

by

Maurice Dubin

National Aeronautics & Space Administration

Washington, D. C.

ABSTRACT

Although the meteoroid hazard has not been adequately evaluated for proper design of spacecraft for missions through cislunar and interplanetary space, the extent of meteoroid interactions on space exploration may be estimated. The available information about meteoroids and cosmic dust obtained from ground-based observations and satellite measurements is reviewed. This data is presently limited to meteoroids and cosmic dust with heliocentric orbits intersecting the plane of the ecliptic at one astronomical unit and interacting with the earth-moon system. From such information the expectation of the number of impacts with interplanetary matter as a function of exposed spacecraft surface area, exposure time, and meteoroid mass is presented. An estimate of the hazard has been made using appropriate hypervelocity cratering criteria. Some results of other authors are compared with the expectation of meteoroid interaction effects herein presented. The probability of damage to spacecraft is apparently considerably different and a bit less than some earlier estimates.

INTRODUCTION

Interplanetary space is populated by debris in heliocentric orbits known generally as "meteoroids". It is quite evident that a meteoroid impact with a space vehicle is a catastrophic event. The dynamic conditions of such an impact allow for impacting velocities ranging from a few kilometers per second to about 75 km sec<sup>-1</sup>. The effects of the interactions of meteoroid impacts on space vehicles are a matter of concern in the design of spacecraft for various cislunar and interplanetary missions.

The hazard from meteoroid impacts may be evaluated from (a) knowledge of the distribution of interplanetary matter in the solar system, and (b) knowledge of the characteristics of impact cratering and penetration from meteoroid impacts on spacecraft structures. The distribution of interplanetary matter in the solar system may be described by a mapping function,  $\Pi$ , where

$$\Pi = \sum_i \pi_i$$

and  $\pi_i = \pi_i(m, \rho_m, S_m, a, e, i, \Omega, \omega, t)$

where  $\Pi$  is the ensemble of the all small  $\pi_i$ .  $\pi_i$  is a description of the individual meteoroid structure and position in the solar system at any time. "m" is the mass,  $\rho_m$  the density, and  $S_m$  is a parameter defining the structural makeup of the meteoroid.

"a, e, i,  $\Omega$ , and  $\omega$ " define the meteoroid orbit, and the time, t, defines its actual position. "a" is the semi-major axis of the elliptical orbit, e the eccentricity, "i" is the inclination angle of the plane of the orbit with the plane of the ecliptic. " $\Omega$ ", the ascending node, is the point where meteoroid crosses the ecliptic traveling north, and " $\omega$ ", the argument of the perihelion, is the angle from " $\Omega$ " to the perihelion measured in the orbital plane of the meteoroid. The magnitude of the velocity of the meteoroid depends on the radial distance "r" of the meteoroid from the sun and is given by:

$$V = \left[ G_0 \left( \frac{2}{r} - \frac{1}{a} \right) \right]^{1/2}$$

where  $G_0$  is the solar gravitational constant. Thus, if the mapping function were known, the probability of a spacecraft impacting with a meteoroid anywhere in interplanetary space would be known. In fact, if the mapping function  $\Pi$  were sufficiently accurately known catastrophic impacts with meteoroids could be avoided.

A great deal is known about the mapping function,  $\Pi$ , for the ascending node,  $\Omega$  equal to one astronomical unit.  $\Pi$  at 1 a.u. is not well enough known to give discrete predictions for encountering a micrometeoroid. However, statistical data from encounters of meteoroids with the atmosphere and spacecraft sensors have been sufficient to define a distribution function,  $I(m)$ , which is mainly dependent on the mass of the meteoroid.  $I(m)$  is given in terms of the number of impacts by meteoroids of mass m and larger per unit area and time at 1 a.u. in the plane of the ecliptic and near the earth. That is to say, that  $I(m)$  near the earth may be derived from the mapping parameter  $\Pi$ . Actually,  $\Pi$  is practically an unknown function except for about 2000 known asteroids in orbit between Mars and Jupiter, several score known comets, and meteor showers usually associated with known comets.

The specific gravity or density,  $\rho_m$ , of meteoroids may vary considerably. Meteorites, meteoroids recovered on earth and apparently of asteroidal origin, are solid bodies made of stone or iron. The great majority of meteorites are

stones with density of about  $3.5 \text{ gm cm}^{-3}$ , while less than 10% are iron or iron nickel with densities of  $8 \text{ gm cm}^{-3}$  or slightly less. Most of the meteors detected by visual, optical or radio methods have characteristics defining a fragile and possibly fluffy structure. The orbits of the meteors vary considerably from the asteroid orbits. Hence, meteors in general probably have a cometary origin. The densities,  $\rho_m$ , of the meteoroids observed in the earth's atmosphere as meteors are probably less than that of meteorites and probably between  $0.3$  and  $3 \text{ gm cm}^{-3}$ . Although the structure of comet material has been hypothesized from various comet models, this structure is practically unknown and difficult to simulate.

