

## **General Disclaimer**

### **One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document**

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

# **ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY OF SOFTWARE ENGINEERING LABORATORY (SEL) LITERATURE**

**NOVEMBER 1982**



National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration

**Goddard Space Flight Center**  
Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

## FOREWORD

The Software Engineering Laboratory (SEL) is an organization sponsored by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Goddard Space Flight Center (NASA/GSFC) and created for the purpose of investigating the effectiveness of software engineering technologies when applied to the development of applications software. The SEL was created in 1977 and has three primary organizational members:

NASA/GSFC (Systems Development and Analysis Branch)

The University of Maryland (Computer Sciences Department)

Computer Sciences Corporation (Flight Systems Operation)

The goals of the SEL are (1) to understand the software development process in the GSFC environment; (2) to measure the effect of various methodologies, tools, and models on this process; and (3) to identify and then to apply successful development practices. The activities, findings, and recommendations of the SEL are recorded in the Software Engineering Laboratory Series, a continuing series of reports that includes this document. A version of this document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/SD-82/6209.

The primary contributor to this document is

David Card

(Computer Sciences Corporation)

Single copies of this document can be obtained by writing to

Frank E. McGarry

Code 582.1

NASA/GSFC

Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

## ABSTRACT

This document is an annotated bibliography of technical papers, documents, and memorandums produced by or related to the Software Engineering Laboratory (SEL). More than 75 publications are summarized. An index of these publications by subject is also included. These publications cover many areas of software engineering and range from research reports to software documentation.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section 1 - Introduction.</u>	1-1
<u>Section 2 - SEL-Originated Documents.</u>	2-1
2.1 <u>Proceedings From the First Summer Software Engineering Workshop, SEL-76-001, August 1976</u>	2-2
2.2 <u>The Software Engineering Laboratory, SEL-77-001, V. R. Basili, M. V. Zelkowitz, F. E. McGarry, et al., May 1977</u>	2-3
2.3 <u>Proceedings From the Second Summer Software Engineering Workshop, SEL-77-002, September 1977.</u>	2-4
2.4 <u>Structured FORTRAN Preprocessor (SFORT), SEL-77-003, B. Chu and D. S. Wilson, September 1977.</u>	2-5
2.5 <u>GSFC NAVPAK Design Specification Languages Study, SEL-77-004, P. A. Scheffer and C. E. Velez, October 1977</u>	2-6
2.6 <u>A Demonstration of AXES for NAVPAK, SEL-77-005, M. Hamilton and S. Zeldin, September 1977</u>	2-7
2.7 <u>FORTRAN Static Source Code Analyzer Design and Module Descriptions, SEL-78-001, E. M. O'Neill, S. R. Waligora, and C. E. Goorevich, February 1978.</u>	2-8
2.8 <u>FORTRAN Static Source Code Analyzer Program (SAP) User's Guide (Revision 1), SEL-78-102, W. J. Decker and W. A. Taylor, September 1982.</u>	2-9
2.9 <u>Evaluation of Draper NAVPAK Software Design, SEL-78-003, K. Tasaki and F. E. McGarry, June 1978</u>	2-10
2.10 <u>Structured FORTRAN Preprocessor (SFORT) PDP-11/70 User's Guide, SEL-78-004, D. S. Wilson and B. Chu, September 1978.</u>	2-11
2.11 <u>Proceedings From the Third Summer Software Engineering Workshop, SEL-78-005, September 1978.</u>	2-12
2.12 <u>GSFC Software Engineering Research Requirements Analysis Study, SEL-78-006, P. A. Scheffer and C. E. Velez, November 1978.</u>	2-13

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

### Section 2 (Cont'd)

2.13	<u>Applicability of the Rayleigh Curve to the SEL Environment, SEL-78-007, T. E. Mapp, December 1978 . . . . .</u>	2-14
2.14	<u>SIMPL-D Data Base Reference Manual, SEL-79-001, M. V. Zelkowitz, July 1979. . . . .</u>	2-15
2.15	<u>The Software Engineering Laboratory: Relationship Equations, SEL-79-002, K. Freburger and V. R. Basili, May 1979. . . . .</u>	2-16
2.16	<u>Common Software Module Repository (CSMR) System Description and User's Guide, SEL-79-003, C. E. Goorevich, A. L. Green, and S. R. Waligora, August 1979 . . . . .</u>	2-17
2.17	<u>Evaluation of the Caine, Farber, and Gordon Program Design Language (PDL) in the Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) Code 580 Software Design Environment, SEL-79-004, C. E. Goorevich, A. L. Green, and W. J. Decker, September 1979. . . . .</u>	2-18
2.18	<u>Proceedings From the Fourth Summer Software Engineering Workshop, SEL-79-005, November 1979 . . . . .</u>	2-19
2.19	<u>Functional Requirements/Specifications for Code 580 Configuration Analysis Tool (CAT), SEL-80-001, F. K. Banks, A. L. Green, and C. E. Goorevich, February 1980. . . . .</u>	2-20
2.20	<u>Multi-Level Expression Design Language - Requirement Level (MEDL-R) System Evaluation, SEL-80-002, W. J. Decker and C. E. Goorevich, May 1980. . . . .</u>	2-21
2.21	<u>Multi-Mission Modular Spacecraft Ground Support Software System (MMS/GSSS) State-of-the-Art Computer Systems/Compatibility Study, SEL-80-003, T. Welden, M. McClellan, and P. Liebertz, May 1980 . . . . .</u>	2-22
2.22	<u>Configuration Analysis Tool (CAT) System Description and User's Guide, SEL-80-004, F. K. Banks, W. J. Decker, J. G. Garrahan, et al., October 1980. . . . .</u>	2-23
2.23	<u>A Study of the Musa Reliability Model, SEL-80-005, A. M. Miller, November 1980 . . . . .</u>	2-24

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

### Section 2 (Cont'd)

2.24	<u>Proceedings From the Fifth Annual Software Engineering Workshop, SEL-80-006, November 1980 . . . . .</u>	2-25
2.25	<u>An Appraisal of Selected Cost/Resource Estimation Models for Software Systems, SEL-80-007, J. F. Cook and F. E. McGarry, December 1980 . .</u>	2-26
2.26	<u>Models and Metrics for Software Management and Engineering, SEL-80-008, V. R. Basili, 1980. . . . .</u>	2-27
2.27	<u>Guide to Data Collection, SEL-81-101, V. E. Church, D. N. Card, and F. E. McGarry, August 1982. . . . .</u>	2-28
2.28	<u>Software Engineering Laboratory (SEL) Data Base Organization and User's Guide, SEL-81-002, D. C. Wyckoff, G. Page, and F. E. McGarry, September 1981. . . . .</u>	2-29
2.29	<u>Software Engineering Laboratory (SEL) Data Base Maintenance System (DBAM) User's Guide and System Description, SEL-81-003, D. N. Card, D. C. Wyckoff, and G. Page, September 1981. . .</u>	2-30
2.30	<u>The Software Engineering Laboratory, SEL-81-104, D. N. Card, F. E. McGarry, G. Page, et al., February 1982 . . . . .</u>	2-31
2.31	<u>Recommended Approach to Software Development, SEL-81-105, S. Eslinger, F. E. McGarry, and G. Page, May 1982 . . . . .</u>	2-32
2.32	<u>Software Engineering Laboratory (SEL) Document Library (DOCLIB) System Description and User's Guide, SEL-81-006, W. A. Taylor and W. J. Decker, December 1981 . . . . .</u>	2-33
2.33	<u>Software Engineering Laboratory (SEL) Compendium of Tools (Revision 1), SEL-81-107, W. J. Decker, W. A. Taylor, and E. J. Smith, February 1982 . . . . .</u>	2-34
2.34	<u>Cost and Reliability Estimation Models (CAREM) User's Guide, SEL-81-008, J. F. Cook and E. Edwards, February 1981 . . . . .</u>	2-35
2.35	<u>Software Engineering Laboratory Programmer Workbench Phase 1 Evaluation, SEL-81-009, W. J. Decker and F. E. McGarry, March 1981. . .</u>	2-36

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

### Section 2 (Cont'd)

- 2.36 Evaluation of an Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V) Methodology for Flight Dynamics, SEL-81-110, G. Page and F. E. McGarry, December 1982. . . . . 2-37
- 2.37 Evaluating Software Development by Analysis of Change Data, SEL-81-011, D. M. Weiss, November 1981 . . . . . 2-38
- 2.38 The Rayleigh Curve As a Model for Effort Distribution Over the Life of Medium Scale Software Systems, SEL-81-012, G. O. Picasso, December 1981 . . . . . 2-39
- 2.39 Proceedings From the Sixth Annual Software Engineering Workshop, SEL-81-013, December 1981 . . . . . 2-40
- 2.40 Automated Collection of Software Engineering Data in the Software Engineering Laboratory (SEL), SEL-81-014, A. L. Green, W. J. Decker, and F. E. McGarry, September 1981 . . . . . 2-41
- 2.41 Evaluation of Management Measures of Software Development, SEL-82-001, G. Page, D. N. Card, and F. E. McGarry, September 1982 . . . . . 2-42
- 2.42 FORTTRAN Static Source Code Analyzer Program (SAP) System Description, SEL-82-002, W. J. Decker and W. A. Taylor, August 1982 . . . . . 2-43
- 2.43 Software Engineering Laboratory (SEL) Data Base Reporting Software User's Guide and System Description, SEL-82-003, P. Lo, September 1982. . . . . 2-44
- 2.44 Collected Software Engineering Papers: Volume 1, SEL-82-004, July 1982 . . . . . 2-45

