0.74	0.86	0.69	1.0	0.73	1.3
Electron number density, $cm^{-3}$	8.0x109	6.2x109	7.8x109	2.5x109	3.0x109	2.6x109
Plasma potential, V [with	+1.5	+0.4	+1.4	+1.0	+0.8	+0.7
respect to facility grd]		1				
Electron sat. current. mA	11.6	9.5	10.8	2.3	2.4	1.4
Debye length, cm	7.1x10-3	8.8x10-3	7.0x10-3	1.5x10-2	1.2x10-2	1.7x10-2
Rp/ln	45	36	45	4	6	3
Ain/Rn	63	63	63	350	350	350
h		00		550	550	550

1.4

[Location: x = 18.4 cm; plume centerline.]

# TABLE VII. - RELEVANT COLLISION PHENOMENA AND ESTIMATED MEAN FREE PATHS

Type of collision	Collision partners	Mean free path, cm	Assumptions
Elastic	ion-ion electron-electron ion-electron electron-N2 electron-N2 electron-H2 electron-H2 ion-N2 ion-N2 ion-H2 ion-H2	2x101 1x102 1x102 4x103 5x102 5x103 1x102 3x102 3x101 2x102 2x101 1x101	use rms v <sub>j</sub> ; kT <sub>j</sub> = 0.1 ev; ni = 8x10 <sup>9</sup> use rms ve; kT <sub>e</sub> = 0.8 eV; n <sub>e</sub> = 8x10 <sup>9</sup> $\lambda_{ei} \sim \lambda_{ee}$ (ref. 14) n <sub>j</sub> = 8x10 <sup>9</sup> (refs. 18 and 14) kT <sub>e</sub> = 0.8 eV Q = 7x10 <sup>-8</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> /sec; n <sub>N</sub> = 4.6x10 <sup>11</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup> Q = 9x10 <sup>-8</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> /sec; n <sub>H</sub> = 4.0x10 <sup>12</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup> Q = 1x10 <sup>-7</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> /sec; n <sub>H</sub> = 1.4x10 <sup>12</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup> $\lambda_{in} \sim 0.3 \lambda_{nn}$
Inelastic	N <sup>2</sup> -N <sup>2</sup> N2-H2 N-N H-H electron-N <sub>2</sub> (ioniz.) electron-H <sub>2</sub> electron-H <sub>2</sub>	9x10 <sup>1</sup> 6x10 <sup>1</sup> 7x10 <sup>1</sup> 5x10 <sup>2</sup> 3x10 <sup>5</sup> 	$n_{N_{2}} = 2.1 \times 10^{12} / \text{cm}^{3}$ $n_{H_{2}} = 4.6 \times 10^{12} / \text{cm}^{3};$ $n_{N} = 4.6 \times 10^{11} / \text{cm}^{3};$ $n_{H} = 1.2 \times 10^{12} / \text{cm}^{3}$ $Q = 7 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^{3} / \text{sec}$ $Q = 4 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^{3} / \text{sec}$

[Location: Plume centerline, x = 18.4 cm.]



FIGURE 1. - IDEAL LANGMUIR PROBE CHARACTERISTIC WITH RESPECT TO AN ARBITRARY REFERENCE POINT.





FIGURE 3. - ELECTRICAL SCHEMATIC OF LANGMOIR PROBE DRIVE CIRCUIT AND DATA ACQUISITION.

5



(A) CROSS SECTIONAL SCHEMATIC OF ARCJET.



(B) BLOWUP OF ARC CONSTRICTOR REGION FIGURE 4. - SCHEMATIC DIAGRAMS OF THE ARCJET THRUSTER.





FIGURE 6. - PHOTOGRAPH OF ARCJET THRUSTER MOUNTED ON CRADLE ASSEMBLY.

1000 E









FIGURE 9. - TYPICAL V-I CHARACTERISTIC FOR SPHERICAL PROBE: X = 30.5 cm, PLUME CENTERLINE; THRUSTER  $A-N_2:H_2$ MIXTURE.









23



FIGURE 13. - COMPARISON OF RATIOS  $n_e/n_{e0}$  AND  $I_e/I_{e0}$  AS A FUNCTION OF ANGLE OFF CENTERLINE.







FIGURE 15. - ELECTRON NUMBER DENSITY AS A FUNCTION OF DIS-TANCE ALONG PLUME CENTERLINE.













NASSA National Aeronautics and Space Administration	Rep	ort Docume	nentation Page				
1. Report No. NASA TM-100258	2.	Government Accessio	n No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No	<b>).</b>		
4. Title and Subtitle An Experimental In Exhaust Using Lang	vestigation muir Probes	of an Arcje	t Thruster	5. Report Date April 1988 6. Performing Organization	on Code		
7. Author(s) Lynnette M. Carney				<ol> <li>8. Performing Organization</li> <li>E-3883</li> <li>0. Work Unit No.</li> </ol>	on Report No.		
0. Padamina Oraciation Noraci		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		506-42-31			
National Aeronautic Lewis Research Cen	Address cs and Space ter 4135-3191	e Administra	tion	1. Contract or Grant No.			
		· · · · · ·	1	3. Type of Report and Pe	riod Covered		
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Add	ress			Technical M	lemorandum		
National Aeronautic Washington, D.C. 2	cs and Space 20546-0001	e Administra	tion <sup>1</sup>	4. Sponsoring Agency Co	de		
15. Supplementary Notes					t		
the Master of Scier Toledo, Toledo, Ohi	ice Degree i io in Octobe	in Mechanica er 1987.	l Engineering	to The Univers	ity of		
Electrostatic (Lang been used to obtain laboratory arcjet. tures to simulate f ground pressures le ionized (less than The current-voltage ture distribution. condition and also	muir) probe l electron n The arcjet fully decomp ss than 5x1 l percent) characteri Plume data position in	es of both s number densi t thruster o bosed hydraz 10 <sup>-2</sup> Pa. Th with local istics of th a are presen the exhaus	pherical and cy ty and temperat perated on nitr ine in a vacuum e exhaust appea plasma potentia e probes indica ted as a functi t.	vlindrical geo cure in the ex ogen and hydr n environment ars to be only als near facil te a Maxwelli on of arcjet o	metry have haust of a ogen mix- with back- slightly ity ground. an tempera- operating		
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)	)		18. Distribution Statement				
Electrothermal thru Electric propulsion Electrostatic probe	sters; ; Plumes; s; Arcjet t	hrusters	Unclassified Subject Cate	- Unlimited gory 20			
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified	20. Secu	urity Classif. (of this pa	age) ified	21. No of pages	22. Price*		

\*For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161