

## On-orbit Modulation Transfer Function (MTF) Measurements for IKONOS and QuickBird

## Civil Commercial Imagery Evaluation Workshop

3/15/2006

Dennis Helder, Jason Choi, and Cody Anderson Image Processing Laboratory Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Department South Dakota State University



# Outline

- Introduction
- Techniques
- Target/Site Description
- Results
  - Ikonos
  - Quickbird
- Conclusions

Other IP Lab Contributors: Tim Ruggles Jim Dewald Shriharsha Madhavan Russ VanDerWerff Amit Angal Cam Helder Larry Leigh Dave Aaron

This work was supported by NASA grant NNS04AB66C

## Introduction

- Point Spread Function
  - A method of evaluating the spatial resolution of an imaging system.
  - A measure of the spread of a single point of light.
- Modulation Transfer function (MTF)
  - MTF is a measure of the spatial frequency response.
  - MTF is often calculated from the point spread function (PSF).
  - System response at the Nyquist frequency (or 0.5 cycle/pixel) is often used as a figure of merit.





$$H(\omega_{x}, \omega_{y}) = \Im\{PSF(x, y)\}$$
$$MTF(\omega_{x}, \omega_{y}) = \frac{|H(\omega_{x}, \omega_{y})|}{|H(0, 0)|}$$

- 2-dimensional PSF and MTF are difficult to obtain.
- Often 1 dimensional functions are used:
  - 1-D PSF is the line spread function (LSF).
  - LSF can be obtained by differentiation of the edge spread function (ESF).

# Techniques

- Edge Method
  - Sub-pixel edge locations were found by Fermi function fit.
  - A least-square error line was calculated through the edge locations.
  - Modified Savitzky-Golay filtering was applied on each line.
  - The filtered profile was differentiated to obtain LSF
  - MTF calculated by applying Fourier transform to LSF.



Edge Method

- Pulse method
  - A pulse input is given to the imaging system.
  - Output of the system is the resulting image.
  - Edge detection and mSG filtering was applied to obtain output profile.
  - Take Fourier transform of the input and output.
  - MTF is calculated by dividing output by input and normalizing DC component to unity.



### **SNR** Definition



## **Target Description**



#### Field campaign pictures on 6-22-2005

- NASA Stennis Tarp Target
  - Radiometrically and spectrally stable target with a large DN difference from 3.6% and 52.1% reflectance panels.
  - Edge oriented to obtained sub-sampled edge profile.
  - Blue tarps oriented at same angle.





#### True North

NASA Stennis tarps

# **IKONOS** Acquisitions

• IKONOS Scene Information

Date	Sensor	Targets	Resampling or MTF processing	Product Type
8/1/2005 IKONOS	IVONOS	Stennis tarps	CC / MTFC On	Standard Geometrically Corrected
	Mirrors	CC / MTFC Off	Standard Geometrically Corrected	











-5

-4

-3

-2

-1

0

Pixel

1

2

3

4

5

Date	Sensor	Band	Product	Target	Elevation	Azimuth
8/1/2005	IKONOS	Blue	CC/MTF off	Blue tarp	66.0	118.7



Date	Sensor	Band	Product	Target	Elevation	Azimuth
8/1/2005	IKONOS	Blue	CC/MTF on	Blue tarp	66.0	118.7



## Multi-year IKONOS Comparison

- Consistent FWHM: 1.61 +/- 0.08
- Values at Nyquist frequency were very stable.
  - Mean = 0.11, STD = 0.01
- No trends in PSF/MTF over 5 years.

Date	Sensor	Band	Product	Target
8/1/05, 7/22/02, 9/26/01, 8/5/01, 6/22/01, 5/31/01, 3/26/00	IKONOS	Pan.	CC/MTFC off	Stennis / Big Springs

LSF over plot for Big Spring target (2000~2001) and Setnnis tarp (2002, 2005)



MTF over plot for Big Spring target (2000~2001) and Setnnis tarp (2002, 2005)

3-26-00 17:13

3-26-00 17:14

5-31-01

6-22-01

8-05-01

9-26-01

- 7-22-02

- 8-01-05

0.35

0.4

0.45

0.5

#### IKONOS SDSU campus on 8/1/2005

#### CC With MTFC

#### CC Without MTFC



# **IKONOS Summary**

- Very consistent, high-quality sensor
  - Pan FWHM = 1.61 + 0.08
  - Pan MTF @ Nyquist = 0.11 +/- 0.01
  - Multispectral MTF @ Nyquist = 0.52 (2005 data)
- 'MTFC on' processing provides increased MTF response with typical trade-off of increased contrast with some additional noise in Pan, less in Blue band.
  - Pan FWHM = 1.08 + 0.10
  - Pan MTF @ Nyquist = 0.48 +/- 0.08
- No indication of sensor degradation in five years.

