Life Support Systems Microbial Challenges

September 20, 2010

Monsi C. Roman NASA/ Marshall Space Flight Center ECLSS Chief Microbiologist (256)544-4071

Agenda

- Environmental Control and Life Support Systems (ECLSS) What is it?
- A Look Inside the International Space Station (ISS)
- The Complexity of a Water Recycling System
- ISS Microbiology Acceptability Limits
- Overview of Current Microbial Challenges
- In a Perfect World What we Would Like to Have
 - **The Future**

Environmental Control and Life Support Systems

Human Needs and Effluents Mass Balance (per person per day)

Needs

Oxygen = 0.84 kg (1.84 lb) - Food Solids = 0.62 kg (1.36 lb) Water in Food = 1.15 kg (2.54 lb)Food Prep Water = 0.76 kg (1.67 lb) Drink = 1.62 kg (3.56 lb)Metabolized Water = 0.35 kg (0.76 lb)
Hand/Face Wash Water = 4.09 kg (9.00 lb) Shower Water = 2.73 kg (6.00 lb)Urinal Flush = 0.49 kg (1.09 lb) Clothes Wash Water = 12.50 kg (27.50 lb)Dish Wash Water = 5.45 kg (12.00 lb) Total = 30.60 kg (67.32 lb)



Effluents

Carbon Dioxide = 1.00 kg (2.20 lb)

Respiration & Perspiration Water = 2.28 kg (5.02 lb)

Food Preparation, Latent Water = 0.036 kg (0.08 lb)

Urine = 1.50 kg (3.31 lb)

Urine Flush Water = 0.50 kg (1.09 lb)

Feces Water = 0.091 kg (0.20 lb)

Sweat Solids = 0.018 kg (0.04 lb)

Urine Solids = 0.059 kg (0.13 lb)

Feces Solids = 0.032 kg (0.07 lb)

Hygiene Water = 12.58 kg (27.68 lb)

Clothes Wash Water Liquid = 11.90 kg (26.17 lb) Latent = 0.60 kg (1.33 lb) Total = 30.60 kg (67.32 lb)

Note: These values are based on an average metabolic rate of 136.7 W/person (11,200 BTU/person/day) and a respiration quotient of 0.87. The values will be higher when activity levels are greater and for larger than average people. The respiration quotient is the molar ratio of CO₂ generated to O₂ consumed.

Control Atmosphere Pressure	Condition Atmosphere	Respond to Emergency Conditions	Control Internal CO ₂ & Contaminants	Provide Water	Prepare for EVA Operations
 O₂/N₂ Pressure Control Assemblies (USO/RS) Positive & Negative Pressure Relief (USOS-Transport) O₂/N₂ Storage (USOS, RS, Pro- gress) O₂ Generation Assembly, O₂ Solid Chemicals (RS) Major Constituent Analyzer (USOS) (Share) Gas Analyzer (RS) (Shared) 	 Cabin Air Temperature & Humidity Control Assemblies (All) Ventilation Fans (USOS, RS, MPLM) Air Particulate Filters (All) Intermodule Ventilation Fans & Valves (All) Ducting (All) 	 Smoke Detectors (AII) Portable Fire Extinguishers (AII) Fire Indicators and Fire Suppression Ports (AII) Portable Breathing Apparatus and Masks (AII) O₂/N₂ Pressure Control Assemblies (USOS) (Shared) 	 CO₂ Removal Assembly (USOS/RS) CO₂ Vent (USOS/RS) Trace Contaminant Control Assembly (USOS/RS) Major Constituent Analyzer (USOS) CO₂ Reduction Assembly (RS) CO₂ LIOH Removal (RS) Manual Sampling Equipment (USOS) Gas Analyzer (RS) 	 Potable Water Processor (USOS/RS) Urine Processor (USOS/RS) Process Control Water Quality Monitor (USOS) Condensate Storage (USOS/RS) Fuel Cell Water Storage (USOS) Waste Water Distribution (USOS) Hygiene Water Processor (RS) 	 O₂/N₂ Pressure Control Assemblies (USOS) O₂/N₂ Distribution (USOS) O₂/N₂ Storage (USOS) Major Constituent Analyzer (USOS) (Shared)
Atmosphere Control & Supply (ACS) & AR	Temperature Humidity Control	Fire Detection & Suppression & ACS	Atmosphere Revitalization (AR)	Water Recovery & Mgmt/ Waste Mgmt	ACS & AR









