CONF PROCEEDINGS, IN [illegible] / PRIMARY



Space Transportation Technology Workshop

PR&T Project Level Organization

Major Milestones Commit to Flight Configuration Decisions ▲ ◆	▲ 1st Demo Selected	▲ Product.Release Rocket Sys Sim.	▲ Demo reaction transfer modeled PMC	▲ 2nd Demo Selected		
	Propulsion Technology & Integration					
• Combined Cycle Flowpath Performance						
–RBCC						
• GTX	GTX	▲ Baseline Combust Perf	▲ Baseline for SSTO Mixer/Combust. SSTO Nozzle Expt.	Mature for 3rd Demo		
• ART	ART	▲ Complete				
–TBCC	Down.-select concept. design to concept. engine cycle & TBCC config.		Concept. design for TBCC concept design & risk mit. strat.	Sub-scale demonstrator engine PDR	Sub-scale demonstrator engine DDR	Complete critical component rig testing
• Foundation Propulsion Technologies						
–LLLWP Materials & Structures	Exp. design for high conductivity alloy dev. Survey of gen3 vehicle needs for leading edges	▲ Char. prototype CMC nozzle sub-elements ▲ Initiate design of high fidelity quick access rocket exhaust expos. rig	▲ Demo reaction transfer modeled PMC ▲ Therm. perform. cooled CMC panel ▲ Screen regen. cooled concepts for CMC thrust chambers	Perform aeroconvective tests of first concepts ▲ Initiate funded coating development	▲ Oxidation protection scheme for CMC nozzles developed	▲ Demonstrate affordable PMC for 750°F applications
–Safe Life Design	▲ Procurement of CMC(Sic/Sic) Panels-coupon level tests Est. correlation between CMC Component NDE data and coupon level data for damage dev. under mech. loading	Complete screening of ultra hi-temp PMC w/optimized 3D fiber Architecture (TRL1)	Complete prelim. tests /analysis of seal concept ▲ Complete dev. of life predict.model for UHTPMC's ▲ Complete verifi. of continuum damage mech	Perform coupon level deformation Y life tests under biaxial loading	Multiscale analysis of a fiber/particulate reinforced subcomponent incorporating appropriate local/global failure criteria,	▲ Perform subcomponent testing under complex multiaxial states of stress
–NPSS	Product. Release Rocket Sys Sim.	▲ Roto-Stator pump CFD analysis initial capability	▲ Product. RBCC simulation ▲ Initial cavitating pump element design code			
–Information Rich Test Instrumentation	Feasibility test of inorganic binder		Demo multifunctional thin film sensor array	Prototype Doppler Global Velocimetry sys test	Enviro testing of integrated thin film sensor sys w/support electronics	
–Advanced Propellants	▲ Endothermic compar. Test of HC's	Rocket coking test of HC's ▲ DGH pilot plant fab	Scramjet combust compar. tests of HC's DGH production demo	Thermal stability & energy density screen of hi-energy propell. sturct. candidate qualified	DGH subscale rocket combust. performance measured	Hi-energy density propell. scramjet perform. measured
–Auxillary Propulsion Technology	▲ Start Microtube Catalytic Ignition Demo	▲ Regen. Cooled microcombuster feasibility demo	Burning behavior of represent. monopropellant characterized	▲ Thermal decomp. of rep. monopropellant characterized	▲ RCS monopropellant formulation/ignition method selected	Microcombus regen-cooled design/fab. param. optimized. Hi-press., regen-cooled, bipropel. microcomb. demo Precombuster Feasibility ▲
• Advanced Concepts						
–Turbo Rocket	▲ Initial Feasibility		▲	Dual fluid pumping feasibility		
–Other Concepts	▲	▲ APP NRA	▲ APP NRA		▲ APP NRA	

*Identified APP Synergistic Tasks

Space Transportation Technology Workshop

Propulsion Research & Technology FY01-06 Project Roadmap

Name	Title	Phone	Email
Mark Klem	Acting Project Manager	216-977-7473	Mark.D.Klem@grc.nasa.gov
Catherine Mcleod	Assistant Project Manager	256-544-2463	Catherine.D.McLeod@grc.nasa.gov
Dave Sagerser	Airbreathing Investment MGR	216-433- 2172	David.A.Sagerser@grc.nasa.gov
Mark Hyatt	Alternate Airbreathing Investment MGR	216-433- 3248	Mark.J.Hyatt@grc.nasa.gov
Don Palac	RBCC/GTX Sub Proj Mgr	216-977-7094	Donald.T.Palac@grc.nasa.gov
Paul Bartolotta	Acting TBCC Sub Proj Mgr	216-433-3338	Paul.A.Bartolotta@grc.nasa.gov
Brian Quigley	Acting Deputy TBCC Sub Proj Mgr	216-433- 8672	Brian.F.Quigley@grc.nasa.gov
Tom Glasgow	Long Life M&S Sub Proj MGR	216-433- 5013	Thomas.K.Glasgow@grc.nasa.gov
J. Rod Ellis	Safe Life Design	216-433- 3340	John.R.Ellis@grc.nasa.gov
A. Karl Owen	Numerical SIM. & Tools Sub Proj MGR	216-433- 5895	Albert.K.Owen@grc.nasa.gov
Carolyn Mercer	Info Rich Test Inst. Sub Proj MGR	216-433- 3411	Carolyn.R.Mercer@grc.nasa.gov
Brian Reed	Auxiliary Prop Technologies	216-977-7489	Brian.D.Reed@grc.nasa.gov
Mike Meyer	Advanced Propellants	216-977-7492	Michael.L.Meyer@grc.nasa.gov
Carol Quinn	TurboRocket Sub Proj MGR	216-433- 2825	Carol.A.Quinn@grc.nasa.gov

PR&T Points of Contact

- Foundation Technologies contains 6 Subprojects:
  - Long-Life Light-Weight Propulsion Materials & Structures (9 Tasks):
    - Process and Material Development for Fabrication of CMC Blisks
    - High Conductivity Materials
    - National Durability Test Apparatus
    - CMC Nozzles and Cooled Structures
    - Polymers and PMC's for High Temperature Propulsion Applications
    - Revolutionary Fibers and Interface Coatings(begins in FY 04)
    - Ceramic Composite Thrust Chamber Development
    - Cooled Ceramic Matrix composite Panels
    - Evaluation of Ceramic Leading Edge Concepts
  - Safe-Life Propulsion Design Technologies (6 tasks):
    - Ceramic matrix composite (CMC) life prediction methods
    - Life Prediction methods for ultra high temperature polymer matrix composites for RLV airframe and engine application
    - Enabling design and life prediction technology for cost effective large-scale utilization of MMCs and innovative metallic material concepts.
    - Probabilistic analysis methods for brittle materials and structures.
    - Damage assessment in CMC propulsion components using nondestructive characterization (NDC) techniques.
    - High temperature structural seals for RLV application.

PR&T Foundation Technologies

- NPSS for Space Transportation (3 tasks):
  - Numerical Propulsion System Simulations for Space Transportation Propulsion Applications.
  - Improved Rocket Engine Turbopump Design Data Base.
  - Design Process Improvement Through Enhanced Environment Prediction Capability.
- Information Rich Test Instrumentation (4 tasks):
  - Surface Heat Flux Measurements
  - Surface Temperature Measurements
  - Combustion Diagnostic Measurements
  - Gas Velocity Measurements
- Advanced Propellants (4 tasks):
  - Common HC Fuels for Combined Cycles
  - High Energy Hydrocarbons
  - Densified Gelled Hydrogen
  - Hybrid Propellants
- Auxiliary Propulsion Technologies (2 tasks):
  - Bipropellant Micro-Combustion and Heat Transfer
  - Advanced Monopropellant Decomposition and Combustion Mechanisms

PR&T Foundation Technologies, cont'd



BCP



JP-10

RJ-5



Quadricyclane

- **Current State of the Art**
  - JP-7/RP-1, LH2
- **Benefits of Technology (Cost, Safety, Performance, etc)**
  - HC Heat Sink ~ 2000 BTU/lb
  - HC time between overhaul: hours not minutes
  - HEDM energy-density +20% over JP-7
  - 17% H2 density increase with zero Isp reduction
- **Risks/Technical Challenges with Mitigation Plans**
  - HC coking: mitigated by screening wide range of fuels and options to work additives or deoxygenation
  - producing gelled densified H2 with optimum CH4 fraction: mitigated by history of gelling LH2 and densifying H2

- **FY'01 Milestones**
  - 2Q01: Endothermic comparison tests of common HC's
  - 4Q01: Rocket coking comparison tests of common HC's
- **FY'02 Milestones**
  - 2Q02: Scramjet combustion comparison at M=6.5
  - 4Q02: Densified Gelled H_2(DGH) production demonstrated
- **Prioritized list of Activities, e.g.:**
  - Characterize common HC's for combined cycle systems
  - Identify HEDM candidates for combined cycle systems
  - Demonstrate DGH production
  - Rocket condition thermal stability : U. Kansas grant



PR&T Advanced Propellants

Auxiliary Propulsion Technology:
Foundation for Low-Maintenance, Low-Cost Reaction Control Systems

High-Performance (Isp > 270-s), Low-Hazard Monopropellants

↓

Simple, Single-Propellant RCS

High-Pressure, Bipropellant Microthrusters

↓

1-ft x 1-ft x 6-in, Microthruster Array RCS Panels

- **3rd Generation RLV Goals**
  - **Two orders of magnitude reduction in RCS servicing costs**
  - **Improve inherent safety and operational flexibility for RCS**

- **Very low-maintenance RCS concepts will address goals**
  - **System using Isp > 270 s, low-hazard monopropellant**
    - Single-propellant, no thermal management, no vapor hazard
  - **1-ft x 1-ft x 6-in RCS panels consisting of microthruster arrays**
    - Revolutionary concept would offer unprecedented redundancy, low-cost fabrication of thrusters, and 10X reduction in dry mass

- **Provide technology foundation for low-maintenance RCS by investigating critical issues/challenges**
  - **Advanced monopropellant decomposition and combustion**
  - **Combustion and heat transfer in bipropellant microthrusters**

Auxiliary Propulsion Technology

- **Project Participants**
  - NASA GRC : Ignition and combustion experiments
  - MIT: Regeneratively-cooled microcombustor heat transfer
  - Penn State: Monopropellant combustion experiments

- **Near-Term Milestones**
  - FY01 Microtube catalytic ignition
  - FY02 Regeneratively-cooled microcombustor feasibility
  - FY02 Burning behavior of representative monopropellant

- **FY06 Status**
  - High-pressure, regeneratively-cooled, oxygen/ethanol microcombustor demonstrated
  - Decomposition of RCS monopropellant in reactor characterized

- Auxiliary Propulsion Technology Project Contact
  - Brian Reed, 216/977-7489, Brian.D.Reed@grc.nasa.gov

Auxiliary Propulsion Technology

Williams International
Low Cost Turbo Rocket Concept

25,000 lbf RL-10

25,000 lbf Turbo Rocket
Proprietary

- **Current State of the Art**
  - RL10, SSME, and FASTRAC where the TPA is integrated with the TCA through a web of plumbing
- **Benefits of Technology (Cost, Safety, Performance, etc)**
  - 10-50% the cost of current rocket engines
  - T/W of over 100
- **Risks/Technical Challenges with Mitigation Plans**
  - demonstrate ability to accelerate cryogenic fluids to speeds required for injection into precombustor
  - demonstrate ability to cool main chamber with hydrogen-rich effluent
  - adequately mix hydrogen and oxygen in precombustor and main chamber

- **FY'01 Milestones**
  - 9/01 Begin Design of Dual Fluid Pumping System Rig
- **FY'02 Milestones**
  - 09/02 Begin Testing of Dual Fluid Pumping System
- **Prioritized list of Activities, e.g.:**
  - Dual Fluid Pumping Testing
  - Atomization Rig Testing



Space Transportation Technology Workshop

PR&T Low Cost Turbo Rocket



Aerojet Strutjet



Rocketdyne Engine A5

- **Current State of the Art**
  - **Boiler plate test hardware**
- **Performance Metrics**
  - **Completed combustor weight**
  - **Combustor manufacturability**

- **FY'01 Milestones:**
  - **Phase II Final Reports**
- **Prioritized list of activities:**
  - **Complete Phase II Final Reports**
  - **Fabricate flight-weight combustors**
  - **Test ceramic scram injectors**



PR&T - Advanced Reusable Technologies (ART) RBCC Test Bed

GTX Project Summary

Space Transportation Day
October 11-12, 2000

GTX Project Objectives

- Determine whether or not air-breathing propulsion can enable reusable SSTO
- Provide validated system performance data, and a baseline system design
- Develop technologies applicable to high-speed air-breathing propulsion

Reusable SSTO Performance Axes

*The net benefit of air-breathing propulsion depends on the aero-propulsion and structural performance of the **system***



GTX Reference Vehicle Description

- Reusable, Single-Stage-to-Orbit
- Vertical Lift-Off / Horizontal Landing
- RBCC Propulsion System Operates in 4 Modes
- 500 sec Minimum I* at Max A/B Mach 11
- 238,000 lb Gross Lift-Off Weight
- LOX/LH2 Propellants
- 300# Payload



- **Independent Ramjet Stream and Simultaneous Mixing and Combustion (SMC) Ejector-Ramjet Cycles Under Consideration**
  - Lower risk, nearer-term than more complex concepts (e.g. SERJ, LACE)
  - Adequate performance for 500 sec I^* goal at moderate rocket re-ignition Mach number
  - Shorter and lighter than the diffusion and afterburning (DAB) scheme
  - More compatible with rocket mode than other cycles
- **Axi-symmetric Flowpath Configuration**
  - More structurally efficient than 2-D rectangular
  - Reduced design and analysis risk
- **Mixed-Compression, Translating Centerbody Inlets**
  - Provides required throat area variation, and flowpath close-off for rocket mode
  - Existing design database
  - Minimal sealing issues



GTX RBCC Propulsion System Features

Planned Flowpath Development Test Rigs









Numbers refer to GTX rig numbers

Space Transportation Technology Workshop

GTX Project Plan Overview

For More Information on GTX

Come to the GTX Session
at the
JANNAF 25th Airbreathing Propulsion
Subcommittee Meeting
November 13-17, 2000
Monterey, California

Turbine Based Combined / Combination Cycle / RTA Project Overview

Paul A. Bartolotta NASA/GRC
Brian F. Quigley NASA/GRC

Paul A. Bartolotta 216-433-3338 Paul.A.Bartolotta@grc.nasa.gov
Brian F. Quigley 216-433-8672 Brian.F.Quigley@grc.nasa.gov

Space Transportation Technology Workshop



- **Single Stage To Orbit (SSTO)**
  - Turbine Accelerator Integrated with Dual Mode Scram Jet in Combined Flow Path
  - Over/under Configuration
  - Hyper-X type vehicle (Baseline)

- **Technology Challenges**
  - Turbine Accelerator
  - Shared Inlet
  - Dual Fuel (H/C & H2) in Single Vehicle
  - Transition Mode
  - Shared Mixer Ejector & Nozzle
  - Thermal Management
  - PAI



- **Two Stage To Orbit (TSTO)**
  - **First Stage:** Turbine Accelerator with Afterburner or Ram Jet
  - **Second Stage:** RBCC and/or Rockets

- **Technology Challenges**
  - Turbine Accelerator
  - Inlet Performance
  - Staging Separation
  - Thermal Management
  - PAI

Turbine Based Combined/Combination Cycle

Revolutionary Turbine Accelerator (RTA)

Thrust/Weight ~20 (in-line)
Mach 4-5 Capable
Long Life



- **Current State-of-the-Art**
  - **J58 Mach 3+ capable engine**
- **Benefits of Technology**
  - **Mach 4-5 turbine accelerator**
  - **Simplifies ramjet/scramjet geometry (decreases weight)**
  - **Improves system capacity & operability**
  - **Improves safety, survivability, abort capability & launch flexibility**
  - **Increases reliability & durability**
- **Technical Challenges**
  - **High Mach compressor**
  - **Thermal management**
  - **Hot rotating components**
  - **Advanced materials**
  - **Propulsion/Airframe Integration**
- **Participants**
  - **GRC (lead), LaRC, MSFC**
  - **AF, NAVAIR**

Turbine Based Combined/Combination Cycle

Revolutionary Turbine Accelerator (RTA) Technologies

Small scale demo

~15" diameter
Limited life

NAVY (IOC 2012)

High Speed Weapon (HSW) Missile
Mach 4+

Full scale demo

~58" diameter
Man-rated

AF (IOC 2020+)



Future Strike Vehicle
Mach 2-4

Dual Mode Scram Jet Technologies (Hyper-X)

X-43A



GH2

X-43B



TBCC H/C
Ram/Scram H/C

ARRMD

H/C

NASA & AF (IOC 2015+)



NASA 3rd Generation RLV & AF SOV
Mach 4+ RTA for 1st stage
Mach 15+ for 2nd stage

Turbine Based Combined/Combination Cycle

SSTO (TBCC/RTA)



- **SSTO**
  - **Turbine Accelerator Integrated with Dual Mode Scram Jet in Combined Flow Path**
  - **Over/under Configuration**
  - **Hyper-X type vehicle (Baseline)**

Turbine Based Combined/Combination Cycle

TSTO (TBCC/RTA)



Vehicle System

- **First Stage:**
  Turbine Accelerator with Afterburner or Ram Jet

- **Second Stage:**
  AB RBCC and/or Rockets

Turbine Based Combined/Combination Cycle

TBCC/RTA Technical Challenges

Inlet Design:
- Location (ahead, inside SJ inlet)
- Mode Transition
- Boundary layer control
- Performance
- Highly offset, subsonic diffuser
- Quality of flow
- Unstart susceptibility
- Separate inlets vs. single aperture
- Variable geometry (in or out doors)
- Weight/complexity

Turbine Accelerator:
- Protection from high temperatures for all configurations
- In flight restart

Nozzle:
- Exit location
- Design and performance
- Size & weight
- Mode transition interaction

Additional Technical Challenges:
- Thermal management
- High temp seals
- Materials and Structures
- Integration
- Integrated flight controls
- Fuel system, cooling
- Vehicle design, CG, Pitching moment

RAM SCRAM:
High speed system flowpath design and performance

Ejector Region:
- Mixing performance and its impact on overall system performance
- Mixing enhancement
- Mode transition
- Thermal choke performance and locations control

Turbine Based Combined/Combination Cycle

TBCC/RTA Project Plan



Turbine Based Combined/Combination Cycle

Light Weight Long Life Materials and Structures

Tom Glasgow
Oct. 12, 2000

Space Transportation Technology Workshop



- Basic work to be performed at GRC, MSFC, LaRC
- Contracts for CMC Panels, Blisks and Thrust Chambers, for Copper Alloy Fabrication and Polymer Production , will be arranged with materials Specialty Companies
- Academia will be involved in Polymers and Copper Alloy Development Opportunities to participate will also be advertised through SBIR

- FY'01 Milestones
  - Complete experimental design for high conductivity alloy development
  - Define and characterize prototype CMC Nozzle Sub-elements
  - Complete survey of Gen 3 Vehicle Needs for leading edges
  - Initiate Design of High Fidelity Quick Access Rocket Exhaust Exposure Rig
- FY'02 Milestones
  - Demonstrate Reaction Transfer Molded PMC with 550°F use Temp Complete Screening of Advanced Copper Alloys
  - Determine thermal performance of First Concept Cooled CMC Panels
  - Screen regeneratively cooled concepts for CMC Thrust Chambers
- Prioritized list of Activities, e.g.:
  - Establish Low Fidelity Materials Exposure Rig
  - Establish contacts for supply of CMC test pieces
  - Select Polymer concepts for 550°F use temp.

- Extensive use of GRC Cell 22 Rocket Test Facility will be required in out years but not FY01
- No use of Industry facilities is anticipated

Long Life Light Weight Propulsion Materials and Structures

- High performance materials are enabling or enhancing for numerous engine/vehicle concepts and are critical to meeting safety, cost and performance goals.
- Subproject covers polymeric, metallic and ceramic high temperature materials for rocket propulsion systems.
- Current TRL's average 2.5. Most will attain 5 or 6 by 2006. Project also includes a wide variety of efforts with some fundamental work with late maturity dates.







Long Life, Light Weight Propulsion Materials and Structures



Space Transportation Technology Workshop - Propulsion:

Space Transportation Technology Workshop

Long Life, Light Weight Propulsion Materials and Structures

Process and Material Development for Fabrication of CMC Blisks

J. Kiser, 216-433-3247, James.D.Kiser@grc.nasa.gov

CMC Blisk

FY 01: CMC Blisk Project outlined and initiated
FY 03: Provide simple shape CMC disks to CCT
FY 06: Smooth blade surface technology demonstrated
FY 06: Demonstrate 12" diameter, 3" thick high strength disks

High Conductivity Materials

D. Ellis, 216-433-8736, David.L.Ellis@grc.nasa.gov

Thrust Cell Liner



FY 01: Complete experimental design for Cu-alloy development
FY 02: Complete screening of advanced copper alloys
FY 03: Determine feasibility of very high conductivity Metal Matrix Composites
FY 05: Determine mechanical and thermal properties of MMC's
FY 06: Demonstrate advanced alloy coating combination via hot fire testing

Tasks and Milestones

CMC Cooled Components

M. Jaskowiak, 216-433-5515
Martha.H.Jaskowiak@grc.nasa.gov

Actively-Cooled CMC Panel



FY 01: Heat exchanger concepts and uncooled concepts selected
FY 03: Thermal performance tests of first concepts
FY 05: Aeroconvective tests of first concepts

Polymers and PMC's for High Temperature Propulsion Applications

M. Meador, 216-433-9518, Michael.A.Meador@grc.nasa.gov

FY 02: Demonstrate RTM processable polymer for use at 550F
FY 03: Demonstrate high temperature polymer nanocomposites with improved mechanical properties and reduced gas permeability
FY 04: Develop and demonstrate polymers and PMCs with durability at 750°F
FY 05: Demonstrate enhanced cyrogenic toughness and durability of high temperature PMCs
FY 06: Demonstrate affordable PMC for 750°F applications

Tasks and Milestones

CMC's for Static Propulsion Components

M. Freedman, 216-433-3284
Marc.R.Freedman@grc.nasa.gov



FY 01: Baseline materials characterized
FY 03: Screening capabilities updated
FY 05: Fiber architecture model verified
FY 07: Knowledgebase established

Ceramic Composite Thrust Chamber Development

J. Lang, 216-433-6675, Jerry.Lang@grc.nasa.gov



FY 01: Define and characterize CMC thrust chamber subelements
FY 03: Screen uncooled concepts for thrust chambers (RCS Scale)
FY 05: Screen regeneratively cooled concepts for thrust chambers

Tasks and Milestones

Cooled Leading Edge Concepts

D. Glass, 757-864-5423, d.e.glass@larc.nasa.gov



FY 01: Complete survey of Gen 3 vehicle needs for leading edges
FY 02: Fabricate subcomponent test articles for leading edges
FY 03: Test leading edge subcomponents in challenging aerothermal environment
FY 04: Identify leading edge with 2X life compared with FY 00
FY 06: Identify leading edge with 5X life compared with FY 00

National Durability Test Apparatus

L. Greenbauer-Seng, 216-433-6781,
Leslie.A.Greenbauer-Seng@grc.nasa.gov

FY 01: Examine industry critical materials needs based on selected Gen 3 engines
FY 01: Initiate design of quick access test rig
FY 01: Initiate construction of low cost, low fidelity test rig
FY 03: Construct higher fidelity quick access rig
FY 04: Operate Quick Access rig for government and industry materials evaluation

Tasks and Milestones

RLV 3rd Generation Supplemental Slides

The following slides provide additional information on select RLV 3rd Generation Long Life, Light Weight Materials Tasks

Goals and Objectives:

- Improve the durability, reliability, performance and affordability of polymer matrix composite propulsion components for space transportation systems

Background

- Current high temperature PMCs have poor long-term stability at temperatures above 650°F, poor durability at cryogenic temperatures and high manufacturing costs

Current Status/Major Accomplishments:

Recent work at GRC has led to:

- Solvent based low cost manufacturing (saRTM) for use with 650 -700°F PMCs
- RTM processable PMCs for use at temperatures up to 500°F
- High temperature clay/polymer nanocomposites
- Improved understanding on the durability of braided PMC structures

Near Term Milestones:

- Demonstrate RTM processable 550°F polymer for propulsion applications (*FY02*)
- Develop/demonstrate high temperature polymer nanocomposite with improved mechanical properties and reduced gas permeability (*FY03*)
- Develop/demonstrate polymers and PMCs with durability at 750°F (*FY04*)
- Demonstate enhanced cryogenic toughness and durability of high temp PMCs (*FY05*)
- Demonstrate affordable 750°F PMC (*FY06*)

Point of Contact:

Michael A. Meador, (216) 433-9518, Michael.A.Meador@grc.nasa.gov

BLANCHING : Generation of Interconnected Porosity from Cycles Between Oxidizing & Reducing Environments



COATINGS SYSTEMS FOR BLANCHING PROTECTION

- **CURRENT**: NiCrAlY SYSTEMS
- **GEN-2:** Cu-Cr SYSTEMS
- **GEN-3:** NON-Cr_2O_3-FORMING SYSTEMS

- **Coatings can prevent environmental attacks such as blanching**
- **Advanced coatings can reduce hot wall temperature**
- **Both increase liner life and decrease operating expenses**

Diamond

- Thermal Conductivity – 2000 W/mK
- Hardest known substance



Buckyballs

- Unknown thermophysical properties
- Potentially very hard/strong
- May be chemically modified



Carbon Nanotubes

- Similar structure and properties to Buckyballs
- Long range potential to make fiber reinforced composites

Combined with a copper matrix, these particulates/fibers could produce low thermal expansion, ultra-high conductivity, high strength composites

Advanced Copper Matrix MMCs

Safe Life Propulsion Design Technologies

(3rd Generation Propulsion Research & Technology)

Rod Ellis
NASA Glenn Research Center

2nd Annual Space Transportation Day, October 11-12, 2000
Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, Alabama

Task Titles

- Ceramic matrix composite (CMC) life prediction methods.
- Life Prediction methods for ultra high temperature polymer matrix composites for RLV airframe and engine application.
- Enabling design and life prediction technology for cost effective large-scale utilization of MMCs and innovative metallic material concepts.
- Probabilistic analysis methods for brittle materials and structures.
- Damage assessment in CMC propulsion components using nondestructive characterization (NDC) techniques.
- High temperature structural seals for RLV application.

Ceramic Matrix Composite (CMC) Life Prediction Methods (P-5-Ellis-2-t)

POC: Rod Ellis/5900, Stan Levine/5100

Goals/Objectives

To advance current empirically based life models to allow for the

- Ability to account for environmental effect on life.
- Ability to predict life for combined loads.
- Ability to predict component life.

Technical Challenges

- Understanding the physics of mechanical and environmental damage mechanisms leading to eventual material failure.
- Integrating environmental, micro-mechanics and macro-level damage models into a unified engineering design tool.

CMC are enabling for aerospike, advanced turbopumps, airbreathing





propulsion concepts, and airframe TPS and hot structure applications.

Approach

- Coordinated effort with sub-tasks addressing:
- Probabilistically based, macro-level, residual strength life model.
- Engineering mechanics model at the fiber/matrix level.
- Identification and modeling of governing chemistry of environmental attack and life extension methods.
- Generation of a robust lifting database characterizing the effect of environmental state variables (temperature, oxygen, and steam) on material strength degradation.

Milestones

Initiate procurement of CMCs (C/SiC, SiC/SiC) panels for coupon-level tests supporting development of life prediction methods, 1QFY01.

- Complete initial series of mechanical/thermomechanical tests supporting development of life prediction models, 4QFY02.
- Complete development of models characterizing key damage mechanisms in CMCs under oxidizing and steam-rich environments, 4QFY03.
- Complete development of CMC life prediction models including the combined effects of thermal/mechanical loading and environmental property degradation, 4QFY04.
- Complete development of life enhancing environmental coatings and thermal barrier coatings and publish results, 4QFY05.
- Complete subcomponent tests and use results to verify/validate the performance of CMC lifing methods in predicting behavior under complex stress states and service environments 4QFY06.

Life Prediction Methods For Ultra High Temperature (UHT) Polymer Matrix Composites (PMC) For RLV AirFrame and Engine Application (P-5-Ellis-1-t)

POC: Rod Ellis/5900, Mike Meador/5100

Goals/Objectives/Benefits

- Develop physics-based life prediction methods: Successful development is **enabling** given the need for safe, reliable, cost-effective **Reusable Launch Vehicles**.
- Support development of low-cost PMC: Fully **optimized** and **tailored** PMC materials will lead to highly efficient airframe and propulsion components.
- Develop comprehensive data bases for candidate UHT PMC: Data bases determined with Design of Experiments (DOE) methodology will lead to increased reliability and will support probabilistic analysis.
- Support development of advanced UHT PMC incorporating **nanotechnology**: Revolutionary gains in performance and reliability expected from this new class of materials.
- Develop new NDE techniques for UHT PMC incorporating nanotechnology: Successful development of NDE technology is key to assuring quality.
- Perform subcomponent tests to verify methodologies: Test will be conducted on composites in **scaled-up form** produced using **low-cost** manufacturing methods.

RBCC Manifolds & Support Structure



Technical Challenges

- Low Cost Manufacture
- Complex Environmental Issues
- Complex Deformation / Damage Mechanisms
- Uncertainties Associated With Nanotechnologies

Approach

- **Critical screening tests** will be conducted at **GRC** and **AFRL/WPAFB** on candidate PMC to evaluate material integrity and reusability under extreme thermo-mechanical loading conditions. Particular attention will be given to the role of heat rates, water, steam, and other limiting RLV environments.
- **Comprehensive data bases** will be generated for down selected materials at **GRC** and **under contract** focusing on standard mechanical properties. Also, nonstandard properties such as stiffness retention and residual strength will be determined in support of life prediction model development.
- **Physics-based life prediction models** will be developed which accurately model the damage mechanisms and failure mechanisms observed in the experimental studies. The analytical modeling effort will be pursued at **GRC** and at **Georgia Tech**.
- **Subcomponents** will be fabricated using low-cost manufacturing methods by well-established contractors and tested under prototypical loading conditions at **MSFC**. The analytical predictions of subcomponent deformation and fatigue behavior will be made at **GRC** using the advanced life prediction methods fully implemented into finite element codes.

Milestones

- Complete screening study of UHT PMCs with optimized 3D fiber architectures with the focus on moisture absorption and behavior under rapid thermal transients, 4QFY01.
- Complete development of continuum damage mechanics (CDM) model accounting for viscoelasticity and microcracking and publish results, 2QFY02.
- Complete initial series of coupon-level mechanical/thermomechanical tests supporting development of life prediction methods, 4QFY02.
- Complete development of PMC life prediction models including the combined effects of thermal/mechanical loading and environmental property degradation, 4QFY03.
- Complete initial screening tests on UHT PMCs with properties enhanced using nanocomposite technology, 4QFY04.
- Complete subcomponent test and use results to verify/validate the performance of PMC lifing methods in predicting behavior under multiaxial stress states and service environments 4QFY06.

Enabling Design and Life Prediction Technology for Cost Effective Large-Scale Utilization of MMCs and Innovative Metallic Concepts

(P-5-Arnold-1-t)

POC: Steve Arnold (5900), Mike Nathal (5100)

Goals/Objectives

- Develop/mature the accurate multi-scale structural analysis and life prediction technology required to **enable full deployment of MMCs** and other advanced metallic concepts in 3rd generation RLVs
  - → Significantly improve component safety and reliability
  - → Dramatically decrease costs associated with both design/ development and component life-cycles
- Empower materials scientists to **design *the* advanced metallic materials** systematically for cost-effective implementation in RLV applications
- Empower structural engineers to **design *with* the advanced metallic materials** on all relevant scales to exploit the full potential of these materials

Technical Challenges

- **Deformation Modeling:** Enhance physically-based multi-mechanism models and include environmental effects
- **Damage Modeling:** Identify/develop accurate strength and stiffness reduction continuum damage models
- **Thermomechanical Testing:** Obtain quality materials and develop appropriate test methods for both characterization and verification
- **Material Parameter Estimation:** Mature/verify technology for rapid parameter estimation with minimal testing
- **Homogenization/Localization Techniques:** Develop/verify techniques with improved accuracy and functionality
- **Local/Global Failure Analysis:** Identify/develop multi-scale life prediction methodologies for actual component thermomechanical environments
- **Model Synthesis:** Enable design/analysis on all scales (constituent → structure) while optimizing computational efficiency

Approach: Multi-scale Modeling of MMC's



Milestones

- Identify & procure "model" discontinuous reinforced metallic composite material & associated matrix material, 2QFY01.
- Release enhanced version of MAC/GMC incorporation among other things the new multi-mechanism viscoelastoplastic deformation & damage model, 3QFY01.
- Perform series of exploratory coupon level tests to identify key deformation & damage mechanisms in discontinuous reinforced metallic material, 4QFY01.
- Develop & incorporate into MAC/GMC a high fidelity micromechanics-based formulation accounting for shear-coupling, 4QFY02.
- Perform coupon level deformation Y life tests under biaxial loading, 4QFY03.
- Perform a multiscale analysis of a fiber/particulate reinforced subcomponent incorporating appropriate local/global failure criteria, 4QFY05.
- Perform subcomponent testing under complex multiaxial states of stress, 4QFY06

Probabilistic Analysis Methods for RLV Propulsion Materials & Structures

(P-5-Nemeth/Pai-1-t)

POC: Rod Ellis (5900), Stan Levine (5100)

Goals/Objectives

- Develop advanced probabilistic design and analysis methods for "brittle" materials and structures with the focus on:
- Reliable analysis methods for micro-electromechanical (MEMs) devices and systems
- Efficient methods for optimizing and tailoring composite materials
- More efficient structural design processes resulting in improved component reliability and reduced cost

Technical Challenges

- Account for the highly stochastic nature of damage accumulation and failure in brittle materials and structures and account for demanding service environments including:
- Foreign object damage (FOD)
- Complex environmental effects
- Extreme thermomechanical loading conditions
- Complex dynamic loading conditions

Candidate RLV Components

- Propulsion components including:
  - nozzle injectors
  - exit cones
  - throats
- Thermal protection system
  - sharp leading edges
- Engine sensors
  - Microelectromechanical Systems



Silicon Nitride Rocket Thruster

MEMS Sensors





Ultra-High-Temperature Ceramic for RLV Leading Edges

Approach: Multi-scale Modeling of MMC's

- Extend probabilistic analysis methods developed at GRC for aeronautics applications (CARES/LIFE, NESSUS) to RLV applications and materials.
- Team from the outset with industry partners to ensure the design tools developed fully meet the needs of the space propulsion design community.
- Ensure that the probabilistic life prediction methods developed are physics-based and take proper account of environmental effects.
- Ensure that the probabilistic analysis methods developed are computationally efficient and are fully compatible with "industry standard" finite element analysis codes.

Milestones

- Complete beta testing of ANSYS version 5.7 with probabilistic design system (PDS) options [4QFY01]
- Modify CARES/Life to simulate geometry/material property variations using ANSYS (PDS) and establish effect on life prediction [4QFY02]
- Complete extension of probabilistic residual strength model for CMCs (C/SiC, SiC/SiC) to multiaxial stress states and variable amplitude loading [4QFY03]
- Complete probabilistic modeling of MEMS and electronic structures under prototypical loading conditions and harsh environments [4QFY04]
- Complete integration of probabilistic life prediction models with propulsion health monitoring system [4QFY06]

CMC Life Determination Using Nondestructive Characterization Techniques

P-5-Effinger-FTP-1



Milestones/Activities

- **FY'01 Milestones**
  - **Complete Simplex blisk fatigue testing**
  - **Establish correlation of NDC data of fatigued coupons to NDC data of fatigued CMC blisk**
- **FY'02 Milestones**
  - **University and industry contracts awarded**
  - **Synergistic NDC Life Prediction plan with AF, DOE, and NASA generated**
- **Prioritized list of Activities**
  - **Synergistic plan with Foundation's P-5-Kiser-1**
  - **Multi-axial & attachment testing data**

Implementation/Metrics

- **Current State of the Art**
  - **physics base life *prediction* with no real-time life *determination* potential**
- **Performance Metrics**
  - **Feasibility path defined, milestones met**
- **Risks**
  - **Environmental degradation determination by NDC, development of tools/mode to predict CMC life with NDC determined properties, NDC discernment of different aspects of material degradation.**
- **Participants**
  - **NASA: GRC, MSFC, Industry: Honeywell, SoRI, Univ. of HI, IL @ Chicago, OAI, Cleveland State, others**



Space Transportation Technology Workshop

Safe Life Propulsion Technologies

Advanced Structural Seals for Propulsion Systems



RBCC or TBCC Inlet/Nozzle Ramp Seals

Products / Benefits

- **Milestones**

1.	Preliminary seal concepts identified	FY01
2.	Unique performance test fixture fabrication complete	FY02
3.	Seal thermal structural analyses complete	FY02
4.	Hot resiliency/scrub critical function tests comp. (Gen 1 seals)	FY03
5.	Hot resiliency/scrub critical function tests comp. (Gen 2 seals)	FY04
6.	Rocket heating/ Thermal Survival Tests Complete	FY05
7.	Thermal/acoustic tests complete	FY06

- **Prioritized list of Activities**

Define requirements and seal concepts; Perform seal thermal-structural analyses

Design/fabricate test apparatus to measure seal performance

Conduct perf. tests: flow, compression, rocket heating, thermal/acoustic (as applicable)

Document seal design guidelines

Implementation / Metrics

- Current State of the Art
  - Limited NASP engine seals & database
  - No known 2000-2500°F dynamic re-usable structural seals
- Performance Metric
  - Re-usable seals tested under relevant env.
- Risks
  - Facility availability for hot thermal/mechanical/acoustic tests
  - Materials with inadequate perf. capabilities
- USG Participants:GRC Lead.
- POC: Dr. Bruce M. Steinetz NASA GRC
  bruce.steinetz@grc.nasa.gov (216) 433-3302



Safe Life / Foundation Technologies

STATUS/FUTURE WORK

- Ceramic Matrix Composite (CMC) Life Prediction Methods
  - Initiate procurement of CMCs (C/SiC, SiC/SiC) panels for coupon-level tests supporting development of life prediction methods, [1QFY01].
  - Complete initial series of mechanical/thermomechanical tests supporting development of life prediction models, [4QFY02].
- Life Prediction Methods for Ultra High Temperature (UHT) Polymer Matrix Composites for RLV Airframe and Engine Application
  - Complete screening study of UHT PMCs with optimized 3D fiber architectures with the focus on moisture adsorption and behavior under rapid thermal transients, [4QFY01].
  - Complete development of continuum damage mechanics (CDM) model accounting for viscoelasticity and microcracking and publish results, [2QFY02].
- Enabling design and life prediction technology for cost effective large-scale utilization of MMCs and innovative metallic material concepts.
  - Initiate procurement of advanced metallics including particulate and fiber reinforced composite materials, [1QFY01].
  - Release enhanced version of MAC/GMC incorporating latest multi-mechanism viscoelastoplastic deformation and damage model, [3QFY01].

STATUS/FUTURE WORK

4. Probabilistic analysis methods for brittle materials and structures.
   - Complete beta testing of ANSYS version 5.7 with probabilistic design system (PDS) options, [4QFY01].
   - Modify CARES/Life to simulate geometry/material property variations using ANSYS (PDS) and establish effect on life prediction, [4QFY02].

5. Damage assessment in CMC propulsion components using nondestructive characterization (NDC) techniques.
   - Complete simplex blisk fatigue testing, [4QFY01].
   - Establish correlation of NDC data of fatigued coupons to NDC data of fatigued CMC blisk, [4QFY01].

- High temperature structural seals for RLV application.
  - Preliminary seal concepts identified, [4QFY01].
  - Complete fabrication of unique performance test fixture, [4QFY02].

Numerical Propulsion System Simulation for Space Transportation

Karl Owen, GRC, 216-433-5895

New project to
Develop Advanced System
Simulations for 3rd
Generation Engine Design
and Analysis





Milestones / Activities

FY01 *MAJOR* Milestones

- Incremental Release Rocket System Simulation (GRC)
- Rotor-Stator Pump CFD Analysis Initial Capability (MSFC)

FY02 *MAJOR* Milestones

- Production RBCC Rocket System Simulation (GRC)
- Initial Cavitating Pump Element Design Code (MSFC)

Prioritized Activities

- Development of Rocket System Modules for Simulation FY01
- Development of RBCC System Modules for Simulation FY02

FY01 Acquisition Plan

- Contracting with appropriate support organizations

Facility Requirements

- COSMO Supercomputing Facility at ARC
- SHARK and AEROSHARK Computing Facilities at GRC



Space Transportation Technology Workshop

Numerical Propulsion System Simulation

Implementation / Metrics

- Current State of the Art
  - Current System Simulations are mature, difficult to modify, and poorly documented.
  - Multidisciplinary couplings are one way and fabricated for specific applications.
  - Probabilistic life prediction techniques for space applications are in their early application.
  - Many parts of the full system, variable fidelity simulation have been demonstrated individually or technology is available from aeronautical applications
- Benefits of Technology (Cost, Safety, Performance, etc)
  - An anticipated 20% reduction it time to design with improvements in performance and risk reduction.
- Risks/Technical Challenges with Mitigation Plans:
  - All GRC software implementation will be V&V'd against data or other V&V'd software.
  - GRC Software development will proceed as occurred with similar development efforts in Aeronautical simulations.
  - Similar Aeronautical Systems are at TRL 8
  - Where appropriate, parallel efforts will be encouraged/ tracked in high risk areas until success is assured.



•Participants/University

NASA Centers: GRC, MSFC, LaRC, ARC (Subtask in outyears)

Industry: TBD Partners

Universities: OAI, TBD

HBCU/HMCU/SDB's: TBD

•Public Briefings Scheduled for FY01

Annual NPSS Industry Review in October, 2001

Numerical Propulsion System Simulation

Information Rich Test Instrumentation

Carolyn Mercer, GRC 216-433-3411
Gary Hunter, GRC, 216-433-6459

New project to
Develop ground test
instrumentation for 3rd
Generation Engine tests



Space Transportation Technology Workshop

Information Rich Test Instrumentation

Participants in Planning Process

Technical Working Group:

Carolyn Mercer, Instrumentation, GRC
Gary Hunter, Sensors, GRC
Kevin Breisacher, Combustion, GRC
Gerry Nissen, Sensors, Boeing
Joel McManus, Sensors, Boeing
Dick Greenhalgh, Rockets, P&W
W.T. Powers, Instrumentation, MSFC
Bob Truesdale, Solid Rockets, AEDC

Gregory A. Hall, Space Transportation, KSC
Don Gardner, Instrumentation, AEDC
William Mouyos, Sensors, Lockheed Sanders
Bill St. Cyr, SSC

Proposals by:

Mike Marcolini, Instrumentation, LaRC
Glenn Diskin, Hypersonics, LaRC
Bob Rogowski, NDE, LaRC
Jih-Fen Lei, Instrumentation, GRC

W.T. Powers, Avionics, MSFC
Ravi Mehta, Instrumentation, ARC

GOALS

- Increase safety by understanding operating conditions and component capabilities
- Reduce development and operating costs by:
  - Reducing testing and design cycle times and
  - Reducing engine weight and increasing component life

OBJECTIVES

- Determine cooling system effectiveness
- Determine structural loads

TECHNICAL CHALLENGES

- 2000 deg F surfaces; 8000 deg F flows; up to Mach 11
- Remote signal extraction
- Ultra-low intrusive measurements

Information Rich Test Instrumentation

Technologies targeted
and selected to address objectives

Objectives \ Technologies	Thin Films/MEMS	Phosphor Paints	Spectroscopy	Velocimetry
Cooling System				
Surface Temp	○	○		
Surface Heat Flux	○			
Gas Temp			○	
Combustion	○		○	
Weight				
Surface strain	○			
CFD validation				
Velocity				○
Temperature	○	○	○	

Information Rich Test Instrumentation

Tasks awarded for FY01:

–Micro-fabricated multifunctional smart sensor system for harsh environments *(surface heat flux, temperature, strain, vibration) GRC*

–High temperature surface measurements using thermographic phosphors

(surface temperature, heat flux) GRC + Oak Ridge National Labs

–Flow temperature profiling using smart particles imaging technology

(gas temperature) LaRC + GRC

–Embedded, integrated, high frequency response, multi-plane velocimetric system for aeropropulsion systems *(gas velocity) LaRC + GRC*

Milestones/Activities

- Surface point techniques:
  - **FY01 Feasibility test of inorganic binders at 1000C**
  - **FY02 Multi-functional thin film sensor array demo**

- Planar optical techniques:
  - **FY03 Temperature sensing "smart" particle demo at 1000C**
  - **FY04 Multiplexed fiber optic velocimetry demo**

- Prioritized list of Activities
  - **Develop and demonstrate surface heat flux measurements**
  - **Develop and demonstrate surface temperature measurements**
  - **Develop and demonstrate gas velocity measurements**

CONF PAPER 11N/16

S1

115P



Shuttle Upgrade Plan

September 11, 2000

Why Space Shuttle Upgrades?



Future Shuttle Manifest

Space Shuttle Upgrades are the foundation for ISS and future HEDS Initiatives

International Space Station

Assembly	Logistics and Utilization

STS-88
Dec '98



STS-1 1981	STS-3 1982	STS-49 1992	**STS-106 2000**	~STS-141 2004	~STS-222+ 2012	~STS-400* >2030
	4-5 flts/yr	6-7 flts/yr	8 flts/yr	8 flts/yr	8 flts/yr	

Today

* Orbiter design life 100 missions

Orbiter Mission History

Total flights to date: 99
Orbiter lifetime Remaining: 311



Design Life:
100 Missions per Orbiter

SSP GOALS

Space Shuttle Program

Get with the Program !

SSP OBJECTIVES

Selection Criteria Ensures System Process

Safety

Supportability
- Mission Success
- Meet the Manifest

Cost

Candidate Upgrades

Objectives

- Improve/maintain crew flight safety
- Improve crew situational awareness
- Protect people
- Increased reliability

- Obsolescence
- Vendor support
- Increased reliability

- Reduce operations costs
- Process efficiencies

Integrated Systems Analysis

IPT Analysis
- System eval
- Metrics
- Models
- Trade studies
- Prioritization
- Technology maturity

Risk Assessments
Reliability Assessments
Obsolescence Assessments
Process and Cost Assessments

Solutions

Integrated and prioritized implementation plan

An Integrated Team Process

Integrated approach to produce a unified NASA/Industry STS upgrades plan which supports NASA's goals:
Improve Shuttle Safety - Support ISS - Support Future HEDS Initiatives

NASA	Industry
■ Headquarters	■ USA
■ Space Shuttle Program	■ Boeing
■ KSC	■ Lockheed
■ JSC	■ Thiokol
■ MSFC	

Flight Elements

Ground Elements

1. **Identify Upgrades**
2. **Screen Upgrades**
3. **Assessment & Prioritization**

Criteria
- Safety/Reliability
- Supportability/ Obsolescence
- Ops Improvements

Systems Analyses
- Benefit Analyses (ROI)
- Technical Feasibility Assessments
- Cost and Schedule Estimates
- Risk Assessments

Program Goals – Safety – Supportability
Mission Success – Improve System

NASA/ Contractor Upgrades Plan

Shuttle Upgrade Plan Background

Pro-active upgrade program to keep Shuttle flying ***safely*** and efficiently until the next generation vehicle to meet agency commitments and goals for human access to space

- ISS assembly and support
- Mission support for complex scientific payloads: e.g., HST, SRTM, etc...
- Testbed for new technology
- Human exploration and development of space

Safety Upgrades

Supportability Upgrades

Shuttle Upgrade Plan Background

Pro-active upgrade program to keep Shuttle flying ***safely*** and efficiently to 2012 and beyond to meet agency commitments and goals for human access to space

Safety Upgrades

Risk Reduction Goals

- Major reduction in ascent catastrophic risk
- Significant reduction in orbital and entry system catastrophic failure risk
- Improve crew cockpit situational awareness for managing critical operational situations

Supportability Upgrades

Flight Hardware Availability Assurance

- Obsolescence
  - Parts availability
  - Vendor support
- Failure rates
- Repair times
- Inventory attrition

Operational Improvements

- Maintainability
- Reliability
- Mission success
- Operational simplicity\
- Turn-around time improvements
- Cost reduction

Space Shuttle *Safety* Upgrades

The Goals & The Challenges

- **Goals**
  - Major reduction in ascent catastrophic risk
  - Significant reduction in orbital and entry system catastrophic failure risk
  - Improve crew cockpit situational awareness for managing critical operational situations
- **Challenges**
  - All upgrades operational in the fleet by end of 2005
  - No impact to on-going Manifest support
  - Control costs to estimates provided in President's proposed budget

Safety Benefit of Planned Shuttle Upgrades

Increased Safety Through Selected Upgrades



Current Safety Upgrade Plan

- Orbiter Electric APU
- Orbiter Avionics/Cockpit Safety Upgrade
- Orbiter Main Landing Gear Tire
- SSME Advanced Health Mgmt
- SSME Block III
- SRB TVC Upgrade
- SRB Attach / Hold-Down Upgrade
- RSRM Propellant Geometry
- ET Friction Stir Weld

SSME Upgrades Reduce Ascent Risk



Space Shuttle Safety and Supportability
Safety Upgrade Candidates

Safety Upgrades | Supportability Upgrades | Approved Upgrades | Advanced Technology

Orbiter Avionics

■ Cockpit
- Enhanced Caution and Warning
- Crew Situational Awareness Displays
- AFD Switch Panel Upgrade
- Device Driver Unit Replacement (DDU)

■ Data Processing
- Mission Management Computer
- Modular Auxiliary Data System
- Modular Memory Unit (MMU)

■ Communications
- Ku-Band DEA
- PCMMU
- S-Band FM
- Network Signal Processor

■ Navigation
- Trajectory Control Sensor (TCS)
- IMU Replacement (SIGI)

■ Power Sub-Assemblies
- Hybrid Drivers

■ Thermal Protection System
- More Durable Lower Surface Tile (Study)

■ Structures & Mechanisms
- Crew Escape System (Study)
- Main Landing Gear Tire Improvements
- Micrometeoroid/Orbital Debris Mitigation (MMOD)

■ Environmental Control & Life Support Systems
- Hydrogen Water Separator

SRB / RSRM / ET / SSME

- SRB Advanced TVC
- Integrated Electronics Assembly
- ABACS
- ET Robust TPS
- ET Digital Radiography
- New O-Ring Material
- Case Stiffener Segment/T-Ring
- RSRM Propellant Geometry
- SRB Attach/Hold Down Hardware
- ET Friction Stir Initial Welds
- Advanced Health Monitoring
- SSME Block III
  - XLTMCC and Robust Nozzle
- SRB TVC Upgrades / FIV
- SRB Altitude Sensor Assembly
- Nozzle/Case Joint J-Leg Insulation
- Integrated Receiver-Decoder (IRD)
- Range Safety Distributor (RSD)

Power & Propulsion

- Electric APU
- Enhanced Pyro Initiator Controller
- Improved Pilot Operated Valve
- Quad Check Valve Redesign
- Long Life Alkaline Fuel Cell

Operations

■ Ground Operations
- Maintainability for Safety (Study)
- SCAPE Suit Improvements (Study)
- CLCS

■ Flight Operations
- PIDAE
- Shuttle Upgrades Design Visualization
- Robotic Situational Awareness Display
- Human Factors/Cockpit Engineering Study
- Expansion of MCC Landing Sites
- AWPS
- EECOM STS Pressure Management Tool
- Alternatives to Hardware Based Robotics Training
- DM Trajectory Operations Interface Redesign

■ Flight Tests
- Wireless Sensor
- Laser Dynamic Range Imager HTD

Advanced Technology

- Crew Escape
- Reusable First Stage
- Non-Tox OMS/RCS Propellants
- PEM Fuel Cell
- Electromechanical Actuators
- Water Membrane Evaporator
- Integrated Vehicle Health Mgmt
- Propellant Densifications
- Fiber Optics

Space Shuttle Safety and Supportability
Safety Upgrade Candidates

Safety Upgrades | Supportability Upgrades | Approved Upgrades | Advanced Technology

Orbiter Avionics

■ Cockpit
- Enhanced Caution and Warning
- Crew Situational Awareness Displays
- AFD Switch Panel Upgrade
- Device Driver Unit Replacement (DDU)

■ Data Processing
- Mission Management Computer
- Modular Auxiliary Data System
- Modular Memory Unit (MMU)

■ Communications
- Ku-Band DEA
- PCMMU
- S-Band FM
- Network Signal Processor

■ Navigation
- Trajectory Control Sensor (TCS)
- IMU Replacement (SIGI)

■ Power Sub-Assemblies
- Hybrid Drivers

■ Thermal Protection System
- More Durable Lower Surface Tile (Study)

■ Structures & Mechanisms
- Crew Escape System (Study)
- Main Landing Gear Tire Improvements
- Micrometeoroid/Orbital Debris Mitigation (MMOD)

■ Environmental Control & Life Support Systems
- Hydrogen Water Separator

SRB / RSRM / ET / SSME

- SRB Advanced TVC
- Integrated Electronics Assembly
- ABACS
- ET Robust TPS
- ET Digital Radiography
- New O-Ring Material
- Case Stiffener Segment/T-Ring
- RSRM Propellant Geometry
- SRB Attach/Hold Down Hardware
- ET Friction Stir Initial Welds
- Advanced Health Monitoring
- SSME Block III
  - XLTMCC and Robust Nozzle
- SRB TVC Upgrades / FIV
- SRB Altitude Sensor Assembly
- Nozzle/Case Joint J-Leg Insulation
- Integrated Receiver-Decoder (IRD)
- Range Safety Distributor (RSD)

Power & Propulsion

- Electric APU
- Enhanced Pyro Initiator Controller
- Improved Pilot Operated Valve
- Quad Check Valve Redesign
- Long Life Alkaline Fuel Cell

Operations

■ Ground Operations
- Maintainability for Safety (Study)
- SCAPE Suit Improvements (Study)
- CLCS

■ Flight Operations
- PIDAE
- Shuttle Upgrades Design Visualization
- Robotic Situational Awareness Display
- Human Factors/Cockpit Engineering Study
- Expansion of MCC Landing Sites
- AWPS
- EECOM STS Pressure Management Tool
- Alternatives to Hardware Based Robotics Training
- DM Trajectory Operations Interface Redesign

■ Flight Tests
- Wireless Sensor
- Laser Dynamic Range Imager HTD

Advanced Technology

- Crew Escape
- Reusable First Stage
- Non-Tox OMS/RCS Propellants
- PEM Fuel Cell
- Electromechanical Actuators
- Water Membrane Evaporator
- Integrated Vehicle Health Mgmt
- Propellant Densifications
- Fiber Optics

Block IIA Configuration - Ascent



* Based on 1998 QRAS

Shuttle Safety Upgrade Schedule Strategy



Schedule Goal: All safety upgrades developed, certified and operational on all flights after 2005.

Corollary: Hardware production and vehicle installation may continue beyond 2005 as long as all flights utilize upgraded fleet elements.

Shuttle Safety Upgrade Schedules

REVISION (8/1/00)

Project Formulation and Startup



Other Studies: Maintainability for Safety, TPS, SCAPE Suit

ROM Safety Upgrade Schedules

Non-Baseline Working Schedules (Calendar Year Quarters)



Other Studies: Maintainability for Safety, TPS, SCAPE Suit

Note: SSP Program goal is to achieve 1st Flight for all safety upgrades by 2004 or early 2005, thus supporting full fleet operations of all safety upgrades by the end of 2005.

High Priority Safety Upgrades

- **Electric Auxiliary Power Unit (APU)**
  - Battery-powered electric motors replace hydrazine powered turbines to drive Orbiter hydraulic pumps
  - Eliminates hydrazine leakage/fire hazards, eliminates turbine overspeed hazards, and reduces toxic materials processing hazards
  - Simplifies APU startup/shutdown procedures and constraints
- **Avionics/Cockpit**
  - New architecture provides a symmetric cockpit, improved graphics, more data connectivity, and a new computational platform (Command Display Processor)
  - Reduces crew workload, provides enhanced caution and warning, abort/trajectory assessment, RMS workstation, flight instruments, rendezvous/proximity/docking operations thereby increasing safety
- **New Main Landing Gear Tire**
  - Redesign tire and rim to increase the low operating margins
  - Additional margin in landing speed, low temperature, and load limit

High Priority Safety Upgrades (cont'd)

- **SSME Advanced Health Monitoring Phase I**
  - Upgrade SSME Controller with real-time turbopump synchronous vibration redline capability and a new high-speed interface, fly a Health Management Computer (HMC) prototype, fly an Optical Plume Anomaly Detection (OPAD) experiment, investigate Linear Engine Model (LEM) as an MOD tool, Phase II requirements definition
  - Reduces probability of catastrophic engine failure by approx 23%, lays groundwork for Phase II
- **SSME Advanced Health Monitoring Phase II**
  - Flight HMC with advanced Real-Time Vibration Monitoring System (RTVMS), OPAD, and LEM
  - Reduces probability of catastrophic engine failure by an additional ~ 24%, increases SSME anomaly detection capabilities enhancing mission success and ground operations
- **Block III SSME**
  - New SSME Engine with an extra large throat and longer Main Combustion Chamber (MCC) and a shortened, robust, channel-wall constructed nozzle
  - Improves 3-engine catastrophic failure scenario MTBF, improves 3-engine and nozzle failure MTBF, eliminates failure modes, reduces production time and cost, and reduces nozzle maintenance

High Priority Safety Upgrades (cont'd)

- **SRB TVC/APU**
  - Develop a new SRB APU for the Thrust Vector Control system which does not use hydrazine. Downselect from three options.
  - Eliminates hydrazine leakage/fire hazards, eliminates turbine overspeed hazards, and reduces toxic materials processing hazards
- **SRB Attach/Hold Down Hardware**
  - Design more robust SRB attach/hold down hardware for use between the SRB and ET
  - Reliability improvement to 1/995 (QRAS) ascent loss of vehicle
- **RSRM Propellant Grain Geometry**
  - Modify propellant grain geometry in five locations
  - Improves structural margins of safety in the motor, reduces time spent in the hazardous motor environment, eliminates propellant trimming in areas of improvement
- **ET Friction Stir Weld**
  - Develop solid state initial weld technology to be used during ET production
  - Friction stir welding can increase weld joint strength, achieve higher weld margins, and improve fracture toughness as well as improve supportability, cost and process control

Orbiter Avionics/Cockpit Upgrades

Description

Orbiter Avionics/Cockpit safety upgrade provides

- "Smart Cockpit" … problem root cause
- A symmetric cockpit
  - Any display on any screen
  - Any keyboard commands any display
- Integrated data sources and graphics
- A new computational platform

Benefits

Flight Safety

- Enhanced caution and warning
- Improved crew situational awareness
- Improved crew workload margin during critical operations



"Smart Cockpit" builds on "Glass Cockpit"

Orbiter Avionics/Cockpit Upgrades

Description

- Upgrade Orbiter Avionics/Cockpit architecture to create
  - A symmetric cockpit – any display on any MDU, any keyboard to any display
  - Connectivity to vehicle and payload data (PASS, BFS, OI, PL)
  - Integrated data sources and graphics on MDUs
  - A new computational platform (Command Display Processor) for keyboard commands, displays, enhanced caution and warning and abort/trajectory assessment
  - A low-impact implementation to allow labs to support a mixed fleet



Benefits

Flight Safety

- Architecture meets highest priority Cockpit Council safety objectives and provides a growth path to further cockpit workload reductions
  - Improve crew abort/trajectory situational awareness
  - Reduce crew workload
  - Provide enhanced caution and warning to find problem root cause
  - Improve capacity margin for General Purpose Computers

Mission Success

- Upgrade RMS workstation and flight instruments
  - Improved RMS/docking situational awareness
- Simplify rendezvous/proximity/docking operations
- Increased system reliability

Schedule



Improved Main Landing Gear Tire

Description

- Redesign Orbiter Main Landing Gear Tire to increase load capacity for higher speed landing capability
  - Increase safety margin
  - Increase pressure rating design
- New wheel design required after tire development is finalized



Benefits

Flight Safety

- Increase load capacity safety margin on tire
- Increase landing speed safety margin

Supportability

- Replace rubber compounds to mitigate future obsolescence

Schedule



SRB Thrust Vector Control/APU Upgrades Plan

Description

SRB APU Upgrade Trade Studies

- Electric APU
- Solid Propellant Gas Generator (SSPG
- Blowdown / Reccumulation System



Benefits

Safety

- Flight Safety - Reduces ISRB LOV (1/X) ascent risk to 1442
- Ground Safety - Reduces toxic materials processing and handling

Schedule



*Tentative Schedule

RSRM - Propellant Grain Geometry Modification

Description

- Modify propellant grain geometry to improve structural margins of safety (1.4 to 2).
- Modifies propellant, liner, and insulation



Benefits

Flight Safety

- Increase safety factor in the RSRM.
- Change hazard mitigation from inspection to design.
- **Ground Safety**
- Reduce the time operators and inspectors at Utah and KSC spend in a hazardous environment inside the motor.
  - Eliminate the need for propellant trimming
  - Eliminate inspections

Improve the System

- Eliminate the re-inspection criteria at KSC after six months storage and the associated additional segment handling.
- Robust design reduces obsolete material threats

Schedule



SRB Attach/Hold-down Hardware Upgrades Plan

Description

- Elements to be evaluated
  - Blast container
  - Bolt catcher
  - ET/SRB attach bolts (forward and aft)
- Performance testing to anchor analytical models
- Research of available material properties
- Process reengineering to reduce potential for human error
- Results in improved component robustness



Benefits

Safety

- Increased Factor of Safety (FOS) from 1.35 to minimum requirement per 07700 Vol X design factor of safety requirement
  - Current FOS is an exception to the requirement
- Improved component robustness
- Reduced potential for human error

Supportability

- Increased maintainability through reduction of human error

Schedule



**Tentative Schedule*

External Tank (ET) Upgrades - Friction Stir Weld (Barrels, Longitudinal)

Description

- Implement friction stir weld technology for External Tank longitudinal LOX and LH2 barrels
- Characterize weld process requirements and allowables
- Focus on Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE) qualification requirements
- Develop weld process bandwidth parameters toward the goal of a 6 sigma control process



Benefits

Flight Safety

- Increases barrel reliability by improving
  - Weld joint strength
  - Weld margins
  - Fracture toughness
  - Process control

Improve the System

- Decreased ET production time
- Decreased ET production cost
- Operational demonstration of important industry technology

Schedule



SSME Advanced Health Management System

Phase I

SSME Block II Controller Upgrade

- Vibration redline
- DCU memory
- Communication bus

Phase IIA

Protoflight Health Management Computer

- Design
- Development
- Vehicle integration
- Flight demo/monitor
- RTVMS, OPAD, LED flight experiments



Catastrophic Redlines

Reside in Engine Controller

23% Reduction in Failure Probability

Phase IIB



Production Health Management Computer

- Expanded vibration monitoring
- Exhaust plume monitoring
- Engine model
- Expert systems
- Vehicle & System integration

Engine Health & Advisories Recommend Action

Resides on Orbiter

Similar Risk Reductions Calculated for Phase II

Goal: Reduce risk of SSME catastrophic failure by approximately 40%

SSME Advanced Health Management Phase I

Description

- SSME Advanced Health Monitoring Phase I – Synchronous Vibration Redline
  - Modify SSME Controller
    - Reliable real-time turbopump synchronous vibration redline capability
    - High speed serial interface for external communication
  - Develop and fly prototype Health Management Computer (HMC)
  - Fly OPAD Flight Experiment
  - Develop Linear Engine Model (LEM) as a MOD tool
  - Phase II Requirements Definition

Phase I

SSME Block II Controller Upgrade



Prototype Health Management Computer

Catastrophic Redlines

Reside in Engine Controller

Benefits

Flight Safety

- Reduces SSME catastrophic failure probability approximately 23%
- Reduces Shuttle catastrophic failure probability approximately 8%

Mission Success

- Improved SSME Controller external communication
- Develops tool to be used by crew and MOD

Technology

- Develops technology needed for Phase II
- Integrated with and contributes to program and agency IVHM goals

Schedule



SSME Advanced Health Management Phase II

Description

- SSME Advanced Health Monitoring Phase II – Advanced Health Management System (AHMS)
  - Health Management Computer (HMC) hosts
    - Advanced Real Time Vibration Monitoring System (RVTMS)
    - Optical Plume Anomaly Detection (OPAD)
    - Linear Engine Model (LEM)
- Phase II AHMS assesses results of RVTMS, OPAD and LEM and makes an overall SSME health recommendation

Phase II



Production Health Management Computer

- **Expanded vibration monitoring**
- **Exhaust plume monitoring**
- **Engine model**
- **Expert systems**
- **Vehicle & System integration**



Engine Health & Advisories Recommend Action

Resides on Orbiter

Benefits

Flight Safety

- Reduces SSME catastrophic failure probability approximately 24%
- Phase I and Phase II combined reduces SSME catastrophic failure probability approximately 41%

Mission Success

- Detects and isolates failures with high confidence and provides mitigation options which were previously unavailable
  - Mitigation of off mixture ratio operation
  - Enhances SSME operability due to additional data and automated engine health assessments

Technology

- Integrated with and contributes to program and agency IVHM goals

Schedule



Block III SSME

Description

- Extra-Large Throat Main Combustion Chamber (XLTMCC)
  - Reduced operating environments (pressure, temp)
  - Reduced hazards in Orbiter aft compartment
  - Reduced number of critical welds and parts
- Channel-Wall Nozzle
  - Reduces hazards of current nozzle

High Performance SSME



Larger LTMCC



Advanced Nozzle

Benefits

Flight Safety

- Increases SSME catastrophic failure MTBF by approximately 30-35%.
- Potential increased abort thrust capability
- Increased engine margin
- MCC/Nozzle interface is below the heat shield

Improve the System

- Decreased production time and production costs
- Increased turbine life
- Reduced inspections

Schedule



SSME Blk III X-Large Throat MCC

Description

- X-large throat MCC reduces operating environment for turbopumps and other components for increased engine reliability
- XLTMCC is ~18 inches longer to make optimum MCC/Nozzle configuration
- Has optimum RAO contour for Isp performance
- Fabricated by HIP braze process to eliminate failure modes and achieve 2X reliability improvement

- JBK-75 cast manifolds & jacket
- HIP braze close-out of channels
- Only 4 FMEA/CIL Crit 1 welds - was 23
- Only 10 parts + pins - was 20
- MCC/Nozzle interface below heat shield



Benefits

Safety & Reliability

- Improves 3-engine catastrophic MTBF from 1 in 1885 to 1/2586 with reducing the operating environment
- Doubles MCC MTBF from 1 in 8,950 to 1 in 17,901

Improve the System

- Unit production cost ~$1M lower
- Production cycle time reduced ~6-12 months

Schedule



SSME Block III Channel-Wall Nozzle

Description

- Channel-wall constructed nozzle shortened by XLTMCC length increase with optimum contour
- Simplified construction - 5 major subassemblies (integral inlet / outlet casting, liner, jacket, forward end plumbing & drain lines, & TPS)
- Simple aft end flow turn-around with 1 weld -- no steerhorn feedlines
- No chamber coolant control valve/actuator
- Robust fabrication with reduced part count & welds

- Integral inlet & outlet JBK-75 cast manifolds
- JBK-75 liner & jacket
- HIP braze close-out of channels
- Eliminated ~300 welds & 5800 inches of welds
- Eliminated ~250 parts
- MCC/Nozzle interface below heat shield





Benefits

Safety & Reliability

- Improves 3-engine MTBF from 1 in 2363 to 1 in 2593 and doubles nozzle MTBF from 1 in 13,860 to 1 in 27,720
- Benign joint failures with G15 below heat shield

Mission Success

- Recover XLTMCC Isp loss with optimum contour and smooth wall (~1s)

Improve the System

- Reduce nozzle maintenance -- no leakage/repairs
- Reduces fabrication cycle time by 18 months
- Unit production cost ~$2M lower

Schedule



Electric Auxiliary Power Unit (EAPU)

Description

- Upgrade three existing hydrazine system/high speed turbines with three electric motor driven, hydraulic pump systems powered by batteries
- EAPU upgrade consists of three main components
  - Electro-Hydraulic Drive Unit (EHDU) and Cooling
  - Battery system
  - Electric Power Distribution & Control (EPD&C)



Replace Hydrazine Powered APU's with Electric APU's

Benefits

Flight Safety

- Eliminates hydrazine turbine hazards
  - Over speed
  - Hydrazine leakage
  - Re-ingestion of vented hydrazine

Ground Safety

- Reduces toxic materials processing and handling

Schedule



Electric Auxiliary Power Unit (EAPU)

Replace existing hydrazine and high speed turbine systems with electric motor driven, hydraulic pump systems powered by batteries



- Takes advantage of battery advancements to minimize weight impact.
- EHDU output power will be 30 percent higher than existing system.
- Enhanced system health monitoring

3 APUs in Orbiter Aft Fuselage Supply Power to Hydraulic System



High Priority Safety Studies

- **Crew Escape Engineering Design Trade (Study)**
  - In-depth engineering study of contingency crew survival options
    - Extraction, ejection, crew module separation
  - Determine feasibility, survival utility, cost, and technical impacts
  - Increases probability of a successful crew bailout
- **TPS Lower Surface Tile (Study)**
  - Develop a more durable lower surface tile for bottom of Orbiter
  - Reduces risk of tile burn-through, reduces post-landing repair, and may provide additional MMOD protection
- **SCAPE Suit Improvement (Study)**
  - Objective is to develop a safer and more efficient SCAPE suit
    - Current suit is heavy, allows undesirable levels of CO_2, and is not efficiently cooled
  - Technical approach is to design and develop a prototype suit with decreased weight, better CO_2 scrubbing, and better cooling

High Priority Safety Studies

- **Shuttle Abort Improvements (Study)**
  - Investigate Orbiter hardware/software/procedural improvements to eliminate/decrease two engine out blackzones and other abort scenarios
  - Reduce areas of no coverage, eliminate abort scenarios, increase probability of a successful abort
  - Investigate propulsion element improvements to eliminate/decrease two engine out blackzones and other abort scenarios
  - Reduce areas of no return, eliminate abort scenarios, increase probability of a successful abort
- **Maintainability for Safety (Study)**
  - Objective is to identify maintainability improvements which can improve safety
  - Reduce potential of collateral damage during ground processing, improve personnel safety

Crew Escape System (Study)

Description

- Enhance crew escape capability in the event that the Orbiter can not return to one of the designated landing sites.
  - Expand crew escape envelope from 20,000 ft to 150,000 ft
  - Add capability to save multiple incapacitated crewmembers
  - Expand ability to use crew escape system based on flight conditions (vehicle speed, trajectory, attitude)
- Crew Escape candidates for study are:
  - Forward fuselage/crew module separation
  - Ejection seats
  - In-place extraction system



Benefits

Flight Safety

- Increase probability of crew survival during loss of vehicle scenarios
  - Probabilities for the three candidates will be estimated in the study
- Increase probability of crew survival in a rapid egress scenario on the launch pad
  - Capabilities for the three candidates will be evaluated in the study

Schedule

Crew Escape study includes Phase I and Phase II



Orbiter TPS Lower Surface Tile (Study)

Description

Develop a more durable TPS tile for the orbiter vehicle's bottom fuselage region that will significantly reduce flight damage without compromising the thermal performance of the current system.

