Journal of Climate

How well do global climate models simulate the variability of Atlantic tropical cyclones associated with ENSO? --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:			
Full Title:	How well do global climate models simulate the variability of Atlantic tropical cyclones associated with ENSO?		
Article Type:	Article		
Corresponding Author:	Hui Wang Climate Prediction Center Camp Springs, MD UNITED STATES		
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Climate Prediction Center		
First Author:	Hui Wang		
Order of Authors:	Hui Wang		
	Lindsey Long		
	Arun Kumar		
	Wanqiu Wang		
	Jae-Kyung E. Schemm		
	Ming Zhao		
	Gabriel A. Vecchi		
	Timorhy E. LaRow		
	Young-Kwon Lim		
	Siegfried D. Schubert		
	Daniel A. Shaevitz		
	Suzana J. Camargo		
	Naomi Henderson		
	Daehyun Kim		
	Jeffrey A. Jonas		
	Kevin J. E. Walsh		
Abstract:	The variability of Atlantic tropical cyclones (TCs) associated with El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) in model simulations is assessed and compared with observations. The model experiments are 28-yr simulations forced with the observed sea surface temperature from 1982 to 2009. The simulations were coordinated by the U.S. CLIVAR Hurricane Working Group and conducted with five global climate models (GCMs) with a total of 16 ensemble members. The model performance is evaluated based on both individual model ensemble means and multi-model ensemble mean. The latter has the highest anomaly correlation (0.86) for the interannual variability of TCs. Previous observational studies show a strong association between ENSO and Atlantic TC activity, as well as distinctions in the TC activities during eastern Pacific (EP) and central Pacific (CP) El Niño events. The analysis of track density and TC origin indicates that each model has different mean biases. Overall, the GCMs simulate the variability of Atlantic TCs well with weaker activity during EP El Niño and stronger activity during La Niña. For CP El Niño, there is a slight increase in the number of TCs as compared with EP El Niño. However, the spatial distribution of track density and TC origin is less consistent among the models. Particularly, there is no indication of increasing TC activity over the U.S. southeast coastal region as in observations. The difference between the models and observations is likely due to the bias of vertical wind shear in response to the shift of tropical heating associated with		

	CP El Niño, as well as the model bias in the mean circulation.		
Suggested Reviewers:			

1 2	How Well Do Global Climate Models Simulate the Variability of Atlantic Tropical Cyclones Associated with ENSO?
3	
4 5	HUL WANG and LINDSEN LONG
5 6 7	NOAA/NWS/NCEP/Climate Prediction Center, College Park, Maryland and Innovim, LLC, Greenbelt Maryland
8	Greenbell, Marylana
9	ARUN KUMAR, WANOIU WANG, and JAE-KYUNG E. SCHEMM
10	NOAA/NWS/NCEP/Climate Prediction Center, College Park, Marvland
11	
12	MING ZHAO and GABRIEL A. VECCHI
13	NOAA/Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey
14	
15	IIMORHY E. LAROW
10	Center for Ocean Almospheric Frediction Studies, Florida State Oniversity, Fallandssee, Florida
18	YOUNG-KWON LIM and SIEGERIED D SCHUBERT
19	NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Global Modeling and Assimilation Office Greenbelt Maryland
20	
21	DANIEL A. SHAEVITZ
22 23	Department of Applied Physics and Applied Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, New York
23	SUZANA J. CAMARGO, NAOMI HENDERSON, and DAEHYUN KIM
25	Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory. Columbia University. Palisades. New York
26	
27	JEFFREY A. JONAS
28	Center for Climate System Research, Columbia University, New York, New York, and NASA
29	Goddard Institute for Space Studies, New York, New York
30	
31	KEVIN J. E. WALSH
32	School of Earth Sciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia
33	
34	
35	
36	Journal of Climate
37	Special Collection
38	US CLIVAR Hurricanes and Climate
39	Manuscript submitted 11 October 2013
40 41	
41 12	
+∠ ⊿२	Corresponding author address: Dr. Hui Wang NOAA Climate Prediction Center
44	5830 University Research Court NCWCP College Park MD 20740
45	E-mail: hui.wang@noaa.gov
46	

ABSTRACT

The variability of Atlantic tropical cyclones (TCs) associated with El Niño-Southern 48 Oscillation (ENSO) in model simulations is assessed and compared with observations. The 49 model experiments are 28-yr simulations forced with the observed sea surface temperature from 50 51 1982 to 2009. The simulations were coordinated by the U.S. CLIVAR Hurricane Working Group and conducted with five global climate models (GCMs) with a total of 16 ensemble 52 members. The model performance is evaluated based on both individual model ensemble means 53 and multi-model ensemble mean. The latter has the highest anomaly correlation (0.86) for the 54 interannual variability of TCs. Previous observational studies show a strong association between 55 ENSO and Atlantic TC activity, as well as distinctions in the TC activities during eastern Pacific 56 (EP) and central Pacific (CP) El Niño events. The analysis of track density and TC origin 57 indicates that each model has different mean biases. Overall, the GCMs simulate the variability 58 of Atlantic TCs well with weaker activity during EP El Niño and stronger activity during La 59 Niña. For CP El Niño, there is a slight increase in the number of TCs as compared with EP El 60 Niño. However, the spatial distribution of track density and TC origin is less consistent among 61 62 the models. Particularly, there is no indication of increasing TC activity over the U.S. southeast coastal region as in observations. The difference between the models and observations is likely 63 due to the bias of vertical wind shear in response to the shift of tropical heating associated with 64 CP El Niño, as well as the model bias in the mean circulation. 65

67 **1. Introduction**

It is well known that El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) strongly influences the 68 interannual variability of Atlantic tropical cyclones (TCs). El Niño (La Niña) tends to suppress 69 (enhance) Atlantic seasonal TC activity (e.g., Gray 1984; Pielke and Landsea 1999; Bell and 70 Chelliah 2006). Although other climate modes, such as the Atlantic Meridional Mode, the North 71 Atlantic Oscillation, and the Madden Julian Oscillation, also modulate North Atlantic tropical 72 cyclone activity (e.g. Kossin et al. 2010), here our focus will be placed on ENSO. The state of 73 ENSO is one of the key climate factors considered by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric 74 75 Administration (NOAA) for their Atlantic hurricane season outlooks (NOAA 2013).

Using observational data, Kim et al. (2009) found distinct differences in Atlantic TC activity associated with eastern Pacific (EP) El Niño and central Pacific (CP) El Niño. EP El Niño is the conventional El Niño with the warmest sea surface temperature (SST) anomalies in the tropical eastern Pacific, whereas CP El Niño or El Nino Modoki (Ashok et al. 2007) is a nonconventional El Niño with the warmest SST anomalies in the tropical central Pacific. The zonal shift of the warm SST anomalies indicates a change in tropical heating and consequent changes in atmospheric response.