To assess the effects of meteoroids on space vehicles at 1 a. u. the distribution function  $I(m)$  has been derived from available knowledge and data.  $I(m)$  defines the expectation of an impact per unit area and time at 1 a. u. The function  $I(m)$  combined with cratering and penetration conditions defines the meteoroid hazard. The depth of penetration,  $p$ , depends upon the structural parameters  $m, \rho_m, S$ , and  $S$  of the meteoroid, the target material  $S_t$  and density  $\rho_t$ , and the relative velocity  $v$  of the impact (as well as the angle). Thus penetration depth is a function,  $p(m, \rho_m, S, S_t, \rho_t, v)$ . The penetrating flux may be derived from the combination of the function of penetration depth  $p$ , and the expectation of impact,  $I(m)$ .

A number of papers have been written on the subject of penetration of spacecraft by meteoroids. Several papers are listed in reference 1 through 6. These and other references are considered in reviewing the expected meteoroid effects. Some parts of references 2 to 6 will be compared critically below.

#### RATE OF METEOROID IMPACTS

The rate of meteoroid impacts upon the earth or per unit area and time,  $I(m)$  has been obtained from a variety of measurements of meteors and micrometeorites. Reference 7 reviews the derivation of  $I(m)$  from data obtained from visual, optical, and radio-observations of meteors, from accretion measurements in the atmosphere and on the earth's surface, and from direct measurements using satellites.

The cumulative mass distribution,  $I(m)$ , for the omnidirectional flux of dust particles and meteoroids is plotted from reference 7 in figure 1.  $I(m)$ , the cumulative influx rate in particles per square meter per second on the earth is plotted as a segmented function of the particle mass in grams using a log-log plot. The cumulative influx rate is plotted also in equivalent visual magnitude,  $M_v$ . The visual magnitude is an astronomical scale for comparing the light intensity of stars and meteor trails. It is a logarithmic scale of brightness, with 5 magnitudes representing a factor of 100. From optical and visual ob-

servations the number of meteors as a function of visual magnitude against a star background is almost directly obtained. Radar methods have been used to measure distribution and number of meteors as a function of the electrons density per unit length of the meteor trail. The electron line density is also related to the visual magnitude and particle mass of the meteor. Some solar radiation pressure limits for several densities are also included on the abscissae. For  $\rho_m$  of  $1 \text{ gm cm}^{-3}$ , particles of mass less than  $10^{-12} \text{ gm}$  would be subjected to a solar radiation pressure force greater than the solar gravitational attraction. The fact that dust particles of mass less than  $10^{-12} \text{ gm}$  have been detected, indicates that the dust particles have densities which are generally greater than  $1 \text{ gm cm}^{-3}$ .

The accretion rates represented by the curve labeled "Watson (1941)" were plotted from reference 8 as a function of particle mass. The dashed curve labeled "Whipple (1957)" is plotted as a function of visual magnitude and was obtained from reference 3. This method of plotting the two distributions serves to illustrate the kind of uncertainty involved in displaying the impact rates as a mass distribution. This uncertainty will be discussed later.

The curve labeled "Millman and Burland (1956)" obtained from reference 9, is presented in three segments and covers the range from  $-10 \leq M_v \leq 10$ . This curve is representative of meteor observations from optical, telescopic, and radar methods. In reference 10 the cumulative influx rate of photographic meteors in the range of visual magnitude  $0 \leq M_v \leq 5$  was determined using a sample of over 300 meteor photographs. The naked-eye visual meteors and photographic meteors number several tens of thousands. The number of radio meteors observed is greater still by at least another order of magnitude.

In the range of visual magnitudes of  $20 \leq M_v \leq 10$ , or particles masses of  $10^{-7} \text{ gm} \leq m \leq 10^{-3} \text{ gm}$ , almost no data exists to define a distribution function. This range of particle mass is too small to interact with the earth's atmosphere and form meteors large enough to be detected by ground-based radio techniques and telescopes. A small amount of radar data has been reported in reference 11 out to visual magnitude 14. This same range of particle mass populates interplanetary space quite sparsely; so much so that the exposure times and exposure areas of sensors on satellites have so far been inadequate to obtain any measurements. This same range of mass is of importance in the penetration and damage of space craft structures.

