N93-31577

CONSTITUTIVE AND DAMAGE MATERIAL MODELING IN A HIGH PRESSURE HYDROGEN ENVIRONMENT D. A. Russell and L. G. Fritzemeier

D. A. Russell and L. G. Fritzemeier Rocketdyne Division Rockwell International Canoga Park, California 91303

Numerous components operating in reusable space propulsion systems such as the Space Shuttle Main Engine (SSME) are exposed to high pressure gaseous hydrogen environments. Shown (Figure 1.0) is the SSME Powerhead. Flow areas and passages in the fuel turbopump, fuel and oxidizer preburners, main combustion chamber and injector assembly contain high pressure hydrogen either high in purity or as hydrogen rich steam. As can be seen, this includes many components such as turbine disks and blades, impellers, housings, ducts, etc. Accurate constitutive and damage material models applicable to high pressure hydrogen environments are therefore needed for engine design and analysis. Existing constitutive and cyclic crack initiation models have been evaluated only for conditions of oxidizing environments. The purpose of this effort (Figure 2.0) is to evaluate these models for applicability to high pressure hydrogen environments.

Material behavior is known to be significantly affected by high pressure hydrogen environments (Figure 3.0). For the materials typically employed in rocket engine applications, hydrogen environment embrittlement is most severe near room temperature (Ref. 1). Tensile ductility and notched bar ultimate tensile strength reductions are typically reduced by the hydrogen environment. The room temperature embrittlement effects increase with increasing pressure (Ref. 2). Although the room temperature tensile properties of the superalloys are relatively insensitive to strain rate effects, hydrogen environment embrittlement is strain rate sensitive. Low cycle fatigue lives, especially crack initiation lives, are also reduced by the hydrogen environment (Ref. 3). Thermal activation and time dependent deformation (creep) also become important as temperature increases (Ref. 4). Indications are that the presence of hydrogen can accelerate creep effects by enhancing dislocation mobility (Ref. 5).

The program flow chart (Figure 4.0) is shown for each material. Constitutive modeling effort precedes life modeling. Therefore constitutive test results can be utilized to provide a preliminary projection of crack initiation results, ductility normalized, (Ref. 6) as well as identify target test values for σ , \in , $\dot{\epsilon}$, etc. During life testing, specimen constitutive response will be measured to provide an added database for constitutive model verification including any modifications. The experimental phase will begin with the selected isotropic material, followed by the anisotropic material. Both helium and hydrogen testing will be performed to obtain contrasting inert and aggressive environment test results.

Alloy and material model selections as well as test conditions have been defined. Currently, existing data is being surveyed and compiled to provide initial estimates for model constants and to guide test details. Inconel 718 was selected as the isotropic material due to its extensive usage on the SSME, its susceptibility to Hydrogen Environment Embrittlement (HEE) and the existence of service-related hydrogen assisted cracking. PWA 1480 was selected as the single crystal material, due mainly to its development for potential usage on the SSME as a blade material and its known susceptibility to HEE. The nominal properties of

187



these two materials are presented (Figure 5.0) along with the test specimen geometry (Figure 6.0). The maximum test temperatures and pressures (Figure 7.0) correspond to maximum usage on the SSME. Due to the known enhanced HEE effects at room temperature ambient temperature testing shall also be performed.

Recent emphasis on constitutive model development has concentrated on unified viscoplastic models wherein plastic, creep and relaxation strains are treated as one strain component, the inelastic strain. Constitutive model characterization tests (Figure 8.0) will be carried out at both room and elevated temperature in helium and hydrogen environments. The Walker model was chosen for the isotropic material, Inconel 718 and Walker's anisotropic model was selected for PWA 1480 (Figure 9.0, Refs. 7, 8, 9). A modification based on Valanis' material time scale has been developed in-house to extend these rate dependent models into the rate independent (low temperature) regime (Figure 10.0).