A composite analysis of TC track density anomaly in Kim et al. (2009, their Fig. 2) displays coherent weakening in TC activity over the Caribbean Sea, Gulf of Mexico, and U.S. Atlantic east coast region during EP El Niño and strengthened TC activity over the same regions during La Niña. Surprisingly, the composite for CP El Niño is also opposite to that for EP El Niño over these regions and closely resembles the La Niña composite. The results suggest a higher chance of landfalling TCs along the Gulf coast and U.S. east coast during CP El Niño than during EP El Niño. 90 It is well recognized that global climate models (GCMs), even at a low resolution, are able to simulate the interannual response of North Atlantic TCs to ENSO (e.g. Camargo et al. 91 2005, Zhao et al. 2009). However, given the distinctions in the Atlantic TC activity associated 92 with different El Niño types revealed in observations (Kim et al. 2009), it is interesting to know 93 whether state-of-the-art GCMs can reproduce the different response to the two types of El Niño. 94 Such a model capability in distinguishing the responses of Atlantic TCs to different ENSO 95 patterns is also important to both dynamical (e.g., Schemm and Long 2009) and statistical-96 dynamical (e.g., Wang et al. 2009; Vecchi et al. 2011) hurricane seasonal prediction systems. 97

98 With a primary focus on climate modeling studies of TCs, the U.S. Climate Variability and Predictability Research Program (CLIVAR) launched a Hurricane Working Group (HWG) 99 in 2011 (U.S. CLIVAR 2011). To improve understanding of the interannual variability and 100 101 trends in TC activity, as well as projections of future TC activity under a warming climate, the HWG initiated a series of simulations with high-resolution atmospheric GCMs (Walsh et al. 102 2013). One set of simulations is the interannual experiment which is an Atmospheric Model 103 Intercomparison Project (AMIP) type of simulations with multiple GCMs and forced with the 104 same observed time-varying SST from 1982 to 2009. This set of simulations provides necessary 105 106 data to characterize TC response to ENSO in climate models.

107 This study aims to evaluate the performance of high-resolution GCMs in simulating the 108 interannual variability of Atlantic TCs associated with ENSO. The assessment is based on the 109 analysis of AMIP-type simulations with five GCMs and comparisons with observations. The 110 analysis is in collaboration with HWG members to target one of the HWG objectives involving 111 improved understanding of interannual variability of TC activity. The following three scientific 112 questions are to be addressed in this study. How is the overall performance of GCMs in

simulating the variability of Atlantic TCs? What are the characteristics of Atlantic TCs associated with ENSO in the models? What are the possible explanations for the differences between the models and observations? The study is expected to provide some insights into the basic characteristics of Atlantic TC activity associated with different types of ENSO in GCMs.

117 This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a brief description of data, 118 models, and analysis methods used. Section 3 characterizes the Atlantic TC activity associated 119 with ENSO in observations. The performance of GCMs in simulating the variability of the 120 Atlantic TCs is assessed in section 4. Some possible explanations for the differences between 121 the model simulations and observations are explored in section 5. Conclusions are given in 122 section 6.

123

124 **2. Data and models**

125 The data used in this study consist of SST, Atlantic TC tracks, precipitation, 200-hPa and 850-hPa zonal winds over a 28-yr (1982–2009) period from both observations and simulations 126 with five atmospheric GCMs. For observations, the SST data are taken from the Hadley Centre 127 Sea Ice and Sea Surface Temperature (HadISST) data set (Rayner et al. 2003) on a $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ 128 (latitude × longitude) grid. The 28-yr monthly mean SSTs were also prescribed as low boundary 129 forcing for the GCMs. The Atlantic TC track data are from the National Hurricane Center 130 Atlantic Hurricane Best Track Data (HURDAT2; Landsea et al. 2004). The precipitation data 131 are from the Climate Prediction Center (CPC) Merged Analysis of Precipitation (CMAP) data set 132 (Xie and Arkin 1997). The 200-hPa and 800-hPa zonal winds used to derive vertical wind shear 133 are from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction – Department of Energy (NCEP – 134 DOE) reanalysis 2 (R2; Kanamitsu et al. 2002). Both precipitation and zonal winds are monthly 135 mean data on a $2.5^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$ grid. 136

137 The five GCMs employed for the HWG interannual experiments (1982–2009) are the Florida State University (FSU) model (Cocke and LaRow 2000), Geophysical Fluid Dynamics 138 Laboratory (GFDL) model (Zhao et al. 2009), National Aeronautics and Space Administration 139 (NASA) Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS) model E2 (Schmidt et al. 2013), NASA 140 Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) GEOS-5 model (Rienecker et al. 2008; Molod et al. 2012), 141 and NCEP Global Forecast System (GFS) model (Saha et al. 2013). Table 1 lists the number of 142 ensemble runs, model data resolutions, which are close to model resolutions, and TC tracking 143 algorithms for the five models. The ensemble members vary from two to five with a total of 16 144 runs. Horizontal resolutions range from about 0.5° to 1°. TC track data were provided by each 145 modeling group with different tracking algorithms. More detailed descriptions of the models can 146 be found in Walsh et al. (2013). 147

The Atlantic TC activity is quantified by the annual total number of TCs, as well as the 148 spatial distribution of track density and TC origin. Given the spatially discrete nature of TC 149 tracks, the track density is derived as follows: (a) the number of TCs passing through each $5^{\circ} \times$ 150 5° box analyzed on a $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ grid resolution during an entire hurricane season is first counted; 151 and (b) the TC counts are then averaged with the TC numbers in the $5^{\circ} \times 5^{\circ}$ boxes for eight 152 surrounding grid points with a weighting coefficient of 0.5 for the center grid point and 1/16 for 153 each surrounding grid point. This is done in the same way as Kim et al. (2009) to ensure a 154 spatially smoothed distribution. Composites of SST, precipitation, and vertical wind shear 155 156 anomalies averaged over August–October (ASO), the peak of the Atlantic hurricane season, are examined for different ENSO categories. The statistical significance of the composite anomalies 157 is estimated by the Monte Carlo technique (e.g., Wilks 1995). The analysis is performed for both 158 159 observations and multi-model ensemble (MME) mean, as well as individual model ensemble

160 means. The MME mean is obtained by averaging individual model ensemble means. In this 161 way, each model is treated with an equal weight for the MME, regardless of the number of 162 ensemble members.