On the other hand, particles of mass smaller than  $10^{-8} \text{ gm}$  are relatively more numerous and have been detected with probes and satellites. The curve labeled "McCracken et al (1961)" is based on experimental data by direct measure-

ments as described in references 7 and 12. The curve was obtained with sensors which were calibrated to measure particle mass rather than visual magnitude. This segment of the curve was obtained from experimental data from rocket probes, and satellite measurements as plotted in figure 2. For the interval of particle mass

$10^{-10} \text{ gm} \leq m \leq 10^{-6} \text{ gm}$ , the cumulative distribution curve of influx rate of dust particles as a function of particle mass may be described by the equation:

$$\log I = -17.0 - \log m$$

where  $I$  is in particles  $M^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ . The function  $I(m)$ , however, cannot be correctly described by a single first degree equation with logarithmic parameters.

The two segments for visual magnitude  $30 \leq M_v \leq 40$  in figure 1 were obtained in the analysis of reference 13, while the segment marked "Soberman and Hemenway (1961)" was obtained by collecting dust with an Aerobee rocket trapping device as described in reference 14.

The interpolated region between visual magnitudes 10 and 20 represent a region of concern for spacecraft design as particles of mass between  $10^{-3} \text{ gm}$  and  $10^{-7} \text{ gm}$  may penetrate surface materials used on spacecraft. The cumulative distribution function  $I(m)$ , in figure 1 may be analyzed with greater ease if  $dI(m)/dm$ , the incremental mass distribution as a function of particle mass or visual magnitude  $dI(m)/dm \cdot dm/dM_v$  is plotted. Figure 3 is the incremental mass distribution curve derived from figure 1. The rate of accretion by the earth per day in grams per visual magnitude per day (when divided by  $1.3 \times 10^{-19}$  this becomes the influx in particles  $M^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  per visual magnitude) is plotted as a function of  $M_v$  and particle mass in grams. The curve has been smoothed to remove the effects of using a segmented cumulative mass distribution curve. The uncertainty of spread in mass influx per visual magnitude in the range of visual magnitudes from +10 to 0 is bordered between the curves marked "Whipple (1957)" and "Watson (1941)". The value of 25 grams for a meteoroid of zero visual magnitude and 28 km  $\text{sec}^{-1}$  average velocity (reference 3) was used in relating visual magnitude to particle mass.

The accretion of interplanetary material on the earth is shown in figure 3 to be dominated by dust particles with masses less than about  $10^{-6}$  grams. The accretion rate of interplanetary dust particles is about  $5 \times 10^4$  tons per day. A conservative estimate of  $10^4$  tons per day should probably be used until improved satellite measurements giving particle orbits are obtained. The accretion rate derived from direct measurements is in fair agreement with the estimate (reference 14) of  $4 \times 10^4$  tons per day based on mountain top

collections and an earlier estimate of  $4 \times 10^{-3}$  tons per day based on deep sea sediments. Reference 16 gives an influx of  $10^{-13} \text{ gm cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  ( $5 \times 10^4$  tons per day) for particles of radii greater than  $1 \mu$  based upon observations of the earth's shadow during lunar eclipses.

The curves figure 1 and figure 3 are averaged in time. The character of all meteor and interplanetary dust measurements has been defined mostly from ground-based observations. The influx rate of particles usually undergo temporal variations; the major variations are often associated with meteor streams and showers. These showers are well described in the literature, references 9, 17, 18, 19 and 20. During short periods of time, a few hours to several days, the influx rate may increase by one to two orders of magnitude above the average rate. The observations of very faint radar meteors ( $M_v \approx 14$ ), reference 11, have shown that a large fraction of meteors occur in streams or "sporadic showers". The large fluctuations in influx rates of faint radar meteors with mass  $\approx 10^{-5} \text{ gm}$  have also been observed from dust particle measurements from probes and satellites (references 7, 21 and 22). The distributions of showers and "sporadic showers" in interplanetary space are probably very similar to the distribution near the earth. The orbits of showers and sporadic meteors have been described in references 17, 18, 20 and 23. From these and other observations, nearly all the meteoroids observed as meteors appear to be of cometary origin and are travelling in direct heliocentric orbits. Although it had been apparent that nearly all meteors in the visual and radar range have only small inclinations angles with the plane of the ecliptic, a "toroidal" group discovered at the Jodrell Bank Experimental Station, England, has been confirmed (reference 23) to represent an important fraction of meteors with  $M_v \approx 10$ ; the toroidal group is represented by short period orbits and inclination angles  $i$ , as high as  $60^\circ$ .