### Section 3 - SEL-Related Literature. . . . . 3-1

- 3.1 Bailey, J. W., and V. R. Basili, "A Meta-Model for Software Development Resource Expenditures," Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Software Engineering. New York: Computer Societies Press, 1981. . . . . 3-2
- 3.2 Banks, F. K., "Configuration Analysis Tool Design," Computer Sciences Corporation, Technical Memorandum, March 1980. . . . . 3-3



## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

### Section 3 (Cont'd)

- 3.3 Basili, V. R., "Models and Metrics for Software Management and Engineering," ASME Advances in Computer Technology, January 1980, Vol. 1 . . . 3-4
- 3.4 Basili, V. R., "Software Engineering Laboratory Relationships for Programming Measurement and Estimation," University of Maryland, Technical Memorandum, October 1979. . . . . 3-5
- 3.5 Basili, V. R., and J. Beane, "Can the Parr Curve Help With Manpower Distribution and Resource Estimation Problems?", Journal of Systems and Software, February 1981, Vol. 2, No. 1 . . . . . 3-6
- 3.6 Basili, V. R., and K. Freburger, "Programming Measurement and Estimation in the Software Engineering Laboratory," Journal of Systems and Software, February 1981, Vol. 2, No. 1. . . 3-7
- 3.7 Basili, V. R., and B. T. Perricone, Software Errors and Complexity: An Empirical Investigation, University of Maryland, Technical Report TR-1195, August 1982. . . . . 3-8
- 3.8 Basili, V. R., and T. Phillips, "Evaluating and Comparing Software Metrics in the Software Engineering Laboratory," Proceedings of the ACM SIGMETRICS Symposium/Workshop: Quality Metrics, March 1981 . . . . . 3-9
- 3.9 Basili, V. R., R. W. Selby, and T. Phillips, Metric Analysis and Data Validation Across FORTRAN Projects, University of Maryland, Technical Report, November 1982 . . . . . 3-10
- 3.10 Basili, V. R., and R. Reiter, "Evaluating Automatable Measures for Software Development," Proceedings of the Workshop on Quantitative Software Models for Reliability, Complexity, and Cost. New York: Computer Societies Press, 1979. . . . . 3-11
- 3.11 Basili, V. R., and M. V. Zelkowitz, "Designing a Software Measurement Experiment," Proceedings of the Software Life Cycle Management Workshop, September 1977. . . . . 3-12

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

### Section 3 (Cont'd)

- 3.12 Basili, V. R., and M. V. Zelkowitz, "Operation of the Software Engineering Laboratory," Proceedings of the Second Software Life Cycle Management Workshop, August 1978. . . . . 3-13
- 3.13 Basili, V. R., and M. V. Zelkowitz, "Measuring Software Development Characteristics in the Local Environment," Computers and Structures, August 1978, Vol. 10. . . . . 3-14
- 3.14 Basili, V. R., and M. V. Zelkowitz, "Analyzing Medium Scale Software Development," Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Software Engineering. New York: Computer Societies Press, May 1978 . . . . . 3-15
- 3.15 Basili, V. R., and M. V. Zelkowitz, "The Software Engineering Laboratory: Objectives," Proceedings of the Fifteenth Annual Conference on Computer Personnel Research, August 1977 . . . . . 3-16
- 3.16 Card, D. N., "Early Estimation of Resources Expenditures and Program Size," Computer Sciences Corporation, Technical Memorandum, June 1982. . . . . 3-17
- 3.17 Card, D. N., and M. G. Rohleder, "Report of Data Expansion Efforts," Computer Sciences Corporation, Technical Memorandum, September 1982. . . 3-18
- 3.18 Chen, E., and M. V. Zelkowitz, "Use of Cluster Analysis To Evaluate Software Engineering Methodologies," Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Software Engineering. New York: Computer Societies Press, 1981. . . . . 3-19
- 3.19 Freburger, K., "A Model of the Software Life Cycle" (paper prepared for the University of Maryland, December 1978) . . . . . 3-20
- 3.20 Hislop, G., "Some Tests of Halstead Measures" (paper prepared for the University of Maryland, December 1978). . . . . 3-21
- 3.21 Lange, S. F., "A Child's Garden of Complexity Measures" (paper prepared for the University of Maryland, December 1978) . . . . . 3-22

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

### Section 3 (Cont'd)

3.22	Miller, A. M., "A Survey of Several Reliability Models" (paper prepared for the University of Maryland, December 1978). . . . .	3-23
3.23	National Aeronautics and Space Administration, <u>NASA Software Research and Technology Workshop</u> (proceedings), March 1980 . . . . .	3-24
3.24	Page, G., "Software Engineering Course Evaluation," Computer Sciences Corporation, Technical Memorandum, December 1977 . . . . .	3-25
3.25	Parr, F., and D. M. Weiss, "Concepts Used in the Change Report Form," NASA, Goddard Space Flight Center, Technical Memorandum, May 1978. . . . .	3-26
3.26	Reiter, R. W., "The Nature, Organization, Measurement, and Management of Software Complexity" (paper prepared for the University of Maryland, December 1976). . . . .	3-27
3.27	Scheffer, P. A., and C. E. Velez, "GSFC NAVPAK Design Higher Order Languages Study: Addendum," Martin Marietta Corporation, Technical Memorandum, September 1977. . . . .	3-28
3.28	Turner, C., and G. Caron, <u>A Comparison of RADC and NASA/SEL Software Development Data</u> , Data & Analysis Center for Software, May 1981. . . . .	3-29
3.29	Turner, C., G. Caron, and G. Brement, <u>NASA/SEL Data Compendium</u> , Data & Analysis Center for Software, Special Publication, May 1981 . . . . .	3-30
3.30	Weiss, D. M., "Error and Change Analysis," Naval Research Laboratory, Technical Memorandum, July 1979. . . . .	3-31
3.31	Williamson, I. M., "Resource Model Testing and Information," Naval Research Laboratory, Technical Memorandum, July 1979 . . . . .	3-32
3.32	Zelkowitz, M. V., "Resource Estimation for Medium Scale Software Projects," <u>Proceedings of the Twelfth Conference on the Interface of Statistics and Computer Science</u> . New York: Computer Societies Press, 1979. . . . .	3-33

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

### Section 3 (Cont'd)

- 3.33 Zelkowitz, M. V., "Data Collection and Evaluation for Experimental Computer Science Research," Empirical Foundations for Computer and Information Science (proceedings), November 1982 . . . . . 3-34
- 3.34 Zelkowitz, M. V., and V. R. Basili, "Operational Aspects of a Software Measurement Facility," Proceedings of the Software Life Cycle Management Workshop, September 1977. . . . . 3-35

### Index of Subjects

## SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION

This document is an annotated bibliography of technical papers, documents, articles, and memoranda produced by or related to the Software Engineering Laboratory (SEL). It is intended to provide a quick reference to the published results of SEL research and development activities.

More than 75 publications are summarized in this document. Each summary includes the size of the publication (number of pages), a description (abstract) of its contents, and its original citation. Previous versions and subsequent re-printings are also identified where appropriate.

The publications described here cover many areas of software engineering and range from research reports to software documentation. They are divided into two groups. Section 2 describes the major documents produced by the SEL and is arranged according to the order in which the documents were published by the SEL (document number). Section 3 describes technical memoranda and articles produced by the SEL or related to SEL activities and is arranged alphabetically by author. An index of these publications by subject is included at the end of this document to assist in identifying materials related to specific topics. A list of the abstract numbers of related publications follows each subject heading in the index.

Copies of individual publications listed in this bibliography can be obtained from one or more of the sources shown in Table 1-1. The acronyms defined in the table appear after each abstract and indicate the document's availability. Any material not labeled with one of these acronyms can be obtained only from the author(s).

Table 1-1. Availability of SEL Literature

<u>Acronym</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>Address</u>
FEM	F. E. McGarry	Code 582.1 Bldg. 23, Room E-231 Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland 20771
NSTF	NASA Scientific and Technical Installation Facility <sup>1</sup> (and source above)	P. O. Box 8757 BWI Airport, Maryland 21240
NTIS	National Technological Information Service <sup>2</sup> (and sources above)	5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, Virginia 22161
JAO	Journals and other private publishers	See specific citation

<sup>1</sup>Open to Federal Government agencies only at no charge.

<sup>2</sup>There is a per-page charge for reprinting documents.

SECTION 2 - SEL-ORIGINATED DOCUMENTS

2.1 PROCEEDINGS FROM THE FIRST SUMMER SOFTWARE ENGINEERING WORKSHOP, SEL-76-001, AUGUST 1976, 194 PAGES

This document reproduces the presentations made by participants at the First Summer Software Engineering Workshop held on August 5, 1976, at Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC). The general topic of the conference was software design. The presentations were grouped into the following panels:

- Requirements analysis and design methodologies
- Program design languages
- Automated software tools

This first workshop surveyed available state-of-the-art software development techniques. The specific applicability of the techniques to the GSFC environment was also considered. Approximately 25 persons attended this meeting.

NTIS



2.2 THE SOFTWARE ENGINEERING LABORATORY, SEL-77-001,  
V. R. BASILI, M. V. ZELKOWITZ, F. E. MCGARRY, ET AL.,  
MAY 1977, 112 PAGES

This document is the initial statement of SEL objectives and procedures. The basic objective of the SEL is to improve the quality of the software development process and its products in one specific environment. To do this, it is necessary to first establish a baseline for comparison by understanding the current process.