A Look Inside ISS



A Look Inside ISS



A Look Inside ISS

© Getty Images 💸

Норе





























22







Filling up a bag of water in the Zvezda, SM

ISS Water Processor Diagram





Wetted Materials in space life support systems include:
Titanium
316L Stainless Steel
Teflon
Viton O-rings
Nickel-Brazed Stainless Steel

> ASA/ M. Romar 28

ADVERSE EFFECTS OF MICROBIAL CONTAMINATION

Short-term Effects (days to weeks)

Air/Surfaces:

Release of volatiles (e.g., odors)
Allergies (e.g., skin, respiratory)
Infectious diseases (e.g., Legionnaire's)

Water:

- Objectionable taste/odor
- Gastrointestinal distress

Long-term Effects (weeks to years)

Air/Surfaces (same as short-term plus):

- Release of toxins (e.g., mycotoxins)
- Sick building syndrome
- **Environmental contamination**
- **Biodegradation of materials**
- Systems performance

Water (same as short-term plus):

- System failure
 - Clogging, corrosion, pitting, antimicrobial resistance/regrowth potential (biofilm)





ISS Microbial Acceptability Limits (U.S.)

	Bacteria	Fungi
		TATE
Surfaces	10,000 CFU/100 cm ²	100 CFU/100 cm ²
Water	50 CFU/ 100 (no detectable coliforms per 100 ml; treatment technique* to prevent parasitic protozoa)	N/A
Air	1,000 CFU/m ³	100 CFU/m ³
the second s		and the second sec

CFU/cm²= colony forming units per square centimeter; CFU/ m³= colony forming units per cubic meter; CFU/ ml= colony forming units per milliliter NASA/M. Roman * Current potable water treatment is filtration

Exploration Microbial Acceptability Limits

	Bacteria	Fungi
Surfaces	500 CFU/100 cm ²	10 CFU/100 cm ²
Water	50 CFU/ 100 (no detectable coliforms per 100 ml; no detectable fungi per 100 ml; 0 parasitic protozoa)	N/A
Air	1,000 CFU/m ³	100 CFU/m ³

CFU/cm²= colony forming units per square centimeter; CFU/ m³= colony forming units per cubic meter; CFU/ ml= colony forming units per milliliter

• Urine/Pretreated Urine

- Hardware Performance Issues
 - Control of biofilm on wetted surfaces
 - Control of fungal growth in pretreated urine

Water (potable/wastewater)

- Health and Hardware Performance/Life Issues
 - Control of biofilm on wetted surfaces
 - Conditions of flight equipment unknown
 - Control of microorganisms in potable water
 - Re-growth potential/resistance to antimicrobials/MIC
 - Control microorganisms in humidity condensate

ELS/ECLS Module Switch



MSFC Exploration Life Support (ELS) Test Facility (Present/ Final Configuration)



• Coolant

- Health and Hardware Performance/Life Issues
 - Control of microorganisms in the fluid
 - Control of biofilm on wetted surfaces
 - Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion (MIC)

Surfaces

- Health and Hardware Performance/Life Issues
 - Fungi, bacteria

Air

- Health and Hardware Performance/Life Issues
 - Fungi, bacteria
ECLSS Microbial Challenges (Design and Test)

Flow rates: low, intermittent or no flow
Dead-legs
Potential long term storage of water in Teflon bags
Limitations with the use of antimicrobials

Gravity/microgravity effects

Wastewater in narrow tubes

ECLSS Microbial Challenges (Design and Test)

Holding time (between sample and analysis)
Limited monitoring technology available
Data interpretation
Acceptable levels of microorganisms/biofilm
Need for long term ground testing
Replicate applicable flight conditions to ground tests

ECLSS- What is it?