#### EXPECTATION OF IMPACT BY METEORIDS AS A FUNCTION OF MASS

The expected impact rate of meteoroids as a function of mass may be obtained from figures 1 and 3. These figures are derived from experimental data averaged to smooth effects from large fluctuations and showers. The expectation of impact and penetration of surfaces could be estimated from such a curve. However, the variations appear excessively large in the range of visual magnitudes from  $0 \leq M_v \leq 10$ , because of the large spread in the influx rate bounded by the curves labeled "Whipple (1957)" and "Watson (1941)". Actually, there is no discrepancy or spread in the influx rate as a function of visual magnitude. Both photographic and visual data of the numbers of meteors  $M^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  as a function of visual magnitude give identical results except for a small correction factor of 1.8 visual magnitudes concerned with the photographic process.

The major discrepancy shown in figure 3 results from the estimates of the mass of a meteor resulting in a trail of given visual magnitude. The curve labeled "Watson (1941)", a zero visual magnitude meteor, was estimated to have a mass of 0.25 grams and a velocity of 55 km sec<sup>-1</sup>.  $\rho_m$  of the meteor was assumed to be about 3 gm cm<sup>-3</sup>. The curve labeled "Whipple (1957)" is based upon the same distribution with  $\rho_m$  of 0.05 gm cm<sup>-3</sup> and an average velocity of 28 km sec<sup>-1</sup>. In this case, a zero visual magnitude meteor would have an average mass of 25 grams. The discrepancy is dependent upon the luminous efficiency and assumptions relating to the specific gravity of the meteoroid. Recently measurements of luminous efficiency have been made (reference 25) which would support a higher  $\rho_m$  than given in reference 3. The reference 3 value of  $\rho_m$  was recently reconsidered by the same author (reference 26) as possibly being too low; an average  $\rho_m$  of 0.3 gm cm<sup>-3</sup> instead of 0.05 gm cm<sup>-3</sup> was given.

From reference 9 the values given by various authors of the mass of a zero magnitude meteor may be compared as in Table I based on several references. Except for the change to 0.05 gm cm<sup>-3</sup> by Whipple (reference 3, 17) the mass of a zero magnitude meteor has been estimated between 0.05 and 1.3 grams. This mass range is more than a factor of 20 less than the mass of 25 grams given in reference 3. The value of 25 grams for a zero magnitude meteor was derived from the assumption that the  $\rho_m$  of meteors generally had a value of 0.05 gm cm<sup>-3</sup>. Although, it is well confirmed that the structure of meteoric bodies is weak and fragile, only a single (hence not significant) measurement of such a low  $\rho_m$  has been reported. A  $\rho_m$  close to 1 gm cm<sup>-3</sup> is to be preferred. Thus, the expected impact rate by a meteoroid as a function of mass per unit time and area is really fairly well known.

Both figures 1 and 3 should be considered as plots of impact rate as a function of particle mass rather than visual magnitude. Since there is evidence (reference 27) that penetration depth is a function also of  $\rho_m$  (as will be discussed later) the cumulative influx rate as a function of particle mass in grams should be a curve consisting of the curves labeled "McCracken et al (1961)" and "Watson (1941)" and with an interpolation between the two distributions, figure 4. The effective  $\rho_m$  to be used should be 1 or 2 gm cm<sup>-3</sup>. This is the curve and the  $\rho_m$  to be used to determine the expectation of impact by a meteoroid.

One may not in any case realistically use the curve labeled "Whipple (1957)" with a  $\rho_m$  other than 0.05 gm cm<sup>-3</sup>. If  $\rho_m$  for this curve were assumed to be 3 gm cm<sup>-3</sup>, then the curve would become the "Watson (1941)" curve. The deceleration as well as visual magnitude of meteors has been accurately measured by photographic methods; such an assumption in the change of area to mass ratio by assuming a  $\rho_m$  change

without the attendant change of the mass of the meteoroid would be quite inconsistent with observations. The distributions from reference 9 and 10 were derived with a similar assumption relating to the mass of a meteoroid as a function of visual magnitude.

There is some indirect evidence from measurements of the F component of the solar corona and the zodiacal light that the satellite distribution curve may change in interplanetary space. The influx rate might drop by two or three orders of magnitude as discussed in reference 7. Hence, the expected mass distribution curve might be approximated in interplanetary space by the curve labeled "Watson (1941)" together with the curve labeled "McCracken et al (1961)" lowered by two to three orders of magnitude in the range of particle mass from 10<sup>-10</sup> to 10<sup>-12</sup> gm.