# Quickbird Acquisitions

• Quickbird scene information

Date	Sensor	Targets	Resampling or MTF processing	Product Type
8/30/2004 Quickbird		Blue tarps Mirrors	Resampling Kernel CC	Standard2A (Radiometrically corrected)
			MTF	Standard2A
10/5/2004 Oujekbird	Quickbird	Blue tarps	CC	Standard2A
10/3/2004	Quickonu	Mirrors	MTF	Standard2A
6/22/2005	QuickBird	Vertical Stennis tarps	СС	Standard2A
6/22/2005	QuickBird	Blue tarps Mirrors	MTF	Standard2A
10/18/2005	QuialzBird	Stennis tarps	MTF	Standard2A
	QuickBird	Mirrors	CC	Standard2A





QB 6-22-2005

## Quickbird 10-5-2004



QB 10-18-2005





Along-track Stennis tarp target MTF result of QuickBird on 6/22/2005 (MTF)

Date	Sensor	Band	Resampling	Target	Elevation	Azimuth
6/22/2005	Quickbird	Blue	CC	Blue tarp cross	88.2	75.9



Date	Sensor	Band	Resampling	Target	Elevation	Azimuth
6/22/2005	Quickbird	Blue	MTF	Blue tarp cross	88.2	75.9



### QuickBird panchromatic band Along / Cross Track Direction Comparison

Date	Sensor	Band	Resampling	Target
6/22/05, 10/18/05	Quickbird	Pan.	MTF / CC	Stennis

- First estimate of along-track PSF/MTF.
- Along-track PSF/MTF not significantly different than cross-track.



## QuickBird Blue Band Along / Cross Track Direction Comparison

Date	Sensor	Band	Resampling	Target
6/22/05,	Quialthird	0 · 11 · 1 D1	CC only	Blue tarps
10/18/05	Quickolla	Diue	CC only	Cross / along-track

- Cross-track profile exhibits narrower PRF FWHM and under shoots.
- System MTF shape differs in the orthogonal directions.



## QuickBird Blue Band Along / Cross Track Direction Comparison

Date	Sensor	Band	Resampling	Target
6/22/05,	0 111 1	D1	MTE only	Blue tarps
10/18/05	Quickbild	Diue		Cross / along-track

- FWHM values were reduced by MTF resampling process.
- MTF values were increased—most significantly in cross track direction.



#### **Quickbird Results Summary 2004-2005**

		Case	FW	'HM	MTF at	Nyquist	
Band	Resamn	Scan Direction	(g: 	sigma	(Cycle) mean	sigma	Obs
Dana	<u>1000amp.</u>		<u>1110011</u>	oigina		oigina	<u>000.</u>
Pan.	CC	Cross	1.89		0.09		1
		Along	1.89		0.04		1
	MTF	Cross	1.71		0.26		1
		Along	1.69		0.10		1
Blue	CC	Cross	2.32	0.01	0.18	0.07	4
		Along	2.49	0.01	0.12	0.01	2
	MTF	Cross	2.11	0.05	0.30	0.17	4
		Along	2.27	0.02	0.25	0.03	2

Notes:

- 1. Blue Band FWHM's are for Pulse Response Functions.
- 2. Only one Pan band observation! Essentially same PSF/MTF in both scan directions.
- 3. MTF resampling provides noticeable contrast improvement; SNR is lowered, but still acceptable.
- 4. Overall SNR is good to excellent.
- 5. PRF is very repeatable in Blue band; MTF is noticeably less so

### Ouickbird SDSU campus on 6/22/2005

#### MTF resampling

#### CC resampling



## 2002/2003/2005 QuickBird Comparisons

Date	Sensor	Band	Resampling	Target
7/20/02, 8/25/02, 9/7/02, 9/15/03, 10/18/05	Quickbird	Pan.	CC	Stennis tarp

- GSD changed from 0.7 to 0.6 meters after 2003.
- Spatial resolution performance appears consistent from 2002 to 2005.



## **Overall Quickbird Conclusions**

- Initial along-scan PSF/MTF estimates indicate slightly more blur than cross-scan, as expected.
- Good to excellent SNR.
- MTF compensation provides noticeable contrast boost with normal loss of SNR in Panchromatic band, but minimal loss of SNR in Blue band.
- No degradation of Panchromatic band PSF/MTF indicated from 2002 through 2005.