- Reduce the thermal conductivity of the current RCG/TUFI/AETB-8 tile to that of the RCG/LI-900 tile.
- Modify the TUFI process to be compatible with the LI-900 tile material
- Produce a tile system of comparable weight, catalytic performance, and thermal conductivity as the current LI-900 baseline system with enhanced durability.
- Produce a tile coating applicable to the LI-900 significantly more durable than the RCG/TUFI combination, with comparable weight and catalytic performance
- Products deliverable for FY00:
- Midterm (June 2000) and Final reports (Oct 2000) for each effort
- For each of the above efforts, no less than
  - 8 square tiles (6" square, 2" thick)
  - 4 arc jet pucks (3.875" diameter, 2" thick)

Projected Benefits

Safety & Reliability

- LOV (1/X) due to On-Orbit M/OD: Current 2197; Projected ~2800
- Reduces hazardous ground operations by elimination of re-waterproofing
- Reduced risk and increased safety for components, system and personnel; 50% Reduction in tile contribution to Orbiter risk from M/OD

Mission Success

- Increased reliability of components and systems; Significant reduction in component damage

Supportability

- Maintenance free lower surface significantly decreases amount of unplanned work in OPF. Weight reduction of 200-600 pounds. Supports 30-day OPF flow

Improve the System

- Supports 30-day OPF flow

Schedule

TPS Study	FY00 1 2 3 4	FY01 1 2 3 4	FY02 1 2 3 4	FY03 1 2 3 4	FY04 1 2 3 4	FY05 1 2 3 4	FY06 1 2 3 4
Concept Study							
DDT&E							
Production							
Delivery & Installation							

Advanced Protective Suit Program (Study)

Description

- Redesign existing propellant handler's Self-Contained Atmospheric Protective Ensemble "SCAPE" suit which is used for protection during propellant loading operations
  - Phase 1 included development of a new 1 hour cooling dewar and then a 2 hour dewar
- Currently investigating teaming with part of the U.S. Army Soldier and Biological Chemical Command, "Natick Labs", for development of suit specifications and for suit production.



Benefits

Ground Safety

- Design goals for new suit include
  - Reduce carbon dioxide levels
  - Improve thermal characteristics
  - Improve visibility
  - Improve dexterity
  - Eliminate need to be upright to maintain adequate air flow
  - Improve communications/reduce noise
  - Improve fit
  - Decrease cost
  - Decrease weight

Schedule

Advanced Protective Suit study includes Phase I and Phase II



Abort Improvements (Study)

Description

- Current abort capability does not include full crew and vehicle survivability coverage for some two and three engine out scenarios (black zones).
- A multidisciplinary team was formed to brainstorm possible improvements. The most promising improvements will be implemented or studied further. Study addresses
  - Vehicle capability characterization (i.e. improve vehicle models, databases, constraints or limitations that drive blackzones)
  - Improvements to software, procedures, or hardware



Benefits

Flight Safety

- Improve probability of a runway landing or bailout in certain loss of crew and vehicle abort scenarios
- Black zone criteria (targets for improvement)
  - ET attach structural failure for 3 SSME's out in 1st Stage (< 100 sec)
  - Loss of Control (LOC) during the pullout maneuver (qbar > 800 psf)
  - Structural failure during the pullout maneuver (Nz>3.5 g's)
  - Recontact/LOC after ET Separation near Vrel=0 on RTLS
  - Recontact/LOC for 3 SSME's out during last minute of PRTLS
  - Thermal limit and/or 3.5 g exceedance for MECO near VI=19K fps

Schedule



Space Shuttle Safety Upgrade Implementation Strategy

- Space Shuttle Program is committed to implementing the major safety upgrades by 2005.
- A SSP upgrades program plan to facilitate and manage the implementation of the upgrades.

Shuttle Upgrade Plan Background

Pro-active upgrade program to keep Shuttle flying ***safely*** and efficiently to 2012 and beyond to meet agency commitments and goals for human access to space

Safety Upgrades

Risk Reduction Goals

- Major reduction in ascent catastrophic risk
- Significant reduction in orbital and entry system catastrophic failure risk
- Improve crew cockpit situational awareness for managing critical operational situations

Supportability Upgrades

Flight Hardware Availability Assurance

- Obsolescence
  - Parts availability
  - Vendor support
- Failure rates
- Repair times
- Inventory attrition

Operational Improvements

- Maintainability
- Reliability
- Mission success
- Operational simplicity\
- Turn-around time improvements
- Cost reduction

Shuttle Supportability Upgrade Priorities

- **Key flight hardware *obsolescence* assessments**
  - Orbiter communication system
  - SRB integrated electronics assembly

- **Infrastructure refurbishment and repair**
  - Modernizing the Launch Processing System at KSC
  - Currently carrying out detailed assessment of all ground support facilities

Preliminary Supportability Study Conclusions

Key near term decisions

- Strategy for funding-limited supportability upgrade plan for 2000 & 2001 assuming no additional funds before 2002
  - Key question to be resolved is relative phasing of Orbiter major communication system upgrade and SRB major avionics upgrade
- Initiate design and acquisition effort, including vendor contracts, to implement necessary upgrades for near term supportability threats

Continue to refine supportability analyses and plans

- Independent assessment of supportability analyses and plans
- Develop more in-depth analysis and rationale
  - Reliability statistical variation analyses
  - LRU unique projections (rather than by similarity)
- Revise technical plans, proposals, costs and schedules
- Develop POP2000 budget submit for additional funding needed starting in FY02 and subsequent years

Preliminary Supportability Study Conclusions

- Only minor hardware supportability issues in fluid and mechanical subsystems
- Orbiter and SRB obsolete avionics are primary threat to assured manifest support through 2012
- Necessary additional funds to accomplish prudent supportability upgrades are under review and will be handled as part of the POP2000 budget planning for FY02 and subsequent years

Process to Identify Supportability Upgrades

Identify Issues
- Parts availability
- Failure rate
- Repair time
- Attrition
- Support equipment
- Operational complexity
- Infrastructure

Validate Issues and Assess Consequence
- Metric/Trend data
- Best/Worst case scenarios

Identify Options
- Alternate supplier
- Substitute part
- Repair facility improvement
- LRU upgrade

Evaluate Options and Choose Preferred Solution
- Feasibility of option
- Risk
- Schedule
- Life cycle cost
- Ancillary benefits
  - Performance
  - Operations simplicity
  - Inherent reliability
  - Etc.

Prioritize and Provide Rationale for Recommended Supportability Upgrades

Example LRU Best, Nominal and Worst Cases



Nominal

- Estimate near term demand (failures, attrition) for 8 flights per year from historical data
- Establish increasing failure rate trend from historical data or similar hardware to account for "bathtub" failure rate
- Estimate near term Repair Turn Around Time (RTAT) from NSLD assessments of historical data and mitigating circumstances
- Estimate increasing trend to account for impact of obsolete parts on RTAT
- Use historical attrition rate

Best

- 1/2 increase in nominal failure rate
- No change in RTAT
- Historical attrition rate

Worst

- 2x increase in nominal failure rate
- 2x increase in nominal RTAT
- Historical attrition rate

Obsolescence Mitigation Need Dates



Space Shuttle Safety and Supportability
Safety Upgrade Candidates

Safety Upgrades | Supportability Upgrades | Approved Upgrades | Advanced Technology

Orbiter Avionics

■ Cockpit
- Enhanced Caution and Warning
- Crew Situational Awareness Displays
- AFD Switch Panel Upgrade
- Device Driver Unit Replacement (DDU)

■ Data Processing
- Mission Management Computer
- Modular Auxiliary Data System
- Modular Memory Unit (MMU)

■ Communications
- Ku-Band DEA
- PCMMU
- S-Band FM
- Network Signal Processor

■ Navigation
- Trajectory Control Sensor (TCS)
- IMU Replacement (SIGI)

■ Power Sub-Assemblies
- Hybrid Drivers

■ Thermal Protection System
- More Durable Lower Surface Tile (Study)

■ Structures & Mechanisms
- Crew Escape System (Study)
- Main Landing Gear Tire Improvements
- Micrometeoroid/Orbital Debris Mitigation (MMOD)

■ Environmental Control & Life Support Systems
- Hydrogen Water Separator

SRB / RSRM / ET / SSME

- SRB Advanced TVC
- Integrated Electronics Assembly
- ABACS
- ET Robust TPS
- ET Digital Radiography
- New O-Ring Material
- Case Stiffener Segment/T-Ring
- RSRM Propellant Geometry
- SRB Attach/Hold Down Hardware
- ET Friction Stir Initial Welds
- Advanced Health Monitoring
- SSME Block III
  - XLTMCC and Robust Nozzle
- SRB TVC Upgrades / FIV
- SRB Altitude Sensor Assembly
- Nozzle/Case Joint J-Leg Insulation
- Integrated Receiver-Decoder (IRD)
- Range Safety Distributor (RSD)

Power & Propulsion

- Electric APU
- Enhanced Pyro Initiator Controller
- Improved Pilot Operated Valve
- Quad Check Valve Redesign
- Long Life Alkaline Fuel Cell

Operations

■ Ground Operations
- Maintainability for Safety (Study)
- SCAPE Suit Improvements (Study)
- CLCS

■ Flight Operations
- PIDAE
- Shuttle Upgrades Design Visualization
- Robotic Situational Awareness Display
- Human Factors/Cockpit Engineering Study
- Expansion of MCC Landing Sites
- AWPS
- EECOM STS Pressure Management Tool
- Alternatives to Hardware Based Robotics Training
- DM Trajectory Operations Interface Redesign

■ Flight Tests
- Wireless Sensor
- Laser Dynamic Range Imager HTD

Advanced Technology

- Crew Escape
- Reusable First Stage
- Non-Tox OMS/RCS Propellants
- PEM Fuel Cell
- Electromechanical Actuators
- Water Membrane Evaporator
- Integrated Vehicle Health Mgmt
- Propellant Densifications
- Fiber Optics

Supportability Upgrades: Requirements and Definitions

Mandatory Supportability Upgrades

- **Mandatory supportability** means "3 shipset availability" for the orbiter fleet (8 shipsets for SRB) based on 8 flights per year through 2012 and equivalent criteria for other shuttle elements
- **At the hardware LRU level** the "3 shipset *un*availability" is determined by one of the following criteria:

  1) the subject LRU contains obsolete part(s) with projected spares inventory depletion before 2012, **and** the obsolete part(s) cannot be replaced with new part(s) without redesigning the assembly or component it is used in; i.e., LRU redesign is required to keep flying after the current parts inventory is depleted. That is, we can't get the part anymore nor can we buy a "plug and play" replacement part, so we have to redesign the LRU or at least one of its subassemblies to accommodate new parts.

  2) The projected failure rates and repair times for the subject LRU cannot be handled by the maintenance depot, even with **reasonable** depot enhancements (additional staffing and equipment); that is, the maintenance workload will overload the depot and eventually lead to hardware unavailability for flight. That assumes that we do a first order trade on enhancing our repair capability before we say we have to upgrade the flight hardware.

Supportability Improvements which provide significant benefits with respect to:

- Reliability
- Mission success
- Operational processing efficiency
- Life cycle cost

Mandatory Supportability Shuttle Upgrades

Upgrade Project	Supportability Driver
Pulse Code Modulation Master Unit	Project failure rate exceeds repair rate
Modular Advanced Data System	Failure rate is expected to exceed repair rate
Ku-Band Deployed Electronic Assembly	Projected failure rate exceeds repair rate
Trajectory Control Sensor (TCS)	Limited life item
Hybrid Drivers	Not enough hybrid drivers for approved upgrades
Enhanced PIC	Projected demand and attrition exceeds supply
Hydrogen water Separator	Increasing nickel deposits degrade performance
SRB Command Receiver Decoder	Projected failure/attrition rated impact supportability
SRB Integrated Electronics Assembly	Projected attrition rate and limited life impacts supportability
Auto Booster Assy Checkout System	Projected CPU failure/attrition rates exceed projected supply
Robust ET TPS Study	TPS foam blowing will become obsolete

Supportability Improvement Shuttle Upgrades

Upgrade Project	Supportability Driver	Payback Year 0% Discount	Payback Year 6.25% Discount
S-Band Network Signal Processor	Obsolete, wearout and degradation failures may exceed ability to repair, Opportunity for bandwidth improvement	N/A	N/A
S-Band FM Transmitter	Obsolete, wearout and degradation failures may exceed ability to repair	N/A	N/A
Improved Pilot Operated Valve	Projected reliability improvements provide return on investment	2009	2011
Quad Check Valve Redesign	Projected reliability improvements provide return on investment	2010	2012
New O-Ring Material	Projected return on investment and flow time reduction	2006	2006
Case Stiffener Segment/T-Ring	Projected reliability improvements provide return on investment	2011	2013
ET Digital Radiography	New digital process provides flow time reduction and substantial ROI	2008	2010

Modular Auxiliary Data System Recorder

Description

- Option 1: Upgrade
  - Produce new tape for both recorders for near term support
  - Replace tape function with solid state memory in the Modular Memory Unit
- Replace Pulse Code Modulators (PCMs) with high speed PCMs
- Option 2: AHM
  - Procure new tape for both recorders for near term support
  - Combine with Health Management Computer upgrade for the main engines



Benefits

Supportability

- Tape procurement mitigates near term obsolescence need
- Option 1 mitigates technological obsolescence of recorders

Improve the System

- Both options convert from tape recording to solid state memory
- Option 1 provides for high speed PCMs
- Option 2 leverages technology of SSME AHM effort

Schedule



KU-Band Deployed Electronic Assembly

Description

- Replace obsolete Ku-band communications system electronics
  - Option 1: Retrofit current hardware
    - Board-level upgrade of the DEA … I.e., drop in SRU's
    - Separate rendezvous sensor from KU-band hardware
  - Option 2: Replace current deployed parabolic dish Ku-band assembly and supporting electronics with modern phased array antenna (PAA)
    - High bandwidth PAA
    - Separate rendezvous sensor
    - Includes upgrades of communications architecture



Benefits

Safety & Reliability

- PAA option eliminates Crit 1 CIL

Supportability

- Both options mitigate obsolescence issues of the Deployed Assembly
- PAA option mitigates all other obsolescence issues in communications system

Meet the Manifest

- Provides simultaneous high bandwidth communications during rendezvous
- Improves reliability for mission success and on time launch

Improve the System

- Enables high-bandwidth communications during rendezvous operations
- PAA option is required to enable increased bandwidth capability of Network Signal Processor upgrade
- PAA option provides high-bandwidth operations without opening payload bay doors
- PAA option is an enabler for the MOD Re-invent process

Schedule



Pulse Code Modulating Master Unit

Description

- Option 1: Replace form, fit, function with new technology
  - Reduces number of subassemblies
  - Improves reliability and performance
  - Supports increased data downlink and MOD re-invention
  - May absorb Payload Data Interleaver (PDI) function
- Option 2: Integrate with mission computer as part of safety upgrades

Benefits

Supportability

- Mitigates obsolescence issues with current PCMMU

Improve the System

- May absorb Payload Data Interleaver (PDI) function
- Increase data downlink
- Supports MOD Re-invention

Schedule



S-Band FM

Description

- New FM system (transmitter and signal processor)



Benefits

Supportability

- Mitigate obsolescence issues of the S-Band FM System

Schedule



S-Band Network Signal Processor

Description

Combine signal processing and Communications Security (COMSEC) in one new LRU

Employ new voice encoding to increase data bandwidth by up to 32 Kbps

- Supports need for new telemetry without continuous scrubbing of telemetry format loads (TFLs)



Benefits

Supportability

- Mitigate obsolescence issues of the Network Signal Processor

Improve the System

- Combines COMSEC with signal processing for more efficient LRU
- Increased bandwidth during all phases of flight
  - Requires PAA option upgrade of Deployed Electronic Assembly
- Enabler for MOD Re-invention

Schedule



Trajectory Control Sensor (TCS)

Description

TCS is a GFE rendezvous and docking sensor used inside 5000 foot range to target

Upgrade

- Replace galvanometer shaft with new solid shaft
- Purchase 1 new unit to certify all units to 30 flights



Benefits

Supportability

- Mitigates obsolescence needs of current Trajectory Control System

Improve the System

- Improved reliability with new solid galvanometer shaft

Schedule



Hybrid Drivers

Description

Hybrid driver controllers/assemblies are solid state switching devices used to control and distribute power to Orbiter subsystems

Single programmable device which can support all requirements

Options:

- Drop in replacements for four type of Hybrid Drivers
- Four functionally equivalent types of Hybrid Drivers
- Non-preferred substitute (e.g. electromechanical relay)



Benefits

Supportability

- Provides for Hybrid Driver needs through the course of the avionics upgrades process, other Orbiter modifications, and typical logistics demands

Schedule



Hydrogen Water (H_2/H_2O) Separator

Description

H_2/H_20 Separator removes hydrogen gas from the potable water generated by fuel cells

Drop in replacement based upon same technology

- Water flows on the inside of tubes while space vacuum is applied to the outside of tube surfaces causing the hydrogen to pass through the solid tube wall into space vacuum



Benefits

Supportability

- Mitigates obsolescence issues with current Hydrogen Water Separators
  - Efficiency degradation (acceptable efficiency: 95%)
  - Anomalous Nickel deposits
  - Spares issues (currently only 2 spares for fleet)

Schedule



SRB Integrated Electronic Assemble Upgrades Plan

Description

- Replace obsolete 1970's vintage electronics with state-of-the-art
- Add redundancy to LCC instrumentation
- Two option in evaluation
  - IEA retrofit (interchangable with existing IEA)
  - Advance Avionics Assembly (new design to minimize life cycle cost)
- 2nd quarter FY 2000 down select



IEA Retrofit



Advanced Avionics Assembly (AAA)

Benefits

Safety

- Improved reliability and robustness
- Reduced potential for on-pad scrubs/aborts

Supportability

- Obsolescence, increasing failure rates, and lack of spares pose risk to SSP manifest
- No spare APU controller cards
- Onset of age-related failures risks lowering reliability
  - 20+ year old EEE parts
  - Consensus of MSFC, DoD, and industry technical communities

Schedule



SRB Automated Booster Assembly Checkout System (ABACS)

Description

- The Automated Booster Assembly Checkout System (ABACS) is used to test SRB Flight Avionics and Thrust Vector Control Systems prior to each flight
  - Replace with new technology, commercially based assemblies
  - Incremental development to preclude impact to launch processing
  - Will consider CLCS architecture as base for ABACS
- Upgrade replaces key systems affected by obsolescence
  - ABACS Controller and Emulator
  - IEEE-488 Instruments
  - Test Station Hardware



Benefits

Supportability

- Increases likelihood of having a required ground processing system available to support KSC operations
- Mitigates obsolescence of key components such as 8086, 8186 and 8286 CPU's

Improve the System

- Enhances ABACS maintainability

Schedule



SRB Command Receiver/Decoder (CRD)

Description

- Integrated Receiver-Decoder (IRD) and Range Safety Distributor (RSD) provide reception and command of range safety instructions
  - If needed for range safety, initiates SRB self-destruct
  - DDT&E is funded and underway and 1st engineering units are in test
- Combine IRD and RSD into one unit with new design
  - New unit is the Command Receiver Decoder (CRD)
    - Achieves full EMT compliance
    - Replace PIC with direct current firing circuit



Benefits

Flight Safety

- Eliminates a criticality 1 failure mode
- Decreased destruct reaction time

Supportability

- Mitigates obsolescence of key components
  - RSD and IRD have 39 obsolete EEE parts
  - RSD Programmable Automated Test Set requires upgrade/replacement

Improve the System

- Enhances maintainability

Schedule



ET Robust TPS (Study)

Description

- External Tank (ET) Thermal Protection System (TPS) is sprayed on as a foam and provides thermal protection to the tank
- Research how to formulate and manufacture TPS spray foam systems that are more damage resistant and have higher tensile strength
  - New TPS blowing agent will be environmentally compliant (eliminate HCFC's)
    - Manufacturers will phase out production of blowing agent (HCFC's) due to worldwide environmental agreements.
    - A waiver is being pursued to allow import of HCFC's beyond December of 2002.
    - A separate waiver may be needed to allow use of blowing agent beyond December of 2003.



Benefits

Flight Safety

- Reduces likelihood of damage to orbiter tiles during ascent
- Resolves flight performance issues
  - Intertank foam loss
  - Popcorning on liquid oxygen ogive

Meet the Manifest

- Reduces likelihood of TPS damage by weather at the launch pad
- Eliminates risk to ET production rate due to the unavailability of an EPA compliant blowing agent

Improve the System

- Resolves foam production issues
  - Internal lacquering in spray gun
  - Problems in Cells "K" and "B/C" with low strength and blisters

Schedule



ET Digital Radiography

Description

- All ET welds are inspected using a film x-ray process.
  - Process is manual and time consuming.
  - Film must be developed before it is known if welds pass inspection.
- Replace the current film x-ray inspection process with digital x-ray inspection process for acceptance of ET welds
  - Integrate into 20 existing ET tooling stations
  - Automated weld history and defect tracking
  - Improved user interface
  - Database development and archival capabilities



Benefits

Meet the Manifest

- Reduces at least 10 days of ET production critical flow time (out of 22 months)

Improve the System

- $1.8M in recurring labor and material cost savings per year, based on 8 flights per year.
- Digital images can be quickly shared electronically with engineers at other sites to aid in problem resolution.
- Improved film density range
- Eliminates potential of data loss due to degradation of archived film
- 1.9:1 Return on Investment thru 2012 ($17.2M savings on $9.0M investment)
- Cost payback is estimated to be in 2008.

Schedule



New O-Ring Material/Joint Heater Elimination

Description

- The RSRM O-ring/joint heater system provides a seal between RSRM segments.
- Heater system is required to maintain O-ring temperature for cold weather launches
- Replace the current RSRM O-ring material with a new generation of polymers that allow for formulation of O-Ring materials with better physical properties, particularly low temperature resiliency
  - Joint heater system can be eliminated



Benefits

Improve the System

- $1.6M savings in recurring costs per year by eliminating heater system build-up time and checkout.
  - 7:1 Return on Investment thru 2012
- Reduces one day of critical flow time during stacking in VAB at KSC (VAB operations no longer prevent further critical path reductions in KSC stacking operations)
- Eliminates hazards related to electrical heaters and associated power cables. May eliminate seal related launch commit criteria constraints due to current seal/heater system
- Saves 400 lbs. of SRB weight per mission
- Cost payback is estimated to be in 2006.

Schedule



Case Stiffener Segment/T-ring Redesign

Description

- Redesign case stiffener segment and T-rings to reduce damage to the hardware during water impact and increase proof-test demonstrated factor of safety on forward stub from 1.24 to 1.40
  - Stiffener stubs are damaged (cracked) due to cavity collapse loads during water impact



Benefits

Safety/Reliability

- Increase demonstrated factor of safety on forward stub from 1.24 to 1.40
- Removing stubs eliminates stub cracks during water impact and need for subsequent inspections

Supportability

- Reduce Utah refurb/repair time and KSC flow time
- Return five currently unusable segments to flight inventory
- Reduce attrition of stiffener segments

Schedule



Pyrotechnic Initiation Controllers (PICs)

Description

PICs are capacitive discharge devices used to ignite NASA Standard Initiators for separation maneuvers

New design with same form, fit, function

- New technology & improved reliability
- 1000 units

Interdependency - Each SRB forward and aft IEA pair uses 17 PICs



Benefits

Safety & Reliability

- Improved reliability of new devices

Supportability

- Mitigates obsolescence need for current PICs

Improve the System

- Weight savings with new technology
  - 30 lbs per Orbiter
  - 25 lbs per SRB
- $500k annual savings

Schedule



RCS Improved Pilot Operated Valve (POV)

Description

- The POV controls the flow of fuel and oxidizer for the Reaction Control System primary thrusters
  - Failure modes include fail off and fail leak
  - A failure can lead to the loss of an ISS docking mission due to contamination (continued leakage) or loss of translation control
- Upgrade redesigns the POV seat and poppet to eliminate leakage and sticking
  - Significant effort will be spent to optimize the design



Benefits

Flight Safety

- Reduces likelihood of fuel or oxidizer leakage that could contaminate sensitive payload or ISS components

Meet the Manifest

- Reduces likelihood of shortening a mission due to a continuously leaking POV or a combination of failed POVs.

Supportability

- Reduces likelihood of time-consuming POV changeout

Improve the System

- Reduces yearly maintenance cost by approximately 50%
  - 23 new valves required per year
  - 5.5 unscheduled removals per year
- Cost payback is estimated to be in 2009.

Schedule



OMS/RCS Quad Check Valve

Description

- The OMS/RCS Quad Check Valve (QCV) prevents the back flow of propellant in the helium pressurization system.
- Upgrade redesigns QCV assembly to eliminate internal leakage and stuck-open poppets
  - Phase A study
    - Study and development testing of QCV modification and new check valve design
  - Phase B qualification test/implementation
    - Replace sapphire guide pin with diamond pin and/or increase poppet spring force



Benefits

Improve the System

- Failed QCV requires FRCS or Pod removal. These removals are time consuming and are hazardous operations.
- Since Return to Flight there have been 27 failures requiring remove and replace.
- OMRS failures require increased ground time to troubleshoot problems.
- New design estimated to have (estimate only - savings will be better quantified after Phase A study)
  - 50% maintenance savings due to decreased troubleshooting (estimated at $100K/year)
  - 50% reduction in failures requiring R&R (estimated at $300K/failure)
- Cost payback is estimated to be in 2010.

Schedule



Space Shuttle Safety and Supportability
Safety Upgrade Candidates

Safety Upgrades | Supportability Upgrades | Approved Upgrades | Advanced Technology

Orbiter Avionics

■ Cockpit
- Enhanced Caution and Warning
- Crew Situational Awareness Displays
- AFD Switch Panel Upgrade
- Device Driver Unit Replacement (DDU)

■ Data Processing
- Mission Management Computer
- Modular Auxiliary Data System
- Modular Memory Unit (MMU)

■ Communications
- Ku-Band DEA
- PCMMU
- S-Band FM
- Network Signal Processor

■ Navigation
- Trajectory Control Sensor (TCS)
- IMU Replacement (SIGI)

■ Power Sub-Assemblies
- Hybrid Drivers

■ Thermal Protection System
- More Durable Lower Surface Tile (Study)

■ Structures & Mechanisms
- Crew Escape System (Study)
- Main Landing Gear Tire Improvements
- Micrometeoroid/Orbital Debris Mitigation (MMOD)

■ Environmental Control & Life Support Systems
- Hydrogen Water Separator

SRB / RSRM / ET / SSME

- SRB Advanced TVC
- Integrated Electronics Assembly
- ABACS
- ET Robust TPS
- ET Digital Radiography
- New O-Ring Material
- Case Stiffener Segment/T-Ring
- RSRM Propellant Geometry
- SRB Attach/Hold Down Hardware
- ET Friction Stir Initial Welds
- Advanced Health Monitoring
- SSME Block III
  - XLTMCC and Robust Nozzle
- SRB TVC Upgrades / FIV
- SRB Altitude Sensor Assembly
- Nozzle/Case Joint J-Leg Insulation
- Integrated Receiver-Decoder (IRD)
- Range Safety Distributor (RSD)

Power & Propulsion

- Electric APU
- Enhanced Pyro Initiator Controller
- Improved Pilot Operated Valve
- Quad Check Valve Redesign
- Long Life Alkaline Fuel Cell

Operations

■ Ground Operations
- Maintainability for Safety (Study)
- SCAPE Suit Improvements (Study)
- CLCS

■ Flight Operations
- PIDAE
- Shuttle Upgrades Design Visualization
- Robotic Situational Awareness Display
- Human Factors/Cockpit Engineering Study
- Expansion of MCC Landing Sites
- AWIPS
- EECOM STS Pressure Management Tool
- Alternatives to Hardware Based Robotics Training
- DM Trajectory Operations Interface Redesign

■ Flight Tests
- Wireless Sensor
- Laser Dynamic Range Imager HTD

Advanced Technology

- Crew Escape
- Reusable First Stage
- Non-Tox OMS/RCS Propellants
- PEM Fuel Cell
- Electromechanical Actuators
- Water Membrane Evaporator
- Integrated Vehicle Health Mgmt
- Propellant Densifications
- Fiber Optics

Device Driver Unit (DDU)

Description

Device Driver Unit takes the place of the current Dispay Driver Unit

- Current DDU's integrated with MEDS
- Device control functionality removed from existing DDU's

Benefits

- Integrated display drivers with MEDS
- Separate device control from displays and MEDS
- Increased reliability

Schedule



Modular Memory Unit (MMU)

Description

MMU Functions

- Solid state recorder (SSR) – 425 Mbytes requirement (uses 46 Bytes card)
  - Replaces ops/payload recorder
- Solid state mass memory (SSMM) – 16 MBytes
  - Replaces Mass Memory Unit



Benefits

- Replaces old tape units with solid state technology (greater storage, faster dump)
- Weight savings: 195 lbs current – 104 lbs upgraded
- Power savings (peak): 272 w current – 84 w upgraded
- 10 Spare slots in chassis and spare power capacity for future growth

Schedule



Space Integrated GPS/INS (SIGI)

Description

SIGI: Combined GPS and INS capability

- Combine functionality of global positioning and inertial navigation
- Enables replacement of TACAN and elimination of MSBLS
- Replaces HAINS



Benefits

- Provides highly reliable GPS and INS capability
- Enables elimination of high cost maintenance for MSBLS grounds systems
- Advanced technology benefit for future space vehicles

Schedule

SIGI	FY00	FY01	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05
	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4
Concept Study						
DDT&E						
Production						
Delivery & Installation						

Orbiter Phase II Upgrades
Micrometeoroid/Orbital Debris Mitigation

Reduce Risk of MMOD by:

- Operational Workarounds
- Attitude Timeline Modifications
- Compromises Mission Objectives
- Not always possible

MMOD Modifications will Improve:

- Safety & Mission Success
- 1/60 to 1/1190 to 1/4000
- Supportability
- Reduce Cost
- Fewer Repairs Required



Hardware Modifications

- Radiator Protection Modifications
- RCC Wing Leading Edge Protection
- Freon Cooling Loop Isolation Valves Modification
- Automatic Software Freon Loop Isolation

ID	Task Name	1997 May	1997 Sep	1998 Jan	1998 May	1998 Sep	1999 Jan	1999 May	1999 Sep	2000 Jan	2000 May	2000 Sep	2001 Jan	2001 May	2001 Sep
1	MMOD 1st Flights	OV-105 Rad Shield (1/2 Mod)													
		OV-103 Rad Isolation/W LE (phased)													
		OV-104 Radiator and WL													
		OV-105 Rad Isol and WLE								OV-103 Rad Shield		OV-102 Radiator/ W LE			

SRB Thrust Vector Control System Upgrades

SRB TVC System Upgrades

Auxiliary Power Unit (APU) Carbon Seal

- Current design susceptable to carbon fracture which can result in detonation from metal to metal contact - new design eliminates this

Single Mission Fuel Isolation Valve (FIV)

- Controls Hydrazine flow to the APU for the SRB TVC System
- Replaces an aging, 1970's design, reusable FIV
- Reduces leakage and improves isolation of fuel from electrical cavity
- Based on proven Orbiter FIV design

APU Gas Generator Valve Module Index Pin Modification

- Eliminates the possibility of index pin back-out, which can (and has in 2 cases) result in the failure of the PCV or SOV

APU Turbine Wheel

- Current turbine wheel susceptible to blades cracking, new design eliminates this with a thicker blade profile
- Qualified for use on Orbiter, SRB qualification in work

TVC In-line Filter

- Adds an in-line filter to the SRB TVC Fuel system "Flush & Purge In" line (Only unfiltered TVC Fuel system line)





APU GGVM



FIV

Altitude Switch Assembly (ASA)

Description

ASA: Pressure sensing device to initiate SRB recovery sequence (one unit per SRB)

- Nose cap ejection – pilot/drogue parachute deployment
- Frustrum separation – main parachute deployment



Benefits

- Improve fault tolerance and reliability
- Improve supportability
  - Eliminate welded case, enable internal repair
  - Relax limitations on flight rate and program duration
- Improve BITE and power protection
- Eliminate impact of obsolescence

Schedule



RSRM Nozzle-to-Case Joint J-leg Insulation Design

Description

- The Reusable Solid Rocket Motor nozzle-to-case joint experiences gas paths through the polysulfide insulation to the wiper O-ring in approximately one out of seven motors.
  - Existing design is tolerant of this condition.
- Upgrade redesigns the nozzle-to-case joint insulation using proven technology adapted from the field joint and igniter-to-case joint J-leg designs to eliminate the potential for hot gas intrusion into the joint.
  - Uses a pressure actuated insulation joint.



Benefits

Flight Safety

- Eliminates the potential of hot gas intrusion in the nozzle-to-case joint.
  - Improves the reliability of joint.

Supportability

- Eliminates significant time and effort expended evaluating the hot gas pass throughs.

Schedule



SRB Command Receiver/Decoder (CRD)

Description

- Integrated Receiver-Decoder (IRD) and Range Safety Distributor (RSD) provide reception and command of range safety instructions
  - If needed for range safety, initiates SRB self-destruct
  - DDT&E is funded and underway and 1st engineering units are in test
- Combine IRD and RSD into one unit with new design
  - New unit is the Command Receiver Decoder (CRD)
    - Achieves full EMT compliance
    - Replace PIC with direct current firing circuit



Benefits

Flight Safety

- Eliminates a criticality 1 failure mode
- Decreased destruct reaction time

Supportability

- Mitigates obsolescence of key components
  - RSD and IRD have 39 obsolete EEE parts
  - RSD Programmable Automated Test Set requires upgrade/replacement

Improve the System

- Enhances maintainability

Schedule



Long Life Alkaline Fuel Cell

Description

Current Alkaline Fuel Cell Modification to Long Life Alkaline

- Modify cells of existing stack to lengthen corrosion path
- Reduce reactant temperatures
- Upgrade external seals and insulator plate
- Resize reactant preheaters
- Modify regulator housing to stainless steel to eliminate aluminum corrosion
- Modification can be done during regular overhaul periods on attrition basis



Benefits

Supportability

- Mitigates supportability issues of high failure and overhaul rates
  - Extended cell life
  - Reduced anode cycle voltage losses
  - Reduced operating temperatures

Schedule



Checkout & Launch Control System

- **The CLCS replaces the current Space Shuttle Firing Room with a state of the art control center featuring custom and commercial-of-the-shelf systems**
- **The CLCS Has Redefined the Shuttle Processing Environment in Several Key Areas Which Will Improve Checkout Efficiencies**
  - Command and Monitor Data have Been Separated
  - Multi-Discipline Testing
  - Multi-Orbiter Control
  - Consolidated Data
  - Integrated Complex/Facility Control
  - Local Commanding Operations
  - Program Compatible Data



Checkout & Launch Control Systems Goals

- Reduce operations and maintenance costs by at least 50%
- Reduce the number of engineers required on console for daily power up operations by at least 50%
- Reduce the amount of paper in the control rooms by at least 50%
- Provide building blocks to support future control system requirements (e.g. Shuttle Upgrades, RLV, etc.)

Human Exploration and Development of Space (HEDS) Technology Demonstrations



LASER Dynamic Range Imager

Description

- Range for every pixel at video rates to obtain structural-dynamics
- Real-time range video for depth perception and target info (range, range-rate and orientation)
- 3-D model building for slowly moving and stationary objects
- Eye-safe LASER - no pre-positioned targets required



Flight Operations Upgrades

- **Platform Independent Downrange Abort Evaluator (PIDAE)**
  - Develop a platform independent ascent situational awareness tool for use in multiple facilities/computational environments
  - Yields enhanced flight crew/MCC situational awareness for TAL/ECAL capability assessment and range safety limit line avoidance.
- **Shuttle Upgrades Design Visualization**
- **Robotic Situational Awareness Display**
  - Provide RMS Operator tools for onboard STS Operations.
  - Improves RMS Operator situational awareness to off-set existing system limitations
    - Visual feedback
    - Position feedback
    - Unwanted manipulator positions
    - Single Joint Operations

Flight Operations Upgrades (cont'd)

- **Human Factors/Cockpit Engineering Study**
  - This study provides an extensive review of the SSP cockpit and analysis of flight information to the crew.
  - Rapid prototyping techniques will provide integrated solutions, information on crew situational awareness, and reductions in training. Advanced concepts of operations will be evaluated in prototypes to validate implementation. Results will be incorporated into the proposed Shuttle Cockpit Upgrades.
- **Expansion of MCC Landing Sites**
  - Provides a complete set of world-wide runway data in the MCC for utilization during emergency operations as a supplement to official landing sites and existing landing site tables.
  - Expands the 42 approved sites and 150 Emergency Landing Sites to approximately 3000 sites for emergency operations.
    - Objective is to integrate with PIDAE

Flight Operations Upgrades (cont'd)

- **Advanced Weather Interactive Processing System (AWIPS)**
  - AWIPS is the meteorological analysis and forecasting tool that the National Weather Service (NWS) is installing in its forecast offices nationwide to replace legacy forecast systems. AWIPS will replace two obsolete NWS systems at JSC.
  - This new System will provide capabilities not currently available with older systems. AWIPS will improve safety by providing improved capability to analyze and display meteorological data at the CONUS shuttle landing facilities.
- **EECOM STS Pressure Management Tool**
  - Provide Pressure Management tools to support EECOM operations
  - Streamlines EECOM STS/ISS pressure management function by eliminating many steps performed manually by flight control personnel. This capability will greatly improve EECOM's ability to respond quickly to real-time mission impacts/re-plans and mission planning and reassessments.

Flight Operations Upgrades (cont'd)

- **Alternatives to Hardware Based Robotics Training (AHBRT)**
  - Investigates and develops technologies needed to allow software based training systems to replace hardware trainers for robotics.
  - AHBRT improves software robotics simulations, develops camera models for camera and vision system design, and develops contact models for force/torque system design.
- **DM Trajectory Operations Interface Redesign**
  - Pathfinding effort to implement findings from FDO work analysis by Ames Human Centered Computing group via a new user interface for ephemeris operations.
  - Designed to decrease unnecessary operational complexity inherent in 20 yr old architecture as well as replace several old displays/tools in the MCC.

Independent Program Analysis

Description

Perform independent assessment of upgrade projects

- Assess project maturity
- Identify project risks
- Identify potential cost increases and delays
- Identify risk mitigation strategies
- Provide independent cost assessment

Benefits

Provide SSPDO insight to project status and activity

- Strategize upgrades budget through the end of program
- Help determine optimal solutions

Schedule

Ongoing activity with deliveries coincident with project milestones

Human Exploration and Development of Space (HEDS) Technology Demonstrations

Approved HTDs

HTD 1404

LASER Dynamic Range Imager; Structural-dynamic measurements for loads models, night imagery with LASER illumination, depth perception with range video for EVA,robotics, and fly-around, and range, range-rate and orientation for various targets. Targeted for STS-97.

HTD 1401

SpaceHab Universal Communications System (SHUCS), L-Band Antenna Mounted on the SpaceHab Targeted for STS-91



HTD 1403

Micro-Wireless Instrumentation System; includes autonomous, micro-sized temperature sensor/transceivers and a data acquisition system for space and ground applications.

HTD 1404

Nano/micro Electronics and Mechanical Systems Middeck Flight Testbed Demonstration Targeted for STS-93



Micro-Wireless Instrumentation System

Description

The Micro-Wireless Instrumentation System (Micro-WIS) includes autonomous, micro-sized temperature sensor/transceivers and a data acquisition system for space and ground applications.

Program Supported Goals

- Significant cost, weight and power savings to current operational space vehicles, ground test facilities and future spacecraft
- Revolutionary capability in systems design for future space vehicles

MEMS Accelerometers Monitored STS-93 Flight

Silicon Designs 1010J & 1210J Capacitive MEMS Accelerometers









Space Shuttle Safety and Supportability
Safety Upgrade Candidates

Safety Upgrades | Supportability Upgrades | Approved Upgrades | Advanced Technology

Orbiter Avionics

■Cockpit
- Enhanced Caution and Warning
- Crew Situational Awareness Displays
- AFD Switch Panel Upgrade
- Device Driver Unit Replacement (DDU)

■Data Processing
- Mission Management Computer
- Modular Auxiliary Data System
- Modular Memory Unit (MMU)

■Communications
- Ku-Band DEA
- PCMMU
- S-Band FM
- Network Signal Processor

■Navigation
- Trajectory Control Sensor (TCS)
- IMU Replacement (SIGI)

■Power Sub-Assemblies
- Hybrid Drivers

■Thermal Protection System
- More Durable Lower Surface Tile (Study)

■Structures & Mechanisms
- Crew Escape System (Study)
- Main Landing Gear Tire Improvements
- Micrometeoroid/Orbital Debris Mitigation (MMOD)

■Environmental Control & Life Support Systems
- Hydrogen Water Separator

SRB / RSRM / ET / SSME

- SRB Advanced TVC
- Integrated Electronics Assembly
- ABACS
- ET Robust TPS
- ET Digital Radiography
- New O-Ring Material
- Case Stiffener Segment/T-Ring
- RSRM Propellant Geometry
- SRB Attach/Hold Down Hardware
- ET Friction Stir Initial Welds
- Advanced Health Monitoring
- SSME Block III
  - XLTMCC and Robust Nozzle
- SRB TVC Upgrades / FIV
- SRB Altitude Sensor Assembly
- Nozzle/Case Joint J-Leg Insulation
- Integrated Receiver-Decoder (IRD)
- Range Safety Distributor (RSD)

Power & Propulsion

- Electric APU
- Enhanced Pyro Initiator Controller
- Improved Pilot Operated Valve
- Quad Check Valve Redesign
- Long Life Alkaline Fuel Cell

Operations

■Ground Operations
- Maintainability for Safety (Study)
- SCAPE Suit Improvements (Study)
- CLCS

■Flight Operations
- PIDAE
- Shuttle Upgrades Design Visualization
- Robotic Situational Awareness Display
- Human Factors/Cockpit Engineering Study
- Expansion of MCC Landing Sites
- AWPS
- EECOM STS Pressure Management Tool
- Alternatives to Hardware Based Robotics Training
- DM Trajectory Operations Interface Redesign

■Flight Tests
- Wireless Sensor
- Laser Dynamic Range Imager HTD

Advanced Technology

- Crew Escape
- Reusable First Stage
- Non-Tox OMS/RCS Propellants
- PEM Fuel Cell
- Electromechanical Actuators
- Water Membrane Evaporator
- Integrated Vehicle Health Mgmt
- Propellant Densifications
- Fiber Optics

Shuttle Upgrade & Evolution Future

- **Near Term Shuttle Development Priorities are:**
  1. Implementing the approved safety upgrades into the fleet by 2005.
  2. Keeping the infrastructure and the flight hardware available to support the manifest until the next generation RLV is operational (no earlier than 2012).
  3. Improving the system by implementing operational efficiencies and simplifying processes will reduce preparation risks and save cost.
  4. Supporting development of the ISTP technologies applicable to next generation RLV's and to shuttle evolution.
  5. Utilizing the shuttle as a test platform to demonstrate new capabilities and technologies that could mitigate risks for a new or shuttle derived RLV while improving the shuttle.
- **Options Post-2005**
  - No "next generation" replacement... implement evolutionary Shuttle upgrades to improve safety, reliability, supportability, and ops cost
  - Shuttle-derived RLV replacement developed... operate and sustain Shuttle until replacement is ready
  - New Design replacement developed... operate and sustain Shuttle until replacement is ready

Safety/Reliability with Uncertainties

50th Percentile Probability



Next-Generation Shuttle Vision

- Improve safety and increase reliability by an order of magnitude
- Eliminate complex, expensive systems and drastically reduce vehicle operations cost
- Provide flexible and routine access to space
- Maintain capabilities for humans to work in space
- Enable advancing technologies for HEDS and future generation RLV's
- Increase ascent performance to provide abort-to-orbit capability and to allow next-generation vehicle enhancements

Space Shuttle Development Strategy

Shuttle-Derived 2nd Generation RLV

High Priority Technology / Advanced Development Needs

- Crew escape and survival technologies
- Highly reliable, long life LOx/Hydrocarbon booster engine
- Reusable first stage demonstrator
- Highly reliable, long life LOx/LH_2 main engine
- Highly reliable, inexpensive expendable LOx/LH_2 main engine (alternative)
- Inexpensive external tank (manufacturability)
- Non-toxic OMS/RCS
- Advanced, more durable and reliable TPS
- Integrated Vehicle Health Management
- Electro-mechanical actuation
- Flyback jet engines

Leveraged Technologies by Partnering

Reusable Flyback Demonstrator

Reusable First Stage





Shuttle Upgrades

Two Stage To Orbit

LFBB Enabling Technologies

- Reusable, Reliable, Low Cost, Throttleable Booster Main Engine
- Jet Engine Integration and Exposure to LFBB Flight Environment
  - Vibro-Acoustic Environment , Air-Start, Cruise Performance
- Reusable TPS/ Cyro Insulation
  - Propellant Conditioning, High Mach No., Environmental Exposure
- Capability to Successfully Complete Mission With One Engine Out
- Integrated Vehicle Health Monitoring (IVHM)
- Serviceability and Rapid Turn Around
- Autonomous Powered Landing
- Flight Qualities
  - Aerodynamics / Aerothermodynamics
- Non-Toxic OMS/RCS
- PEM Fuel Cells

Evolved Space Shuttle Option

Potential Shuttle evolutionary improvements beyond 2005

- **Key *safety* improvement goals of evolved Shuttle**
  - Ascent "abort-to-orbit" from the pad → advanced 1st stage boosters
  - Increases main engine reliability
  - Advanced crew escape capabilities
- **Potential *advanced technology* infusion into evolved Shuttle, as demonstration *testbed* for future vehicles**
  - Phased array communication system
  - Non-toxic on-orbit propulsion & attitude control
  - More durable thermal protection system (TPS)
  - Electro-mechanical actuation
- **Advanced space transportation investments in those capabilities support *future vehicle* options as well as the evolved Shuttle option**

Evolved Space Shuttle



Evolvable Liquid Rocket Booster (ELRB)

Can Be Logical Building Block for Reusable First Stage

Description

- Enables most RFS safety features at less DDT&E cost
- Potentially Cuts development cost of RFS
  - Tooling for ELRB available to manufacture RFS
  - Main propulsion Test Article for ELRB is usable for RFS
  - Development test data for ELRB is applicable to RFS
  - Brings in earlier launch facility infrastructure for LOX/RP-1
- Builds experience to existing infrastructure
- Reduces impact of existing infrastructure
- Incremental Cost from ELRB to RFS is ~$TBD

Potential ELRB Evolution Path



Initially Expendable | Add Recoverable PA Module | Add Wings and Flyback Systems

Proton Exchange Membrane (PEM) Fuel Cell

Description

Proton Exchange Membrane (PEM) Fuel Cell produces electricity

- Uses hydrogen as fuel and oxygen as oxidizer
- Split hydrogen protons pass through the thin electrolyte membrane and the hydrogen electrons produce electricity
- Byproduct is water
- Operates at relatively low temperatures
- High power density



Benefits

Replaces alkaline fuel cell currently used for producing vehicle and payload power

- Potential to supply greater power
  - Normal mission with only 2 (of 3) powerplants
  - Landing with one powerplant
- Supports and increased flight rate with fewer powerplants
- Lower maintenance costs
  - Increased time between overhauls
- KOH electrolyte and asbestos matrix are eliminated
- May eliminate a criticality 1R or 2 hazard
- Fuel cell flooding is reversible, with no hardware damage
- Leverage off of industry development

- HEDS applications include
  - Future launch vehicle power systems
  - Lunar/Mars transport and surface-based power systems
  - Fuel-cells for spacesuits
  - Recharge/backup power

Non-Toxic OMS/RCS System

Description

Replace current toxic Hydrazine based OMS/RCS system with Liquid O_2 – Ethanol system

- Non-Toxic fuels

Implement modified pod with integrated OMS and RCS tanks



Benefits

Significant cost savings

- Eliminates SCAPE operations through the complete elimination of Hydrazine handling
- Increased ease of maintainability with ergonomically designed pod
  - All maintenance in pods within "arm's reach"
- Reduced maintenance
- Requires EAPU upgrade

Increased system reliability

- Eliminates valve failure due to propellant 'gumming' or contamination of the valves

Benefits (continued)

Decreased risk of mission failure for ISS docking operations

- Adds Redundant Vernier thrusters by using the Primary RCS engine torch igniter as the vernier
- Increased docking flexibility due to redundancy and improved translation capability
- Eliminates risk due to Hydrazine contamination on ISS

Improved Safety

- Eliminates auto-ignition fire hazards of hydrazine
- Eliminates risks of EVA crew exposure

5 Segment Booster



- Same forward skirt assembly and frustrum
- New attach case segments
- Grain modification
- Insulation modification
- Added center segment
- Inhibitor modification
- Insulation modification
- Standard weight stiffeners
- Added stiffener ring
- Modified nozzle
- Insulation modification

Benefits

Significant safety enhancement
- Leverages flight-proven success of existing RSRB
  - Incorporates all RSRB enhancements
- Enables engine-out from liftoff
  - Eliminates RTLS or TAL
- Demonstrated low probability of catastrophic failure

Significant cost savings
- Low life-cycle cost
  - Minimize developmental time and risk
  - Minimal facilitation
- Reduced $ / lbm to ISS

Huge increase in lift capability
- ISS payload potential increased 24 klbm
- Polar orbits from KSC

Building block for emerging programs
- Boost propulsion for HEDS missions
- Boost stage for low-cost ELV concepts

New Shuttle Ku Band PAA Communications System

Description

Replaces current Ku-band deployed antenna, Ku-band electronics, and the S-band FM system with four PAA transmit/receive antenna pairs and electronics

Benefits

- System has potential to transmit 75 Mbps and receive 1.5 Mbps
  - Can accommodate transmission and reception of digital TV
  - Shuttle PAA elements could be used on ISS or other future space applications
  - Current Ku-band system only transmits 50 Mbps and receives 216 kbps
  - System not dependent on payload bay doors, resulting in increased system availability
- Eliminates Criticality 1 failure modes of existing deployed antenna
- New system will have increased reliability and lower maintenance

Approximate sizes
Transmit PAA - 8" X 13" X 2.5"
Receive PAA - 10" X 13" X 2.5"

Upper antennas covered with white thermal blankets, lower antennas covered with black tiles.



System electronics will be located in forward avionics bays.

ElectroMechanical Actuators (EMA)

Description

ElectroMechanical Actuators can be used as an actuator to move mechanical assemblies (landing gears, body flaps, valves, elevons etc.)

- Integrates into extend/retract control system
- Powered by AC or DC electricity
- May use a machine screw, ball screw drive, or gearbox
- Precision movement
- Broad variety of low to medium capacity applications



Benefits

- Replaces pneumatic and hydraulic technologies
  - Eliminates the need for hydraulic fluids and associated servicing
  - Greater reliability and fault tolerance
  - Lower maintenance costs
  - Longer service life
  - Built-in health monitoring
  - Runs smoothly
  - Many applications for future vehicles

- Shuttle applications include
  - Landing gear actuators
    - Nose landing gear uplock actuator
    - Nose wheel steering actuator
    - Main landing gear strut actuator
  - ET Umbilical retract actuator
  - Aerodynamic control surface actuators
  - Body Flap actuator
  - Elevon servoactuator
  - Rudder/speedbrake hydraulic motor/servo valves
  - SSME cryogenic propellant valves
  - Thrust vector control actuators
  - Various Reusable First Stage (RFS) applications

Water Membrane Evaporator (WME)

Description

Water Membrane Evaporator provides cooling

- Uses a hydrophobic micropore membrane that releases water vapor as water is heated
- Hydrophobic membrane passively controls water inventory
- Hydrophilic membrane allows cool water to replace the evaporated water
- Takes advantage of recent developments in hydrophobic micropore membrane technology



Benefits

- Replaces Flash Evaporator System (FES) which provides active cooling during ascent and entry and supplemental cooling on-orbit
  - Lower maintenance costs
    - Higher reliability (less moving parts)
      - Increased time between repairs/refurbishment
      - Longer life
    - FES has problems with clogged filters and nozzle freeze-ups
  - Leverage off of industry development
  - Synergy with advanced spacesuit (EMU) cooling system development
  - Decreased sensitivity to contaminants in the feed water

Composite Structure

Description

Incorporate composite structures into evolved or next generation shuttle

- Composites are composed of continuous fibers suspended in a resin
  - Laminates provide high stiffness and strength
- Types of composites include
  - Carbon Fiber Reinforced Composites (CFRC)
  - Ceramic based
  - Carbon/Polyamide



Benefits

- Lightweight
  - As much as 5 times lighter than steel
- High Stiffness
  - As much as 2.5 times the strength of steel
- Part Consolidation
  - Eliminate critical failures
- Extended life cycle
  - No fatigue, corrosion
- Vibration damping
- Can be optimized to fit design needs
- Dimensional stability under changing temperatures
- Can incorporate structures health monitoring into design

- Application include designs that need
  - Light weight, strength, and stiffness
    - Wings
    - Body flaps
    - Various weight-saving components/structure
  - Heat resistance
    - Carbon-carbon brakes
    - Leading edge applications (wing, rudder, nosecone)
      - Eliminates or reduces TPS
  - Inert chemical properties
    - Fuel tanks
  - Fatigue resistance
  - Insulating properties

Containerized Payload Module

Description

Design and build a containerized payload module to standardize orbiter to payload interfaces

- Install with the payload as a completed assembly
- Uses standardized interfaces

Streamline orbiter-to-payload support services and interfaces

- Orbiter and mission kit avionics, power, distribution and control hardware support upgraded to standardized support system



Benefits

Safety and Reliability

- Reduces on-orbit mission complexity

Supportability

- Significant reduction in Payload Integration and KSC reconfiguration costs
  - 36% reduction in operations and maintenance costs between
    - KSC Orbiter reconfiguration
    - Payload Integration (ICD, Engineering, Mission Kit Hardware, Requirements)
  - Additional savings due to using the standardized carrier
  - Allows for payload processing at commercial facilities
  - Allows integrated testing off-line
  - Economically accommodates future payloads
- Significant reduction in templates
  - Supports flight rates of at least 15 per year
  - Reduce template by 4 to 6 months
  - Supports 30 day OPF flow
- Takes advantage of other avionics and communications upgrades
- Note – Legacy payloads would have to be recertified. Would need to be implemented after ISS assembly complete.

Representative Shuttle-Derived 2nd Generation RLV Options

Boeing / NASA In-House



Common Features

- Crew escape pod
- Subsystem improvements: non-toxic OMS/RCS, electro-mechanical actuation, improved TPS, improved landing systems
- Retain external tank
- Improved highly reliable main engines
- Reusable first stage (with capability to make orbit with one booster engine out)

Variations

- Air breathing jet engines on orbiter for range extension and landing "wave off/go around" capability
- Main engines (expendable or recoverable) moved from orbiter to external tank
- 3 "Super" SSME or 4 SSME Engines for engine out to orbit from the pad

Lockheed / NASA In-House



Shuttle Upgrades Enabling Technologies for the Future

Near Term

Long-Life Fuel Cells
Avionics Cockpit Upgrades
Elec
MLG Ti
AHM
BLK III SSME

Advanced Technology

Crew Escape
Reusable First Stage
Non-Tox OMS/RCS Propellants
PEM Fuel Cell
Electromechanical Actu
Water Membrane E
Integrated Vehi Mgmt
Propellant ons
Fiber C

The Vision . . .



S2

18P

ASTP

TELEDYNE
BROWN ENGINEERING
A Teledyne Technologies Company

Introduction

- Teledyne Brown Engineering has supported MSFC since its establishment
- SpaceLab, Space Station Payload Integrator
- Developer of Space Station Payload Data Library (PDL)
- Supported MSFC in Requirements Definition for STIN
- Selected as Developer for STIN

The Need (Current State of ASTP)

- Significant number of technology and advanced development activities are underway at numerous NASA centers, industry partners and academia
  - With a significant increase in activity starting in FY01
- A responsive "knowledge capture and transfer" process within the space community does not exist
  - Depends on informal networks, luck, etc.
  - Often old and incomplete data
- Need exists to track progress towards technical and programmatic goals and objectives
- Diverse number of regular reviews and database inputs are required (NASA Tech Inventory, Monthly OAT reviews, etc.)
- ISAT needs the latest technology data to accurately fulfill its missions
- Too much time is spent by technologists and managers on "overhead"
  - Filling in databases, multiple status charts to various formats,

Current Interfaces



The *Integrated* Solution

To establish a centralized system for submittal, evaluation, and distribution of NASA's space transportation technology and advanced development information

STIN

Technical Programmatic

Proposed Architecture



STIN Applications

- Management tool for Space Transportation Technology / Advanced Development Managers to track technical, schedule, and cost information.
- Single interface between technology developers and management, ensuring better communication.
- Gives NASA's partners (industry and academia) access to the latest technology development results.
- Provides a vehicle to identify future technology needs.
- Tool to support ISAT evaluation of vehicle technologies.
- Tool to feed other required database inputs.
- Effectivelu facilitate research queries into

Development Schedule



Hierarchical Structure

- Directorate
  - Program
    - Investment Area (Optional)
      - Project
        - Sub-Project (Optional)
          - Task

Technical Content

- Description
- Benefits
- Related Technologies
- Technology Application
- Technical Performance Metrics
- Technology Readiness Level
- System Concept and Architecture Analysis
- Objectives
- Technical Documentation
- Risk Management

Programmatic Content

- Resources
- Milestones
- Schedules
- Monthly Status
- Documentation
- Technology Transfer
- Procurement Structure
- Related Websites

Systems Engineering Approach



Application Features

- Web Based / GUI and Platform Independent (Mac, PC, Unix)
- Multi-level User Access
  - Technology Developers
  - Project Managers
  - ISAT Team members
  - Program Management and Staff
- Online Help information for each area of collection
- Email reminder / notification of events and required inputs

Application Features (cont)

- MPD 2810 IT Security Compliance
- Exports to other NASA systems
- Linkage/interface to analysis tools
- Keyword Search in uploaded documents
- Directorate/Program/Project Navigation
- Technology-based Navigation
- Goals-based Navigation
- Web-based report request capability
- Customized report development

Application Features (cont)

- Helpline Staffing
- Integrated (Imbedded) Training
- Automated Account Request and Approval
- Facilitates Research Queries into other systems

In Order to be successful...STIN must:

- Be viewed as value-added by the technology development community
  - Save time and effort in generating status reports / database entries
  - Provide a long term, searchable repository of technical information
- Protect the data
  - From unauthorized foreign use (ITAR, export control)
  - Maintain the integrity of company-proprietary information
- Be comprehensive
  - ASTP plans to <u>require</u> all future tasks (in-house or out-of-house) to utilize this network
  - Implementation by other Space Transportation Programs is TBD
- Be user friendly
  - Web-based / GUI

Summary

- NASA has a need to streamline its technology management approach.
- Efforts underway in multiple locations within NASA to combine/eliminate database input requirements.
- Most technology databases are limited in focus. (set up to meet a specific need)
- STIN is designed to meet technical, programmatic, public, and archival needs

Summary

- There is a reluctance on the part of technologists to accept "another technology database".
- If Program/Project managers use a STIN-like system to manage tasks, then all the information needed by various other organizations will already be available online without additional collection and submittals.