Thus, in designing spacecraft for journeys in satellite orbits and heliocentric orbits at 1 a. u. the hazard from meteoroid impacts should be evaluated based on the expectation of impact by a meteoroid of a given mass or larger using the available data as described in figure 4. It is doubtful that this curve is greatly in error. The influx rates as a function of mass would probably never be more than an order of magnitude greater than the natural influx rates except during periods of recognizable sporadic showers and several of the known meteor streams.

#### PENETRATION AND DAMAGE FROM MICROMETEOROID IMPACTS

The mechanism of crater formation is essentially one of cavitation, resulting from an intensive plastic deformation wave formed during the impact. The size of the crater and its shape are determined by the properties of the wave and the target material. The initial conditions of occurring during the early stages of the impact determine the amplitude and shape of the deformation wave. Eichelberger (reference 32) further describes the initial stage of the impact or primary penetration as characterized by a very rapid plastic deformation of the target and impacting projectile. If the impact velocity is very high, the surface pressure so far exceeds the yield strength of the material that a hydrodynamic treatment is quite accurate.

The shape of the plastic deformation is determined during a time proportional to

$$\sim \frac{m^{1/3}}{v} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{\rho_m}{\rho_t} \right)^{1/2} \right]$$

in the initial stage of crater formation. This time defines the width of the deformation wave. The amplitude of the deformation may be estimated from Bernoulli's equation as

$$\sim \frac{1}{2} v^2 \rho_m \rho_t \left[ (\rho_m)^{1/2} + (\rho_t)^{1/2} \right]^{-2}$$

The deformation wave propagates into the target, displacing material as it disperses. Hence the effects in the target depends upon the properties of the target material as described by the equation-of-state of the material. The crater dimensions will be determined by the distance of travel of the deformation wave while its intensity is greater than the strength of the target material. The model described is essentially the same as that used by Bjork (reference 33) in his theoretical treatment of cratering.

The effect of a meteoroid impact at velocities between 10 km sec<sup>-1</sup> and 75 km sec<sup>-1</sup> may be determined theoretically. Some experimental studies have been made at low velocities; in some cases up to about 15 km sec<sup>-1</sup>. Bjork has shown that the impacts at meteoroid velocities behave hydrodynamically. Using the equation of state, Bjork solved the impact equations for cylinders on semi-infinite iron (references 4 and 33). Calculations were made for impact velocities of 5.5, 20 and 72 km sec<sup>-1</sup>. The impact forces exceed the strength of the materials impacted by a large factor, so that material strength was neglected in the calculations. Viscous effects and heat transfer were also neglected. The calculations agreed for craters produced in aluminum at 6.3 km sec<sup>-1</sup> and iron at 6.8 km sec<sup>-1</sup> with experimentally determined crater sizes and shapes.

The penetration depths  $p$  in cm may be described by the equations:

$$p = 1.09 (mv)^{1/3} \quad \text{for Al on Al}$$

and

$$p = 0.606 (mv)^{1/3} \quad \text{for Fe on Fe}$$

where  $m$  is the meteoroid mass in gm and  $v$  the velocity in km sec<sup>-1</sup>. Experimental data for impacts on lead results in a similar equation (reference 34)

$$p = 1.3 (mv)^{1/3}$$

The calculated craters were hemispherical with radius  $p$ . Craters formed by impacts at oblique incidence were also hemispherical.

Although calculations were made for thick targets, enough information was obtained that if a meteoroid penetrated a depth  $p$  in a thick target, it would just penetrate a sheet of the same target material which was 1.5  $p$  thick.

Experimental impact data have been obtained from which the dependence of depth of penetration on projectile density was determined (reference 35). A series of impacts were made on the same

target material with a constant projectile mass and a constant impact velocity of about 2 km sec<sup>-1</sup>. A range of densities of projectiles from 1.5 gm cm<sup>-3</sup> to 17.2 gm cm<sup>-3</sup> was tested by using different metals. The dependence on  $\rho_m$  was described by the equation

$$p = 2.29 \left( \frac{v}{v_s} \right)^{2/3} \left( \frac{\rho_m}{\rho_t} \right)^{2/3} d$$

where  $v_s$  is the speed of sound in the target,  $d$  is the diameter of the projectile. The penetration depth probably depends upon the density ratio between the meteoroid and the target material at the higher velocities of impact, also. For this reason, the cumulative distribution of meteoroids as a function of mass given in reference 3 must be used with consideration for proper dependence of penetration depth on the density of the meteoroid.

The penetration depth to projectile diameter ratio has been plotted as a function of velocity in figure 5 (reference 36) to indicate how the theoretical analysis of Bjork compares with extrapolations of experimental results obtained by Summers and Charters (reference 35), Collins and Kinard, and Atkins. It is noteworthy that such extrapolations leads to very large errors in penetration depth which cannot be correct because of energy and momentum conservation requirements.