This document discusses the steps to be taken to establish this baseline, including software development factors to be studied, the types of experiments to be performed, and the methods of data collection to be used. The current (as of publication) status of research and development activities is also reviewed. The appendixes contain the following materials:

- Data collection forms
- Data base file formats
- Summaries of software projects studied

This document was also issued as University of Maryland Technical Report TR-535. NSTF

### 2.3 PROCEEDINGS FROM THE SECOND SUMMER SOFTWARE ENGINEERING WORKSHOP, SEL-77-002, SEPTEMBER 1977, 146 PAGES

This document reproduces the presentations made by participants at the Second Summer Software Engineering Workshop held on September 19, 1977, at GSFC. The presentations were grouped into the following panels:

- Experimental design
- Models, measures, and metrics
- Data collection
- Software engineering experiences

This second workshop attempted to communicate with the larger software engineering research community. Approaches and experiences with the design of experiments and data collection were reviewed. Approximately 55 persons attended this meeting. NTIS

2.4 STRUCTURED FORTRAN PREPROCESSOR (SFORT), SEL-77-003,  
B. CHU AND D. S. WILSON, SEPTEMBER 1977, 51 PAGES

This document describes the Structured FORTRAN Preprocessor (SFORT) used in the development of flight dynamics software. The use of SFORT extends the capabilities of the standard FORTRAN language and enables a user to write structured, top-down, label-free, FORTRAN-like code. SFORT converts this extended FORTRAN into standard FORTRAN, which can then be used as input to a standard FORTRAN compiler.

This document discusses the six additional statements that may be employed in conjunction with the standard FORTRAN statements. The additional statements are

- DOWHILE (FORTRAN logical expression)
- ENDDO
- .IF (FORTRAN logical expression)
- ELSE
- ENDIF
- INCLUDE member name

Examples of the use of these statements in coding, as well as the IBM S/360 job control language (JCL) necessary to execute the preprocessor, are included. This document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/TM-77/6256. FEM

2.5 GSFC NAVPAK DESIGN SPECIFICATION LANGUAGES STUDY,  
SEL-77-004, P. A. SCHEFFER AND C. E. VELEZ,  
OCTOBER 1977, 37 PAGES

This document reports the results of an analysis of the applicability of software specification and design languages to the development of flight dynamics software at GSFC. Two language systems were studied: HOS/AXES and PSL/PSA. The approach was to develop software designs, using each of these language systems, from the requirements of an already-implemented system. The results of the two methodologies were then compared with each other and with the actual design implemented. This document was also issued as a Martin Marietta Corporation technical report. NSTF

2.6 A DEMONSTRATION OF AXES FOR NAVPAK, SEL-77-005,  
M. HAMILTON AND S. ZELDIN, SEPTEMBER 1977, 84 PAGES

This document describes the results of a demonstration project employing a system specification language tool to respond to a flight dynamics software development requirement. The tool, AXES, was developed by Higher Order Software, Inc. Specific areas were selected for the demonstration from the NAVPAK software requirements. The complexity of these requirements and the effort required to obtain a full understanding of them prevented the development of a complete design within the demonstration period. This document describes the following aspects of the demonstration project:

- Principles of HOS/AXES
- Description of NAVPAK
- HOS/AXES representation of NAVPAK specifications

The appendixes contain some unrelated examples and other background material. This document was also issued as Higher Order Software, Inc., Technical Report 9.

2.7 FORTRAN STATIC SOURCE CODE ANALYZER DESIGN AND MODULE DESCRIPTIONS, SEL-78-001, E. M. O'NEILL, S. R. WALIGORA, AND C. E. GOOREVICH, FEBRUARY 1978, 98 PAGES

This document contains the system design and individual module descriptions of the FORTRAN Static Source Code Analyzer Program (SAP). This program is a source code scanning and statistical reporting program whose purpose is to collect data from actual software for studies of software measures by the SEL. The measures extracted by SAP include counts of the number of

- Source lines
- Comment lines
- Executable lines
- Decisions
- External references

These statistics are reported on a module-by-module basis and as final totals. This document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/TM-78/6012. FEM

2.8 FORTTRAN STATIC SOURCE CODE ANALYZER PROGRAM (SAP) USER'S GUIDE (REVISION 1), SEL-78-102, W. J. DECKER AND W. A. TAYLOR, SEPTEMBER 1982, 133 PAGES

This document presents the FORTRAN Static Source Code Analyzer Program (SAP) User's Guide (Revision 1). SAP is a software tool designed to assist SEL personnel in conducting studies of software measures. SAP scans FORTRAN source code and produces reports that present statistics and measures of statements and structures that make up a module.

Revision 1 is the result of program modifications to provide several new reports, additional complexity analysis, and recognition of all statements described in the FORTRAN 77 standard. It provides instructions for operating SAP and contains information useful in interpreting SAP output. The appendixes contain descriptions of the derivation of Halstead's and McCabe's measures. This document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/SD-82/6044. NSTF

The previous version of this document was FORTRAN Static Source Code Analyzer Program (SAP) User's Guide, SEL-78-002, E. M. O'Neill, S. R. Waligora, C. E. Goorevich, et al., February 1978.

2.9 EVALUATION OF DRAPER NAVPAK SOFTWARE DESIGN, SEL-78-003,  
K. TASAKI AND F. E. MCGARRY, JUNE 1978, 23 PAGES

This document describes the results of an evaluation of a software design demonstration project. The design methodology was developed by the Charles Stark Draper Laboratories (CSDL). A sample flight dynamics project was designed by CSDL from the NAVPAK software requirements using this methodology. The end product was evaluated to determine the effectiveness of the CSDL methodology. FEM



2.10 STRUCTURED FORTRAN PREPROCESSOR (SFORT) PDP-11/70  
USER'S GUIDE, SEL-78-004, D. S. WILSON AND B. CHU,  
SEPTEMBER 1978, 19 PAGES

This document provides users of the Structured FORTRAN Pre-processor (SFORT) 11/70 with the information needed to write programs using SFORT constructs. SFORT extends the capabilities of the FORTRAN IV language and enables a user to write structured, top-down, label-free, FORTRAN-like code. SFORT converts this extended FORTRAN into standard FORTRAN, which can then be used as input to a compiler. The additional statements processed by SFORT include

- DOWHILE (FORTRAN logical expression)
- ENDDO
- .IF (FORTRAN logical expression)
- ELSE
- ENDIF
- INCLUDE member name

This document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/SD-78/6128. NSTF

2.11 PROCEEDINGS FROM THE THIRD SUMMER SOFTWARE ENGINEERING WORKSHOP, SEL-78-005, SEPTEMBER 1978, 132 PAGES

This document reproduces the presentations made by participants at the Third Summer Software Engineering Workshop held on September 18, 1978, at GSFC. The presentations were grouped into the following panels:

- The data collection process
- Validation of software development models
- Measuring software development methodologies
- Current activities and future directions

Many of the discussions at this third workshop dealt with "how" one collects software data and "how" one conducts successful software experiments. Approximately 70 persons attended this meeting. NTIS

2.12 GSFC SOFTWARE ENGINEERING RESEARCH REQUIREMENTS  
ANALYSIS STUDY, SEL-78-006, P. A. SCHEFFER AND  
C. E. VELEZ, NOVEMBER 1978, 26 PAGES

This document reports the results of a study of the applicability of requirements languages to flight dynamics software development at GSFC. The specific objectives of the study, which are explained in this document, were to

- Determine the impact of requirements language use on software design
- Demonstrate the application of a requirements language on a flight dynamics development problem
- Evaluate the utility of the Multi-Level Expression Design Language - Requirement Level (MEDL-R) in the GSFC environment
- Determine the desirable characteristics of a requirements language tool for use in the GSFC environment

This document was also issued as a Martin Marietta Corporation technical memorandum. NSTF

2.13 APPLICABILITY OF THE RAYLEIGH CURVE TO THE SEL ENVIRON-  
MENT, SEL-78-007, T. E. MAPP, DECEMBER 1978, 27 PAGES

This document reviews the resource utilization model for software development, which is based on the Rayleigh curve developed by Norden and Putnam. A Rayleigh curve is fitted to data provided by the SEL. Parabolas, trapezoids, and straight lines are also fitted to the same data. The parabola and trapezoid give about as good a fit as the Rayleigh curve. Therefore, this document concludes that while the Rayleigh curve may be an appropriate model for resource expenditures, it is not necessarily the best model for small-to medium-size projects. NSTF

2.14 SIMPL-D DATA BASE REFERENCE MANUAL, SEL-79-001,  
M. V. ZELKOWITZ, JULY 1979, 53 PAGES

This document describes a data base system for software engineering data implemented on the Univac 1100/42 computer at the University of Maryland. The data base contains flight dynamics development data collected by the SEL. The software is implemented in the SIMPL-D language. Data base files are sequentially organized. The document contains the following sections:

- Overview of the application area
- Description of the data base organization
- User's guide to data base functions
- Implementation considerations for the 1100/42
- Programmer's guide to data base maintenance

This document was also issued as University of Maryland Technical Report TR-788. FEM

2.15 THE SOFTWARE ENGINEERING LABORATORY: RELATIONSHIP  
EQUATIONS, SEL-79-002, K. FREBURGER AND V. R. BASILI,  
MAY 1979, 67 PAGES

This document presents the results of an analysis of several factors affecting software development. The analysis was based on data collected by the SEL. Relationships among the following measures were studied:

- Total effort (staff-months)
- Lines of delivered code (thousands)
- Lines of developed code (thousands)
- Percentage of developed code
- Number of modules
- Number of developed modules
- Percentage of developed modules
- Project duration (months)
- Pages of documentation
- Productivity
- Average staff size

Estimating equations were derived from the measures by statistical analysis and were then compared with results obtained by Walston and Felix in a similar study. This document was also issued as University of Maryland Technical Report TR-764. NSTF

2.16 COMMON SOFTWARE MODULE REPOSITORY (CSMR) SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND USER'S GUIDE, SEL-79-003,  
C. E. GOOREVICH, A. L. GREEN, AND S. R. WALIGORA,  
AUGUST 1979, 156 PAGES

This document is the system description and user's guide for the Common Software Module Repository (CSMR). The CSMR program is a software library utility that provides interactive access to a data base of software modules.