Flight Experiment Platforms/Opportunities

Michael Phipps
Experiments Project Manager
Pathfinder program Office
(256) 544-0828

Pathfinder Experiments Project Office

- FLY EXPERIMENTS ON ANY VEHICLE AVAILABLE
- GROUND TEST EXPERIMENTS AT AVAILABLE LOCATIONS EWS



Pathfinders X-34 and X-37 Projects

- **X-34 Rocket Plane is a Suborbital/Atmospheric Technology Testbed**
  - Modular Design
  - Embedded and Hosted Technologies and Experiments
  - Low Cost Operations Testbed



- **X-37 Space Plane is an Orbital/Reentry Technology Testbed**
  - First Orbital Test Bed X-vehicle
  - Modular Design
  - Embedded and Hosted Technologies and Experiments

X-34 Focus Area Technical Goals

New RLV Technologies Embedded in Vehicle Design

- **Demonstrate technologies throughout flight profile**
  - Subsonic and hypersonic flight
  - Capable of powered flight to at least 250k ft
  - Capable of attaining Mach 8
- **Capable of autonomous flight operations**



Investigation of New Methods for Low-Cost Operations

- **Low cost operations capable of demonstrating safe abort**
  - Small work force
  - Nominal 2-week turnaround
  - Surge capability of 2 flights within 24 hours
  - Capable of attaining average recurring flight cost of $500k
- **Operation in RLV-type environments**
  - Flights through rain and fog
  - Landings with cross winds of 20 knots or greater

Testbed for Hosted RLV and Hypersonic Experiments

- **On-board instrumentation for testing embedded technologies**
- **Small area for "carry-on" experiments**

X-34 Typical Mission Profile



Flight Testing for a Multistage Reusable System



The X-34 Flight Regime Duplicates the Environment and Mission Characteristics Of a Reusable First Stage

X-34 Expanded View



X-34 TPS Attach Points



X-34 Payload/Experimentation Volume

Strake Volume Available



Volume Shown Is Available Volume Above Mounting Panel and Below Strake Panel

Pathfinder Experiments on X-34



- **X-34 Rocket Plane - Atmospheric technology testbed Flight Experiments**

Base-lined X-34 Experiments
- Active Damage Interrogation Health Monitoring System
- Acoustic Emission Health Monitoring System
- Autonomous Abort Landings
- Integrated Vehicle Health Management (IVHM)
- Unlined Composite LOX Tank

Reviewing Proposals on:
- Base Drag (DFRC)
- Range Safety (Goddard, KSC)

Efforts currently on hold:
- Gamma-Titanium Aluminum-Based TPS
- Advanced C/SIC TPS
- Mechanically Attached Flexible TPS
- Encapsulated Waterproof 2500°F CMC TPS
- Flight Test Detailed Specimens In Certified Holder



Original Baseline Experiments



Integrated Structural Health Monitoring System for the X-34 RP1 Tank (Boeing, St. Louis).



Detail Test Specimen Model Holder (Boeing, Huntington Beach).



CMC Encapsulated TPS Assembly (Boeing, Huntington Beach).



Acoustic Emission Health Monitoring System (Boeing, Seattle).



Mechanically Attached Thermal Protection System (Boeing, Seattle).





IFI Blanket
TiAl Based TPS (Alenia, Italy)



Advanced C/SiC Based TPS (Daimler Benz, Germany).

X-34 Flight Experiments

- **Autonomous Abort Landings**
  - Technology-- Development and integration of onboard Real-Time mission planning and robust guidance and control for low mach flight. Will reduce number of ground personnel for flight planning and reduce risk of vehicle loss in aborts.
  - Description -- Software package. After demo it will be permanent part of operational software. To be flown on X-34 in latter stages of the currently scheduled test flight series.
  - Team Members
    - Industry: Draper Lab
    - NASA: MSFC (Lead)
- **Integrated Vehicle Health Management (IVHM)**
  - Technology-- Experiment will integrate components that have been separately developed to build an integrated VHM capability. Will lead to reduced turnaround time and ops cost of RLV's.
  - Description -- Components include Propulsion system diagnostic/prognostic system, RLV Engine monitoring system, Condition-based monitoring, and data fusion. To be flown on X-34 Vehicle.
  - Team Members
    - Industry: Orbital Sciences Corporation
    - NASA: ARC (Lead), KSC, GRC, & MSFC

X-34 Flight Experiments (cont'd.)

- **Composite LOX Tank**
  - Technology-- Reusable composite Liquid Oxygen tank demonstrated in relevant environments.
  - Description -- A composite Liquid Oxygen tank will be developed and integrated into the X-34 technology demonstrator vehicle. The tank will be flown in relevant environments and reused a number of times.
  - Team Members
    - Industry: Lockheed-Martin (Lead), Orbital Sciences Corporation
    - NASA: MSFC, JSC White Sands Test Facility


Altitude
250K
200K
150K
100K
50K
Ground
Engine Ignition
Drop 0
20
40
60
80
100
120
140
160
180
200
220
Seconds
Helium ullage gas under pressure
LOX Tank 2
LOX Tank 1
RP Tank
Helium gas
Liquid Oxygen
RP


X-37
NASA

X-37 Project Overview

- **Project Objective**
  - Demonstrate technologies required to reduce the cost of access to and operations in space
- **Key Features**
  - Designed to close current X-Vehicle gap
  - Addresses both Earth-to-Orbit (TA-1) and Orbit-to-Orbit (TA-2) technologies in single testbed vehicle
  - Modularized for rapid insertion of broad range of technologies and experiments
  - Flight test program follows progressive envelope expansion -- launch options include:
    - B-52, Shuttle, ELV



Fuselage Length (ft)	**25.7**
Wing Span (ft)	**14.4**
Baseline Payload (lb)	**500**
Entry Weight (lb)	**5,800**
GLOW (lb)	**13,090**





X-37 Extends the Testbed Envelope to Orbital Capability



- **X-37 Provides Orbital and Re-entry Test Capability**
  - Reentry trajectory can simulate TSTO 2nd stage environment
  - Autonomous operations (maneuver and rendezvous)
  - Long-term on-orbit demonstrations (2-21 days)
  - Advanced TPS for reusable space vehicles
  - Designs/manufacturing techniques traceable to larger reusable systems

Mission Capability

- **Inclination: 28.5 to 57 degrees (per sys spec & MCR X37-99-0011)**
  - Solar Beta Angle = 0 to 80.5 degrees (derived from above & R460)
- **Orbit Altitude**
  - 100 to 470 nm (R460)
- **Duration**
  - Nominal 21 days + 5 days contingency (R459)
  - In shuttle cargo bay 2 days nominal + 5 days contingency, 10 days max (R461)
- **Pointing Accuracy**
  - 3 Axis stabilized
  - PRCS On Orbit Attitude Accuracy : ± 3.0 degrees (3 sigma) and ± 0.5 deg/sec (3 sigma), respectively, each axis. (GN&C B-spec)
  - VRCS On Orbit Attitude Accuracy ± 0.5 degrees (3 sigma) and ± 0.05 deg/sec (3 sigma), respectively, each axis. (GN&C B-spec)

X-37 Modularity Readily Supports Rapid Technology Insertion and Experiments



Failsafe TPS Test Panel

Objective:
The objective is to provide a fail-safe TPS screening test panel to test various potential TPS concepts (face sheets/stack-ups, structures/TPS and hot structures), in on-orbit and reentry/aeromaneuvering environments.



X-37 Overview

- X-37 Payload Integration Schedules
- X-37 Payload Safety
- X-37 Payload Environments
- X-37 Payload Interfaces & Services



X-37 Payloads Need to Support X-37/Shuttle Orbiter Integration Milestones

X-37 Shuttle Cargo Element to Shuttle
(months prior to Shuttle Launch)

	22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0 (Launch)
Shuttle Payload/Ground Safety Review Panels (PSRP/GSRP)	Phase 0/I; Phase II; Phase III
X-37-to-Shuttle ICD Baselined (reflects unique interfaces with Shuttle Orbiter)	
Begin Shuttle Integration (FDRD & FRD baselined)	
Cargo Compatibility Review (CCR)	
Cargo Integration Review (CIR)	
Final Reconfiguration Engineering Drawings (FRED)	
Launch Commit (FRR/CoFR)	

X-37 Payload Installation Occurs 9 Weeks Prior to Launch

	Task Name	Duration
	– X-37 Offline Preps in VPF	40 days
2	Receive and inspect X-37 and GSE	5 days
3	Install and test payload experiments	5 days
4	Prep X-37 for mate to cradle & pallets	2 days
5	Mate X-37 to cradle (pallets in P/L canister)	1 day
6	Mate X-37-to-cradle electrical umbilical	1 day
7	Setup test equipment and connect test cabl	1 day
8	Cradle integration electrical test	2 days
9	Disconnect test cables and stow test equip	1 day
10	Close canister & move to CRF	1 day
11	Rotate canister to vertical	1 day
12	Move canister to VPF & prep for P/L transfe	1 day
13	Transfer X-37/pallets into VPF test cell	1 day
14	Test cable and SIP connections	2 days
15	X-37/cradle CITE testing	5 days
16	Astronaut EVA tool verification	1 day
17	Install propellant loading GSE	2 days
18	Load X-37 propellants and stabilize	4 days
19	Remove propellant loading GSE	1 day
20	Test cable and SIP disconnections	2 days
21	Prepare X-37/pallets for transport	1 day
22	Transfer X-37/pallets into P/L canister	1 day
	– X-37 Launch Pad Activities	25 days
24	Transport X-37/pallets to pad & install in PG	2 days
25	Configure access platforms	1 day
26	Prepare X-37/pallets for installation	1 day
27	Shuttle transfer and mate to pad	2 days
28	Install X-37/pallets into Orbiter P/L bay	1 day
29	Configure access platforms	1 day
30	Orbiter-to-payload integrated testing	3 days
31	Misc. Shuttle prelaunch activities (dwell tim	10 days
32	Connect X-37/cradle ordnance	1 day
33	Pull S&A pin, disc. vent, closeout for flight	1 day
34	Close P/L bay doors; countdown & launch	4 days



X-37 Overview

- X-37 Payload Integration Schedules
- X-37 Payload Safety
- X-37 Payload Environments
- X-37 Payload Interfaces & Services



X-37 Payload Safety Requirements

- **X-37 Payloads Must Satisfy the Following Requirements:**
  - SP877-0001, "Safety Policy for Payloads Using the X-37 Flight Demonstration Vehicle for X-37 Program Space Shuttle Flights."
  - SP877-TBD, "Ground Safety and GSE Safety Policy and Requirements for Payloads Using the X-37 Flight Demonstration Vehicle for X-37 Program Space Shuttle Flights."
- **Two Basic Safety Requirements of these Documents:**
  - Catastrophic hazards shall be controlled such that no combination of two failures or operator errors can result in the potential for a disabling or fatal personnel injury or loss of the Orbiter, ground facilities or STS equipment.
  - Critical hazards shall be controlled such that no single failure or operator error can result in damage to STS equipment, a nondisabling personnel injury, or the use of unscheduled safing procedures that affect operations of the Orbiter or another payload.

X-37 Payloads Need to Provide Supporting Safety Documentation for Safety Reviews

- **Supporting Safety Documentation from the X-37 Payload Organization Will Be Required to Support X-37 Vehicle Payload Safety Review Panel (PSRP) and Ground Safety Review Panel (GSRP) Safety Data Package (SDP) Development and Reviews.**
  - The X-37 Vehicle Organization Will Require Supporting Safety Documentation 90 Days Prior to the X-37 Vehicle PSRP and GSRP Reviews (Reference Attached X-37 Tentative Vehicle PSRP/GSRP Schedules).
    - The X-37 Vehicle organization will conduct a review with the X-37 Payload Organization of this supporting documentation prior to its incorporation into the X-37 Vehicle PSRP and GSRP SDPs.
- **Supporting Safety Documentation from the X-37 Payload Organization Will Also Be Required to Support Safety Assessment Report (SAR) Development and Appropriate Range Safety Reviews.**

X-37 Overview

- X-37 Vehicle Summary
- X-37 Payload Integration Schedules
- X-37 Payload Safety
- X-37 Payload Environments
- X-37 Payload Interfaces & Services



Payload Environments are Under Development Based On Launch, On-Orbit and Reentry Phases

Payload Environments

- **Prelaunch Environments**
  - Air conditioning
  - Radiation & electromagnetic environments
  - Electrostatic potential
  - Contamination and cleanliness
- **Launch & Flight Environments**
  - Payload bay internal pressure
  - Thermal environment
    - Launch
    - On-orbit
    - Reentry
  - Flight Dynamic Environment
    - Steady state acceleration
    - Combined Loads
    - Acoustic Environment
    - Sinusoidal Vibration Environment
    - Shock Environment









X-37 Payload Environments

- **Radiation Environments**
  - Compatible with inclination and altitude range specified in mission capability chart
- **Random Vibration & Acoustic Environments**
  - Must be compatible with Shuttle ascent, Pyro separation shock loads, and entry environments
  - Available through Boeing
- **Acceleration**
  - Must be compatible with Shuttle ascent, on-orbit, and entry environments
  - Available through Boeing

X-37 Mission 1 and 2 Payload Overview

- **X-37 Payload Integration Schedules**
- **X-37 Payload Safety**
- **X-37 Payload Environments**
- **X-37 Payload Interfaces & Services**



X-37 Payload Container Frame and Interface Definition



X-37 Payload Power and Avionics

- **On-orbit Power:**
  - Voltage: 28 VDC
  - Power: 100 Watts orbit average supplied from X-37
    - Payload is responsible for power requirements beyond 100 Watts average
- **Reentry Power:**
  - Voltage: 28 VDC
  - Power: payload is responsible for power requirements
- **Number of Channels: TBD**
- **Telemetry**
  - High data rate Ku band antenna: 5 Mbps (peak)
- **Data Storage**
  - Vehicle Management Computer (VMC)
- **Possible X-37 Payload Communication Interfaces Include:**
  - 1553
  - RS422
  - 1773

Integrated X-37/X-37 Payload Testing

- **Payloads integrated with the X-37 shall meet the requirements of NASA-STD-7002 or MIL-STD-1540C Tailored.**
- **Payload shall supply the necessary equipment for integrated testing and servicing.**

X-40A Flight Test Vehicle Summer 00







43 Technologies and Experiments Have Been Identified for Follow-On Demonstration

Reusable Launch/Space Vehicle Technology Testbed

- Propulsion
  - Alternate main engines and propellants
  - Improved lines/valves
  - Extended on-orbit life and operations
- Airframe
  - Interchangeable tankage
  - Alternate wings and control surfaces for concepts and materials
  - Advanced, light weight landing gear (extended on-orbit capability)
- VMS/Avionics
  - Flight diagnostics and prognostics adaptation
  - Modular insertion of advanced avionics upgrades
  - Sub-surface Ka-Band phased array antenna
  - Air data systems
- IVHM
  - Autonomous operations
  - Extended to all subsystems
- TPS
  - Lighter weight, more robust and capable materials
  - Improved installation techniques and test procedures
- EPS
  - Fuel cells
  - Turbo-alternators and supercapacitors
  - Flywheels
- Light Weight, Flexible Structures
  - C/C payload experiment bay doors integrated with radiators
  - Deployable, retractable solar arrays
  - Deployable, retractable antennas



Reusable Launch/Space Vehicle Operations Testbed

- TSTO separation systems
- Improved operations, maintenance and repair concepts/procedures to reduce turn time
- Automated rendezvous, capture and proximity operations
- Automated aeroassist and aerobraking using closed-loop data feedback
- Satellite servicing and repair
- Satellite refueling
- Debris cataloging and removal
- On-orbit payload changeout



Upper Atmosphere Testbed

- Global sampling and in-situ validation to support model development and forecasting

Expendable Spacecraft Technology Testbed

- Bus subsystems and components
- Payload/instrument elements (e.g., imagers)

Space Exploration Technology Testbed

- Lunar exploration(including surface sample return)
- Technologies for interplanetary orbiters, landers, rovers, penetrators

Other Pathfinder Experiment

- **Flight Experiments**
  - Other Flight Experiments:
    - REDUCED COST SMALL PAYLOAD TECHNOLOGIES (AERO-ASTRO)
      - Deployed from the Space Shuttle
    - CERAMICS FOR SHARP LEADING EDGES (NASA AMES)
      - Flown on a Minuteman III
    - ProSEDS (NASA MSFC)
      - Flown as a secondary payload on a Delta II upper stage
    - HALL EFFECT THRUSTER (NASA GRC)
      - Flown on a Russian Communications Satellite
    - CRYOGENIC PROPELLANT GAUGE (NASA GRC)
      - Flown on the USAF Solar Orbit Transfer Vehicle Space Experiment

SHARP-B2





Other Flight Experiments (cont'd.)

- **Reduced Cost Small Payload Technologies**
  - Technology-- Technology -- Will demonstrate a small, generic, cheap, robust spacecraft kernal to manage power, attitude control, command, data handling, and communications. Eliminates need to design and build unique spacecraft components, reducing cost.
  - Description -- "Bitsy" is integrated from qualified subsystems (developed under contract to USAF). Will fly as a free-flyer, Hitchhiker Payload.
  - Team Members
    - Industry: AeroAstro
    - NASA: MSFC (Lead)

- **Ceramics for Sharp Leading Edges**
  - Technology-- Technology -- Sharp, passive, Ultra High Temperature Ceramic (UHTC) leading edge in relevant entry environments.
  - Description -- A modified MK123A re-entry vehicle with four sharp leading edges, retractable strakes (0.039" radius) made of UHTC. To be flown on Minuteman III launch vehicle and recovered (water recovery).
  - Team Members
    - Industry: Sandia, U.S. Air Force
    - NASA: ARC (Lead)

Slender Hypervelocity Aerothermodynamic Research Probe 2nd Ballistic Flight (SHARP B2)



SP CE 2000
RANSPORTATION
AY

In-Space Investment Area
Les Johnson, *Manager*

- Saroj Patel, *Exploration Space Transportation Lead at JSC*
- Bonnie James, *Special Assistant for Exploration*

Space Transfer Technology Project
Leslie Curtis, *Manager (MSFC)*

- Rae Ann Meyer, *Assist. Manager*
- Judy Ballance, *Lead Engineer - ProSEDS*
- Kelly Looney, *ProSEDS Systems Engineer*
- Tommy Harris, *ProSEDS Systems Engineer*
- Lee Jones, *In-Space IPA*

Propellantless Propulsion Project
Randy Baggett, *Manager (MSFC)*

- Bonnie James, *Assist. Manager*
- Melody Herrmann, *Lead/Systems Engineer*

ASTP Organization





- **Achieve within 15 years a factor of 10 reduction in the cost of Earth orbital transportation and a factor of 2 to 3 reduction in propulsion system mass and travel time required for planetary missions. Within 25 years enable bold new missions to the edge of the solar system and beyond by reducing travel times by 1 to 2 orders of magnitude.**





"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - ASTP

In-Space Transportation Goals

- High percentage of projected launches to Low-earth Orbit (LEO) will require upper stages.
  - More than 70% go to Geosynchronous Orbit (GEO) or higher.
- Under current total mission cost caps, more ambitious science missions require improvements in propulsion technologies.
  - DS-1 enabled by NSTAR solar electric ion propulsion.
  - Future planned missions require 2 to 3 times more Delta V.
  - Rendezvous and return missions will require similar investments in chemical propulsion systems and aerocapture technologies.
- Per current studies, human exploration missions to Mars, in-space transportation costs are projected to be higher than earth-to-orbit costs.
  - Affordable in-space transportation is enabling for human exploration missions (lighter weight systems, shorter trip time).
  - In-situ propellants offer significant potential to reduce mission costs.
- New opportunities to explore beyond the outer planets will require unparalleled technology advancement and invention.



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - ASTP

In-Space Investment Rationale



Electric Propulsion

Advance EP systems to reduce mass & cost of orbital transfer and to enable interplanetary missions

Sails

Solar and magnetic sails to enable exciting new mission concepts and by reducing mass and overall trip time for interplanetary missions.

Cryogenic Fluid Management

Advance CFM systems to enable long term storage of cryogens in space



Aeroassist

Utilize aerocapture and aeroassist transportation systems to significantly reduce mass -- by using planetary environments for orbit capture and deceleration



Fission

Develop fission technology to enable rapid, affordable access to any point in the solar system

Tethers

Develop reusable electrodynamic and momentum transfer tethers to reduce transportation system mass and cost



Light Weight Components

Develop light weight components to reduce the dry mass of spacecraft propulsion systems

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - ASTP

In-Space Transportation Technology Elements



Electric Propulsion

Advance EP systems to reduce mass & cost of orbital transfer and Enable interplanetary missions

- GRC – Hall, Ion, MPD, PIT technologies
- JPL – MPD (lithium), DS-1 tests, ion optics
- JSC – VASIMR Technologies
- MSFC- PIT (switch and ckt design)

Fission

Develop Fission Technology to enable rapid, Affordable access to any point in the solar system

- GRC – Energy Conversion, Fuels, LANTR
- JSC – Two phase systems and technologies
- KSC – Operational and range requirements
- MSFC – Fuels, SAFE, System studies, non nuclear testing



Cryogenic Fluid Management

Advance CFM systems to enable long term Storage of cryogens in space

- ARC- Cryocooler & Refrigerator development, insulation
- GRC- Subscale/Component test, analytical modeling
- JPL - technology requirements
- JSC - In-situ propellant production
- KSC - GSE, quick-disconnects, insulation
- MSFC- System/Large scale test, analytical modeling



Light Weight Components

Develop light weight components to reduce the dry mass of spacecraft propulsion systems

- Center Roles are still being established

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - ASTP

Space Transfer Technology Project Elements



- Sails
  - Solar
  - Magnetic
- Center Roles:
  - JPL: TWG lead; system design; GN&C; Mechanical systems; Large structures; I&T
  - LaRC: materials & Lt. weight structures; mechanical system
  - MSFC: Prop. Physics;M2P2; mt'l & light weight structures
  - JSC: Large Structure environ.



- Aeroassist
- Center Roles:
  - LaRC: TWG Lead; system design/performance; Aero/ Aerothermal analysis; structures; GN&C simulations
  - ARC,JSC,JPL,LaRC,MSFC: Vehicle design/system analysis
  - ARC: TPS; TPS Aerothermal sensors; Aerothermal analysis
  - JSC: GN&C; deceleration systems; Adv.. TPS materials
  - MSFC: Environmental models

- Tethers
  - Electrodynamics
  - Momentum Transfer Tethers
- Center Roles:
  - MSFC: TWG Lead; system design/performance; integrated test; tether survivability; deployer; GN&C; deployment test facilities; orbital tracking & collision avoidance
  - JSC: orbital tracking & collision avoidance

Propellantless Propulsion Technology Project Elements

54 Conf Paper N/16 56P

SP CE 2000

RANSPORTATION

AY

Pick Reduction
for the Next Generation

♦ In Space Investment Area Overview	Les Johnson
♦ Hall Propulsion Technology	Robert Jankovsky
♦ Ion Propulsion Technology	John Brophy Mike Patterson
♦ Fission Propulsion: SAFE	Mike Houts
♦ Cryogenic Fluid Management Technologies	David Plachta
♦ Solar Thermal Propulsion Technologies	Steve Tucker
♦ Momentum Transfer Tether Technology	Kirk Sorensen
♦ Electrodynamic Tether Coatings for ProSEDS	Jason Vaughn
♦ AeroAssist Technologies	Richard Powell
♦ Solar Sail Technology	Humphrey Price
♦ Mini Magnetospheric Plasma Propulsion (M2P2)	Dennis Gallagher

In-Space Agenda

SP CE 2000
RANSPORTATION
AY

Risk Reduction for the Next Generation

Hall Propulsion Technology Development
NASA Glenn Research Center

50 kW Thruster Technology

EXPRESS Ground/Space Correlation

Contact Info:
Robert Jankovsky, NASA Glenn Research Center
216.977.7515
Robert.Jankovsky@grc.nasa.gov

Fred Elliott, NASA Glenn Research Center
216.433.2322
Fred.Elliott@grc.nasa.gov

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations"

- **Technology goals and objectives**

  It is the goal of this activity to develop 50 kW class Hall thruster technology in support of cost and time critical mission applications such as orbit insertion.

- **Background**

  NASA MSFC is tasked to develop technologies that enable cost and travel time reduction of interorbital transportation. Therefore, a key challenge is development of moderate specific impulse (2000-3000s), high thrust-to-power electric propulsion. NASA GRC is responsible for development of a Hall propulsion system to meet these needs.

- **Current Status**

  First-phase, sub-scale Hall engine development completed. 10 kW engine designed, fabricated, and tested. Performance demonstrated >2400 s, >500 mN thrust over 1000 hrs of operation documented.

50 kW Thruster Technology

- **Major accomplishments (FY00):**

  The NASA T-220 10 kW Hall Effect Thruster demonstrated over 500 mN thrust at 2450 seconds specific impulse (Isp) and 59% total efficiency while demonstrating good erosion characteristics over 1000 hours of operation. This is the longest operation ever achieved on a high power Hall thruster (>5 kW). This test indicates the availability of 10 kW Hall thruster technology for future NASA, commercial, and military missions and confirms the technical approach for development of even higher power thrusters.

- **Near Term Plans (FY01):**

  Procure a 50 kW engine design and prepare diagnostics and test equipment.



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Hall Propulsion

50 kW Thruster Technology

ISS Drag Makeup
Significantly reduces required refueling flights



Lunar/Mars Exploration
, Reduces Launch Vehicle Fleet





LEO to GEO space transportation ***Four Times the Payload of Chemical Systems In Four Weeks using next generation Power levels***

- **Need Power Levels ~ 50 kW & Isps ~ 2000 sec**

Space Solar Power
Reduces number of launch vehicles required by a factor of 5 ! Deliveries in few weeks to less than four months.

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Hall Propulsion

50 kW Thruster Applications

- Technology goals and objectives

  Compare measurements of critical plasma parameters from on-orbit with ground test data. Develop fundamental understanding of the differences enabling extrapolation to other thrusters/geometries for integration assessments.

- Background/Approach
  - Several different types of sensors integrated on two Russian Geo-Comsats (Express-A #2 & EXPRESS-A #3) utilizing 1.5 kW SPT-100 Hall thrusters.
  - Ground tests validating sensors and duplicating space measurements to be taken at NASA GRC
  - Additional GRC ground tests with alternate thrusters/geometries

EXPRESS Ground/Space Correlation

- **Sensors integrated on to Express-A, #2 and launched**
  - Data being collected
  - Data transfer and correlation with thruster operation being addressed
- **Sensors integrated on to Express-A, #3 and launched**
  - Data being collected
  - Data requirements also being addresses
- **GRC ground testing**
  - Planning stages - test details being discussed
  - S/C representative sensors being procured

Current Status

- **Major accomplishments (FY00):**

  Successful launch of sensor packages on EXPRESS-A #2, and EXPRESS-A #3

- **Near Term Plans (FY01):**

  Procure a duplicate set of EXPRESS-A #2, and EXPRESS-A #3 sensors. Plan ground test program.

EXPRESS Ground/Space Correlation

- **Sensor Types**
  - **Pressure: Measure local density to understand how plume expands Simple measurement, previously conducted**
    - Measurement in back flow region very difficult on ground
    - Maybe important for assessing corona phenomena for payload
  - **Electric Field Strength: Measure how the plasma modifies E-field at S/C surface**
    - Less simple measurement , previously conducted
    - Gives insight into how the spacecraft couples to the ambient space plasma
    - Maybe important for assessing corona phenomena for payload

EXPRESS Ground/Space Correlation

- **Sensor Types (continued)**
  - **Ion Current: Measure the flux density of the plume ions**
    - Simple measurement, not previously conducted
    - Easily compared with ground tests data and analytic predictions
    - Flux of ions needed for estimating thermal/momentum transfer to other parts of S/C
  - **Ion Current & Energy: Measure the flux density and energy of the plume ions**
    - Difficult measurement, not previously conducted
    - Provides desired information for determination of integration impacts
    - Flux and energy ions needed for determining thermal/momentum transfer and erosion of S/C

EXPRESS Ground/Space Correlation



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Hall Propulsion

EXPRESS Ground/Space Correlation



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Hall Propulsion

EXPRESS Ground/Space Correlation

2nd Generation RLV Plans

Dan Dumbacher

Agenda

- Heritage and Background
- Goals and Schedule
- Program Requirements and Organization
- Technology Drivers and Interfaces
- Acquisition Strategy and Planning
- Status and Summary

Generations of Reusable Launch Vehicles



Today: Space Shuttle
1st Generation RLV

- Orbital Scientific Platform
- Satellite Retrieval and Repair
- Satellite Deployment



2010: 2nd Generation RLV

- Space Transportation
- Rendezvous, Docking, Crew Transfer
- Other on-orbit operations
- ISS, Orbital Scientific Platform
- 10x Cheaper
- 100x Safer



2025: 3rd Generation RLV

- New Markets Enabled
- Multiple Platforms / Destinations
- 100x Cheaper
- 10,000x Safer

2040: 4th Generation RLV

- Routine Passenger Space Travel
- 1,000x Cheaper
- 20,000x Safer



Foundation Studies and Plans

- STAS - Space Transportation Architecture Studies
  - **Focused Industry and In-House Studies of Space Transportation requirements, architecture options and preliminary risk reduction**
    - Phase I - Aug - Sept '98 - Initial requirements definition
    - Phase II - Sept '98 - Feb 99 - Initial architecture options
    - Phase III - July '99 - Dec '99 - Requirements and architecture refinement , technology prioritization
    - Phase IIIB - Dec '99 - July '00 - System engineering process definition, technical risk reduction plan
- ISTP - Integrated Space Transportation Plan
  - **Annual effort to integrate NASA plans and resource requirements for:**
    - Space Shuttle safety upgrades and on-going programs
    - Crew Transfer/Return Vehicle
    - 2nd Generation RLV and NASA Unique systems
    - Alternate Access to Space Station
    - 3rd Generation RLV and In-Space Transportation
- SLI - Space Launch Initiative → 2nd Generation RLV Program
  - **Systems Engineering and Requirements Definition**
  - **2nd Generation RLV Competition and Risk Reduction**
  - **NASA Unique systems**
  - **Alternate Access to Space Station**

Integrated Space Transportation Plan



Ensure continued safe access to space through **Space Shuttle Safety Upgrades** until a replacement alternative has been demonstrated



2nd GEN

Invest in technical and programmatic **Risk Reduction** activities, driven by industry needs, to enable full-scale development of commercially-competitive, privately owned and operated, Earth-to-orbit (ETO) reusable launch vehicles (RLVs) by 2005.

2nd GEN

Develop an integrated architecture with systems that build on commercial ETO launch vehicles to meet **NASA-Unique** requirements that cannot be economically served by commercial vehicles alone.



2nd GEN

Enable procurements of near-term, launch services for select International Space Station needs on **Existing and Emergent Commercial Launch Vehicles.**

Secure safe, reliable and cost-effective access to space in the far-term through investments in **3rd-Generation RLV Technologies** for ETO and in-space applications

Space Launch Initiative Goals

The goal of this Space Launch Initiative is for NASA to meet its future space flight needs, including human access to space, using commercial launch vehicles that will improve safety and reliability and reduce cost.

Safety Goal - *Improve safety to better than 1 in 10,000 Loss of Crew*
Cost Goal - *Reduce mission cost to $1000/lb*

Four principles exist:

Commercial Convergence – flying on privately owned and operated launch vehicles;

Competition – bringing innovation and new ideas to bear;

Assured Access – ensuring alternate means of getting to space despite launch mishaps;

The Ability to Evolve – adding new capabilities affordably as new mission needs emerge.

National Endeavor

"The Space Launch Initiative is an extremely ambitious undertaking. If successful – and I'm confident it will be – it will dramatically alter the economics of space launch. I believe that this Space Launch Initiative could ultimately have as profound an impact on space exploration and space commerce as anything our nation has ever attempted."



Dr. Neal Lane
Assistant to the President
for Science and Technology

2nd Gen Program Planning Process



2nd Generation Program Plan



2nd Generation RLV Objectives

What 2nd Gen RLV must accomplish to meet our Goals

Objectives	Success Criteria	Date	Approach
A. Converge National Needs – Industry, NASA, and DOD	U.S. Transportation Needs Document Approved by Space Transportation Council	Baseline is August 1999 and updated annually	Initiated for ISTP with annual updates from systems engineering process
B. Provide an architecture requirement set derived from converged needs for industry competition	2nd Generation RLV System Requirements Database Approved by Space Transportation Council	Preliminary in August 2000 and updated annually	Initiated for ISTP with annual updates from systems engineering process
C. Develop systems engineering processes and tools, and connect goals to risk reduction Investments	Risk Reduction Investment Strategies documented in project plans Tools developed and validated. Knowledge base developed.	Initial - August 2000 with periodic updates 2002 2005	Implement rigorous systems engineering process (Utilizing tools developed by ISE, Design for Safety Initiative, etc) Initiated with NRA 8-27
D. Abate business and technical risks through defined risk reduction activities	Architecture definition at a minimum of a PDR level. Government / Industry full-scale development contracts initiated.	2005	In-house/ contractor led advanced development and technology demonstrations, including ground and flight, complete
E. Architectural decision made to safely meet unique NASA needs	CRV/CCTV Decision complete. NASA unique architecture elements identified and in development	2002 2005	NASA unique requiremen ts identified in Obj. A & B, risk reduction investments from Obj. D
F. Enable alternative Space Station re-supply	Launch service agreement(s) in place and enabling activities complete	2002-2005	Perform initial studies to define activities. Develop and implement activities jointly between Code M and Code R

2nd Generation RLV Program Office Structure



Requirements Drives the Process



Safety

Reliability

Affordability

Mission Performance

→ Refine for Commercial Convergence → Define Priority Risk Reduction Needs → Define Scale, Fidelity, Test Environment for Tech Demos → Enable Full Scale Development With 20% Margin

Independent Assessment / Space Transportation Council Review

Include Shuttle Lessons Learned / Business Case Closure

Significant 2nd Technology Drivers





- **Crew Escape and Survival**
  - Detection, separation, ascent/descent
- **Operable, Long-life H_2/O_2 and RP/O_2 Engines**
  - 100 mission life, 50 missions to overhaul
- **Long life, lightweight integrated airframe**
  - Critical integrated cycle testing (500 missions)
- **Advanced TPS, IVHM, and Operations**
  - Quick turn vehicle with intelligent data analysis



Cutting Edge for 2nd Generation

- **Ejector Ramjet**
  - Improved performance margin
- **SHARP Leading Edges**
  - Global crossrange from orbit

2nd Gen RLV Relation to Other Programs

2nd Generation RLV coordinates investments with:
Shuttle
X-Vehicles
ASTP
Air Force



Shuttle Up-Grades







DOD Technologies



X-Vehicles

3rd Generation Technology

Space Shuttle Upgrades

- **Cooperation with the Space Shuttle Upgrades program is required to:**
  - Coordinate technology activities
  - Avoid duplication of effort
  - Consider application of Second Generation technologies to future Space Shuttle upgrades and the evolved Space Shuttle







X - Vehicles

- The 2nd Generation Program is linked to the success of the current X-Vehicle programs
- The current investment in X vehicles offers a unique opportunity to reduce risk through flight test
- The future use of the current X-vehicles and other flight vehicles is dependent upon selection within the competitive process









2nd/3rd Synergy Management Structure



Joint Air Force/NASA Efforts

- 2nd Generation Program is coordinating its risk reduction investments with ongoing Air Force Space Transportation investments.
- 2nd Generation Program will leverage/continue already initiated joint AF/NASA efforts including IPD, X-37, USFE, Advanced Peroxide Propulsion and MWG.











Total Program Acquisition Strategy

- Overall acquisition strategy will be in 2 major competitive phases
  - **Phase I (FY00 - 02)** develops architectures through System Requirements Definition and initiates risk reduction activities.
    - NRA8-27 converges and refines top level requirements, develops process and tools and defines risk reduction priorities.
    - NRA8-30, a single NASA Research Announcement seeking competitive systems engineering and risk reduction activities conceived by the offerors, in multiple areas of program interest (e.g. propulsion, airframe)
  - **Phase II (FY03 - 05)** focuses on architecture design and advanced technology development
    - Anticipate RFP(s) for the focused architecture design and Advanced Technology development activities
  - **Phases have decision gates** for program / project updates based on systems engineering results and Agency management milestones (e.g. Space Transportation Council, Non-advocate Review)
- In-House Risk Reduction Task
  - Cross cutting risk reduction - available to all concepts with no proprietary issues
  - Develops in-house "Smart Buyers".
  - A second cycle will be implemented to fill risk reduction gaps.
- Alternate Access will be addressed separately based on study results from current contracts

Total Program Acquisition Needs

- Support a **decision for commitment to full scale development** of the RLV architecture that meets NASA's goals (target date is 2005)
  - Industry teams to define an RLV architecture life cycle implementation with emphasis on Full Scale Development (FSD) technical and business metrics.
  - Rigorous system engineering, detailed trade studies, and risk reduction activity leading to concept design with acceptable technical and investment risks.
  - Business analysis must be supported with appropriate parameter identification and metric evaluation and show closure.
- **Maintain competition** and encourage solicitation of all good ideas.
  - Established Aerospace Companies
  - Emerging Aerospace Companies
  - Stand-alone Technologies from Companies not providing a system level architecture
- Resulting awards will provide appropriate Government insight to ensure successful development of the 2nd Gen RLV.
- Resulting awards will provide appropriate options to facilitate adjustments after major program **"Decision Gates".**
  - NAR recommendations
  - Systems Requirement Review
  - Commitment to Full Scale Development (FSD)

In-House Led Activities

High Priority / Schedule Critical Activities

Activities required for 2nd Gen based on STAS 3B / ISTP

Activities best performed by NASA / Gov't (expertise, data sharing, etc.)

Activities industry may not propose & must be initiated ASAP to support 2005

- Systems Engineering and requirements
  - **Systems Analysis Tool Development**
  - **Probabilistic Risk Assessment**
  - **ORM & S/C Database Enhancements**
  - **Uncertainty Analysis and Design**
  - **Commercial Cargo System Modeling Task**
- Propulsion
  - **Full-flow staged combustion injectors**
  - **Lox / H2 Combustion devices test bed**
  - **Turbomachinery technology demonstrator**
- Airframe
  - **Integrated Aerothermal and Structural-Thermal Analysis**
  - **Stage Separation and Ascent Aerothermodynamics**
  - **Materials and Advanced Manufacturing: Permeability Resistance**
  - **Lightweight, Informed, Micrometeoroid Resistant Ceramic TPS for Leading Edge and Acreage Applications**
- Crew Systems
  - **Cockpit Architecture Roadmap Team**
- Operations
  - **Advanced mission planning / ops w/ MOD**
  - **Future Launch vehicle Umbilical Development**
  - **Satellite Telemetry Acquisition and Range Study (STARS) and Space-Based Range Safety System**
- Vehicle Subsystems
  - **Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell (PEMFC) Power Plant Development**
- Integrated Vehicle Health Management
  - **IVHM Architecture Roadmap Team**
- Flight Mechanics
  - **Robust Integration Technology and test bed for RLV Navigation Systems**
  - **Natural Atmospheric Environment Technology Development**

Recent Accomplishments

- Successfully completed Program Readiness Review (May 17, 2000)
  - **First major milestone required by 7120.5A**
  - **"Program Formulation" until Non-advocate Review in June 2001**
- Systems Engineering
  - **Completed STAS 3B Final Reviews**
    - **Top level rqmts. input provided to NASA**
    - **All potential vehicle concepts are being assessed (e.g. Shuttle derived, new design) to meet NASA rqmts.**
    - **Industry top priorities remain main propulsion, airframe / cryotanks, TPS**
  - **Initiated further mission needs refinement and trade studies via NRA 8-27 contracts**
    - **Mid-term reports conducted week of Sept. 26-28, 2000**
    - **Final reports - Jan 31, 2001**
  - **CTV / CRV Planning on-going w/ JSC, LaRC, ARC, MSFC**
- Acquisition strategy developed
  - **Alternate Access study contracts in place**
  - **NASA led technology development tasks reviewed and selected, downstream selections will be based on contractor input via NRA 8-30**
  - **NRA 8-30**
    - **Pre-meeting w/ HQ Code H, G,R, & M held August 25, 2000**
    - **Acquisition strategy meeting held at HQ Sept. 11, 2000**
    - **Draft NRA released on September 21, Final to be released October 10**
- External requirements assessment team formulated
  - **Required skill areas (Shuttle / RLV experience, investment experience) identified, potential team members in work**

Summary

- Systems Engineering in work based on Space Transportation Council approved mission needs.
- Program Planning and Implementation continuing w/ broad Agency and Industry Participation
  - NRA 8-27 for requirements and tools development on-going
  - NASA In-House Development Tasks selected and set to begin
  - Draft NRA 8-30 for systems engineering and risk reduction activities on street with bidders conference planned for 10/13
- Alternate Access study contracts to develop concepts and requirements for emerging launch systems to support ISS are in place
- Looking forward to continuing to work with Industry to achieve the Nation's goal of developing the next generation Reusable Launch Vehicle

The X-33 Program Update

Charlie Dill, X-33 Assistant Program Manager





ST Day 2000:
Risk Reduction for the Next Generations

Oct. 11 - 12, 2000

- Program Objectives and Plans
- X-33 Configuration
- Technologies
- X-33 Assembly and Test Status

Outline



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - X33 Update

Program Overview



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - X33 Update

X-33 Elements

Isometric View





Expanded Views*



*Internal Septums Not Shown

Al LH_2 Tank Design

Aircraft-like Operations: Two Seven-Day Turnarounds and One Two-Day Turnaround During Flight Test Series





Flight 1	Benign Thermal and Structural Loads
Flight 2	Intermediate
Flight 3	Real Gas Effects
Flight 4	Transition From Laminar to Turbulent Flow
Flight 5	Max Speed
Flight 6	Additional Increment of Real Gas Effects
Flight 7	Same Additional Increment
Flights 8-15	Margin to Repeat Specific Flight Profiles, Data Points

Expanding The X-33 Envelope

- Demonstrate Aircraft-like Reusability, Maintenance and Scheduling
  - Flying One (1) Two-day Turnaround Flight.
  - Flying Two (2) Consecutive Seven-day Turnaround Flights.
- Robust Metallic TPS System
  - Achieve Thermal Protection System Multi-use Operating Limits.
  - Panel Seal Designs
  - Attachment System/Replaceability
- Composite Liquid Hydrogen Tank Mfg Processes/Assembly Techniques
- Linear Aerospike Engine
  - Performance
  - Plume/Vehicle Flowfield Interaction
- Vehicle Health Monitoring System
  - Fiber Optic Strain & Temperature Sensors
  - Fiber Optic Hydrogen Leak Detection Sensors
- Aerothermal Environment Prediction Verification
  - Measure Surface Catalysis Caused by Atomic Oxygen
  - Measure Boundary Layer Transition

Technologies Demonstrated

Overall Assembly 75% Complete

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - X33 Update

Vehicle Assembly in Palmdale

Overall Assembly 75% Complete





Websites On X-33: www.x33.msfc.nasa.gov
www.venturestar.com

X-33 Assembly Floor

Crews Wiring X-33's Avionics Bay Within Primary Assembly Structure



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - X33 Update

X-33 Assembly Floor



View Looking Aft



View Left to Right

Modified F-15E Strut / F-16 Tire/Wheel



RCS Auxiliary Propellant Tank and Control Valve Pallets





Avionics Bay

Systems Installations



Tails



Canted Fins



Body Flaps

Canted Fins and Tails

Upper Surface TPS
AFRSI/FRSI Blankets

Metallic TPS Fit Test



Metallic TPS Panel Layout



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - X33 Update

Thermal Protection System



- Test Conducted on Structural Test Article (STA) - Identical to X-33 Flight Tank
- Successfully Completed LO_2 Flight Tank Structural Verification
- STA Tank currently at Glenn Research Center for Propellant Densification Tests

LO_2 Tank Testing at MSFC



- **Technology**
  - Graphite/epoxy Composite Material
  - Primary Load Structure
  - Complex Lifting Body Geometry
  - Unique Stand-off Structure Thermal Shield Internally Cooled
- **Status**
  - First Test Tank Suffered Lobe Skin Delamination Following Simulated Launch Loads With Full Load of LH_2
  - Subscale Testing Was Successful
  - Joint NASA/Lockheed Martin Team Conducted Complete Failure Investigation
  - Further Development Required for Large Scale Cryogenic Tanks Serving As Primary Structure

LH2 Composite Tank Test at MSFC

Single Engine

~~Replace with Quick Time Movie~~

- Unprecedented Success With Extensive Test Program
  - Single Thruster: 13 Tests, 985 Seconds
  - Multi Cell: 10 Tests, 49 Seconds
  - Powerpack: 17 Tests, 1506 Seconds
  - Single Engine: 14 Tests, 1563 Seconds
- No Test Cutoffs Due to Hardware Malfunction
- Achieved Full Power Level on 6th Test
- Dual Engine Testing to Begin in October(Flt. Engines)







Dual Engine Assembly

Aerospike Engine, XRS-2200

Completed 25 - Acre, $32 Million X-33 Flight Operations Center on Edwards Air Force Base, Calif.



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - X33 Update

Flight Operations Center



Translating Shelter and Strong Back with Weight Simulator



Eight-Person Control Room



Strong Back with Weight Simulator

Flight Operations Center

S5

2nd Generation RLV Plans

Dan Dumbacher

Agenda

- Heritage and Background
- Goals and Schedule
- Program Requirements and Organization
- Technology Drivers and Interfaces
- Acquisition Strategy and Planning
- Status and Summary

Generations of Reusable Launch Vehicles



Today: Space Shuttle
1st Generation RLV

- Orbital Scientific Platform
- Satellite Retrieval and Repair
- Satellite Deployment



2010: 2nd Generation RLV

- Space Transportation
- Rendezvous, Docking, Crew Transfer
- Other on-orbit operations
- ISS, Orbital Scientific Platform
- 10x Cheaper
- 100x Safer



2025: 3rd Generation RLV

- New Markets Enabled
- Multiple Platforms / Destinations
- 100x Cheaper
- 10,000x Safer

2040: 4th Generation RLV

- Routine Passenger Space Travel
- 1,000x Cheaper
- 20,000x Safer



Foundation Studies and Plans

- STAS - Space Transportation Architecture Studies
  - **Focused Industry and In-House Studies of Space Transportation requirements, architecture options and preliminary risk reduction**
    - Phase I - Aug - Sept '98 - Initial requirements definition
    - Phase II - Sept '98 - Feb 99 - Initial architecture options
    - Phase III - July '99 - Dec '99 - Requirements and architecture refinement , technology prioritization
    - Phase IIIB - Dec '99 - July '00 - System engineering process definition, technical risk reduction plan
- ISTP - Integrated Space Transportation Plan
  - **Annual effort to integrate NASA plans and resource requirements for:**
    - Space Shuttle safety upgrades and on-going programs
    - Crew Transfer/Return Vehicle
    - 2nd Generation RLV and NASA Unique systems
    - Alternate Access to Space Station
    - 3rd Generation RLV and In-Space Transportation
- SLI - Space Launch Initiative → 2nd Generation RLV Program
  - **Systems Engineering and Requirements Definition**
  - **2nd Generation RLV Competition and Risk Reduction**
  - **NASA Unique systems**
  - **Alternate Access to Space Station**

Integrated Space Transportation Plan



Ensure continued safe access to space through **Space Shuttle Safety Upgrades** until a replacement alternative has been demonstrated



2nd GEN

Invest in technical and programmatic **Risk Reduction** activities, driven by industry needs, to enable full-scale development of commercially-competitive, privately owned and operated, Earth-to-orbit (ETO) reusable launch vehicles (RLVs) by 2005.

2nd GEN

Develop an integrated architecture with systems that build on commercial ETO launch vehicles to meet **NASA-Unique** requirements that cannot be economically served by commercial vehicles alone.

2nd GEN

Enable procurements of near-term, launch services for select International Space Station needs on **Existing and Emergent Commercial Launch Vehicles.**

Secure safe, reliable and cost-effective access to space in the far-term through investments in **3rd-Generation RLV Technologies** for ETO and in-space applications

Space Launch Initiative Goals

The goal of this Space Launch Initiative is for NASA to meet its future space flight needs, including human access to space, using commercial launch vehicles that will improve safety and reliability and reduce cost.

Safety Goal - *Improve safety to better than 1 in 10,000 Loss of Crew*
Cost Goal - *Reduce mission cost to $1000/lb*

Four principles exist:

Commercial Convergence – flying on privately owned and operated launch vehicles;

Competition – bringing innovation and new ideas to bear;

Assured Access – ensuring alternate means of getting to space despite launch mishaps;

The Ability to Evolve – adding new capabilities affordably as new mission needs emerge.

National Endeavor

"The Space Launch Initiative is an extremely ambitious undertaking. If successful – and I'm confident it will be – it will dramatically alter the economics of space launch. I believe that this Space Launch Initiative could ultimately have as profound an impact on space exploration and space commerce as anything our nation has ever attempted."



Dr. Neal Lane
Assistant to the President
for Science and Technology

2nd Gen Program Planning Process



2nd Generation Program Plan



2nd Generation RLV Objectives

What 2nd Gen RLV must accomplish to meet our Goals

Objectives	Success Criteria	Date	Approach
A. Converge National Needs – Industry, NASA, and DOD	U.S. Transportation Needs Document Approved by Space Transportation Council	Baseline is August 1999 and updated annually	Initiated for ISTP with annual updates from systems engineering process
B. Provide an architecture requirement set derived from converged needs for industry competition	2nd Generation RLV System Requirements Database Approved by Space Transportation Council	Preliminary in August 2000 and updated annually	Initiated for ISTP with annual updates from systems engineering process
C. Develop systems engineering processes and tools, and connect goals to risk reduction Investments	Risk Reduction Investment Strategies documented in project plans Tools developed and validated. Knowledge base developed.	Initial - August 2000 with periodic updates 2002 2005	Implement rigorous systems engineering process (Utilizing tools developed by ISE, Design for Safety Initiative, etc) Initiated with NRA 8-27
D. Abate business and technical risks through defined risk reduction activities	Architecture definition at a minimum of a PDR level. Government / Industry full-scale development contracts initiated.	2005	In-house/ contractor led advanced development and technology demonstrations, including ground and flight, complete
E. Architectural decision made to safely meet unique NASA needs	CRV/CCTV Decision complete. NASA unique architecture elements identified and in development	2002 2005	NASA unique requiremen ts identified in Obj. A & B, risk reduction investments from Obj. D
F. Enable alternative Space Station re-supply	Launch service agreement(s) in place and enabling activities complete	2002-2005	Perform initial studies to define activities. Develop and implement activities jointly between Code M and Code R

2nd Generation RLV Program Office Structure



Requirements Drives the Process



Safety

Reliability

Affordability

Mission Performance

→ Refine for Commercial Convergence → Define Priority Risk Reduction Needs → Define Scale, Fidelity, Test Environment for Tech Demos → Enable Full Scale Development With 20% Margin

Independent Assessment / Space Transportation Council Review

Include Shuttle Lessons Learned / Business Case Closure

Significant 2nd Technology Drivers





- **Crew Escape and Survival**
  - Detection, separation, ascent/descent
- **Operable, Long-life H_2/O_2 and RP/O_2 Engines**
  - 100 mission life, 50 missions to overhaul
- **Long life, lightweight integrated airframe**
  - Critical integrated cycle testing (500 missions)
- **Advanced TPS, IVHM, and Operations**
  - Quick turn vehicle with intelligent data analysis





- **Ejector Ramjet**
  - Improved performance margin
- **SHARP Leading Edges**
  - Global crossrange from orbit

Cutting Edge for 2nd Generation



2nd Gen RLV Relation to Other Programs

2nd Generation RLV coordinates investments with:
Shuttle
X-Vehicles
ASTP
Air Force



Shuttle Up-Grades





DOD Technologies



X-Vehicles



3rd Generation Technology

Space Shuttle Upgrades

- **Cooperation with the Space Shuttle Upgrades program is required to:**
  - Coordinate technology activities
  - Avoid duplication of effort
  - Consider application of Second Generation technologies to future Space Shuttle upgrades and the evolved Space Shuttle







X - Vehicles

- The 2nd Generation Program is linked to the success of the current X-Vehicle programs
- The current investment in X vehicles offers a unique opportunity to reduce risk through flight test
- The future use of the current X-vehicles and other flight vehicles is dependent upon selection within the competitive process









2nd/3rd Synergy Management Structure



Joint Air Force/NASA Efforts

- 2nd Generation Program is coordinating its risk reduction investments with ongoing Air Force Space Transportation investments.
- 2nd Generation Program will leverage/continue already initiated joint AF/NASA efforts including IPD, X-37, USFE, Advanced Peroxide Propulsion and MWG.











Total Program Acquisition Strategy

- Overall acquisition strategy will be in 2 major competitive phases
  - **Phase I (FY00 - 02)** develops architectures through System Requirements Definition and initiates risk reduction activities.
    - NRA8-27 converges and refines top level requirements, develops process and tools and defines risk reduction priorities.
    - NRA8-30, a single NASA Research Announcement seeking competitive systems engineering and risk reduction activities conceived by the offerors, in multiple areas of program interest (e.g. propulsion, airframe)
  - **Phase II (FY03 - 05)** focuses on architecture design and advanced technology development
    - Anticipate RFP(s) for the focused architecture design and Advanced Technology development activities
  - **Phases have decision gates** for program / project updates based on systems engineering results and Agency management milestones (e.g. Space Transportation Council, Non-advocate Review)
- In-House Risk Reduction Task
  - Cross cutting risk reduction - available to all concepts with no proprietary issues
  - Develops in-house "Smart Buyers".
  - A second cycle will be implemented to fill risk reduction gaps.
- Alternate Access will be addressed separately based on study results from current contracts

Total Program Acquisition Needs

- Support a **decision for commitment to full scale development** of the RLV architecture that meets NASA's goals (target date is 2005)
  - Industry teams to define an RLV architecture life cycle implementation with emphasis on Full Scale Development (FSD) technical and business metrics.
  - Rigorous system engineering, detailed trade studies, and risk reduction activity leading to concept design with acceptable technical and investment risks.
  - Business analysis must be supported with appropriate parameter identification and metric evaluation and show closure.
- **Maintain competition** and encourage solicitation of all good ideas.
  - Established Aerospace Companies
  - Emerging Aerospace Companies
  - Stand-alone Technologies from Companies not providing a system level architecture
- Resulting awards will provide appropriate Government insight to ensure successful development of the 2nd Gen RLV.
- Resulting awards will provide appropriate options to facilitate adjustments after major program **"Decision Gates".**
  - NAR recommendations
  - Systems Requirement Review
  - Commitment to Full Scale Development (FSD)

In-House Led Activities

High Priority / Schedule Critical Activities

Activities required for 2nd Gen based on STAS 3B / ISTP

Activities best performed by NASA / Gov't (expertise, data sharing, etc.)

Activities industry may not propose & must be initiated ASAP to support 2005

- Systems Engineering and requirements
  - **Systems Analysis Tool Development**
  - **Probabilistic Risk Assessment**
  - **ORM & S/C Database Enhancements**
  - **Uncertainty Analysis and Design**
  - **Commercial Cargo System Modeling Task**
- Propulsion
  - **Full-flow staged combustion injectors**
  - **Lox / H2 Combustion devices test bed**
  - **Turbomachinery technology demonstrator**
- Airframe
  - **Integrated Aerothermal and Structural-Thermal Analysis**
  - **Stage Separation and Ascent Aerothermodynamics**
  - **Materials and Advanced Manufacturing: Permeability Resistance**
  - **Lightweight, Informed, Micrometeoroid Resistant Ceramic TPS for Leading Edge and Acreage Applications**
- Crew Systems
  - **Cockpit Architecture Roadmap Team**
- Operations
  - **Advanced mission planning / ops w/ MOD**
  - **Future Launch vehicle Umbilical Development**
  - **Satellite Telemetry Acquisition and Range Study (STARS) and Space-Based Range Safety System**
- Vehicle Subsystems
  - **Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell (PEMFC) Power Plant Development**
- Integrated Vehicle Health Management
  - **IVHM Architecture Roadmap Team**
- Flight Mechanics
  - **Robust Integration Technology and test bed for RLV Navigation Systems**
  - **Natural Atmospheric Environment Technology Development**

Recent Accomplishments

- Successfully completed Program Readiness Review (May 17, 2000)
  - **First major milestone required by 7120.5A**
  - **"Program Formulation" until Non-advocate Review in June 2001**
- Systems Engineering
  - **Completed STAS 3B Final Reviews**
    - **Top level rqmts. input provided to NASA**
    - **All potential vehicle concepts are being assessed (e.g. Shuttle derived, new design) to meet NASA rqmts.**
    - **Industry top priorities remain main propulsion, airframe / cryotanks, TPS**
  - **Initiated further mission needs refinement and trade studies via NRA 8-27 contracts**
    - **Mid-term reports conducted week of Sept. 26-28, 2000**
    - **Final reports - Jan 31, 2001**
  - **CTV / CRV Planning on-going w/ JSC, LaRC, ARC, MSFC**
- Acquisition strategy developed
  - **Alternate Access study contracts in place**
  - **NASA led technology development tasks reviewed and selected, downstream selections will be based on contractor input via NRA 8-30**
  - **NRA 8-30**
    - **Pre-meeting w/ HQ Code H, G,R, & M held August 25, 2000**
    - **Acquisition strategy meeting held at HQ Sept. 11, 2000**
    - **Draft NRA released on September 21, Final to be released October 10**
- External requirements assessment team formulated
  - **Required skill areas (Shuttle / RLV experience, investment experience) identified, potential team members in work**

Summary

- Systems Engineering in work based on Space Transportation Council approved mission needs.

- Program Planning and Implementation continuing w/ broad Agency and Industry Participation

  - NRA 8-27 for requirements and tools development on-going
  - NASA In-House Development Tasks selected and set to begin
  - Draft NRA 8-30 for systems engineering and risk reduction activities on street with bidders conference planned for 10/13

- Alternate Access study contracts to develop concepts and requirements for emerging launch systems to support ISS are in place

- Looking forward to continuing to work with Industry to achieve the Nation's goal of developing the next generation Reusable Launch Vehicle

The X-33 Program Update

Charlie Dill, X-33 Assistant Program Manager

ST Day 2000:
Risk Reduction for the Next Generations

Oct. 11 - 12, 2000

- Program Objectives and Plans
- X-33 Configuration
- Technologies
- X-33 Assembly and Test Status

Outline



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - X33 Update

Program Overview



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - X33 Update

X-33 Elements

Isometric View





Expanded Views*



*Internal Septums Not Shown

Al LH_2 Tank Design

Aircraft-like Operations: Two Seven-Day Turnarounds and One Two-Day Turnaround During Flight Test Series





Flight 1	**Benign Thermal and Structural Loads**
Flight 2	**Intermediate**
Flight 3	**Real Gas Effects**
Flight 4	**Transition From Laminar to Turbulent Flow**
Flight 5	**Max Speed**
Flight 6	**Additional Increment of Real Gas Effects**
Flight 7	**Same Additional Increment**
Flights 8-15	**Margin to Repeat Specific Flight Profiles, Data Points**

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - X33 Update

Expanding The X-33 Envelope

- Demonstrate Aircraft-like Reusability, Maintenance and Scheduling
  - Flying One (1) Two-day Turnaround Flight.
  - Flying Two (2) Consecutive Seven-day Turnaround Flights.
- Robust Metallic TPS System
  - Achieve Thermal Protection System Multi-use Operating Limits.
  - Panel Seal Designs
  - Attachment System/Replaceability
- Composite Liquid Hydrogen Tank Mfg Processes/Assembly Techniques
- Linear Aerospike Engine
  - Performance
  - Plume/Vehicle Flowfield Interaction
- Vehicle Health Monitoring System
  - Fiber Optic Strain & Temperature Sensors
  - Fiber Optic Hydrogen Leak Detection Sensors
- Aerothermal Environment Prediction Verification
  - Measure Surface Catalysis Caused by Atomic Oxygen
  - Measure Boundary Layer Transition

Technologies Demonstrated

Overall Assembly 75% Complete

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - X33 Update

Vehicle Assembly in Palmdale

Overall Assembly 75% Complete





Websites On X-33: www.x33.msfc.nasa.gov
www.venturestar.com

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - X33 Update

X-33 Assembly Floor

Crews Wiring X-33's Avionics Bay Within Primary Assembly Structure



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - X33 Update

X-33 Assembly Floor



View Looking Aft



View Left to Right

Modified F-15E Strut / F-16 Tire/Wheel



RCS Auxiliary Propellant Tank and Control Valve Pallets





Avionics Bay

Systems Installations



Tails



Canted Fins



Body Flaps

Canted Fins and Tails

Upper Surface TPS
AFRSI/FRSI Blankets

Metallic TPS Fit Test

Metallic TPS Panel Layout



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - X33 Update

Thermal Protection System

- Test Conducted on Structural Test Article (STA) - Identical to X-33 Flight Tank
- Successfully Completed LO_2 Flight Tank Structural Verification
- STA Tank currently at Glenn Research Center for Propellant Densification Tests

LO_2 Tank Testing at MSFC



- **Technology**
  - Graphite/epoxy Composite Material
  - Primary Load Structure
  - Complex Lifting Body Geometry
  - Unique Stand-off Structure Thermal Shield Internally Cooled
- **Status**
  - First Test Tank Suffered Lobe Skin Delamination Following Simulated Launch Loads With Full Load of LH_2
  - Subscale Testing Was Successful
  - Joint NASA/Lockheed Martin Team Conducted Complete Failure Investigation
  - Further Development Required for Large Scale Cryogenic Tanks Serving As Primary Structure

LH2 Composite Tank Test at MSFC

Single Engine

~~Replace with Quick Time Movie~~

- Unprecedented Success With Extensive Test Program
  - Single Thruster: 13 Tests, 985 Seconds
  - Multi Cell: 10 Tests, 49 Seconds
  - Powerpack: 17 Tests, 1506 Seconds
  - Single Engine: 14 Tests, 1563 Seconds
- No Test Cutoffs Due to Hardware Malfunction
- Achieved Full Power Level on 6th Test
- Dual Engine Testing to Begin in October(Flt. Engines)







Dual Engine Assembly

Aerospike Engine, XRS-2200

Completed 25 - Acre, $32 Million X-33 Flight Operations Center on Edwards Air Force Base, Calif.



