NASA Earth Science Activities Supporting Domestic U.S. Response and Preparedness to Meteorological Disasters

GARRETT LAYNE

ANDREW MOLTHAN, LORI SCHULTZ, JORDAN BELL, MICHAEL GOODMAN, DAVID GREEN

About

Promotes the use of Earth observations to improve prediction of, preparation for, response to and recovery from natural and technological disasters

Disaster applications and applied research on natural hazards support emergency preparedness leaders in developing mitigation approaches, such as early warning systems, and providing information and maps to disaster response and recovery teams.

Highlights of 2018

For more information please visit: <u>https://disasters.nasa.gov/</u> to read about the program.

To view near real time (NRT) products as well as those developed for event-specific support, visit: <u>https://maps.disasters.nasa.gov/</u>



Hurricane Florence

GLOBAL PRECIPITATION MEASUREMENT MISSION, COPERNICUS SENTINEL 1 A/B, LANDSAT 8, NASA BLACK MARBLE HD IMAGERY, MODIS FLOOD, GLOBAL FLOOD MONITORING SYSTEM

Disaster Response and Engagement: Hurricane Florence





UAVSAR



FEMA mission assignment of the G-III/UAVSAR for repeated collections of L-Band SAR in flooded regions;

 Polarimetric color composite images provided to show flood extent including under tree canopies as well as areas covered by other vegetation

Team efforts of NASA and FEMA targeted daily UAVSAR collections where significant river flooding was ongoing or expected in areas of intensive risk, to support both response and further study

• Flew 6 days and collected 45 flight lines

Rapidly available imagery provided to FEMA following each flight, to the USFS, and to the community via NASA Disasters Portal and partners at HDDS.

Team engagements supported through on-site support:

 "NASA provided a visiting scientist (Andrew Molthan/MSFC) who helped process and integrate UAVSAR data into our existing, time-sensitive flood detection and structural assessment workflows." – FEMA, Subcommittee on Disaster Reduction, 10/4

Image Credit: Andrew Molthan, October 2018, used with permission

Hurricane Michael

GLOBAL PRECIPITATION MEASUREMENT MISSION, COPERNICUS SENTINEL 1 A/B, LANDSAT 8, NASA BLACK MARBLE HD IMAGERY, MODIS FLOOD, GLOBAL FLOOD MONITORING SYSTEM

Response and Engagement Timeline: Hurricane Michael





National Level Exercise – 2019

DAY NIGHT BAND DATA FROM SUOMI-NPP VISIBLE INFRARED IMAGING RADIOMETER SUITE (VIIRS) INSTRUMENT Using the NASA Black Marble products to identify Power Outages

Day Night Band information from the Suomi NPP-VIIRS instrument has been used in multiple natural disasters in recent years to help identify where "lights" are missing

A proxy for power outages

Caveats:

- How to account for the moon's influence on the scene?
- Presence of cloud cover that can mask lights from the sensor or cause a brightening
- How much light is missing? What is normal light?



The DNB Radiance RGB was used to support the National Guard during the Maria recovery efforts.

This version of the Percent of Normal product was demonstrated/tested during the same period. 7 October 2017 Blackmarble generated DNB Radiance RGB (left) and the associated Percent of Normal product (lower) over Puerto Rico post Hurricane Maria



New Madrid Seismic Zone – National Level Exercise, 2019

Exercise to simulate the response and recovery to 7.7 magnitude earthquake near Memphis, TN.

- Occurred 29 May 7 June 2019
- Involved multiple stakeholders at local, state and federal level as well as non-government organizations and private sector to assist with the transition and adoption of technologies and protocols.
- Goal to improve the region's collective capacity to respond and recover from significant events



NASA's Disasters Team produced VIIRS DNB imagery representing typical pre-event nighttime light conditions.

...and here, a simulated outage product that reduces or removes lights based upon potential quake impacts.

Imagery was used by USAF analysts during the exercise to support simulated response activities.

Spring Flooding – 2019

COPERNICUS SENTINEL 1 A/B & SENTINEL 2 A/B, LANDSAT 8 IMAGERY Passive support and monitoring began on 1 March 2019 with more involved support starting in mid-March with FEMA interagency partners and the National Guard Bureau – J2 supporting the affected states.

Due to the large scale of the event, satellite data offered a "big-picture" look at the event as it unfolded, over the next few weeks.

This information was provided to support federal, state and local aerial missions in the worst hit areas.



This maps shows areas where there is a greater than 50% change of major, moderate or minor flooding during March through May of 2019

MSFC 2019, Sentinel-2 (ESA) data courtesy of the U.S. Geological Survey and contains modified <u>Copernicus</u> Sentinel data 2019

https://maps.disasters.nasa.gov/arcgis /apps/MapSeries/index.html?appid=c4

45c4ba6adb476f9b2769070f382309

NASA Products for the Midwest Flooding 2019





The use of both SAR and Optical Imagery

Due to both the length of the event (March through late May into June) and the large spatial domain of the flooding event, optical imagery was able to provide additional information of the location and movement of the flood water

Imagery was provided to the National Guard units supporting Nebraska, Arkansas and Missouri, Missouri Emergency Management, and the USDA

Sentinel 1 imagery to detect water





ASF DAAC 2019, contains modified Copernicus Sentinel data 2019

<u>ASFC, ASF DAAC</u> 2019, contains modified <u>Copernicus</u> Sentinel data 2019

How bad was it?

Link to the NASA Disasters mapping portal web-app for this event: <u>https://arcg.is/0TWjqK</u>



https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/images/144691/historic-floods-inundate-nebraska

MSFC 2019, Sentinel-2 (ESA) data courtesy of the U.S. Geological Survey and contains modified Copernicus Sentinel data 2019



SOURCE: ESA Sentinel2-21/03/2019 SOURCE: GE 07/2018

Satellite and Modeled Flood Extents Dashboard





Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) https://apps.pnnl.gov/portal/home/webmap/viewer.html?webmap=ac691715c08b4ee3b196f20fe7575140 http://fema.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=dfef88a4b3 d14f4288795312bde7366c

From the Leadership briefing by the Region 7 GIS ORR Reponses Geospatial Office/Mapping Analysis Center, 3/26/2019

Lessons Learned

Understand what the question or problem being observed

- As scientists/data providers, we can tend to have preconceived notions on what the imagery/product/data can be used for
- Ensure that common terminologies have common definitions
 - Different response agencies have varied definitions and understanding of terms

Be prepared for a wide range of skill levels

Design the product to be self-explanatory

- Clearly describe caveats and limitations
- Be mindful of color curves
 - If other products are already being utilized, consider how to use similar colors to minimize incorrect interpretation

Consider a satellite agnostic approach to product production to meet user needs

Listen

• If possible, participate in daily calls as an observer

As much as possible pre/post event, work with supported groups to better define needs and expectations, identify shortcomings, and develop better techniques

Thank you!

Garrett Layne, Disasters GIS Specialist: Garrett.W.Layne@nasa.gov

NASA Earth Science Disasters Program Manager:

- David Green: <u>David.S.Green@nasa.gov</u>

Marshall Space Flight Center Disasters Lead:

- Andrew Molthan: <u>Andrew.Molthan@nasa.gov</u>

NASA Earth Science Disasters Mapping Portal/GIS Lead:

- Jeremy Kirkendall: <u>Jeremy.J.Kirendall@nasa.gov</u>