163

164 3. Variability of Atlantic TCs associated with ENSO in observations

During the 28-yr period (1982–2009), there were five EP El Niño (1982, 1986, 1991, 165 1997, and 2006) and five CP El Niño (1987, 1994, 2002, 2004, and 2009) years identified based 166 on the definition of McPhaden et al. (2011), and eight La Niña years (1983, 1984, 1988, 1995, 167 1998, 1999, 2005, 2007). Figure 1 shows the composite of ASO seasonal mean SST anomalies 168 for EP El Niño, CP El Niño, and La Niña, respectively. Compared to EP El Niño (Fig. 1a), the 169 SST anomalies in CP El Niño (Fig. 1b) shift towards the west. This may lead to significant 170 changes in tropical heating for the atmosphere between the two types of El Niño. The amplitude 171 172 of the CP El Niño SST anomalies (~ 1 K) is smaller than the EP El Niño (~ 1.5 K), but comparable to the La Niña (~ 1 K, Fig. 1c). 173

Similar composites are shown in Fig. 2 for TC track density (top row) and track density anomaly (middle row), respectively, associated with the three ENSO types. In La Niña years (Fig. 2c), track density displays high values (> 1) across the North Atlantic basin. Areas with track densities greater than 1.5 are found in the central main development region (MDR; 10° – 20° N, 20° – 80° W), the Gulf of Mexico, and U.S. east coastal region. In contrast, track density is relatively low over these regions for EP El Niño (Fig. 2a), but increases considerably for CP El Niño (Fig. 2b), particularly in the MDR and U.S. southeast coastal region.

181 Consistent with the track density patterns, track density anomalies are generally below 182 normal across the basin for EP El Niño (Fig. 2d), with the largest negative anomalies over the 183 Gulf and MDR, and above normal during La Niña (Fig. 2f). Associated with CP El Niño (Fig. 2e), positive track density anomalies are found over the MDR, the Caribbean Sea, Gulf coast and
the southeast coast, and negative anomalies further to the east, as well as in the west Gulf of
Mexico. The results indicate that relative to EP El Niño, there is a high chance of landfalling
TCs along the U.S. southeast coast during CP El Niño.

The spatial distributions of total TC origins for the three ENSO categories are also shown in Fig. 2 (bottom row). For a fair comparison with five EP El Niño and five CP El Niño, TC origins for La Niña are also shown for five episodes that occurred in the most recent years. There are increased TC origins over the MDR during CP El Niño (Fig. 2h) as compared to EP El Niño (Fig. 2g) and an additional increase of TC formation over the Gulf of Mexico during La Niña (Fig. 2i).

Although the sample size for ENSO composites is very limited over the 28 years, the 194 composite anomalies in Fig. 2 (middle row) are statistically significant above the 90% level. The 195 anomaly patterns also resemble those in Kim et al. (2009) with longer records (57 yrs, 1950-196 2006). Additionally, the sampling issue can be partially addressed by using HWG interannual 197 experiments which provide more atmospheric realizations than for the observations. Although 198 the AMIP type of simulations does not increase the sample size of ENSO events, the ensemble of 199 AMIP runs presented in the next section increases the sample size of atmospheric realizations for 200 a fixed set of ENSO events. This can effectively enhance the signal-to-noise ratio (Kumar and 201 Hoerling 1995) and thereby provide a more reliable estimate for the ENSO-forced variability of 202 203 the Atlantic TCs.

204

4. Variability of Atlantic TCs associated with ENSO in GCMs

The climatology and interannual variability of the annual number of Atlantic TCs are examined first. Figure 3 shows the time series of the annual number of Atlantic TCs from 1982

to 2009 for both observations and model simulations, including MME mean and individual model ensemble means. Both observations and MME display an upward trend over the 28-yr period. The grey shading in Fig. 3 denotes the range of \pm one standard deviation of the spreads of the five individual model ensemble means around the MME mean. Over 80% (23 out of 28 yrs) of the observations fall into this range. Obviously, the GFS model has very high numbers of TCs and the GISS model has low numbers of TCs.

Table 2 summarizes the TC statistics for the observations and model simulations, 214 including the climatological mean value, variance of interannual variability, linear trend over the 215 28 years, anomaly correlation (AC) between the models and observations, and root-mean square 216 error (RMSE). The GFDL model (12.7) and GSFC model (10.9) have a mean value close to the 217 observations (11.7). In contrast, the climatology in the GISS model (6.2) is only about a half of 218 the observations while the GFS model (22.0) has double the number in observations. The 219 strength of the interannual variability in the GSFC and GFS models is comparable to 220 221 observations and weaker in the other models and the MME. The linear trends in all models (~2 TCs per decade) are weaker than in the observations (~ 4 TCs per decade). AC is highest for the 222 MME (0.86), followed by the GFDL (0.74) and GFS (0.73) models. This implies that 74% of 223 the observed interannual TC variance is captured by the time series of the MME mean number of 224 TCs and 54% is captured by the GFDL and GFS models. Additionally, the MME has the 225 smallest RMSE. Due to the large mean biases, the GFS and GISS models have relatively large 226 RMSEs. In terms of the five parameters in Table 2 (i.e., mean, interannual variability, trend, AC, 227 and RMSE), the overall performance of the MME, GFDL and GSFC models is better than that of 228 the FSU, GISS, and GFS models. It should be noted that both the GFDL and GSFC models have 229

a higher resolution than the other three models. This may suggest that a GCM with a higherresolution gets better performance in simulating the interannual variability of Atlantic TCs.

The average number of TCs for each ENSO category is examined in Table 3 and 232 compared with the corresponding 28-yr climatology for both observations and simulations. In 233 the observations, there are about 7, 10, and 15 TCs each hurricane season in EP El Niño, CP El 234 Niño, and La Niña, respectively, equivalent to 58%, 87%, and 125% of the mean value (11.7). 235 All models show consistent increases in the number of TCs from EP El Niño to CP El Niño and 236 further increases to La Niña, except for the GSFC model. However, the changes in TC counts 237 238 from one ENSO type to another in the models are much more conservative than in the observations. In the MME, for instance, there is a 15% increase in TCs from EP El Niño to CP 239 El Niño and an additional 16% increase to La Niña in terms of the mean value. 240 The corresponding changes in observations are 29% and 38%. The results indicate a weaker 241 interannual variability of Atlantic TCs in the model simulations. It should also be noted that the 242 MME mean approach may reduce the variability of TC counts in the models. 243

The spatial characteristics of mean TC activity are presented in Fig. 4 for both 244 observations and simulations in the form of 28-yr mean track densities and total TC origins 245 246 during the entire 28 years. Compared to the observations (Fig. 4a), each model has different mean biases. Among the five models, the GFDL model (Fig. 4d) is closest to the observations 247 for both the magnitude and spatial coverage of track density. The FSU, GSFC, and GFS models 248 249 (Figs. 4c, 4f, 4g) have a very high track density (> 3) over the west MDR, east-central MDR, and most of the North Atlantic basin, respectively, whereas the GISS model (Fig. 4e) has a very 250 low track density over the basin. The MME mean pattern (Fig. 4h) shows a higher track density 251

in the MDR than the observations (Fig. 4a) and a lower track density over the U.S. east coastal
regions. Overall, the MME is better than most individual models.