Recent crack initiation model development has emphasized life prediction in oxidizing environments under such loading complexities as thermomechanical fatigue, cyclic creep, multiaxial and variable amplitude loading. For assessment in a hydrogen environment, the total strain version of strain range partitioning (TS-SRP) (Refs. 10, 11) was selected for constant amplitude loading conditions. Isothermal testing will be performed to determine the four basic inelastic strainrange life relations, PP, PC, CP, CC as well as the elastic strain-range life relation (Figure 11.0). Due to the preponderance of thermal gradient driven strain cycling of SSME hardware, the most important non-isothermal loop types to consider are out-of-phase PP and PC. To better simulate the impact of thermal mechanical fatigue (TMF), out-of-phase bithermal testing will be performed in PP cycling (Figure 12.0). Reasonable estimates of strain cycle history relative to TMF can be obtained where free thermal expansion strains can be easily subtracted from the total strain.

Cumulative damage will be assessed via the advanced NASA-developed models, Damage Curve Approach (Figure 13.0) and Double Linear Damage Rule (Ref. 12). The nature of these models is to accumulate damage nonlinearly with high-low load sequences being more damaging than low-high. Isothermal tests will be run to provide baseline data and a bithermal LCF test followed by HCF is planned as a verification experiment.

The experimental program as proposed shall include uniaxial monotonic, cyclic (both isothermal and bithermal), creep and relaxation tests to investigate the applicability of these chosen models and to provide a basis for necessary modifications (Figure 14) arising from model deficiencies should they occur.

*Work performed under NASA contract NAS3-26130

REFERENCES

- Fritzemeier, L. G.; and Chandler, W. T.: "Hydrogen Embrittlement Rocket Engine Applications", in <u>Superalloys, Supercomposites and Superceramics</u>, Tien, J. K. and Caulfield, T., Editors, Academic Press Inc., San Diego, 1989.
- Jewett, R. P.; Walter, R. J.; Chandler, W. T.; and Fromberg, R. P.: "Hydrogen - Environment Embrittlement of Metals", NASA CR-2163, Final Report to NAS8-19(C), 1971.
- 3. Fritzemeier, L. G.; Walter, R. J.; Meisels, A. P.; and Jewett, R. P.: in "<u>Hydrogen Effects on Material Behavior</u>", Moody, N. R. and Thompson, A. W., Editors, pp. 941-954, 1990.
- Mucci, J.; and Harns, J. A.: Final Report to NAS8-30744, Exhibits B and C, 1976.
- Robertson, I. M.; and Birnbaum, H. K.: Acta Met., Vol. 34, No. 3, pp. 353-366, 1986.
- 6. Halford, G. R.; Saltsman, J. F.; and Hirschberg, M. H.: "Ductility Normalized - Strainrange Partitioning Life Relations for Creep-Fatigue Life Predictions", NASA TM-73737, 1977.
- Walker, K. P.: "Research and Development for Nonlinear Structural Modeling with Advanced Time-Temperature Dependent Constitutive Relationships", NASA CR-165533, November 1981.
- Walker, K. P.; and Jordan, E.: "Biaxial Constitutive Modeling and Testing of Single Crystal Superalloy at Elevated Temperature", Engineering Science Software, Inc., Report No. ESS-SCD-8512, September 1985.
- 9. Chang, K. J.; and Newell, J. F.: "A Material Time Application to Viscoplasticity Theories", in STRUCENG & FEMCAD – Structural Engineering and Optimization, Zarkd, J. and Ohtmer, O., Editors, November, 1990.
- 10. Saltsman, J. F.; and Halford, G. R.: "Life Prediction of Thermomechanical Fatigue Using Total Strain Version of Strainrange Partitioning (SRP) -- A proposal, NASA TP 2779, February 1988.
- Manson, S. S.; and Zab, R.: "A Framework for Estimation of Environmental Effect in High Temperature Fatigue", in <u>Proc. of Conf. Environmental</u> <u>Degradation of Engineering Materials</u>, October, 1977.
- 12. Manson, S. S.; and Halford, G. R.: "Practical Implementation of the Double Linear Damage Rule and Damage Curve Approach for Treating Cumulative Fatigue Damage", NASA TM 81517, April 1980.