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - X33 Update

Flight Operations Center



Translating Shelter and Strong Back with Weight Simulator



Eight-Person Control Room



Strong Back with Weight Simulator

Flight Operations Center

5-7

182P

Sharon Welch
s.s.welch@larc.nasa.gov
757-864-6611
2nd Gen RLV Airframe Project Manager
NASA Langley Research Center
Hampton, VA 23681

Dr. David Bowles
d.e.bowles@larc.nasa.gov
757-864-3095
3rd Gen RLV Airframe Project Manager
NASA Langley Research Center
Hampton, VA 23681

SPACE TRANSPORTATION TECHNOLOGY WORKSHOP
MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER
OCTOBER 11-12, 2000

Airframe/TPS

Airframe/TPS Session

- **Each session chair(s) should:**
  - **Coordinate with their co-chair**
  - **Develop and show an agenda**
  - **Introduce each speaker**
  - **Lead with an overview of their area**
    - Show your roadmap(s)
    - Show Working Groups and membership
  - **Give contact info (name, phone, email)**
  - **Get your charts plus your presenters charts to Bruce Shelton (bruce.shelton@msfc.nasa.gov or 256-544-5231) by COB Sept 12**

- **Each speaker should address (as appropriate):**
  - **Technology goals and objectives**
  - **Background**
  - **Current status**
  - **Major accomplishments**
  - **Near term plans (including upcoming milestones)**
  - **Give contact info (name, phone, email)**

- **Use this as a template**

- 12:45 - 1:00 Introduction 2nd Gen RLV Airframe — S. Welch
- 1:00 - 1:20 Airframe Design and Integration — S. Scotti
- 1:20 - 1:40 Aerothermodynamics — C. Miller
- 1:40 - 2:00 Structures and Materials — T. Johnson
- 2:00 - 2:20 Tanks — D. Smith
- 2:20 - 2:40 Thermal Protection Systems — M. Rezin
- 2:40 - 3:00 Integrated Airframe Demonstrations — D. Glass

- 3:00 - 3:05 BREAK

- 3:05 - 3:30 Introduction 3rd Gen RLV Airframe — D. Bowles
- 3:30 - 3:55 Integrated Design and Analysis — T. Gates
- 3:55 - 4:20 Integrated Thermal Str. & Materials — B. Jensen
- 4:20 - 4:45 Thermal Protection Systems — S. Johnson

Airframe/TPS

Agenda

- Identification of Airframe Issues and Critical Technology Development Requirements- STAS Reports/Internal NASA Assessments
- 2nd Gen RLV Airframe Requirements Flowdown
- Element Managers
- Project Steering Council
- 2nd Gen RLV Airframe Roadmap
- Review of Elements - Recent Accomplishments
  - Airframe Design and Integration
  - Aero/Aerothermodynamics
  - Structures and Materials
  - Tanks
  - TPS
  - Integrated Airframe/Cryotank Demonstrations

Introduction 2nd Gen RLV Airframe

- Range of concepts considered incluude - SSTO, TSTO, HTHL, VTHL, CTV
- Airframe Technical Issues
  - Performance and Weight Margins Too Low
  - Reliability/Reusability - safety goal appears achievable, but vehicle reliability not well understood; need for crew escape identified, but airframe requirements not defined
  - Aero Controls - Separation dynamics, stability and control
  - Propulsion/Airframe Integration
  - Operations



Airframe/TPS

STAS/Internal NASA Assessments

Based on Historical data for New Space Transportation Systems



1995 Independent Assessment of X-33 Technology Development Program

"...the committee is concerned about the 15 percent maximum weight-growth margin specified by the program managers; 20 to 25 percent weight-growth margin is typical during the early stage of design development. The need to control weight growth tightly this early in the program <u>places a premium on accurate calculation of structural performance and weight and on early verification that the structure can be built at or below the predicted weight</u>."

-- from National Academy of Sciences Committee on Reusable Launch Vehicle Technology and Test Program - 1995

Airframe/TPS

Weight Growth and Weight Margin



Airframe/TPS

Airframe Requirements Flowdown

Project Management



Airframe Design and Integration
Dr. Kim S. Bey
Phone: (757) 864-1351
k.s.bey@larc.naa.gov

Aero/Aerothermodynamics
Charles G. Miller
Phone: (757) 864-5020
c.g.miller@larc.nasa.gov

Structures and Materials
Dr. James H. Starnes
Phone: (757) 864-3168
j.h.starnes@larc.nasa.gov

Tanks
Drew Smith
Phone: (256) 544-4932
drew.smith@msfc.nasa.gov

TPS
Dr. Marc Rezin
Phone: (650) 604-6395
mrezin@mail.arc.nasa.gov

Element Managers

Airframe Project - Sharon Welch

Element Working Groups	Element Managers	Affiliation
Airframe Design and Integration	Kim Bey	NASA
Aero/Aerothermodynamics	Charles Miller	NASA
Structures and Materials	Jim Starnes	NASA
Tanks	Drew Smith	NASA
Thermal Protection Systems	Marc Rezin	NASA

	Consultants	
Full/Large Scale Int. Airframe/ Cryotank Demos	Industry/Element Working Groups	Industry/NASA/DoD
NASA Facilities Development	Jerry Housner	2nd Gen RLV
	James Wycoff	Systems Engineering
	John Balboni	Facilities Req. Team
NASA/DoD Req. Convergence	Michael Stropki	AFRL
	Mike Lovern	BMDO
Structures IVHM	Bob Rogowski	NASA

Airframe/TPS

Airframe Project Steering Council

Airframe/TPS Roadmap



Stephen Scotti
s.j.scotti@larc.nasa.gov
757-864-5431
METALS AND THERMAL STRUCTURES BRANCH
NASA LANGLEY RESEARCH CENTER
HAMPTON, VA 23681

SPACE TRANSPORTATION TECHNOLOGY WORKSHOP
MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER
OCTOBER 11-12, 2000

Airframe/TPS

AIRFRAME DESIGN AND INTEGRATION

- **Integrated Design Tools and Methods**

- **Integrated Airframe Trade Studies**
  NRA 8-21 Overview

- **Risk and Reliability Assessment**
  LaRC Points of Contact:

Jeff Stroud
Analytical and Computational Methods Branch, Structures and Materials
w.j.stroud@larc.nasa.gov
757-864-2928

Tom Zang
Multi-Disciplinary Design and Optimization Branch
t.a.zang@larc.nasa.gov
757-864-2307

AIRFRAME DESIGN AND INTEGRATION: Major Areas

- Decompose operational, safety, and cost requirements into a comprehensive and consistent set of design criteria for different structural and material concepts for Reusable Launch Vehicles (RLVs)

- Develop compliance methods to ensure that different structural and material concepts are assessed at a consistent and adequate level of fidelity and safety

- Develop and assess weight reduction potential of integrated airframe concepts for RLVs, e.g. Thermal Protection System (TPS)/ TPS Support (TPSS)/ Cryogenic Tank (CT) System

- Compare performance and weight of various airframe structural and material concepts and structural arrangements and identify technology development needs

- Develop high fidelity parametric models that include airframe structural interactions and major design drivers

AIRFRAME INTEGRATION TRADE STUDIES
GENERAL OBJECTIVES

- Define vehicle requirements, definition, packaging
- Define airframe structural design requirements and develop compliance methods
- Define load conditions, loads, factors of safety, and materials
- Define integrated concepts
- Develop methods, perform analysis, and sizing
- Calculate system weights
- Assess concepts

TRADE STUDIES: GENERAL APPROACH



RLV Requirements

- Lightweight
- Fully reusable
- Easily maintained

Vehicle Definition

- Single Stage to Orbit (SSTO)
- Lifting body

Major Components

- Aerospike engines
- Engine Thrust Structure
  (integrates engines, fins, tanks, and main landing gear)
- Liquid Oxygen (LOX) Tank
- Liquid Hydrogen (LH2) Tanks
- Intertank
- Metallic Thermal Protection System and support structure

Airframe/TPS

SYSTEM DEFINITION

♦ **John T. Dorsey**	Airframe Integration & Concepts, Vehicle Loads, Weights (Study Lead, NRA 8-21)
♦ **Max Blosser**	TPS Concepts (TPS Team Lead)
♦ **Carl Poteet**	TPS Thermal Analysis and Sizing, TPS Concepts
♦ **Roger Chen**	TPS Panel Acoustic, Fatigue, Creep Analysis & Sizing
♦ **Irv Schmidt**	TPS Panel and Tank Stiffening Design
♦ **John Wang**	Semi-Conformal & Lobed Tank Analysis and Sizing
♦ **Su-Yuen Hsu**	TPS Panel Structural Analysis and Sizing
♦ **Lynn Bowman**	Non-Optimum and Vehicle Weights
♦ Jeff Cerro	Material Properties, Vehicle Loads
♦ Nani Balakrishnan	TPS Panel Structural Analysis and Sizing
♦ David Myers	Packaging, Weights, & TPS
♦ **Kevin Rivers**	2nd Gen RLV Airframe Integration and Trades Lead
♦ **Kim Bey**	Aerothermal Loads, Thermal Analysis, TPS Sizing

Airframe/TPS

TRADE STUDY TEAM

- Tank Packaging and Geometry
  - Packaging configurations
  - Lobed versus conformal tanks
  - Minimize Distance between Outer Mold Line (OML) and Tank
- Component Trade Studies
  - Tanks
  - TPS and support structure
- Integrated TPS, TPS support, and tanks
  - Applicable to several architectures
  - In progress

TRADE STUDIES FOR NRA 8-21: MAJOR CATEGORIES



Airframe/TPS

PACKAGING CONFIGURATIONS

- **Material Specifications**
  - Quasi-isotropic PMC laminates
  - Limit strain 6000 μin./in.
  - Minimum gage

- **Load Cases**
  - Launch (1.355 g's)
  - Max acceleration (3 g's)



- **Interactions:**
  - LOX-aft: reduced LH2 ullage pressure for tank stabilization changes engine operating requirements
  - External payload and aerodynamics

Airframe/TPS

CONFIGURATION SIZING



Conformal Tanks Conform to Vehicle OML

Benefits:

- Increased tank volume/packaging efficiency
- Reduced TPS support structure
- Improved thrust load paths

Airframe/TPS

TANK GEOMETRY TRADE STUDIES

Axial Tension Webs



Frames & Ties



Orthogrid & Ties



Transverse Webs



Quad-lobe & Webs



Airframe/TPS

TANK STIFFENING CONCEPTS CONSIDERED

Corner radius = 0.635 m
Pressure = 137.9 KPa

No manufacturing min. gauge



Airframe/TPS

TANK STIFFENING WEIGHTS COMPARISON



Airframe/TPS

SEMI-CONFORMAL SANDWICH TANK SYSTEM: FEM FOR SIZING

(In Progress)

Metallic TPS Concepts for Windward Aeroshell Surfaces

Rohr X-33 Concept (baseline)	LaRC-Type TPS Panels with Lattice Seal & Support Frames	LaRC-Type TPS Panels Mounted to Carrier Plates
		
•Thermal stresses minimized •Light weight system •Simplified manufacturing •Seals on hot surface •Hot surfaces carry aero pressures •Panel damage/loss potentially catastrophic	•Seals and pressure bearing surface moved to cooler region •Tolerant to outer surface damage •More complex support structure •More costly TPS panel •Panel loss potentially catastrophic	•Carrier panel increases TPS options •Improved damage tolerance •Reduces number of seals •Pressure carried on large, cool panel •Heavier than other concepts •Complicates removal for inspection •Potential thermal stress issues



TPS AND SUPPORT STRUCTURE WEIGHTS

REPRESENTATIVE STRUCTURAL CONCEPTS





FEATURES

- Metallic TPS
- TPS Support Structure
- Purge Gap
- Cryogenic Foam
- Sandwich or Stiffened Skin Tank Wall



FEATURES

- Metallic TPS
- Direct Attach TPS
- Cryogenic Foam
- Sandwich, Stiffened Skin, or Integrally-Stiffened Tank Wall

Airframe/TPS

INTEGRATED TPS/TPSS/TANK SYSTEM DEFINITION

- Hierarchy of sizing methods are needed to support trade studies and concept assessment. Even low fidelity sizing must capture effect of major design drivers (e.g. geometry, size, load, ...)

- Major deficiencies exist in the types of material data needed to optimize integrated airframe (TPS/TPS Support/Tank systems) for both metallic and polymeric composite systems

- Critical interactions exist within airframe systems, and between airframe and vehicle systems. New analytical formulations and/or tools are needed to take advantage of those interactions which significantly improve or enable vehicle/system viability.

Airframe/TPS

CONCLUDING REMARKS



Airframe/TPS - Aerothermodynamics

Aerothermodynamics

Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)



Ground-based testing

LMSW 603 B1001 at Mach 20



Calibrated against one another

Applied to flight (ascent/ decent)



Materials

Structural Design

Thermal Protection System

Operations

Guidance, Control and Navigation

Airframe/TPS - Aerothermodynamics

Aerothermodynamics Provides Critical - Path Information



Airframe/TPS - Aerothermodynamics

Aerothermodynamic Process



Airframe/TPS - Aerothermodynamics

Aerothermodynamic Methodology



Airframe/TPS - Aerothermodynamics

LaRC is NASA Lead For Aerothermodynamics



Airframe/TPS - Aerothermodynamics

Aerothermodynamic "Chain"



Airframe/TPS - Aerothermodynamics

Aerothermodynamic Flight Simulation Capability



Airframe/TPS - Aerothermodynamics

LaRC Subsonic-to-Hypersonic Wind Tunnels













Airframe/TPS - Aerothermodynamics

Testing Techniques

Vehicle Concept

Model Fabrication

- Casting of ceramic models
- Rapid turnaround
- Complex shapes



Wind Tunnel Testing

- Two-color fluorescence
- State-of-art computerized acquisition system



Analysis of Measurements

- Nonlinear theory to infer accurate temperatures
- User-friendly computer program (IHEAT)

Aeroheating data to customers

Airframe/TPS - Aerothermodynamics

Phosphor Thermography Process



Airframe/TPS - Aerothermodynamics

Extrapolation of Measurements to Flight



Airframe/TPS - Aerothermodynamics

Complementary Measurements: X-33



Space Station

Aeroassist Orbital Transfer



Space Transportation



Aerospace Planes

Free Molecular Flow | Transitional Flow | Continuum Flow

LaRC Workhorse Codes for X-33/X-34/X-37

- [redacted]
- [redacted]
- [redacted]

- Navier Stokes*
- Viscous Shock Layer
- Inviscid/Boundary Layer
- Direct Simulation Monte Carlo

DSMC Analysis Code (DAC); 3D capability developed by Jay LeBeau (JSC) and Dr. Richard Wilmoth; physical modeling per Dr. Graeme Bird, Univ. of Sydney

* Also, GASP, registered trademark of AeroSoft, Inc.

Airframe/TPS - Aerothermodynamics

Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)



Airframe/TPS - Aerothermodynamics

Computational - Experimental Synergism



Airframe/TPS - Aerothermodynamics

X-33 Boundary Layer Transition Methodology



Airframe/TPS - Aerothermodynamics

Recent LaRC Aerothermodynamic Contributions



Airframe/TPS - Aerothermodynamics

Aerospace Vehicle Design: Risk vs. Time

Calibration/validation of experimental and computational tools via comparisons to flight data

- **Aerodynamic performance/aeroheating characteristics extracted from flights**

BMDO/ISTEF IR Image of STS-96





LaRC Mapping Code

Demonstrate on Orbiter prior to X-33 application

On-board and off-board aeroheating measurements

Airframe/TPS - Aerothermodynamics

Future Plans

- **"Review of X-33 Hypersonic Aerodynamic and Aerothermodynamic Development"; ICA-0323**
  Richard A. Thompson, NASA Langley Research Center
  Presented at 22nd International Congress of Aeronautical Sciences, August 27 - Sept 1, 2000, Harrogate, United Kingdom

  Paper available:

  http://techreports.larc.nasa.gov/ltrs/PDF/2000/mtg/NASA-2000-22cicas-rat.pdf

  Extensive list of references

- **Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets; Vol. 36, No. 2, Mar-Apr 1999**
  Special Section: X-34; pages 153-239
  (collection of nine papers)

Reference Sources for Recent RLV Studies

♦ 12:45 - 1:00 Introduction 2nd Gen RLV Airframe	S. Welch
♦ 1:00 - 1:20 Airframe Design and Integration	S. Scotti
♦ 1:20 - 1:40 Aerothermodynamics	C. Miller
♦ 1:40 - 2:00 Structures and Materials	T. Johnson
♦ 2:00 - 2:20 Tanks	D. Smith
♦ 2:20 - 2:40 Thermal Protection Systems	M. Rezin
♦ 2:40 - 3:00 Integrated Airframe Demonstrations	D. Glass

♦ 3:00 - 3:05 BREAK

♦ 3:05 - 3:30 Introduction 3rd Gen RLV Airframe	D. Bowles
♦ 3:30 - 3:55 Integrated Design and Analysis	T. Gates
♦ 3:55 - 4:20 Integrated Thermal Str. & Materials	B. Jensen
♦ 4:20 - 4:45 Thermal Protection Systems	S. Johnson

2nd Gen Airframe/TPS - Structures and Materials:

Agenda

2nd Generation RLV Airframe Structures and Materials

Theodore F. Johnson
NASA Langley Research Center
757-864-5418
t.f.johnson@larc.nasa.gov

Presented at the RLV Technology Workshop
NASA Marshall Space Flight Center
October 10-11, 2000

- Goals and Objectives
- Structures and Materials Roadmap
- Recent Accomplishments
- Description of NASA-led Tasks
- Summary

2nd Gen Airframe/TPS - Structures and Materials:

Presentation Outline

- Develop and demonstrate verified airframe and cryotank structural design and analysis technologies
  - Damage tolerance, safety, reliability and residual strength technologies
  - Robust nonlinear shell and cryotank analysis technologies
  - High-fidelity analysis and design technologies for local structural detail features and joints
  - High-fidelity analysis technologies for sandwich structures

- Demonstrate low cost, robust materials and processing
  - Polymeric Matrix Composite (PMC) and metallic materials and processing
  - Refractory composite and metallic hot structures materials and processing

2nd Gen Airframe/TPS - Structures and Materials:

Goals and Objectives

- Develop and demonstrate robust airframe structures and validated integrated airframe structural concepts
  - Low cost fabrication and joining
  - Operations efficient designs and inspection techniques, NDE
  - Scale-up and integrated thermal structural test
  - Airframe structures IVHM

- Demonstrate low cost, robust repair techniques

- Develop verified integrated airframe structural concepts
  - Integrated (Primary & cryotank struct./Insulation/TPS) structural concepts

2nd Gen Airframe/TPS - Structures and Materials:

Goals and Objectives - Cont.

- Plans developed for all structures and materials technology tasks work is being initiated
- High-fidelity nonlinear shell analysis capability under development for response, damage tolerance and residual strength analyses
- Lifecycle and durability testing of materials and structures are currently being conducted
- Tests of curved stiffened composite panel planned for cryo-pressure box test facility
- Cryo-pressure box successfully completed Operational Readiness Review (ORR)
- Developing test capability for Helium permeation under combined temperature and mechanical loads

2nd Gen Airframe/TPS - Structures and Materials:

Recent Accomplishments

Maximum Principal Strain Plots



Linear Analysis



Nonlinear Analysis

2nd Gen Airframe/TPS - Structures and Materials:

Multi-Lobed Tank Nonlinear Analysis

Space Shuttle Superlightweight External Tank Identifies LO_2 Tank Local Stability Mode







2nd Gen Airframe/TPS - Structures and Materials:

High Fidelity Nonlinear Analysis



Objective: Develop verified high fidelity analysis tools to reduce dependence on tests

High fidelity analysis



Technologies:

- High fidelity modeling
- Rapid and accurate analysis tools
- Prediction capability for all failure mechanisms
- Progressive failure methods for residual strength
- Intelligent testing approaches

2nd Gen Airframe/TPS - Structures and Materials:

High Fidelity Non-Linear Structural Analysis for Predicting Complex Structural Phenomena



2nd Gen Airframe/TPS - Structures and Materials:

Predicted and Measured Response of a Composite Shell Structure

Laboratory Coupons

M(T) 12.0 in., 2a = 4 in.





Fuselage Panel with Multiple Site Damage (MSD)







2nd Gen Airframe/TPS - Structures and Materials:

Applying Advanced Methods for Residual Strength Predictions

Tension-loaded composite plate with a cutout



2nd Gen Airframe/TPS - Structures and Materials:

Progressive Failure Analysis

Maximum load solution for a composite plate with a cutout



Percent Failed Plies per Element



3430 lb.

Longitudinal Stress Distribution in Outer 0-deg. Ply





Progressive Failure Analysis - Cont.

RLV wing box test setup



Measured and calculated strains on an RLV wingbox upper and lower surfaces



2nd Gen Airframe/TPS - Structures and Materials:

X-33 Phase I Graphite Composite Wing Box Design Validation Test

Distributed Fiber-Optic (F/O) Sensing for Structures IVHM



High Density Structural Sensors

- 10,000 Sensors < 1 pound
- Strain, Temperature, & Hydrogen (Propellant Leaks)
- Future Research - Vibration, Shape, Acoustic Emission, Chemistry (Corrosion)
- < $10/Sensor









2nd Gen Airframe/TPS - Structures and Materials:

Distributed Fiber Optic Sensing

Capabilities:

Loads

- Bi-axial tension is applied
- Max. axial load is 450 kips
- Max. internal pressure is 45 psig
- Internal cooling to -400°F
- Internal heating to 250°F
- External heating to 1000°F

Geometry

- Panel size is 65 in. x 76 1/2 in.
- Panel radii from 130 in. to 266 in. (80 in. is possible)
- Panels can have internal and external ring frames and stringers

Benefit

s
- Full-scale tank features
- Testing at subcomponent costs





2nd Gen Airframe/TPS - Structures and Materials:

Cryogenic Pressure Box Facility



2nd Gen Airframe/TPS - Structures and Materials:

Cryogenic Pressure Box Panel

- Combined thermal and structural analysis
- Traceable solutions to flight articles

Structural Analysis



Full sized articles

Tank geometry modelled as a cylinder



Representative panel section

Graphite-Epoxy Externally stiffened LH_2 tank

Thermal Analysis



2nd Gen Airframe/TPS - Structures and Materials:

Correlation of Analysis to Test

- Any honeycomb material can be foam filled - Korex, Nomex, Graphite, etc.
- Honeycomb cell size from 1/8" and larger can be foam filled.
- Honeycomb core thickness from 1/4" and larger.
- Foam densities ~2.6 pcf.

2nd Gen Airframe/TPS - Structures and Materials:

TEEK Foam Filled Honeycomb Materials

Cross-Section of fixture



Specimens

Substrate: 1' x 2' & 1' x 4'

Min. Temp.
-423°F (Cryo. side)
10°F (Foam surface)

Max. Temp.
250°F (Cryo. side)
450°F (Foam surface)

Max. Load: 110 kips

2nd Gen Airframe/TPS - Structures and Materials:

Thermal/Mechanical Tension Test





Hoop Y-joint



Axial Y-joint

Specimens

- 2 Hoop Tension Y-Joints
  - Arm-to-Barrel step joint
- 2 Axial Tension Y-Joints
  - Axial and Arm-to-Barrel step joint

Test Plan

- 10 Thermal Cycles (-423°F to +250°F)
- Pull to failure at -423°F
- Record failure load, failure mode

2nd Gen Airframe/TPS - Structures and Materials:

Hoop & Axial Y-Joint Tests



2nd Gen Airframe/TPS - Structures and Materials:

Materials in Structural Applications

- **NASA's Thermal Protection System (TPS) Technologies**
  - RLV Focused Projects
  - Recent or Emerging Technologies
- **NASA's TPS Research, Development & Testing Capabilities**
  - TPS Development Approach
  - Laboratories
  - Modeling
  - Databases
  - Test Facilities
- **TPS POC**

Marc Rezin
NASA Ames Research Center
(650) 604-6395
mrezin@mail.arc.nasa.gov

TPS Technologies & Capabilities

- **Constituents and Fabrication Techniques for Metallic TPS** - *LaRC*
  - Advanced joining techniques
  - Surface property characterization
  - Durable, lightweight coating development
- **Metallic TPS Concepts** - *LaRC*
  - Improved metallic TPS concepts
  - Lower risk, subsurface panel-to-panel seals, cooler subsurface attachments
- **Advanced Durable Blanket TPS** - *ARC Partnership w/ Industry*
  - Lower fabrication and maintenance unit costs
  - Suitable for application to windward vehicle surfaces
- **High Temperature Integrated Structures** - *LaRC Partnership w/ Industry*
  - Structurally integrated high temperature wing design
  - Reduced manufacturing costs and improved load bearing characteristics

RLV Focused Project of the ASTP

- **Durability**
  - TUFI & White TUFI on AETB Ceramic Tiles - *ARC*
  - Structural Seals & Thermal Barriers - *GRC*
  - Toughened LI-900 - *ARC*
  - Metallic Sandwich Panel TPS - *LaRC*
  - Metal Covered Ceramic Blankets (DurAFRSI) - *ARC*
  - CMC Covered Ceramic Tiles - *LaRC*
  - Advanced Durable Ceramic Blankets - *ARC with Industry Partner*
- **Lower Thermal Conductance**
  - Reduced Conductivity AETB Ceramic Tiles - *ARC*
  - Nano-Phase Ceramic Insulations - *ARC*
    - Aerogel & other xerogel composites

Recent or Emerging Technologies

♦ Higher Temperature Capability

- Ultra-High Temperature Ceramics - *ARC, GRC, LaRC*
  - including Zr & Hf based ceramic composites
- High Temperature Seals - *GRC*
- Heat Pipe Cooled Leading Edges - *LaRC*
- TUFI-HT, Ultra-TUFI on AETB Ceramic Tiles - *ARC*
- Higher Temperature Coatings for CMCs - *JSC, LaRC*
- Light-weight Ceramic Ablators - *ARC*
  - SIRCA, PICA, SPLIT

♦ Lower TPS Life Cycle Costs

- TPS Health Monitoring Techniques - *ARC, KSC, & Industry Partners*
  - Remote scanning with distributed passive sensors
- Organo-ceramic Materials - *ARC*
  - QUIC-Fix, QUIC-Stick, QUIC-TUFI
- Higher-Temperature Felts - *ARC*
- Integral Cryogenic Insulation / TPS - *ARC, MSFC*

Recent or Emerging Technologies, ctd.



A Synergistic, Multidisciplinary Approach, Combining National Arc-jet Facilities, Material Development Labs, and Analysis Capabilities

Extensive Industry Interaction, Collaboration and Technology Transfer

TPS Development Approach

- **Materials Development & Characterization Laboratories**
  - *ARC, GRC, JSC, LaRC*
    - Material Processing & Optimization
    - Material Structure Analysis
    - Thermo-mechanical Properties
    - Thermo-chemical Stability
    - Optical Properties
    - Heat Transfer Properties
- **Modeling** - *ARC, GRC, LaRC*
    - Vehicle Flow Environments, Aerodynamic & Aerothermal Predictions
    - TPS Material Response Analysis & Sizing
    - Ground Test Facility Flow Characterization for Optimal Testing
    - Test Model Design Optimization
    - Post-test Data Analysis & Interpretation

Material & Analytical Capabilities

http://tpsx.arc.nasa.gov

- TPS*X* is an engineering design tool that provides material property and performance data on a variety of thermal protection system materials.
- TPS*X* contains data on over 500 materials and allows users to search, display and output the information in several formats .
- TPS*X* is available as a downloadable Windows program and on the Web.
- TPS*X* has nearly 1000 registered users and the web site receives ~1200 hits per week.



TPS*X* Web Edition



TPS*X* Windows Program

Space Transportation Technology Workshop - Airframe Section

Thermal Protection Systems Expert & Material Property Database

- **Arc Jets**
  - ARC, JSC
- **Radiant Heating Facilities**
  - ARC, JSC
- **Wind Tunnels**
  - ARC, LaRC, MSFC
- **Aircraft-based Testbeds**
  - DFRC
- **Impact Durability Assessment**
  - ARC
- **Vibro-acoustic Facilities**
  - ARC, LaRC

Test Facilities

INTEGRATED AIRFRAME DEMONSTRATIONS



David E. Glass and J. Wayne Sawyer
NASA Langley Research Center
Tel: (757) 864-5423
d.e.glass@larc.nasa.gov



Space Transportation Technology Workshop

- Evolution of technology
  - Increase heat flux/ temperature capability
  - Decrease cost, complexity and weight
  - Increase size



- Control surfaces
  - Accomplishments and status
    - C/C elevon (NASP)
    - Ruddervator and flaperon (X-37)
  - Next step
    - Full size orbiter body flap

- Heat-pipe-cooled leading edges (HPCLE)
  - Accomplishments and status
    - Metallic (Shuttle)
    - C/C (NASP)



  - Next step
    - C/C HPCLE segment for radiant heating test and orbiter flight experiment

HOT STRUCTURE COMPONENTS FOR POTENTIAL FLIGHT DEMO

- Objective
  - Develop and verify the technology required for application of minimal weight control surfaces that meet NASP vehicle requirements
- Approach
  - Develop design and fabrication concepts
  - Verify concept design through sub-component fabrication and tests
  - Design and fabricate full-scale segment of C/C control surface
  - Verify design and fabrication technology by thermal/structural tests
- Payoff
  - Vehicle enabling
  - Reduced structural weight



Components



CONTROL SURFACES

C/C CONTROL SURFACE FOR NASP

- Objectives
  - Develop and validate C/SiC control surfaces for the X-37
  - Deliver 2 flight approved flaperons and 2 moveable ruddervators for installation on the flight vehicle

- Team members
  - LaRC - lead
  - Boeing - requirements
  - BF Goodrich - C/SiC fabrication
  - MR&D - consultant
  - AFRL - test



Flaperon



Ruddervator



Space Transportation Technology Workshop

CONTROL SURFACES
X-37 FLIGHT COMPONENTS

- Material property and sub-element (RT - 2800°F)
- Subcomponent (RT)
- Full scale thermal/structural test component
- Proof test of flight article (RT)



Schematic diagram of ruddervator thermal/structural test at AFRL

CONTROL SURFACES

X-37 FLAPERON AND RUDDERVATOR VALIDATION

- Status
  - Design, analysis, and fabrication validated through thermal/structural tests and analysis of large full-scale segment of C/C control surface for NASP

  - Design, analysis, and fabrication will be validated through thermal/structural tests and analysis and through flight of small full-scale flaperons and ruddervators for the NASA/Boeing X-37 vehicle and small full-scale body flap for the X-38 vehicle

- Issues
  - Validation of major load bearing structural joints in C/C or CMC structures

  - Technology required for the fabrication of large multi-part components using C/C or CMC materials

  - Life cycle performance of large hot structures components

CONTROL SURFACES
STATUS & ISSUES

Hot Structures Control Surfaces



C/C control surface for NASP

Ceramic matrix composite flap for X-37



- Phase I
  - Design concepts developed
  - Fabrication plan developed
  - Sub-component test articles designed
- Phase II
  - Sub-component test articles fabricated
  - Design/fabrication validated through sub-component analysis and tests
  - Full-scale component (shuttle or RLV size) design developed
- Phase III
  - Full-scale control surface fabricated
  - Design/fabrication validated through thermal/structural analysis and tests
  - Flight test

- **Current State of the Art**
  - Aluminum or low-temperature composite structure with ceramic tile TPS
- **Performance Metrics**
  - Reduced weight, more durable and less maintenance and operating costs than current Space Shuttle control surfaces
- **Potential risks**
  - Higher initial cost
- **Participants**
  - LaRC, DFRC, industry



- Design and fabricate large full-scale C/C or CMC control surface component for Space Shuttle or RLV size vehicle



- Validate the design and fabrication procedure through static thermal/structural tests and analysis



- Evaluate life-cycle performance through simulated mul reentry thermal/structural load cycles

- Flight test on Space Shuttle or RLV size vehicle

CONTROL SURFACES

POTENTIAL TASKS FOR FLIGHT DEMO

- 12:45 - 1:00 Introduction 2nd Gen RLV Airframe — S. Welch
- 1:00 - 1:20 Airframe Design and Integration — S. Scotti
- 1:20 - 1:40 Aerothermodynamics — C. Miller
- 1:40 - 2:00 Structures and Materials — T. Johnson
- 2:00 - 2:20 Tanks — D. Smith
- 2:20 - 2:40 Thermal Protection Systems — M. Rezin
- 2:40 - 3:00 Integrated Airframe Demonstrations — D. Glass

- 3:00 - 3:05 BREAK

- 3:05 - 3:30 Introduction 3rd Gen RLV Airframe — D. Bowles
- 3:30 - 3:55 Integrated Design and Analysis — T. Gates
- 3:55 - 4:20 Integrated Thermal Str. & Materials — B. Jensen
- 4:20 - 4:45 Thermal Protection Systems — S. Johnson

3rd Generation Agenda

FY01 and Beyond Program Plan

POC: Dave Bowles
3rd Gen Airframe Program Manager
(757) 864-3095
d.e.bowles@larc.nasa.gov

- **Project Scope:**
  - Develop and demonstrate 3rd generation airframe technologies that provide significant reductions in cost of space transportation systems while dramatically improving the safety and higher operability of those systems.

- **Supports Goal 9**
  - Earth-to-Orbit (Goal 9): Conduct research and technology development and demonstrations which will enable U.S. industry to increase safety by four orders of magnitude (loss of vehicle/crew probability less than 1 in 1,000,000 missions) and reduce costs by two orders of magnitude ($100's per pound) within 25 years.

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Project Description



Integrated Airframe Design
(LaRC Lead)



Int. Thermal Structures & Materials
(LaRC Lead)



Thermal Protection Systems
(ARC Lead)



Aero/Aerothermo Enhancement
(LaRC Lead, No FY00 Funding)

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Airframe Technology Elements



3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Airframe Organization and WBS

- Integrated Design & Analysis
  - Dr. James H. Starnes
  - (757) 864-3168
  - j.h.starnes@larc.nasa.gov
- Integrated Thermal Structures & Materials
  - Dr. Stephen J. Scotti
  - (757) 864-5431
  - s.j.scotti@larc.nasa.gov
- Thermal Protection Systems
  - Dr. Louis J. Salerno
  - (650) 604-318
  - lsalerno@mail.arc.nasa.gov
- Aero/Aerothermodynamic Enhancements
  - Dr. Charles G. Miller
  - (757) 864-5221
  - c.g.miller@larc.nasa.gov

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Element Lead Contact Information

1. Dave Bowles (Acting Chair), Project Manager, LaRC
2. Jim Starnes, Integrated Airframe Design Element Lead, LaRC
3. Steve Scotti, Integrated Thermal Structures and Materials Element Lead, LaRC
4. Lou Salerno, Thermal Protection Systems Element Lead, ARC
5. Charles Miller, Aero/Aerothermal Enhancement Element Lead, LaRC
6. Frances Hurwitz, GRC
7. Pete Rodriguez, MSFC
8. Jason Hatakeyama, Boeing
9. Derek Townsend, Lockheed Michoud
10. Ravi Deo, Northrup-Grumman
11. Mike Stropki, DoD (alternate Dan Cleyrat)
12. Tom Dragone- OSC
13. Roger Kimmel, DoD

Ex-Officio:

1. Marshall Merriam ARC
2. Partha Dasgupta, GRC
3. Gaspare Maggio, SAIC

TWG Scope

- **Government and Industry participants**
- **Primary responsibilities**
  - Review technical progress and results (annual?)
  - Recommend technical priorities
  - Foster coordination with industry and other government agencies

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Technical Working Group (TWG)

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Top Level Budget Summary

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Task Structure and Leads



Goals, Objectives, Challenges, Approaches

- **Goal:**
  - **Reduced Cost ($100/lb)**
  - **Increased Safety (LOC/LOV 1 in 10^6)**
- **Challenge:**
  - **How to meet both simultaneously?**
- **Strategy:**
  - **Requires paradigm change**

Conventional Paradigm:

Cost ↓ ***Safety*** ↓

New Paradigm:

Cost ↓ ***Safety*** ↑

- **Paradigm change achieved by**

Inherent Reliability through Robust Designs

Advanced Airframe Technologies Allow Robust Designs at Reduced Weights

- ***High fidelity, reliability based analysis and design methodologies***
- ***Advanced materials and structural concepts***

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Strategy for Meeting the Goals



3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Project Roadmap



- Goals
  - Contribute to 100x cost reduction and 10, 000x safety improvement goals
- Objectives
  - Develop integrated airframe design and analysis technologies to reduce design cycle time by 40% and design cost
  - Develop verified fail-safe structures design and analysis technologies that increase the reliability by an order of magnitude and increase performance

- Integrated advanced design and analysis methods that reduce design cycle time
- Airframe structural design and analysis methods that relate risk, cost and performance
- Verified fail-safe structural design and analysis methods that increase reliability

- Major FY01 / 02 Products
  - Parametric studies to identify key parameters (9/01)
  - Develop "smart" analysis methods that can automatically account for uncertainties (9/02)
- Major FY03 - 06 Products
  - Develop high-fidelity physics-based analysis methods for predicting coupled thermal-structural response (9/03)
  - Structural design and sizing for residual strength (9/04)
  - Rapid, hierarchical analysis (9/06)

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Integrated Airframe Design



- Goals
  - Contribute to 100x cost reduction and 10, 000x safety improvement goals
- Objectives
  - Efficient and reliable hot wing structures with low maintenance and fabrication costs
  - Efficient and reliable conformal cryotank structures with low maintenance and fabrication costs

- Ultra-high properties over extended temperature ranges for both hot wing and conformal cryotank structures
- Large-scale fab of structures into high-efficiency/reliable/functional component hardware for both hot wing and conformal cryotank structures
- Thermal & thermal-structural concepts including control/accommodation of temperatures and thermal stresses

- Major FY01 / 02 Products
  - Select constituents and processes (9/01)
  - Advanced adhesives for non-autoclave processing (9/02)
  - MMC and Al-Mg-Be materials characterization (9/02)
- Major FY03 - 06 Products
  - Integrated airframe concepts defined and assessed (12/02)
  - TMC fiber/matrix interaction studies (9/03)
  - Advanced cryogenic insulation (9/03)
  - MMC and Al-Mg-Be cryogen compatibility (9/03)
  - Structural elements made of adv materials fab and evaluated (9/04)
  - Structural panels made of adv mat'ls (9/06)

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Integrated Thermal Structures and Materials





STI Exploits Embedded Phases of Nanostructural or Energy Transport Control Materials into Tiles, Blankets, and other TPS to isolate and control cryopumping, radiation, convection, and conduction

- **Goals**
  - **Increased TPS safety, reliability, operability, and decreased cost**
- **Objectives**
  - **Necessary ground development and characterization**
  - **Development and demonstration of highly reusable TPS with extended life cycle capabilities, including most weather flight capability and fail-safe performance**
  - **Assessment, simulation, and prediction of TPS degradation and failure**

- **Higher temperature lower density systems**
- **Improved operating margins**
- **Fault tolerant systems**
- **Most weather capability**
- **Low/zero maintenance**

- **Major FY01 / 02 Products**
  - **Superthermal Insulation materials development and characterization (9/01)**
  - **Initial aiTPS materials downselection (9/02)**
  - **3rd gen seal concepts defined (9/02)**
- **Major FY03 - 06 Products**
  - **Completed initial arcjet testing of seal concepts (9/05)**
  - **MITAS graded layer systems for mechanical/thermal test (9/06)**

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Thermal Protection Systems

- **Goals**
  - Contribute to 100x cost reduction and 10, 000x safety improvement goals
- **Objectives**
  - Reduce time for aero/aerothermo design of aerospace vehicles (factor of 20 by 2010)
  - Reduce aero/aerothermo uncertainties/margins and enhanced performance by 10x

- **Decrease ground-based facility testing time by a factor of 20**
- **Develop aerothermo multidisciplinary techniques**
- **Decrease CFD prediction times by a factor of 30**
- **Determination and control of boundary layer transition**
- **Flow control or modification of flow environment**

- **Major FY01 / 02 Products**
  - **Unstructured grid generation with CAD (10/01)**
  - **Low shrinkage metal model casting (12/01)**
- **Major FY03 - 06 Products**
  - **High resolution image acquisition (12/02)**
  - **Automated 3-D image mapping software (12/03)**
  - **1 week aerothermo model fab (9/04)**
  - **First transition analysis complete(12/05)**
  - **Tools for rapid design reducing design cycle time by 40% (6/06)**

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Enhanced Aero/Aerothermo

- Varies across elements and tasks
  - University grants (existing and new)
  - Existing task assignment contracts
  - Specific RFPs ?
  - In-House (facilities, materials, equipment, PBC's, etc.)

FY01 Funding Distribution (Net $7.76M)



3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Acquisition Strategy

- **Overall Project Level Risks**

  Objective: Develop and demonstrate 3rd generation airframe technologies that provide significant reductions in cost of space transportation systems while dramatically improving the safety and higher operability of those systems

  - Risk:
    - Uncertainty in funding and budget reduction constraints
  - Risk Mitigation Strategy:
    - Use Descope plan within budget constraints
  - Risk:
    - Lack of good systems analysis to identify technology cost/benefit trades
  - Risk Mitigation Strategy:
    - Develop systems analysis to conduct cost/benefit trades
    - Utilize TWG to help set technology priorities
  - Risk:
    - High risk technologies, all of which might not proceed as planned
  - Risk Mitigation Strategy:
    - Use multiple technical approaches where feasible

- Solid Technical Plan in Place
- Strong Intercenter Team (ARC, GRC, LaRC, MSFC)
- Looking Forward to Industry/Academia Input and Participation

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

FY01 Summary Comments

- **Focus on those activities that will be continued/built upon in FY01**
- **Topics include**
  - **Integrated Design and Analysis**
    - Damage Tolerance & Repair
    - Safe Structures Design Technology
  - **Integrated Thermal Structures & Materials**
    - Resins for transfer molding or infusion processing
    - Nonautoclave processable adhesives
    - Automated Tape Placement Device with e-beam cure
  - **Thermal Protection Systems**
    - Quick Processed, Low Cost Erosion Resistant TPS
    - SmarTPS
    - Advanced High Temperature Structural Seals
    - UHTC Sharp Leading Edges
    - High Temperature Felt TPS

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

FY00 Research Highlights

Integrated Design and Analysis Overview

Dr. Tom S. Gates
NASA Langley Research Center
(757) 864-3400
t.s.gates@larc.nasa.gov

- **PMC Damage Tolerance & Repair**
  - **POC's:**
    - Dr. Damodar R. Ambur
    - (757) 864-3449
    - d.r.ambur@larc.nasa.gov

    - Dr. Tom S. Gates
    - (757) 864-3400
    - t.s.gates@larc.nasa.gov

- **Safe Structures Design Technologies**
  - **POC:**
    - Dr. Damodar R. Ambur, NASA LaRC
    - (757) 864-3449
    - d.r.ambur@larc.nasa.gov

PMC Damage Tolerance and Repair
Goals & Objectives

- Develop methodology for assessing the effects of manufacturing defects
- Develop damage tolerance criteria and damage tolerance database for RLV cryogenic tank structures
  - impact
  - pressure leakage
  - cryogenic permeation
  - validated damage prediction tools
- Develop repair technology

PMC Damage Tolerance and Repair
Current Program Status

- Initiated in FY1999 as Bantam Damage Tolerance Program
- Continued as PMC Damage Tolerance Program during FY2000 with reduced funding level
- Needs continuation to address technology issues that will limit composites application to cryogenic tank structures

PMC Damage Tolerance and Repair
Current Technical Status

- **FY1999:**
  - Established damage tolerance requirements (impact, pressure leakage, cryogenic permeation)
  - Fabricated and impact tested flat and curved thin-skin panels made of different material forms
  - Conducted impact damage tolerance tests for damage resistance and barely visible damage (BVID); developed a 0.05 in. dent depth BVID criterion
  - Developed analytical methods to predict the impact response and damage resistance for curved, thin laminated composites
- **FY2000:**
  - Assessed existing repair methods for stiffened-skin and sandwich constructions
  - Developed analysis methods for optimally sizing bolted and bonded anisotropic patch repairs
  - Completed compression-after-impact strength tests on three material forms
  - Developed analytical models and methods to assess the critical size of delaminations for combined loading conditions
  - Assessed mixed-mode fracture toughness for IM7/977-2 and AS4/PEEK material systems at cryogenic temperatures
  - Conducting pressure leakage threshold tests

PMC Damage Tolerance and Repair

ENERGY THRESHOLDS FOR BARELY VISIBLE IMPACT DAMAGE OF CURVED THIN LAMINATES MADE OF DIFFERENT MATERIAL FORMS

Criterion: 0.05-in. dent depth



Pre-impregnated tape material



Graphite-glass intra-ply material



3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Integrated Design and Analysis

PMC Damage Tolerance and Repair

TYPICAL COMPRESSION RESPONSE AND FAILURE OF 16-PLY-THICK CURVED PLATES LOADED IN COMPRESSION

Displacement con



Undamage d

Failed specimen



Failure location

Impact damaged



Impact damage location

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Integrated Design and Analysis

PMC Damage Tolerance and Repair

COMPARISON OF COMPRESSION-AFTER-IMPACT STRENGTH RESULTS FOR CURVED THIN PLATES

AS4-3502 Prepreg Tape Material



3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Integrated Design and Analysis

PMC Damage Tolerance and Repair

COMPARISON OF RESIDUAL STRENGTH RESULTS FOR PLATES SUBJECTED TO DROPPED-WEIGHT IMPACT AND STATIC INDENTATION DAMAGE



3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Integrated Design and Analysis

PMC Damage Tolerance and Repair

SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF TEST SET-UP FOR PRESSURE LEAKAGE TESTS



3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Integrated Design and Analysis

PMC Damage Tolerance and Repair

SUMMARY OF ANALYTICAL EFFORTS

- **Impact response of thin curved laminates**
- **Finite element analysis to assess critical manufacturing defect size for combined mechanical and thermal loaded structures**
- **Methods for optimizing bonded and bolted repairs**

PMC Damage Tolerance and Repair

DEVELOPED NONLINEAR ANALYSIS METHOD FOR ACCURATELY DETERMINING IMPACT RESPONSE AND DAMAGE INITIATION



3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Integrated Design and Analysis

PMC Damage Tolerance and Repair

DELAMINATION GROWTH STUDIES
Modeling and Analysis Approach

Global model



- Number of elements: 10,664
- Dof: 64,314

Local model



- Number of elements: 7,784
- Dof: 46,548

Virtual crack closure technique to determine strain energy release rates.
Parametric studies with combined mechanical, thermal and internal pressure loading conditions.

- Critical delamination size and location.
- Stiffened skin and sandwich constructions.

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Integrated Design and Analysis

PMC Damage Tolerance and Repair

APPROACH FOR DELAMINATION GROWTH VERIFICATION TESTING



Test configuration



Finite element model



Fracture toughness data

Experimental verification of the critical size and location of delaminations

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Integrated Design and Analysis

PMC Damage Tolerance and Repair

NEAR-TERM PLANS

- Conduct pressure leakage tests on laminates made from different material forms
- Complete compression-after-impact strength tests on laminates made from different material forms
- Complete delaminated panel compression tests at cryogenic temperatures to verify criticality of the effects of defects

- PMC Damage Tolerance & Repair
  - POC - Dr. Damodar R. Ambur/Dr. Tom S. Gates, NASA LaRC

- Safe Structures Design Technologies
  - POC - Dr. Damodar R. Ambur, NASA LaRC

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Integrated Design and Analysis

Safe Structures Design Technologies

Goals and Objectives

- Develop validated second generation nonlinear progressive failure analysis method for composite structures subjected to combined mechanical loads
- Develop non-deterministic analysis and design methods that bound manufacturing uncertainties
- Conduct sensitivity analyses for manufacturing uncertainties
- Develop and demonstrate 3rd. generation progressive failure analysis method that includes combined mechanical and thermal load effects and delaminations
- Develop design and analysis relationships between structural weight and reliability for composite structures subjected to combined mechanical and thermal loads
- Develop hybrid deterministic and non-deterministic analysis and design methods that account for uncertainties at the material, structures, and mission levels
- Conduct hierarchical sensitivity analyses and identify design trends for multiple length scales subjected to combined loads

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Integrated Design and Analysis

Safe Structures Design Technologies

- Current Program Status
  - Initiated in FY2000
  - Efforts continue under the 3rd Generation RLV Program
- Current Technical Status
  - Developed analytical methods and algorithms for using the current damage progression methods to predict the response of nonlinearly deformed structures
  - Conducted progressive damage verification tests on a compression-loaded composite cylinder
  - Conducting progressive damage verification tests on a composite panel subjected to nonlinear deformation with in-plane shear loading
  - Initiated tools development for predicting delamination initiation and growth

Safe Structures Design Technologies

MECHANICS TECHNOLOGY FOR PROGRESSIVE FAILURE ANALYSIS

- Embed progressive failure criteria and material degradation models with robust nonlinear structural mechanics solver STAGS
- Provide progressive damage capability coupled with large displacement, large rotation deformation states for laminated composite structures
- Provide traditional and state variable damage models
  - Maximum strain with ply discounting
  - Crack density based criteria for failure and degradation
  - User interfaces include ABAQUS/UMAT
- Incorporate artificial damping feature to mitigate non-convergence problems in re-establishing equilibrium
- Establish consistency between first and second variations for the energy functional
- Enhance visual depiction of progressive damage simulation
- *Increased design robustness through evaluation of extreme loading conditions and understanding possible composite structures failure scenarios*

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Integrated Design and Analysis

Safe Structures Design Technologies

COMPRESSION-LOADED POSTBUCKLING COMPOSITE PANEL



24-ply Graphite-epoxy orthotropic laminate

Starnes & Rouse, AIAA Paper 81-0543

Failure load =21,910 lbs
End shortening at failure = 0.0818 in.

Massive failures occur after this last solution



Out-of-plane Deflections



Node Line in Buckle Pattern

Percent Failed Plies



Close-up of Failed Region

Inplane Shear Stress in Outer 0-deg. Layer



Close-up Showing Stress Relief

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Integrated Design and Analysis

Safe Structures Design Technologies

CORRELATION OF PROGRESSIVE FAILURE ANALYSIS RESULTS FOR PANELS AND SHELLS

Stiffened and Unstiffened Panels Loaded in a Picture Frame



Cylinders in Axial Compression



3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Integrated Design and Analysis

Safe Structures Design Technologies

UNSTIFFENED PANEL LOADED IN PICTURE FRAME SHEAR

- Panel size: 12-in. by 12-in.; Thickness: 0.0896-in.
- Stacking sequence is $[\pm 45/0/90]_{2s}$
- E_{11} = 18.5 Msi, E_{22} = 1.67 Msi, G_{12} = 0.87 Msi, G_{13} = 0.87 Msi, G_{23} = 0.258 Msi, μ_{12} = 0.3
- X_T = 0.233 Msi, X_C = 0.21 Msi, Y_T = 0.0147 Msi, Y_C = 0.0287 Msi, SC = 0.02975 Msi

Failure Load:
54,807 lbs - Test
54,447 lbs - Analysis

Strain Normal to Fiber Direction on Top and Bottom Surfaces at Center of Test-Section



Map of Matrix Failure Region



3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Integrated Design and Analysis

Safe Structures Design Technologies

BEAD-STIFFENED PANEL LOADED IN PICTURE FRAME SHEAR

- **Panel size: 12-in. by 12-in.; Thickness: 0.08-in.**
- **Stacking sequence is $[\pm 45/\pm 45/0/\pm 45/90]_s$**
- **E_{11} = 18.0 Msi, E_{22} = 1.50 Msi, G_{12} = 0.82 Msi, G_{13} = 0.82 Msi, G_{23} = 0.82 Msi, μ_{12} = 0.3**
- **X_T = 0.30 Msi, X_C = 0.20 Msi, Y_T = 0.013 Msi, Y_C = 0.031 Msi, SC = 0.027 Msi**

Failure Load:
27,936.9 lbs -Test
26,995.9 lbs -Analysis

Axial Strain on the Top and Bottom Surfaces at Center of Panel



Map of Matrix Failure Region



3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Integrated Design and Analysis

Safe Structures Design Technologies

EFFECTS OF MANUFACTURING UNCERTAINTIES ON COMPOSITE CYLINDER AXIALCOMPRESSION RESPONSE

- Cylinder is 16.0-in. long; 16.0-in. diameter
- Laminate is $[\pm 45/0/90]_{2s}$ and 0.08-in. thick
- E_{11} = 19.0 Msi, E_{22} = 1.450 Msi, G_{12} = 0.814 Msi, G_{13} = 0.814 Msi, G_{23} = 0.55 Msi, μ_{12} = 0.3
- X_T = 0.156 Msi, X_C = 0.156 Msi, Y_T = 0.00725 Msi, Y_C = 0.0145 Msi, SC = 0.010826 Msi
- Two models were considered:
  - Model 1:
    - Measured geometric imperfection modeled
    - 7,560 elements; 4-noded
  - Model 2:
    - Measured geometric imperfection modeled
    - Laminate imperfection modeled as ply drop
    - 10,692 elements; 4-noded



3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Integrated Design and Analysis

Safe Structures Design Technologies

EFFECT OF MANUFACTURING UNCERTAINTIES ON COMPOSITE CYLINDER AXIAL COMPRESSION RESPONSE (Contd.)

Load Vs. End-shortening Results



Load Vs. Axial Strain Results



3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Integrated Design and Analysis

Safe Structures Design Technologies

EFFECT OF MANUFACTURING UNCERTAINTIES ON COMPOSITE CYLINDER AXIAL COMPRESSION RESPONSE (Contd.)

Map of Failure Region for Model 1



Failure Region

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Integrated Design and Analysis

Safe Structures Design Technologies

EFFECT OF MANUFACTURING UNCERTAINTIES ON COMPOSITE CYLINDER AXIAL COMPRESSION RESPONSE (Concluded)

Map of Failure Region for Model 2

Failure modes and damage region results obtained using Model 2 compare well with experimental results



Failure Region

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Integrated Design and Analysis

Safe Structures Design Technologies

NEAR-TERM PLANS

- Conduct inplane shear tests on stiffened and unstiffened panels
- Correlate analytical and experimental results
- Continue efforts to validate the decohesion element for simulating the delamination failure mode
- Incorporate decohesion element into STAGS finite element analysis code

Integrated Thermal Structures & Materials Overview

Dr. Brian Jensen
NASA Langley Research Center
(757) 864-4271
b.j.jensen@larc.nasa.gov

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Int. Thermal Structures and Materials

- **Resins for transfer molding or infusion processing**
  - **POC:**
    - Paul M. Hergenrother
    - (757) 864-4270
    - p.m.hergenrother@larc.nasa.gov
- **Nonautoclave processable adhesives**
  - **POC:**
    - Dr. Brian J. Jensen
    - (757) 864-4271
    - b.j.jensen@larc.nasa.gov
- **Automated Tape Placement Device with e-beam cure**
  - **POC:**
    - Harry L. Belvin
    - (757) 864-9436
    - h.l.belvin@larc.nasa.gov

High Temperature RLV Tank Concept



TPS:
SA/HC (LaRC Metallic tile)

Support Structure:
Gr-Poly lattice

Cryogenic insulation:
LaRC TEEK™ foam panels
E-Beam cured adhesive

Tank wall:
Externally stiffened Gr-Poly
Liquid Crystal Polymer (LCP) coating

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Int. Thermal Structures and Materials

- Resins for transfer molding or infusion processing
  - POC - Paul M. Hergenrother, NASA LaRC
- Nonautoclave processable adhesives
  - POC - Brian J. Jensen, NASA LaRC
- Automated Tape Placement Device with e-beam cure
  - POC - Harry L. Belvin, NASA LaRC

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Int. Thermal Structures and Materials

Accomplishments, RTM/RI Resins

- LaRC prepared 5 resins with Tgs as high as 625°F, <1% volatiles, moderate toughness and low melt viscosity and sent to Boeing or Lockheed Martin
- GRC prepared 4 resins with Tgs as high as 700°F, <10% volatiles and low melt viscosity and sent to Boeing
- Boeing successfully fabricated 2' x 2' x 36 ply composites by resin infusion (RI) of stitched preforms from all NASA supplied resins
- Lockheed Martin successfully fabricated 13" x 14" x 16 ply composites by resin transfer molding (RTM) from all NASA supplied resins

Chemistry of PETI-298



Nitrogen | NMP
35-50% solids

↓

Amide Acid Oligomer

Toluene | Reflux

↓

Imide Oligomer (Soluble)

Calculated Mn 750 g/mole = PETI-298

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Int. Thermal Structures and Materials

Comparison of PETI Oligomers Prepared From 1,3,3 and 1,3,4 - APB

APB Diamine	Calculated Mn, g/mole	Glass Transition Temp., °C Initial	Cured*	Melt Viscosity @ 280°C, poise
1,3,3	750	132	258	1-6
1,3,3	1250	151	244	5-15
1,3,4	750	139	298	6-13
1,3,4	1250	165	285	10,000**

* Cured 1 hour at 371°C
**Viscosity dropped to ~30 poise at 325°C

Photomicrographs of PETI-298 Laminates Fabricated Via RTM



25 x Magnification



400 x Magnification

Mechanical Properties of AS-4/PETI-298 Fabric Composites Fabricated Via Resin Transfer Molding (8 ply)



PETI-298 cured 1 hr @ 370°C, Tg = 302°C (8 ply AS-4 fabric)

Un-notched Compression Strength at 23°C = 60 ksi

Mechanical Properties of IM-7 PETI-298 Stitched Composites Fabricated Via Resin Infusion (36 ply)



PETI-298 cured 1 hr @ 370°C, postcured at 370°C, Tg = 338°C (Panel 36 ply x 22"x 22", stitched)

BMI 5270 cured 4 hr @ 190°C, postcured at 232 and 260°C , Tg = 299°C

- Resins for transfer molding or infusion processing
  - POC - Paul M. Hergenrother, NASA LaRC
- Nonautoclave processable adhesives
  - POC - Brian J. Jensen, NASA LaRC
- Automated Tape Placement Device with e-beam cure
  - POC - Harry L. Belvin, NASA LaRC

Accomplishments, LaRC PETI-8

- Developed and supplied to Cytec Fiberite several non-autoclave processable adhesives.

- LaRC PETI-8 is a phenylethynyl terminated polyimide adhesive which has low melt viscosity and excellent melt stability at temperatures below 300°C, allowing the production of excellent adhesive bonds under vacuum bag pressure, without the need for external pressure normally supplied by an autoclave. Heating at 316°C for 8 hours provides excellent titanium to titanium tensile shear strengths from 75°F to at least 350°F and excellent flatwise tensile strengths at 75°F.

- Plan to continue work on adhesives which do not require an autoclave for processing. Concentrate on vacuum bag / oven processing, hot melt adhesives and the use of e-beam radiation to cure advanced adhesives. Optimize the properties of LaRC PETI-8 by studying various formulations of the adhesive tape and various cure conditions.

LaRC PETI-8



Titanium to Titanium Tensile Shear Strengths

Required	Achieved
5000 psi at 75° F	**7400 psi**
3500 psi at 350° F	**6200 psi**

Flatwise Tensile Strength (Composite Skins over Titanium core)

Required	Achieved
1000 psi at 75° F	**1370 psi**

Bonding Conditions:

Vacuum Bag Only Pressure, 316°C, 8 hour hold, 5V CAA surface treatment

Cytec Fiberite Results for PETI-8 Bonding

Evaluated 550°F, 575°F and 600°F cycles from 4-12 hours under vacuum bag only pressure for several different formulations. Shown are results for 600°F, 4 hour cycle.

PETI-8 Tensile Shear Strength	75°F	350°F
Titanium substrate, CAA Anodized	7000 psi (min.)	5000 psi (min.)
PETI-5 composite substrate (interlaminar failure at both test temperatures)	5500 psi	4500 psi

PETI-8 Flatwise Tensile Strength	75°F
2024 Al face sheets, FPL etched, 3/16" Ti core	1800 psi

Cytec currently preparing two 2' x 2' PETI-5 composite panels to be bonded together as a wide area specimen.

- Resins for transfer molding or infusion processing
  - POC - Paul M. Hergenrother, NASA LaRC
- Nonautoclave processable adhesives
  - POC - Brian J. Jensen, NASA LaRC
- Automated Tape Placement Device with e-beam cure
  - POC - Harry L. Belvin, NASA LaRC

Accomplishments, ATP with E-Beam Cure

- GRC has Cooperative Agreement with Kent State University to study e-beam irradiation of polyimide thin films. (Shows little effect on mechanical properties or Tg)

- GRC has Cooperative Agreement with University of Delaware to study new e-beam curable resins. (Extent of cure dependent on molecular mobility)

- GRC in-house e-beam curable resin development. (Diels-Alder trapping of quinodimethane intermediates formed under radiation)

- LaRC and Boeing developing a tape laying machine with e-beam cure-on-the-fly processing. Undergoing acceptance testing at Boeing and will be shipped to LaRC when facilities are ready.

- **Products/ Benefits/Payoff:**
  - Validate the cause of low performance in E-beam cured graphite/epoxy composites and investigate methods for improving their performance through the use of novel sizings or resin additions.
  - The goals are to:
    - Positively identify the deficiencies causing reduced properties in E-beam cured composites
    - Identify and demonstrate the best method for performance improvement
  - Improved performance of E- beam composites will enable out-of-autoclave fabrication of large cryo tanks. Higher performance of these materials directly reduces RLV vehicle weight.



E-Beam Cured Cat-B



Thermally Cured 8552

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Int. Thermal Structures and Materials

E-beam Gun Head from Electron Solutions, Inc.
E-beam Gun Head from Electron Solutions, Inc.
Boeing Tape Laying Gantry

This task will design, fabricate and deliver a tape laying device capable of laying E-beam "cure-on-the-fly" (COTF) prepreg for material evaluations.

- **Products/ Benefits/Payoff:**

COTF E- beam curing will enable out-of-autoclave fabrication of RLV cryo tanks which will substantially reduce overall vehicle weight.



E-beam Gun Head from Electron Solutions, Inc.



Boeing Tape Laying Gantry

Overview of TPS tasks

Sylvia M. Johnson
Branch Chief
Thermal Protection Systems
NASA Ames Research Center
Moffett Field, CA 94035
(650) 604-2646/smjohnson@mail.arc.nasa.gov

- Quick Processed, Low Cost Erosion Resistant TPS
- SmarTPS
- Advanced, High-Temperature Structural Seals
- UHTC Sharp Leading Edges
- High Temperature Felt TPS

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Thermal Protection Systems

- **Quick Processed, Low Cost Erosion Resistant TPS**
  - **POC's:**
    - Dr. Daniel Leiser, David Stewart, Huy Tran, Dr. Susan White
    - dleiser@mail.arc.nasa.gov
    - (650) 604-6076
    - dstewart@mail.arc.nasa.gov
    - (650) 604-6614
    - htran@mail.arc.nasa.gov
    - (650) 604-0219
    - swhite@mail.arc.nasa.gov
    - (650) 604-6617

Quick Processed, Low Cost, Erosion Resistant TPS

- **Objective**
  - Develop light weight & low cost durable TPS for easy application to RLV payload launchers
  - Develop quickly processed composite TPS processing & repair techniques
  - Develop higher temperature capability tile TPS
- **Benefits**
  - **Reduced installation & operations cost**
  - **Enhanced payload capability resulting from TPS weight reduction**
  - **Enhanced flight envelope & performance resulting from higher temperature capability TPS which can result in improved safety**

Quick Processed, Low Cost, Erosion Resistant TPS

Technical Accomplishment

More Capable Ceramic Tile TPS Demonstrated

POC: Dr. Daniel Leiser

September 2000

Relevant Milestone: Task 2 - Quick Processed Erosion Resistant TPS,

- Higher temperature capability (above 3,000°F) , and
- Faster processed ceramic tile TPS produced, 8/15/00

Shown: A graphic of an entry vehicle with a higher temperature capability tile TPS leading edge being tested in a hypersonic arc plasma stream that will be cheaper, safer and easier to repair.

Accomplishments

- Arc jet testing was completed on candidate ceramic tile TPS at 3,000°F for 2 and 4 minutes (Tile TPS currently limited to 2700°F);
- Arc jet testing was completed on candidate QUICTUFI tiles at 2800°F for 5 minutes.

Relation to Milestone

- This reduces the cost of ceramic tile TPS substantially by reducing the labor required and extends its usage capability to higher temperature locations (I.e., leading edges) where much more expensive (i.e., carbon/carbon), difficult to replace and flaw sensitive materials are characteristically applied .

Future Plans: Continue extending the temperature capability of the materials reduce the labor required to produce these materials.

Quick Processed, Low Cost, Erosion Resistant TPS

Technical Accomplishment

Higher Temperature Capability Tile Leading Edge



Ceramic Tile TPS in Hypersonic Arc Plasma Stream

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Thermal Protection Systems

Quick Processed, Low Cost, Erosion Resistant TPS

Technical Accomplishment

Aerogel-Tile Development

POC: Dr. Dan Leiser & Dr. Susan White

September 2000

Relevant Milestone: Autoclave equipment on-line to produce large scale Aerogel-Tiles. (September FY99)

Shown: Large Scale Autoclave Supercritical Processing Equipment that is used to produce either pure aerogels or aerogel-tile composites. Aerogels are organic, inorganic or metal oxide-based highly porous monoliths or nano-particulate materials.