The TC origins in observations (Fig. 4b) are characterized by two regions with large 254 populations, one over the MDR and the other over the Gulf of Mexico and adjacent sectors of the 255 Atlantic Ocean and Caribbean Sea. The FSU, GSFC, and GFS models exhibit very dense TC 256 origins over the central and to the south of the MDR (Fig. 4i), to the south of the east MDR (Fig. 257 41), and to the south and east of the MDR (Fig. 4m), respectively. The GISS model shows a lack 258 of TC formations over the east MDR. The GFDL model (Fig. 4) and MME (Fig. 4n) have a 259 260 distribution of TC origins closer to the observations than the other models. The model biases in the distribution of TC origins are consistent with the biases of track density and mean number of 261 TCs. For example, the dense TC origins in the FSU and GSFC models (Figs. 4i and 4l) lead to 262 high track density over the regions to the northwest of the TC origins (Figs. 4c and 4f). If the 263 unrealistic TC origins to the east of the MDR in the GFS model (Fig. 4m) are removed, the mean 264 number of TCs is significantly reduced from 22.0 to 11.7, matching the observed value, and 265 leading to a track density distribution much closer to the observations (not shown). 266

Similar to the ENSO composites of track density for observations (Fig. 2, top row), Fig. 5 displays the ENSO composites of track density for individual model ensemble means, as well as MME mean. In spite of the distinct biases in each model revealed in Fig. 4, the composites consistently show relatively low track densities during EP El Niño (left column) in all models and high track densities during La Niña in most models (right column), except for the GSFC model. Furthermore, there is a clear increase in track density from EP El Niño to CP El Niño (Fig. 5, middle column). 274 The corresponding composites for track density anomaly are illustrated in Fig. 6. The track density anomalies in the GCMs are generally below normal across the basin during EP El 275 Niño (left column) and above normal during La Niña (right column). In some spots, the negative 276 277 anomalies associated with EP El Niño (left column) become positive during CP El Niño (middle column). The results in Figs. 5 and 6 suggest that the GCMs are able to capture some of the 278 observed features of the Atlantic TC activity associated with ENSO. Qualitatively, there is less 279 TC activity associated with EP El Niño, more activity associated with La Niña, and increasing 280 TC activity during CP El Niño with respect to EP El Niño. However, the patterns of track 281 density vary from model to model and differ from observations. Particularly, there are no 282 indications of increasing landfalling TCs along the U.S. southeast coast during CP El Niño in the 283 model simulations. 284

The modeled TC origins over five years from one ensemble member of each model are 285 shown in Fig. 7 for each ENSO category. Relative to EP El Niño (left column), there are 286 increases in the formation of TCs over or near the MDR during CP El Niño (middle column) and 287 La Niña (right column) in some models, such as the GSFC and GFS models. Only the GFDL 288 model shows some increase in TC origins at high latitudes between 20°N and 40°N, especially 289 during CP El Niño. Unlike observations (Fig. 2i), there are no increases in TC origins over the 290 Gulf of Mexico and west Caribbean Sea in all models during La Niña. This may be related to the 291 model bias in simulating the TC formations over these regions (Fig. 4). The differences in TC 292 293 origins among the three ENSO categories in the MME (Fig. 7, bottom row) are not as large as in the observations (Fig. 2, bottom row). This is another indication of relatively weak interannual 294 variability of Atlantic TCs in GCMs. 295

297 **5.** Possible explanations for model biases

The changes in both the mean and variability of Atlantic TCs is accompanied by changes in atmospheric circulation (e.g., Goldenberg and Shapiro 1996; Goldenberg et al. 2001). Therefore, in order to understand the mean biases of TC activity in GCMs, Fig. 8 shows the ASO season climatology of vertical shear of zonal wind between 200 and 850 hPa derived from observations and mean biases for individual model ensemble means and the MME mean. The regions of weak mean vertical wind shear (< 10 m s⁻¹, Fig. 8a) coincide with the regions of high mean track density and TC origins in observations (Figs. 4a and 4b).

305 The mean bias in the vertical wind shear may account for the mean bias in Atlantic TC activity in some models. In the FSU model (Fig. 8b), for instance, a large negative bias of 306 vertical wind shear (over -10 m s⁻¹) in the west MDR leads to a close-to-zero mean state of 307 vertical wind shear, which favors the generation and development of TCs. This is consistent 308 with the mean bias of high track density and TC origins over this region (Figs. 4c and 4i). In the 309 GISS model (Fig. 8d), a positive bias of vertical wind shear in the east MDR enhances the mean 310 vertical wind shear and prevents TCs from occurring over this area. As a result, TC tracks and 311 TC origins shift towards the west (Figs. 4e and 4k). 312

Both individual model ensemble means (Figs. 8b–8f) and the MME mean (Fig. 8g) exhibit negative biases in vertical wind shear over and/or near the MDR and positive biases to the north, especially over the Gulf coast and U.S. southeast coast. Consequently, there are biases of high track density and dense TC origins at low latitudes and low track density and sparse TC origins over the Gulf and U.S. southeast coast in the models (Fig. 4).

Figure 9 displays the composites of ASO season vertical wind shear anomalies associated with the three ENSO categories for observations (top row) and MME (bottom row), respectively. 320 Overall, the model circulation response to different ENSO SST anomalies agree with the 321 observations, both with positive vertical wind shear anomalies to the south of 20°N associated with EP El Niño (left column) and negative anomalies associated with La Niña (right column). 322 323 The circulation response to CP El Niño is less significant or spatially coherent over the subtropical North Atlantic (middle column). This is likely due to the weak amplitude and small 324 area-coverage of the CP El Niño SST anomalies (Fig. 1). Thus the atmospheric response may be 325 weak (e.g., Wang et al. 2013). In spite of that, it is still evident that wind shear anomalies over 326 the MDR are largely reduced as compared to EP El Niño, a condition that is more favorable for 327 TC activity during CP El Niño. The results present in Fig. 9 are also consistent with the better 328 simulations of Atlantic TC activity in GCMs for EP El Niño and La Niña than for CP El Niño. 329

ENSO influences the Atlantic TC activity by altering vertical wind shear over the MDR 330 through atmospheric teleconnection (e.g., Goldenberg and Shapiro, 1996). It may also change 331 tropical Atlantic SST via local air-sea interaction (Enfield and Mayer 1997), which in turn 332 affects the TC activity (Goldenberg et al. 2001). The composites of SST anomalies in Fig. 1 333 suggest very weak Atlantic SST anomalies associated with ENSO in ASO. Furthermore, 334 diagnostics of the ENSO modulation of TC activity using a genesis potential index identified 335 vertical wind shear as one of the main environmental factors responsible for this modulation in 336 the North Atlantic (Camargo et al. 2007). Therefore, the atmospheric response to tropical 337 heating related to ENSO SST anomalies and atmospheric teleconnection are likely the primary 338 339 processes responsible for the ENSO impact.