COMPONENTS EXPOSED TO HEGH PRESSURE HYDROGEN

- DETERMINE REGIMES OF MATERIAL BEHAVIOR ALTERED BY HIGH PRESSURE H_2 EVALUATE EXISTING MATERIAL MODELS FOR HIGH PRESSURE H_2 APPLICABILITY PROPOSE MODEL MODIFICATIONS AS REQUIRED

$$e_e = B \left(\frac{\Delta e}{-\zeta} \right) \frac{B}{\Delta e}$$



CONSTITUTIVE MODEL

LIFE MODEL

CUMULATIVE DAMAGE MODEL

FIGURE 2.0 MATERIAL MODELING TASK OBJECTIVES



FIGURE 3.0 MATERIAL ISSUES RELATED TO HIGH PRESSURE HYDROGEN



H2 AND HE TESTING

ISOTROPIC MATERIAL INCONEL 718

NOMINAL COMPOSITION (WEIGHT PERCENT)

 CR
 19
 MO
 3

 NB
 +
 T2
 5
 TI
 1.0

 AL
 0.5
 FE
 18.5

 NI
 BAL
 18.5

HEAT_IREATMENT

1400°F/10 HRS/FURNACE COOL TO

1200°F/HOLD TO 20 HOURS TOTAL

1900°F/30 MIN/AIR COOL

SINGLE CRYSTAL MATERIAL PWA 1480

NOMINAL COMPOSITION (WEIGHT PERCENT)

 CR - 10
 CO - 5

 W - 4
 TI - 1.5

 TA - 12
 AL - 5

 NI - BAL.

HEAT_IREATMENT_AND_PROCESSING (TYPICAL TIMES AND TEMPERATURES)

2350°F/4 HOURS/AIR COOL HOT ISOSTATIC PRESS/4 HOURS/2350°F/15 KS1 1975°F/4 HOURS 1600°F/32 HOURS

TENSILE	PROPE	RTIES	(TYPICAL),	KSI	TENSILE	PROPERTI	IES ((TYPICAL)	<001>,	KSI
	RI650°C				R1					
	HE	H2	HE			H£	H2	HE		
FTV	160	160	140		FTY	145	14(0 100		
F	185	185	160		FTH	165	150	0 140		
ELONG.%	20	11	20		ELONG	.% 5.4	2.5	5 12		

FIGURE 5.0 MATERIAL SELECTIONS

SPECIMEN DIMENSIONS

HTFV Button Head Fatigue Sample



H₂ VESSEL DESCRIPTION/INSTRUMENTATION DESCRIPTION

- 10,000 PS1/1800°F FATIGUE SYSTEM
- INTERNAL LOAD AND ON-SPECIMEN STRAIN CAPABILITY
- SELF COMPENSATING (TEMP., PRESSURE, ENVIRONMENT) CAPACITANCE EXTENSOMETER
- FULL COMPUTER TEST CONTROL AND DATA MANAGEMENT

FIGURE 6.0 TEST SPECIMEN AND VESSEL DESCRIPTION



FIGURE 7.0 LEST TEMPERATORES AND PRESSURES

Monotonic Tensile Tests



Cyclic Tests



Creep/Relaxation Tests



Figure 8.0 CONSTITUTIVE MODEL CHARACTERIZATION TESTS

ISOTROPIC CONSTITUTIVE MODEL

Material Selected: INCO-718

Model Selected: Walker's Isotropic Model

Modification: Employ Material Time Concept

Formulation:

(') =
$$\frac{d}{dz}$$
 ('); and $\dot{z} = \frac{dz}{dt}$
• The Flow Rule;
 $\vec{v}_{ij} = \left(\frac{\left\|\frac{z}{2}\hat{S} - \hat{\Omega}\right\|}{K}\right)^* \frac{\left(\frac{z}{2}S_{ij} - \Omega_{ij}\right)}{\left\|\frac{z}{2}\hat{S} - \hat{\Omega}\right\|}$
• The Evolution Equations:
 $K = K_1 - K_2 e^{-i\pi R}$
 $\hat{\Omega}_{ij} = (n_1 + n_1) \vec{v}_{ij}^* + \vec{v}_{ij} \frac{\partial n_1}{\partial \Theta} \hat{\Theta}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$

$$= \left(\Omega_{ij} - \stackrel{\bullet}{\Omega}_{ij} - n_1 \epsilon^{\bullet}_{ij} \right) \left(\stackrel{\bullet}{G} - \stackrel{\bullet}{n_1} \frac{\partial n_1}{\partial \Theta} \stackrel{\bullet}{\Theta} \frac{1}{\hat{z}} \right)$$

• The Hooke's Law:

 $\dot{\sigma}$

$$egin{array}{rcl} \eta &=& 2\mu\left(\dot{\epsilon}_{ij}\,-\dot{\epsilon}_{ij}^p
ight)\,+\,\lambda\,\delta_{ij}\,\dot{\epsilon}_{kk} \ &=& 2\mu\left(\dot{\epsilon}_{ij}\,-\dot{\epsilon}_{ij}^p\,\,\dot{z}
ight)\,+\,\lambda\,\delta_{ij}\,\dot{\epsilon}_{kk} \end{array}$$

SINGLE CRYSTAL CONSTITUTIVE MODEL

Material Selected: PWA-1480

Model <u>Selected</u>: Walker's Single Crystal Model <u>Modification</u>: Employ Material Time Concept

Formulation:

$$\dot{\gamma}_r = \left(\frac{|\pi_r - \omega_r|}{K_r}\right)^{p-1} \left(\frac{\pi_r - \omega_r}{K_r}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ine Evolution Equations for } \omega_r \text{ and } K_r \\ & \dot{\omega}_r = \rho_1 \dot{\gamma}_r - \rho_2 \left| \dot{\gamma}_r \right| \omega_r - \rho_3 \left| \omega_r \right|^{n-1} \omega_r \\ & \dot{K}_r - \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{12} |\beta| |q + (1-q)\delta_{rk}| - \eta(K_r - K)| \left| \dot{\gamma}_r \right| \right\} - h(K_r - K) \end{aligned}$$

- The Inelastic Strain Rate:
 - $\hat{\epsilon}_{ij}^{\mathbf{p}} = \sum_{r=1}^{12} a_{ij}^r \, \hat{\gamma}_r \, + \sum_{r=1}^{6} b_{ij}^r \, \hat{\gamma}_r$
- The Hooke's Law: $\hat{\sigma}_{ij} = D_{ijkl} \left\{ \hat{\epsilon}_{kl} - \sum_{r=1}^{12} (\hat{\epsilon}_{kl}^{p})_{r}^{p,l} - \sum_{r=1}^{6} (r_{kl}^{p})_{r}^{r, whr} \right\}$

Figure 9.0 WALKER'S UNIFIED CONSTITUTIVE MODELS

Basic Ideal

- Material experiences deformation and remembers its deformation history.
- Thus, the time felt by material should also include the effect of deformation.

Expression of the Material Time Increment

$$dz = \sqrt{\lambda_{ijkl} \epsilon_{ij} \epsilon_{kl} + g dt^2}$$

where λ_{ijkl} and g are material parameters.

,

Proposed Use of the Material Time

Change the time derivatives in a viscoplastic formulation into derivatives with respect to the material time.

- * It reduces to the original viscoplastic formulation when λ_{ijkl} is null and g = 1 (used for high temperature cases)
- * It has no rate dependence while plasticity remains when λ_{ijkl} is constant and g = 0 (applicable for low temperatures)

Figure 10.0 THE MATERIAL TIME CONCEPT



STRESS STRESS PLASTI CREE STRAIN TRAIN ∆e_CF ALPP *plastic* PLASTIC (a) PP TYPE CYCLE. IN CP TYPE CYCLE. STRESS S TRESS PLASTIC CREEP - SIRAIN RAIN ۵_{cc} Δε_{PC} CREEP Id: CC TYPE CYELE. CREEP ICH PC TYPE CYCLE.





INTERACTION DAMAGE RULE:

$$\Sigma \frac{F_{ii}}{N_{ij}} = \frac{1}{N_{fo}} , \quad ij = pp, pc, cp, cc$$

FIGURE 11.0 DAMAGE MODEL TS-SRP (ISOTHERMAL) $R_{\varepsilon} = -1.0 \text{ AND } R_{\varepsilon} = 0.0 \text{ (PP)}$



FIGURE 12.0 COMPARISON BETWEEN BITHERMAL AND TMF CYCLING

Time Stral anaanaanaanaanaanaa {n/N}. 1

TWO STEP LOADING PATTERN



TWO LEVELS OF LOADING

N₁ & N₂ [N₁ N₂] $\frac{n_2}{\frac{N_2}{N_2}} = 1 - \left[\frac{n_1}{\frac{N_1}{N_1}}\right]$ LINITIAL LIFE FRACTION LREMAINING LIFE FRACTION

FIGURE 13.0 DAMAGE CURVE APPROACH