Another condition resulting from the deformation wave following the impulsive loading by the meteoroid impact is spallation. The intensive compressive shocks from the impact may rupture and eject material over an extended region around the crater as well as the far surface of the impacted sheet. The diameter of the section spalled is usually several times the sheet thickness, and the spall thickness, may be a tenth to a half the thickness of the sheet. In reference 5, an analytical derivation is used to indicate an energy rather than a momentum dependence for the volume of spalled material. For alloy aluminum a characteristic distance  $D$  in cm for spalling is given by

$$D = 7.6 \times 10^{-4} E^{1/3}$$

and for steel,

$$D = 5 \times 10^{-4} E^{1/3}$$

where  $E$  is the kinetic energy of the meteoroid in ergs. Spallation can occur in sheet thicknesses two or three times greater than necessary to prevent perforation.

#### DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

The estimation of meteoroid effects on space exploration at 1 a. u. and particularly near the earth depends on the expectation of impact by meteoroids larger than some critical mass so that penetration of the skin of a spacecraft occurs, and

it depends on the understanding of the extent of damage resulting from one or an ensemble of such impacts. The expectation of a meteoroid impact per unit area and time may be determined from the cumulative mass distribution curve, figure 4, consisting of the section derived from satellite measurements, the section derived from ground-based measurements approximately represented by the curve labeled "Watson (1941): and on the interpolation between the two curves (Figure 1). The density, which may be used with this distribution for determining penetration rates and spallation effects,  $\rho_m$  is  $2 \text{ gm cm}^{-3}$ . A nominal velocity for such impacts should be  $30 \text{ km sec}^{-1}$ . For short exposure times to the space environment, adverse effects may be present during known or sporadic meteor showers. However, for long exposure times this distribution curve should be an effective expectation of the impact frequency. The impact rate at 1 a. u. but away from the neighborhood of the earth may be different, but only for particles of mass less than  $10^{-6} \text{ gm}$ . In the vicinity of Mars, radial distances from the sun between 1.5 a. u. and 5 a. u. the collision hazard from asteroidal debris may be greater than at 1 a. u. For distances less than 1 a. u. the concentration of meteoroids should increase with a decrease in solar distance. Although most of the meteoroids are moving in direct heliocentric orbits, a retrograde component is known to exist. Radiation pressure forces leading to the Poynting-Robertson effect, the Yarkovski-Radzievski effect (reference 20) and other effects should decrease the eccentricity of the meteoroid orbits and gradually cause the particles to spiral into the sun. The inclination angles of the orbits, particularly the toroidal orbits, are indicative of a substantial component of the meteoroid population with orbit planes intersecting the plane of the ecliptic at considerable angles; hence, the meteoroid impact velocities with a spacecraft in interplanetary space should be considered out to  $30 \text{ km sec}^{-1}$  and higher.

A good representation of the penetrating power of meteoroids is given by the theoretical solution of Bjork. So far, from the limited data available from direct experiments (reference 13) on satellites, Bjork's penetration condition with the expected cumulative distribution as a function of mass, figure 4, has been supported by experiment. Penetration and fracture experiments have been flown on Explorers I, III, XIII, Vanguard III, Midas II, and Samos II.

Comparison of several reference (references 3, 4, 5, 6) which treat the hazard from meteoroid impacts may be made. Whipple (reference 3) uses a  $\rho_m$  of  $0.05 \text{ gm cm}^{-3}$ , a value which must be used to determine penetration depth. Whipple's penetration formula is considerably less to be preferred than Bjork's (reference 4). Bjork's treatment of the problem is generally good. However, there is not sufficient reason for him to use the Whipple distribution (reference 3) while at the same time over estimating the meteoroid density.

In fact, the correction for the mass of zero magnitude meteoroid, a factor of a hundred, would decrease the required armor thickness by a factor of 5!

Jaffe and Rittenhouse (reference 5) have used the Bjork penetration condition. However, the selected curves of cumulative mass distribution for low satellite altitudes and far from the earth are not recommended. Davison and Winslow (reference 6) have considered an upper and lower limit, curves I and II, (their figure 8) in their estimates of rates of penetration of stainless steel. The cumulative distribution curve used in deriving curve II is recommended (their figure 5). They used the Summers' penetration criteria rather than Bjork's with an impact velocity of  $15 \text{ km sec}^{-1}$  and  $\rho_m$  of  $1 \text{ gm cm}^{-3}$ . In deriving their curve I they also used the Whipple distribution (reference 3) but with a  $\rho_m$  of  $2.7 \text{ gm cm}^{-3}$ . They concluded that their curve II may be reasonable and that curve I represents a high estimate of the penetration rates of the material investigated.