The westward shift of warm SST anomalies from EP El Niño to CP El Niño (Fig. 1) may lead to changes in tropical heating. In the tropics, precipitation associated with deep convection is a good indicator of tropical heating in the atmosphere. Similar to Wang et al. (2012), the

composites of ASO season precipitation anomalies over the tropical Pacific are used to illustrate 343 and verify the changes in tropical heating, as shown in Fig. 10. In both observations and the 344 MME mean of the GCM simulations, associated with EP El Niño (Figs. 10a and 10d), there are 345 positive precipitation anomalies across the central and eastern equatorial Pacific. Associated 346 with CP El Niño (Figs. 10b and 10e), precipitation anomalies shift towards the west with no 347 large anomalies over the eastern Pacific. In La Niña, negative precipitation anomalies cross the 348 tropical Pacific (Figs. 10c and 10f). In general, the GCMs reproduce the observed major features 349 of precipitation anomalies over the tropical Pacific for different types of ENSO. On the other 350 351 hand, precipitation response to ENSO over the tropical North Atlantic (not shown) varies considerably across the models, which may contribute to the model diversity in simulating the 352 TC variability associated with ENSO. 353

There are also differences in precipitation between observations and simulations over the tropical Pacific, such as weaker precipitation anomalies in the models between 160°E and the dateline for all ENSO categories. These differences may be related to model convection schemes and model sensitivity to SST. Together with model biases in mean circulation (not shown), they may modify the Rossby-wave source (Sardeshmukh and Hoskins 1998) and thus affect the detailed structure of circulation response to ENSO.

Figure 11 gives a simple example of changes in vertical wind shear associated with a westward shift of warm SST anomalies from the Niño-3 region $(5^{\circ}S - 5^{\circ}N, 90^{\circ} - 150^{\circ}W)$ to the Niño-4 region $(5^{\circ}S - 5^{\circ}N, 150^{\circ}W - 160^{\circ}E)$. First, the ASO season vertical wind shear anomalies are regressed against the Niño-4 and Niño-3 SST indices, separately. The differences between the two sets of regression coefficients are shown for observations (left panel) and the MME (right panel), respectively. Both the observations and the MME exhibit a similar large-scale 366 wave train pattern originating from the western and central equatorial Pacific and along a great circle route to tropical Atlantic. A close inspection of Fig. 11 reveals some differences in the 367 changes of vertical wind shear over the tropical North Atlantic between the observations and 368 simulations. Negative wind shear anomalies are found to the north of the MDR in the 369 observations (Fig. 11a) whereas positive anomalies are found over the MDR in the MME (Fig. 370 11b). The results illustrate the difference between the observations and GCMs in North Atlantic 371 vertical wind shear response to the shift of tropical Pacific SST anomalies. The difference may 372 cause further changes in the responses of Atlantic TCs to the shift of SST anomalies. 373

374

375 **6. Summary and conclusions**

Based on the analysis of the HWG interannual experiments, the GCM's performance in 376 377 simulating the variability of Atlantic TCs associated with ENSO are assessed. The results 378 indicate that each model has different mean biases in terms of track density and TC origin. Among the five models, the GFDL model with a relatively high resolution has the best 379 performance. The MME mean has the highest anomaly correlation for the number of TCs and 380 381 the least RMSE. Therefore, using an MME should be considered a better approach for dynamical hurricane season prediction than using a single model. Overall, the GCMs simulate 382 the variability of Atlantic TCs well with weaker activities during EP El Niño and stronger 383 384 activities during La Niña. For CP El Niño, there is a slight increase in the number of TCs as compared with EP El Niño. However, the spatial distribution of track density and TC origin is 385 less consistent among the models. Particularly, there is no indication of increasing TC activity 386 over the U.S. southeast coastal region as found in observations. The differences between the 387 models and the observations may be due to the bias of vertical wind shear in response to the shift 388 of tropical heating associated with CP El Niño, as well as the model bias in the mean circulation. 389

390 It should also be noted that there are limited sample sizes for both EP and CP El Niño events in 391 the observations. The differences between EP and CP El Niño composites may not be just due to 392 ENSO response, but also contain some random component.

There are at least two factors that may affect the results presented in this paper. One is 393 the model sensitivity to different SST data sets (e.g., LaRow 2013). For example, the FSU 394 model forced with the NOAA optimum interpolation SST version 2 (OISST v2; Reynolds et al. 395 2002) may improve the simulations of Atlantic TC activity with a better TC climatology (11.5) 396 and RMSE (4.5) than those forced with HadISST (Table 2). Knowledge of the model sensitivity 397 398 to SST forcing may help estimate the uncertainty of the model simulated TCs. In this study, different TC tracking algorithms were employed by the five modeling groups for their GCMs 399 (Table 1). Track density and TC origin in the models may also be sensitive to the algorithms 400 used (e.g., Horn et al. 2013). A unified tracking algorithm may be helpful to reduce the related 401 uncertainty for model assessment. 402

The impact of ENSO on Atlantic TC activity may have some implications for projections 403 of future TC variability under a warming climate. Studies have shown an increase in tropical 404 Atlantic wind shear (Vecchi and Soden, 2007) and a reduction of Atlantic TCs associated with 405 global warming with a high-resolution GCM (Zhao and Held 2010). In more recent studies, no 406 robust changes in North Atlantic TC activity were found in the 21st century simulations with 407 low-resolution models (Camargo 2013; Tory et al. 2013). On the other hand, downscaling 408 409 studies of these simulations lead to contradictory results, varying from a significant decrease (Knutson et al. 2013), ambiguous trends (Villarini and Vecchi 2013), to a significant increase 410 (Emanuel 2013) in North Atlantic TC activity by the end of the 21st century. In addition to 411 412 possible changes in the mean TC activity, the variability of TC activity is also expected to

change as the intensity of CP El Niño (EP El Niño) would increase (decrease) under a warming
climate (Kim and Yu 2012). In fact, CP El Niño has been documented to occur more frequently
in the most recent two decades (Yeh et al. 2009), which could be a manifestation of global
warming in observations.

There is a possibility that the relationship between Atlantic TC activity and ENSO under the present-day climate found in Kim et al. (2009) might not be maintained under a warming climate. Indeed, changes in atmospheric teleconnection in response to ENSO have been detected in model simulations for the 21st century (e.g., Stevenson 2012). This would add additional uncertainty to the future projection of Atlantic TC variability. Nevertheless, this study indicates the feasibility of utilizing high-resolution GCMs to assess the Atlantic TC activity associated with ENSO for climate change projections.

424

Acknowledgments. This work was carried out as part of a Hurricane Working Group (HWG) activity supported by the U.S. CLIVAR. The authors thank the Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University for archiving model data and making them accessible online. The authors also thank Prof. Kerry A. Emanuel for providing useful comments and suggestions during a U.S. CLIVAR HWG review of the paper.