- Extremely light weight - critical for Space
- Lowest solid conductivity: Superinsulator

Aerogel tiles exploit low thermal conductivity and low density of aerogels and AETB's high temperature capability and moderate density

Accomplishment / Relation to Milestone and ETO: The Autoclave equipment is currently on-line and the operational procedures are being developed to produce large scale aerogel tiles, enabling progress towards the goal of reducing TPS weight.

Future Plans: Continue producing, characterizing and optimizing aerogel-tile composites tailored for specific spacecraft insulation applications.

Quick Processed, Low Cost, Erosion Resistant TPS

Technical Accomplishment

Aerogel Tile Development



Large-Scale Autoclave Equipment



Pure Aerogels



Aerogel-Tiles

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Thermal Protection Systems

Quick Processed, Low Cost, Erosion Resistant TPS

Technical Accomplishment

Erosion Resistant TPS

POC: Huy Tran

September 2000

Relevant Milestone: Task 2 - Quick Processed Erosion Resistant TPS,

- Resin impregnated fabric reinforced erosion resistant tile TPS surface successfully tested at 2200°F for 30 min.

Shown: A graphic of an erosion-resistant leading edge tile TPS with a fabric reinforced face.

Accomplishment

- Testing was completed on a fabric reinforced erosion resistant TPS at 2200°F for 30 minutes.

Relation to Milestone

- This material concept will reduce the cost of ceramic tile TPS substantially by extending its usage capability to more damage prone locations where characteristically much more expensive materials are applied .

Future Plans: Develop adhesion technique for multi-layered fabric reinforced face on tile TPS, further improving erosion resistance and perform arc jet testing on leading edge configuration test model

Quick Processed, Low Cost, Erosion Resistant TPS

Technical Accomplishment

Erosion Resistant Leading Edge Concept



3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Thermal Protection Systems

- SmarTPS
  - POC:
    - Frank Milos
    - Fmilos@mail.arc.nasa.gov
    - (650) 604-5636

SmarTPS

Passive Wireless Thermal-Overlimit Sensor

- **Background:** Inspection of intertile gaps, primarily for evidence of hot-gas inflow and subsurface charring, is a slow and labor intensive task that involves close-up, hand-on visual inspection. The highest priority for health monitoring of TPS is development of a sensor system that can automatically monitor the subsurface temperature and rapidly communicate the sensor data to outside the vehicle using wireless communications technology.

- **Technology goals and objectives:** The goal of this subtask is to develop a miniature sensor that combines a passive temperature measurement, an identification microchip, and a micro-antenna to enable wireless communications.

SmarTPS

Passive Wireless Thermal-Overlimit Sensor

- **Current Status and major accomplishments:** A prototype passive sensor was designed and manufactured. The sensor fits into a 50-mil gap, can survive 15 minute exposure to about 650 °F (345 °C), and indicates a thermal-overlimit event using a fuse that melts at 558 °F (292 °C).

- **Near-term plans:** As required, additional sensors of the same (or slightly modified) design will be manufactured, and sensors will be tested in preparation for possible Shuttle Orbiter flight experiments.

- **Note:** currently TRL=4 to 5. After arc jet/qualification testing we will be at TRL= 5 to 6.

SmarTPS

Passive Wireless Thermal-Overlimit Sensor

< 50 mils

Coil Antenna

Capacitor

RFID Microchip

Microfuse Assembly

- Sensor fits into 50-mil gap between TPS tiles
- Tag mass is 75 mg
- Microfuse opens at 558 °F to indicate a thermal-overlimit event
  (other fuse temperatures using different solder alloys are possible)
- Sensor should survive 15 minutes exposure to 650 °F

SmarTPS

Active Wireless Thermal-Profile Sensor

- **Background:** In some cases, rather than simply indicating a thermal-overlimit event, it is desirable to measure and record TPS temperatures. For example, in-flight TPS gap or surface temperatures may be useful for environment characterization and performance evaluation.

- **Technology goals and objectives:** The goal of this subtask is technology development to combine active sensors, micro-batteries, an identification microchip, and a micro-antenna for communications to enable in-flight data acquisition with on-ground data readout. In the long-term, real-time data acquisition is desirable for applications such as MMOD impact detection.

SmarTPS

Active Wireless Thermal-Profile Sensor

- **Current Status and major accomplishments:** A proof-of-concept prototype active sensor using COTS components was designed and manufactured. The electronics are bonded to the back of a TPS tile, and an attached Type-K thermocouple is placed anywhere within a TPS tile. The time and some criteria for data acquisition are downloaded to the microchip, subsequently temperature data are acquired and stored, and finally the time-tagged data are retrieved using wireless communications.

- **Near-term plans:** The prototype sensor will be tested and a demonstration model will be manufactured.

- **Note:** After some testing we will be at TRL= 3 to 4.

SmarTPS

Active Wireless Thermal-Profile Sensor

- Tile sensor plug bonded to Microtag, this assembly then inserted into tight-fitting hole at back of tile.
- Periodically monitors temperatures at bond line and near the surface.
- Time-tagged data will be output to wand-style reader.
- Technique can be generalized for many uses
  - limited by microbattery life.
- For future designs, several thermocouples may be monitored simultaneously (however wires must be run to each TC location) with data output from one RFID device.



Prototype Active Microtag
(to scale)

Front Surface: transient temperature can exceed 2000°F
(test in arc jet or use blow torch for demo)



Back Surface: transient temperature below 300°F
(transient temperature to 650°F may be ok in future versions)

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Thermal Protection Systems

SmarTPS

Surface Laser Measurement Tool

- **Background:** Surface TPS defects can be detected by a post-flight inspection. Currently for Shuttle a team of humans performs a hands-on inspection using rulers and other tools to measure the dimensions of holes, inter-tile steps and gaps, etc. In principle, this inspection could be performed more rapidly and reliably using smart automated scanning tools.

- **Technology goals and objectives:** The goal of this subtask is to develop portable laser-based tools for rapid surface inspection of TPS.

SmarTPS

Surface Laser Measurement Tool

- **Current Status and major accomplishments**: Working with Joe Lavelle in Code SFT, a pre-prototype laser-scanning tool was manufactured and demonstrated. This hand-held tool scans a 3" x 3" surface area and obtains quantitative measurements of the surface depth suitable for obtaining full dimensions of surface flaws such as impact chips and holes.

- **Near-term plans:** An improved prototype device that uses two lasers has been designed. The prototype will be manufactured and tested in FY01.

- **Note:** TRL =3, will be 4 after new prototype is tested.

SmarTPS

Surface Laser Measurement Tool



Image of coins and holes from pre-prototype (shading indicates depth)



Theory of Operation

- The camera/laser sensor head moves across the surface.
- A straight laser line is projected down onto the surface at an angle from normal.
- Distortions in the reflected line indicate the depth of the surface.
- The prototype will use two lasers to eliminate masking effects.

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Thermal Protection Systems

- Advanced, High Temperature Structural Seals
  - POC:
    - patrick.dunlap@grc.nasa.gov

Advanced High Temperature Structural Seals

- Technology goals and objectives
  - Development and testing of advanced high temperature structural seal concepts for control surfaces of new generation of small reusable launch vehicles
- Background
  - Control surfaces of reusable launch vehicles require resilient seals to block high temperature flow between components that move relative to one another for multiple cycles
  - Current seal designs exhibit loss of resiliency after repeated load cycles at high temperatures
  - Advanced seals are required with higher levels of resiliency, flexibility, temperature endurance, and flow blocking capabilities
- Significant program synergy with X-38 (CRV) control surface seal testing at JSC & GRC as well as X-37 program
- TPS-20 program partners:
  - NASA GRC lead
  - NASA Ames & Boeing support

X-38 Seal Locations



Advanced High Temperature Structural Seals

- **Major accomplishments**



⇐ Preliminary aerothermal analyses revealed X-38 body flap seal temperatures of 2300°F

GRC temperature exposure tests show reduction of resiliency & seal preload in baseline seal design (used on Shuttle) ⇒





⇐ GRC flow tests of baseline control surface seal before & after temperature exposure showed 25% increase in flow after exposure

3rd Gen Airframe/TPS:

Thermal Protection Systems

Advanced High Temperature Structural Seals

- **Major accomplishments (continued)**





- **Fabricated one-of-a-kind arc jet model to test simulated body flap seals under representative temperature and heating conditions in Ames panel test facility**
- **Baseline and advanced seals will be tested using replaceable seal cartridge**
- **Upstream and downstream temperature and pressure measurements will be used to validate aerothermal model**
- **Body flap actuation during test will be used to assess:**
  - **Effects of seal scrubbing**
  - **Increased aerthermal loads due to body flap deflection**

Advanced High Temperature Structural Seals

- Current status
  - Element seal designs (Gen 1) identified and will be tested at Ames arc jet test facility (1QFY01)
  - Arc jet test fixture being assembled and instrumented at Ames
- Near term plans & milestones
  - Complete fabrication of arc jet test fixture
  - Complete arc jet tests on baseline and advanced seal designs (Gen 1/Gen 2) at Ames
  - Validate aerothermal model based on results of arc jet testing
  - Assess gap width effects on seal heating rates & maximum temperatures
  - Assess effects of seal flow rates on maximum seal temperatures
- Contact info:
  - Dr. Bruce M. Steinetz, (216) 433-3302, Bruce.M.Steinetz@grc.nasa.gov
  - Patrick H. Dunlap, Jr., (216) 433-6374, Patrick.H.Dunlap@grc.nasa.gov

- **UHTC Sharp Leading Edges**
  - **POC's:**
    - ARC: jdbull@mail.arc.nasa.gov
    - Jeff Bull 650-604-5377
    - GRC: slevine@grc.nasa.gov
    - Stan Levine 216-433-3246
    - LaRC: d.e.glass@larc.nasa.gov
    - David Glass 757-864-5423

UHTC Sharp Leading Edges

- **Goal:** Advance TRL of UHTC sharp leading edges

- **Background:** UHTC sharp leading edges have been demonstrated in flight and ground tests to operate at temperatures as high as 5100 °F. The TRL of these materials and systems must be advanced in order for them to be adopted in viable sharp leading edged aerospace vehicles.

- **Major Accomplishments:**
  - ARC: Measured the thermal and mechanical properties of ZrB_2/SiC (ZS), ZrB_2/C/SiC (ZCS), and HfB_2/SiC (HS) at 72, 742, 2192 and 2552 °F. Performed process refinement based on results of post-mortem micro-structural analysis of sharp leading edge components and flexure bars. Integrated NASA GRC CARES into UHTC design tools.

UHTC Sharp Leading Edges

- **Major Accomplishments (cont.):**
  - GRC: Hyper-X, Mach 10 selected for sharp leading edge case example. Identified low cost leading edge transition material (Honeywell C/SiC). Developed preliminary designs for leading edge using C/SiC transition to UHTC. Modified NASA CARES for use with ARC finite element analysis application (MARC).

- **Near Term Plans:**
  - ARC: Characterize UHTC materials. Process thermal mechanical data and update UHTC engineering database (TPSX). Identify ground test facility, geometry, and conditions for joint center evaluation of sharp leading edge systems per PLT (7/01).
  - GRC: Continue development of transition material. Hold PDR on sharp leading edge design (9/01).
  - ARC, GRC, LaRC: Investigate improved sharp leading edge materials, coated CMCs, improved UHTC compositions, etc.

- **Felts**
  - **POC's:**
    - Christine Johnson
    - NASA Ames Research Center
    - cjohnson@mail.arc.nasa.gov
    - (650) 604-6395

    - Marc Rezin
    - NASA Ames Research Center
    - mrezin@mail.arc.nasa.gov
    - (650) 604-6163

High Temperature Felt TPS

- **Technology Goals and Objectives**

  Development of a family of low cost, high temperature felts with multiple use temperature limits of up to 1500°F. By blending fibers of several types (carbon, refractory oxide, organic, and pre-ceramic), specific combinations of durability, temperature capability and cost can be produced.

- **Background**

  Current felt TPS (FRSI) has a multiple use temperature limit of 750°F, limiting its areas of use. Current TPS blankets (AFRSI) for multiple use up to 1500°F are more costly, less durable, and requires more labor for inspection and repair than the felt TPS under development in this task.
  High Temperature Felts contribute to lower initial and recurring costs for Reusable Launch Vehicles while enhancing their rapid turn-around capability.



High Temperature Felt TPS

- **Current Status, Major Accomplishments**

  Four different organo-ceramic hybrid felt types and three carbon-based felts have been fabricated. Coupon-level thermo-chemical stability assessment and mechanical property testing has produced promising results.
- **Near Term Plans**

  Component-level thermo-chemical stability assessment in the Ames AHF arc jet facility, and durability screening in a vibro-acoustic environment, are currently scheduled for the week of 11/13/00.

Upper Stages

(Breakout Session, Oct. 12, 10 a.m.)

Mr. Curtis McNeal, Manager, Upper Stages, MSFC

The Upper Stages Project -- a partnership between NASA, the U.S. Air Force and industry -- is developing reduced-cost technologies for potential use in 2nd Generation RLV space transportation system architectures. This session will examine peroxide-fueled liquid and liquid/hybrid propulsion systems now in development -- technologies expected to substantially lower operation costs for future transportation systems.

Briefing Objective

- **Familiarize attendees with the peroxide propulsion development currently underway**
  - **please don't propose work already underway**
- **Provide attendees with a current status of work underway**
  - **consistent with the proprietary nature of some of the work**
- **Provide contact points for teaming/partnerships**
  - Follow on work should be coordinated with initial leader of activity
  - This work may provide critical technology for a higher level system application
- **Familiarize attendees with the proposed NASA lead developments**
- **Provide a forum for answering questions**

Advanced Peroxide/RP Propulsion Roadmap

Upper Stage Technologies Project



Peroxide Propulsion



- Technology Development
- Subscale
- 25 year horizon
- High Risk Tolerance
- Component Demonstrations
- $115M in FY01
- Get it to work

- Advanced Development
- Prototyping
- 5 year horizon
- Low Risk Tolerance
- Full System Demonstrations
- $290M in FY01 Budget
- Ensure competition

Peroxide/Hybrid Propulsion Roadmap

Major Milestones & Decisions

Key Tasks

- Conceptual Design/ Vehicle Integration
- Component/ Subsystem Demo
- Integrated System Demos

Objective

Mature propulsion technology to enable 2GRLV and DoD stage



Joint Efforts | Current Efforts | Planned Efforts

Technology Working Group

Name	Organization	Phone	E-Mail
Terry Abel	LM-AO	256-544-3275	terry.abel@lmco.com
Bill Anderson	MSFC	256-544-1423	william.anderson@msfc.nasa.gov
Michael Bowden	Thiokol	256-544-2818	bowdeme@thiokol.com
Dave Crockett	Orbital	480-814-6659	crockett.dave@orbital-lsg.com
Jeff Jensen	Boeing Rocketdyne	818-586--6828	jeffery.jensen@west.boeing.com
William Kruse	TRW	310-813-9268	william.kruse@trw.com
DJ Larson	P&W	561-796-8332	larsonda@pwfl.com
Joe Lopez	P&W	561-796-4717	lopezjoe@pwfl.com
Pete Markopoulos	LMA	256-544-3270	pete.markopoulos@lmco.com
Curtis McNeal	MSFC	256-544-8538	curtis.mcneal@msfc.nasa.gov
Dave Perkins	AFRL/PRST	661-275-5640	david.perkins@ple.af.mil
Andrew Prince	Thiokol	256-544-0812	princas@thiokol.com
Jason Quinn	MSFC	256-544-3154	jason.quinn@msfc.nasa.gov
Adam Siebenhaar	Aerojet	916-355-2535	adam.siebenhaar@aerojet.com
Lynn Snyder	AADC	317-230-8188	lynn.e.snyder@aadc.com
John Stealey	NASA/SSC	228-688-2236	john.stealey@ssc.nasa.gov
Gary Taylor	NASA/SSC	228-688-7244	gtaylor@ssc.nasa.gov
Ron Teeter	Orbitec	608-827-5000	teeterr@orbitec.com
Eric Wernimont	General Kinetics	945-768-0166	gkllc@deltanet.com
On Phone			
Robert Bruce	NASA/SSC	228-688-1646	robert.bruce@ssc.nasa.gov
Steve Jones	LMMSS	504-257-5530	Herbert.S.Jones@maf.nasa.gov
Terry Philips	Shaeffer Corp.	(505) 338-2865	TPhillips@schafercorp.com
William Knuth	Orbitec	608-827-5000	knuthw@orbitec.com

Existing NASA Peroxide Propulsion Development

Hazardous Materials Testing-FMC

Peroxide Enrichment Skid-OSC/Degussa Huls

Catalysts Development-Aerojet/P&W/TRW/Boeing/ Purdue/GK

Advanced Torch Igniter-Boeing Rocketdyne

Turbopump Development-Boeing Rocketdyne

Pressure Fed 90% Peroxide/RP Engine-OSC/GK/AAE

Integrated Peroxide Compatible Composite Common Bulkhead Structure-OSC/Aspect Engineering/AFRL

AR2-3 X-37 Engine Development Program-Boeing Rocketdyne

Peroxide Hybrid Development-LMA/Thiokol/Boeing

FMC Hazardous Materials Evaluation

Description- FMC will perform a series of industry standard tests to quantify the production, storage, and shipping hazards associated with 98% peroxide. Materials compatibility will also be determined for a limited number of samples. Work to be performed in the FMC New Jersey and Texas laboratories. FFPC.
Status- Contract signed.
Contacts Mike Pinsky - 609- 951-3152
Future - This data will be used for safety analyses of advanced propulsion system designs for both Air Force and NASA missions.

Orbital/Degussa Huls Peroxide Enrichment Skid

Description-Bulk supplies of 98% concentration peroxide are needed to support NASA's research in high performance peroxide propulsion. An enrichment skid capable of concentrating commercially available product (87-90%) to 98% concentration will ensure a reliable supply until such time as a commercially viable supply becomes available. Orbital/Degussa will develop and demonstrate a safe, "turn key", portable concentrator that can produce 1000 lbs of 98% peroxide/day. The concentrator will be operated first at SSC and then at other test sites as needed to support NASA's research projects. CPIF.



Status-System Requirements established, PDR held, Hazards Analysis reviewed, CDR held, Fabrication begun, stell framework complete, tanks complete, plumbing installation underway. Checkout planned at Degussa last half of October. Installation at SSC first half of November. Operational at SSC in December.

Contacts- Dan Pauls - 334-443-2607
Stacy McMahon- 201-807-3205

Future- The skid is being installed at the Stennis Space Center where it will be operated in support of SSC conducted tests. Copies of the skid may be procured from Degussa Huls to support other requirements.

TRW Lead Advanced Catalyst Development

Description- Develop and test in a common test rig 3 potential advanced 98% peroxide catalyst. One catalyst will be wire screen based, one ceramic honeycomb based, and one pellet based. Catalyst will be tested for 1000 seconds to determine life and reuse capability. Testing to be performed at TRW facilities. FFPC

Status-All three catalysts ready for test. Test delayed by late delivery of 98% peroxide. Tests to begin week of 2 October

Contacts- TRW, William Kruse, 310-813-9268
General Kinetics, Eric Wernimont - 945-768-0166
Purdue University, John Rusek -765-494-4782

Future-Catalyst systems developed by this group will be developed into integral components in TRW engines and supplied to other industry peroxide system designers as required.



Aerojet Advanced Catalyst Development

Description- Develop and test an advanced 98%peroxide monolythic substrate catalysts. Catalyst will be tested first for its efficiency in 90% peroxide, then for long life (1000 seconds) in 90% peroxide. After successful demonstration at 90% the substrate processing will be altered for 98% peroxide catalysis and the efficiency and life determined with the 98% peroxide. Testing to be performed at Aerojet provided facilities. Cooperative agreement.

Status- in house 90% catalyst developed and tested. Life tests planned this fall.

Contact point - Adam Siebenhaar, 916-355-2535

Future-Catalyst systems developed by Aerojet will be developed into integral components in Aerojet engine systems and may be supplied to other industry peroxide system designers.



Boeing Rocketdyne Advanced Catalyst Development

Description- Develop and test an advanced 98%peroxide catalyst. Catalyst will be tested for its efficiency at Boeing facilities, and then for long life (1000 seconds min) at SSC E3 facilities. Multiple catalysts configurations are planned for test at SSC. Cooperative agreement.

Status- Catalysts development continues at Rocketdyne.

Contacts- Jeff Mays-818 586-0128

Future-Catalyst systems developed by Boeing Rocketdyne will be developed into integral components in Boeing Rocketdyne engine systems and may be supplied to other industry peroxide system designers.



Pratt & Whitney Lead Advanced Catalyst Development

Description- Test an advanced 98%peroxide catalysts on the E3 stand at SSC. Catalyst developed by P&W IRAD funds. Multiple catalysts configurations are planned for test at SSC. Space Act Agreement.

Status- Multiple catalysts tested at the Stennis Space Center. More than one catalyst system provided successful decomposition. Best catalyst tested for more than 500 seconds without degradation.

Contacts - P&W - Jeff Breen - 561-796-7407
P&W - Bill Watkins - 561-7965840
General Kinetics, Eric Wernimont - 945-768-0166

Future-Catalyst systems developed by Pratt & Whitney will be developed into integral components in Pratt & Whitney engine systems and may be supplied to other industry peroxide system designers.



Boeing Rocketdyne Advanced Torch Igniter

Description- Develop a 98% peroxide/JP8 torch igniter with the thermal capacity to initiate thermal decomposition of 98% peroxide when it it injected directly into a main combustion chamber. Testing to be performed at SSTF. Cooperative agreement. Cooperative agreement gives NASA the right to purchase 4 of these igniters to support NASA mission requirements.

Status- Design underway at Rocketdyne

Contacts- Jeff Mays-818 586-0128
Terry Lorier - 818-586-1129

Future- Igniters of this design will be incorporated into future Boeing Rocketdyne engine systems and may be provided to other industry peroxide system designers.

Boeing Rocketdyne Advanced Peroxide/JP8 Turbopump

Description- Development and demonstration of a low cost/low parts count 98% compatible peroxide/JP8 turbopump. Pump demonstration to be performed at SSTF. Cooperative agreement. Cooperative agreement gives NASA the right to purchase 4 of these igniters to support NASA mission requirements.

Status - Design requirements established. Preliminary concept design review held. PDR planned for January 2001.

Contacts - Terry Lorier - 818-586-1129
Shawn Kurizaki - 818-586-7609

Future - Pump design can be tailored to meet a number of missions as final detail mission requirements become available.



Figure 6 Peroxide Turbopump

USFE 10K Peroxide/JP8 Pressure-Fed Engine

Description- A joint AF/NASA development and demonstration of a current design practices pressure fed 90% peroxide/JP8 ablative chamber/nozzle engine. Work being performed at Orbital, GK, and SSC facilities. CPIF

Status - Catalyst bed, injector, and composite ablative chamber/nozzle all successfully developed. Two long term 140 second burns completed, Engine fluid distribution and valves, start and shutdown sequence, and purge system to be developed in 2001. Integrated engine/TVC/pressurized tank structure demonstration planned in 2002.

Contacts - Dave Crockett - 480-814-6659

Future - Engine to be used to power an upper stage flight demonstration for the Air Force in 2003.



Figure 2
Orbital USFE 10k Peroxide/JP8 Engine

The USFE 10K Peroxide/JP8 Engine

Parameter	Value
Propellants	85% HTP/JP-8
Vacuum Thrust, lbf	10,000
Chamber Pressure, psia	500
Mixture Ratio	6.0
Nozzle Expansion Ratio	40 (five for ground tests)
Chamber Contraction Ratio	7.1
Delivered Specific Impulse, s	278
Flow rate, lb/s	36.0
Burn Time, s	200
Engine Envelope	60 in. long, 40 in. diameter

Engine Component	Material	Weight Estimate
Gimbal Mount	SS304L	7
Distribution Dome	7075AL	25
Catalyst Housing	SS304L	37
Catalyst System	SS304L/Ag/Ni	39
Injector	SS304L	30
Chamber/Nozzle	F554 Fiber	103
Miscellaneous	SS304L/7075Al	17
Total		258



USFE Engine development has been successful

- conducted over 125 tests
- accumulated nearly 30 minutes of test time
- accumulated over 300 seconds of bipropellant operation using ablative chambers, including two long-duration tests of 140s and 150 s
- accumulated over 700 seconds of run time on a single cat bed without performance degradation
- demonstrated throat recession rates of less than 0.001 in/s
- demonstrated C^* efficiencies greater than 0.97 at nominal operating condition
- tested twelve different test article configurations
- tested both 85% and 90% peroxide from two different manufacturers
- demonstrated multiple restarts
- demonstrated throttling to 10% in monopropellant mode and to 20% in bipropellant mode
- maintained perfect safety record

USFE Integrated Composite Stage Structure

Description- A joint AF/NASA development and demonstration of a common bulkhead peroxide compatible all composite upper stage structure. Effort being performed at AE, AFRL, and Orbital facilities. CPIF

Status - A peroxide compatible composite material was identified in 1998, Subscale tanks were fabricated and peroxide compatibility demonstrated in 1998. A subscale composite stage structure has been designed and fabrication is nearing completion. Full scale stage structure design is planned in 2001 followed by fabrication of a full scale structure for ground test in 2002.

Contacts- Orbital Sciences- Dave Crockett - 480-814-6659
Aspect Engineering - Zack Taylor - 714-692-7779

Future - Stage demonstration provides the basis for future mission specific designs.

Boeing Rocketdyne AR2-3 Demonstration Program

Description- A progressive development and demonstration of peroxide propulsion's application to on-orbit space transportation mission needs. The effort began with the refurbishment of an AR2-3 for initial ground test and development of control valve sequences for on-orbit operation. Two additional engines are being produced. The first engine will undergo a stringent qualification program. The second engine will undergo acceptance tests and be installed in the X-37 for flight demonstration.



Status- The initial development tests were completed in the spring of 2000. The qualification tests and the acceptance test of the flight engine are planned in early 2001.

Contacts - Kathy Butler - 818-586-1158
Terry Lorier - 818-586-1129

Future - This engine will form the basis for more advanced designs which will meet future Air Force and NASA in-space propulsion needs.



AR2-3 Engine Performance.



• Propellants	90%H_2O_2/JP
• Thrust, vac (lbf)	6600
• Isp, vac (sec)	246
• Chamber pressure (psia)	560
• Mixture ratio	6.5
• Area ratio	12:1
• Length (in)	32
• Engine diameter (in)	20
• Weight (lbm)	225
• Gimbal angle (degrees)	0
• No. or restarts	multiple
• Engine life	>150 minutes

LMA Lead Peroxide/Hybrid Propulsion Development

Description- Development of design requirements, identification of technical issues, fabrication of subscale test articles, and the test and evaluation of the test articles. Work to be performed at SSC and Thiokol facilities. CPIF

Status - Basic small scale fuel formulation work complete with both Gox and decomposed peroxide. 11inch motor test articles in fab. Next test series at SSC in December 2000. Final 24inch motor test series in February 2001.

Contacts - LMA - Terry Abel -256-544-3275
Thiokol - Steve Alexander - 256-544-2582
Boeing Rocketdyne - Scott Claflin - 818-586-0329

Future - This work forms the basis for full scale design of low cost storable peroxide hybrid motors for 2nd Gen RLV expendable upper stage mission needs or Air Force and Army applications.



Proposed NASA Lead Developments

Composite Upper-Stage Tanks for 90 Percent Hydrogen Peroxide

Toroidal Upper-Stage Tank Development

Solar Thermal Propulsion: Integration and Demonstration of Critical Technologies

In-Space Propulsion Systems Analysis Tool Development Task

Composite Upper-Stage Tanks for 90 Percent Hydrogen Peroxide

Tom Delay-MSFC

- **Products**
  - —Developmental tanks for long term storage of hydrogen peroxide. Flight experiment tank.
- **Benefits**
  - —40 percent reduction in weight compared to state-of-the-art
- **Customers**
  - —All four NASA enterprises
  - —DOD/NRO, Commercial(X–37, USFE etc.)
- **X-cutting**

- **Current State of the Art**
  - —Aluminum tanks, stainless steel tanks with liners.
- **Performance Metrics**
  - —Chemical compatibility
  - —Low mass, low permeability
- **Risks**
  - —Testing facility availability
  - —Schedule of flight vehicle
- **USG Participants**
  - —MSFC lead Center
  - —AFRL



Toroidal Upper-Stage Tank Development
Tom Delay-MSFC



- **Products**
  - —Development and demonstration of composite toroidal tanks. Flight experiment tank.
- **Benefits**
  - —75 percent reduction in weight compared to state-of-the-art
  - —40 percent increase in storage volume
- **Customers**
  - —All four NASA enterprises. DOD/NRO
- **X-cutting**

- **Current State-of-the-Art**
  - —Cylindrical composite vessels
- **Performance Metrics**
  - —Low mass, packing efficiency
- **Risks**
  - —Cost effective tooling methods
  - —Schedule of flight vehicle
- **USG Participants**
  - —MSFC lead Center
  - —AFRL



Conf Paper, IN/16

S9

22p

Magnetic Launch Assist

KSC-MM-5093

October 11, 2000

Jose Perez/ KSC

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations"

5690.1

- Jose Perez / Project Manager / KSC
- Dr. Robert Youngquist KSC
- Frederick Adams KSC
- William Jacobs MSFC
- John Hicks DFRC
- Kurt Kloesel DFRC

NASA Development Team

- To develop a safe, reliable, inexpensive, and minimum operation launch assist system for sending payloads into orbit using ground powered, magnetic suspension and propulsion technologies.
- Improve safety, reliability, operability for 3rd generation Reusable Launch Vehicles (RLV).
- Reduce vehicle weight and increase payload capacity.
- Support operational testing of Rocket Based Combine Cycle (RBCC) engines.

Goals

- **BANTAM Program**
  - **MagLev Development**
    - PRT Advance MagLev Systems
    - Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
    - Foster Miller

- **Advance Space Transportation Program**
  - **Spaceliner 100 Technology Development Program**
    - Launch Assist Technology Development
      - Development of MagLev Technologies

Ground Based, Electro-Magnetic Launch Assist



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Magnetic Launch Assist

Ground □ Based, Electro-Magnetic Launch Assis

5690_MagLev.5

♦ Ongoing MagLev Contracts

- Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
  - Inductrack / Halbach Array – Proof of concept completed.
  - Contract extension to built a 7.8 meter (25.5 ft.) track to be tested at 30 m/s (67.2 mph) speeds.

- Foster Miller
  - Linear Synchronous Motor – 44 feet track.
  - Proof of concept completed.
  - Track is located at KSC. Research on the track will start in FY2001.
  - Contract extension for additional studies (June).

Ongoing MagLev Contracts

♦ Ongoing MagLev Contracts (continue)

- PRT Advance MagLev Systems
  - Research is being done at the Sussex University, England.
  - Linear induction motor – 50 feet track to be operational by December 2000.
  - Proof of concept completed.
  - Perform flight demonstration by the end of FY2001 using a radio control vehicle.

- Center for Electromagnetic at the University of Texas, Austin
  - Perform studies to determine the fundamental limitations of high speed linear motors.
  - Research existing motors, storage and electronic switches to guide future research.
  - Design and demonstrate a high speed linear induction and a linear synchronous motor segments.



Requirements Flow down



- **FY'01 Milestones**
  - Aerodynamic analysis complete by end of FY01, main issue is flutter
  - Delivery of remote controlled vehicle for test flights
  - Early flutter testing on the Foster-Miller track
- **FY'02 Milestones**
  - Low speed release of remote controlled vehicle.
  - Incorporation of flutter results into CEM effort
- **Prioritized list of Activities**
  - Aerodynamic analysis of the MagLev Sled.
  - Incorporation of these results into the long range design
  - Aerodynamic testing on the Foster-Miller Track

- **Current State of the Art**
  - Negligible. High speed cars and airborne release indicate the complexity and possible dangers.
- **Performance Metrics**
  - Successful and well understood demonstrations
- **Risks**
  - High cost effort which potentially does not address the issue in adequate detail.
- **Participants**
  - KSC,DFRC, MSFC, Holloman Test Track and Florida Institute of Technology



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Magnetic Launch Assist

Aerodynamics and Sled/Vehicle Interaction



- **FY'01 Milestones**
  - Results of scaling study by Foster-Miller
  - Results from PRT waffle motor demonstrator
  - Results from CEM linear motor study
- **FY'02 Milestones**
  - CEM linear motor segment high speed demonstrator
  - Possible follow on work with either LLNL, PRT, or Foster-Miller
- **Prioritized list of Activities**
  - CEM effort on understanding the limits of high speed linear motors
  - Demonstrator studies with data acquisition to determine system performance and track operation issues.
  - Scaling and control studies and experiments.

- **Current State of the Art**
  - Linear Induction Motors and Linear Synchronous Motors exist, but not to the scale needed.
- **Performance Metrics**
  - Demonstration of Technology
- **Risks**
  - Minimal since computer simulation is well developed, but technology limited.
- **Participants**
  - KSC, MSFC, Center for Electromechanics, PRT, Foster-Miller, Lawrence Livermore, Navy EMALS,



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Magnetic Launch Assist

Magnetic Propulsion Technology



Composite Flywheel



High Power Electronic Components

- **FY'01 Milestones**
  - PRT 50' track inverter installation and demonstration
  - Possible PRT 200' track Compulsator installation and demonstration
- **FY'02 Milestones**
  - CEM study results on flywheels and electronics for the high speed linear motor demonstrator
  - Internal effort on LN2 superconductrors
- **Prioritized list of Activities**
  - CEM effort – high TRL– flywheels and electronics
  - KSC effort – low TRL – superconducting energy storage and delivery
  - PRT demonstrators

- **Current State of the Art**
  - Flywheel technology appears adequate. Power semiconductors are nearly adequate. Cost is high.
- **Performance Metrics**
  - Demonstration of Technology
- **Risks**
  - Fairly high TRL but cost must come down.
- **Participants**
  - KSC, CEM, NAVY EMALS, PRT



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Magnetic Launch Assist

Energy Storage and Delivery



Triple Halbach Array Sled-Levitation Concept

- **FY'01 Milestones**
  - Foster-Miller scaling study results
  - Lawrence Livermore Inductrack demonstrator results
  - PRT invertor controlled levitation
  - MIT STTR complete on Liquid He superconducting magnets
- **FY'02 Milestones**
  - Internal KSC concept study and demonstrator
  - Results of CEM effort
- **Prioritized list of Activities**
  - CEM study, levitation incorporation into high speed linear motor segment
  - Demonstrator Track experimentation and study, includes Foster-Miller, LLNL, and PRT
  - Continued new concept studies and experiments

- **Current State of the Art**
  - Small scale demonstrations at high acceleration, large scale systems at low acceleration.
- **Performance Metrics**
  - Demonstration of Technology
- **Risks**
  - Minimal, unless superconducting approach required.
- **USG Participants**
  - KSC, PRT, Lawrence Livermore, Foster-Miller, CEM



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Magnetic Launch Assist

Magnetic Levitation



INDUCTRACK LAUNCHER could provide the initial boost for future spacecraft. The craft could be mounted on a levitating "launch cradle" that would glide up a sloping one-kilometer-long track. Unimpeded by wheel friction, the cradle could accelerate the spacecraft to 950 kilometers per hour. The craft's engines would then ignite and propel it into orbit.


NASA



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Magnetic Launch Assist

Lawrence Livermore Inductrack





Lawrence Livermore concept of Inductrac and Carrier

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Magnetic Launch Assist

Lawrence Livermore Inductrack



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Magnetic Launch Assist

Foster Miller Track

- MSFC – PRT track testing.
- DFRC – Aerodynamics / Flight Dynamics / Flight Test.
- Ames – Computational Analysis / Wind Tunnel Testing.
- Florida Space Institute (FSI) – Foster Miller track testing.
- DoD (Navy) – EMALS and Arrestor program.
- Academia – Magnetic levitation/propulsion and energy storage system studies.
- Industry - LLNL / Foster Miller / PRT Advance Maglev Systems.
- KSC - STTR Phase I (Advance Magnet Labs and MIT) Study on superconducting systems.

Partnerships for the project

- **Proposal**
  - During the first year the project will research, test, and develop partnerships to understand the technical feasibility of using magnetic suspension to launch prototype vehicles to test technologies for the development of the 3rd generation RLV.

- **Technical Approach**
  - Research available magnetic launch systems.
  - Research available energy storage systems.
  - Research switching, power distribution, and controls.
  - Follow ongoing MSFC contracts.
  - Develop partnerships with DoD and Academia.

Proposal / Technical Approach

- **Accomplishment this year**
  - Formed a partnership with the Navy to participate in the development of the EMALS and Arrestor program.
  - Formed a partnership with DFRC to perform fly dynamics and demonstrations for the project.
  - Extended the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory contract to built and test and Inductrack at their facilities.
  - Extended the Foster Miller contract for additional studies on the Linear Synchronous motor track they built.
  - Developed a 10 year road map for the project and got it approved by the program.
  - Formed a partnership with Florida Space Institute and relocated the Foster Miller 44 ft track to KSC. We will use graduate student under our guidance to do research.
  - Signed a grant with the Center for Electromagnetic at the University of Texas in Austin to evaluate the existing high speed motors available for the MagLev System.

Accomplishments

- Plans for next fiscal year
  - Perform flight testing from the PRT 50 ft track located at MSFC using a Radio Control powered vehicle.
  - Investigate aerodynamic problem due to wing "flutter".
  - Participate in the development of the EMAL system with the NAVY.
  - Design and commence fabrication of a test bed to test various configurations of linear motors at the Center for Electromagnetic.
  - Modify and test different coils configuration for propulsion and levitation using the Foster Miller 44 ft track.

- **Risks**
  - **Long term funding, Program survival.**
  - **Energy storage systems.**
  - **Aerodynamics.**
  - **Scaling.**

Risks

Conf Paper/IN/16

S/D

25P

Advanced Range Technologies

Richard A. Nelson
NASA/KSC
YA-E6
(321)867-3332
richard.nelson-2@ksc.nasa.gov

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations"

- Historically, the majority of the total life cycle cost for any complex system is attributed to operational and support activities
- Therefore, a primary strategy for reducing life cycle costs should be to develop and infuse spaceport technologies in future space transportation systems
- Advanced technologies will benefit current and future spaceports on the earth, moon, Mars, and beyond



- Current Weather & Range attributable delays and scrubs will limit future space launch cost/lb. goals

- Range infrastructure is falling behind needs for future Commercial, and next generation RLV launch systems





Why X-Range R&D ?

- Range Technology History
  - Air Force was responsible for developing and operating the ER and WR since 1950
  - Infrastructure was historically capitalized by DoD programs
  - Commercial users only reimburse direct costs; technology upgrades remain DoD responsibility



- Global and U.S. Future Range Trends forecast strong growth
  - Emerging space launches need specialized technologies to enable their business plans
  - Launch services becoming mostly commercial
  - Factor of 2-10 volume increase in five years
  - FAA involvement increasing (Spaceport Licenses)
  - National Security remains Driver
  - > 14 Global Launch Ranges

Advanced Range Technologies



Today: Space Shuttle
1st Generation RLV

- Orbital Scientific Platform
- Satellite Retrieval and Repair
- Satellite Deployment



2010: 2nd Generation RLV

- Space Transportation
- Rendezvous, Docking, Crew Transfer
- Other on-orbit operations
- ISS, Orbital Scientific Platform
- 10x Cheaper
- 100x Safer



2025: 3rd Generation RLV

- New Markets Enabled
- Multiple Platforms / Destinations
- 100x Cheaper
- 10,000x Safer

2040: 4th Generation RLV

- Routine Passenger Space Travel
- 1,000x Cheaper
- 20,000x Safer

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Advanced Range Technologies

Generations of Reusable Launch Vehicles

5690_ART.6

- **Vision**
  - **Guiding Assumptions**
    - 2020-2025 operational transportation to / from orbit
    - Launch assist (possibly MagLev, catapult, or other)
    - Fly back booster
    - 26 launch vehicles
    - Multiple spaceports (7)
    - A minimum of 2,000 missions annually
  - **Given these assumptions each 3rd generation vehicle**
    - Minimum of 77 missions/year with a Maximum of 4 days turnaround
    - Simultaneous operation with other vehicles at a Spaceport or multiple Spaceports
      - Launch
      - Landing
      - Vehicle processing
    - 'Seamless' interface with the National Air Space
    - On-board real-time access to weather, ATC and navigational data required for ascent and landing

- Goals
  - Double U.S. Range Surge Capacity
  - Factor of three Reduction in Delays and Scrubs
  - Demonstrate Flight Plan Operations
  - Reduce fixed and variable costs to our customers and government
  - Leverage Exploration Initiative, e.g., Planetary Range

- **Charter**
  - Develop/mature technologies and knowledge that support the goals of the future generations of Reusable Launch Vehicles (RLV) enabling greater access to/from space
  - Technologies developed will assure Safe and Efficient operations while providing increased launch/landing opportunities and thereby decreasing the $/lb to orbit
  - Transfer knowledge of range technologies so that they are available to future spaceport(s) and existing National range(s)
- **Consisting of five (5) technology focus areas:**
  - Weather Instrumentation & Systems
  - Space Based Range
  - Spaceport Range Systems
  - Decision Models & Simulations
  - Spaceport Information Systems Management

Advanced Range Technologies

♦ Weather Instrumentation & Systems

- **Description**
  - Develop and apply new technologies to weather instrumentation and systems in order to:
    - Reduce conservatism
    - Provide timely warnings for personnel and asset safety
    - Provide decision models with timely data

- **Candidate Projects**
  - Lightning Launch Commit Criteria Instrumentation and systems
  - Mesoscale Numerical Weather Prediction 4DDA
  - Integrated Weather Instrumentation Systems
  - Upper-level Wind Measurement/Forecast
  - Short term Forecasting Tech.



Products
- Improved lightning launch commit criteria

Benefits
- Increased safety and fewer launch scrubs

Customers
- All vehicles launched from American spaceports
- 2nd Gen Project Team

Current State of the Art
- Rules based on qualitative estimates

Performance Metrics
- Reduce standoff distances and/or time delays in rules by 20%

Risks
- Inability to obtain sufficient data

USG Participants
- KSC (lead), MSFC, USAF, NOAA, NCAR



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Advanced Range Technologies

Lightning Launch Commit Criteria



Products
- Software permitting real-time (4D) data ingest into mesoscale numerical weather prediction systems

Benefits
- Improved accuracy and timeliness of forecasts of winds, precipitation, temperatures and hazardous conditions
- Improved safety due to better warnings
- Fewer false alarms and associated down time

Customers
- All users of the Eastern Range
- 2nd Gen Project Team

Current State of the Art
- Models initialized every 6 to 12 hours, then free run

Performance Metrics
- Models updated with observations at least hourly

Risks
- Insufficient computing power available at reasonable cost

USG Participants

KSC



Mesoscale Numerical Weather Prediction 4DDA

- **Space Based Range**
  - **Description**
    - Provide integrated Range/Spaceport space based weather, communications, tracking and surveillance assets that may consist of:
      - A specific satellite platform with these capabilities, or
      - A constellation of individual satellites that fulfill these capabilities
    - Current tracking and telemetry data acquisition and distribution for space vehicle launch involves a geographically diverse set of assets which provide vehicle position determination from launch to orbit and return.
      - The use of these assets requires advanced scheduling, is very expensive and is only available in certain locations and for certain trajectories.
  - **Candidate Projects**
    - Digital Command Receiver Decoder Technology Range Safety commanding
    - Passive Coherent Locator (Metric Tracking)
    - Advanced DGPS/INS metric tracking systems
    - All weather Imaging
    - Air/Sea Surveillance





- Products
  Utilizing the TDRSS Space Network demonstrate the state-of-the-art transceivers and protocols will satisfy Range Safety Flight Termination and telemetry requirements. This will provide a reliable and alternative communications links for 2nd Generation reusable launch vehicles.
- Benefits
  - Safely reduce the cost by eliminating the need for downrange assets
  - Provides Space Based capability that will support multiple ranges/spaceports
  - Determine the feasibility and advantages of forward and return satellite links to transmit and receive telemetry data at Dryden.
  - Provide over the horizon tracking for current and future generations of hypersonic vehicles.
- Customers
  Internal AST, HEDS; external DoD, FAA, future spaceports

- Current State of the Art
  - New programmable TDRSS transceivers-Soft Radios in qualification testing
  - Lighter/low power/multi-channel/GPS
  - Current range systems supporting hypersonic flights are ground based and primarily support aircraft testing
- Performance Metrics
  - High integrity, continuity and availability to meet safety requirements for manned spacecraft Range Safety commanding
  - Reducing the infrastructure and systems required for missions will lower the ops costs
- Risks
  - Low. Transceiver developed. by another program
  - Programmable
  - Timely Startup Funding to initiate procurements
  - Vendor deliverables to NASA
  - Flight test vehicle for Phase II & III
- USG Participants
  - DOD, FAA, NASA KSC, GSFC, DFRC



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Advanced Range Technologies

Space Based Telemetry and Range Safety



Product

Development of an on-board real-time processor that will make autonomous 'Flight Termination' decisions based on:

- Vehicle performance
- Unsafe zones and islands
- Potential landing sights
- Flight path considerations, such as, real-time weather and Air Traffic Control (ATC)

Note: RLV Flight Termination will result in new abort scenarios that do not result in loss of vehicle

Benefits

Safe reduction of ground infrastructure required for Range Safety

Current State of the Art

Ground based infrastructure intensive 'Man-in-the-Loop' Range Safety Officer

Performance Metrics

Cost reduction as the direct result of elimination of ground infrastructure necessary to support Range Safety

Increased Crew and Mission Safety by providing greater 'abort' capability

Risks

Access/availability of GPS/INS unit

Space Flight qualified processor speed

Participants/University

MSFC, KSC, DFRC

Lockheed Martin



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Advanced Range Technologies

Autonomous Flight Safety System

- **Spaceport Range Systems**
  - **Description**
    - Provide Spaceport range systems architecture that would integrate all range support into a single system.
    - Provide for an integrated and automated capability that will make re-configuration of range systems for various launch vehicles, timely and efficient.
    - Provides ground based assets for Spaceport to meet requirements:
      - **that can not be met by the Space Based Range capabilities**
      - **necessary to provide communications between the Spaceports and Space Based Range**

  - **Candidate Projects**
    - Passive Coherent Locator (Metric Tracking)
    - Advanced low cost, transition DGPS Landing Systems
    - Automated Range Resource Management System
    - Mobile Launch Head Range System
    - Air/Sea Surveillance
    - Range Dispersion Monitoring System



Product

Provides for all weather precision surveillance and tracking.
PCL uses existing TV and FM broadcast transmitters as the illuminators for a multistatic Continuous Wave (CW) RADAR-like system with very high performance and survivability attributes

Benefit

Safely reduce infrastructure
Primarily a COTS solution will reduce O&M cost
Environmental clean
Continuous operation

Current State of the Art
- Complex 30+ year old C-Band Radar(s)
- Utilize vehicle transponders

Performance Metrics
- Reduced life cycle cost as compared to existing infrastructure and capability
- Multi-role functionality

Risks
- Low. easily characterized and modified for refinement of capability

USG Participants
- NASA KSC, MSFC and Lockheed Martin



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Advanced Range Technologies

Passive Coherent Location



- Products
  DGPS ground stations at designated landing fields and compatible avionics
- Benefits
  Use of current standards will share development costs with other government agencies (FAA and DOD)
- Customers
  Internal AST, HEDS; external DoD, FAA, future spaceports

- Current State of the Art
  FAA LAAS Category I system in Test
  CAT II/III and JPALS TRL: 5/4 now.
- Performance Metrics
  High integrity, continuity, availability, and accuracy to meet safety requirements for manned spacecraft landing.
- Risks
  Low. Development done by FAA/DOD
- USG Participants
  DOD, FAA, NASA KSC



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Advanced Range Technologies

DGPS Precision Approach & Landing System

- **Decision Models & Simulation**
  - **Description**
    - Existing models interject conservatism in order to compensate for computational and technology limitations.
    - New technologies exist that can reduce conservatism, while providing the fidelity necessary to ensure safe and cost effective models .

  - **Candidate Projects**
    - Spaceport Dispersion Three-Dimensional Model (SD3D)
    - Decision Model Optimization
    - High Fidelity Plume Conflagration Model
    - Disaster Sheltering Assessment Improvements
    - Knowledge-based Toxic Hazard Repository
    - Real-time Dispersion Monitoring System (RDMS)
    - RLV Composites Combustion and Toxicity Assessment



X-37 Demonstrator

- Products: SD3D model with capabilities that include, but not limited to an uncertainty analysis capability, toxic dispersion improvements and validation, and high fidelity conflagration analyses
- Benefits: Spaceport hazards shall be cost effectively controlled/mitigated with the above developed, and validated model
- Customers:
  - Internal - NASA RLV Spaceports; External - DOD, FAA, and future spaceports
  - Technologies will benefit 2nd Gen. by providing accurate decision assistance for operations at any spaceport
- Common / Enhancing: Products reduce uncertainty and conservatism which in turn reduces turnaround time.

- Current State of the Art:
  Current capability based on a 20 year old empirical model (REEDM). The SD3D will replace this model.
- Performance Metrics
  - Product delivery dates
  - Demonstrated capability during operations
- Risks
  - Getting model "accepted" onto the spaceport
  - Compatibility of model with existing databases
- Participants
  - KSC
  - PAFB/CCAFS
  - Spaceport Florida Authority and future Spaceports



Spaceport Dispersion Three-Dimensional Model (SD3D)



X-34 Demonstrator

- Products: Personal Protection Equipment (PPE) and exposure limit recommendations (if any) and procedures as it relates to RLV composite materials hazards
- Benefits: Spaceport composite material hazards (if any) will be cost effectively controlled/mitigated
- Customers:
  Internal- NASA Spaceports; External - DOD, FAA, and future spaceports
  Technologies will benefit 2nd Gen. by providing safety guidance for operations at any spaceport
- Common / Enhancing: Products provide safety guidance which reduces accidents and/or long term health effects

- Current State of the Art:
  The hazards associated with exposure to burning composite materials is a new area and not well understood
- Performance Metrics
  Composite material burn test dates
  Reports/recommendations on composite material hazards
- Risks
  "Piggy-backing" on burn tests planned by other agencies
  Availability of composite material test samples and propellant material to use in burn tests
- Participants
  KSC, MSFC, LaRC
  Army, PAFB/CCAFS and other AF organizations
  Spaceport Florida Authority and future Spaceports



RLV Composites Combustion and Toxicity Assessment

♦ Spaceport Information Systems Management

- **Description**
  - Advanced Space Based and Spaceport Range systems will require an architecture that:
    - provides for the sharing of range information
    - supports distributed processing
    - provides support for simultaneous ground and flight operations
      - to/from multiple Spaceports/Ranges
      - multiple vehicles
- **Candidate Technologies**
  - Space Based Internet viewing of vehicle data and vehicle access to range services
  - Networks Administration and Management systems
  - Standardization of vehicle data interfaces
  - Standardization of range systems interfaces



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Advanced Range Technologies

Advanced Range Technologies

5690_ART.23

Potential Benefits

- Lower per Launch Costs
- Shorter Lead-time
- Higher Through-put
- Lower number of Weather Scrubs or Delays
- Lower number of Range/Spaceport Scrubs or Delays
- Lower Infrastructure Capital & O&M requirements
- Converts fixed (non-reimbursable) to variable costs
- Outsourcing options
- Multi-Range/Spaceport Compatibility

- **Customers**

Stakeholder	Interest
• KSC	Advanced Range Leadership, Strategic Planning & R&D
• MSFC	Space Transportation Program
• JSC	SOMO Shuttle
• FAA	License/Regulations
• Space Launch Industry	Low Cost & High Availability
• Spaceports – California, Florida, others	Site Infrastructure
• USAF	SMC, Space Wings, & EELV
• GSFC	TDRS Office

S11

17P

Spaceport Operations Element

NASA Ames Research Center

And

NASA Kennedy Space Center

Outline

- NASA Ames Air Traffic Management Technologies
- Space Transportation of the Future
- Spaceport Flight Operations Research Topics

Air Traffic Management at Ames

- NASA Ames Unique Air Traffic Control Software
  - Center TRACON Automation System, CTAS
  - Future ATM Concepts Evaluation Tool, FACET
- NASA Ames Unique Research Facilities
  - Future Flight Central
  - Vertical Motion Simulation
  - Pseudo Aircraft Simulator

Ames Developed Technologies



Traffic Management Advisor

Surface Movement Advisor

Final Approach Spacing Tool Passive

- Central to FAA's NAS Modernization
- Comprise three of the five tools selected by FAA/industry in support of on-going Free-Flight Phase 1
  - 66% of the expected cost savings due to automation
  - 43% ($222M) of implementation budget for automation tools

Free Flight Phase-I CTAS Sites




FACET
NASA
Ames Research Center
Future
ATM
Concepts
Evaluation
Tool
Analysis

RLV Operation in the NAS



RLV Operations at JFK



RLV Descent Trajectory



Number of Aircraft in RLV Path at JFK





Future Flight Central

Space Transportation in the Future

- NASA Space Transportation Goals and Roadmap
  - Increase safety
  - Reduce Cost
- United States Spaceport Locations
  - Inland locations
  - Increased number of spaceports
- Vision Spaceport
  - Spaceports that operate similar to today's airports

NASA Space Transportation Roadmap and Goals

Today: Space Shuttle
1st Generation RLV
- Orbital Scientific Platform
- Satellite Retrieval and Repair
- Satellite Deployment

2010: 2nd Generation RLV
- Space Transportation
- Rendezvous, Docking, Crew Transfer
- Other on-orbit operations
- ISS Orbital Scientific Platform
- 10x Cheaper
- 100x Safer

2025: 3rd Generation RLV
- New Markets Enabled
- Multiple Platforms / Destinations
- 100x Cheaper
- 10,000x Safer

2040: 4th Generation RLV
- Routine Passenger Space Travel
- 1,000x Cheaper
- 20,000x Safer



USA Spaceport Locations



Vision Spaceport

Ultimately -Space for Anyone

High-Volume Cargo Operations





Spaceport Flight Operations Research Topics

- Flight Planning and Scheduling
  - International Space Station
  - Increased and Varied Spaceport Locations
  - Aborts
  - Emergency De-orbit
- Airspace Negotiation
  - FAA Licensing
  - Trajectory negotiation
  - Range Operations

Spaceport Flight Operations Research Topics

- RLV Performance and Flight Operations
  - SHARP Technologies
  - Commercial Ventures
- Collision Detection and Resolution
  - Aircraft
  - Space Debris

Conf Paper 1N/16

512

21P

Cryogenics Testbed Technology Focus Areas

James E. Fesmire
NASA Kennedy Space Center
Spaceport Engineering and Technology

Cryogenics Test Laboratory
Kennedy Space Center, FL 32899
Mail Code: YA-F2-T
(321) 867-4550 office, (321) 867-7280 lab
james.fesmire@ksc.nasa.gov

October 2000

CRYOGENICS TESTBED OVERVIEW

- Our mission is to bring together the mutual elements of research, industry, and training in the field of cryogenics to advance technology development for the spaceports of the future.
- Successful technology and productive collaboration comes from the these three ingredients working together in a triangle of interaction.



CRYOGENICS TESTBED OVERVIEW

Collaboration

- Research → *energy efficient cryogenics*
- Industry → *engineering, test, and evaluation*
- Training → *international school of excellence*

Facilities

- Cryogenics Test Laboratory
- LN2 Flow Test Area
- Launch Systems Test Area
- Materials Science Laboratories
- Launch Equipment Test Facility



CRYOGENICS TESTBED TASK AREAS

Aerospace

- Exploration initiatives
- Future launch vehicle servicing
- Space Shuttle technology upgrades
- Experiment design and setup
- Spaceport 2050 (energy integrated launch site)

Commercial

- Cryogenic and vacuum testing
- Specialized system design engineering
- Component test and evaluation
- Prototype construction
- Cryobiology, food technology, electronics, materials, and other low temperature applications

CRYOGENICS TESTBED
EXAMPLE AREAS OF WORK





- Thermal insulation testing
- Liquid nitrogen flow testing
- Engineering test and evaluation
- Equipment qualification testing
- Propellant systems planning and integration
- High vacuum measurement and leak detection
- Conceptual design and prototype construction
- Low-temperature applications such as energy transfer, superconductivity, medical, food industry
- International school of excellence in cryogenics (charter)

CRYOGENICS TESTBED TECHNOLOGY FOCUS AREAS

Thermal Insulation Systems

Cryogenic Components

Propellant Process Systems

Low-Temperature Applications

- The focus areas comprise the core work which is the technical foundation of the testbed
- The focus areas are linked to the long range strategic goals of NASA

THERMAL INSULATION SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY FOCUS AREA

Energy Efficient Cryogenics

Objective: ***Develop the materials, the testing technologies, and the engineering for the efficient storage, transfer, and use of cryogens and cryogenic propellants on Earth and in space.***

THERMAL INSULATION SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY FOCUS AREA

- Insulation Testing Technology
- Efficient, Robust Insulation Systems
- Applications



INSULATION TESTING TECHNOLOGY

- Cryostat-1
- Cryostat-2
- Cryostat-3
- Dewar Test Apparatus
- Pipeline Test Apparatus

INSULATION TESTING TECHNOLOGY

Cryostat-1 is a liquid nitrogen boiloff calorimeter apparatus for direct measurement of the apparent thermal conductivity (k-value) of a material system at a fixed vacuum level. The configuration includes a 1 m long cylindrical cold mass with liquid nitrogen guard chambers. The steady-state measurement of the apparent k-value is made when the vacuum level, all temperatures, and the boiloff flow are stable.



INSULATION TESTING TECHNOLOGY

Cryostat-2 is a liquid nitrogen boiloff calorimeter apparatus for calibrated measurement of the k-value. The configuration is _ m long cylindrical with aerogel disks for thermal guards. This apparatus with its removable cold mass allows a quicker testing of different specimens, is convenient for materials screening, and can also be configured for flat plate geometries.

Cryostat-3 is a similar test apparatus used for testing insulation materials in specialty environments such as carbon dioxide.



INSULATION TESTING TECHNOLOGY

The ***Dewar Test*** is an apparatus to determine the "real world" performance of an insulation system with consideration given to the fabrication, quality control, testing, and operation of the cryogenic tank. This method gives a direct measure of actual system performance as a function of cold vacuum pressure. The weight loss due to the boiloff of nitrogen gas is proportional to the total system heat leak rate.



INSULATION TESTING TECHNOLOGY

The ***Pipeline Test Apparatus*** is designed for precision thermal performance measurements of complete assemblies. The pipelines may be rigid (up to 60 feet) or flexible (any length). Three pipelines with outside diameters of up to 8 inches may be installed at one time. Both ends are thermally guarded by liquid nitrogen reservoirs with special mounting adapters. Test article surfaces are maintained at a constant warm temperature using a heater jacket. Static nitrogen boil-off flow rates are recorded after a suitable stabilization period. A field portable property measurement and data acquisition system is used. The system may be adapted for use with other process fluids under dynamic (flow through) conditions.



EFFICIENT, ROBUST INSULATION SYSTEMS

- Technological developments of the last century have led to insulation systems that have approached the ultimate limit of performance. More technologies and markets forecast for rapid expansion into the 21st century will require, in many cases, not superinsulations but more efficient systems for a wide variety of cryogenic applications. The development of **efficient, robust thermal insulation systems** is a target area of work.
- Liquid nitrogen boiloff methods are used to test both conventional and new materials in **high vacuum, soft vacuum, and no vacuum** environments. Materials include combinations of reflection shields, fiberglass papers, polyester fabrics, aerogel composite blankets, fumed silica, aerogel powders, aerogel beads, and foams.
- A new **layered composite insulation** under development should benefit industry for the storage, transfer, or handling of low temperature fluids, by lowering the manufacturing and life-cycle costs for equipment. These insulation systems should also allow for more flexibility in the overall design and implementation of cryogenic systems, a key benefit to the cryogenic equipment on Earth and in Space.



NO VACUUM



SOFT VACUUM



HIGH VACUUM



TEST SUMMARY CRYOSTAT-1

- Boundary temperatures were approximately 80 K and 280 K
- Typical installed thickness was 25-mm.
- Residual gas was nitrogen.
- Layered composite C107 gave superior performance of 2.4 mW/m-K (R-60) at 1 torr which is about four times better than the benchmark MLI C108.
- C107 was comparable to the benchmark MLI at high vacuum (0.09 versus 0.08 mW/m-K).

APPLICATIONS

- Low Cost High-Efficiency Pipelines for Long Distance Transfer of Cryogens
- Long Term Storage of Cryogens on Mars Using Soft Vacuum Thermal Insulation System
- Long Flexible Cryostats for High-Temperature Superconducting Cables

APPLICATIONS

Low Cost High-Efficiency Pipelines for Long Distance Transfer of Cryogens

- Thriving spaceports of the future will rely on new approaches to supply of the requisite propellants and gases. Services built around thermally efficient, integrated launch pads supplied by centralized plants for both energy conversion and cryogenic production are envisioned. A key part of these services will be the transfer pipelines to deliver the cryogenic fluids (helium, hydrogen, nitrogen, and oxygen) across long distances. Achieving the goal of an "energy integrated" launch site can be done if all elements are given due economic consideration at the start of the design concept.
- Current work includes the development of low-cost high-efficiency pipelines for the long distance transfer of cryogens. Two commercial off-the-shelf products are being tested: a vacuum-jacketed pipeline with multilayer insulation and two standard bayonet joints and a foam insulated pipeline (both are 60 foot length). The heat leak of this pipeline, which will be determined through a series of liquid nitrogen boil-off tests, will also serve as a benchmark for thermal performance comparison. New pipelines, both rigid and flexible, using experimental insulation materials, will be fabricated and tested.



APPLICATIONS

Long Term Storage of Cryogens on Mars Using Soft Vacuum Thermal Insulation System

- Missions to explore Mars will require complex, autonomous systems that are highly energy efficient, integrated across all subsystems, and rugged. The long term storage of cryogens, in particular liquid oxygen and liquid methane, is an important challenge for the planned step by step success of these missions. Liquid oxygen supplies for life support and for return trips to Earth will be produced well in advance of the human missions and must remain ready to use for a variety of contingency scenarios. The atmosphere on Mars is a "soft vacuum" (about 5 torr) composed primarily of carbon dioxide.
- Current work is focused on the test and evaluate the thermal performance of experimental materials in the approximate Martian environment. This region is very dynamic because radiation, gas conduction, and convection (as well as solid conduction) are all significant contributors to the total heat leak rate. Tests are typically conducted in nitrogen (for comparison), in carbon dioxide, and in high vacuum as well. Materials tested to 77 K include aerogels in loose fill, blanket, and layered composite forms.



APPLICATIONS

Long Flexible Cryostats for High-Temperature Superconducting Cables

- High temperature superconducting (HTS) materials for power transmission applications are now being demonstrated in prototype situations. Future space applications for HTS materials, in addition to power transmission, include areas such as microwave communications, quantum devices, propulsion by plasma beams, and electromechanical actuators. Global proliferation of long length power cable systems, from the refrigeration point-of-view, will depend on an energy efficient cryogenic system that is economical to manufacture and operate. An inherent element of these supporting cryogenic systems is the thermal insulation system.
- Current work is focused on thermal management of HTS power transmission equipment for future energy needs. Insulation performance levels of materials versus those of typical systems in operation have been described through extensive cryostat tests in the 77 K temperature range. A simulated section (1 meter) of a flexible cryo power cable has been constructed. Measurement of the overall thermal performance under varied conditions of vacuum level and mechanical loading is being performed. This research study being done in cooperation with Oak Ridge National Laboratory through the Department of Energy's Superconducting Partnership Initiative.