Thus, there appears to be a preferred approach to the prediction of the rate of penetration of the skins of a spacecraft from meteoroid impacts. It is evident that theory and available experimental data support this singular method of computing the meteoroid hazard. The estimated rates of penetration determined by combining (a) the expected cumulative distribution as a function of mass, figure 4; (b) the Bjork penetration criteria together, with a  $\rho_m$  of  $2 \text{ gm cm}^{-3}$  and an average impact velocity of  $30 \text{ km sec}^{-1}$  is recommended for design purposes. The meteoroid penetration rates computed in this manner represent a consistent estimate based on available knowledge. The conservative designer may incorporate his own safety factors to establish the required reliability. Additional measurements from satellites to confirm the predicted penetration rates are certainly desirable. The extent of the hazard appears to be considerably less than some earlier estimates, hence experimental data in the space environment will require relatively long exposure times over extended surface areas.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The many discussions with W. M. Alexander and C. W. McCracken are gratefully acknowledged in the preparation of this paper, as well as permission to use some figures.

#### REFERENCES

1. Grimminger, G. - "Probability that a Meteorite Will Hit or Penetrate a Body Situated in the Vicinity of the Earth", Journal of Applied Physics, Vol. 19, pgs. 947, 1948.
2. Whipple, F. L. - "Meteoritic Phenomena and Meteorites", Physics and Medicine of the Upper Atmosphere, ed. by C. S. White and

- O. Benson, Univ. of Mexico Press, p. 137, 1952.
3. Whipple, F. L. - "The Meteoritic Risk to Space Vehicles" Proc. VIII International Astronautical Congress, Springer Verlag, Vienna, 1957, also Vistas in Astronautics, Pergamon Press, 1958.
  4. Bjork, R. L. - "Meteoroids vs. Space Vehicles", ARS Journal, Vol. 31, pp 803-807, June 1961.
  5. Jaffe, L. D. and Rittenhouse, J. B. - "Behavior of Materials in Space Environments", ARS Journal, Vol. 32. No. 3, pp. 320-346, March, 1962.
  6. Davison, E. H. and Winslow, P. C. - "Direct Evaluation of Meteoroid Hazard", Prepared for IAS, Jan. 1962.
  7. Dubin, M. and McCracken, C.W. - "Measurements of Distributions of Interplanetary Dust", Astronomical Journal, Vol. 67. June, 1962. (to be published)
  8. Watson, F. G. - Between the Planets, Harvard Univ. Press, 1956.
  9. McKinley, D.W.R. - Meteor Science and Engineering, McGrawHill Book Company, Inc., N. Y. 1961.
  10. Hawkins, G. S. and Upton, E. K. L. - "The Influx Rate of Meteors in the Earth's Atmosphere", Astrophysics Journal, Vol. 128, pp. 727-735, 1958.
  11. Eshelman, V. R. - "Radar Rate Measurements on Very Small Meteors", Astronomical Journal, Vol. 67, June, 1962 (to be published).
  12. McCracken, C.W., Alexander, W. M., and Dubin, M. - "Direct Measurements of Interplanetary Dust Particles on the Vicinity of the Earth", Nature, Vol. 192, No. 4801, pp. 441-442, Nov. 4, 1961.
  13. Alexander, W. M., McCracken, C. W., Secretan, L. and Berg, O. E. - "Review of Direct Measurements of Interplanetary Dust from Satellites and Probes", Space Research, Vol. III (to be published) 1962.
  14. Soberman, R. S., Hemenway, C. L. et al - "Micrometeorite Collection from a Recoverable Sounding Rocket" (three pages) GRD Res. Note, No. 71, AFCRL, Bedford, Mass. 1961
  15. Pettersson, H. - Nature Vol. 18 p. 330, 1958, with Rotschi, H. "Nickel Content of Deep-Sea Ocean Deposits", Nature, Vol. 166, p. 308, 1950.
  16. Link, F. - "Memoires Soc. Roy. Sci de Liege, Vol. 15, p. 39, 1955.
  17. Whipple, F. L. and Hawkins, G. S. - "Meteors" Handbuch der Physik, Vol. 52, pp. 519-564, 1959.
  18. Lovell, A.C. B. - Meteor Astronomy, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1954.
  19. Hawkins, G. S. - "Variations in the Occurrence Rate of Meteors", Astronomical Journal, Vol. 61, pp. 386-391, 1956.
  20. Jacchia, L. G. - "Meteors, Meteorites and Comets: Interrelations", (to be published).
  21. Dubin, M., Alexander, W. M., and Berg, O. E. - "Cosmic Dust Showers by Direct Measurements", Proc. International Symposium on Astronomy and Physics of Meteors, Cambridge, Mass., Aug. 28-Sept. 1, 1961 (to be published).
  22. McCracken, C.W. and Alexander, W. M. and Labow, H. E. - "Interplanetary Dust Particles of Micron-Size Probably Associated with the Leonid Meteor Stream", Journal Geophys. Res., Vol. 66, No. 11, pp. 3970-3973, Nov., 1961.
  23. Hawkins, G. S. - "A Radio Echo Survey of Sporadic Meteor Radiants", Royal Astronomical Soc. Mon. Not., Vol. 116, pp. 92-104, 1956.
  24. Hawkins, G. R. - "Radar Measurements of Meteor Orbits", Astronomical Journal, Vol. 67, June, 1962. (to be published)
  25. McCrosky, R. E. - "Results from an Artificial Iron Meteoroid at 10 km sec<sup>-1</sup>", Proc. Symposium on the Astronomy and Physics of Meteors, Cambridge, Mass., Aug 28-Sept. 1, 1961, (to be published).
  26. Whipple, F. L. - "Meteoritic Erosion in Space", Space Research, Vol. III, Proc. of COSPAR, 1962 (to be published).
  27. Summers, J. L. and Charters, A. C. - "High Speed Impact of Metal Projectiles in Targets of Various Materials" - Proc. of the Third Symposium on Hypervelocity Impact, ed. F. Genevese, Vol. I, pg. 101, Armour Res. Found., Chicago, 1959.
  28. Whipple, F. L. - "Meteoritic Phenomena and Meteorites", Physics and Medicine of the Upper Atmosphere, pp. 137-170, Univ. of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque, 1952.
  29. Levin, B. J. - The Physical Theory of Meteors and Meteoric Matter, Izd. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1956. Three chap-