This document describes library control procedures, program capabilities, and operating procedures. The system design and individual module descriptions are also included. Various appendixes contain the data base file formats and the system implementation procedures. This document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/SD-79/6103. FEM

2.17 EVALUATION OF THE CAINE, FARBER, AND GORDON PROGRAM  
DESIGN LANGUAGE (PDL) IN THE GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT  
CENTER (GSFC) CODE 580 SOFTWARE DESIGN ENVIRONMENT,  
SEL-79-004, C. E. GOOREVICH, A. L. GREEN, AND  
W. J. DECKER, SEPTEMBER 1979, 46 PAGES

This document reports the results of a study of the usefulness of program design languages (PDLs) for flight dynamics software development at GSFC. The following PDLs were examined and compared:

- Telemetry Computation Branch PDL
- Linger and Mills PDL
- Caine, Farber, and Gordon PDL

The last PDL was selected for intensive study. Its advantages and disadvantages in the flight dynamics environment were evaluated. Appendixes include examples of the use of the Caine, Farber, and Gordon PDL and the processor output. This document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/TM-79/6263. NSTF



2.18 PROCEEDINGS FROM THE FOURTH SUMMER SOFTWARE ENGINEERING WORKSHOP, SEL-79-005, NOVEMBER 1979, 282 PAGES

This document reproduces the presentations made by participants at the Fourth Summer Software Engineering Workshop held on November 19, 1979, at GSFC. The presentations were grouped into the following panels:

- The Software Engineering Laboratory
- Data collection
- Experiments in methodology evaluation
- Software resource models
- Models and metrics of software development

This fourth workshop focused on actual experiences of data collection and the application of software methodologies, models, and tools. Approximately 100 persons attended this meeting. NTIS

2.19 FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS/SPECIFICATIONS FOR CODE 580  
CONFIGURATION ANALYSIS TOOL (CAT), SEL-80-001,  
F. K. BANKS, A. L. GREEN, AND C. E. GOOREVICH,  
FEBRUARY 1980, 49 PAGES

This document presents the functional requirements and software specifications for a configuration management utility. The Configuration Analysis Tool (CAT) is an interactive program for recording and reporting the status of software development and maintenance activities. CAT was developed for use by flight dynamics software development projects.

This document describes the hardware and operating system configuration, software system functions, and operational environment. The appendix contains data set formats. This document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/TM-80/6051. FEM

2.20 MULTI-LEVEL EXPRESSION DESIGN LANGUAGE - REQUIREMENT  
LEVEL (MEDL-R) SYSTEM EVALUATION, SEL-80-002,  
W. J. DECKER AND C. E. GOOREVICH, MAY 1980, 91 PAGES

This document presents the results of an evaluation of the suitability of the Multi-Level Expression Design Language - Requirement Level (MEDL-R) for use in flight dynamics software development at GSFC. The evaluation team studied the MEDL-R concept of requirements languages, the functions performed by MEDL-R, and the MEDL-R language syntax. The document contains recommendations for changes to the MEDL-R system that would make it more useful in the flight dynamics environment. This document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/TM-80/6093. NSTF

2.21 MULTI-MISSION MODULAR SPACECRAFT GROUND SUPPORT SOFTWARE SYSTEM (MMS/GSSS) STATE-OF-THE-ART COMPUTER SYSTEMS/COMPATIBILITY STUDY, SEL-80-003, T. WELDEN, M. MCCLELLAN, AND P. LIEBERTZ, MAY 1980, 66 PAGES

This document describes the results of an evaluation of the compatibility between the ModComp IV/35 and the VAX-11/780 computers with respect to a specific software system, the Multi-Mission Modular Spacecraft Ground Support Software System (MMS/GSSS). The degree of compatibility was measured by comparing the results of benchmark tests run on both systems. The tests examined input/output services, FORTRAN language implementation, and execution timing. The compatibility of peripheral devices and system command languages was considered in lesser detail. Significant incompatibilities were found in all areas examined. This document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/TM-80/6154. NTIS

2.22 CONFIGURATION ANALYSIS TOOL (CAT) SYSTEM DESCRIPTION  
AND USER'S GUIDE, SEL-80-004, F. K. BANKS,  
W. J. DECKER, J. G. GARRAHAN, ET AL., OCTOBER 1980,  
142 PAGES

This document is the system description and user's guide for a configuration management utility. The Configuration Analysis Tool (CAT) performs interactive recording and reporting of the status of software development and maintenance activities. CAT enhances the ability of a manager to monitor and control a large software development project.

This document provides a description of the system organization, operating procedures, source listings, file formats, error messages, and implementation instructions. This document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/SD-80/6089. FEM

2.23 A STUDY OF THE MUSA RELIABILITY MODEL, SEL-80-005,  
A. M. MILLER, NOVEMBER 1980, 94 PAGES

This document describes a study in which the Musa reliability model was applied to three software projects developed for GSFC, with the goal of determining whether the model could be used in the flight dynamics environment as a software management tool. One purpose of the model is to predict the total number of errors in a piece of software undergoing testing. Actual times between failures and their associated run times were fitted to the Musa equation in an iterative procedure. Of the three projects studied, the results for one converged to a value 25 percent higher than the actual number of errors; the other two did not converge at all.

The document discusses the assumptions underlying the model and evaluates the characteristics of the environment that could affect these assumptions. Suggestions are offered about changes that could be made in the environment to better meet the assumptions. This document was originally prepared as a Master's Thesis at the University of Maryland.

NSTF

2.24 PROCEEDINGS FROM THE FIFTH ANNUAL SOFTWARE ENGINEERING WORKSHOP, SEL-80-006, NOVEMBER 1980, 242 PAGES

This document reproduces the presentations made by participants at the Fifth Annual Software Engineering Workshop held on November 24, 1980, at GSFC. The presentations were grouped into the following panels:

- The Software Engineering Laboratory
- Software cost/resource modeling
- Software reliability
- Measurement of the development process

This fifth workshop focused on actual experiences with the application of software methodologies and models. Approximately 140 persons attended this meeting. NTIS

2.25 AN APPRAISAL OF SELECTED COST/RESOURCE ESTIMATION  
MODELS FOR SOFTWARE SYSTEMS, SEL-80-007, J. F. COOK  
AND F. E. MCGARRY, DECEMBER 1980, 41 PAGES

This document presents the results of an evaluation and comparison of seven cost/resource estimation models based on SEL data. The following models were considered:

- Doty
- Walston/Felix
- Tecolote
- GRC
- SLIM
- PRICE S3
- SEL Meta-Model

The validity of the theoretical bases of these models was not analyzed. The objective of the appraisal was simply to determine how well SEL data conformed to the predictions of various models. This document was also issued as Goddard Space Flight Center document X-582-81-1. NTIS



2.26 MODELS AND METRICS FOR SOFTWARE MANAGEMENT AND ENGINEERING, SEL-80-008, V. R. BASILI, 1980, 349 PAGES

This document is a tutorial on quantitative methods of software management and engineering. A quantitative methodology is needed to evaluate, control, and predict software development and maintenance costs. This quantitative approach allows cost, time, and quality tradeoffs to be made in a systematic manner. The tutorial focuses on numerical product-oriented measures such as size, complexity, and reliability and on resource-oriented measures such as cost, schedules, and resources. Twenty articles from software engineering literature are reprinted in this document. The articles are organized into the following sections:

- Resource models
- Changes and errors
- Product metrics
- Data collection

Successful application of these techniques, however, requires a thorough knowledge of the project under development and any assumptions made. Only then can these techniques augment good managerial and engineering judgment. JAO

This document was published as the IEEE tutorial, Models and Metrics for Software Management and Engineering, New York: Computer Societies Press, 1980.

2.27 GUIDE TO DATA COLLECTION, SEL-81-101, V. E. CHURCH,  
D. N. CARD, AND F. E. MCGARRY, AUGUST 1982, 123 PAGES

This document presents guidelines and recommendations for collecting software development data. The guide describes the motivation, planning, implementation, and management of a data collection effort. Other topics covered include types, sources, and availability of data; methods and costs of data collection; types of analyses supported; and warnings and suggestions based on SEL experience. The appendixes include facsimiles of SEL data collection forms and a glossary of software engineering terms.

This document, abstracted and generalized from 5 years of SEL data collection experience, is intended to be a practical guide for software managers and engineers. It was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/TM-82/6137. NTIS

The previous version of this document was Guide to Data Collection, SEL-81-001, V. E. Church, D. N. Card, and F. E. McGarry, September 1981.

2.28 SOFTWARE ENGINEERING LABORATORY (SEL) DATA BASE  
ORGANIZATION AND USER'S GUIDE, SEL-81-002,  
D. C. WYCKOFF, G. PAGE, AND F. E. MCGARRY,  
SEPTEMBER 1981, 171 PAGES

This document describes the structure of the SEL data base. The data base contains three types of files: header, form data, and auxiliary files. The format and contents of each file are described in detail. File access and programming considerations are also discussed. The operation of some data base reporting software is reviewed. The appendixes include facsimiles of SEL data collection forms and a glossary of software engineering terms. This document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/SD-81/6011UD1. NSTF

2.29 SOFTWARE ENGINEERING LABORATORY (SEL) DATA BASE MAINTENANCE SYSTEM (DBAM) USER'S GUIDE AND SYSTEM DESCRIPTION, SEL-81-003, D. N. CARD, D. C. WYCKOFF, AND G. PAGE, SEPTEMBER 1981, 187 PAGES

This document explains the organization and operation of the SEL Data Base Maintenance System (DBAM). The system provides the following functions:

- Create new data base files
- Archive data base on tape
- Restore data base from tape
- Update existing data base files

The document also describes the use of these functions, possible error messages, and operating restrictions. The appendixes contain baseline diagrams, component descriptions, task-build instructions, and file definitions. This document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/TM-81/6102. FEM

2.30 THE SOFTWARE ENGINEERING LABORATORY, SEL-81-104,  
D. N. CARD, F. E. MCGARRY, G. PAGE, ET AL.,  
FEBRUARY 1982, 121 PAGES

This document describes the history, organization, operation, and research results of the SEL. The SEL is a joint effort of GSFC, Computer Sciences Corporation, and the University of Maryland. The objective of the SEL is to study and improve the software development process in the GSFC environment. The SEL has conducted extensive research in the following areas of software engineering:

- Methodology evaluation
- Tool evaluation
- Resource models
- Reliability models
- Software measures

The document outlines SEL efforts in these areas and presents some preliminary conclusions based on this work. The appendixes include descriptions of the software projects studied and summary statistics derived from this data. This document was also issued as CSC/TM-82/6033. NTIS

---

The previous version of this document was The Software Engineering Laboratory, SEL-81-004, D. N. Card, F. E. McGarry, G. Page, et al., September 1981.

2.31 RECOMMENDED APPROACH TO SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT,  
SEL-81-105, S. ESLINGER, F. E. MCGARRY, AND G. PAGE,  
MAY 1982, 135 PAGES

This document presents recommendations for a disciplined approach to software development, based on data collected and studied by the SEL since 1977 for approximately 40 flight dynamics software projects. It describes the major activities, end products, methodologies, tools, models, and measures applicable to each phase of the software life cycle.

The emphasis of the document is on management considerations, including the activities specific to each phase of the life cycle and the general management concerns transcending phase boundaries, such as development planning and performance monitoring. Specific recommendations are made with respect to determining project quality. The appendix defines contents of requirements, design, system, and user documentation. This document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/TM-82/6070. NTIS

---

The previous version of the document was Standard Approach to Software Development, SEL-81-005, V. E. Church, F. E. McGarry, and G. Page, September 1981.

2.32 SOFTWARE ENGINEERING LABORATORY (SEL) DOCUMENT LIBRARY  
(DOCLIB) SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND USER'S GUIDE,  
SEL-81-006, W. A. TAYLOR AND W. J. DECKER,  
DECEMBER 1981, 196 PAGES

This document presents the system description and user's guide for the Document Library (DOCLIB) support software. The DOCLIB system consists of a set of programs that maintain and access a computer data base containing descriptions of documents available from a library maintained by the SEL. The maintenance program, LIBMGR, is used to add, delete, or modify document descriptions. The access program, DOCLIB, is used to select and display specific descriptions.

Documents can be selected by author, title fragment, reference number, organization, or subject. This document describes the system organization, operating procedures, file structures, and system generation. This document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/SD-81/6116. FEM

2.33 SOFTWARE ENGINEERING LABORATORY (SEL) COMPENDIUM OF TOOLS (REVISION 1), SEL-81-107, W. J. DECKER, W. A. TAYLOR, AND E. J. SMITH, FEBRUARY 1982, 76 PAGES

This document contains a series of brief descriptions of software tools available on the SEL computers (a PDP-11/70 and a VAX-11/780). The tools described in this document support the following applications:

- Cost and resource modeling
- Configuration management
- Software library management
- Data base maintenance
- Document library indexing
- Financial reporting
- Requirements analysis
- Source code analysis
- Structured FORTRAN

These brief descriptions help potential users to judge the suitability of these programs to their needs. This document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/TM-82/6038. NTIS

---

The previous version of this document was Software Engineering Laboratory (SEL) Compendium of Tools, SEL-81-007, W. J. Decker, E. J. Smith, W. A. Taylor, et al., February 1982.



2.34 COST AND RELIABILITY ESTIMATION MODELS (CAREM) USER'S  
GUIDE, SEL-81-008, J. F. COOK AND E. EDWARDS,  
FEBRUARY 1981, 28 PAGES

This document describes the operation of an interactive software cost and reliability modeling utility. The Cost and Reliability Estimation Models (CAREM) program allows the user to fit any of several common models to a selected subset of SEL data. The following models are available in the program:

- Doty
- GRC
- Tecolote
- Walston/Felix

This document includes a brief description of each model, operating instructions, and sample sessions. This document was also issued as a Goddard Space Flight Center technical memorandum. FEM

2.35 SOFTWARE ENGINEERING LABORATORY PROGRAMMER WORKBENCH  
PHASE 1 EVALUATION, SEL-81-009, W. J. DECKER AND  
F. E. MCGARRY, MARCH 1981, 29 PAGES

This document summarizes an initial effort to develop a programmer workbench for flight dynamics software development activities. Phase 1 of the programmer workbench consists of the design of three components: the communications link, the command language processor, and the software tools package. The document also contains a brief description and evaluation of the design of each component. Some recommendations for future work are made. This document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/TM-81/6091. NSTF

2.36 EVALUATION OF AN INDEPENDENT VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION (IV&V) METHODOLOGY FOR FLIGHT DYNAMICS,  
SEL-81-110, G. PAGE AND F. E. MCGARRY, DECEMBER 1982,  
132 PAGES

This document describes an experiment in the application of an independent verification and validation (IV&V) methodology to the development of flight dynamics software at GSFC. IV&V is the systematic evaluation of computer software by an organization that is independent of the development organization. IV&V is expected to provide earlier error detection and better quality control over the development process.

This document describes the environment, staffing, and results of the experiment. Costs and error rates are compared with those of similar projects developed without IV&V. An IV&V methodology is found to be appropriate for very large projects and for those with high reliability requirements. This document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/TM-82/6197. FEM

The previous version of this document was Performance and Evaluation of an Independent Software Verification and Integration Process, SEL-81-010, G. Page and F. E. McGarry, May 1981.

2.37 EVALUATING SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT BY ANALYSIS OF CHANGE DATA, SEL-81-011, D. M. WEISS, NOVEMBER 1981, 272 PAGES

This document reports the results of an analysis of change data from five different software development projects in two different environments. A common data collection methodology was applied at both GSFC and the Naval Research Laboratory (NRL). This document describes the data collection methodology employed, software projects studied, and the effects of changes on software development.

The results of this study indicate that the data collection methodology is effective and easily extendable to new software development environments. Although the GSFC and NRL environments differed somewhat in their objectives and approach to software development, the software produced by both groups was similar with respect to changes and errors. The results presented in this document include: (1) distributions of causes of change, sources of errors, and difficulty of finding errors and (2) tabulations of changes according to number of components changed, changes according to subsystem, difficulty of change (error) according to source of change (error), and source of error according to programmer. This document was also issued as University of Maryland Technical Report TR-1120. FEM

2.38 THE RAYLEIGH CURVE AS A MODEL FOR EFFORT DISTRIBUTION  
OVER THE LIFE OF MEDIUM SCALE SOFTWARE SYSTEMS,  
SEL-81-012, G. O. PICASSO, DECEMBER 1981, 153 PAGES

This document discusses some of the factors affecting the accuracy of resource models applied to medium-scale software systems. Putnam has shown that the Rayleigh curve is an adequate model for the life-cycle effort distribution of large-scale systems. Previous investigations of the applicability of this model to medium-scale software development efforts have met with mixed results. The results of the earlier investigations are confirmed in this analysis. The reasons for the failure of the models are found in the sub-cycle (phase) effort data. There are four contributing factors: uniqueness of the environment studied, the influence of holidays, varying management techniques, and differences in the data studied. This document was also issued as University of Maryland Technical Report TR-1186. FEM

2.39 PROCEEDINGS FROM THE SIXTH ANNUAL SOFTWARE ENGINEERING WORKSHOP, SEL-81-013, DECEMBER 1981, 282 PAGES

This document reproduces the presentations made by participants at the Sixth Annual Software Engineering Workshop held on December 2, 1981, at GSFC. The presentations were grouped into the following panels:

- Evaluating software development characteristics
- Software metrics
- Software models
- Software methodologies

This sixth workshop was an attempt to gather the experiences of software developers in applying modern programming practices and other software engineering techniques. Approximately 200 persons attended this meeting. NTIS

2.40 AUTOMATED COLLECTION OF SOFTWARE ENGINEERING DATA IN  
THE SOFTWARE ENGINEERING LABORATORY (SEL), SEL-81-014,  
A. L. GREEN, W. J. DECKER, AND F. E. MCGARRY,  
SEPTEMBER 1981, 72 PAGES

This document presents the results of an analysis of SEL data collection procedures. The principal questions addressed are what current manual procedures could be automated and how these automated procedures could be incorporated in the SEL data base system. The functional requirements of such a system are identified and explained. The automatable sources of data identified in this report include the following:

- Computer accounting information
- Requirements language tools
- Program design language tools
- Programmer workbench features
- Source code analyzer program

This document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/TM-81/6222. NSTF

2.41 EVALUATION OF MANAGEMENT MEASURES OF SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, SEP-82-001, G. PAGE, D. N. CARD, AND F. E. MCGARRY, SEPTEMBER 1982, VOL. 1: 143 PAGES, VOL. 2: 379 PAGES

This two-volume document reports the results of an evaluation of a large set of software development measures relevant to the GSFC environment. The purposes of the analysis were to characterize the current software development process in one environment by identifying important qualities and corresponding measures and to evaluate the effectiveness of specific tools and techniques in this environment. The measures studied were counts, ratios, and management-supplied ratings of various elements of the software development process. The measures are high level in that each describes some aspect of an entire software project (or a large part of it) rather than individual components of the project.

Volume 1 explains a conceptual model of software development, the data classification scheme, and the analytic procedures. Factor analysis, cluster analysis, and a test of normality were used. This volume summarizes the results of those analyses and recommends specific software measures for collection and monitoring. Volume 1 also reproduces in full the results of the computer analyses.

Volume 2 presents a detailed description of the data analyzed, including definitions of measures, lists of values, and summary statistics. Although the information contained in Volume 2 was essential to the development of the explanation and summary presented in Volume 1, it is not essential to the understanding of that explanation and summary. However, Volume 2 is useful in its own right as a source of data and a reference for future research. This document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/TM-82/6063. FEM



2.42 FORTTRAN STATIC SOURCE CODE ANALYZER PROGRAM (SAP)  
SYSTEM DESCRIPTION, SEL-82-002, W. J. DECKER AND  
W. A. TAYLOR, AUGUST 1982, 227 PAGES

This document is the system description of the FORTRAN Static Source Code Analyzer Program (SAP). SAP is a software tool that scans FORTRAN source code and tabulates occurrences of specific program features, such as executable lines of code, decisions, and input/output statements.

This document defines the processing methods and the components of SAP. The appendixes fully describe the modules, COMMON blocks, and files. System generation procedures are also explained. Some important considerations for implementing SAP on computers other than the PDP-11/70 or the VAX-11/780 are discussed. This document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/SD-82/6045. FEM

2.43 SOFTWARE ENGINEERING LABORATORY (SEL) DATA BASE REPORT-  
ING SOFTWARE USER'S GUIDE AND SYSTEM DESCRIPTION,  
SEL-82-003, P. LO, SEPTEMBER 1982, 544 PAGES

This document is the SEL data base reporting software user's guide and system description. The SEL data base reporting programs provide formatted listings and summary reports of the SEL data base contents.

This document is intended to serve as a reference for the SEL data base administrator, librarians, and programmers and for managers and researchers using the SEL data base. It describes the operating procedures and system information for 18 different reporting software programs and provides sample output reports obtained from each program. The relationship of this software to other data base software is also discussed. This document was also issued as Computer Sciences Corporation document CSC/SD-82/6083. FEM

2.44 COLLECTED SOFTWARE ENGINEERING PAPERS: VOLUME 1,  
SEL-82-004, JULY 1982, 118 PAGES

This document is a collection of technical papers produced by participants in the SEL during the 5-year period ending December 31, 1981. The goal of the document is to make available, in one reference, some results of SEL research that originally appeared in a number of different forums. Although these papers cover a wide range of topics related to software engineering, they do not completely describe the activities and interests of the SEL.

For the convenience of this presentation, the 10 papers are organized into 4 major topics:

- SEL organization
- Resource models
- Software measures
- Software engineering applications

The SEL is still actively working to understand and improve the software development process at GSFC. Future efforts will be documented in subsequent volumes of the Collected Software Engineering Papers and in other SEL publications.

FEM

SECTION 3 - SEL-RELATED LITERATURE

- 3.1 BAILEY, J. W., AND V. R. BASILI, "A META-MODEL FOR SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT RESOURCE EXPENDITURES," PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIFTH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON SOFTWARE ENGINEERING. NEW YORK: COMPUTER SOCIETIES PRESS, 1981, 10 PAGES

This technical paper describes an effort to produce a model of software development resource expenditures that can be generalized to a number of situations. Many models have been proposed over the last several years. However, experience has shown that differences in the data collected, types of projects developed, and environmental factors limit the transportability of these models from one organization to another. This conclusion is reasonable because a model developed in any given environment will reflect only the impact of factors that have a variable effect in that environment. Factors that are constant in that environment (and therefore do not affect productivity) may have different or variable effects in another environment.

This paper describes a model-generation process that permits the development of a resource estimation model for any particular organization. The process provides the capability to produce a model that is tailored to the organization and can be expected to be more effective than any model originally developed for another environment. The model is demonstrated here using data collected by the SEL at GSFC.  
JAO

This technical paper also appears in SEL-82-004, Collected Software Engineering Papers: Volume 1, July 1982.

3.2 BANKS, F. K., "CONFIGURATION ANALYSIS TOOL DESIGN,"  
COMPUTER SCIENCES CORPORATION, TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM,  
MARCH 1980, 99 PAGES

This technical memorandum presents the design of a Configuration Analysis Tool (CAT). CAT is an interactive program that maintains a file of components under development and produces reports of software development activity. This memorandum includes the baseline diagram, system description, and detailed module descriptions and defines menus, displays, and file formats.

3.3 BASILI, V. R., "MODELS AND METRICS FOR SOFTWARE MANAGEMENT AND ENGINEERING," ASME ADVANCES IN COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY, JANUARY 1980, VOL. 1, 12 PAGES

This technical paper attempts to characterize several quantitative models and measures of the software development process. These models and measures deal with various aspects of the software process and product, including resource estimation, complexity, reliability, and size. The relationship of these models and measures to the software development life cycle is also discussed. Finally, the extent to which the various models have been applied in production environments and the success they have achieved is indicated. JAO

This technical paper also appears in SEL-82-004, Collected Software Engineering Papers: Volume 1, July 1982.

3.4 BASILI, V. R., "SOFTWARE ENGINEERING LABORATORY RELATIONSHIPS FOR PROGRAMMING MEASUREMENT AND ESTIMATION," UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM, OCTOBER 1979, 24 PAGES

This technical memorandum reproduces a series of graphs showing the relationships among several software measures. The graphs were produced by regression analyses of SEL data. The relationships illustrated are those investigated by Walston and Felix. See also Section 3.6.



3.5 BASILI, V.R., AND J. BEANE, "CAN THE PARR CURVE HELP WITH MANPOWER DISTRIBUTION AND RESOURCE ESTIMATION PROBLEMS?", JOURNAL OF SYSTEMS AND SOFTWARE, FEBRUARY 1981, VOL. 2, NO. 1, 11 PAGES

This technical paper analyzes the resource utilization model developed by Parr. The curve predicted by the model is compared with several other curves, including the Rayleigh curve, a parabola, and a trapezoid, with respect to how well they fit manpower utilization. The evaluation is performed for several flight dynamics projects of the 6- to 12-man-year effort range that were studied by the SEL.

The conclusion drawn is that the Parr curve can be made to fit the data better than the other curves. However, because of the noise in the data, it is difficult to confirm the shape of the manpower distribution from the data alone and therefore difficult to validate any particular model. Moreover, since the parameters used in the curve are not easily calculable or estimable from known data, the curve is not effective for resource estimation. JAO

This technical paper also appears in SEL-82-004, Collected Software Engineering Papers: Volume 1, July 1982.

3.6 BASILI, V. R., AND K. FREBURGER, "PROGRAMMING MEASUREMENT AND ESTIMATION IN THE SOFTWARE ENGINEERING LABORATORY," JOURNAL OF SYSTEMS AND SOFTWARE, FEBRUARY 1981, VOL. 2, NO. 1, 11 PAGES

This technical paper presents an examination of a set of basic relationships among various software development measures, including size, effort, project duration, staff size, and productivity. Correlations among these measures are computed. The data used comes from 15 flight dynamics software development projects studied by the SEL. Certain relationships are derived in the form of equations, and these equations are compared with a set derived by Walton and Felix for IBM Federal Systems Division project data.

Logarithmic transformations were performed on the data for some analyses. Although the equations do not have the same coefficients, they are seen to have similar exponents. In fact, the SEL-derived equations tend to be within one standard error of the estimates provided in the IBM equations.

JAO

This technical paper also appears in SEL-82-004, Collected Software Engineering Papers: Volume 1, July 1982.

3.7 BASILI, V. R., AND B. T. PERRICONE, SOFTWARE ERRORS AND COMPLEXITY: AN EMPIRICAL INVESTIGATION, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, TECHNICAL REPORT TR-1195, AUGUST 1982, 29 PAGES

This document reports the results of an analysis of error data obtained from a flight dynamics software project studied by the SEL. The distributions of errors by type and location are identified and discussed. Correlations among module size, complexity, and error rate are then described and evaluated. Modified and new modules are shown to have similar error characteristics. An alternative error classification scheme is developed. Finally, an attempt is made to compare these results with those of other researchers in the field.

3.8 BASILI, V. R., AND T. PHILLIPS, "EVALUATING AND COMPARING SOFTWARE METRICS IN THE SOFTWARE ENGINEERING LABORATORY," PROCEEDINGS OF THE ACM SIGMETRICS SYMPOSIUM/WORKSHOP: QUALITY METRICS, MARCH 1981, 19 PAGES

This technical paper describes an effort to identify the best measures of software development effort and software complexity. Four software projects studied by the SEL provide the data for the analysis. The data is screened to ensure its validity. Next, estimating equations are derived for effort and errors using the various measures studied in the analysis. Correlations are shown to increase as the reliability of the data increases due to screening. Thus, a procedure is demonstrated for removing noise from the data and making possible meaningful comparisons of software metrics. JAO

This technical paper also appears in SEL-82-004, Collected Software Engineering Papers: Volume 1, July 1982.