CRYOGENICS TESTBED TECHNOLOGY FOCUS AREAS

SUMMARY

Thermal Insulation Systems

- Energy efficient cryogenics

Cryogenic Components

- Valves, pumps, and sensors

Propellant Process Systems

- Liquid hydrogen, liquid oxygen densification

Low-Temperature Applications

- High temperature superconductivity

Conf. Paper/IN/16

5/3

14

Ground Operations

Space Transportation Technology Workshop
October 11, 2000

Randy Eastman/KSC

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations"

5690.1

- **Integrated Space Transportation Program (ISTP)**
  - **Shuttle Upgrades (1st Generation)**
  - **Space Launch Initiative (2nd Generation)**
  - **Advanced Space Transportation Program (3rd Generation)**
    - Support 2nd Generation RLV
    - Spaceliner 100 Investment Area
      - Propulsion
      - Airframe
      - Launch Technologies
      - IVHM
      - Operations and Range Technology Project
        - Range
        - Launch Assist
        - Ground Operations Element
        - Spaceport Operations
    - In-Space
    - Space Transportation Research
  - **4th Generation RLV Research**

- **Ground Operations**
  - **Technologies that could reduce ground operations costs**
  - **What are the systems issues driving ground ops costs as we know them today?**
    - Example: Labor intensive manual mating of umbilicals
  - **What new technologies could reduce ground ops costs?**
    - Example: Automated umbilical mating
  - **Other benefits**
    - Reduced processing time
    - Increased safety and reliability

- **Ground Ops Technologies**
  - **Sensors**
  - **Umbilicals**
  - **Payload handling & checkout**
  - **Propellant production, handling & storage**
  - **Cryogenic systems**
  - **Weight & CG measurement**
  - **Hold down posts & vehicle positioning**
  - **Etc.**

- **Sensors**
  - **Smart Instrumentation and Electronics development**
    - Near-term objectives
      - Multi-array Pressure Transducer demo and begin work on Miniature Universal Signal-Conditioning Amplifier
      - Demo robust MEMS miniature sensor work in H2, O2, P and T
      - Begin Non-Invasive Sensor work
      - Begin Self-Healing Electronics, Advanced Power Management, Advanced Software work
      - Advanced Software Algorithms
    - Long-term objectives
      - Testing of candidate instruments for miniaturized robust mass spectrometer
      - Continue Non-Invasive Sensor work
      - Continue Self-Healing Electronics, Advanced Power Management, Advanced Software work at simulation level

- **Umbilicals**
  - **Provide fuel fill, drain, & vent capabilties as well as power, communications, and data to vehicle prior to "T-0"**
  - **Overall goal: "Smart" umbilicals**
    - Develop enabling technologies
      - Q.D.'s
        - Fluids & fiber optics
        - Self-checking (leaks, cleanliness, etc.)
        - Self-correcting (ultimate goal)
        - - Smart seals, shape-changing alloys
        - Develop with industry partners
        - - Developed spec, currently reviewing proposals
      - Automated mating
        - Vision systems
        - Advanced actuators
        - Latching systems
        - Control systems
        - Ice/frost prevention/removal systems
      - Integrate advanced sensors
        - Acoustic leak detection

Umbilicals

- **30+ years experience in umbilical design, testing & operation At KSC**
  - **Apollo**
  - **Shuttle**
  - **X-33**
    - Rise-off umbilical
      - Joint NASA/USA design
      - Ground and flight side plates
      - Tested at LETF





Umbilicals



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Ground Operations

Umbilical Roadmap

- **Automated Umbilical Mating Technology**
  - **Project began as SBIR Phase I**
    - Merritt Systems Inc. of Oviedo, FL
  - **Further developed under SBIR Phase II**
    - Scissors-type mechanism
    - Pneumatic actuators
    - Vision system





Automated Umbilicals

- **Phase III**
  - **Initially funded under Bantam X program**
  - **No specific umbilical requirements for Bantam were developed**
  - **Focus shifted to development of enabling core technologies required for an automated umbilical system**
    - Location/tracking (vision system)
    - Positioning (actuators)
    - Control system (hardware/software)
    - De-icing (required for re-mate capability)
  - **Looked at existing and future launch vehicle configurations to determine requirements common across all systems**
  - **Developed a generic, scalable, adaptable, "worst-case" design concept**
    - End of swing arm, active control of 6 DOF, harsh environment, re-mate capability, fail-operational, etc.
  - **Basic technology can then be tailored to fit specific vehicle requirements**
    - Possible on-orbit or planetary surface applications
    - Commercial aircraft and automotive refueling

Automated Umbilicals



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Ground Operations

Automated Umbilicals

- Operational concept
  - Independent system required to position automated umbilical into its operational envelope
    - Swing arm, TSM, rail, etc.
  - Initial approach to vehicle using vision system
  - Actuators based on linear induction motors provide precise control of movement in X, Y, Z, yaw, pitch, and roll
  - After alignment cones enter receptacles on flight side, force-feedback control is employed
  - Linear motors allow adjustment of "compliance" of system to ensure excessive loads are not imparted to vehicle
  - Mate is 2-stage process
    - Alignment cones provide hard mate to vehicle
    - Secondary carrier plate is then driven forward to gang-mate all fluid and electrical connections
  - Once successful mate is achieved, system becomes "passive"

Automated Umbilicals

- **Operational Concept**
  - **At predetermined commit point in countdown, system de-mates from vehicle and withdraws to a safe position**
  - **If launch is aborted after de-mating, umbilical will re-mate to vehicle to allow fuel drain**
    - System must be fail-operational to ensure re-mate can be accomplished
      - Redundant actuators
      - Fault-tolerant control system
    - Any build-up of ice/frost from mating surfaces must be removed prior to re-mate
      - Infrared lamps
      - Warm fluid loops

- **Status**
  - **Design currently being finalized**
  - **Fabrication and delivery of prototype/demonstrator in FY01 pending funding**

Automated Umbilicals

Supporting the NASA RLV Program

Kathryn E. Caggiano
Peter L. Jackson
John A. Muckstadt

Cornell University
Operations Research and Industrial Engineering

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations"

Develop analysis tools for determining and evaluating spare parts stocking policies for components of Reusable Launch Vehicles

- System Framework
- Analysis Tools
- Analysis Process (GEM)

Overview

- RLV Ground Maintenance Process
- Line Replaceable Unit (LRU) Repair Process
- Shop Replaceable Unit (SRU) Repair Process



RLV Maintenance Cycles



Failed LRUs must be replaced by the scheduled end date in order to avoid a delay.

One Maintenance Cycle



RLV Ground Maintenance



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - 2nd & 3rd Generation Vehicle Subsystems

LRU Repair Process



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - 2nd & 3rd Generation Vehicle Subsystems

SRU Repair Process



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - 2nd & 3rd Generation Vehicle Subsystems

System Framework



LRU Repair Cycle Time

- System Framework
- Analysis Tools
- Analysis Process (GEM)

Overview

- Mathematical Model
- Simulation Model

Analysis Tools

Goal:

Given a target investment level, determine LRU and SRU spare inventory levels that minimize the expected number of "holes" in an RLV at the end of its scheduled maintenance cycle.



Mathematical Model



LRU Repair Cycle Time

Considerations:

- RLV maintenance schedule parameters (τ, γ, etc.)
- Times at which LRUs are tested (relative to τ)
- Part failure characteristics
- Bill of material relationships
- For LRUs repaired in-house, repair cycle time components (other than queue time and wait time)
- For LRUs with outsourced repair, the repair cycle time distribution
- SRU repair cycle time components (other than queue time)
- Repair capacity
- Target budget level and part costs

Does not capture:

- Variability of transport, queue, and service times
- Work prioritization

Mathematical Model



LRU Repair Cycle Time = 11
if no wait for SRUs

SRU Repair Cycle Time = 7

Example

Outline of Method:

- For each LRU type, build the SRU tradeoff curve.
- For each LRU type, build the family tradeoff curve by evaluating LRU/SRU budget allocation strategies, keeping points on the convex minorant of the curve.
- Build the overall tradeoff curve using marginal analysis on the family tradeoff curve points.
- Find the point on the overall tradeoff curve that requires a total investment closest to (but not exceeding) the target investment level.



SRU Tradeoff Curve

Outline of Method:

- For each LRU type, build the SRU tradeoff curve.
- For each LRU type, build the family tradeoff curve by evaluating LRU/SRU budget allocation strategies, keeping points on the convex minorant of the curve.
- Build the overall tradeoff curve using marginal analysis on the family tradeoff curve points.
- Find the point on the overall tradeoff curve that requires a total investment closest to (but not exceeding) the target investment level.



LRU Family Tradeoff Curve

Outline of Method:

- For each LRU type, build the SRU tradeoff curve.
- For each LRU type, build the family tradeoff curve by evaluating LRU/SRU budget allocation strategies, keeping points on the convex minorant of the curve.
- Build the overall tradeoff curve using marginal analysis on the family tradeoff curve points.
- Find the point on the overall tradeoff curve that requires a total investment closest to (but not exceeding) the target investment level.



Overall Tradeoff Curve

Goal:

Evaluate maintenance resource strategies, including LRU and SRU spare inventory levels, in the dynamic RLV environment.

Considerations:

- Outsourcing of repair
- Condemnation
- Limited capacity for in-house diagnosis and repair
- Probabilistic transport and service times
- Limited inventories
- Dynamic work prioritization at repair centers

Simulation Model Features

- Identify Events
- Model Delays Between Events
- Manage Priorities
- Track Inventories
- Select Inputs
- Capture Outputs

A Model of RLV Repairs

- System Framework
- Analysis Tools
- Analysis Process (GEM)

Overview



Analysis Process

- Validate models with realistic data
- Use analytic tools to evaluate alternative maintenance resource strategies
- Enhance the current mathematical model
  - Repair queue time variability
  - Repair capacity decisions
  - Repair facility location decisions
  - Repair facility assignment decisions

Next Steps

CONF PAPER / IN / 16

115

Space Shuttle Processing Simulation Model

Macro Level Simulation Model Of Space Shuttle Processing

Developed under a NASA Space Act Agreement
between the Kennedy Space Center and the University of Central Florida

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations"

- **The simulation model encompasses the existing space shuttle ground processing Facilities, Ground-Support Equipment (GSE) infrastructure and Flight-Hardware elements to the level of detail that NASA retains management responsibility for; such as...**

Flight Hardware	Facilities	GSE
Orbiters	OPF	Orbiter Transporter
SSMEs	Engine Shop	Engine Hyster
External Tanks	VAB	ET Transporter
SRM/SRB	MLPs	Crawler/Transporter

- **The simulation model logic is consistent with current Space Shuttle program ground rules and constraints such as...**
  - After 8 flights, the shuttle orbiter undergoes depot level maintenance (OMDP/OMMP) in California.
  - only one shuttle on orbit at any given time.

Space Shuttle Processing Simulation Model



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Space Shuttle Processing

Space Shuttle Processing Simulation Model



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Space Shuttle Processing

Space Shuttle Processing Simulation Model

Flow Diagram

Utilized commercial off-the-shelf Software

Rockwell Software Arena* **Systems Modeling*	Simulation Software
Microsoft Project	Project Schedule
Microsoft Excel	Data Files
Microsoft PowerPoint	Knowledge Files & Presentations
Microsoft Visio	Flow Diagrams
Averill M. Law & *Associates, Inc. ExpertFit*	Distribution Fitting

Process Title	Process Location	File
"Normal" OPF Flow	OPF	OPF Flow.ppt OPF UCF TA Days.xls
VAB SSV Flow	VAB Integration Cell	VAB SSV Flow.ppt VAB SSV TA Days.xls
Pad Flow	Launch Pad	Pad Flow.ppt Pad Flow UCF.xls
Launch Day	Launch Pad	Launch Day.ppt Launch Data.xls
Scrub Flow	Launch Pad	Scrub Flow.ppt Launch Data.xls
Ascent Phase / Intact Abort Scenario's		Ascent.ppt SAIC Midterm Abort.ppt Risk PRA.xls
On-Orbit Phase	LEO	On-Orbit.ppt On Orbit UCF.xls
End of Mission (EOM) Day	LEO	On-Orbit.ppt Landings.xls
Land at KSC	SLF	KSC Landing.ppt Landings.xls
Land at DFRC	Edwards Air Force Base, CA	DFRC Landing.ppt Landings.xls
Land at TAL Site	Spain or Africa	TAL Landing.ppt
Mobile Launcher Platform (MLP) Life	Launch Pad, MLP Park Site, VAB	MLP UCF Phase- MLP TA Days.xls

Process Title	Process Location	File
SRB Retrieval	Atlantic Ocean	SRB Retrieval.ppt
SRM / SRB Disassembly & Inspection	Hangar AF	SRB Safng Disassy.ppt
RSRM Off-Site Turnaround Cycle	Utah Rail Roads	RSRM.ppt RSRM Transit Time.xls
Rail RSRM Segments to Utah	Rail Roads	RSRM KSC Time.xls
SRB Subassembly Turnaround Cycle	ARF	SRB ARF.ppt SRB ARF Data.xls
RPSF Operations	RPSF	RPSF.ppt SRB Aft Booster Bld Up.xls
SRB/SRM Stacking	VAB Integration Cell	SRB Stacking.ppt
ET Manufacturing	Michoud, LA	ET Manufacturing.ppt
ET Transport to KSC	LA to FL	ET Manufacturing.ppt
ET Checkout	Checkout Cell	ET Check Mate.ppt ET Ck-Out TA Days.xls
ET Mate & Closeouts	VAB Integration Cell	ET Check Mate.ppt ET TA Days.xls
SSME Turnaround	OPF, VAB, Pad, Engine Shop	SSME UCF Phase B.ppt SSME Data.xls
OMS Pods & FRCS Contingency Turnaround	HMF	OMS Pods & FRCS.ppt HMF History.xls
OMS Pods & FRCS OMDP Flows	HMF	OMS Pods & FRCS OMDP.ppt HMF History.xls
Orbiter OMDP	OPF, Palmdale	Orbiter OMDP.ppt Omdpflows UCFrev A.xls

Space Shuttle Processing Simulation Model Knowledge Acquisition



Diagram at left is the SSME related portions of the model.

Of interest from a modeling standpoint is:

- How long from OPF roll-in to SSME removal.
- Travel time between OPF and Engine Shop.
- Duration of Engine Shop activity.
- Minimum time between engines-out and engines-in.
- Duration between SSME installation and OPF roll-out.

Initial modeling assumptions and subsequent changes :

- The engine set (3 engines) stays together. (Changed to allow engines to be separated in the engine shop
- There are 13 engines and thus four sets of engines plus one ready spare engine. (Changed to 21 engines or 7 sets to more closely reflect 1992-1997 time-frame)
- The engine shop can process one set of engines at a time. (Changed to any number of engines at a time)
- Any engine set can go in any orbiter on a first need, first served basis.
- Engine removal during a Pre-Palmdale OPF Flow is modeled the same as Normal OPF Flow.







Example of historical data

Mean	19.26
Median	17.00
Mode	16
Min	7
Max	42
Std Dev	7.795
Count	72

Bin	*Freq*
8	2
10	6
12	8
14	4
16	14
18	5
20	6
22	3
24	7
26	4
28	3
30	0
32	5
34	3
36	1
38	0
40	0
42	1





"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Space Shuttle Processing

Space Shuttle Processing Simulation Model
Knowledge Acquisition

Example of Probability Distribution Selection using ExpertFit: OPF Roll-in to SSME Removal



A functional probabilistic based simulation of space shuttle operations now exists and can be used for several applications:

- **Analysis of present Space Shuttle System**
  - Identify Facility & Flight Hardware Utilization percentages
  - Identify potential bottlenecks
- **Flight Rate Experiments**
  - Manipulate process-duration probability distributions to achieve 10 (or more) flights per year and analyze model outputs.
- **What-if questions can be analyzed such as:**
  - What is the expected impact on flight rate given the loss of a launch pad?
  - What is the expected impact on flight rate given the loss of one VAB Integration Cell?
- **The current model offers an architecture that allows lower level detail to be modeled for system specific operational processes or events.**

- **The existing model can serve as a First Generation RLV baseline for comparison**
  - Aid in understanding and serve as a point of departure for new reusable Space Launch Initiatives
- **New RLV specific models can be used to increase insight into reusable launch vehicle turnaround, operational processes and business case closure risk.**
  - Demonstrate Flight Rate dependence on such factors as the RLV architecture, probabilistic processing times, launch scrubs, and ascent outcomes.
- **Provide the government with a tool for analyzing and comparing competing architectures**
  - Requires that each architecture be modeled using similar methodology.

Conf Paper HN/16

14P



Operations and Range Technology Development

October 12, 2000

Dave Taylor - KSC

ASTP

Ops and Range Tech



Operations and Range Technology Development

- **Operations and Range technologies are being developed by both the 3rd Generation RLV Program (ASTP) and the 2nd Generation RLV Program**
  - Space Transportation Architecture Studies (STAS) and the Integrated Space Transportation Plan (ISTP) defined requirements and prioritized technologies that needed further development to accomplish the goals of the 2nd and 3rd Gen. Programs.
  - Both the 2nd and 3rd Gen. operations technology development efforts are currently managed for the Programs by the Kennedy Space Center
    - KSC has been involved in a wide range of activities aimed at developing "spaceport" technologies

Spaceport Technology Areas

Current Customer Base

Shuttle	Expendable Launch Vehicles	Commercial Launch Industry	HEDS Exploration	Next Generation Launch Vehicles



* - Presentations Today

Umbilical Systems Development

Ops and Range Tech



L Facility

•Design & Test of X-33
Rise off Umbilicals
•*Partnership with Lockheed Martin*



•Delta IV EELV ECS Duct Design, Fab and testing
•*Partnership with Boeing*



•Fluid & Electrical Automated
Umbilical Mate & Checkout
•*SBIR Partnership*



•Gox Vent/Composite Nose Cone testing setup to test the New composite nose cone for Shuttle

•*Partnership with KSC, MSFC, & Lockheed Martin Michoud*

Automated Payload Handling Systems

Ops and Range Tech



•Design and Development of an automated control System for the Payload Ground Handling Mechanism At LC-39

•*USA Patnership*

Command, Control & Monitor Systems

•HEDS Technology Demo - Shuttle Flight Experiment to demonstrate new instrumentation technologies (fiber optic, micro-electro mechanical sensor)

•Flight Experiment on STS-96

•Multi-Center, Industry & Academia Partnership: KSC, JSC, MSFC, LaRC, GRC, Boeing North American, USA, Sanders Division of Lockheed Martin, Rockwell Science Center, Oklahoma State University, University of Maryland, Dynacs Engineering Company



•Autonomous Micro-Temperature Recorder was part of the SpaceHab Oceaneering Space Systems Payload (SHOSS) used to characterize on-orbit temperature environment. Each unit can be programmed to "wake up" at a user defined time at which it begins sampling temperature.

•Partnership with Invocon Inc., KSC and JSC

• Experiment flew on STS-101

•Partnership with Ivacon Inc., KSC and JSC

Command, Control & Monitor Systems

Ops and Range Tech



- Micro Wireless Instrumentation System is a small Battery operated temperature sensor system Comprised of transmitter and receiver units. The Transmitter units measure temperature at various Locations in the crew module and send data to Receiver via RF.

- **Experiment flew on STS-101**

- *Partnership with Ivocon, Inc., KSC and JSC*





- Optical Plume Anomaly Detection OPAD - Shuttle Flight Experiment to demonstrate technology to monitor ultraviolet and visible light spectrum in rocket engine plume, detect metallic presence in the parts per billion range, and provide indication of hardware mass loss

- Partnership with *MSFC*

- **Scheduled to Fly on STS-113**

Command, Control & Monitor Systems



Portable Ground Station for Monitoring Experiment



Electronic Components For the Experiment

•NASA IVHM Technology Experiment - X-34 Flight experiment to demonstrate software techniques for modeling & monitoring main propulsion system, reaction control system, and fast track engine system

•*Partnership with ARC, GRC, and MSFC, Orbital Sciences Corporation*

Intelligent Synthesis Environment

TDRSS Processing Simulation









Future Plans

- Integrate engineering and operations analysis codes into this ISE tool.
- Finish modeling complete representation of ground processing environment
- Use tools to design and develop new launch and payload processing systems and to assess their operational approach





Low TRL Development



The ***Mars environmental compatibility assessment*** project's electrometer was jointly developed at JPL & KSC to facilitate the characterization of the electrostatic properties of different types of insulating materials that are likely to be used on future unmanned and manned explorations of Mars.

Reverse water Gas Shift - A cyclic process Technology for Oxygen Production



Mars Umbilical Technology Demonstrator Robotic Mate of Electrical Power



2nd Gen. Project Organization

- **The 2nd Generation RLV operations and range technology efforts include the following areas:**
  - Automated Umbilicals
  - Modular Payload Systems
  - Spaceport Range Technologies
  - Propellant production, handling, and storage
  - Standardized interfaces
  - Advanced checkout and control systems
  - Intelligent Instrumentation
  - Optimization and Analysis tools

ASTP Project Organization

- **ASTP (3rd Gen.) Ops and Range Technology Project is divided into four Elements:**
  - Range
    - Decision Models, Weather Instrumentation & systems, Ground-based Range systems, Space-based Range systems
  - Ground Ops
    - What are the systems issues driving ground-ops costs as we know then today? What new technologies could reduce ground ops costs?
  - Spaceport Ops
    - Based on an airport analogy, what would a spaceport of the future look like … what are the issues to get there?
  - Launch Assist
    - Maglev and others?

517 CONF PAPER / IN 16

43P


Pathfinder Program
Presented at
Space Transportation Day
October 11, 2000
John R. London III
Marshall Space Flight Center, AL

Program Overview

- **RLV Goal: to Significantly Reduce the Cost of Access to Space**

- **X-34 Project Objectives**
  - Test Bed Vehicle for Demonstrating Key Reusable Launch Vehicle (RLV) Operations and Technologies
  - Focus Areas
    - **Investigation of New Methods for Low-Cost Operations**
    - **New RLV Technologies Embedded in Vehicle Design**
    - **Demonstration of Hosted RLV and Hypersonic Experiments**



- **X-37 Project Objectives**
  - Test Bed Vehicle for Demonstrating RLV In-Space and Re-Entry Technologies and Flight Experiments
  - Focus Areas
    - **Investigation of New Methods for Design and Manufacturing**
    - **New RLV Technologies Embedded in Vehicle Design**
    - **Demonstration of Hosted RLV and Re-Entry Experiments**



High Visibility Flight Projects







Significant Events / Accomplishments

X-34:

- Completed the build of A-1A at Dryden utilizing government technicians
- Issued a NTE contract change to OSC to include operations at White Sands & Dryden for unpowered and powered flights respectively
- Completed the report on the Risk Assessment in support of the Environmental work
- Vehicle system level testing on A-1A 90% complete
- First powered flight vehicle essentially complete (A-2)
  - Delivered the 2nd flight wing
- Participated in Fastrac/MPS and avionics/flight controls independent reviews January-March 2000
- Completion of A-2 Static Loads Testing

X-37:

- Independent Annual Review (IAR) conducted Jan. 25-26, 2000
- Flight S/W SRS Release 1.0, Jan, 2000
- X-40A Risk Assessment conducted Feb. 10-11, 2000
- Initial Design Review (IDR) conducted Mar. 7-8, 2000
- Fuselage Master Tooling Fabrication Complete April, 2000

Other Flight Experiments:

- Composite LOX Tank nearing fabrication completion
- SHARP -B2 successfully launched on Sept. 28, 2000



X-34 Vehicle (A-1A) at Dryden



X-37 WTT at LaRC

Pathfinder Flight Experiments

■ Flight Demonstrator Vehicles

- X-34 Rocket Plane - Mach 8 technology testbed
- X-37 Space Plane - Orbital technology testbed



■ Flight Experiments

- Flown on X-34:
  - ◆GAMMA-TITANIUM ALUMINUM-BASED TPS (ALENIA AEROSPACE)
  - ◆ADVANCED C/SIC TPS (ESA-DAIMLER-BENZ)
  - ◆MECHANICALLY ATTACHED FLEXIBLE TPS (BOEING)
  - ◆ENCAPSULATED WATERPROOF 2500F CMC TPS (MDA [Now BOEING])
  - ◆FLIGHT TEST DETAILED SPECIMENS IN CERTIFIED HOLDER (MDA [Now BOEING])
  - ◆ACTIVE DAMAGE INTERROGATION HEALTH MONITORING SYSTEM (MDA [Now BOEING])
  - ◆ACOUSTIC EMISSION HEALTH MONITORING SYSTEM (BOEING)
  - ◆AUTONOMOUS ABORT LANDINGS (DRAPER LAB)
  - ◆INTEGRATED VEHICLE HEALTH MANAGEMENT (IVHM) (NASA AMES)
  - ◆COMPOSITE LOX TANK (LOCKHEED-MARTIN)
- 40 Embedded or Carry-On Experiments Baselined for X-37



Pathfinder Flight Experiments (cont'd.)

- **Flight Experiments**
  - Other Flight Experiments:
    - **REDUCED COST SMALL PAYLOAD TECHNOLOGIES (AERO-ASTRO)**
      - Deployed from the Space Shuttle
    - **CERAMICS FOR SHARP LEADING EDGES (NASA AMES)**
      - Flown on a Minuteman III
      - Successfully launched on Sept. 28, 2000
    - **ProSEDS (NASA MSFC)**
      - Flown as a secondary payload on a Delta II upper stage
    - **HALL EFFECT THRUSTER (NASA GRC)**
      - Instrumentation flown on a Russian communications satellite
    - **CRYOGENIC PROPELLANT GAUGE (NASA GRC)**
      - Flown on the USAF Solar Orbit Transfer Vehicle Space Experiment

SHARP-B2






F-35

Top Level Goals

- **RLV Goal: to Significantly Reduce the Cost of Access to Space**
- **X-34 Program Objectives**
  - Test Bed Vehicle for Demonstrating Key Reusable Launch Vehicle (RLV) Operations and Technologies
  - Focus Areas
    - ◆**Investigation of new Methods for Low-Cost Operations**
    - ◆**New RLV Technologies Embedded in Vehicle Design**
    - ◆**Demonstration of Hosted RLV and Hypersonic Experiments**



Focus Area Technical Goals

New RLV Technologies Embedded in Vehicle Design

- **Demonstrate technologies throughout flight profile**
  - Subsonic and hypersonic flight
  - Capable of powered flight to at least 250 K ft
  - Capable of attaining Mach 8
- **Capable of autonomous flight operations**

Investigation of New Methods for Low-Cost Operations

- **Capable of demonstrating safe abort**
- **Capable of 25 test flights over a period of one year (OMB metric)**
- **Low cost operations**
  - Small work force
  - Nominal 2-week turn-around
  - Surge capability of 2 flights within 24 hours
  - Capable of attaining average recurring flight cost of $500K
- **Operation in RLV-type environments**
  - Flights through inclement weather
  - Landings with cross winds of 20 knots or greater



Testbed for Hosted RLV and Hypersonic Experiments

- **On-board instrumentation for testing embedded technologies**
- **Small area for "carry-on" experiments**

Flight Testing for a Multistage Reusable System



The X-34 Flight Regime Duplicates the Environment and Mission Characteristics Of a Reusable First Stage

Key Embedded Technologies

- Composite Primary and Secondary Airframe Structures
- Composite Reusable Propellant Tanks
- Integrated Vehicle Health Monitoring System
- Advanced Operable TPS Including Leading Edge Materials
- Low-Cost Avionics Including Integrated (GPS/INS) and Differential (DGPS) GPS
- New Low-Cost Rocket Engine (Government Developed)
- Integral Closed Loop Flush Air Data System



5469.11

X-34 Vehicle Description

- **Single Stage, Sub-Orbital, Fully Reusable, Unmanned Testbed Aerospace Plane**
- **Three airframes: A-1A, A-2, A-3**
  - A-1A is unpowered, A-2 and A-3 are powered
- **Vehicle Characteristics**

• Length	58.3ft
• Wing Span	27.7 ft
• Gross Weight	*45,488 lbs.
• Propellant	*30,350 lbs.
• Payload	450 lbs.
• Operating Weight Empty*	15,138 lbs.

*Target Weight

- **Airframe**
  - Composite structure and skin
  - One piece wing with center carry through structure
  - Elevon control surfaces
  - All-flying vertical tail
  - Body flap for pitch axis trim
- **Avionics**
  - Single string with exception of dual string flight termination system



X-34 Expanded View



5469.13

Vehicle Size Comparison



Pegasus XL



X-34 Technology Testbed Vehicle

X-34 Experiment Examples



Integrated Structural Health Monitoring System for the X-34 RP1 Tank (Boeing, St. Louis).



Detail Test Specimen Model Holder (Boeing, Huntington Beach).



CMC Encapsulated TPS Assembly (Boeing, Huntington Beach).

Acoustic Emission Health Monitoring System (Boeing, Seattle).



IFI Blanket
TiAl Based TPS (Alenia, Italy)





Advanced C/SiC Based TPS (Daimler Benz, Germany).



Mechanically Attached Thermal Protection System (Boeing, Seattle).

Government Participation



5469.16

Government and Industry Participants

- AlliedSignal Aerospace
- The C. S. Draper Labs
- Oceaneering Space Systems
- Vermont Composites

- Litton
- OR Computers
- AP Precision Hydraulics
- Summa



- Aurora Flight Sciences
- R-Cubed Composites
- Spincraft
- Advanced Composites
- Lockheed Martin-Michoud

- Marshall Space Flight Center
- Ames Research Center
- Langley Research Center
- Dryden Flight Research Center
- Johnson Space Center
- Holloman Air Force Base

- White Sands Test Facility
- Edwards AFB
- White Sands Missile Range
- Kennedy Space Center
- Stennis Space Center

5469.17

X-34 Restructure Status

- **A-1A complete at DFRC, A-2 complete at Orbital, A-3 fuselage structure complete at Orbital**
- **A-1A tow testing to begin at Edwards AFB week of May 15th**
- **Two reviews completed; others planned for the near term**
  - Sackheim propulsion system review
  - McConnaughey avionics and flight controls review
- **A-1A has been judged ok for unpowered drop tests at White Sands Space Harbor, starting in early '01**
  - Consensus of MSFC, DFRC, Orbital, and the McConnaughey review team
  - Assumes addition of increased hardware-in-the-loop testing, surrogate aircraft flights, and minor hardware fixes
- **Significant work required before A-2 is ready for first powered flight in '02**
  - Will add integrated vehicle/engine testing (e.g., MPTA), a key Sackheim team finding
  - RPV link will be added to allow some level of human control of the vehicle during contingencies
  - Avionics will be upgraded with the goal of "no single failure results in loss of vehicle"
- **Program is working closely with Second Generation Program to ensure synergy, including use of A-3**
- **A new contract arrangement will be established with Orbital**
- **New program leadership at MSFC and Orbital**
- **DFRC role in X-34 will be significantly increased; e.g., DFRC will provide Deputy Program Manager**

Significant Events/Accomplishments

- Shipment of A-1 Vehicle to DFRC
- SVR (System Verification Review) at OSC
- Roll Out of A-1 Vehicle at DFRC
- Installation of LOX & RP Tank into A-2 Vehicle
- Completed a project sparing study and received a proposal for long lead spares
- GVT (Ground Vibration Test) of A-1 Vehicle with L-1011
- Initiated Captive Carry Flight Testing with the X-34 and L-1011
- Received final direction on range operations: [unpowered flights at WSMR, powered flights at Dryden & KSC]
  - Proposal received from OSC and is in review
- Incorporated additional risk mitigation activities such as IV&V of software, Tow Testing, and conversion of A-1 to unpowered flight status
- Delivered 2nd flight wing to OSC
- Completion and Integration of Wing #2 with the A-2 Fuselage
- Conducted Independent Code Q Review
- Defined requirements for the 3rd party indemnification of X-34 by NASA
- Defined and initiated environmental work to support flights as defined within the project
- Defined and negotiated three new experiments to fly on X-34 (Robust abort, Composite Lox Tank, & IVHM)
- Put in place new contract with Summa to provide engines and support for the 25 flight option
- Completed the retrofit of A-1 to A-1A with the combination of DFRC, KSC, MSFC, and OSC manpower (system testing underway)

X-34 Project Status

- **Restructuring effort underway**
  - Possible increase in ground testing for engine and vehicle, avionics mods, and new propulsion test article
  - Focused to support 2nd Gen Program
- **A-1A unpowered vehicle complete and on the runway at Edwards AFB**
  - Series of captive-carry flights and high-speed tow tests underway
- **A-2 powered vehicle complete and undergoing tests at Orbital's Dulles facility**
- **A-3 airframe essentially complete at Orbital's Dulles facility**
- **MC-1 (formally Fastrac) engine testing continuing at Rocketdyne's SSFL in Calif.**
  - 45 hot-fire tests already completed at SSC



Project Status

Last Month's Accomplisments

- **Significant Progress achieved on updating the X-34 Contract**
  - Majority of Task Directives closed or suspended
    - **Tow test TD open until September 1st**
    - **Mission Success Support TD and IV&V TD will remain open**
    - **All other TDs terminated or suspended**
  - Paid Orbital $4.4M in provisional Milestone Payments for partial completion of Milestones
  - 1 open Request for Equitable Adjustment (REA) value $1.1M
  - 1 Open Contract Mod (Mod 32)
    - **Plan to negotiate by September 31**
    - **NTE Period of Performance extended to allow work to continue**
- **Conducted tow tests of A-1A vehicle on DFRC lakebed**
  - 4 tests successfully conducted, including a 30 mph release test
  - Test anomaly occurred on 1st & 6th test
    - **Only damage to vehicle was Nose gear tire**
    - **NG tires have been replaced.**
- **Worked with TD management on restructure trades/options**
- **Supported Internal ISO 9000 Audit**
- **Continued the build of the first powered flight vehicle (A-2)**

Project Status

Last Month's Accomplishments (continued)

- **Supported Osh Kosh Airshow with flight engine display**
- **Proof load tested Engine Installer**
  - Problems identified with anti rotation mechanism
  - Retest next month
- **Conducted turbopump water flow tests**
- **Supported range safety requirements review and assessment**
  - Current design of Engine MOV & GGOV will not likely be able to comply with rqmnts

- **Conducted engine tests at Santa Susana facility in CA**

Date	Planned Dur (sec)	Actual Dur (sec)	Objective
18-Jul	5	5	Start Sequence
29-Jul	24	24	Calibration Baseline
8-Aug	24	24	LOX inlet Ramp-up
17-Aug	24	24	Calibration Verification

Project Status

Next Month's Plan

- **MSFC/DFRC site visit to WSMR, WSTF and Holloman AFB - Aug 28-25**
- **Conduct Audit of Orbital actual costs on X-34 - Aug 28-31**
- **Support GAO Review as required**
- **Support IG Audit**
- **Closeout Tow Test Task Directive (9-1-00)**
- **Captive Carry Flight Test Planning**
- **Reconfigure A-1A Vehicle for resumption of FAA Captive Carry tests**
  - Dependent upon L1011 availability
- **Increase Project and project support staffing**
- **Develop X-34 Systems Requirements Document**
- **Baseline X-34 Configuration Management Plan**
- **Develop FY01 CWCs**
- **Develop Detailed schedule and cost plan for unpowered flights & A-2 integrated propulsion testing**
  - Powered flight portion of the project dependent upon funding and Management decisions

Project Status

Next Month's Plan (continued)

- **Continue discussions with Range safety at DFRC on FTS requirements**
- **Continue working partnership agreements with DFRC**
- **Finalize Tip to tail Review SOW and negotiate change with Orbital**
- **Continue to work with TD Management on restructure planning & trades**
- **Complete the integration of flight vehicle A-2**
  - Main propulsion system
  - Avionics system
- **Begin IV&V stress testing of flight software**
- **Continue Engine Testing at SSFL**
  - Engine #3 full duration test (159 sec)
  - Engine #5 calibration test(s)
- **Retest engine installer (proof load test)**
- **Conduct engine #4 installation and fit check in A-2 vehicle at Dulles**

X-34 Captive Carry Flight



5469.25


X-37

X-37 Project Objectives

- **Mature the technologies for reusable space vehicles by performing flight demonstrations.**
  - Lower the cost for routine access to space and operations in space.
  - Make next-generation space transportation system commercially viable.
  - Enhance planning for future reusable launch vehicle space operations.
- **Enable investor confidence in reusable space vehicle systems.**
- **Achieve a technology readiness level of 8 (flight proven) for critical technologies.**
- **Design and operate with an emphasis on safety.**

Successfully Achieve Orbit and Return to Earth Safely

X-37 Project Specifics

- **Project Objective**
  - Demonstrate technologies required to reduce the cost of access to, in, and from space
- **Key Features**
  - Designed to close current X-Vehicle capabilities gap
  - Addresses both Earth-to-Orbit, Orbit-to-Orbit, and Reentry technologies in single testbed vehicle
  - Modularized for rapid insertion of broad range of technologies and experiments
  - Flight test program follows progressive envelope expansion -- potential launch platforms include:
    - B-52, Shuttle, ELV
- **Program**
  - First Flight: Mid 2003 on Shuttle
  - Two orbital flights planned



Fuselage Length (ft)	**25.7**
Wing Span (ft)	**14.4**
Payload (lb)	**500**
Entry Weight (lb)	**5,800**
GLOW (lb)	**13,090**





5469.28

X-37 Funding



Successfully Achieve Orbit and Return to Earth Safely

X-37 Tests RLV Flight Envelope



- X-34
  atmospheric flights up to Mach 8.
- Hyper-X
  atmospheric flights up to Mach 10.
- X-33
  atmospheric flights up to Mach 12.
- X-37

Successfully Achieve Orbit and Return to Earth Safely

5469.30

X-37 Industry - Government Team



X-37 Program Products



- Prior USAF Contract: Successful automated approach and landing flight in October 1998.
- Modified for early atmospheric flights to support X-37 design.



- Advanced Technology Flight Demonstration Vehicle.
- Linked to Space Maneuver Vehicle design.



- Flight Operations Control Center (FOCC).
- Three person operation for atmospheric and orbital flights.



- Modified Spacelab Cradles for Shuttle Launch.

Successfully Achieve Orbit and Return to Earth Safely

Overview of X-37 Flight Test Program



Ground Test/Simulation & Preflight Checkout

Flight Operations Control Center (FOCC)







X-40A



X-37/Space Shuttle

Tow/Taxi	5	5
Captive Carry	3	3
Approach and Landing	7	5



X-37/B-52

Progressive Ground and Flight Testing In Multiple Environments

Successfully Achieve Orbit and Return to Earth Safely

5469.33

X-37 Vehicle Characteristics



Fuselage Length	27 ft
Payload	500 lbs
Max GLOW	13 klbs

- Dual Redundant Electrical Actuators
- Triple Redundant Flight Control System

Successfully Achieve Orbit and Return to Earth Safely

5469.34



Successfully Achieve Orbit and Return to Earth Safely

5469.35

X-37 Vehicle Deployment Process









Vehicle Design and Tooling are On Track

Wind Tunnel Testing Has Defined IML & OML



Mach 0.20
NASA LTPT



Mach 0.9 - 5.5
Boeing PSWT

Mach 13
AEDC Tunnel 9

Fuselage Master Tools Complete





Interior Arrangement is Defined





Star tracker / Solar array
interference
Issue Resolution

Forward Fuselage

Use/disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to **Category B** restriction contained in NASA/Boeing Cooperative Agreement NCC8-190, Appendix C to Part 1274, Exhibit B. Date is fully releasable without restriction three (3) years from the date of this document.

Like Prior X-Vehicles, X-37 Will 1st Develop, Fly, Measure and Discover In Many Important Aerospace Areas

- 1st autonomous orbital X-plane
- 1st development of a re-deployable solar array for a reusable vehicle
- 1st use of phase change brakes
- 1st extensive re-use of Li-Ion Batteries in aerospace
- 1st flight of five TPS types at high enthalpy
- Discoveries in high hypersonic flight environment at lower than Space Shuttle Reynolds numbers

Pathfinder Technologies and Experiments are Being Demonstrated on the X-37

Avionics/Software
- T-12 Open Architecture Avionics
- T-14 Fiber-Optic Data Bus
- T-15 Ku-Band Phased Array Antenna
- T-16 COTS Hardware and Software*
- T-19 Fault Tolerant Autonomous Ops
- T-28 Small Crew FOCC*

GN&C
- T-13 Calculated Air Data System (CADS)*
- T-17 All Weather Windward Adaptive Guidance
- T-26 Rapid Mission Data Loading*
- T-29 Crosswind Landing for Small RSVs*

- Thirty embedded technologies
  - Seventeen advanced vehicle technologies
  - Thirteen advanced operations technologies
- Eight NASA flight experiments

Vehicle
- T-23 Standard Payload Interfaces
- T-24 Access Doors for Operability

Ground/Flight Operations
- T-18 Rapid-Global TPS Damage Detection
- T-21 Rapid TPS Waterproofing

Mechanical Systems
- T-10 Lightweight Landing Gear
- T-31 Phase Change Brakes

Flight Sciences
- T-22 High Enthalpy Flight Profile

Propulsion
- T-2 Peroxide RCS Thrusters
- T-27 Low Cost Propulsion System

Thermal Systems
- T-3 High-Temp Windward TPS
- T-4 High-Temp Upper/Side TPS
- T-5 Durable Leading Edge Tiles
- T-7 High-Temp, Low Cost Joints/Seals
- T-9 Loop Heat Pipe TCS
- [illegible]

Structures
- T-6 High-Temp Gr/BMI Sandwich Structure
- T-8 Thin, Hot Aerosurfaces for SRSV
- T-11 Modular Airframe - Rapid Change-Out
- T-20 Lt. Wt. Std Payload Container
- T-23 Standard Payload Interfaces
- T-32 High-Temp Gr/PETI-5 Structures
- T-XX Composite Propellant Tanks

Successfully Achieve Orbit and Return to Earth Safely

5469.39

X-40A Flight Test Vehicle Summer 00



5469.40

Boeing X-37



- Program began Summer 1999.
- Vehicle assembly begins Fall 2000.
- First B-52 atmospheric flight test scheduled Fall 2001.
- First Space Shuttle-launched flight test scheduled Summer 2003.

Successfully Achieve Orbit and Return to Earth Safely

Blazing the Highway To and From Space



5469.42

X-37 Configuration Modification Options



5469.43

S18 Conf Paper /IN/16

12P

Space Transportation - Third Generation

Structures IVHM for 3rd Generation RLVs

Robert S. Rogowski

NASA Langley Research Center

Structures IVHM for 3rd Generation RLV's

POC: Dr. William Prosser, NASA Langley Research Center, 757-864-4960, w.h.prosser@larc.nasa.gov

Objective

The primary goal of a Structures IVHM system for 3rd generation RLV's is to provide near 100% structural sensing coverage and thus eliminate both routine, and especially unplanned, inspections which are costly and time consuming . To meet this goal, significant advances in sensing and measurement system technology, data systems architectures, and structures based analysis methodology will be required to enable the needed large numbers of sensors with little weight penalty. This program will leverage X-33, 2nd Gen RLV, Shuttle, and Aviation Safety SIVHM system development experience to address this goal.

FY01 Activities

- Sensor Development - Investigate fiber-optic (F/O) geometry variations for improved sensors (FY00 follow-on), continue development of structures based model for acoustic emission damage detection (FY 00 follow-on)
- Structural Modeling - Start development of material and structural property databases to guide placement of sensors
- Data Systems Architecture - Investigate enabling micro-instrumentation technologies including COTS micro-sensor and micro computer technologies (FY 00 follow-on)
- Ground/Flight Testing - Evaluate ruggedized COTS tunable laser sources for F/O sensors (FY 00 follow-on) and initiate development of a SIVHM dedicated ground test bed.

High Density Fiber-Optic Strain Sensing

Wiring for 400 foil gages



Optical Fibers for ~3000 gages



Strain Sensing on Full Scale Composite Wing

SIVHM Impact on 3rd Generation RLV Goals

- Safety
  - Structural failure detection and prediction for improved inherent system safety
  - Early warning for improved abort capability
  - Reduction of critical failures for improved crew survivability
- Cost
  - Increased system development and production costs
  - Offset by decreased operation and maintenance costs
    - ARINC Study - D&P costs could be offset in as little as 2 years for aircraft [4]
    - 50% reduction in inspection time for aircraft [1]
    - "Maintenance for cause" rather than scheduled component replacement
- System Responsiveness and Dependability
  - Improved vehicle processing time for increased system flexibility, capacity, and operability
    - 50% reduction in inspection time for aircraft [1]
  - Improved system reliability and maintainability

Bragg Grating Demodulation System



20' strain sensing length

SIVHM Components, Technologies, and NASA Participants

POC: Dr. William Prosser, NASA Langley Research Center, 757-864-4960, w.h.prosser@larc.nasa.gov



Proposed SIVHM Technologies

- Sensor and measurement systems
- Materials and structures based models for SIVHM optimization and automated data analysis
- Architectures, micro-instrumentation, and data fusion
- SIVHM ground test beds
- SIVHM flight qualification and aero-flight testing

SIVHM Sensor Systems

POC: Dr. William Prosser, NASA Langley Research Center, 757-864-4960, w.h.prosser@larc.nasa.gov

Goals

- Development of advanced, high density, light weight sensor technologies to enable 100% structural sensing coverage
- Development of miniaturized, rugged, flight capable measurement systems
- Development of physics based sensor response models for enhanced sensor performance, optimization of sensor placement, and improved, automated data analysis methodologies

Products

- Investigate fiber-optic (F/O) sensor measurement alternatives such as in-fiber spectroscopic methods to provide multi-variable chemical sensing capabilities.
- Investigate specialty optical materials (e.g., saphire) along with fiber geometry variations to achieve improved F/O sensor performance capable of extreme environments while providing multi-variable (e.g. strain, temperature, vibration, etc.) measurements.
- Investigate capabilities of wireless micro-transmitters in conjunction with piezoelectric and MEM's sensors to provide impact and vibration sensing.
- Develop physics based sensing models to integrate with structural models for SIVHM system optimization and automation of analyses. Initial effort to model acoustic emission damage and impact sensors.



X-33 LH2 Tank IVHM Sensor Instrumentation

Materials and Structures Knowledge Based Models for SIVHM

POC: Carlos Davila, Tom Gates, Mechanics and Durability Branch, NASA LaRC, 757-864-9130, c.g.davila@larc.nasa.gov

Goals

Development of tools for analysis of SIVHM sensor data to assess structural health of advanced aerospace vehicles using:

- mechanics based analysis methods
- sensor-structure integrity
- data analysis methods
- property databases
- material behavior
- structural response
- knowledge base and knowledge management

Products

- Provide descriptions of critical failure locations to guide acquisition of data and placement of sensors.
- Integration of sensor data and materials/structure response to establish relationships between sensor resolution and damage size, location, mode.
- Establish sensor-structure integration schemes to account for synergistic effects.
- Data analysis methods that utilize the knowledge base to assess residual life, strength, stiffness.
- Updated prediction of remaining life taking into account rate of change of properties and loads.



Structural/material integrity integrated with IVHM

SIVHM Data Fusion and Architectures

POC: Eric Cooper, NASA Langley Research Center, 757-864-6674, e.g.cooper@larc.nasa.gov

Goals

Develop miniaturization technologies along with data fusion and architectures that enable densely distributed sensor suites for managing the health of aerospace vehicle structures. Technology considerations will focus on reducing the weight, power, and volume requirements for sensor data acquisition, storage and processing. The effectiveness of micro-instrumentation sensor suites comprised of advanced sensing technologies such as fiber optic, MEMS, and acoustic emission, as well as computing miniaturization technologies such as multi-chip modules, chips-on-board, die-on-flex, and three-dimensional silicon die stacking, will be investigated.

Products

- Suitability assessment of current and emerging miniaturized sensing and computing technologies for use in aerospace structures health management
- Acceleration of lab-emergent miniaturization technologies
- Distributed "smart sensor" concept that forwards pertinent structural health information to higher-level IVHM reasoning processes
- Demonstrated distributed remote sensing modules and communications architecture



Synergies and Dependencies

- Success dependent on sizable 2nd Gen. SIVHM effort
  - Address more near term technologies
    - Miniaturize and flight harden high speed, tunable fiber laser
    - Multiplexing architectures for high bandwidth sensors
    - Etc.
- Must coordinate with TPS, propulsion, etc. IVHM elements
- Leverage previous and ongoing SIVHM programs
  - X-33, X-34, X-37, Shuttle
  - Bantam
  - Aviation Safety
  - Etc.
- Need to identify and fund future space flight opportunities
  - X-33B, Shuttle, Pathfinder, etc.
  - Not funded within current limited scope of Spaceliner

Other Potential High Payoff Long Term SIVHM Technologies

- Self-healing materials and structures
- Smart (adaptive) materials and structures
- Large area, remote NDE for SIVHM follow-up inspections
- Autonomous NDE/IVHM micro-robots for monitoring/inspections
- Nanomaterials and sensors
- Etc.

Distributed Fiber-Optic (F/O) Sensing for Structures IVHM



High Density Structural Sensors

- 10,000 Sensors < 1 pound
- Strain, Temperature, & Hydrogen (Propellant Leaks)
- Future Research - Vibration, Shape, Acoustic Emission, Chemistry (Corrosion)
- < $10/Sensor









CONF PAPER / IN / 16

10P

JPL

Ed Baroth, Ph.D.
Task Manager, Advanced Sensors
Jet Propulsion Laboratory
(818) 354-8339
ebaroth@jpl.nasa.gov

- **Wireless Sensors**
- **System on a Chip**
- **Advanced Analysis**

Integrated Vehicle Health Management

IVHM Technologies at JPL

Tasks Cover Large Spectrum (UHF,L,S,K,Ka-BANDS)

Technology Development

- Modulation, Coding and Equalization
- Transceivers, Antennas
- Systems, Experiments and Deployments



WIRELESS OVERVIEW

- Hybrid System - Multiband Terrestrial and Satellite Communications
- Adaptive Network Architecture, Multi-Vehicle Control



Integrated Vehicle Health Management

XUV ARL ROBOTICS FUTURE COMM ARCH.

- Objective
  - Develop Chip-Level Telecom Systems for NASA's Small Platform Missions
- Results
  - Lower Power, Reduced Mass
  - High Levels of Integration, Multi-Mission
- Currently in Phase I





MICRO COMM & AVIONICS SYSTEMS (MCAS)

- Wireless Camera in ~1" Cube, APS Camera Chip
- 2.4 GHz (2.5 MBPS) Transmitter
- 418 MHz (1.2 KBPS) Control Link





Integrated Vehicle Health Management

NASA/DARPA WIRELESS CAMERA



Lens:	8.5 grams
Electronics:	1.5 grams
Housing:	5.0 grams
Total:	**15.0 grams**

5 wire interface:
- power
- ground
- data in
- data out
- clock





Integrated Vehicle Health Management

WIRELESS CAMERA ASSEMBLY

- On-chip Timing and Control
- On-Chip 10-bit column parallel ADCs
- 13 10-bit programmable registers
- 256 x 256; 20.4 mm pixel pitch imager
- Initially 256^2; designed for 1024^2
- 1.2 mm, 5 V. HP n-well CMOS Process
- 9.3mm x 11.2mm Chip
- Requires 5 wires for operation
- Programmable: resolution, windowing, exposure, etc.
- Low power: 20 mW; 40 uW (standby)

8 BIT, 256 x 256, APS IMAGE

WIRELESS CAMER APS FEATURES

ORIGINAL IMAGE 1

ORIGINAL IMAGE 2

SHARPENED IMAGE 1

SHARPENED IMAGE 2

Integrated Vehicle Health Management

IMAGE EXAMPLES FROM CAMP LEJEUNE

Uses Commercially Available Lithium CR-2 Camera Batteries (2) Provide 3V Each with Capacity of 800mA-H

BATTERY LIFETIME ESTIMATES

SLEEP TIME (s)	RECEIVE TIME (s)	TRANSMIT TIME (s)	PICTURES RETURNED	BATTERY LIFE (DAYS)
64	2	0.937	16009	12.4
64	2	0.937	10661	82.6
64	2	0.937	2456	190.3
512	2	0.937	12649	75.4
512	2	0.560	18076	107.7
0	0.25	0.937	16927	0.233
0	0.25	3	5296	0.199

WIRELESS CAMERA BATTERY


HUMANITARIAN LAND MINES
DMZ
SEISMIC SENSOR
TRIGGERED IMAGERS
ACTIVATION
TRANSMITS ALERT
Unmanned Perimeter Protection
• Low Cost
• Smart Sensors
• Low Light Level Imaging
• Motion Detection
• Alert Notification to Controller
µSENSOR
µSENSOR
PORTABLE AUTONOMOUS
ALERT SYSTEM
REAR COMMAND
SCOUT PARTY PERIMETER SECURITY
Integrated Vehicle Health Management
DARPA PERIMETER PROTECTION

SO Conf Paper/IN/16

24P

Integrated Vehicle Health Management (IVHM) Activities at Kennedy Space Center

Jack Fox

October 11, 2000

- Technology Goals & Objectives
- Background
- Current Status
- Major Accomplishments
- Near Term Plans
- Contact Info

Discussion Topics

- Overall Program Goals
  - Substantially reduce the technical, programmatic and business risk associated with development of a safe, reliable and affordable 2nd Generation Reusable Launch Vehicle (RLV)

- IVHM Goals
  - Develop and integrate the technologies which can provide a continuous, intelligent, and adaptive health state of a vehicle and use this information to improve safety and reduce costs of operations

Now	Near Term	Future
Maintain	Monitor	Management
Human Control	Distributed Control	Autonomous Vehicle
Reporting	Processing	Reacting
Analyze	Diagnosis	Prognosis
Sensors	Intelligent Sensing	Integrated Sensor Suites
Component	Subsystems/Vehicles	System

Technology Goals & Objectives

- **KSC IVHM Goals**
  - **Reduce ground and flight operations costs for vehicles and payloads**
    - Automated in-situ vehicle checkout
    - Ground maintenance on exception-only basis
    - On-board failure isolation = reduced ground troubleshooting efforts
    - Automated servicing and checkout
    - Reduced size of flight and ground controller teams
    - Standardized payload interfaces
    - Containerized payloads with off-line testing
  - **Improve Safety & Reliability**
    - Faster identification of failures
    - Prediction of failures
    - Reduced human error through pre-programmed responses
    - Increased redundancies
    - Use of modern non-intrusive sensors in high criticality systems

Technology Goals & Objectives

- **KSC IVHM Focus Areas**
  - **Flight and Ground-based Test Beds**
  - **Advanced Sensors (Hazardous Gas Detection, Wireless)**
  - **Evolved Control Room Technologies with Advanced Applications**
  - **Informed Maintenance (IM)**
    - Diagnostics / Prognostics
    - Automated Maintenance Scheduling
    - Automated Logistics Coordination (People/Parts/Paper)
    - Paperless Documentation
    - Data Mining

Technology Goals & Objectives

- 1st Generation Ground Operations Reality
- 2nd Generation Ground Operations Vision
- 3rd Generation Ground Operations Vision

Background

1st Generation Ground Operations Reality

- Best described as manual integration of planned and unplanned operations
- Planned - checkout based on FMEA, R&R of limited life components, servicing
- Unplanned - IFAs and other failures - involves removals for access, troubleshooting, failures and copper path retest
- Paper intensive
- Logistics coordination (people/parts/papers) attempts to use statistics of 4 vehicle fleet, but largely manual
- Conflicts identified by representatives of subsystem engineering, shop, quality, other at scheduling meetings
- Schedules adjusted manually every shift - move magnet bars on wall!





2nd Generation Ground Operations Vision

- Described as semi-automated
- Ground systems highly integrated with flight systems from day one of design phase
- Extensive use of highly automated test and checkout equipment
- Paperless work environment
- Informed Maintenance implemented
  - Maintenance schedules automatically generated and updated taking into account planned and unplanned operations
  - Flight and ground based diagnostic/prognostic health algorithms integrated and use multi-mission data mining
  - Extensive use of intelligent applications for engineering advisories for near real-time launch and ground operations decisions
  - Ground receives periodic health summary data from flight vehicles
  - Logistics coordination and test requirement determination statistically oriented



3rd Generation Ground Operations Vision

- Described as fully automated
- Informed Maintenance implemented with the following considerations
  - Use scaled up elements of 2nd Generation IM system
  - Very large fleet and very high launch rate - large number of component health tracking down to serial number level
  - Maintenance "at the gate" in terms of hours by very small team - rapid routing and staging of proper skilled personnel and equipment as well as procedures
  - "3rd Gen" diagnostic/prognostic health algorithms and applications for engineering advisories for near real-time launch and ground ops decisions

- SSME HMS/OPADS
- X-34 NITEX
- X-37 IVHM and IM
- X-38 DARTH
- CLCS
- iTPS
- PHARM
- REMA

Integrated Vehicle Health Management

Current Status

- **Space Shuttle Main Engine Health Monitoring System (SSME HMS)**
  - **Optical Plume Anomaly Detection System (OPADS) Flight Experiment**
    - Planned for three flights, first mid-2002



★X-34 NASA IVHM Technology Experiment for X-vehicles (NITEX)

- Propulsion system health monitoring experiment
- Fly as payload on X-34
- Multiple flights (first late 2001)
- Develop prognostication









★X-37 IVHM and IM

- Electro Mechanical Actuator & Power Systems Health Monitoring Experiment
- Embedded in vehicle avionics
- Operate during B-52 drop tests (1/2002) and Shuttle/ELV payload (11/2002)
- Informed Maintenance

★X-38 Crew Return Vehicle (CRV)

- Provide interface between X-38 vehicle and Orbiter
  - X-38 has Device to Allow Return Telemetry Handling (DARTH)
  - Orbiter has Vehicle Analysis And Data Recording (VADAR)
- Fly as Space Shuttle payload on STS-113 (2/2002)

X-38

♦ Checkout and Launch Control System (CLCS)

- Complete replacement of 1970s era Launch Processing System in work, first launch late 2002

OLD (LCC) Single Workstations





NEW (OCR) CLCS Work Groups



- intelligent Thermal Protection System (iTPS)
  - ARC-led effort, KSC role is to develop Space Shuttle flight experiments
- Informed Maintenance - Predictive Health And Reliability Management (PHARM)
  - Boeing KSC and NASA KSC
  - Develop an end-to-end Informed Maintenance system, planned completion 12/2000
  - Plan to integrate into X-34, X-37 and Spaceport Technology Test Complex
- Reconfigurable Electro-Mechanical Actuator (REMA)
  - Oklahoma State University and NASA KSC
  - Develop parallel neural network control systems for EMA operated aerosurface
  - Previous work was neural network for solenoid valve signature recognition

Current Status

- IVHM HTDs
- FCMS
- OMS/RCS IVHM Test Bed
- u WIS
- Wireless VJ Sensor System
- SOCC

Major Accomplishments

Space Shuttle IVHM HEDS Technology Demonstrations



FCMS





Example Problems

- Fuel Cell single cell volt test
- Aft & PLB haz gas detection
- Crit 1 GOX temp probes
- MPS LH2 FCV testing
- SSME inspections
- Radiator inspections
- MPS pneumatic system testing
- ET/Orbiter plate gap testing

Solutions

✓Fuel Cell Monitoring System

➢Smart H2 & O2 sensors + FBG FO

➢Use non-intrusive sensors, wireless

➢Hall Effect sensors w/ NN

➢SSME HMS, FFT accels, OPADS

★ Acoustic emission sensors

➢Helium leak detection sensors

➢Delta Press sensors

✓= implemented ➢= demo on HTD ★ = needs development

Space Shuttle IVHM HEDS Technology Demonstrations (cont'd)









Space Shuttle OMS/RCS Helium System IVHM Test Bed

- Service and test 8 systems in parallel



Existing GSE

Shuttle OMS/RCS

Pneumatic System IVHM Test Bed with Automated GSE





- Micro Wireless Instrumentation System (u WIS)
  - NASA JSC and KSC effort
  - Two configurations: Micro-sensor/recorder packages and wireless sensors/laptop package
  - Flew STS-96, STS-101, STS-106, International Space Station
- Wireless Vacuum Jacketed Line Sensor System
  - Spin-off of IVHM HTD technology
  - University of Florida, Boeing KSC and NASA KSC
  - For use at Space Shuttle Launch Complex 39
- Florida Spaceport Authority Space Operations Control Center (SOCC)
  - Boeing KSC effort
  - Funded by state of Florida
  - Can support commercial payloads and launchers

Major Accomplishments

- STTC
- MMS
- IHGDS
- APHARM
- ICS

Near Term Plans

- Spaceport Technology Test Complex (STTC)
  - Develop rapid servicing and checkout technologies for "Iron Rocket" (non-flight RLV) – target 24 hour turnarounds
  - Partnership with industry, academia, other NASA Centers
  - Serve as test bed for maturing RLV technologies through rigorous multiple cycle testing in operational environment – even to the point of failure
  - Also develop new LC-39 LOX pumps, replacement Space Shuttle Orbiter LH2 Recirculation Pumps and other large-scale industrial cryogenic components
  - In addition, provide hands-on training for Space Shuttle technicians, quality control specialists, engineers as well as industry and academia

- Miniature Mass Spectrometer (MMS)
  - Jet Propulsion Laboratory, NASA JSC and NASA KSC effort
  - Develop hand-held device for post-EVA toxic vapor detection and clean-up while still in airlock prior to entering Space Shuttle or International Space Station

Near Term Plans

- **Intelligent Hazardous Gas Detection System (IHGDS)**
  - University of Florida, Boeing KSC and NASA KSC effort
  - Develop on-board leak localization system using mini-mass spectrometers (leveraged from JPL and others' work) and/or smart sensors (leveraged from Bantam/GRC/MSFC/KSC work)
  - Develop complex flow circulation models for algorithms and optimal sensor placements
  - Develop 1/5 scale Orbiter aft compartment for model validation
  - Space Shuttle flight experiments planned

- **Informed Maintenance – Advanced Predictive Health And Reliability Management (APHARM)**
  - Scale-up PHARM efforts into 2nd and 3rd Generation IM system
  - Leverage Phase II SBIR - Intelligent Automation, Inc. for 3 dimensional neural network visualization technology

- **Intelligent Checkout Systems**
  - Automated video, communication and telemetry switching and retest
  - Virtual control rooms for remote commanding and monitoring

Near Term Plans

Jack J. Fox
Manager, Spaceport Technology Projects
NASA/YA-E6
Kennedy Space Center, FL 32899
jack.fox-1@ksc.nasa.gov
(321) 867-4413

Scott B. Wilson
OPADS and uWIS Project Manager
NASA/YA-E6
Kennedy Space Center, FL 32899
scott.wilson-1@ksc.nasa.gov
(321) 867-3326

Janet F. Letchworth
NITEX Project Manager
NASA/YA-E4
Kennedy Space Center, FL 32899
janet.letchworth-1@ksc.nasa.gov
(321) 867-3331

Robert A. Cunningham
IM Project Manager
NASA/YA-D4
Kennedy Space Center, FL 32899
robert.cunningham-1@ksc.nasa.gov
(321) 867-8754

Contact Info

S21 Con [illegible] N 76

32P

Propulsion IVHM

Extreme Environment Instrumentation

Power IVHM

June Zakrajsek
NASA GRC
(216) 977-7470

Space Transportation Technology Workshop /IVHM:

Propulsion & Power IVHM Technologies

AGENDA

- Why
- What
- Propulsion IVHM
  - Vision
  - Capabilities/Research
  - Selected Projects
    - X33
    - X34
    - AHMS
    - Smart Self Healing Propulsion Systems
    - Extreme Environment Sensors
- Power IVHM
  - Vision
  - Capabilities/Research
  - Selected Projects
    - EMAs
- Summary

WHY?