30. Whipple, F. L. and Hawkins, G. S. - "On Meteors and Rainfall", Jour. Meteorology, Vol. 13, pp.236-240, 1956.
31. Opik, E. - Physics of Meteor Flight in the Atmosphere, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1958.
32. Eichelberger, R. J. "Discussion and Summary", Proc. Third Symposium on Hypervelocity Impact, F. Genevese ed., pp.565-570, Armour Res. Found., Chicago, 1959.
33. Bjork, R. L. - "Effects of a Meteoroid Impact on Steel and Aluminum in Space", Proc. 10th International Astronautical Congress, Vol. 2, pp. 505-514, Springer-Verlag, Vienna, 1960.
34. Vanfleet, H. B., Partridge, W. S., and Cannon, E. T. - "Anomolous Behavior of Lead to Lead Impact", F. Genevese ed, pp. 115-139, Armour Res. Found., Chicago 1959, Proc. Third Symposium on Hypervelocity Impact.
35. Summers, J. L. and Charters, A. C. - "High Speed Impact of Metal Projectiles in Targets of Various Materials", Proc. Third Symposium of Hypervelocity Impact, Vol. 1, F. Genevese ed., pp.101, Armour Res. Found., Chicago, 1959.
36. Davison, E. H. and Winslow, P. C., Jr. - "Space Debris Hazard Evaluation", NASA Technical Note D-1105, Dec. 1961.




Figure 1 Segmented cumulative mass distribution for omnidirectional influx rates of meteoroids and dust particles.

Table I

MASS ON GRAMS OF A METEOROID OF ZERO VISUAL MAGNITUDE

<u>Mv</u>	<u>Mass in grams</u>	<u>Velocity in Km sec<sup>-1</sup></u>	<u>Author</u>	<u>Reference</u>
0	0.25	55	Watson (1941)	8
0	1.25	40	Whipple (1952)	28
0	0.055	40	Levin (1956)	29
0	25. (dustball)	28	Whipple (1957)	3
0	0.15	30	Whipple & Hawkins (1956)	30
0	0.29 1.29 (dustball)	42	Opik (1958) by McKinley	31 & 9




Figure 2 Average cumulative mass distributions of interplanetary dust particles derived from satellite and rocket probe measurements.




Figure 4 Expected average cumulative distribution of influx rate as a function of meteoroid mass in the vicinity of the earth. Specific gravity of the meteoroids assumed to be approximately two.




Figure 3 Incremental mass distribution curve for interplanetary material accreted by the earth.




Figure 5 Velocity dependence of the penetration-depth-to-pellet-diameter ratio for aluminum impacting aluminum, based on theory and extrapolated experimental data.