#### **TFAWS** Passive Thermal Paper Session



# Modeling and Analysis of the Hurricane Imaging Radiometer (HIRAD) Stephanie Mauro

Thermal & Fluids Analysis Workshop TFAWS 2013 July 29-August 2, 2013 Kennedy Space Center KSC, FL

NA SA

# Outline

- HIRAD Overview
  - Components & Heat Dissipation
- 2012 Modeling and Analysis
  - Goals
  - Thermal Desktop Model & Analysis
  - Correlation to Experimental Data
  - Recommendations
- 2012 Season Flight Data
- 2013 Modeling and Analysis
  - Goals
  - Thermal Desktop Model Updates
  - Correlation to Flight Data
  - Environmental Chamber Testing
  - Recommendations
- Conclusions and Forward Work
- Lessons Learned

## **HIRAD Overview**

- Hurricane Imaging Radiometer
- Airborne sensor flown on UAVs through hurricanes
- Flown up to 60,000 ft.
- Purpose:
  - Produces a wide-swath image of ocean surface wind speed
  - Measures near surface rain rates



TFAWS 2013 – July 29 – August 2, 2013

# **HIRAD Components**

- Electronics affixed to mountplate:
  - **Power Distribution Unit** (PDU)
  - Command & Data Handing (C&DH)
  - Inertial Navigation System (INS)
  - Local Oscillator (LO)
  - Controllers (2)
  - Receivers (10)
- MLI blanket covers the receivers, controllers and LO
- Stack below mountplate:
  - **Delrin Spacer**
  - Antenna
  - **Fiberglass Insulation**





TFAWS 2013 – July 29 – August 2, 2013

## **Heat Dissipation by Electronics Boxes**

• All electronic components running at maximum power:

Component	Power [W]			
Power Distribution Unit	128			
Command & Data Handing	126			
Inertial Navigation System	39			
Local Oscillator	56			
Receivers	4.5 each			



TFAWS 2013 - July 29 - August 2, 2013

# **2012 Thermal Modeling Goals**

- Build representative thermal model
- Correlate receiver temperatures of model to minimal flight data from 2011 Season flight:



 Recommend heater design to maintain steady receiver temperatures throughout flight

TFAWS 2013 – July 29 – August 2, 2013

# **2012 Thermal Modeling Analysis**





- MLI blanket covering forward avionics boxes
- Effective heat loss from avionics components to boundary
- Transient boundary conditions:
  - 0 hrs: 31 °C
  - 0.5 to 6 hrs: constant -60 °C

## **Receiver Temps of 2012 Correlated Model**

- Transient Boundary Conditions
  - 0 hrs: 31 °C
  - 0.5 to 6 hrs: constant -60 °C
- Effective heat loss selected:  $h_{effective} = 4.5 \text{ W/m}^2/\text{K}$



Receiver Temperatures: h<sub>effective</sub> = 4.5 W/m<sup>2</sup>/K

TFAWS 2013 – July 29 – August 2, 2013

#### **Heater Positions**

- Birk Manufacturing flexible Kapton heaters
  - 1.75 x 10 in
  - 28 V, 28 W each
- Two different heater layouts investigated:
  - Heaters on mountplate
  - Heaters primarily on receivers



Layout 1: Heaters on Mountplate





#### **Recommendation based on 2012 Model**

- Recommended placing heaters on the sides of each receiver to provide the least temperature variation from receiver to receiver
  - Set temperatures: 28 to 31 °C recommended



#### Receiver Temperatures: Set Temps 28 to 31 °C

TFAWS 2013 – July 29 – August 2, 2013

## 2012 Flight Data

- NASA
- Latest receiver temperatures recorded throughout Global Hawk flight using heaters on the side of each receiver with set point of 25 °C



TFAWS 2013 – July 29 – August 2, 2013

## **Conclusions based on 2012 Flight Data**

- Midplate receivers reach higher temperatures than receivers on the end of the plate
- Brackets and Controllers (previously not modeled) contribute to thermal environment
- Each heater heats the receiver it is attached to through conduction and adjacent heaters through convection



# Forward Work for 2013



- Update 2012 HIRAD thermal model to simulate 2012 flight data
  - Add brackets and controllers to model
  - Remove fiberglass insulation
- Correlate model to latest collected data
  - Adjust effective heat loss if needed
  - Compare model with heater set points of 25 °C to 2012 flight data
- Recommend changes to the heater locations and set points to maintain a constant temperature of <u>each</u> receiver throughout flight.
- Determine placement of instrumentation for environmental chamber testing to assist future model correlation

# **2013 Thermal Modeling**

- Changes made to 2012 model:
- Components removed
  - Fiberglass insulation
- Components added
  - Antenna split into two pieces
  - Bracket frame and clips
  - Controllers
- Location of heater set point corrected
  - Measured from outer aft surface of receivers







TFAWS 2013 - July 29 - August 2, 2013

15

## **Recommendation Based on 2013 Model**

 Set temperatures based on model temperatures reached without heaters

RCVR	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Set Temp ± 0.25 °C	27.75	27.75	28.75	29.75	31.75	30.75	27.75	25.75	25.75	25.75



**RTD Temperature of Receivers** 

TFAWS 2013 – July 29 – August 2, 2013

NASA

## **2013 Environmental Chamber Testing**

- Thermocouple locations requested
  - Around edges of mountplate
  - Mountplate near receivers
  - Temperature inside MLI blanket
  - Temperature of bracket
- Test Set up
  - Assembly positioned upside down
  - MLI blanket NOT included
  - Different brackets used
  - Fiberglass insulation over antenna
- Preliminary Observations
  - No MLI blanket = receivers are more easily controlled by heaters due to increased cold environment
  - Receiver temperatures are impacted by adjacent receiver heating levels
  - Fiberglass insulation causes a longer amount of time needed to reach equilibrium temperatures



# **2013 Flight Recommendations**

- Not to include the MLI blanket
  - Receivers can be controlled at a lower temperature
- Set temperatures in real time
  - Set temperatures of outer receivers first (in cooler locations)
  - Determine temperatures of inner receivers once outer receivers have reached steady temperature.
- Requested additional temperature sensors
  - Air temp of HIRAD environment
  - Air temp inside MLI blanket (if blanket is used)
  - Temperature of Radome
  - Mountplate temperatures

# **Planned Configuration for 2013**

- August 20 September 23
- MLI blanket folded over frame, covering top of receivers, controllers, and LO
  - Remaining in assembly to protect from possible fuel or oil leaking
  - Not covering sides of components up to height of frame
- No fiberglass insulation will be used
- Set points to be adjusted during flight



# Conclusion



- Completed modeling and analysis
  - 2012
    - Created and correlated model based on flight data with no heaters
    - Modeled 2 heater layouts at several set temperatures to determine most effective heater placement
    - Recommended heaters on receivers with set points 28-31°C
  - 2013
    - Updated and correlated model based on flight data flown with heaters on receivers at set point of 25°C
    - Recommended to remove MLI blanket and set heater temperatures in real time
- Forward Work
  - Analyze environmental chamber test results
  - Correlate model to test results
  - Analyze 2013 season data
    - Recommend changes based on flight data and further analysis

## **Lessons Learned**



- Clearly define goals of modeling and reasoning behind goals
- Organize models through labeling and descriptive titles
- It is very helpful to see hardware in person to visualize problems
- Thoroughly document results
- Ask lots of questions!