Goal Driven Space Transportation



Goal 9: Low-Cost Space Access

- Reduce the payload cost to low-Earth orbit by an order of magnitude, from $10,000 to $1,000 per pound, within 10 years and by an additional order of magnitude within 25 years.
- Increase the mission safety by two orders of magnitude within 10 years and four orders of magnitude within 25 years

WHAT?
IVHM Vision



Test Facility

Control Rooms

Ground Processing Facility

TPS
Avionics
Structures
Propulsion
Power
GN&C

Space Transportation Technology Workshop /IVHM:

Propulsion & Power IVHM Technologies

WHAT?
Paradigm Shift

IVHM Paradigm Revolution

- Fully integrated throughout system with cost/benefits justification
- Fully integrated into vehicle design phase, vehicle systems, including payload, and system interactions
- Extensively ground and flight tested to develop and verify IVHM - Birth to grave knowledge and tracking

Projected IVHM Impacts

- **20x Ops and Maintenance Reductions**
  - Condition Based Maintenance
  - 100% Vehicle IVHM Coverage Integrated With Autonomous Control
  - Automated Ground Processing and Mission Control Systems
- **Reduced Development Time and Costs**
  - Integrated Tools that Facilitate Rapid Analysis and Design of Highly Reliable, Cost-Effective Vehicles
- **10x Reliability Improvements**
  - Improved real-time fault management and fault modeling
  - Increase sensor redundancy throughout system (10 to 100x)
- **15% Weight Reductions**
  - Wireless and Nano Electronics
  - Real-Time Margin Management

PROPULSION IVHM Vision

1st Generation

2nd Generation

- Major LRU coverage, limited integration
- Maintenance and operations focused
- In-flight and post-flight analysis
- Smart components - Turbopumps, nozzles...
- Advanced materials knowledge

3rd

- Integrated into design
- Complete system coverage
- Reliability, maintenance and operations focussed
- Intelligent Propulsion System: real-time analysis fully integrated with accommodating controls, intelligent maintaining systems

Distributed Architectures

IVHM System Design Tools (including sensor placement)

Physics-based models (including failure modeling)

Smart microsensing

High Temp Electronics/ Instrumentation

Hazardous condition monitoring

Auto generation of maintenance and ops procedures

Multifunctional/embedded sensor systems

Advanced Diagnostic and Prognostic and Control Techniques

- neural networks
- fuzzy logic
- genetic and evolutionary algorithms

Fully photonic avionics & instrumentation

Wireless Operations

Smart components/structures

PROPULSION IVHM
Vision













Space Transportation Technology Workshop /IVHM:

Propulsion & Power IVHM Technologies

PROPULSION IVHM

Capabilities/Research

Propulsion IVHM works within a distributed vehicle IVHM architecture

Propulsion IVHM life cycle approach extends inherent engine reliability and reduces costs

Propulsion IVHM is embedded

Flight –

- Instrumentation
- Avionics
- Controls
- Intelligent components

Ground Elements

- Advanced ground processing
- Maintenance reduced
- Paperless Systems
- Smart Software
- Smart GSE



PROPULSION IVHM

Projects: X-33 Post-Test Diagnostic System

PTDS analysis and viewing system infra-structures are in place and validated; user training conducted at Rocketdyne



Highlights

- Has successfully analyzed all powerpack and engine firings
- Rocketdyne estimates order of magnitude reduction in flight turnaround time



Comprehensive Plotting and Interactive Statistics

Interactive Schematics





Data Review Ready Reports

Space Transportation Technology Workshop /IVHM:

Propulsion & Power IVHM Technologies

PROPULSION IVHM

Projects: X-33 Post-Test Diagnostic System

All Components Covered
- Sensors
- Gas Generator
- LOX turbopump
- Fuel Turbopump
- Valves, Actuators, Ducts
- Nozzles, Thrust Chambers



Analyses Performed
- Component Performance Predictions
- Statistical Characterization
- Life Tracking
- ICD Exceptions/Margin Analysis
- Model-Based Fault Detection

Web-Based GUI
- View Reports
- View Interactive Schematics
- View Predefined Plot Packages
- Generate/Annotate Plots on Request
- Perform Complex Statistical Analyses

Available Reports
- Summary Report
- Systems Report
- Margin Report
- Life Tracking Report
- Events Report
- Component Reports

PROPULSION IVHM

Projects: X34 NITEX



- Vehicle Sensor Data
- Vehicle Status
- Phase Information
- GSE Data

IVHM Flight System

Telemetry



Ground Link

- Records
- Processes
- Tags
- Telemeters

- Databases
- Tracks
- FDI, Predicts
- Maintenance Actions
- Checkout



IVHM Ground System

PROPULSION IVHM

Projects: X34 NITEX

The IVHM Vision and How NITEX Relates

Green = Potential

IVHM Vision / Long Term Objectives

- **Enhanced vehicle safety and reliability**
  - Modern sensing systems
  - *, prediction*
  - Reliable, accurate health diagnosis and prognosis
- **Reduced ground processing of reusable vehicles**
  - In flight system checks
  - Automated ground servicing and checkout
  - Informed maintenance scheduling system
- **Autonomous operation in flight and on the ground**
  - Reduced workload for ground controller team
  - *Predicting and adapting to sub-system degradation and failure real-time in flight and on the ground*

PROPULSION IVHM

Projects: AHMS

Advanced Health Monitoring Features

- Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC) Developed Real-Time Vibration Monitor System (RTVMS)
  - High Pressure Turbopump rotating hardware structural integrity
- Boeing-Rockedyne Developed Linear Engine Model (LEM)
  - Engine performance
- MSFC Developed Optical Plume Anomaly Detection System (OPAD)
  - Engine wear, erosion, breakage



7

BOEING

PROPULSION IVHM
Projects: AHMS

Active Vibration Monitoring System



- High Pressure Turbopumps are a significant part of engine reliability
- Consequences of a turbopump failure are severe
- Vibration is a fundamental measure of SSME turbopump health
  - Quickest, most sensitive
  - Detects critical failure modes (blades, bearings, impellers, etc.)
- Vibration redlines have prevented engine failures

9

BOEING

Linear Engine Model
(LEM)

- Real time monitoring of engine performance and anomalies
- Console tool for Mission Operations Directorate Booster Operator
- Identification of between flight maintenance requirements
- Potential for adaptive throttling or shut down commands

Engine Performance

SSME Maintenance

JSC MOD Booster Console

KSC Turnaround Operations

Real-time multiple failure risk mitigation tool for ground and flight

PROPULSION IVHM

Projects: AHMS

Optical Plume Anomaly Detection
(OPAD)

- Sensitive Monitor of engine wear, erosion, breakage
- Technology proven in ground test program
- Early warning compared to conventional measurements
- Diagnostic Tool for eliminating additional inspections requirements
- Experimental flight demonstration in work



OPAD Flight system tested on development engine

15

PROPULSION IVHM

Projects: Smart Self Healing Propulsion Systems

Objective: Diagnostic Solutions that

- Do not require exhaustive enumeration of faults
- Cover steady-state and transient operation
- Address test-to-test variability
- Explicitly handle model and measurement uncertainties
- Provide Confidence in Diagnostic System Output
- Provide Instrumentation Selection Guidance

Model-based monitoring algorithm

- Uses dynamic simulation of the SSME
- no false alarms on 8 nominal SSME data sets
- 10 successful isolations on 13 off-nominal SSME data sets



PROPULSION IVHM

Projects: Smart Self Healing Propulsion Systems



Engine Diagnostics via Data Reduction

- Comprehensive linearity analysis has been completed for Fastrac Engine
- Various versions of algorithm (incorporating 1st and 2nd order effects) have been successfully demonstrated on historical data



Will Fly as Part of X-34 NITEX

Space Transportation Technology Workshop /IVHM:

Propulsion & Power IVHM Technologies

PROPULSION IVHM

Projects: Extreme Environment Sensors











Space Transportation Technology Workshop /IVHM:

Propulsion & Power IVHM Technologies

PROPULSION IVHM

Projects: Extreme Environment Sensors

Shuttle system hardware (H2 Sensor with Electronics)

H2 SENSOR



O2 SENSOR

H2 and O2 Sensor with Electronics

PROPULSION IVHM

Projects: Extreme Environment Sensors

- Microfabricated for minimal size, weight and power consumption
- Micromachined to minimize power consumption and improved response time
- Temperature detector and heater incorporated into sensor structure
- Nanofabrication of Tin-Oxide to increase sensor stability



PROPULSION IVHM

Projects: Extreme Environment Sensors

Multiple Measurements

- Strain Magnitude & Direction
- Heat Flux
- Surface Temperature
- Flow Velocity Magnitude and Direction









PROPULSION IVHM

Projects: Extreme Environment Sensors



- Detects accelerometer mounting problems (i.e. torque) and structural damage
- Collects diagnostic information and acceleration data concurrently
- Increases accuracy of accelerometer data by 10X during temperature fluctuations
- No additional hardware required, accomplished by using active sensing methods
- Research to be conducted on in-situ calibration

PROPULSION IVHM

Projects: Extreme Environment Sensors

DIGITAL SPECTRAL ENCODERS





ANALOG SPECTRAL BASED SENSORS



OPTICAL WAVELENGTH BASED SENSORS

Fabry-Perot Sensor





Bragg Grating Sensor





Room Temperature



300°C

Space Transportation Technology Workshop /IVHM:

Propulsion & Power IVHM Technologies

PROPULSION IVHM

Projects: Extreme Environment Sensors

Room Temperature



600°F







Embedded Fiber Optic Sensors

Space Transportation Technology Workshop /IVHM:

Propulsion & Power IVHM Technologies

POWER IVHM Vision

Objectives

- Maximize safety
- Minimize costs
- Maximize dispatch reliability

Approach

- Minimize human involvement in power system operations
- Monitor component health
- Manage redundancy

Automate

- Incipient failure detection
- Time-to-failure estimation
- Optimal load management



POWER IVHM Vision

Responsiveness & Dependability

- **System Flexibility & Operability**
  - Autonomous optimal load management accomplishes in-flight redundancy
- **System Reliability**
  - Optimal load allocation during partial failures in generation or distribution provides the most functional redundancy for equipment still operating.
- **Maintainability**
  - Automated failure cause diagnosis eliminates costly manual diagnosis when identifying faulty electrical equipment.

POWER IVHM Vision



POWER IVHM

Capabilities/Research

Develop advanced architecture

Develop expert automated agents continued

- Flywheel energy storage systems
- Power distribution control centers
- Power converters
- Distribution network wiring
- Generators

Develop expert automated agents that are competent in:

- Planning
- Scheduling
- Optimal load management

Demonstrate using a RLV power system test-bed.

POWER IVHM

Projects: Smart EMA Agent



POWER IVHM

Projects: Smart EMA Agent

Item / Measurement	Windings	Bearings	Friction Surfaces
Differential Torque	Low	Medium	High
Vibration	Low	High	Medium
Temperature	Medium/High	Medium	Medium/Low
Voltage/ Current	High	Medium/Low	Medium/Low



Summary













Space Transportation Technology Workshop /IVHM:

Propulsion & Power IVHM Technologies

19p

IVHM Systems Engineering & Integration Office

NASA Ames Research Center
POC: Kevin Flynn
650-604-4062
kflynn@mail.arc.nasa.gov

Collect, process, and integrate information about the health of a launch system including the vehicle, subsystems, components, sensors, and ground support systems to make informed decisions and take appropriate actions to ensure the success of a mission



- Anomaly detection and isolation
- Recovery/Reconfiguration
- Component degradation detection

Intelligent Executive

- Data fusion
- Model-based controllers
- Autonomous Software Agents

Informed Operations & Maintenance

- Planning and Scheduling
- Maintenance Procedures
- Testing

The Union of Advanced Hardware and Software -
Providing higher reliability, with greater robustness, at lower costs

Integrated Vehicle Health Management:
IVHM





SE&I helps these IVHM pieces work together to meet the goals

Integrated Vehicle Health Management:

IVHM: Convert Data into Knowledge



Integrated Vehicle Health Management:

Space Transportation Inter-Center IVHM Team

Current Organization



Integrated Vehicle Health Management:

Current IVHM Organization



Integrated Vehicle Health Management:

IVHM Systems Integration Project

IVHM Technologists at other NASA centers

ISAT
- **IVHM, new ISAT discipline**
- **Safety& Reliability**
- **Economics**
- **Performance effects**

MSFC
- **2nd gen, SE&I**
- **3rd gen, ASTP**
- **Operability branch**

LaRC
- **Maintainability branch**

ARC
- **Systems Analysis branch**
- **Space Transportation Projects Office**
- **System Engineering division**
- **IT directorate**
- **Thermal Physics branch**
- **Space payloads branch**

Industry
- **Boeing (Seal Bch) NRA 8-27**
- **DSI International (eXpress modeling tool)**
- **USA (providing shuttle data)**

Integrated Vehicle Health Management:

IVHM SE&I Working Relationships

Integrated Vehicle Health Management:

IVHM SE&I Simplified Process Model

Business Driven Requirements

Param	Reqmt	Measured
DDT&E	$xxxxM	xxxx
Schedule	32 months	xxxxx
Duration	10 years	xxxxx
Ps	95%	xxxx
xxxx		
xxxxx		

Reqmts Modeling

DOORS, RTM Requirements Object Oriented Modeling and Dependencies

Management & Traceability

System/subsystem/ sensor reqmts

System Logic Flow Path

Interface Reqmts

Ops Scenarios

ISAT System Descriptions

CONOPS Discrete Model

Maggio/Squires Reliability Data

Squires IVHM Event Descriptions

Shaw/Flynn Cost Analysis

eXpress Int. Diagnostics Models

Feedback Loop

Feedback Loop

Price
Cost/Schedule Earned Value Tracking

[redacted]

- **Affordability**
  - Minimum LCC
  - Non-recurring Costs
    - Tech investment
    - Acquisition
    - PPPI
  - Recurring Costs
    - Low Sensitivity To Growth
    - Operations & Maintenance
    - Vehicle / System Replacement
    - LV/Booster Prep
    - Insertion to LEO & Assist
    - Payload Integration
    - Insertion to LEO w/ CTV or CRV
- **Dependability**
  - System Reliability
    - LOM
    - LOV
    - LOC
    - Fault Detection
    - False Alarms
  - Operate to Command
  - Robustness
    - Margin
  - No unplanned maintenance
- **Responsiveness**
  - Flexibility
  - Capacity per Vehicle / System
    - Vehicle capacity/ Year
    - System capacity/ Year
  - Operability
    - Fault Isolation
    - Maintainable
    - Touch/non-touch labor
    - Optimize repair time
    - Limit false repair & reconfig
  - Launch On Demand
    - Op Availability
    - False Alarm Rate
  - Ease of Vehicle / System Integration
    - Simplicity
    - Resiliency
- **Safety**
  - Vehicle
  - Crew
  - Public
  - Facility
- **Public Support**
  - Environment
  - Public Opinion

DRAFT



Shuttle/2nd Gen Smart TPS

- Saves thousands of hours of inspection (touch and non-touch)
- Eliminates the need for set up of scaffolding
- Eliminates the need to disassemble the vehicle to inspect for subsurface defects
- Enabling for 24 hour turnaround 3rd gen goal

TPS IVHM Example





Technology	Use for Ref.	Weight reduction	Vehicle Cost Reduction	Ops Cost Reduction	System Reliability Improvement	Safety Improvement	Current Funded Program
IVHM							
Avionics IVHM							
Distributed Architecture/RHNs	a	0/+	0	0	+	0	X33,2nd
FO Network	a	+	0	-	0	+	X33,2nd
Advanced Instrumentation	r	+	0	+	+	+	2nd
TPS IVHM							
Advanced MEMs For On-Board Gap and Overheat	a	0	0	+	+	+	X37, Shuttle, 2nd Gen?
On-Board Neural Network TPS Sensor Models	a	0	0	+	+	+	X37, Shuttle, 2nd Gen?
TPS Smart Sensor and Validation Algorithms	a	0	0	+	+	+	X37, Shuttle, 2nd Gen?
Ground Based Hand-Held NDE/NDI	a	0	0	+	+	+	X37, Shuttle, 2nd Gen?

34,000 hrs/flow x 25% (gap filler/barrier) = 8,500 hrs/flow (Touch)
30,000 hrs/flow x 25% = 7,500 hrs/flow (Non)
64,000 hrs/flow x 25% (Total labor) = 16,000 hrs/flow
$3.05M/flow x 25% = $7.0M/Flow

Demonstrate Repeatability on RLV IVHM Airframe Testbeds

Integrated Vehicle Health Management:

IVHM SE&I manages traceability to program goals



Integrated Vehicle Health Management:

Interim IVHM Analysis Approach

IVHM ROM Component Reliability Effectiveness Assessment

Subsystem	FYI: Baseline Failure Probability and Technology used for Reference For Probability #'s from ISAT; Total ISAT vehicle failure probability is 1 in 282	Components (Vehicle Technology From *ISAT* Reference Vehicle Description under development)	Baseline Risk: Your assessment of Component Failure Probability *without IVHM* (N, L, M, H)	Baseline Risk: Severity Of Failure (N, L, M, H)	Risk Mitigation thru IVHM: Name of Gen2 *IVHM* Technologies To be Applied to Subsystem/ Components
Main Propulsion	1 in 617 (SSME Block II)	(Six RS2100 Engines full-flow staged combustion cycle, SSME BlockIII Combustion chamber uses A286 Tubes and Titanium honeycomb jacket, injector is gas-gas coaxial, 3250 psi chamber pressure; High-pressure turbopumps use hydrostatic bearings and are drive			
		Hi-press. Fuel (LH2) Turbopump	H		
			H		
			M		
			M		
			H		
			M	H	
			L	H	

Technology Name	Use for Ref.	Weight reduction	Vehicle Cost Reduction	Ops Cost Reduction	System Reliability Improvement	Safety Improvement
Structure Subsystem						
Propellant Fuel Tank Assessment	r	0	0	0	+	+
Low cost, low weight tanks, smart materials application to OTV & Helicopter	r	+	+	+	+	0
Viewing high speed data link	r	+	0	0	0	0
High speed Roll action and low hydraulic	r	+	0	0/+	0	0
Autonomous Flight Systems						
? Precision Landing	a	0	0	0	+	+
? Rendezvous and docking sensor	a	0	0	0	+	+
? Terminal Adaptor	a	0	0	0	+	+
? Autonomous GN&C algorithms for all mission phases	r	0	0	0	+	+
? Aerobraking and pre landing	a	0	0	0	+	+
Intelligent Mission Systems						
? Autonomous Mission Management/ Planning	a	0	0	0	+	+
? Autonomous Flight Processor	a	0	0	+	0	0
? Fault Tolerant Controls	r	0	0	0	+	+
? Neural Network Nav Systems	r	0	0	0	+	+
Intelligent Vehicle Software						

IVHM Reliability Benefits Assigned to Subsystems / Components

Technology Assignments From Agency Team

IVHM Technologies Applied to Risk.

Integrated Vehicle Health Management:

Technology Plan Linked to Reliability Analysis

Draft Data for Illustration Purposes Only



Subsystem/IVHM Technology	ICU-RLV Alone	ICU-RLV w/ IVHM	1/ICU-RLV Alone	1/ICU-RLV w/ IVHM
Propulsion	1.86E-03	3.17E-04	537	3158
Engine	1.62E-03	2.44E-04	617	4095
Feed System	3.52E-05	6.40E-06	28409	156250
Main Propellant Containment	1.92E-04	6.40E-05	5208	15624
RCS and OMS	1.39E-05	1.99E-06	71942	503594
Vehicle Systems	**1.45E-03**	**2.86E-04**	**688**	**3502**
Avionics	1.00E-04	3.55E-05	10000	28182
Actuator Power	1.13E-03	1.62E-04	882	6174
Actuators & Control Surfaces	2.16E-04	8.64E-05	4630	11575
Purge Vent and Drain	1.00E-06	4.29E-07	1000000	2333333
Flight Termination	1.00E-06	2.50E-07	1000000	4000000
Other	1.00E-06	1.00E-06	1000000	1000000
Airframe	**7.20E-04**	**1.85E-04**	**1389**	**5412**
Thermal Protection	6.19E-04	1.55E-04	1616	6464
Airframe Structure	1.00E-04	2.97E-05	10000	33636
Undercarriage	1.00E-06	3.33E-07	1000000	3000000
Crew	1.00E-06	5.00E-07	1000000	2000000
Personnel Provision (N/A)	1.00E-06	5.00E-07	1000000	2000000
Ground	1.00E-06	5.00E-07	1000000	2000000
Total	**4.03E-03**	**7.87E-04**	**248**	**1271**

Integrated Vehicle Health Management:

LOV Reliability Analysis Providing IVHM Subsystem Allocations

TOP LEVEL IVHM GENERIC FUNCTIONAL EVENT SEQUENCE
(Payload-Only Mission Scenario)



PRA Methods

- MLD
- FES
- Event Tree
- Fault Trees

Integrated Vehicle Health Management:

How does IVHM effect Failure Modes?

Launch Ops
Vehicle Processing
Process Eng
Recovery Ops
Fixed Support
Facility O&M
Base Support
Propellant Mgt.
GSE Spares

73 % Reduction in cost due to IVHM

50 % Reduction in cost due to IVHM

Flight Ops
Flight Planning
Mission S/Ware
Simulation/Training
Mission Control O&M
System Integration
Payload Analysis
Crew Ops
Fixed Support
General Mgt.
Network Support

IVHM Technology Categories





Integrated Vehicle Health Management:

Ops Cost Savings due to IVHM



Comparison For Demonstration Purposes Only

Integrated Vehicle Health Management:

Operations Cost/yr Comparison

eXpress modeling tool

- Operability models
- Fault detection & isolation models
- Life cycle cost & reliability simulation
- Sensor placement optimization



Integrated Vehicle Health Management:

IVHM Modeling with DSI International

Provide IVHM Technology descriptions

Provide input to Operations Modeling/Ops processes

- Operability
- Testability
- Maintainability
- Availability

Support development of IVHM+Subsystem Failure Event Models

- Isolation of subsystem failures
- Detectability of IVHM false positives

Support life cycle cost and reliability optimization using eXpress

Support IVHM technology DDT&E cost estimating

Flow IVHM Requirements to 2nd gen SE&I and IVHM development tasks

In summary: Functions of IVHM SE&I

CONF PAPER / IN / 16

5
23

SP CE 2000
RANSPORTATION
AY

Risk Reduction
for the Next Generation

♦ In Space Investment Area Overview	Les Johnson
♦ Hall Propulsion Technology	Robert Jankovsky
♦ Ion Propulsion Technology	John Brophy Mike Patterson
♦ Fission Propulsion: SAFE	Mike Houts
♦ Cryogenic Fluid Management Technologies	David Plachta
♦ Solar Thermal Propulsion Technologies	Steve Tucker
♦ Momentum Transfer Tether Technology	Kirk Sorensen
♦ Electrodynamic Tether Coatings for ProSEDS	Jason Vaughn
♦ AeroAssist Technologies	Richard Powell
♦ Solar Sail Technology	Humphrey Price
♦ Mini Magnetospheric Plasma Propulsion (M2P2)	Dennis Gallagher

In-Space Agenda

SP CE 2000
RANSPORTATION
AY

In-Space Investment Area
Les Johnson, *Manager*

- Saroj Patel, *Exploration Space Transportation Lead at JSC*
- Bonnie James, *Special Assistant for Exploration*

Space Transfer Technology Project
Leslie Curtis, *Manager (MSFC)*

- Rae Ann Meyer, *Assist. Manager*
- Judy Ballance, *Lead Engineer - ProSEDS*
- Kelly Looney, *ProSEDS Systems Engineer*
- Tommy Harris, *ProSEDS Systems Engineer*
- Lee Jones, *In-Space IPA*

Propellantless Propulsion Project
Randy Baggett, *Manager (MSFC)*

- Bonnie James, *Assist. Manager*
- Melody Herrmann, *Lead/Systems Engineer*

ASTP Organization





- **Achieve within 15 years a factor of 10 reduction in the cost of Earth orbital transportation and a factor of 2 to 3 reduction in propulsion system mass and travel time required for planetary missions. Within 25 years enable bold new missions to the edge of the solar system and beyond by reducing travel times by 1 to 2 orders of magnitude.**





"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - ASTP

In-Space Transportation Goals

- High percentage of projected launches to Low-earth Orbit (LEO) will require upper stages.
  - More than 70% go to Geosynchronous Orbit (GEO) or higher.
- Under current total mission cost caps, more ambitious science missions require improvements in propulsion technologies.
  - DS-1 enabled by NSTAR solar electric ion propulsion.
  - Future planned missions require 2 to 3 times more Delta V.
  - Rendezvous and return missions will require similar investments in chemical propulsion systems and aerocapture technologies.
- Per current studies, human exploration missions to Mars, in-space transportation costs are projected to be higher than earth-to-orbit costs.
  - Affordable in-space transportation is enabling for human exploration missions (lighter weight systems, shorter trip time).
  - In-situ propellants offer significant potential to reduce mission costs.
- New opportunities to explore beyond the outer planets will require unparalleled technology advancement and invention.



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - ASTP

In-Space Investment Rationale

Electric Propulsion

Advance EP systems to reduce mass & cost of orbital transfer and to enable interplanetary missions

Sails

Solar and magnetic sails to enable exciting new mission concepts and by reducing mass and overall trip time for interplanetary missions.

Cryogenic Fluid Management

Advance CFM systems to enable long term storage of cryogens in space



Aeroassist

Utilize aerocapture and aeroassist transportation systems to significantly reduce mass -- by using planetary environments for orbit capture and deceleration



Fission

Develop fission technology to enable rapid, affordable access to any point in the solar system

Tethers

Develop reusable electrodynamic and momentum transfer tethers to reduce transportation system mass and cost



Light Weight Components

Develop light weight components to reduce the dry mass of spacecraft propulsion systems

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - ASTP

In-Space Transportation Technology Elements



Electric Propulsion

Advance EP systems to reduce mass & cost of orbital transfer and Enable interplanetary missions

- GRC – Hall, Ion, MPD, PIT technologies
- JPL – MPD (lithium), DS-1 tests, ion optics
- JSC – VASIMR Technologies
- MSFC- PIT (switch and ckt design)

Fission

Develop Fission Technology to enable rapid, Affordable access to any point in the solar system

- GRC – Energy Conversion, Fuels, LANTR
- JSC – Two phase systems and technologies
- KSC – Operational and range requirements
- MSFC – Fuels, SAFE, System studies, non nuclear testing



Cryogenic Fluid Management

Advance CFM systems to enable long term Storage of cryogens in space

- ARC- Cryocooler & Refrigerator development, insulation
- GRC- Subscale/Component test, analytical modeling
- JPL - technology requirements
- JSC - In-situ propellant production
- KSC - GSE, quick-disconnects, insulation
- MSFC- System/Large scale test, analytical modeling



Light Weight Components

Develop light weight components to reduce the dry mass of spacecraft propulsion systems

- Center Roles are still being established

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - ASTP

Space Transfer Technology Project Elements

- Sails
  - Solar
  - Magnetic
- Center Roles:
  - JPL: TWG lead; system design; GN&C; Mechanical systems; Large structures; I&T
  - LaRC: materials & Lt. weight structures; mechanical system
  - MSFC: Prop. Physics;M2P2; mt'l & light weight structures
  - JSC: Large Structure environ.





- Aeroassist
- Center Roles:
  - LaRC: TWG Lead; system design/performance; Aero/ Aerothermal analysis; structures; GN&C simulations
  - ARC,JSC,JPL,LaRC,MSFC: Vehicle design/system analysis
  - ARC: TPS; TPS Aerothermal sensors; Aerothermal analysis
  - JSC: GN&C; deceleration systems; Adv.. TPS materials
  - MSFC: Environmental models

- Tethers
  - Electrodynamics
  - Momentum Transfer Tethers
- Center Roles:
  - MSFC: TWG Lead; system design/performance; integrated test; tether survivability; deployer; GN&C; deployment test facilities; orbital tracking & collision avoidance
  - JSC: orbital tracking & collision avoidance

Propellantless Propulsion Technology

Project Elements

5-3

112 p

2nd & 3rd Generation Vehicle Subsystems





"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations"

♦ 3rd Generation Vehicle Subsystems

Project Overview	Scott Jackson	8:00 - 8:10
University (Cornell U.)	Kathryn Caggiano	8:10 - 8:30
SFINX	Anthony Kelley	8:30 - 9:00
High Performanc G&C	Dan Moerder	9:00 - 9:30
EHW for 3rd Gen.	Wayne Schober	9:30 - 10:00
Advance EA's	Jose Davis	10:00 - 10:20
Hybrids Sources	Jeff Brewer	10:20 - 10:45
Intell. Intern. Therm. Ctrl.	Eric Golliher	10:45 - 11:00

♦ 2rd Generation Vehicle Subsystems

Project Overview	Mike Skor	11:00 - 11:20
PEM Fuel Cell Project	Mark Hoberecht	11:20 - 11:50
Wrap up	All	11:50 - 12:00

- **Earth-to-Orbit :**

GOALS 9

Low-cost Space Access:

Reduce the payload cost to orbit by an order of magnitude, from $10,000 to $1,000 per pound, within 10 years and by an additional order of magnitude, from thousands to hundreds of dollars per pound, within 25 years

- **Launch Technology Project**
  - Provide basic launch technology building blocks to enable significant improvements in safety and reliability of transportation systems while reducing the life time cost.

- **Technology Objectives:**
  - **Design, develop and test advanced avionics, power systems, power control and distribution components and subsystems for insertion into a highly reliable and low-cost system for a reusable launch vehicle.**



Avionics and Flight Control
Lead Center - MSFC

Power
Lead Center - GRC





Project Manager
Scott Jackson
MSFC

Avionics
Lead Engineer
Anthony Kelley
MSFC

University Studies
Anthony Kelley
MSFC

SFINX
Anthony Kelley
MSFC

EHW for 3rd Gen.
Wayne Schober
JPL

Robust Low
Cost Avionics
Shawn Wallace
MSFC

High Perf,
G&C Adpt.
Dan Moerder
LaRC

Power
Lead Engineer
Jose Davis
GRC

Advanced EA's
Mary Roth
GRC

Hybrid Sources
Linda Taylor
GRC

High Temp Pwr
Electronics
Gene Schwarze
GRC

IITC
Eric Golliher
GRC



3rd Gen. Project Management Structure

- Achieve 100% Reliability
- Increase Safety
- Operate In Any Environment
- Achieve Near Zero Mass Systems
- Increase Operability & Maintainability

3rd Gen. Technical Challenges



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - 2nd & 3rd Generation Vehicle Subsystems

3rd Gen. Launch Technology Roadmap

NAME	ORG.
Anthoney Kelley (Lead)	MSFC
Charles Hall	MSFC
Mike Watson	MSFC
Mike Goode	LaRC
Dan Moerder	LaRC
Gary Hunter	GRC
Bill Espinosa	GRC
Chuck Jorgensen	ARC
Wayne Schober	JPL
Leon Alkalai	JPL
Nikzad Benny Toomariab	JPL
Jean- Pierre Fleurial	JPL
Kevin Wheeler	ARC
Ray Garbos	Lockheed
Bruce Powel Douglas	Private

NAME	ORG.
José M. Davis (Lead)	GRC
Nang T. Pham	GRC
Mary Ellen Roth	GRC
Gene Schwarze	GRC
Eric Golliher	GRC
Steve Luna	MSFC
Steve Ryan	MSFC
Mark King	MSFC
Rao Surampudi	JPL
David Homan	Wright Lab
Russ Spyker	Wright Lab
Jerry Beam	Wright Lab
Joe Weimer	Wright Lab

- **University Studies (Cornell University)**
  Kathryn Caggiano (Cornell University) (607) 255-0698

- **High-Performance G&C Adaptation**
  Dan Moerder (LaRC) 757-864-6495 d.d.moerder@larc.nasa.gov

- **Evolvable Hardware (EHW) for 3rd Generation Avionics Description**
  Wayne Schober (JPL) 818 354-8581 wayne.r.schober@jpl.nasa.gov

- **SFINX Scaleable, Fault-tolerant Intelligent Network or X(trans)ducers**
  Anthony Kelley (MSCFC/ED12) 256-544-7646 anthony.kelley@msfc.nasa.gov

- **Advanced Electric Actuation Devices and Subsystem Technology**
  Jose Davis (GRC) For:
  Mary Roth (GRC) 216-433-6288 Mary.E.Roth@lerc.nasa.gov

- **Hybrid Power Sources and Regeneration Technology for Electric Actuators**
  Jeff Brewer (MSFC) For:
  Linda Taylor (GRC) 216-433-3370 Linda.M.Taylor@lerc.nasa.gov

- **Intelligent Internal Thermal Control**
  Eric Golliher (GRC) 216-433-6575 Eric.L.Galliher@lerc.nasa.gov

3rd Gen. Subsystems Presenter Contact Info.

Supporting the NASA RLV Program

Kathryn E. Caggiano
Peter L. Jackson
John A. Muckstadt

Cornell University
Operations Research and Industrial Engineering

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations"

Develop analysis tools for determining and evaluating spare parts stocking policies for components of Reusable Launch Vehicles

Cornell Project Objective

- System Framework
- Analysis Tools
- Analysis Process (GEM)

Overview

- RLV Ground Maintenance Process
- Line Replaceable Unit (LRU) Repair Process
- Shop Replaceable Unit (SRU) Repair Process



RLV Maintenance Cycles



Failed LRUs must be replaced by the scheduled end date in order to avoid a delay.

One Maintenance Cycle



RLV Ground Maintenance



LRU Repair Process



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - 2nd & 3rd Generation Vehicle Subsystems

SRU Repair Process



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - 2nd & 3rd Generation Vehicle Subsystems

System Framework



LRU Repair Cycle Time

- System Framework
- Analysis Tools
- Analysis Process (GEM)

Overview

- Mathematical Model
- Simulation Model

Analysis Tools

Goal:

Given a target investment level, determine LRU and SRU spare inventory levels that minimize the expected number of "holes" in an RLV at the end of its scheduled maintenance cycle.



Mathematical Model



LRU Repair Cycle Time

Considerations:

- RLV maintenance schedule parameters (τ, γ, etc.)
- Times at which LRUs are tested (relative to τ)
- Part failure characteristics
- Bill of material relationships
- For LRUs repaired in-house, repair cycle time components (other than queue time and wait time)
- For LRUs with outsourced repair, the repair cycle time distribution
- SRU repair cycle time components (other than queue time)
- Repair capacity
- Target budget level and part costs

Does not capture:

- Variability of transport, queue, and service times
- Work prioritization

Mathematical Model



LRU Repair Cycle Time = 11
if no wait for SRUs

SRU Repair Cycle Time = 7

Example

Outline of Method:

- For each LRU type, build the SRU tradeoff curve.
- For each LRU type, build the family tradeoff curve by evaluating LRU/SRU budget allocation strategies, keeping points on the convex minorant of the curve.
- Build the overall tradeoff curve using marginal analysis on the family tradeoff curve points.
- Find the point on the overall tradeoff curve that requires a total investment closest to (but not exceeding) the target investment level.



SRU Tradeoff Curve

Outline of Method:

- For each LRU type, build the SRU tradeoff curve.
- For each LRU type, build the family tradeoff curve by evaluating LRU/SRU budget allocation strategies, keeping points on the convex minorant of the curve.
- Build the overall tradeoff curve using marginal analysis on the family tradeoff curve points.
- Find the point on the overall tradeoff curve that requires a total investment closest to (but not exceeding) the target investment level.



LRU Family Tradeoff Curve

Outline of Method:

- For each LRU type, build the SRU tradeoff curve.
- For each LRU type, build the family tradeoff curve by evaluating LRU/SRU budget allocation strategies, keeping points on the convex minorant of the curve.
- Build the overall tradeoff curve using marginal analysis on the family tradeoff curve points.
- Find the point on the overall tradeoff curve that requires a total investment closest to (but not exceeding) the target investment level.



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - 2nd & 3rd Generation Vehicle Subsystems

Overall Tradeoff Curve

Goal:

Evaluate maintenance resource strategies, including LRU and SRU spare inventory levels, in the dynamic RLV environment.

Considerations:

- Outsourcing of repair
- Condemnation
- Limited capacity for in-house diagnosis and repair
- Probabilistic transport and service times
- Limited inventories
- Dynamic work prioritization at repair centers

Simulation Model Features

- Identify Events
- Model Delays Between Events
- Manage Priorities
- Track Inventories
- Select Inputs
- Capture Outputs

A Model of RLV Repairs

- System Framework
- Analysis Tools
- Analysis Process (GEM)

Overview



Analysis Process

- Validate models with realistic data
- Use analytic tools to evaluate alternative maintenance resource strategies
- Enhance the current mathematical model
  - Repair queue time variability
  - Repair capacity decisions
  - Repair facility location decisions
  - Repair facility assignment decisions

Next Steps

SFINIX
Scaleable, Fault-tolerant Intelligent Network or X(trans)ducers

Anthony Kelley
(MSFC/ED12)
256-544-7646
anthony.kelley@msfc.nasa.gov

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations"

SFINX

The Intelligent I/O Network
for Future NASA Avionics



Scalable **F**ault-**T**olerant **I**ntelligent **N**etwork of Trans(**X**)ducers
Sponsor: NASA Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC)
Team Members: MSFC, Draper, Oak Ridge, GP:50
Objective: Develop "smart" sensor technology for spacecraft



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - 2nd & 3rd Generation Vehicle Subsystems

Today's Reusable Spacecraft Utilize Extensive I/O Electronics

- Extensive wiring is expensive to install, reduces reliability
- Growth or change during development is very costly
- Complexity makes checkout difficult, uncertain
- Requires many custom electronic units
- Complex, installation specific software



I/O Installation and Development Discourages Use of Electronically Controlled and Fault-tolerant Functions



sensor (temp, pres, position)
electric motor
solenoid controlled valve
pyrotechnic device
computer or I/O electronics

Typical Spacecraft

- Reduced avionics weight
  - less wiring and fewer connectors
  - fewer and lighter I/O black boxes
- Increased automation and dependability
  - reduce dependence upon flight and ground crews
  - increase use of electronic control and health monitoring
- Reduce development time and cost
  - reuse of standard components
  - less application specific software, more "plug and play"
  - installation / upgrade flexibility

Why Use Smart Sensors and Actuators?



Networks of "Smart" I/O Can Address these Problems



50 - 75% savings in wire weights are possible

smart sensor
electric motor w/ controller
"smart" solenoid controlled valve

pyro w/ companion "smart" driver

Spacecraft with Multi-drop I/O Network

- "Smart" Network I/O electronics are widely available
  - automotive (CAN bus, J1850,...)
  - industrial control (Fieldbus, Profibus, Lonworks,...)
  - home automation (Intellon, Echelon, Enikia,...)

- The challenge is applying the concept to space applications
  - lightweight, simple to install
  - fault tolerant for life critical functions
  - no repairs during mission
  - severe environments (radiation, temperature, vibration)
  - open, non-proprietary system
  - deterministic command and control

SFINX Challenge - Apply "Smart" I/O Networks to Critical Spacecraft Functions



SFINX Requirements - Transducer Network Concept



SFINX Hardware Demonstration of a Small Scale Sensor / Actuator Network

- FY01--Demonstrate hardware and software for both RF and 2-wire system
  - Refine 1451 object models
  - Complete 1451.3 standard
  - Analyze distributed real-time architectural impacts and reliabilities
  - Start publishing designs
- Future
  - Append 1451.3 with mixed mode fiber and wire system
  - Utilize ground system based on SFINX
  - Fly SFINX hardware
  - Infuse technology through industry groups

Future Plans...

High-Performance Guidance & Control Adaptation for Future RLVs

Dan Moerder, NASA LaRC
d.d.moerder@larc.nasa.gov

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations"

- Motivation for Project
- Technology Goals & Objectives
- Institutional Elements
- Background of Effort
- Current Status & Accomplishments
- Near-Term Plans

Briefing Overview

- Future launch vehicles will continue to have thin performance margins -- through diverse, complex, and demanding missions
  - A rich variety of abort and off-nominal scenari are likely
  - optimality of performance is relevant
- The dynamics of these vehicles will be complicated, e.g. airframe/scramjet interactions, multimode propulsion, etc.
  - Calculation of efficient (optimal) controls not straightforward
  - Certainly not straightforward for reliable online adaptation to new scenari!
  - Complicated dynamics lead to additional uncertainty in modelling, which must be addressed to preserve performance (alternative is robustness, which costs performance)

Motivation (1)

- "Airline-like" commercial operation infeasible without automation of guidance & control design/abort/contingency planning functions…
  - "Airline-like" model is rendered difficult by thin performance margins, higher energy levels, and lack of a pilot for responding to unplanned situations.
  - Onboard "optimal" adaptation needed to prevent a combinatorial explosion of preplanned cases!
- This technology is certainly not "state of the art," and does not exist for complicated nonlinear scenari associated with hardware failures and aborts
  - The technology must be developed, and we hope that this project is successful in doing so.

Motivation (2)

- **Develop theory and computational techniques for:**
  - **high-performance (I.e. optimal) inner/outer loop control which reliably**
    - accommodates and exploits propulsion/airframe interactions
    - changes, for changes in vehicle health or mission
    - provides timely revision of mission profile for abort scenari
    - formally addresses uncertainty in the system
  - **Validate new technology in appropriate experimental environments, as it matures…**

"Baby" simulations

Vehicle simulations

MAST

"Generic" test fixtures

Technology Goals & Objectives

- **People:**
  - **LaRC (0.5-0.75 FTE)**
    - guidance, nonlinear control, preliminary sim development
  - **MSFC (0.5 FTE)**
    - navigation, control, MAST coordination
  - **GRC (1.5 FTE)**
    - control of airframe/propulsion interactions
- **Validation Assets:**
  - **Simulink adaptation of 6DOF "Marshall Aerospace VEhicle Representation In C" (MAVERIC) -LaRC/MSFC**
  - **Flying Flexible Fixture (FFF) - LaRC**
  - **Marshall Avionics System Testbed (MAST), INS/GPS Lab - MSFC**

Institutional Structure of Effort

- **This work extends from GN&C technology developed under Bantam…**
  - **Neighboring Optimal Control (NOC) techniques for systems with constraints**
    - provides reliably available near-optimal performance "near" known missions
  - **uncertainty modelling techniques for μ synthesis and analysis**
    - permit formal balancing of robustness against performance for linear feedback systems
  - **development of "Flying Flexible Fixture" (FFF)**
    - low-cost laboratory scale "difficult, highly nonlinear, unstable" flying system for evaluating nonlinear control concepts
  - **preliminary development of INS/GPS lab at MSFC**
    - permits inclusion of INS/GPS navigation issues in MAST simulations
    - permits high-fidelity evaluation of navigation filter concepts

Background of Effort

- NOC technique being refined…
  - current mix of Matlab and FORTRAN90 software shifting entirely to the latter
  - revision of temporal discretization to satisfy POO principle of optimal control
  - inner/outer-loop numerical optimization technique for improvement of optimal trajectory convergence currently under Monte Carlo testing

- Matlab toolbox under development to combine μ uncertainty modelling computational algorithms (this work leveraged against NASA Aviation Safety Program effort)

- LaRC & GRC settling on joint dynamical model or family for hypersonic airbreather

Current Status (1)

- MSFC starting an extension of INS/GPS evaluation and development lab to interface with MAST for realistic nav-in-loop technology evaluation.

- LaRC developing Simulink adaptation of 6DOF Maveric for joint LaRC/MSFC/GRC simulation studies.

Current Status (2)



- Electrically powered
- 28 lbm, 40 lbf max thrust
- 12 DOF control
- controlled via radio link to dSpace control system that hosts simulink-based user concepts.

- Aero testing in progress for powered flight
- System certified for safe operation

Flying Flexible Fixture Status

- Develop and test GN&C blending filters
- Robust filter design to allow for a variety of inertial measurement units and Global Positioning System (GPS) receivers used in different trajectories
- Develop MSFC expertise in blending filters design for greater mission flexibility with reduced cost



Robust GN&C Evaluation Lab

Robust Navigation and Guidance

- **FY01**
  - **(4Q) Complete hardware integration of INS/GPS evaluation and development lab.**
    - Output: lab will be available for developing open-loop sims of INS/GPS systems and tools will be in place for development of easily-configurable kalman filters providing blended INS/GPS nav solutions
  - **(4Q) Develop generic 6DOF Simulink sim, congruent with MAVERIC, suitable for G&C studies, populated with parameters for a relevant vehicle**
    - Output: sim will be available for rapid prototyping of guidance and control architectures, and their simulation-based evaluation. Elements of vehicle dynamics will be easily visible and available for modification and analysis.
- **FY02**
  - **(4Q) Develop nonlinear probabilistic/uncertainty model structure for RLVs. Populate with parameters for vehicle under study.**
    - Output: vehicle model will be extended to provide probability distributions of quantities related to dynamical response behavior.

Near-Term Milestones

Evolvable Hardware for 3rd Generation Avionics

Wayne Schober
JPL
818-354-8581
wayne.r.schober@jpl.nasa.gov

A collaboration between JPL and NASA ARC

JPL EHW page: http://cism.jpl.nasa.gov/ehw/darpa/

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations"

- We propose to develop "evolvable" circuits that would self-reconfigure under the control of biologically inspired genetic and evolutionary algorithms and would be directly implemented/integrated with the hardware itself.

- The objective is to demonstrate by 2006 a flight system prototype based on a "diehard" architecture, seamlessly integrating functional reconfigurable circuits and evolution/self-configuration mechanisms.

- Circuits evolving in real-time to compensate for unanticipated faults/damage and/or to provide new functionality on-demand, without the conventional high level of hardware redundancy would provide light-weight and low-cost avionics with high reliability.



Technology Goals and Objectives

Generation change: fixed hardware, reconfigurable hardware, evolvable hardware



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - 2nd & 3rd Generation Vehicle Subsystems

Technology Goals: 3rd Gen. Avionics

Survivability:
Maintain functionality through parametric adjustments even with changes in hardware characteristics (e.g. due to radiation, temperature, aging and malfunctions)



Versatility/Flexibility:
Create new functionality through synthesis of totally new circuits for new missions, dramatic changes in requirements or environment

♦ Benefits

- Enable new and multiple functionality of avionics system on-demand.
- Self-monitoring and self-healing capabilities in time-critical avionics system.
- Enable lightweight, low-cost avionics for adaptive ultrahigh reliability and autonomous GN&C

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - 2nd & 3rd Generation Vehicle Subsystems

Background: Motivation

Evolvable Hardware = Reconfigurable Mechanism + Reconfigurable Hardware



Current research in EHW focuses on reconfigurable hardware that self-configures for optimal functionality under the control of evolutionary algorithms.

Background: Evolvable Hardware



Potential electronic designs/implementations compete;
the best ones are modified to search for increasingly more suitable solutions

Current Status: Evolutionary synthesis and adaptation of electronic circuits



Current Status: Testbed



Evolvable Hardware System - Roadmap
From Component to Array on a Chip to System Board

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - 2nd & 3rd Generation Vehicle Subsystems

Current Status:
DARPA-funded hardware development

- **Evolutionary circuit synthesis and repair**
  - **Synthesis**
    - Analog computational circuits (fuzzy neuron, multipliers)
    - Logic Circuits (XNOR, AND gates)
    - Filters (band-pass)
  - **Repair: From faults and degradation with temperature**







Major Accomplishments: EHW Experiments

- Demonstration of EHW on a PCI card
  - Two chips, one for Field Programmable Transistor Array and one for Evolutionary Processor



- Development and Simulation or a "diehard" architecture

Seamlessly integrated "Diehard" architecture



Near term plans :FY01

Phase 1: Conceptual development of a "die-hard" architecture, i.e. a way of distributing the adaptation/self-configuration mechanism into the reconfigurable hardware.

Phase 2: Design and building of evolution-oriented chips and testing them in the context of selected, relevant applications. The tests would include synthesizing new electronic functions, recovery from faults, radiation and temperature hardening.

Phase 3: EHW would be integrated with a selected set of sensors within the framework of an on-board avionics computer.

Phase 4: Preparation of flight test.

Plans

- **Risks**
  - Evolution time is currently around minutes. It must be reduced to a few seconds, otherwise only non-time-critical applications may be impacted.
  - Scalability of the evolutionary approach to complex electronics systems still needs to be proven.
  - Currently, the implementation of the adaptation mechanism must be flawless.
  - If it can not be made fault-tolerant, the mechanism must be isolated in a protected area.

- **The EHW technology has the potential to:**
  - Enable multiple functionality of avionics systems using the existing resources that are reconfigured as needed.
  - Adapt and self-configure the avionics to new needed functionality
  - Self-heal and be fault-tolerant by rerouting around completely damaged components and reusing components with modified/altered characteristics in new circuit topologies.
  - Autonomous self-configuration.

Risks, Pay-off



EHW technology has the potential to be the underlying technology behind the avionics infrastructure (not only the electronics but also smart optical/structural/thermal subsystems through reconfigurable/morphing/adaptive MEMS/ materials) of the space systems for 2020 and beyond.

Conclusion

Advanced Electric Actuation Devices and Subsystem Technology

Jose Davis, GRC
For: Mary Roth, GRC
216-433-6288
Mary.E.Roth@lerc.nasa.gov

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations"

- **Goal:**
  - **Develop two high horsepower (>80Hp)redundant EA Subsystems using advanced motor and power electronics designs, control techniques and lightweight structures. Demonstrate at a TRL 6 in FY08.**
- **Objectives:**
  - **Cost - lowers System O&M costs - no hazardous fluids, easier system checkout**
  - **Safety - improves system safety - no hazardous fluids**
  - **System Responsiveness - improves system capacity and operability- faster turnaround**
  - **System Dependability - improves reliability and maintainability - easier system checkout, fewer or no sensors, robust motor and drive designs**

Advanced EA Devices

- Background
  - For Launch vehicles, current SOA - hard switched motor drives, PM motors, RVDT and LVDT sensors, lower horsepower (40Hp - single string, 20 Hp- dual channel)- TRL 4
  - Use of EA's reduces maintenance costs, turn-around times and improves safety vs. conventional centralized hydraulic system

- Current status
  - Grants with University of Alabama and Montana State University in process

  - MSFC in start-up mode for linear motor work

Advanced EA Devices

- Major accomplishments:
  - New start
- Near term plans
  - Initiate grant with University of Alabama – Dynamic,compute-controlled test fixture (January 2001)
  - Initiate grant with Montana State University – Fuzzy logic motor control (January 2001)
  - MSFC begins linear motor work (November 2000)
- Task manager: Mary Ellen Roth
- Phone: (216)433-6288 Email: maryellen.roth@grc.nasa.gov

Advanced EA Devices

Hybrid Power Sources and Regeneration Technology for Electric Actuators

Jeff Brewer, MSFC
For: Linda Taylor, GRC
216-433-3370
Linda.M.Taylor@lerc.nasa.gov

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations"

- Develop advanced proton-exchange-membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) technology as a replacement for existing alkaline fuel cell (AFC) technology
  - Enhanced safety
  - Higher power
  - Longer life
  - Lower weight
  - Improved reliability and maintainability
  - Higher peak-to-nominal power capability
  - Compatibility with propulsion-grade reactants
  - Reduced ground and mission operations
  - Potential for significantly lower cost

- Assemble an experienced NASA team to direct the effort
  - Team members GRC (lead), JSC, KSC, and MSFC
  - No vendor is clear leader in developing PEMFC technology for space applications
  - NASA has significant space fuel cell experience with Shuttle
  - NASA can direct design efforts to guarantee future vendor competition
    - Modular powerplant approach
  - NASA has most direct access to evolving RLV requirements

2nd Gen RLV Program: Technology Goals and Objectives

- **Background:**
  - Hybrid sources needed for advanced power systems due to high peak power demands of flight surfaces
  - Previous research by MSFC and GRC under the ELV System Modernization Program showed a hybrid source would reduce the overall size and weight of the power source – a supercapacitor would provide the peak power needed, while a battery would handle the nominal requirements

- **Current Status/SOA:**
  - Carbon and RuOx are most common electrode materials
  - Most devices are low voltage (<15V)
  - Maximum power density ~20kW/kg @ <1kJ/kg
  - Maximum energy density ~10kJ/kg @ <1kW/kg

Hybrid Sources and Regen. Energy

- **Technology Goal:**
  - **Develop high power density, low ESR supercapacitors to provide peak power to EA loads and demonstrate the ability to recapture regenerative energy.**

- **Objectives:**
  - **Cost - Lower System O&M costs – no toxic materials**
  - **Safety - Improve System Safety – no toxic materials**
  - **System Responsiveness – improve system capacity – reduces weight of power source**
  - **System Dependability – improve reliability – high cycle life**
  - **Program goal of 40kW/kg @ 10kJ/kg**

Hybrid Sources and Regen. Energy



Figure 1: Photograph of one of the 290 V ELIT carbon-carbon capacitors (#298) developed in this program. It uses symmetric bipolar construction. Measured capacitance is 1.2 F. Total stored energy is approximately 50 kJ. The ruler on top of the capacitor is 6" long



Figure 2: Photograph of the 290 V ELIT asymmetric capacitor developed in this program. It is of asymmetric bipolar construction with a capacitance of 1.1 F, and an ESR of 0.148 ohms. Stored energy is approximately 46 kJ. The ruler on top of the capacitor is 6" long.



Figure 3: Photograph of the 290 V-rated KTI capacitor developed and delivered in this program. It has laboratory packaging. The capacitor uses a symmetric bipolar design with hydrated ruthenium oxide electrode materials. The device has a capacitance of 0.16 F. Total stored energy is approximately 5.4 kJ.

- **Major Accomplishments:**
  - **Under Bantam program, NASA has developed;**
    - Symmetric devices rated at 290 v, 5.9kW/kg at 2.6kJ/kg
    - An asymmetric device rated at 290 v, 17.3kW/kg at 5.6kJ/kg

- **Near Term Plans:**
  - **FY01 – demo a 290V device with an electric actuator (15kW/kg, 5kJ/kg)**
  - **FY02 – demo 4 – 30V proof of concept devices (25kW/kg, 8kJ/kg)**

- **Contact Info:**
  - **NASA GRC – Linda Taylor, (216)433-8478, Linda.M.Taylor@grc.nas.gov**
  - **NASA MSFC – Jeff Brewer (256)544-3345, Jeff.Brewer@msfc.nasa.gov**

Hybrid Sources and Regen. Energy

Intelligent Internal Thermal Control

Eric Golliher, GRC
216-433-6575
Eric.L.Golliher@lerc.nasa.gov

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations"

- **Technology Goals and Objectives**
  - **Develop a Passive Method to Remove Heat from Power Electronics at the Chip Level**
    - Must Perform during Ascent, On-orbit, and Descent (Varying G-fields, μ-Gravity)
    - Achieve > 300 W/cm_

- **Background**
  - **Current State of the Art: Thermal Conduction through Solid Metal and Silicon and Large Motorized Fluid Pumps**

- **Near term plans**
  - **Initiate Investigation of New Concepts in Capillary Wick Design Using MEMS Technology**

- **Eric Golliher - NASA Glenn Research Center**

- **Thurman Henderson - University of Cincinnati http://www.mems.uc.edu/**

Intelligent Internal Thermal Control

First Concepts: Integrated Silicon Loop Heat Pipe on a Chip



Fig. [illegible] Three-dimensional exterior view of the integrated evaporator. Visible are the semi-elliptical vapor collecting grooves.

Close - Up : Silicon Wick Structure



Success Will Translate into Greater Reliability and Lower Maintenance

- We aim to develop two-phase evaporative heat transfer at the chip level, as opposed to the single-phase at the baseplate level, which is the current state of the art (SOA). This will allow the RLV thermal radiator heat transport loop to operate at a higher temperature than current SOA, because a chip is much warmer than the box baseplate. The advantage is that the higher-temperature RLV radiator will be smaller, because heat radiates as [Temperature] to the fourth power. Also, the system is passive and sealed, and therefore requires no mechanical pumps and no maintenance. Since the thermal path from chip to deep space is much more efficient, the chip temperature could be decreased slightly from SOA to provide higher reliability: (electronics' lower temperature => higher reliability).

Heritage

- The technology is derived from recent advances in spacecraft thermal management, where large "loop heat pipes" transport thousands of watts of heat passively. For example, the geostationary ~15 kWe Hughes HS702 satellite uses several loop heat pipes which carry 800 watts each and has a design life of nearly 15 years. The HS702 was the first operational use of loop heat pipes in a commercial American space vehicle (1999 launch). Our goal is to miniaturize the loop heat pipe technology to the chip level using MEMS technology. This technology can be incorporated into the 3rd Generation RLV thermal subsystem design.

Vehicle Subsystems Project, 2nd Gen RLV Program

Mike Skor

October 12, 2000

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations"

- **Vehicle Subsystems Project** — **Mike Skor**
  - Project Overview
  - Project Objectives/Management Structure
  - Project Planning Team
  - Points of Contact

- **PEM Fuel Cell Project (NASA-Led)** — **Mark Hoberecht**
  - Technology Goals and Objectives
  - Background
  - Benefits
  - Current Status
  - Major Accomplishments
  - Plans
  - Points of Contact

Agenda

- NASA's highest priority goals for the 2nd Gen RLV Program are improved safety and reduced cost to orbit
  - 10x cheaper, < $1000/lb of payload to orbit
  - 100x safer, < 1/10,000 LOC

- Vehicle Subsystems Project is one of 9 Risk Reduction Projects in the 2nd Generation RLV Program

- Project key technologies first identified by the Industry/NASA Space Transportation Architecture Studies (STAS)

- Project key technology objectives are:
  - Robust, low-maintenance avionics with no active cooling requirements and autonomous rendezvous and docking systems
  - Low maintenance, high reliability, intelligent power systems
  - Low cost, low maintenance high horsepower actuation systems

Overview

- **Project objectives**
  - Consistent with system engineering processes --
  - Develop and demonstrate:
  - Advanced, integrated, micro avionics, autonomous
  - Rendezvous and docking, and mico-navigator systems
  - Advanced, lightweight, reliable power generation, energy storage, management and distribution systems
  - High power electric actuators and peak power storage devices
  - Other subsystems



- **Project Management Structure**



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - 2nd & 3rd Generation Vehicle Subsystems

Project Objectives & Management Structure



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - 2nd & 3rd Generation Vehicle Subsystems

Vehicle Subsystems Roadmap

Avionics

Mark King (Lead)	MSFC
Jim Miller	MSFC
Bill Espinosa	GRC
Brad Flick	DFRC
David Jih	JSC
Bill Kahle	ARC/MSFC
Wayne Schober	JPL

Power

Nang Pham (Lead)	GRC
Mark Hoberecht	GRC
James Dolce	GRC
Norm Hagedorn	GRC
Steve Luna	MSFC
Lou Maus	MSFC
Jeff Brewer	MSFC

Actuators

Nang Pham (Lead)	GRC
Jim Dolce	GRC
Mary Ellen Roth	GRC
Landon Moore	JSC
Steve Ryan	MSFC
Brad Flick	DFRC
Steve Jensen	DFRC
Karla Bradley	JSC

Project Working Groups

Project/Element Contacts

	Phone	e-mail
Vehicle Subsystems Project		
Mike Skor	216-433-2286	Michael.Skor@grc.nasa.gov
Avionics		
Mark King	256-544-3413	mark.king@msfc.nasa.gov
Power and Actuators		
Nang Pham	216-433-6165	Nang.Pham@grc.nasa.gov

Technical Contacts

Avionics Architecture		
Jim Miller	256-544-3292	jim.miller@msfc.nasa.gov
Automated Rendezvous & Docking		
Fred Roe	256-544-3512	fred.roe@msfc.nasa.gov

Points of Contacts

Technical Contacts (cont.)

Avionics Hardware	phone	e-mail
Ricky Humphries	256-544-3575	ricky.humphries@msfc.nasa.gov
Avionics Software		
Tim Crumbley	256-544-5978	tim.crumbley@msfc.nasa.gov
Power Management and Distribution		
Jim Soeder	216-433-5328	James.F.Soeder@grc.nasa.gov
Jim Dolce	216-433-8052	James.L.Dolce@grc.nasa.gov
Fuel Cells		
Mark Hoberecht Mark.A.Hoberecht@grc.nasa.gov	216-433-5362	
Battery		
Norm Hagedorn Norm.H.Hagedorn@grc.nasa.gov	216-433-6157	
Actuators		
Mary Ellen Roth	216-433-6288	Mary.E.Roth@grc.nasa.gov
Linda Taylor	216-433-8478	Linda.M.Taylor@grc.nasa.gov

Vehicle Subsystems Project, 2nd Gen RLV Program

PEM Fuel Cell Project
(NASA-Led)

Mark Hoberecht GRC

Other Team Members

Karla Bradley	JSC
Patricia Gladney	KSC
Lou Maus	MSFC

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations"

- Develop advanced proton-exchange-membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) technology as a replacement for existing alkaline fuel cell (AFC) technology
  - Enhanced safety
  - Higher power
  - Longer life
  - Lower weight
  - Improved reliability and maintainability
  - Higher peak-to-nominal power capability
  - Compatibility with propulsion-grade reactants
  - Reduced ground and mission operations
  - Potential for significantly lower cost

- Assemble an experienced NASA team to direct the effort
  - Team members GRC (lead), JSC, KSC, and MSFC
  - No vendor is clear leader in developing PEMFC technology for space applications
  - NASA has significant space fuel cell experience with Shuttle
  - NASA can direct design efforts to guarantee future vendor competition
    - Modular powerplant approach
  - NASA has most direct access to evolving RLV requirements

Technology Goals and Objectives

- NASA first developed PEMFC technology for Gemini in the 1960's
  - Low power
  - Poor performance
  - Marginal reliability
  - High cost

- NASA developed AFC technology for Shuttle in the 1980's
  - Higher power
  - Excellent performance
  - High reliability
  - High cost

- Commercial market spent hundreds of millions of dollars in the 1990's to advance PEMFC technology for automotive and residential applications; technology spin-off *to* NASA
  - Very high power
  - Excellent performance
  - Very high reliability
  - Very low cost potential (similar to electronics and telecommunications industry)

Background

- NASA team (JSC, GRC, KSC) proposed PEMFC technology for Shuttle Upgrade Program in mid 1990's
  - JSC awarded study contracts to two potential vendors (AlliedSignal, IFC)
  - PEMFC technology showed greatest long-term benefits
  - Improved AFC technology selected because of lower up-front costs to modify AFC than replace entire fleet with PMCFC

- GRC teamed with AlliedSignal (now Honeywell) on successful PEMFC stack development proposal for RLV; part of NRA 8-21 in 1998
  - 26-month effort
  - Component development
  - Stack design
  - Final end-product: 5-kW, 30-V modular PEMFC stack

Background Continued

- Safety/Reliability
  - Reduced hazardous materials in fuel cell stack (no KOH, no asbestos)
  - Reduced critical failure modes (hydrogen over-pressurization, electrolyte wash-out)
  - Graceful performance degradation

- Durability/Supportability
  - Elimination of inherent corrosion
  - Reduced ground servicing from enhanced IVHM

- Cost
  - Total DDT&E cost estimated at $20 - $30 million for PEMFC (TRL level 4 to 8)
  - Projected flight powerplant costs: PEMFC < half AFC
  - Evolving and highly competitive commercial market to drive down future stack costs
  - Reduced life-cycle costs
    - Longer life powerplants
    - Improved logistics (bench-top maintenance)

Benefits (PEMFC vs. AFC Technologies)

- PEMFC commercial vendors nearing market readiness for H_2/air systems
  - Full production for automotive model vehicle line by mid-decade
  - Residential fuel cell unit within several years

- Commercial PEMFC technology not directly suitable for space applications
  - Water management issues in zero-g environment
  - Materials compatibility issues for pure O_2 reactant

- ERAST program developing regenerative fuel cell (RFC) energy storage based on PEM fuel cell and water electrolysis technology
  - RFC is enabling energy storage technology for high-altitude aircraft and Lunar/Mars bases because of long cycle times (e.g. 12 hrs. daylight/ 12 hrs. darkness)
  - Team members Dryden – lead, GRC, and AeroVironment

- NRA 8-21 PEMFC stack development nearing completion

- NASA-led PEMFC powerplant development proposal selected for 2nd Gen RLV Program

Current Status

- NRA 8-21 PEMFC stack development nearing completion
  - Successful component development
    - Membrane/electrode assemblies, bipolar plates, current collectors
  - Characterization testing of 5-kW modular stack underway
    - Pure O_2 performance ≥ air performance



  - Life testing to be incorporated into 2nd Gen RLV Program
    - Integrated stack/ancillary hardware test at JSC

Major Accomplishments

- NASA-led PEMFC powerplant development proposal selected for 2nd Gen RLV Program
  - Experienced NASA team (GRC – lead, JSC, KSC, MSFC)
  - NASA develops system requirements and design specifications
  - Contract awards allow vendor competition for hardware development
    - Prototype powerplant advances TRL from 4 to 5; two contract awards
    - Engineering model powerplant advances TRL from 5 to 6; single contract award
  - NASA conducts independent testing of vendor hardware



Plans

- NASA PEMFC team
  - GRC
    - Mark Hoberecht, 216-433-5362, mark.a.hoberecht@grc.nasa.gov
  - JSC
    - Karla Bradley, 281-483-6019, karla.f.bradley1@jsc.nasa.gov
  - KSC
    - Patricia Gladney, 321-861-3927, patricia.gladney-1@ksc.nasa.gov
  - MSFC
    - Lou Maus, 256-544-0484, louis.c.maus@msfc.nasa.gov

Points of Contact

THANK YOU

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations"

Mini Magnetospheric Plasma Propulsion (M2P2)

Space Transportation Technology Workshop or Section Title:

- The M2P2 concept is based on the Transfer of momentum from the solar wind to an artificial magnet field structure like that naturally occurs at all magnetized Planets in the solar system, called a Magnetosphere.