3.9 EASILI, V. R., R. W. SELBY, AND T. PHILLIPS, METRIC ANALYSIS AND DATA VALIDATION ACROSS FORTRAN PROJECTS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, TECHNICAL REPORT, NOVEMBER 1982

This technical paper reports the results of an analysis of the relationship of Halstead measures, McCabe complexity measures, and other software measures to software development effort and errors. Effort is defined in terms of staff-hours from the establishing of functional specifications through acceptance testing. Errors are counted discretely and weighed according to effort to correct. The data studied was collected by the SEL in a production environment. Cross-checks of the data indicated a need for large-scale data validation. The strongest correlations were obtained when the modules of individual programmers were considered independently. However, neither Halstead's effort measure, McCabe's cyclomatic complexity measure, nor lines of source code was convincingly more accurate as an estimator than the others.

- 3.10 BASILI, V. R., AND R. REITER, "EVALUATING AUTOMATABLE MEASURES FOR SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT," PROCEEDINGS OF THE WORKSHOP ON QUANTITATIVE SOFTWARE MODELS FOR RELIABILITY, COMPLEXITY, AND COST. NEW YORK: COMPUTER SOCIETIES PRESS, 1979, 10 PAGES

This technical paper describes an approach to developing and evaluating automatable software measures. The experience of the SEL has shown that software data collection is an expensive activity that can significantly affect the software development process. Costs and effects can be minimized and data quality can be improved by automating the collection of measures wherever possible.

This paper presents a set of automatable measures that were implemented and evaluated in a controlled experiment. The measures include computer job steps, program changes, program size, and software complexity. The results of the experiment indicate that the automated collection of these measures can be implemented effectively in production environments. JAO

3.11 BASILI, V. R., AND M. V. ZELKOWITZ, "DESIGNING A SOFTWARE MEASUREMENT EXPERIMENT," PROCEEDINGS OF THE SOFTWARE LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT WORKSHOP, SEPTEMBER 1977,  
13 PAGES

This technical paper explains the research approach employed by the SEL to study the development of actual software development projects. The following types of experiments are performed by the SEL:

- Screening
- Semicontrolled
- Controlled

This paper discusses these experimental designs, potential confounding effects, and the statistical techniques used to evaluate results. The effects on software developers of both learning during the experiment and an awareness of the experimental process itself are examined in detail. Fully controlled experiments are especially difficult to implement in a production environment, but sufficient control is possible to evaluate the effects of software development methodologies. JAO

3.12 BASILI, V. R., AND M. V. ZELKOWITZ, "OPERATION OF THE SOFTWARE ENGINEERING LABORATORY," PROCEEDINGS OF THE SECOND SOFTWARE LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT WORKSHOP, AUGUST 1978, 4 PAGES

This technical paper describes the operation of the SEL. Software engineering data is regularly collected by the SEL from flight dynamics software development projects at GSFC. The assembled data supports an extensive program of software engineering research. This report also reviews SEL data collection and data processing activities and their relationship to the research program. It also summarizes some ongoing resource estimation and error analysis research projects. JAO

This technical paper also appears in SEL-82-004, Collected Software Engineering Papers: Volume 1, July 1982



3.13 BASILI, V. R., AND M. V. ZELKOWITZ, "MEASURING SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT CHARACTERISTICS IN THE LOCAL ENVIRONMENT," COMPUTERS AND STRUCTURES, AUGUST 1978, VOL. 10, 5 PAGES

This technical paper discusses the role of data collection in forecasting and monitoring software development projects in a production environment. The specific procedures of the SEL are reviewed, and SEL data collection forms are described. The paper also gives some examples of analyses that can be performed to support managing, understanding, and characterizing software development. The sample analyses identify specific measures for collection. JAO

This technical paper also appears in SEL-82-004, Collected Software Engineering Papers: Volume 1, July 1982.

- 3.14 BASILI, V. R., AND M. V. ZELKOWITZ, "ANALYZING MEDIUM SCALE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT," PROCEEDINGS OF THE THIRD INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON SOFTWARE ENGINEERING.  
NEW YORK: COMPUTER SOCIETIES PRESS, MAY 1978, 8 PAGES

This technical paper surveys SEL research activities in software engineering. The collection and analysis of data from software development projects is necessary for the definitive evaluation of software engineering methodologies and techniques. This paper describes the structure of the SEL and some of the early projects that were monitored. It also discusses the application of this data to resource utilization models and reliability studies. The principal contribution of the SEL, as reported in the paper, is the establishment of a facility for collecting the detailed data necessary for these analyses. JAO

3.15 BASILI, V. R., AND M. V. ZELKOWITZ, "THE SOFTWARE ENGINEERING LABORATORY: OBJECTIVES," PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIFTEENTH ANNUAL CONFERENCE ON COMPUTER PERSONNEL RESEARCH, AUGUST 1977, 14 PAGES

This technical paper provides an overview of the SEL and its objectives. The original motivations for establishing the SEL were the high cost of software development and the subsequent need to optimize the development process. This paper discusses the following aspects of the SEL with respect to these motivations:

- Specific objectives of the SEL
- Software development factors to be investigated
- Data collection techniques
- Early SEL research activities

The importance of defining consistent software development measures is a recurrent theme throughout the discussion. JAO

This technical paper also appears in SEL-82-004, Collected Software Engineering Papers: Volume 1, July 1982.

3.16 CARD, D. N., "EARLY ESTIMATION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES AND PROGRAM SIZE," COMPUTER SCIENCES CORPORATION, TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM, JUNE 1982, 24 PAGES

This technical memorandum evaluates the suitability of several software measures as estimators of resource expenditures and program size early in the software life cycle. The estimating equation based on the most commonly employed measure, lines of source code, is explained and its limitations are identified. Several alternative measures are investigated and found to give good results. The memorandum also includes computer-generated output of the least-squares regression analyses upon which the conclusions are based.

FEM

3.17 CARD, D. N., AND M. G. ROHLER, "REPORT OF DATA  
EXPANSION EFFORTS," COMPUTER SCIENCES CORPORATION,  
TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM, SEPTEMBER 1982, 11 PAGES

This technical memorandum describes some efforts made to expand the scope of data collected by the SEL. The SEL currently collects data from the development of one specific type of software application. Ultimately, the SEL plans to collect data from other application types and from the maintenance phase of software projects. This memorandum describes the recent efforts of SEL personnel to include these additional data items in the SEL data base by tapping three new data sources within GSFC. FEM

- 3.18 CHEN, E., AND M. V. ZELKOWITZ, "USE OF CLUSTER ANALYSIS TO EVALUATE SOFTWARE ENGINEERING METHODOLOGIES," PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIFTH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON SOFTWARE ENGINEERING. NEW YORK: COMPUTER SOCIETIES PRESS, 1981, 7 PAGES

This technical paper describes an attempt to identify the characteristic effects of various methodologies on software development. Data collected by the SEL from five software projects was studied. Several objective measures were derived from the data, and their relationships to methodology use were studied with cluster analysis techniques. The analysis showed that the measures reflected the effects of methodologies on software development. JAO

This technical paper also appears in SEL-82-004, Collected Software Engineering Papers: Volume 1, July 1982.

3.19 FREBURGER, K., "A MODEL OF THE SOFTWARE LIFE CYCLE"  
(PAPER PREPARED FOR THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND,  
DECEMBER 1978), 27 PAGES

This paper describes the software life cycle and its relationship to the Rayleigh curve model of resource expenditures. The software life cycle is defined as a sequence of phases or steps through which a software system progresses until development is completed. Resource expenditures during the software life cycle can be modeled with a Rayleigh curve. This model allows staffing levels, development time, and total cost of development to be estimated.

3.20 HISLOP, G., "SOME TESTS OF HALSTEAD MEASURES"  
(PAPER PREPARED FOR THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND,  
DECEMBER 1978), 26 PAGES

This paper attempts to evaluate the "software science" measures devised by Halstead. It first explains the concepts of operators and operands and then defines some complex measures composed of operators and operands. The predicted values of these measures are correlated with actual values for sample programs. The results of this analysis indicate that Halstead measures are relevant to some aspects of software development but not to all.



3.21 LANGE, S. F., "A CHILD'S GARDEN OF COMPLEXITY MEASURES"  
(PAPER PREPARED FOR THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND,  
DECEMBER 1978), 31 PAGES

This paper investigates several forms of the McCabe measure of program complexity. The McCabe measure is defined, its relationship to structured programming is examined, and the McCabe concept itself is evaluated by comparison with data obtained from actual FORTRAN programs. Programmer-rated difficulty is found to be highly correlated with all forms of the McCabe complexity measure considered in this analysis. Furthermore, these results support the proposed relationship between structured programming and the various forms of the McCabe complexity measure.

3.22 MILLER, A. M., "A SURVEY OF SEVERAL RELIABILITY MODELS"  
(PAPER PREPARED FOR THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND,  
DECEMBER 1978), 19 PAGES

This paper describes and compares three prominent software reliability models. It outlines the assumptions, limitations, and mathematical formulations of these models. The models studied are those developed by the following researchers:

- M. L. Shooman
- J. D. Musa
- B. Littlewood

The predictions of the models are compared with the results obtained from an actual software project studied by the SEL. Firm conclusions cannot be drawn because of the inadequacy of the data. However, recommendations are made for changes in the data collection process to facilitate this type of analysis.