430

432 **References**

- Ashok, K., S. K. Behera, S. A. Rao, H. Weng, and T. Yamagata, 2007: El Niño Modoki and its
 possible teleconnection. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **112**, C11007, doi:10.1029/2006JC003798.
- Bell, G. D., and M. Chelliah, 2006: Leading tropical modes associated with interannual and
 multidecadal fluctuations in North Atlantic hurricane activity. *J. Climate*, **19**, 590–612.
- 437 Camargo, S. J., 2013: Global and regional aspects of tropical cyclone activity in the CMIP5
 438 models. J. Climate, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00549.1, in press.
- Camargo, S. J., A. G. Barnston, and S. E. Zebiak, 2005: A statistical assessment of tropical
 cyclone activity in atmospheric general circulation models. *Tellus*, 57A, 589–604.
- Camargo, S. J., K. A. Emanuel, and A. H. Sobel, 2007: Use of a genesis potential index to
 diagnose ENSO effects on tropical cyclone genesis. *J. Climate*, 20, 4819-4834.
- Camargo, S. J., and S. E. Zebiak, 2002: Improving the detection and tracking of tropical cyclones
 in atmospheric general circulation models. *Wea. Forecasting*, 17, 1152–1162.
- Cocke, S. D., and T. E. LaRow, 2000: Seasonal predictions using a regional spectral model
 embedded within a coupled ocean–atmospheric model. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **128**, 689–708.
- Emanuel, K. A., 2013: Downscaling CMIP5 climate models shows increased tropical cyclone
 activity over the 21st century. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, **110**, doi:10.1073/pnas.1301293110.
- Enfield, D. B., and D. A. Mayer, 1997: Tropical Atlantic SST variability and its relation to El
 Niño Southern Oscillation. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 929–945.
- Goldenberg, S. B., and L. J. Shapiro, 1996: Physical mechanisms for the association of El Niño
 and West African rainfall with Atlantic major hurricane activity. *J. Climate*, 9, 1169–
 1187.
- Goldenberg, S. B., C.W. Landsea, A. M. Mestas-Nuñez, and W. M. Gray, 2001: The recent
 increase in Atlantic hurricane activity: Cause and implications. *Science*, 293, 474–479.

- Gray, W. M., 1984: Atlantic seasonal hurricane frequency. Part I. El Niño and 30 mb quasibiennial oscillation influences. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **112**, 1649–1668.
- Horn, M., K. J. E. Walsh, and A. Ballinger, 2013: A phenomenon-based tracking scheme for
 high-resolution climate models. U.S. CLIVAR Hurricane Workshop, June 5–7, 2013,
 Princeton, New Jersey.
- Kanamitsu, M., W. Ebisuzaki, J. Woollen, S.-K. Yang, J. J. Hnilo, M. Fiorino, and G. L. Potter,
 2002: NCEP–DOE AMIP-II Reanalysis (R-2). *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 83, 1631–1643.
- Kim, H.-M., P. J. Webster, and J. A. Curry, 2009: Impact of shifting patterns of Pacific Ocean
 warming on North Atlantic tropical cyclones. *Science*, 325, 77–80.
- Kim, S. T., and J.-Y. Yu, 2012: The two types of ENSO in CMIP5 models. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*,
 39, L11704, doi:10.1029/2012GL052006.
- Knutson, T. R., J. J. Sirutis, G. A. Vecchi, S. Garner, M. Zhao, H.-S. Kim, M. Bender, R.E.
 Tuleya, I.M. Held, and G. Villarini, 2013: Dynamical downscaling projections of twentyfirst-century Atlantic hurricane activity: CMIP3 and CMIP5 model-based scenarios. *J. Climate*, 26, 6591–6617.
- Kossin, J. P., S. J. Camargo, and M. Sitkowski, 2010. Climate modulation of North Atlantic
 hurricane tracks. *J. Climate*, 23, 3057–3076.
- Kumar, A., and M. P. Hoerling, 1995: Prospects and limitations of atmospheric GCM climate
 predictions. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 76, 335–345.
- 475 Landsea, C. W., 2000: El Niño/Southern Oscillation and the seasonal predictability of tropical
 476 cyclones. *El Niño and the Southern Oscillation: Multiscale Variability and Global and*477 *Regional Impacts.* Cambridge University Press, 149–182.
- 478 Landsea, C. W., C. Anderson, N. Charles, G. Clark, J. Dunion, J. Fernandez-Partagas, P.
 479 Hungerford, C. Neumann, and M. Zimmer, 2004: The Atlantic hurricane database re480 analysis project: Documentation for the 1851–1910 alterations and additions to the

- 481 HURDAT database. *Hurricanes and Typhoons: Past, Present and Future*, R. J.
 482 Murname and K.-B. Liu, Eds., Columbia University Press, 177–221.
- 483 LaRow, T. E., 2013: The impact of SST bias correction on North Atlantic hurricane retrospective
 484 forecasts. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 141, 490–498.
- 485 LaRow, T. E., Y.-K. Lim, D. W. Shin, E. P. Chassignet, and S. Cocke, 2008: Atlantic basin
 486 seasonal hurricane simulations. *J. Climate*, 21, 3191–3206.
- McPhaden, M.J., T. Lee, and D. McClurg, 2011: El Niño and its relationship to changing
 background conditions in the tropical Pacific Ocean. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38, L15709,
 doi:10.1029/2011GL048275.
- 490 Molod, A., L. Takacs, M. Suarez, J. Bacmeister, I.-S. Song, and A. Eichmann, 2012: The GEOS-
- 491 5 Atmospheric General Circulation Model: Mean Climate and Development from
- 492 MERRA to Fortuna. NASA Technical Report Series on Global Modeling and Data

493 *Assimilation*, NASA/TM-2012-104606, **28**, 117 pp.

- 494 NOAA, cited 2013: Atlantic hurricane outlook and summary archive. [Available online at http://
 495 www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/outlooks/hurricane-archive.shtml.]
- 496 Pielke, R. A., Jr., and C. W. Landsea, 1999: La Nina, El Nino, and Atlantic hurricane damages in
 497 the United States. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 80, 2027–2033.
- Rayner, N. A., D. E. Parker, E. B. Horton, C. K. Folland, L. V. Alexander, D. P. Rowell, E. C.
 Kent, and A. Kaplan, 2003: Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and night
 marine air temperature since the late nineteenth century. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(D14),
 4407, doi:10.1029/2002JD002670.
- Reynolds, R. W., N. A. Rayner, T. M. Smith, D. C. Stokes, and W. Wang, 2002: An improved in
 situ and satellite SST analysis for climate. *J. Climate*, 15, 1609–1625.