	Fleet Leader (Ground Test)	ISS LTL (Flight Sample)	ISS MTL (Flight Sample)
Acidovorax avenae		X	
Acidovorax delafieldii	Х	Х	Х
Acidovorax facilis	Х		Х
Acidovorax konjaci	Х		Х
Acidovorax temperans		Х	
Acinetobacter lwoffii/genospecies 9			X
Brevibacterium casei			X
Brevundimonas vesicularis	4	1-10	X
Burkholderia glumae	x ti		
Comamonas acidovorans	X		
Flavobacterium resinovorum			X
Janthinobacterium lividum	X	fred y and	
Oligella species			X
Ralstonia eutropha (very similar genetically to R. paucula)		15-	x
Ralstonia paucula	- 1-1	X	X
Ralstonia pickettii	X		X
Sphingobacterium spiritovorum		Х	Contraction of the
Sphingomonas paucimobilis		a de	X
Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	X		
Unidentified non-fermenting Gram Negative Rod (GNR)	Х	Х	Х
Variovorax paradoxus	X		Х







Challenges with monitoring ECLS systems inflight include:

- Microbial count (quantification)
 - Viable vs non-viable
 - How will it compare with culture methods?
 - Real-time identification
 - Bacteria, Fungi, Viruses
- Flexible
 - Integrated to systems (in-line)
 - Hand-held (for clinical applications)
- Robustness
 - Will the hardware survive qual/acceptance testing?

NASA/ M. Romai

- If gene-base technology will be used what challenges, like damage to genetic material due to radiation, will need to be addressed?
 - Expendables (how much waste will be generated)
 - Consumables (reusable is preferred)
- Low power consumption
- Equipment size
- Non-hazardous reagents
- Non-generation of hazardous waste

- Calibration (positive/negative controls?)
- Cleaning/disinfection of the sample collection areas
 How to avoid cross contamination?
 - What chemicals/conditions(temp, humidity, etc) could cause a problem (void the reaction)?
- Maintenance/repair (ORU's?)
- **Construction materials**
 - Are the materials acceptable in a close environment?

- Sample size
- Detection limit (currently <300 CFU/100 mL)
- Microgravity sensitivity
- Sensitivity to particles/precipitates in the fluid
- A system that can be upgraded as needed is preferable (as "target" organisms are identified)
- Will the crew be able to "read" the results on-orbit; can the results be sent to the ground?
- Sample archival for later analyses









BACK UP

Microbiological Tests Performed During the Design of the International Space Station ECLSS: Part 1, Bulk Phase Water and Wastewater

NASA MSFC / Monsi C. Roman Exponent and Harvard University / Marc W. Mittelman

40th ICES, 11–15 July 2010, Barcelona, Spain



Introduction

- Many microbiological studies were performed during the development of the Space Station Water Recovery and Management System from1990-2009. Studies include assessments of:
 - bulk phase (planktonic) microbial population
 - biofilms,
 - microbially influenced corrosion
 - biofouling treatments

Introduction

 This presentation will summarize the studies performed to assess the bulk phase microbial community during the Space Station Water Recovery Tests (WRT) from 1990 to 1998.

A series of related studies, involving biofilms, microbially influenced corrosion and biofouling control strategies, were also conducted. These studies will be summarized in a future report.

Water Recovery Test Stages 1A, 2A and 3A

- SSF/ 2-loop system/ 1990
 - Hygiene Loop (urine, shower, hand-wash, dishwasher, laundry)
 - Urine Processor: Thermoelectrically Integrated <u>Membrane</u> Evaporation Subsystem (TIMES)
 - Ultrafiltration (UF)/Reverse Osmosis (RO) subsystem
 - 4 hygiene processed water storage tanks
 - Potable Loop (humidity condensate)
 - Multifiltration (MF) Subsystem (series of ion exchange resins and organic adsorbents)
 - MF "post-Sterilization" Assembly
 - 4 potable processed water storage tanks

WRT Stages 1A, 2A, 3A Processing Schematic (Hygiene Loop)





WRT Stages 1A, 2A, 3A Processing Schematic (Potable Loop)





Water Recovery Test Stages 4/5

- SSF/ 2-loops system/ 1991
 - Hygiene Loop (urine, shower, hand-wash, dishwasher, laundry)
 - Urine processor: Vapor Compression <u>Distillation</u> (VCD) subsystem
 - MF Subsystem
 - 4 hygiene processed water storage tanks
 - Potable Loop (humidity condensate)
 - MF pre-"Sterilization" Assembly (250°F for 20 minutes/ 2 log reduction)
 - MF Subsystem (MF post "Sterilization" Assembly)
 - Volatile Removal Assembly (VRA)- catalytic oxidation reactor/260⁰F
 - 4 potable processed water storage tanks