3.23 NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION,  
NASA SOFTWARE RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY WORKSHOP  
(PROCEEDINGS), MARCH 1980, 139 PAGES

This document reproduces the presentations made by participants at the NASA Software Research and Technology Workshop held March 11 through 13, 1980, at NASA Headquarters in Washington, D. C. The overall intent of the workshop was to provide the basis for a coordinated agency-wide software research and technology program to meet agency requirements more efficiently and effectively. The topics of the workshop were

- Methodologies, tools, and techniques
- Programming languages
- Data base management software

SEL data collection methods were recommended to provide the quantitative information necessary for evaluating entries in the three areas above. Approximately 45 persons attended the workshop. FEM

3.24 PAGE, G., "SOFTWARE ENGINEERING COURSE EVALUATION,"  
COMPUTER SCIENCES CORPORATION, TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM,  
DECEMBER 1977, 41 PAGES

This technical memorandum reproduces the results of an evaluation by students participating in a software engineering methods course. The students were actively working on software development projects at the time the course was offered. The objective of the evaluation was to determine the students' reaction to, previous familiarity with, and subsequent use of software engineering techniques. The memorandum includes the evaluation forms completed by the students and a tabulation of the responses. FEM

3.25 PARR, F., AND D. M. WEISS, "CONCEPTS USED IN THE CHANGE REPORT FORM," NASA, GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER, TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM, MAY 1978, 9 PAGES

This technical memorandum discusses the software engineering basis of the Change Report Form devised by the SEL. This form is used to collect change and error data from flight dynamics software development projects at GSFC. The memorandum describes the questions that appear on the form and explains the possible answers. These questions attempt to determine the nature of the change/error, the cause of the change/error, the cost of the change/error, and other related qualities. FEM

- 3.26 REITER, R. W., "THE NATURE, ORGANIZATION, MEASUREMENT, AND MANAGEMENT OF SOFTWARE COMPLEXITY" (PAPER PREPARED FOR THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, DECEMBER 1976), 47 PAGES

This paper is a discussion of the relationship of software complexity to software development and maintenance. The sources, or factors, of software complexity are also considered as well as complexity measures. The complexity of a software system is closely related to the difficulty of understanding that system and the way in which its elements fit and function together. The term "complexity," as defined in this paper, does not specifically refer to the difficulty of algorithms.

3.27 SCHEFFER, P. A., AND C. E. VELEZ, "GSFC NAVPAK DESIGN  
HIGHER ORDER LANGUAGES STUDY: ADDENDUM," MARTIN  
MARIETTA CORPORATION, TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM,  
SEPTEMBER 1977, 170 PAGES

This technical memorandum reproduces the computer output on which the software design languages evaluation described in Section 2.5 was based in part. The materials reproduced include the Problem Statement Language (PSL) input (or source) and the following types of output produced by the PSL processor:

- Formatted problem statement
- Cross-reference index
- Data-process report
- Consists matrix
- Extended picture report

These tables and reports can be used to identify errors and ambiguities in the PSL representation of the software design.

FEM

3.28 TURNER, C., AND G. CARON, A COMPARISON OF RADDC AND  
NASA/SEL SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT DATA, DATA & ANALYSIS  
CENTER FOR SOFTWARE, MAY 1981, 31 PAGES

This document reports the results of an analysis of the relationship between project size and several other software measures. These measures include productivity, effort, duration, errors, and error rate. The analysis used data from two sources: Rome Air Development Center (RADDC) and the SEL. Least-squares regression techniques were applied to both sets of data. Results obtained from the two sets of data were comparable. The conclusion cited in the report is that RADDC and SEL data can be combined, in most cases, to obtain a larger sample without undesirable side effects.



3.29 TURNER, C., G. CARON, AND G. BREMENT, NASA/SEL DATA  
COMPENDIUM, DATA & ANALYSIS CENTER FOR SOFTWARE,  
SPECIAL PUBLICATION, MAY 1981, 80 PAGES

This document summarizes the software engineering data collected by the SEL from flight dynamics development projects. A series of charts and graphs is presented for each project. These materials provide profiles of the development histories of the projects and define some of the major characteristics of the software development process at GSFC. However, this document is a factual presentation rather than an analysis of the data.

3.30 WEISS, D. M., "ERROR AND CHANGE ANALYSIS," NAVAL  
RESEARCH LABORATORY, TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM,  
JULY 1979, 56 PAGES

This technical memorandum discusses the essential concepts upon which any analysis of error and change data must be based. These concepts are the motivation for error/change analyses, the generation of hypotheses, and data collection procedures. The measures and distributions relevant to these analyses are defined and ranked according to their importance and the difficulty with which they are obtained. The memorandum also explains the derivations of these measures and provides the outlines of software functions for calculating them. In short, this memorandum provides useful information for planning an analysis of error/change data.

3.31 WILLIAMSON, I. M., "RESOURCE MODEL TESTING AND INFORMATION," NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY, TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM, JULY 1979, 41 PAGES

This technical memorandum reviews seven published resource estimation models. It identifies the parameters, explains the algorithm, and gives an example of application for each model. The models studied are

- NARDAC
- Doty
- Tecolote
- Aron
- Walston/Felix
- GRC
- Wolverton

Limitations and problems encountered in the application examples or published presentations of these models are discussed.

- 3.32 ZELKOWITZ, M. V., "RESOURCE ESTIMATION FOR MEDIUM SCALE SOFTWARE PROJECTS," PROCEEDINGS OF THE TWELFTH CONFERENCE ON THE INTERFACE OF STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE. NEW YORK: COMPUTER SOCIETIES PRESS, 1979, 6 PAGES

This technical paper describes the analysis of resource estimation techniques that is being performed by the SEL. The data used in the analysis is collected from medium-scale flight dynamics software development projects at GSFC. A procedure to forecast accurately the cost and development time of these projects would be a valuable management tool in this environment. This paper documents a specific attempt to verify the resource estimation model based on the Rayleigh curve that was developed by Norden and Putnam. JAO

This technical paper also appears in SEL-82-004, Collected Software Engineering Papers: Volume 1, July 1982.

3.33 ZELKOWITZ, M. V., "DATA COLLECTION AND EVALUATION FOR EXPERIMENTAL COMPUTER SCIENCE RESEARCH," EMPIRICAL FOUNDATIONS FOR COMPUTER AND INFORMATION SCIENCE (PROCEEDINGS), NOVEMBER 1982, 15 PAGES

This technical paper reviews the data collection procedures of the SEL and shows how they are used to generate data with one particular software engineering experiment. The SEL collects process and product measures from actual scientific software projects using a combination of automated tools and questionnaires. A project currently in progress, from which data is being collected, is a software prototyping effort. The goal of this experiment is to determine the costs and benefits of developing a prototype before developing a full system. JAO

3.34 ZELKOWITZ, M. V., AND V. R. BASILI, "OPERATIONAL ASPECTS OF A SOFTWARE MEASUREMENT FACILITY," PROCEEDINGS OF THE SOFTWARE LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT WORKSHOP, SEPTEMBER 1977, 11 PAGES

This technical paper describes the data collection operations of the SEL. The source of the data is a group of flight dynamics software development projects at GSFC. The paper describes seven data collection forms used by the SEL. The procedure for transferring data from the forms to the computer data base is outlined. Some of the validity checks performed on the data are identified. This procedure produces valid, relevant data with which significant software engineering research can be conducted. JAO

## INDEX OF SUBJECTS

complexity,

    see measures

data collection,

    2.2, 2.3, 2.7, 2.8, 2.11, 2.26, 2.27, 2.37, 2.40, 2.42,  
    3.10, 3.11, 3.12, 3.13, 3.17, 3.24, 3.25, 3.30, 3.33,  
    3.34

data validation,

    2.27, 3.8, 3.9, 3.34

design,

    2.1, 2.9, 2.31

errors,

    see reliability models

experiment design,

    2.3, 2.39, 2.41, 3.11

factors,

    2.2, 2.15, 2.37, 2.38, 2.39, 2.41, 3.1, 3.26

Halstead measures,

    2.8, 3.9, 3.20

implementation,

    2.31

life cycle,

    2.31, 3.19

McCabe measures,

    2.8, 3.7, 3.9, 3.10, 3.21

measures,

2.3, 2.7, 2.8, 2.11, 2.15, 2.18, 2.24, 2.26, 2.30, 2.37,  
2.39, 2.41, 2.44, 3.3, 3.4, 3.6, 3.8, 3.9, 3.10, 3.13,  
3.20, 3.21, 3.26, 3.28, 3.29

methodologies,

2.5, 2.9, 2.11, 2.16, 2.18, 2.31, 2.36, 2.41, 2.44,  
3.18, 3.23, 3.24, 3.31

metrics,

see measures

models,

see reliability models, resource models

productivity,

see factors, resource models

program design languages,

2.1, 2.5, 2.9, 2.12, 2.17, 2.20, 3.27

reliability models,

2.11, 2.23, 2.24, 2.26, 2.30, 2.34, 2.36, 2.37, 2.39,  
3.3, 3.7, 3.8, 3.22, 3.24, 3.25, 3.30

requirements analysis,

2.1, 2.12, 2.20, 2.31

resource models,

2.11, 2.13, 2.15, 2.18, 2.24, 2.25, 2.26, 2.30, 2.34,  
2.38, 2.39, 2.44, 3.1, 3.3, 3.5, 3.6, 3.8, 3.16, 3.19,  
3.31, 3.32

Software Engineering Laboratory,

2.2, 2.18, 2.24, 2.30, 2.39, 2.40, 2.44, 3.12, 3.13,  
3.14, 3.15, 3.33, 3.34



Software Engineering Laboratory data base,

2.2, 2.3, 2.11, 2.14, 2.28, 2.29, 2.30, 2.43, 3.12, 3.29

software engineering workshop,

2.1, 2.3, 2.11, 2.18, 2.24, 2.39, 3.23

statistical methods,

2.3, 2.39, 2.41, 3.18

testing,

2.31, 2.36

tools,

2.1, 2.4, 2.5, 2.7, 2.8, 2.12, 2.16, 2.17, 2.19, 2.20,

2.22, 2.32, 2.33, 2.34, 2.35, 2.42, 2.43, 3.2, 3.23, 3.27

transportability,

2.21, 2.39, 2.42

END DATE

FEB. 17, 1983