- Rienecker, M. M., and Coauthors, 2008: The GEOS-5 Data Assimilation System –
 Documentation of Versions 5.0.1 and 5.1.0, and 5.2.0. *NASA Technical Report Series on Global Modeling and Data Assimilation*, NASA/TM-2008-104606, 27, 92 pp.
- Saha, S. and Coauthors, 2013: The NCEP Climate Forecast System Version 2. J. Climate,
 doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00823.1, in press.
- Sardeshmukh, P. D., and B. J. Hoskins, 1998: The generation of global rotational flow by steady
 idealized tropical divergence. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 45, 1228–1251.
- Schemm, J.-K. E., and L. Long, 2009: Dynamic hurricane season prediction experiment with the
 NCEP CFS CGCM. *NOAA CTB Joint Seminar Series Extended Summaries Collection*, 3,
 27–29.
- Schmidt, G. A., and Coauthors, 2013: Configuration and assessment of GISS Model E2
 contributions to the CMIP5 archive. *J. Climate*, submitted.
- Stevenson, S. L., 2012: Significant changes to ENSO strength and impacts in the twenty-first
 century: Results from CMIP5. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **39**, L17703, doi:10.1029/2012GL
 052759.
- Tory, K., S. S. Chand, J. L. McBride, H. Ye, and R.A. Dare, 2013: Projected changes in late 21st
 century tropical cyclone frequency in thirteen coupled climate models from the Coupled
 Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5. *J. Climate*, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00010.1, in
 press.
- 523 U.S. CLIVAR, 2011: U.S. CLIVAR launches two new working groups. *Variations*, **9**(1), 11.
- Vecchi, G. A., and B. J. Soden, 2007: Increased tropical Atlantic wind shear in model projections
 of global warming. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L08702, doi:10.1029/2006GL028905.
- 526 Vecchi, G. A., M. Zhao, H. Wang, G. Villarini, A. Rosati, A. Kumar, I. M. Held, and R. Gudgel,
- 527 2011: Statistical-dynamical predictions of seasonal North Atlantic hurricane activity.
 528 *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 139, 1070–1082.

529	Villarini, G., and G.A. Vecchi, 2012: Twenty-first-century projections of North Atlantic tropical
530	storms from CMIP5 models. Nature Climate Change, 2, 604–607.

- Walsh, K. J. E., and Coauthors, 2013: U.S. CLIVAR hurricane working group. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, to be submitted.
- Wang, H., A. Kumar, W. Wang, and B. Jha, 2012: U.S. summer precipitation and temperature
 patterns following the peak phase of El Niño. *J. Climate*, 25, 7204–7215.
- Wang, H., Y. Pan, A. Kumar, and W. Wang, 2013: Modulation of convectively coupled Kelvin
 wave activity in the tropical Pacific by ENSO. *Acta Meteor. Sinica*, 27, 295–307.
- Wang, H., J.-K. E. Schemm, A. Kumar, W. Wang, L. Long, M. Chelliah, G. D. Bell, and P.
 Peng, 2009: A statistical forecast model for Atlantic seasonal hurricane activity based on
 the NCEP dynamical seasonal forecast. *J. Climate*, 22, 4481–4500.
- 540 Wilks, D. S., 1995: *Statistical Methods in the Atmospheric Sciences*. Academic Press, 467 pp.
- Xie, P., and P. A. Arkin, 1997: Global precipitation: A 17-year monthly analysis based on gauge
 observations, satellite estimates, and numerical model outputs. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*,
 78, 2539–2558.
- Yeh, S.-W., J.-S. Kug, B. Dewitte, M.-H. Kwon, B. P. Kirtman, and F.-F. Jin, 2009: El Niño in a
 changing climate. *Nature*, 461, 511–514.
- Zhao, M., and I. M. Held, 2010: An analysis of the effect of global warming on the intensity of
 Atlantic hurricanes using a GCM with statistical refinement. *J. Climate*, 23, 6382–6393.
- Zhao, M., I. M. Held, S.-J. Lin, and G. A. Vecchi, 2009: Simulations of global hurricane
 climatology, interannual variability, and response to global warming using a 50-km
 resolution GCM. J. Climate, 22, 6653–6678.
- 551

552 Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Composites of ASO seasonal mean SST anomalies (unit: K) for (a) EP El Niño (1982, 1986, 1991, 1997, and 2006), (b) CP El Niño (1987, 1994, 2002, 2004, and 2009), and (c) La Niña (1983, 1984, 1988, 1995, 1998, 1999, 2005, and 2007) during 1982–2009. The anomalies circled by light lines are above the 99% significance level estimated by the Monte Carlo tests.

Fig. 2. Composites of TC track density (top row) and track density anomaly (middle row) for (a),(d) EP El Niño, (b),(e) CP El Niño, and (c),(f) La Niña years, and distribution of TC origins during (g) five EP El Niño, (h) five CP El Niño, and (i) five La Niña years derived from observations. The anomalies circled by light lines (middle row) are above the 90% significance level estimated by the Monte Carlo tests. The boxes with dash lines denote the main development region (MDR; 10° – 20° N, 20° – 80° W).

Fig. 3. Time series of annual number of Atlantic TCs from 1982 to 2009 for observations (OBS) and multi-model ensemble (MME) mean (thick lines with open circles), as well as individual model ensemble means (thin lines). Grey shading denotes the range of \pm one standard deviation of the spreads of the five individual model ensemble means around the MME mean.

Fig. 4. Climatology of track density for (a) observations, (c)–(g) individual model ensemble means, and (h) MME mean, and 28-yr total TC origins for (b) observations, (i)–(m) one ensemble member of each model, and (n) MME total from one member of each model. The boxes with dash lines denote the MDR.

Fig. 5. Composites of track density during EP El Niño (left column), CP El Niño (middle column), and La Niña (right column) for five individual model ensemble mean (top five rows) and MME mean (bottom row). The boxes with dash lines denote the MDR. Fig. 6. Composites of track density anomaly during EP El Niño (left column), CP El Niño (middle column), and La Niña (right column) for five individual model ensemble mean (top five rows) and MME mean (bottom row). The anomalies circled by light lines are above the 90% significance level estimated. The boxes with dash lines denote the MDR.

Fig. 7. Distribution of TC origins during five EP El Niño (left column), five CP El Niño (middle column), and five La Niña (right column) years from one ensemble member of each model (top five rows) and MME total from one member of each model (bottom row). The boxes with dash lines denote the MDR.

Fig. 8. (a) Observed ASO season climatology of vertical shear of zonal wind (unit: m s⁻¹) between 200 and 850 hPa and mean bias in the (b) FSU, (c) GFDL, (d) GISS, (e) GSFC, and (f) GFS models, as well as (g) the MME. The boxes with dash lines denote the MDR.

Fig. 9. Composites of ASO seasonal mean vertical wind shear anomalies (unit: $m s^{-1}$) for (a),(d) EP El Niño, (b),(e) CP El Niño, and (c),(f) La Niña during 1982–2009 in observations (top row) and the MME mean (bottom row). The anomalies circled by light lines are above the 90% significance level. The boxes with dash lines denote the MDR.

Fig. 10. Composites of ASO seasonal mean precipitation anomalies (unit: mm day⁻¹) for (a),(d) EP El Niño, (b),(e) CP El Niño, and (c),(f) La Niña during 1982–2009 in observations (left column) and the MME mean (right column). The anomalies circled by light lines are above the 99% significance level.

Fig. 11. Changes in vertical wind shear (unit: $m s^{-1} K^{-1}$) associated with a westward shift of warm SST anomalies from the Niño-3 region (5°S – 5°N, 90° – 150°W) to the Niño-4 region (5°S – 5°N, 150°W – 160°E). The boxes with solid lines denote the MDR.

Table 1. List of five GCMs for the HWG interannual experiments, the number of ensemble members, model data grid, and references for TC tracking algorithms.

Model	Ensemble members	Model data grid zonal×meridional	Tracking algorithm
FSU	3	384 × 192	LaRow et al. (2008)
GFDL	3	576 × 360	Zhao et al. (2009)
NASA GISS	3	360 × 180	Camargo and Zebiak (2002)
NASA GSFC	2	576 × 361	LaRow et al. (2008)
NCEP GFS	5	360 × 181	Camargo and Zebiak (2002)

Table 2. List of TC statistics for observations (OBS), multiple model ensemble (MME) mean, and individual model ensemble means, including 28-yr (1982–2009) long-term mean annual number of Atlantic TCs, variance of interannual variability, linear trend (increase of TCs per decade), anomaly correlation (AC) between observations and model simulated interannual TC anomalies, and root-mean-square error (RMSE). The variance for each model is the average of the variance derived from individual ensemble members.

609

Model	Mean	Variance	Trend	AC	RMSE
OBS	11.7	25.9	3.7		
MME	13.1	17.0	1.9	0.86	3.5
FSU	13.5	9.2	1.7	0.62	4.5
GFDL	12.7	16.4	2.2	0.74	3.6
GISS	6.2	8.8	1.1	0.68	6.7
GSFC	10.9	24.5	2.6	0.62	4.2
GFS	22.0	26.1	2.1	0.73	10.9

610

Table 3. Mean annual number of TCs over the entire 28 years, five EP El Niño, five CP
El Niño, and eight La Niña years, respectively, for observations (OBS), MME, and individual
model ensemble means. Values in parentheses are the percentages of the 28-yr climatology.

615	
-----	--

Model	Mean	EP El Niño	CP El Niño	La Niña
OBS	11.7	6.8 (58%)	10.2 (87%)	14.6 (125%)
MME	13.1	10.4 (80%)	12.4 (95%)	14.5 (111%)
FSU	13.5	11.9 (88%)	12.0 (89%)	15.3 (113%)
GFDL	12.7	9.5 (75%)	11.0 (87%)	15.5 (122%)
GISS	6.2	4.5 (73%)	5.7 (91%)	7.3 (117%)
GSFC	10.9	6.9 (63%)	12.9 (118%)	11.2 (102%)
GFS	22.0	19.3 (88%)	20.5 (93%)	23.1 (105%)



618

Fig. 1. Composites of ASO seasonal mean SST anomalies (unit: K) for (a) EP El Niño (1982, 1986, 1991, 1997, and 2006), (b) CP El Niño (1987, 1994, 2002, 2004, and 2009), and (c) La Niña (1983, 1984, 1988, 1995, 1998, 1999, 2005, and 2007) during 1982–2009. The anomalies circled by light lines are above the 99% significance level estimated by the Monte Carlo tests.



Fig. 2. Composites of TC track density (top row) and track density anomaly (middle row) for (a),(d) EP El Niño, (b),(e) CP El Niño, and (c),(f) La Niña years, and distribution of TC origins during (g) five EP El Niño, (h) five CP El Niño, and (i) five La Niña years derived from observations. The anomalies circled by light lines (middle row) are above the 90% significance level estimated by the Monte Carlo tests. The boxes with dash lines denote the main development region (MDR; $10^{\circ}-20^{\circ}N$, $20^{\circ}-80^{\circ}W$).



634 635 Fig. 3. Time series of annual number of Atlantic TCs from 1982 to 2009 for observations (OBS) and multi-model ensemble (MME) mean (thick lines with open circles), as well as 636 individual model ensemble means (thin lines). Grey shading denotes the range of \pm one standard 637 deviation of the spreads of the five individual model ensemble means around the MME mean. 638 639



Fig. 4. Climatology of track density for (a) observations, (c)-(g) individual model ensemble means, and (h) MME mean, and 28-yr total TC origins for (b) observations, (i)-(m) one ensemble member of each model, and (n) MME total from one member of each model. The boxes with dash lines denote the MDR.



Fig. 5. Composites of track density during EP El Niño (left column), CP El Niño (middle
column), and La Niña (right column) for five individual model ensemble mean (top five rows)
and MME mean (bottom row). The boxes with dash lines denote the MDR.



Fig. 6. Composites of track density anomaly during EP El Niño (left column), CP El Niño
(middle column), and La Niña (right column) for five individual model ensemble mean (top five
rows) and MME mean (bottom row). The anomalies circled by light lines are above the 90%
significance level estimated. The boxes with dash lines denote the MDR.



Fig. 7. Distribution of TC origins during five EP El Niño (left column), five CP El Niño
(middle column), and five La Niña (right column) years from one ensemble member of each
model (top five rows) and MME total from one member of each model (bottom row). The boxes
with dash lines denote the MDR.



664 Fig. 8. (a) Observed ASO season climatology of vertical shear of zonal wind (unit: m s⁻¹) between 200 and 850 hPa and mean bias in the (b) FSU, (c) GFDL, (d) GISS, (e) GSFC, and (f) GFS models, as well as (g) the MME. The boxes with dash lines denote the MDR.





Fig. 9. Composites of ASO seasonal mean vertical wind shear anomalies (unit: $m s^{-1}$) for (a),(d) EP El Niño, (b),(e) CP El Niño, and (c),(f) La Niña during 1982–2009 in observations (top row) and the MME mean (bottom row). The anomalies circled by light lines are above the 90% significance level. The boxes with dash lines denote the MDR.



Fig. 10. Composites of ASO seasonal mean precipitation anomalies (unit: mm day⁻¹) for (a),(d) EP El Niño, (b),(e) CP El Niño, and (c),(f) La Niña during 1982-2009 in observations (left column) and the MME mean (right column). The anomalies circled by light lines are above the 99% significance level.





680 681 Fig. 11. Changes in vertical wind shear (unit: m s⁻¹ K⁻¹) associated with a westward shift of warm SST anomalies from the Niño-3 region ($5^{\circ}S - 5^{\circ}N$, $90^{\circ} - 150^{\circ}W$) to the Niño-4 region 682 $(5^{\circ}S - 5^{\circ}N, 150^{\circ}W - 160^{\circ}E)$. The boxes with solid lines denote the MDR. 683