WRT Stages 4/5 Processing Schematic (Potable and Hygiene Loop)



Water Recovery Test Stages 7/8

- SSF/ 1-loop system/ 1992
 - Potable/Hygiene Loop (urine, shower, hand-wash, laundry, humidity condensate)
 - Urine processor: Vapor Compression Distillation (VCD) subsystem
 - MF Subsystem ((MF pre "Sterilization" Assembly)
 - VRA
 - 4 processed water storage tanks

WRT Stages 7/8 Processing Schematic (Hygiene / Potable Loop)



Water Recovery Test Stages 10/11

- ISS/ 1-loop system/ 1996-97
 - Potable/Hygiene Loop (urine, shower, hand-wash, laundry, humidity condensate)
 - Urine processor: Vapor Compression Distillation (VCD) subsystem
 - MF Subsystem
 - VRA
 - 2 processed water storage tanks

WRT Stages 10/11 Processing Schematic (Hygiene / Potable Loop)



Potable Water Requirements

Target Microorganism	U.S. EPA Requirement	NASA/WRT Requirement	
total coliforms	<1/100 mL	Not detectable	
heterotrophic bacteria	<500/mL	1 CFU/100mL	Ċ
Total	99.9% reduction (¹ MCLG- 0)	GI	
Giardia lablia	99.9% reduction (MCLG= 0)	GI	
enteric viruses (adenovirus as most resistant)	99.99% reduction	GI; systemic	
Legionella spp.	(MCLG=0)	respiratory	

¹MCLG, maximum contaminant level goal

Microbiological Tests Performed During the WRT

Microbial Tests

- Microbial Characterization of Processed Water
- Viral Survival Study
 - Water Storage Test
 - Endotoxin Test
 - Analysis of Multifiltration Beds
 - Assessment of shower (point of use) water
 - Assessment of Assimilable Organic Carbon

WRT Microbiological Methodology

Method	Microorganisms Recovered	Comments
epifluorescence microscopy	direct counts of total microbial bioburden	detection limit of 10 ⁴ cells/mL
R2A culture	heterotrophic bacteria (nutrient limited)	7 d incubations
enriched chocolate agar with incubation in 5% CO_2	aerotolerent bacteria	recovery of fastidious human isolates; 2 d incubations
Emmon's medium	yeast; filamentous fungi	5 d incubations
membrane fecal coliform (MFC)	fecal coliforms	24 h
viral plaque assay	challenge bacteriophage viruses	performed at U.S. EPA labs
microbial identification	bacteria, fungi	MIDI, Vitek, Biolog test systems employed

Results- Microbial Characterization



Results- Microbial Characterization



*Combined Loop= Potable and Hygiene Loops

Results- Viral Survival Study

- Bacteriophages MS2, T-1, VD13 and 23356-B1 were chosen for this study because of their similarity to viruses that could be found in the Space Station wastewater.
- A minimum of 107 PFU/mL were mixed with human generated wastewater.
 - The viral population was removed after the 2nd multifiltration bed; VRA was not challenged with viruses in WRT Stage 9.
 - After the completion of WRT Stage 10, the same concentration of viruses was injected in the system, prior of the VRA.
- Test showed that the VRA has a viral removal capability of 6 log10 units.
- Test demonstrated that the WP has an excellent capacity to remove viruses in wastewater.



Results- Water Storage Test

- After the completion of WRT Stage 8 iodinated processed water was stored in 2 316L stainless steel bellows tank for up to 183 days.
 - Samples were taken once a week and the heterotrophic microbial population was assessed.
 - The microbial population in the tank was maintained at an average of 1 CFU/100mL.
 - This test confirmed that the microbial population can be controlled for at least 183 days, if the water quality is controlled and the storage vessel us properly disinfected before use.

Results- Endotoxin Test

- During WRT Stage 8 processed water, deionized water and Birmingham city water were analyzed for endotoxins using the Limulus amebocyte lysate (LAL) test.
 - Birmingham (drinking) water contained endotoxin levels between 0.125 and 0.250 EU/mL.
 - Deionized water contained endotoxin levels between 0.060 and 0.125 EU/mL.

 WRT water endotoxin level was reduced from >103 EU/mL in the wastewater tank to <0.060 EU/mL in the processed water.
Results- Analysis of Multifiltration Beds

- The resins inside the WP multifiltration beds were analyzed after they became saturated with contaminants during the WRT Stage 8 test.
- The inside of the multifiltration beds was exposed by aseptically cutting the stainless steel casing with a saw at predefined locations.
 - between 2 to 7 grams of each material was placed in a sterile test tube containing a phosphate buffer solution.
 Material included iodinated resins (inlet and outlet/ imparts 1 to 4 ppm of iodine), ion exchange resins and carbon mix.
 - The microbial loads in most of the multifiltration bed media reflected a reduction from the feed wastewater.
- The microorganisms identified in the media were similar to those isolated in the wastewater

Results- Assessment of Shower Water

- To compare the quality of reclaimed water used by test subjects while showering in the EEF, with municipally-treated water used in showers at home, samples from selected homes in north Alabama were collected and analyzed on June 28, 1991.
 - Three samples were collected from home showers in 3 different cities in Al.
 - Viable counts were higher on R2A than on CAE and ranged from 2.9 X 102 to 1.2 X 104 CFU/100 mL.
- The bacterial counts from the home showers were similar or higher than the counts recorded during the sampling of the WRT shower.
- Predominant genera isolated included Pseudomonas, Methylobacterium, and Bacillus.

Results- Assessment of AOC

- During WRT Stage 4/5, a bioassay to measure the assimilable organic carbon (AOC) concentration, was performed to assess bacterial regrowth potential.
- Nine clean water samples were analyzed, 5 from the potable water storage tank and 4 from the hygiene water storage tank.
 - The AOC levels in the potable water samples had an average of recorded as: $102.8 \mu g/L$. The average of culturable bacteria was maintained at <1.0 CFU/100mL.
- In the hygiene water samples, the AOC levels steadily increased during the 2 week study from 103 to 150 μ g/L. This increase in AOC levels could have been reflected in the microbial count increase from <1 CFU/100mL to 6 CFU/100mL on CAE reported by the laboratory.

Summary

• Information gained during the design and testing of a partially closed water recovery system for Space Station provided a basis for understanding the activity of microbial communities in relevant test environments.

With a better understanding of the microbial ecology in closed-loop life support systems, technologies/system designs can be improved to minimize negative effects and unnecessary requirements.

Even with the incorporation of the best life support design improvements, real-time microbial monitoring will be needed to assess the changes that will occur overtime in the microbial population.

Summary

This report provides an overview of some of the microbiological analyses performed during the Space Station WRT program. These tests not only integrated several technologies with the goal of producing water that met NASA's potable water specifications, but also integrated humans, and therefore human flora into the protocols. At the time these tests were performed, not much was known (or published) about the microbial composition of these types of wastewater. It is important to note that design changes to the WRS have been implemented over the years and results discussed in this report might be directly related to test configurations that were not chosen for the final flight configuration.

Conclusion

Results from the microbiological analyses performed during the WRT showed that it was possible to recycle water from different sources, including urine, and produce water that can exceed the quality of municipally produced water.

A Final Note

A significant amount of valuable information was gathered during WRT ground testing, with humans in the loop. The uniqueness of a microgravity environment and the possibility of extending the stay of humans in closed environments, away from Earth, will pose a constant challenge and many learning opportunities. Microbes will always be a significant inhabitant of the life support systems in space.

Acknowledgements

Dr. Marc W. Mittelman-coauthor Senior Managing Scientist, Exponent

Visiting Scientist, Harvard University School of Engineering and Applied Science

Acknowledgements

- The NASA/MSFC WRT Design and Test Team
- The work discussed in this paper was the result of test, analysis and/or collaboration with the following laboratories:
 - NASA/JSC Microbiology Lab
 - University of Alabama Birmingham Microbiology Department
 - Boeing-Huntsville Microbiology Lab
 - NASA/JPL Microbiology Lab
 - US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Virology Lab
 - University of California at Irvine
 - Letty Vega for her help editing this paper