- Demonstrate artificial magnetospheric inflation through cold plasma filling in vacuum.
- Demonstrate deflection of a surrogate solar wind by an artificial magnetosphere in the laboratory vacuum chamber.
- Compare theoretical calculations for thrust forces with laboratory measurements.
- Develop flight control algorithms for planning mission specific trajectories.
- Develop M2P2 system concept

Technology Goals and Objectives

Space Transportation Technology Workshop or Section Title:

Space Transportation Technology Workshop or Section Title:

- Two experiment campaigns were completed in FY'00:
  - Two weeks in July and two weeks in September.

- Experiments were conducted in the MSFC Test Area 300 large vacuum chamber, which is a cylinder 20 feet in diameter and 35 feet long, oriented vertically

- Work was performed in partnership with the University of Washington, with support from the University of Alabama, Southwest Research Institute, and Arnold Air Force Base personnel.

Current Status

Space Transportation Technology Workshop or Section Title:

Current Status

- A dipole magnetic field line inflation of almost a factor of 30 was demonstrated, in a laboratory vacuum chamber, limited by chamber size

- The M2P2 plasma filled magnetic bubble was shown to push against the Earth's magnetic field and against an artificial solar wind.

- Even in an otherwise collisional plasma regime, plasma confinement within the M2P2 magnetic bubble was observed to a distance approximately 30 times the magnetic coil radius.

- Plasma density and temperature measurements were performed near the M2P2 device and in the magnetic equatorial plane a multiple distances from the device.

- Initial estimates for possible M2P2 radiation shielding were obtained

Space Transportation Technology Workshop or Section Title:

Major Accomplishments

- Quantitatively measure force exerted on the M2P2 magnetic bubble by the Earth's magnetic field and by an artificial solar wind
- Measure (quantitatively) in situ plasma and magnetic field conditions simultaneously at multiple locations through the M2P2 magnetic field structure
- Extend modeling of M2P2 radiation shielding properties
- Create a technology roadmap for the development of a flight M2P2 propulsion system

- Dr. Dennis Gallagher
  - MSFC/SD50
  - Phone: 256-544-7587
  - Dennis.gallagher@msfc.nasa.gov

- Dr. Robert Winglee
  - University of Washington
  - Phone: 206-685-8160
  - Winglee@geophys.washington.edu

Con. Paper / IN 16

325

13P

Integrated Vehicle Health Management for the 2nd Generation RLV Program

October 11,2000
Marshal L. Merriam
Ames Research Center

mmerriam@mail.arc.nasa.gov (650) 604-4737

- 2nd Generation RLV Program
- 3rd Generation RLV Program
- The NASA X-37 IVHM Flight Experiment
- Propulsion and Power IVHM
- Integrated Vehicle Health Management (IVHM) Activity at Kennedy Space Center
- IVHM Technology at JPL
- Structures IVHM for 3rd Generation RLVs
- IVHM Systems Engineering and Integration

Session Overview

Space Launch Initiative Goals

- Commercial Convergence – Flying on Privately Owned and Operated Launch Vehicles
- Competition - bringing innovation and new ideas to bear
- Assured Access – ensuring alternate means of getting to space despite launch mishaps
- The Ability to Evolve – adding new capabilities affordably as new mission needs emerge

2nd Generation RLV Program Goals

- Safety – Fewer than 1 loss of crew incident every 10,000 flights
- Reliability – Fewer than 1 loss of vehicle every 1,000 flights
- Cost – Less than $1000/lb payload cost to low earth orbit

Collect, process, and integrate information about the health of a launch system including the vehicle, subsystems, components, sensors, and ground support systems to make informed decisions and take appropriate actions to ensure the success of a mission



The Union of Advanced Hardware and Software -
Providing higher reliability, with greater robustness, at lower costs

Integrated Vehicle Health Management
IVHM

Propulsion/Engine/OMS

- Automated Data Analysis
- Condition based maintenance
- Advanced Real-time Anomaly Detection
- Advanced Instrumentation -MEMS, Hi temp, plum spec, high freq
- On-Board Automated Leak detection

Thermal Protection

- MEMs Temp/Pressure Sensors for Overgap Filling
- Real-Time Smart TPS Diagnostic SW

Airframe Structure

- Flight Fiber Optic (FO) Tunable Lasers
- Real-time Dynamic FO Measurements
- Acoustic Emission/Acoustic Ultrasound
- Advanced low/high temp sensitivity

Crew System

- Crew Monitoring/Diagnostic Systems
- Human-centered computing (HCC) Crew Smart Sensor Algorithms







Avionics

- Non-intrusive high response measurements of Pressure, Temp, Strain, Acceleration
- Fiber Optic Network Management SW
- Wireless High Speed Data Mgmt
- Multi-tasked/cause-effect data mining
- Multi-use Smart Sensor Algorithms
- Distributed decision-making software
- Vehicle and Subsystem MBR Tech
- Automated feature recognition
- Real-time fault prediction software
- Reprogrammable/Reconfigurable FDIR
- Automated software V&V technologies.
- Auto mission planners/schedulers

Ground System

- Advanced Launch/Mission Diagnostics/Prog
- Automated ground-based maintenance planners/schedulers/work order generator
- Facility Automated Leak detection Hand-Held Portable Maintenance Aid HW
- Automated TPS/Airframe NDE/NDI

Integrated Vehicle Health Management

Some IVHM Technologies



Milestones

Industry Lead Tasks	Commercial Req'ts Baselined	IVHM Systems Integration Plan	IVHM System Simulations Initiated		HWIL/SWIL Bench Top Testing Initiated	HWIL/SWIL Subsystem Testing Initiated
	Verification Data Defined	Ground Test Plan Defined	Flight Exp'ts Defined	Qualification Data and out-year Plans	IVHM System Sims Downselected	Qualification Data and Metric Evaluation

Integrated Vehicle Health Management

Roadmap



Integrated Vehicle Health Management

Requirements Flowdown (TA-5.1)



Integrated Vehicle Health Management

Interface Definitions TA-5.2

- The introduction of IVHM will dramatically increase the amount of software required. Overall viability of IVHM may hinge on developing the ability to write and flight certify this software more cheaply than present practice, but with no compromise on safety. How can we do this?

  - Formal Methods- Provable adherence to standards and requirements
  - Agency Best Practices – Example: Shuttle software team
  - Software Health Monitoring – On board monitoring of software state. Internal consistency checks against test data. Redlines.
  - Automated verification strategies. Use of Cardinality.
  - Fault Tolerant software.
  - Automated software generation environments

Software Costs TA-5.3



Integrated Vehicle Health Management

Requirements Definition (TA-5.4)



Integrated Vehicle Health Management

Requirements Verification & Testing (TA-5.4)



Milestones

Industry Lead Tasks	Commercial Req'ts Baselined	IVHM Systems Integration Plan	IVHM System Simulations Initiated		HWIL/SWIL Bench Top Testing Initiated	HWIL/SWIL Subsystem Testing Initiated
	Verification Data Defined	Ground Test Plan Defined	Flight Exp'ts Defined	Qualification Data and out-year Plans	IVHM System Sims Downselected	Qualification Data and Metric Eval

Integrated Vehicle Health Management

Roadmap

Technical Area	Name	Phone
2nd Gen Manager	Bill Kahle	256-544-3225
Propulsion	Jim Snoddy June Zakrajsek	256 544-4972 216-977-7470
TPS	Carol Carroll	650-604-0267
Systems Engineering	Kevin Flynn Dave Squires	650-604-4062 650-604-0072
Avionics	Anthony Kelly	256-544-7646
Launch Operations Mission Operations	Jack Fox Ron Cobbs	321-867-4413 281-483-5894
Vehicle Power	June Zakrajsek	216-977-7470
Structures	Bob Rogowski	757 864-4990
System IVHM	Tom Gormley	650-604-1831
Flight Planning	Keith Schweikhard	661-276-3411

IVHM Interfaces

5-6

10p

IVHM for the 3rd Generation RLV Program –Technology Development

Bill Kahle
Ames Research Center

william.kahle@msfc.nasa.gov (256) 544-3225

♦ Project Objectives:

Develop and integrate the technologies which can provide a continuous, intelligent, and adaptive health state of a vehicle and use this information to improve safety and reduce costs of operations.

♦ Technology Objectives:

- Develop, validate, and transfer next generation IVHM technologies to near term industry and government reusable launch systems.
- Focus NASA on the next generation and highly advanced sensor and software technologies
- Validate IVHM systems engineering design process for future programs





Integrated Vehicle Health Management

IVHM Project

Global Civil Aviation



Reduce Accident Rates, 10x

Increase System Throughput, 3x

Reduce Cost of Air Travel by 50%



Reduce Emissions, 5x

Reduce Noise, 4x



Revolutionary Technology Leaps

Reduce Trans-oceanic Travel time by 50%

Invigorate GA 20K units Annually

Cut Development Cycle Time in Half



Access to Space



Reduce Launch Cost to LEO, 100x by 2020

Reduce In-Space Transport cost, 10x by 2022

IVHM Objectives

- *Safety*
- *Reliability*
- *Mission Assurance*
- *Reduced Maintenance Costs*
- *Efficient Vehicle Turn-Around*

IVHM Methodologies

- *Sensor Technology*
- *Information Technology*
- *Communication Technology*

Integrated Vehicle Health Management

IVHM Support of NASA Pillars and Goals



- Safe structures design technologies
- Advanced mat, fab, mfg and asbly
- Aero/Aerothermodyn tools rapid des
- Integrated design environment
- RLV crew interface technolgy
- Nonlinear airframe dynamics
- Cryotank structures
- Structurally integrated avionics
- Hot and cooled airframe structures
- Aerodynamic perf & cntrl via morph
- Airframe design and databasing
- Avionics IVHM
- Power IVHM
- Ground segment IVHM
- SE&I IVHM
- Structure IVHM
- Propulsion IVHM
- Advanced checkout and control
- Intelligent instrumentation and inspe
- On-site demand
- Umbillicals
- Payload systems technology
- Integrated storage and recovery
- Zero-loss transfer
- MagLev development
- HC TSTO RBCC Airbreather
- NPSS for space trans prop (ISE,IAEE
- H2 SSTO RBCC Airbreather
- Long life high T/W HC ROCKET
- Long life light weight prop mat & sti
- Information rich test intstrumentatic
- PDEBCC Rocket
- TSTO TBCC airbreather
- PDEBCC Airbreather
- SSTO TBCC airbreather
- High performance hydrocarbon
- Long life high T/W H2 ROCKET
- Propulsion life prediction
- High (better than densified) density H
- Green mono prop RCS
- Integrated propulsion mgt system
- Decision support models
- Weather instrumentation systems
- Space based range
- Spaceport range systems
- Sharp Body TPS demo (Sharp L1)
- Develop adaptive intelligent/ IVHM s
- Quick change-out TPS
- Highly reusable TPS
- Quick TPS inspection
- ISE tool development for TPS life cy

3rd Generation RLV Technology Ratings

Collect, process, and integrate information about the health of a launch system including the vehicle, subsystems, components, sensors, and ground support systems to make informed decisions and take appropriate actions to ensure the success of a mission



The Union of Advanced Hardware and Software -
Providing higher reliability, with greater robustness, at lower costs

Integrated Vehicle Health Management
IVHM

Core Technologies (ARC)
Information Technologies
Sensors
Communications



Propulsion IVHM
GRC and MSFC



Systems Engineering and Integration IVHM
ARC

Power IVHM
GRC

Avionics IVHM
MSFC





Structures IVHM
LaRC



Ground IVHM
KSC



Thermal Protection Systems IVHM
ARC

Integrated Vehicle Health Management

IVHM Elements



Integrated Vehicle Health Management

IVHM Level II Roadm



Key Tasks

- Component/ Subsystem Demo
- Integrated Demo
- **Flight Demo**
- Foundation Technologies
- Companion System Definition

Integrated Vehicle Health Management

IVHM Roadmap

- **Advice**
  - **Scope - Develop a university and university sponsored research institute team to act as a peer review for project and program strategies and tactical planning**
  - **Initial discussions held with a few universities. Others to follow.**
  - **Continue to leverage activities of the IVHM National Team to survey and gain access to the best ideas from universities.**
- **Collaboration**
  - **Scope - Universities identified as contributors in IVHM Projects:**
    - Smart, Self Healing Sensory Systems
    - Self Learning, Self Correcting Propulsion Systems
    - Structures IVHM
- **The project office is seeking new partnerships with the academic community.**

University Partnerships



Integrated Vehicle Health Management

ARC Is Coordinating IVHM For Space Transportation



The NASA IVHM Technology Experiment for X-37

Mark Schwabacher
NASA Ames Research Center

Space Transportation Technology IVHM Session

- Long-term goal: Reduce cost and increase reliability of space transportation
- Demonstrate benefits of in-flight IVHM to the operation of a Reusable Launch Vehicle
- Advance this IVHM technology to Technology Readiness Level ~7 within a flight environment
- Operate IVHM software on the Vehicle Management Computer

Technology Goals and Objectives

- Unpiloted
- Reusable
- 27.5 feet long
- Mission:
  - launch from Shuttle's cargo bay
  - orbit Earth 21 days
  - De-orbit and land on runway autonomously
- First flight in 2002
- Being built by Boeing for NASA MSFC

Background: X-37

- Livingstone automates system-level fault diagnosis
- Qualitative, Model-based Reasoning
  - Searches system-wide interactions to detect and isolate failures
  - Eliminates 'hardwiring' pre-defined set of failures
  - Updating and verifying the model is straightforward
  - Streamlines development and maximizes code reusability
- Accomplishment: Successfully flown on Deep Space One
- Also scheduled to fly on X-34





Background: Livingstone



Space Transportation Technology IVHM Session

Livingstone Model Example from DS-1



Space Transportation Technology IVHM Session

Experiment Overview

- Monitor and diagnose:
  - Electro-Mechanical Actuators (EMA) for control surfaces
  - Associated Electrical Power System components
- Real-time fault detection and isolation
- Diagnosis, not prognosis
- Shadow mode only (no reconfiguration commands)
- Generate advisory recommendations for ground ops

X-37 IVHM Scope



Space Transportation Technology IVHM Session

Stanley Interface to Livingstone Model



Space Transportation Technology IVHM Session

Right Ruddervator Actuator Detail



Space Transportation Technology IVHM Session

Motor state diagram



Space Transportation Technology IVHM Session

Inferred Nominal State



Space Transportation Technology IVHM Session

Inferred Failure

- The IVHM Experiment's outputs will be provided as inputs to the X-37 Informed Maintenance (IM) Experiment
- The IM software will run in the X-37 ground station, processing IVHM Experiment flight data in real-time
- IM Experiment is being performed by NASA KSC and Boeing
- The IM Experiment's goal is to reduce the cost and time needed to maintain the vehicle

X-37 Informed Maintenance Experiment

- During the second orbital mission, we will use simulated faults to demonstrate the IVHM software's ability to diagnose them

Simulated Faults

- Livingstone ported from LISP to C++ under VxWorks
- Preliminary design of Interface with Boeing software completed
- Knowledge of X-37 subsystems gained from Boeing experts
- First subset of X-37 model completed

Current Status

- Limited vehicle resources available to IVHM
  - CPU
  - Memory
  - Telemetry
  - May need to descope experiment to fit resource constraints
- Rigorous software safety standards

Challenges

- 03/01/01: Deliver IVHM Ver1 Software to Boeing for B-52-based autonomous approach and landing tests
- 03/01/02: Deliver IVHM Ver2 Software to Boeing for orbital flights

Upcoming Milestones

- **Mina Cappuccio**: X-37 L3 PM & Expt Programmatic Lead, mcappuccio@arc.nasa.gov, 650-604-1313
- **Jeff Samuels**: Technical Lead, jsamuels@arc.nasa.gov, 650-604-4235
- **Mark Schwabacher**: Software Lead, mark.schwabacher@arc.nasa.gov, 650-604-4274
- **Scott Poll, Kevin Carbajal**: X-37 Models & Monitors
- **Scott Christa, Benoit Hudson**: Software Integration & Test
- **Dan Clancy, Jim Kurien**: Consulting

People and Contact Information

Charles Schafer
TD40
Marshall Space Flight Center, Alabama 35812
256-544-1642
Charles.Schafer@msfc.nasa.gov

Space Transportation Technology Workshop or Section Title:

Electromagnetic Propulsion

- Overview

  Specific Electromagnetic Propulsion Topics

    Technology for Pulse Inductive Thruster

    Flight Weight Magnet Survey

    Magnetic Flux Compression

- Summary

- **Technology goals and objectives**
  - **Revisit PIT technology and design, build, and test a multi-repetition rate pulsed inductive thruster.**
  - **Solid-State Switch Technology**
    - High repetition rate and extreme long lifetime
    - High peak currents and high/rapid initial current rise time
  - **Pulse Driver Network and Architecture**
    - Recovery of reflected energy
    - Pulse shape control for optimum pulse waveform
- **Background**
  - **Research history since 70's at TRW**
  - **Characterized by μ-second, MW-power pulsed operation providing high thrust efficiency over a wide range of specific impulse.**
  - **Single-shot spark gap operation**
    - Severe lifetime limitations
    - Multi-rep rate operation severely limited
    - Require gaseous working medium to enable hig
    - Require extreme simultaneous discharge trigge operation



- **Current status**
  - **PIT Performance Characteristics**
    - Spec. Impulse: 2,000-8,000 s
    - Efficiency: 20-50%
    - Single shot operation using spark gaps
      - Initial Rise Time in one switch: **dI/dt=30kA/μ**
      - Peak Current: **15kA**
  - **Solid-State Switch Technology**
    - SCR: 5 kV, 4.6 kA, dI/dt=20 kA/μs
    - IGBT: 15 kV, 3kA, 10's of kHz



- **Major accomplishments**
  - **Designed two test circuits to conduct testing of key parameters**
  - **Procured test equipment and circuit components**
  - **Identified manufacturers to supply high-power solid-state switch technology**
- **Near term plans**
  - **Test and evaluate candidate switch components**

FLIGHT WEIGHT MAGNETICS

MAJOR RESEARCH GOALS:

- **Determine/develop light weight high performance magnetic materials. for potential application Advanced Space Flight Systems as these systems develop.**

MAJOR ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

- **Literature searches resulted in selection of Ultra Pure Aluminum to fabricate an electromagnet to generate a pulsed magnetic field in a cryogenic temperature environment . This selection was based on density, temperature-dependant and residual resistivity, as well as magneto-resistance characteristics.**
- **Acquired magnetic pressure equations (stress analysis).**
- **Located experienced source for electromagnet fabrication and testing**

STATUS:

- **A grant is currently in place with Louisiana State University (LSU) to construct a 99.999% Purity Aluminum solenoid. Will be delivered to MSFC after fabrication and testing is completed.**

FLIGHT WEIGHT MAGNETICS

MILESTONES:

November 2000

- **Solenoid to be completed by LSU.**
- **Testing at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory (NHMFL) in Tallahassee, FL. which includes:**
  - **Magneto-resistance recorded while exposed to externally applied steady state magnetic fields up to 20 Tesla and temperatures ranging from 4 to 300 Kelvin.**

December 2000

- **Testing at the NHMFL in Los Alamos, NM. which includes:**
- **Pulsed excitation to field maximum of 2 Tesla.**
- **Solenoid and cryogenic system temperature recorded during excitation.**
- **Measurements of the total solenoid resistance, inductance, and stress/stain before, during, after each excitation.**

January 2001

- **Solenoid and all data delivered to MSFC.**

Electromagnetic Propulsion – Magnetic Flux Compression Reactors

Goals ...

Enable rapid/robust/reliable omni-planetary space transportation within realistic development and operational costs constraints

Objectives ...

10× improvement propulsion capability
- high thrust-to-weight ratio
- high specific impulse
- high Δv maneuvering

Abundant spacecraft electrical power
- deep space capability (non-solar)
- high capacity (multi-MW)
- high specific power (> 10 kW/kg)

Technical Challenges ...

Demonstrate the feasibility of pulsed magnetic flux compression reactor concepts using detonation plasma

Research and develop flightweight pulsed magnet technologies based on ultra conductors and superconductors

Fundamental plasmadynamics research
- electrical conductivity / R_m
- interactions with magnetic field
- plasma instabilities
- magnetic flux diffusion
- plasma turnaround

Fundamental magnetics research
- high purity aluminum windings
- type I / type II SC windings
- HTSC flux compression surfaces
- lightweight structure issues
- pulsed operation issues

Approach ...

Develop and test a moderate scale (1/2-m) prototype flux compression reactor using non-nuclear plasma detonation source

Rationale

Plasma micro-detonation flux compression reactors ...

- amenable to propulsion & electrical power reactor concepts
  - high jet power / multi-megajoule energy bursts
  - inductive energy storage / pulse power for ignition driver
  - production of spacecraft bus power
- compatible with advanced target concepts
  - inertial confinement fusion (ICF)
  - magnetized target fusion (MTF)
  - high energy density chemical detonations
- low-weight / compact / low-cost

... capable of satisfying omni-planetary exploration goals

Magnetic Flux Compression Reactor Principles

Energy Conversion Processes

chemical/nuclear → kinetic → electrical → kinetic

Principle of Operation

- detonation charge transformed into kinetic energy of moving conductor
- magnetic seed field is trapped and compressed by moving conductor
- kinetic energy is temporarily stored in rapidly compressed magnetic field
- electrical power can be extracted inductively through loaded circuit
- compressed field energy reverses conductor motion and returns kinetic energy

Global Energy Conservation

> 80%

Space Transportation Technology Workshop or Section Title:

conversion efficiency

Major Research Tasks

- Modeling of reactor performance
  - first order performance analyses
  - MHD code development
  - finite element model of coupled circuits

- Investigation of pulsed magnetic fields on HTSC materials
  - laboratory measurements of magnetic diffusion properties
  - validation of magnetic diffusion model

- Basic plasma physics experiments
  - fundamental flux compression experiment
  - inductive measurement of plasma jet electrical conductivity
  - plasma jet collisional processes
  - validation of MHD codes
  - Rayleigh-Taylor instability (revisited)

- Flightweight pulsed magnet technology
  - high purity aluminum winding magnet
  - superconductor winding magnet

Key Summary Points

- magnetic flux compression suitable for spacecraft propulsion & power
  - enables omniplanetary exploration
  - multimegawatt energy bursts
  - terawatt power bursts
  - pulse power for low impedance dense plasma devices
  - direct thrust production
- innovative design strategy
  - detonation plasma armature
  - type-II superconductor stator
  - intermittent firing capability
- constrains weight and size of overall system
- inductive storage pulse power source
- near-term (≈18 month) scientific feasibility program
- concept based on feasible extrapolations of current technology

529

49P

Advanced Chemical Propulsion

S. Don Bai

don.bai@msfc.nasa.gov

Propulsion Research Center (TD-40)

Space Transportation Directorate

Marshall Space Flight Center /NASA

Huntsville, AL 35812

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations"

- **Revolutionary Rockets**
- **Advanced Fuels and High Energy Density Materials**
  - **Strained Ring Hydrocarbons (Bai/TD40)**
  - **Azide Fuel (US Army - Bai/TD40)**
  - **Solid Hydrogen**
  - **Recombination Energy Fuels (Palaszewski /GRC)**
    - Penta Nitrogen (Palaszewski /GRC)
    - Poly-Oxygen (Palaszewski /GRC)
    - Metallized and Gelled Fuels (Palaszewski /GRC)
    - Powdered Aluminum Combustion (Litchford/TD15)
- **Launch Assist**
  - **Cannons, Balloons, Aerial Refueling, Catapults, etc (Nolen/ED31, Jones/TD40)**

Agenda

- Identify and develop advanced chemical propellants
  - Hydrocarbons for LEO propulsion
  - Monopropellants or Cryogenic propellants for upper stages
- Improve access to space capability
  - Smaller vehicle
  - larger payload
  - low cost

Advanced Fuels Development Objective & Goal

- **Revolutionary Rockets**
- **Advanced Fuels and High Energy Density Materials**
  - **Strained Ring Hydrocarbons (Bai/TD40)**
  - **Azide Fuel (US Army - Bai/TD40)**
  - **Solid Hydrogen**
  - **Recombination Energy Fuels (Palaszewski /GRC)**
    - Penta Nitrogen (Palaszewski /GRC)
    - Poly-Oxygen (Palaszewski /GRC)
    - Metallized and Gelled Fuels (Palaszewski /GRC)
    - Powdered Aluminum Combustion (Litchford/TD15)
- **Launch Assist**
  - **Cannons, Balloons, Aerial Refueling, Catapults, etc (Nolen/ED31, Jones/TD40)**

Agenda

- **Criteria for Fuel Selection**
  - **Predicts Better Performance (Isp) Over LOX/RP-1 System**
  - **Most Desirable Physical Properties**
    - Lower Vapor Pressure Compared to RP-1
    - Higher Density (≥ RP-1 = 0.801 g/mL)
    - Freezing Point (≤ -10 °C; RP-1 = -41.4 °C)
    - Boiling Point ≥ B. P. of RP-1
  - **Thermally Stable**
  - **Compatible with the Current System**

Strained Ring Hydrocarbons

Performance Screening Test

- Small Scale Combustion Test
  - ~50 pounds Thrust Hot Fire Tests (limited by amount Of fuels)
  - C* Efficiency
  - Isp
  - Material Comparability test
  - Initial Aging Studies

Initial Screening - 10 Grams

- Computational Chemistry
- Synthesis Routes
- Preliminary Characterization
  - Chemical, Physical, Hazard Properties
- Toxicity if Known?
- Synthesis Cost Evaluation

Development and Characterization

- Synthesis Scale-Up - 10 Pounds
  - Additional Characterization
    - Chemical, Physical, Hazard Properties
  - Formulation
  - Initial Aging Studies
  - Initial Compatibility
  - Initial Thermal Studies
  - Initial Toxicity Studies

Strained Ring Hydrocarbons

- **Structural Requirement for High Energy Contents**

  - **The energy contents can be increased by adding unsaturation in the molecule**

	$-(CH_2)-$	$CH_2=CH_2$	$HC\equiv CH$	
• $\Delta H_f/C$	~ **-5**	~ **6.25**	~ **27.1**	**Kcal/mole**

Fuels

1,5-Hexadiyne
ΔH_f = 91.8 Kcal/mole
= 1.18 Kcal/g
I_{sp} = 311.8 Sec



1,7-Octadiyne
ΔH_f = 79.9 Kcal/mole
= 0.75 Kcal/g
I_{sp} = 308.2 Sec

C8H10



Quadricyclane
ΔH_f = 72.2 Kcal/mole
= 0.78 Kcal/g
I_{sp} = 307 Sec

C7H8

Strained Ring Hydrocarbons





- **SUMMARY:**
  - **With cooperation with Air Force Research Laboratory and Army, the performances of advanced hydrocarbon fuels will be compared with a base fuel (RP-1).**
  - **The fuels are: Quadricyclane, bi-cyclo-propylidine, AFRL-1, 1,7 Octadiyne, AFRL-1 and Dimethyl amino ehtyl azide (DMAZ). The theoretical performance of these fuels indicates that all of these fuels have higher ISP than RP-1.**
  - **Principle Investigator:**
    - S. Don Bai - NASA/MSFC TD40, 256-544-9036 don.bai@msfc.nasa.gov

Strained Ring Hydrocarbon Fuel Testing

Project Team Members

♦ Project Manager	John Cole	TD-15
♦ System Engineer	Scott Jackson	TD-15
♦ MSFC PI	S. Don Bai	TD-40
♦ NASA/GRC		
♦ AFRL		

MSFC Test Team Members

♦ Test Requester	S. Don Bai	TD-40
♦ Test Project Engineer	Paul Dumbacher	TD-71
♦ Test Instrument Engineer	Smith/Wiley	TD-72
♦ Test Control Engineer	Gregory/Trieu	TD-73
♦ Material Testing	James Perkins	ED-36
♦ Safety	Rosalyn Patrick	QS-10

- **Test Requirements**
  - **Measure the performance parameters**
    - Chamber Pressure
    - Fuel & Oxidizer Flow Rate
      - Venturi upstream and downstream conditions.
    - Thrust
  - **Characteristic exhaust velocity (C*)**
    - Comparing relative performance of different chemical propellants.
  - **Specific Impulse Is**
    - Integrated thrust per mass over the time.

Theoretical Comparison



Estimated Performances



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Advanced Chemical Propulsion

Strained Ring Hydrocarbon Fuel Testing

- **Test Engine & Rig - Combustor**
  - **Designed & Fabricated by G. G. Industries (Scot Claflin) for MSFC.**
    - Overall Design
      - Propellants: LOX/kerosene - Modified for GOX/RP-1
      - Fuel flow rate: 0.08 lb/sec — Mixture Ratio : 2.0
      - Theoretical c*: 5890 ft/sec — c* efficiency: 90%
      - Thrust coefficient, Cf: 1.35 — Thrust: 52 lbs.





"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Advanced Chemical Propulsion

Strained Ring Hydrocarbon Fuel Testing

- **Test Rig**
  - **Originally Designed & Fabricated by Mason-Holodyne.**
  - **Extensive Modification by STD Technology Evaluation Department.**
    - Flexibility
    - Serviceable parts
    - Incorporation of MSFC expertise of testing
    - LOX system to GOX system
    - Instrumentation



Strained Ring Hydrocarbon Fuel Testing

Test Rig - Schematic Diagram



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Advanced Chemical Propulsion

Strained Ring Hydrocarbon Fuel Testing

- **Test Matrix**
  - **A successful test must go full duration, reach set point conditions for the chamber pressure, flow rate, etc, and involves the accurate measurements fuel and oxidizer flow rates, temperatures, chamber pressure, thrust and cooling water flow rate. Fuel will be switched from RP-1 tank to advanced fuel tank after ~12 seconds of ignition for Test 3 to Test 12. The cooling water flow rate is 0.65 #/sec. The cleaning of advanced fuel tank with Pentane is required after each different type of fuel in the test series. The test matrix is listed below.**

Run	Chamber Pc (psi)	GOX (#/sec)	Tank 1-RP-1 (#/sec)	Tank2	Tank 2 (#/sec)	Test Duration (sec)	
1	175	0.16	0.08	RP-1	0.08	Tbd	system check out
2	175	0.16	0.08	RP-1	0.08	Tbd	Ignition check out
3	175	0.16	0.08	RP-1	0.08	Tbd	Switching check out
4	175	0.16	0.08	RP-1	0.08	~20	Base Line Data
5	175	0.16	0.08	NF#1	0.08	~20	AF#1: Quadracycline
6	175	0.16	0.08	NF#1	0.08	~20	Repeat
7	175	0.16	0.08	RP-1	0.08	~20	AF#2 BCP
8	175	0.16	0.08	NF#2	0.08	~20	Repeat
9	175	0.16	0.08	NF#2	0.08	~20	AF #3 1,7 Octadiyne
10	175	0.16	0.08	RP-1	0.08	~20	Repeat
11	175	0.16	0.08	NF#3	0.08	~20	AF#4 Azide
12	175	0.16	0.08	NF#3	0.08	~20	Repeat

- **Pre-testing Activities**
  - **Valve Impact Tests at AFRL**
  - **Short Term Aging Study**
  - **Material Comparability Tests**
  - **Dynamic Load Test of Thrust Measurement System**

- **Objectives:**
  - **To test the detonation nature of the control valve while actuating it with the following advance HEDM fuels; Bicyclopropylidene, Cyclopropylacetylene 1,7-Octadiyne.**
- **Conclusions/Recommendation:**
  - **Based on the results from this test, the HEDM fuels; Bicyclopropylidene, Cyclopropylacetylene, and 1,7-Octadiyne should not have any reactions with different Marotta valves used on the NASA/Marshall.**



Valve Impact Tests at AFRL

Handling of Fuels require personal protective equipment

	Quadracyclane Lot #1001	Bicyclopropylidene (aged)	1,7 Octadiyne 99%	Dimethyl-2-Azido Ethylamine	AFRL-1	RP-1 Fuel
Alumel Ribbon	Strong Odor No visible reaction	Very Strong Odor No visible Reaction Did form residue	Some Odor No visible Reaction	Not a very strong odor No visible Reaction	Very strong odor No visible Reaction	Some Odor No visible reaction
Chromel Ribbon	Strong Odor No visible Reaction	Very Strong Odor No visible Reaction Did form residue	Some Odor No visible Reaction	Not a very strong odor No visible Reaction	Very strong odor No visible Reaction	Some Odor No visible reaction
Magnesium Oxide Core	Strong Odor No visible Reaction	Very Strong Odor No visible Reaction Did form residue	Some Odor No visible Reaction	Not a very strong odor No visible Reaction		Some Odor No visible reaction
Krytox 240AC	Strong Odor No visible Reaction	Very Strong Odor No visible Reaction Did form residue	Some Odor No visible Reaction	Not a very strong odor No visible Reaction		Some Odor No visible reaction
Sauerisen Cement	Strong Odor No visible Reaction	Very Strong Odor No visible Reaction Did form residue	Some Odor No visible Reaction	Not a very strong odor No visible Reaction	Very strong odor No visible Reaction	Some Odor No visible reaction
E Constantan	Strong Odor No visible Reaction	Very Strong Odor No visible Reaction Did form residue	Some Odor No visible Reaction	Not a very strong odor No visible Reaction	Very strong odor No visible Reaction	Some Odor No visible reaction



Advanced Fuel Propulsion Test Article Thrust Measurement Assembly with Shaker Attached

Figure 1.

Dynamic Load Test of Thrust Measurement System



- **Specific Gravity Ratio Quadricyclane / RP-1 = 1.17**
- **Cstar efficiency (~1.8%) & Isp(~5 sec) are better than RP-1**

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Advanced Chemical Propulsion

Test Results: Quadricyclane



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Advanced Chemical Propulsion

Preliminary Test Result: 1,7 Octadiyne



Preliminary Test Result: AFRL-1



	RP-1		Quadricyclane		1,7 Octadiyne
Mixture Ratio	1.9	2.04	*1.94*	*2.15*	2.1
Cstar(Theory)	5887(100%)	6020(100%)	6017(100%)	5942(100%)	6000(100%)
Cstar(Experiment)	5348(90.8%)	5414(90%)	5456(90.7%)	5476(92.1%)	5503(91.7%)
Isp(Theory)	273.1(100%)	279.1(100%)	279.4(100%)	275.7(100%)	278.5(100%)
Isp(Experiment)	186.7(68.4%)	194.8(69.8%)	194.5(69.6%)	197.7(71.7%)	193.3(69.4%)

Preliminary Test Result

- BCP
- DMAZ
- AFRL-?
- LM-1 ?
- RG-1 ?

Fuels To Be Tested

Future R&D with AFRL

- Additional Compatibility Studies
  - Screening with More Materials
    - Polymers, Elastomers, Metals,
- Decomposition Studies
  - Gas Phase
  - Liquid Phase
- Additional Toxicity
  - Long Term Studies + 90 Day
- Environmental Studies
- Ignition / Combustion Studies
  - Gas Phase
  - Liquid Phase
  - WSR
  - Ignition Delay
  - Combustion Gases
- Refined Synthesis Cost Estimate
  - Number of Steps in Reaction
  - Cost of Materials
  - Heat Transfer - Heat or Cooling Issues
  - Waste Disposal
- System Analysis
  - Studies with Existing Systems
- Logistics
  - Transportation Costs
  - Storage Costs
  - Handling Costs
  - Disposal Costs
- Medium Scale Combustor - 1000 Pounds
  - (NASA/DOD/Industry)
  - Flame Temperature
  - Flow Rates - Pressure - Volume
  - Isp - Delivered
  - XXXXX.....

- **Revolutionary Rockets**
- **Advanced Fuels and High Energy Density Materials**
  - **Strained Ring Hydrocarbons (Bai/TD40)**
  - **Azide Fuel (US Army - Bai/TD40)**
  - **Solid Hydrogen**
    - Recombination Energy Fuels (Palaszewski /GRC)
    - Penta Nitrogen (Palaszewski /GRC)
    - Poly-Oxygen (Palaszewski /GRC)
    - Metallized and Gelled Fuels (Palaszewski /GRC)
    - Powdered Aluminum Combustion (Litchford/TD15)
- **Launch Assist**
  - **Cannons, Balloons, Aerial Refueling, Catapults, etc (Nolen/ED31, Jones/TD40)**

Agenda

Azide Fuel (DMAZ)

DOD/US Army/AF - NASA Project
Initiated for an alternate fuel to Hydrazine
NASA/White Sands Test Facility funded
NASA/MSFC will test as a bipropellant fuel



Darren M. Thompson
U.S. Army AMCOM
AMSAM-PS-RD-R
(256)955-8556, Fax: (256)955-7748
darren.thompson@redstone.army.mil

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations"

NASA Glenn Research Center Tasks

- Create highest specific impulse atomic rocket propellants with atomic boron, carbon, or hydrogen in solid hydrogen particles
- Mass and Energy Balance for Solid Hydrogen and Atomic Propellants: Complete solid hydrogen freezing experiments with improved mass flow rate measurements and estimates of energy for hydrogen freezing
  - the quality (temperature, pressure, and state) and flow rate of the hydrogen delivered to the liquid helium dewar would be quantified,
  - the temperature profile in the liquid helium dewar would be more accurately known, and
  - the quality (temperature and pressure) and composition of the LHe dewar vent gases would be determined
- Plan for completion of project in 4th quarter of FY 2001, with AIAA paper documenting the test series results
- Contact - Bryan Palaszewski, NASA GRC, (216) 977-7493

- **Revolutionary Rockets**
- **Advanced Fuels and High Energy Density Materials**
  - **Strained Ring Hydrocarbons (Bai/TD40)**
  - **Azide Fuel (US Army - Bai/TD40)**
  - **Solid Hydrogen**
    - Recombination Energy Fuels (Palaszewski /GRC)
    - Penta Nitrogen (Palaszewski /GRC)
    - Poly-Oxygen (Palaszewski /GRC)
    - Metallized and Gelled Fuels (Palaszewski /GRC)
    - Powdered Aluminum Combustion (Litchford/TD15)
- **Launch Assist**
  - **Cannons, Balloons, Aerial Refueling, Catapults, etc (Nolen/ED31, Jones/TD40)**

Agenda

- Vision
  - Atomic propellant offer large potential increases in rocket specific impulse (Isp)
  - Isp increases of hundreds of seconds over O2 /H2 are theoretically possible
  - Isp increases can reduce vehicle gross liftoff weight (GLOW) up to 80%
  - A long history of research has opened new possibilities to harness atomic fuels
  - Cryogenic storage temperatures of 4 K or lower are required

Specific Impulse (Isp) Map for Atomic Hydrogen



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Advanced Chemical Propulsion

Atomic Propellants

- **Revolutionary Rockets**
  - **PDRE Development Project (Ryan/TD51)**
    - Pulse Detonation Engine / Pulse Detonation Rocket Engine
      - PDRE Bench Unit (Litchford/TD15)
      - Revolutionary Concept (REVCON) PDE (Hueter/TD15)
      - PDE Performance Code Development (Seymour/TD53)
    - Advanced Ejector (Blevins/TD40)
    - Air Augmented Aerospike CFD Analyses (Garcia/TD64)
    - Deeply Cooled Air Rocket Engine (Bai/TD40)
    - Liquid Air Combustion Engine(LACE) (Bai/TD40)
    - Multiple Reaction HE Explosive (Bonometti/TD40)
- **Advanced Fuels and High Energy Density Materials**

Agenda

- Pulse Detonation Engine / Pulse Detonation Rocket Engine
  - PDE Concept
  - NASA MSFC PDRE Activities
  - Office of Naval Research's Multi-University Research Initiative on Pulse Detonation Engines



- Detonation process is self pressurizing
- High peak temperatures and pressures occur at microsecond time scales
- High cycle rates and multiple combustors produce even thrust



"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Advanced Chemical Propulsion

Pulse Detonation Propulsion Uses "Fill and Fire" Operations

- **Preliminary ASI tests are successfully demonstrating PDRE performance at 1 atm test condition**



Pulse Detonation Cycle May Provide Equivalent Performance for Significantly Reduced Injection Pressure

Potential to Lower Injection Pressure by factor of 3 at an Isp of 375 seconds

Decreased System Risk and Cost are Realized with Lower Injection Pressures

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Advanced Chemical Propulsion

PDRE Potential Based on Higher Thermodynamic Efficiency and a Self Pressurizing Process

ASI
ADROIT SYSTEMS INC.

Propellant Feed System

Control

Load Transfer

Cryogenic Injection

High-Speed Cryogenic Valves

Ignition

Condensed Phase Detonations

Combustor Structural Design

Back Pressurization

Thermal Protection System

Overarching Tasks:
- SE&I
- Performance Modeling

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Advanced Chemical Propulsion

PDRE Critical Technologies

Revolutionary Rockets

Pulse Detonation Engine / Pulse Detonation Rocket Engine

PDE Concept

NASA MSFC PDRE Activities

ASTP PDRE Development Projects

POC NASA/MSFC Hueter/Richard M Ryan
256-544-4172

ASTP PDRE Research projects

In-House PDR Testing

POC NASA/MSFC Cole/Ron Litchford
256-544-1740

Analysis

POC NASA/MSFC Cole/Dave Seymour
256-544-7116

Office of Naval Research's Multi-University Research Initiative on Pulse Detonation Engines

- **Pulse Detonation Engine / Pulse Detonation Rocket Engine**
  - **PDE Concept**
  - **NASA MSFC PDRE Activities**
    - ASTP PDRE Development Projects
      - POC NASA/MSFC Hueter/Richard M Ryan - 256-544-4172
    - ASTP PDRE Research projects
      - In-House PDR Testing
        - POC NASA/MSFC Cole/Ron Litchford - 256-544-1740
      - Analysis
        - POC NASA/MSFC Cole/Dave Seymour - 256-544-7116
  - **Office of Naval Research's Multi-University Research Initiative on Pulse Detonation Engines**

- Two contracts awarded to develop PDRE technology
  - ASI
  - UTRC
- Each exploring different back pressure control mechanisms
  - Fixed throat
  - Aerodynamic throat
- In 3rd year of 3 year contract
- Further work will require new procurement activity



Multi tube PDRE w/ nozzle



Single tube PDRE w/ nozzle and aerodynamic throat

ASTP PDRE Projects

ASI Accomplishments to Date

- In Just Four Years, ASI/NASA/AFRL Have Demonstrated:
  - PDRE proof-of-concept
  - 30-sec firing durations
  - Repeatability & reliability at high frequencies
  - Performance prediction
  - Back pressurization with a common nozzle
  - Vacuum start capability
  - Working injector concept
  - Full-scale, high-speed valves (simulant testing)



UTRC Accomplishments to Date

- Proof-of-Concept Tests
  - Demonstrated PDRE operation with physical throat
  - Developed operating-characteristics database
- Aerovalve Development
  - Developed design and analysis capabilities
  - Demonstrated concept in single-shot, cold-flow tests
- Advanced Concept Engine Demonstration
  - Identified test facility; Test Requirements Document distributed
  - Completed demonstrator design; testing summer/fall 2000

PDRE Future Planning Guidelines

- Project given $2.5M per year for next 3 years to mature technology before commitment to demonstrator
  - $200K of FY2001 money must be used to reimburse GRC for testing support for the UTRC on going activity
- This level of funding will force some hard decisions in the next procurement
  - Could result in a partnering between current contractors or
  - Will probably result in a down select between concepts
- For next 3 years need to focus on critical questions that support demonstrator decision in FY2004
  - Can the PDRE concept meet advertised performance and weight goals?
  - Is the physics of the concept well understood and accepted by industry and academia?
  - Are there any fundamental technologies that must be proven before commitment to a flight weight demonstrator?
- Money for the demonstrator program has not been identified at this time

Key Objectives of the Next Few Years

- Demonstrate feasibility of PDRE to achieve performance and weight goals
  - Must have solid credible system concept that can be used in vehicle trades to assess benefits
- Develop and release a public performance code that is anchored with experimental data
  - Must be able to pass scrutiny of industry and academia
  - Necessary to have an analytical code that matches test data to demonstrate that physics of concept is understood
- Enable all critical technologies necessary for PDREs
  - Cannot have any critical enabling technologies not developed before commitment to flight weight demonstration

- Gaseous H_2/O_2 laboratory-scale rocket engine simulator
  - Support development of theoretical/CFD analysis tools
  - Improve definition of system operational requirements
  - Test bed for major sub-systems/components
  - Explore strategies for optimizing propellant injection
  - Explore strategies for optimizing nozzle shape
  - Explore alternative engine design configurations
  - System performance optimization
  - Develop/validate PDE design scaling laws
  - Lay groundwork for liquid propellant PDEs
  - Improve understanding of detonation physics





ASTP Research PDRE Projects: In-House ASTP Research

- **Development status**
  - **Electronic control circuits designed, fabricated and tested**
    - computer based low-voltage digital (TTL) signal pulses
    - fiber optic signal transmission (low power / electrical isolation)
    - high precision timing of duty cycle and phase lag
  - **Spark ignition system designed, fabricated, and tested**
    - automotive type capacitor discharge system
  - **Detonation initiator designed, fabricated, and tested**
    - coaxial gaseous injector
    - industrial solenoid valves
    - demonstrated DDT with Schelkin spiral (40 Hz / >2000 m/s)
  - **Bench unit assembly designed, fabricated, and tested**
    - integrated initiator/injector head design
    - 2-inch diameter/ 36-inch long primary tube
    - coaxial gaseous injectors
    - industrial solenoid valves
    - demonstrated detonation propagation to primary tube

UTSI Performance Analysis

- **1-D Pulsed Detonation Engine Cycle Deck (PDECD) Modification**
  - Include the effects of variable mixture ratio
    - Provision for opening and closing the fuel and oxidizer valves independently so that effects such as a fuel purge and variable O/F ratio during a cycle can be simulated
  - Include global dynamic effects in the feed lines and valves.
    - A lumped parameter analysis of the feed line dynamics for use in simulating representative experimental test facilities will be added.
  - Modeling of Multiple Tube PDE Operation:
    - Simulations of the effects of multiple pulsed detonation tubes exhausting to a common nozzle. Flow from the common nozzle shall be exhausted through a divergent or convergent-divergent tube using quasi-steady flow analysis.
  - Air Augmented PDE:
    - Predicting the performance characteristics of an air-augmented pulsed detonation engine rocket. The model should be appropriate for making qualitative assessments of the effect of coupling a PDE into a combined cycle engine.

- **Future MSFC Research Plan**
  - **Continuation of current activities**
  - **PDR/PDRE Standard Performance Analysis Code (Government team sponsorship is desirable)**
    - System level Code
    - Subsystem CFD Code
      - Generic
      - Full 3D Transient
      - Experiments for Code Validation
  - **Advanced Fuel for PDRE**
    - Advanced hydrocarbon fuels for a rocket are in development. Are these fuels applicable for PDRE ?

- Pulse Detonation Engine / Pulse Detonation Rocket Engine
  - PDE Concept
  - NASA MSFC PDRE Activities
  - Office of Naval Research's Multi-University Research Initiative on Pulse Detonation Engines

ONR CHARTS

"ST Day 2000: Reducing Risk for the Next Generations" - Advanced Chemical Propulsion

GRCop-84 Development for Combustion Chamber Liners

David Ellis[1], Michael Nathal[2], Hee Man Yun[3], Bradley Lerch[2], Leslie Greenbauer-Seng[2], Linus Thomas-Ogbuji[4], Richard Holmes[5]

[1]Case Western Reserve University
[2]NASA Glenn Research Center
[3]Cleveland State University
[4]Dynacs Engineering Company
[5]NASA Marshall Space Flight Center

Space Transportation Technology Workshop - Propulsion:

- Originally began as series of experimental Cu-Cr-Nb alloys produced by chill block melt spinning
  - Focused on alloys with 2:1 atomic Cr to Nb ratios
  - Varied total amount of Cr+Nb to vary volume fraction of Cr_2Nb
  - Ribbons examined metallographically, tensile tested and electrical conductivity measured
- Cu-8 at.% Cr-4 at.% Cr was selected as composition with best combination of conductivity and strength
- Composition scaled up using conventional argon gas atomization (Special Metals) and extrusion to verify good properties of alloy
- Full thermophysical and mechanical properties database developed on GRCop-84 under RLV Focused Program for GRCop-84 produced by Crucible Research

History of GRCop-84 Development



- **Thermally induced stresses from constrained thermal expansion dominate in liners**
- **Decreased thermal expansion decreases thermal stresses**
- **Decreased stresses and strains result in longer liner lives**

GRCop-84 Thermal Expansion



- **Statistical analysis allows determination of equations for average thermal conductivity and lower confidence interval**
- **Average conductivity is between 70% and 83% of pure Cu over temperature range of interest for liners**
- **Elimination of 200 ppm Fe contamination in alloy *may* increase thermal conductivity significantly**

Thermal Conductivity of GRCop-84

As-Produced



Brazed



- **Following a simulated braze cycle at 935°C (1715°F), GRCop-84 exhibits a minimal decrease in 0.2% yield strength**
- **NARloy-Z shows significant strength loss**

0.2% Yield Strength of GRCop-84



- GRCop-84 enjoys significant creep life advantages over NARloy-Z
- Additional data for Crucible Research material being generated under RLV Focused program

Space Transportation Technology Workshop - Propulsion:

GRCop-84 Creep Lives



- GRCop-84 has significantly longer LCF lives than NARloy-Z
- The simulated braze has minimal effects on LCF lives

GRCop-84 Low Cycle Fatigue (LCF) Lives





- Spool pieces produced at MSFC using vacuum plasma spraying to produce 2" ID x 6" long liners with and without NiCrAlY coatings
- Twenty-seven hot fire tests totaling 482 seconds of hot fire testing conducted on uncoated and coated spool pieces
- No degradation of liner or coating observed
- Further testing of liners under RLV Focused program to be done at MSFC

Hot Fire Testing of GRCop-84 Spool Pieces



Warm rolled GRCop-84 sheet
(~9" x 20")

Example of metal spun part

- **Metal spinning represents possible low cost, quick turnaround method of producing large thrust cell liners**
- **Plan to produce large (~3'x15') sheet under RLV 2nd Generation Program**

Production of Large GRCop-84 Sheet Product

- GRCop-84 offers many advantages over NARloy-Z and other copper-based alloys
  - Lower thermal expansion
  - Good conductivity
  - High yield strength up to 1300°F (700°C)
  - Increased creep lives/stresses
  - Superior LCF lives
- GRCop-84 spool piece testing demonstrated GRCop-84 as a liner material with and without a coating
- Alternative fabrication techniques can reduce costs and manufacturing times
- Coatings can be used to stop environmental attack and increase liner life and engine performance
- Copper-based MMC composites have the potential for higher strength and conductivity

GRCop-84 Summary

GRCop-84

- **David L. Ellis**
  NASA Glenn Research Center
  M.S. 49-1
  21000 Brookpark Road
  Cleveland, OH 44135
  216-433-8736
  David.L.Ellis@grc.nasa.gov

- **Michael Nathal**
  NASA Glenn Research Center
  M.S. 49-1
  21000 Brookpark Road
  Cleveland, OH 44135
  216-433-9516
  Michael.V.Nathal@lerc.nasa.gov

Coatings

- **Linus Thomas-Ogbuji**
  NASA Glenn Research Center
  M.S. 106-1
  21000 Brookpark Road
  Cleveland, OH 44135
  216-433-6463
  Linus.U.Thomas-Ogbuji@lerc.nasa.gov

- **Leslie Greenbauer-Seng**
  NASA Glenn Research Center
  M.S. 106-1
  21000 Brookpark Road
  Cleveland, OH 44135
  216-433-6781
  Leslie.A.Greenbauer-Seng@lerc.nasa.gov

Conf. Paper n/16

10p

531 -

2nd Generation Reusable Launch Vehicle
NASA Led Propulsion Tasks

Steve Richards
TD20
NASA/Marshall Space Flight Center
Phone: (256) 544-7053
E-mail: steve.richards@msfc.nasa.gov

Space Transportation Technology Workshop

Agenda

- 2nd Generation RLV Propulsion Project
- Overview of NASA Led Tasks in Propulsion
- Gen2 Turbo Machinery Technology Demonstrator
- Combustion Devices Test Bed
- GRCop-84 Sheet For Combustion Chambers, Nozzles And Large Actively Cooled Structures

- The Propulsion Project has been formulated to reduce risk in support of a Full Scale Development decision as early as 2005
- Propulsion Project includes the following elements for Earth-to-Orbit Launch Vehicles
  - Main Engine
  - Main Propulsion System
  - Auxiliary Propulsion Systems
- Cryogenic Upper Stage Propulsion is included in the Propulsion Project

2nd Generation RLV Propulsion Project

- **The 2nd Generation RLV Program Has Provided for NASA-Led Tasks within the Projects**
- **'Gated' Selection Approach**
  - **Gate 1**
    - Does task address the Program Goals
      - Contribute to the safety and cost goals
  - **Gate 2**
    - Is task appropriate for NASA to lead
      - Gov't can do it better and cheaper than anyone else
      - If the Gov't doesn't do it, it won't get done
      - Cross-cutting ... supports multiple architectures
  - **Gate 3**
    - Does it need immediate start
      - Loss of a unique and necessary capability if not funded.
      - Schedule supports TRL6 by 05 ... OR
      - Task is needed to support the 2 year Program focusing
- **Only Tasks That Passed All Three Gates Were Selected**
- **The Propulsion Project Has Selected Eight Tasks for Execution in FY01.**

Final Selection Process

This Session Will Provide Information on Each of the NASA-Led Tasks Selected by the Propulsion Project

Summarized by Introduction

- Large Composite Valve Technology
- Actively Cooled Ceramic Matrix Composite Nozzle RampLOX/H2
- Smart Leak Sensor
- Test of Large Scale Liquid Hydrogen Propellant Densification Hardware
- Full Flow Staged Combustion Injectors

Presentations Following Introduction

- GRCop-84 Sheet For Combustion Chambers, Nozzles And Large Actively Cooled Structures
- Combustion Devices Test Bed
- Gen2 Turbo Machinery Technology Demonstrator

Funded Task List for Propulsion



Microfabricated Hydrogen Sensor

Hydrogen Sensors on Space Shuttle



Prototype Hydrogen/Oxygen Sensor System with Electronics

Demonstrate Stand-alone Smart Leak Detection System With a Surface Area the Size of Postage Stamp

- **Products**
  - **Stand-alone Leak Detection System With a Surface Area the Size of Postage Stamp**
  - **Detection of Both Fuel and Oxygen at the Same Time**
  - **Integrated Signal Conditioning, Data Storage, Power, and Telemetry**
- **Benefits**
  - **Fundamental Need for Gen II vehicle for Increased vehicle safety, Increased reliability and maintainability, Reduced testing time and costs,**
- **Customers**
  - **Any 2nd Gen Vehicle**
- **Unique / Enabling and Enhancing**

- **Current State of the Art**
  - **Hydrogen sensor Shuttle-tested**
  - **Oxygen/Hydrocarbon sensors under development**
  - **Prototype hydrogen/oxygen sensor system fabricated with limited miniaturization of electronics**
- **Performance Metrics**
  - **20x decrease in individual sensor system size over present Shuttle tested technology/10x increase of sensor coverage**
  - **Reduction in Maintenance Time and Costs by an order of magnitude**
- **Risks**
  - Technology readiness of hydrocarbon sensor
- **USG Participants**
  - **GRC (Lead Center), KSC, Makel Engineering, Case Western Reserve University**



Miniaturized Smart Leak Sensor System



GRC South 40 Propellant Densification Test Site Configured for LOX Densification

Demonstrate Large Scale Production and Tanking of 27°R LH2



8 lbm/sec LH2 Densification Unit in storage at GRC Hangar

[redacted]

- **Products**
  - **Validation of LH2 densification process at large scale (TRL=6)**
  - **Operable (portable) densification skid available for flight experiment or engine test program**
- **Benefits**
  - **Densification can reduce vehicle weight significantly (RLV studies showed ~18% weight reduction)**
- **Customers**
  - **Multiple STAS vehicles utilize densified LH2**

[redacted]

- **Current State of the Art**
  - **TRL 5, Small Breadboard Densification Unit tested in 1996=>30°R LH2; X-33 scale unit fabricated and in storage**
- **Performance Metrics**
  - **8 lbs/sec LH2 densification rate from 37°R to 27°R**
  - **Demonstrate recirculation tank loading process (thermal stratification)**
- **Risks**
  - **SOA 4-stage compressor performance**
- **USG Participants**
  - **GRC lead**



Test of Large Scale LH2 Densification Hardware



- **Products**
  - A large diameter LH2 valve made from PMC material.
  - A series of protective coatings that can be applied to composites and be used in a cryogenic environment. These coatings will increase the materials damage resistance.
- **Benefits**
  - The composite valve technology will enable weight reduction of large MPS components on a vehicle.
  - The coating technology will enable the program to operate at a higher confidence level since the risks of impact damage are greatly reduced.
- **Customers**
  - Second Generation Program, Shuttle, Aerospace Industry
- **Cross-Cutting Benefits**
  - Lightweight components are applicable to all present and future launch vehicles.

- **Current State of the Art**
  - Small PMC valve built for DC-XA vehicle
  - No large composite valves built
- **Performance Metrics**
  - Demonstrate a composite valve can be built and meet Shuttle requirements while at the same time reduce weight
- **Risks**
  - Composite parts and assembly do not meet Shuttle requirements.
- **USG Participants**
  - MSFC (Lead center), JSC



Space Transportation Technology Workshop

Large Composite Valve Technology

Refractory Composites Inc. Design



Rockwell Science Center



Honeywell Concept



Snecma SEP Concept



- Products
  - Design level mechanical, physical, and thermal property database
  - Test validated thermal and thermostructural models
  - Demonstrated thermal performance and ability to contain high pressure hydrogen
  - Demonstrated manufacturing scale-up and manifolding
- Benefits
  - Potential performance, operability, and safety pay-offs for high temperature capability CMC materials are high
  - Strength-to-weight of advanced CMCs at high temperature may provide a significant weight reduction at far aft end of vehicle where benefit is needed
  - High use temperature of CMCs provides additional temperature margin for uncooled reentry
  - High temperature capability expected to increase safety margins and allow significant simplification of aerospike engine features required for engine-out operation
- Customers
  - Primary industry customer, Lockheed Martin, has identified a wide variety of vehicles that would benefit from this technology including SSTO, TSTO, Shuttle Derived, CRV, CTV, and LFBB concepts
  - 3rd Generation RLV and all Rocket Based Combined Cycle (RBCC) propulsion concepts
- This project is essential to maintain viable technology development schedule consistent with 2nd Generation RLV

- Current State-of-the-Art (SOTA)
  - No other concepts existing or in development capable of meeting nozzle ramp requirements
  - These NASA-Funded concepts represent the SOTA
- Performance Metrics
  - Areal weight requirement is 2.0 lb/ft^2 with a goal of 1.5 lb/ft^2 for the heat exchanger
- Risks
  - Aggressive development schedule
  - Manufacturing scale-up to flight design (industry task)
- USG participants
  - MSFC (lead Center), LaRC, GRC, AFRL/ML



Actively Cooled Composite Nozzle



Single Element Testing

+



Multi-Element Testing

+



Validated Analysis Tools

=



Robust 60K Injector Concepts

[redacted]

- Products
  - **Optimized MCC injector concept that fully meets Gen 2 operability, life and performance goals (timed to support concept downselect)**
  - **Optimized MCC injector concept(s) that exceed Gen2 requirements**
  - **Experience in design and operation of LOX-rich preburners**
  - **Seamless injector design package with tools validated to TL RL 6-can be used to calculate environments for lie predictions**
- Benefits
  - **High performing injectors with manageable heat fluxes**
  - **Lower part count that increases reliability and lowers costs**
- Customers
  - **Injector Element Concepts-2nd Generation RLV (FFSC cycle)**
  - **Injector Design Package- all projected 2nd Generation RLV cycles, 3rd Gen and SSME**

[redacted]

- Current State of the Art
  - **Limited data base and empirical design methodologies create high-risk designs**
- Performance Metric
  - **Increase reliability by reducing part count (10x) and lowering heat fluxes (30%)**
  - **Decrease injector development cost (2x), ops cost (2x) and weight (20%)**
- Risks
  - **Tight schedule to complete portions of task before cycle down-select**
- USG Participants: **MSFC (Lead)/GRC**



Space Transportation Technology Workshop

Full Flow Staged Combustion Cycle Injectors

Conf. [illegible]

532

3P

- **Technology Goals and Objectives**
  - **Mitigate risk**
    - Identify problem areas early
    - Develop alternative designs
    - Demonstrate manufacturing feasibility at subscale level
    - Establish vehicle to evaluate component design fixes during FSD
  - **Increase Technology Readiness Level**
    - Demonstrate component designs at subscale level
      - time- and cost-effective
    - Validate design tools
    - Evaluate/demonstrate peripheral technologies
      - valves, sensors for health monitoring, etc.
  - **Improve combustion device designs**
    - Use and validate advanced analytical models for more precise prediction of design margins
    - Explore innovative designs
    - Prove scaling methodology
  - **Reduce full-scale development time**

60K Combustion Devices Testbed

- **Background**
  - **Engine drives vehicle system reliability**
  - **Combustion Devices are major contributor to engine reliability**
    - Preburner design and transient operation
    - Main combustion chamber and injector
    - Nozzle
  - **Space Transportation Architecture Study (STAS) indicated need for development of different engine cycles**
    - Full flow staged combustion (FFSC) cycle is initial testbed focus
      - prominent in STAS results
      - eliminates interpropellant seals and oxidizer heat exchanger
      - reduces turbine inlet temperatures
    - Testbed addresses major concerns with FFSC cycle
      - oxidizer-rich preburner
      - high propellant temperatures at main combustion chamber inlet
      - lack of practical experience with cycle
  - **Testbed provides timely information for program decisions**

60K Combustion Devices Testbed

- **Status**
  - Task Plan generated and submitted

- **Near Term Plans**
  - Develop detailed program plan
  - Develop alternative designs and design optimization algorithm
  - Test initial configuration in '02
  - Test alternative configurations in '03

- **Point of Contact**
  - Bill Anderson - MSFC/TD61 - william.e.anderson@msfc.nasa.gov

60K Combustion Devices Testbed

Conf Paper / In '96

523

4P

2nd Generation Turbomachinery Technology Demonstrator

Space Transportation Technology Workshop or Section Title:

- **Background**
  - **Short schedule for Prototype Engine would not permit incorporation of new turbomachinery technology**
  - **Needed time to mature technology to TRL 4**
  - **Demonstrator Turbopump required to advance technology from TRL 4 to TRL 5/6 in time for insertion into FSD**
- **Approach** (All work in parallel with Prototype Engine)
  - **Define requirements**
  - **Perform concept definition**
  - **Down select most promising technology for demonstrator, TRL 4**
  - **Design turbopump demonstrator, fabricate hardware, and assemble TP**
  - **Perform hot fire testing, Turbomachinery Technology TRL 6**
- **Deliverables**
  - **Representative turbopump, which incorporates advanced turbomachinery technology, ready for hot fire tested**
  - **Hot fire test results of turbomachinery technology**
  - **Turbomachinery technology advanced to TRL 6, ready for FSD**

- **State of the Art**
  - **IPD Turbopumps, LOX and LH2, with Hydrostatic bearings**
- **Need**
  - **A turbopump demonstrator is needed to advance the development of Gen 2 turbomachinery technology**
- **Relationship to 2nd Generation Goals**
  - **Technology for LOX and LH2 turbomachinery will address cost, reduced weight and improved reliability**
  - **A partial list of potential technologies**
    - Lighter weight housing materials
    - Enhanced inducer and impeller performance
    - Enhance rotordynamic stability seal
    - Enhanced turbine performance
  - **Turbomachinery technology is cross-cutting among the proposed engine concepts.**

Gen 2 Turbomachinery Technology Demonstrator

Point of contact: James Cannon (256) 544-7072



- Products
  - Incorporate Gen 2 turbomachinery technology into a turbopump demonstrator(s)
  - Advance turbomachinery technology to TRL5/6, available for FSD
- Benefits
  - Demonstrate turbomachinery technology which addresses improved pump performance, turbine performance, seals, materials, and bearings which address engine T/W, decreased costs and improved reliability.
- Customers
  - Industry Partners, DOD, and NASA
  - Technology is cross-cutting among the proposed engine concepts

- Current State of the Art
  - IPD Turbopumps with hydrostatic bearings
- Approach
  - Define the engine/turbomachinery requirements
  - Assess technology needs
  - Develop technology for incorporation into turbopump
  - Demonstrate technology in turbopump
- Performance Metrics
  - Reduce Turbomachinery weight
  - Improved Turbine Performance
  - Reliability Enhancement
- Risks
  - Testing IPD turbopump
  - Maturing necessary technology in time



Spac on Title: