M.113-122

Reprinted from

N64-18504 W

Journal of CODE France
ATMOSPHERIC AND
TERRESTRIAL PHYSICS



# PERGAMON PRESS

**OXFORD • LONDON • NEW YORK • PARIS** 

(Received 3 September 1963)

**Abstract**—Equations of motion appropriate to the conditions existing in the ionosphere are discussed with a view to examining the condition for ambipolar diffusion ( $\mathbf{v}_e = \mathbf{v}_i$ ). It is shown that for quasi-equilibrium and isothermal conditions the required condition for ambipolar diffusion is given by curl  $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} = 0$ . It is further shown that the assumption of ambipolar diffusion along the field lines leads to the trivial situation of hydrostatic distributions of electron density independent of latitude.

These results are not in agreement with the generally accepted view that diffusion of the plasma along the direction of the magnetic field can account for many geophysical phenomena in the ionosphere. This disagreement is attributed to the fact that the assumption of field-aligned plasma diffusion puts a constraint on grad  $n_e$  which has not been taken into account by the previous workers. It is pointed out in the present paper that the solutions of  $\mathbf{v}_e$  and  $\mathbf{v}_i$  in terms of the particle densities and temperatures are not possible without the knowledge of the electric field. The theoretical determination of the latter appears to be extremely complicated and it seems desirable to measure it experimentally.

#### Introduction

It is now generally accepted that diffusion plays an important role in controlling the distribution of ionization in the F-region of the ionosphere. The theory of diffusion appropriate to the conditions existing in the ionosphere was first proposed by Ferraro (1945) who treated the electron-ion gas as a single constituent and showed that the coefficient of diffusion of this gas is reduced by the ratio of  $1:\sin^2 I$  in the presence of the magnetic field, I being the inclination (dip) of the earth's magnetic field. According to Ferraro, the vertical component of the velocity of diffusion  $v_z$ , for an isothermal condition is given by

$$v_z = -D\sin^2 I \left[ \frac{1}{n_e} \frac{\partial n_e}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{H_1} \right] \tag{1}$$

where D is the coefficient of diffusion,  $n_e$  the electron-density,

 $H_1$  the scale height of electron-ion gas, and z the altitude.

Based on equation (1) the diffusive equilibrium-distribution at places other than the magnetic equator is given by

$$n_e = n_{e0} \exp\left[-(z - z_0)/H_1\right]$$
 (2)

where  $n_{e0}$  is the electron density at height  $z_0$ .

Equation (2) is in general accordance with the experimentally observed distribution well above the F2-peak both at midlatitudes and above the equator even though according to equation (1), the vertical diffusion is inhibited at the geomagnetic equator.

(NASA RP-155)

Regrint

114 S. Chandra

Several modifications have been proposed to the original theory of Ferraro to take into account the temperature gradient and the effect of horizontal gradients but it has always been assumed or implied that the diffusion is essentially ambipolar  $(\mathbf{v}_e = \mathbf{v}_i)$ . Johnson and Hulburt (1950), who treated the problem of plasma diffusion in the ionosphere in great detail showed that the electron-ion gas may diffuse together as a single constituent in the absence of the magnetic field, with a coefficient of diffusion and scale height twice that of the positive ions. In the presence of the magnetic field, however, diffusion is not necessarily ambipolar and is affected by the force exerted by the magnetic field on the electrical currents.

In view of the generally-accepted conclusion that diffusion plays a significant role in controlling the charged particle distribution in the upper ionosphere, it is important to examine if the condition for ambipolar diffusion actually exists in the ionosphere where the effect of the magnetic field is significant. The purpose of this paper is to investigate this problem in detail and to specify the conditions which must be satisfied before the assumption of ambipolar diffusion can justifiably be used in the ionosphere.

## EQUATION OF MOTION

In a multiple-component gas under the action of external forces, the equation of motion obeyed by each constituent may be written in the form proposed by Johnson (1951) and Schlüter (1951)

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_s}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_s \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_s}{\partial \mathbf{r}} = -\frac{1}{\rho_s} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p}_s + \mathbf{F}_s + \sum_l \frac{m_l v_{sl}}{m_s + m_l} (\mathbf{v}_l - \mathbf{v}_s)$$
(3)

where the suffixes s and l stand for the type of the particles and the various terms in equation (3) may be defined as follows:

 $\mathbf{v}_s = \text{macroscopic velocity of the } s \text{th constituent}$ 

 $\rho_s = \text{density}$ 

 $\mathbf{p}_s$  = pressure tensor; in general its ijth element is given by the following equation (Lamb, 1932)

$$(p_s)_{ij} = \left(p_s \delta_{ij} + \frac{2}{3} \rho_s \eta_s \frac{\partial v_{sk}}{\partial x_k} \delta_{ij}\right) - \rho_s \eta_s \left(\frac{\partial v_{is}}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial v_{sj}}{\partial x_i}\right)$$
(4)

where  $\eta_s$  stands for the coefficient of kinetic viscosity.

$$\mathbf{F}_{s} = \frac{e_{s}}{m_{s}} (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_{s} \times \mathbf{B}) + 2\mathbf{v}_{s} \times \mathbf{\omega} - \nabla \Omega_{\text{tidal}} + \mathbf{g}$$
 (5)

where  $\mathbf{E}$ ,  $\mathbf{B}$ ,  $e_s$  are, respectively, the electric field, the magnetic field and the charge, all expressed in MKS units,  $\Omega_{\rm tidal}$  is the tidal force due to the sun and the moon and  $\mathbf{g}$  is the acceleration due to gravity. The terms  $2\mathbf{v}_s \times \boldsymbol{\omega}$ , known as the Coriolis acceleration appear because of the rotation of the terrestrial coordinate system with the angular frequency  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ .

The last term in equation (3) represents the drag term. The symbols  $m_s$  and  $m_l$  are the masses of sth and lth kind of particles and  $v_{sl}$  is the collision frequency of sth kind of particles with lth. The summation with respect to l is extended to cover all possible collision partners including s = l.

In discussing the problem of diffusion in the ionosphere we shall assume only three types of particles: electrons, ions and neutral atoms. Further, to simplify our discussion we shall ignore the effect of viscosity, Coriolis-and tidal forces and consider the equation corresponding to quasi-equilibrium conditions. The neglect of viscosity removes the off-diagonal terms from the pressure term. Further, p may be treated as a scalar given by the equation of state

$$p_s = n_s K T_s \tag{6}$$

where k is the Boltzmann constant and  $T_s$  the kinetic gas temperature. In a collision-dominated plasma the assumption of isotropicity of pressure is justified (SPITZER, 1962). Finally, we shall neglect the quadratic terms in  $\mathbf{v}_s$  and its derivative, thereby, linearising all the equations. The approximation made so far are just the ones usually made in the study of the diffusion problem in the ionosphere. The equations of motion for neutrals, electrons, ions may now be written in the following form

$$n_n n_e \alpha_{en} (\mathbf{v}_n - \mathbf{v}_e) + n_n n_i \alpha_{in} (\mathbf{v}_n - \mathbf{v}_i) = -\nabla p_n + \rho_n \mathbf{g}$$
(7)

$$n_e n_i \alpha_e i (\mathbf{v}_e - \mathbf{v}_i) + n_n n_e \alpha_{en} (\mathbf{v}_e - \mathbf{v}_n) = -\nabla p_e + \rho_e \mathbf{g} - e n_e (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_e \times \mathbf{B})$$
 (8)

$$n_e n_i \alpha_{ei} (\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_e) + n_n n_i \alpha_{in} (\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_n) = -\nabla p_i + \rho_i \mathbf{g} + n_i e(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_i \times \mathbf{B})$$
(9)

where

$$\alpha_{ei} = \frac{m_e m_i v_{ei}}{(m_e + m_i) n_i} \tag{10a}$$

$$\alpha_{en} = \frac{m_e m_n v_{en}}{(m_e + m_n) n_n} \tag{10b}$$

$$\alpha_{in} = \frac{m_i m_n v_{in}}{(m_i + m_n) n_n} \tag{10c}$$

The suffixes e, i, n in equations (7–10) stand for electron, ion and neutral molecule. For an isothermal condition,  $\alpha_{ei}$ , etc. may be treated as constants.

The electric field **E**, in general, is the sum of external and internal fields. Equations (7-9) should be supplemented by Maxwell's equations and equations of continuity. We may then write

$$\nabla . \mathbf{E} = (n_i - n_e) \frac{e}{\varepsilon_0} \tag{11}$$

where  $\varepsilon_0$  is the free space permittivity

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0 \tag{12}$$

$$\nabla \cdot n_s \nabla_s = R_s$$
 (13)

where  $R_s$  refers to the net volumetric rate of creation of sth kind of particles.

We may derive from equations (7-9) the following set of equations which will be useful in the subsequent discussion.

$$\mathbf{v}_n = \frac{n_e \alpha_{en} \mathbf{v}_e + n_i \alpha_{in} \mathbf{v}_i}{n_e \alpha_{en} + n_i \alpha_{in}} + \frac{\mathbf{A}_n}{n_n (n_e \alpha_{en} + n_i \alpha_{in})}$$
(14)

$$\mathbf{A}_e + \mathbf{A}_i + \mathbf{A}_n + e(n_i - n_e)\mathbf{E} + e(n_i \mathbf{v}_i - n_e \mathbf{v}_e) \times \mathbf{B} = 0$$
 (15)

where

$$\mathbf{A}_{e,i,n} = -\nabla p_{e,i,n} + \rho_{e,i,n}\mathbf{g} \tag{16}$$

 $L(n_e \alpha_{en} + n_i \alpha_{in})(\mathbf{v}_e - \mathbf{v}_i) + e(\alpha_{en} \mathbf{v}_i + \alpha_{in} \mathbf{v}_e) \times \mathbf{B}$ 

$$+ e(\alpha_{en} + \alpha_{in})\mathbf{E} = \alpha_{in}\frac{\mathbf{A}_{e}}{n_{e}} - \alpha_{en}\frac{\mathbf{A}_{i}}{n_{i}} \quad (17)$$

where

$$L = \left(\alpha_{ei} + \frac{n_n \alpha_{en} \alpha_{in}}{n_s \alpha_{en} + n_i \alpha_{in}}\right) \tag{18}$$

## Ambipolar Diffusion

In the following we shall investigate the condition under which the electron-ion plasma may diffuse together with a common velocity  $\mathbf{v}$  (usually called ambipolar diffusion or plasma diffusion) such that

$$\mathbf{v}_e = \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{v} \tag{19}$$

substituting equation (19) in equation (17), we obtain

$$e(E + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) = \frac{\alpha_{in}}{\alpha_{en} + \alpha_{in}} \frac{\mathbf{A}_e}{n_e} - \frac{\alpha_{en}}{\alpha_{en} + \alpha_{in}} \frac{\mathbf{A}_i}{n_i}$$
(20)

It is clear that equation (20) must be satisfied if equation (19) is valid. The required condition therefore is given by

$$e \nabla \times [\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}] = \nabla \times \left[ \frac{\alpha_{in} \mathbf{A}_e / n_e}{\alpha_{en} + \alpha_{in}} - \frac{\alpha_{en} \mathbf{A}_i / n_i}{\alpha_{en} + \alpha_{in}} \right] = 0$$
 (21)

since

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0$$

It is easy to verify that the R.H.S. of equation (21) is zero for an isothermal condition. Thus, the assumption of  $\mathbf{v}_e = \mathbf{v}_i$  leads to the following condition.

$$\nabla \times [\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}] = 0 \tag{22}$$

This condition is always fulfilled in the absence of a magnetic field or when the motion is along the field lines. The last condition is generally assumed to be valid in the F-region and in the following we shall examine this case in detail.

#### DIFFUSION ALONG FIELD LINES

From equations (14) and (19)

$$\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_n = \frac{-\mathbf{A}_n}{(n_s \alpha_{sn} + n_i \alpha_{in}) n_n} \tag{23}$$

substituting equation (15) and equation (20) in equation (23) and assuming

$$m_e \ll m_i \quad {
m and} \quad rac{n_i - n_e}{n_{e,i}} \ll 1,$$

we get

$$\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_n \simeq -\frac{2kT}{n_n(\alpha_{en} + \alpha_{in})} \left[ \frac{1}{n_e} \nabla n_e - \frac{m_i \mathbf{g}}{2kT} \right]$$
 (24)

In deriving equation (24), it is assumed that  $T_e = T_i = T$ . It is evident that equation (24) does not explicitly depend on the magnetic field. In the following, we assume for simplicity that  $\mathbf{v}_n \ll \mathbf{v}$ . This assumption may not be justifiable in general. However, it can be easily verified that equation (22) still holds even in this case. Equations (22) and (24) thus lead to the following equation

$$e\nabla \times [\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}] = \nabla \times \left[ \frac{-2kT}{n_{e}(\alpha_{en} + \alpha_{in})} \left( \frac{1}{n_{e}} \nabla n_{e} - \frac{m_{i}\mathbf{g}}{2kT} \right) \times \mathbf{B} \right] = 0$$
 (25)

Equation (25) specifies the condition for ambipolar diffusion and must be solved to determine the required distribution. In the case of field-aligned motion, i.e., when the plasma is diffusing along the field lines, equation (25) is clearly satisfied. We shall examine this case in the following.

In a spherical polar coordinate system coincident with the center of the earth, we may write

$$v_{r} = \frac{-2kT}{n_{n}(\alpha_{en} + \alpha_{in})} \left[ \frac{1}{n_{e}} \frac{\partial n_{e}}{\partial r} + \frac{m_{i}g}{2kT} \right]$$

$$v_{\theta} = \frac{-2kT}{n_{n}(\alpha_{en} + \alpha_{in})} \frac{1}{n_{e}} \frac{\partial n_{e}}{r \partial \theta}$$

$$v_{\varphi} = \frac{-2kT}{n_{n}(\alpha_{en} + \alpha_{in})} \frac{1}{n_{e}} \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial n_{e}}{\partial \varphi}$$
(26)

where r is measured positive outward and  $\theta$  and  $\varphi$  denote the geomagnetic colatitude and longitude. Further we may write.

$$\mathbf{B} = -B(\mathbf{i}_r \sin I + \mathbf{i}_\theta \cos I) \tag{27}$$

where i and i are unit vectors along r and  $\theta$  directions and I is the magnetic dip angle reckoned positive when the north seeking pole of the needle points downward. The field aligned plasma diffusion case using equations (26) and (27) yields the

following equation

$$\frac{1}{n_e} \frac{\partial n_e}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2H} = \frac{1}{n_e} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial n_e}{\partial \theta} \tan I$$

$$H = \frac{kT}{m_e g}$$
(28)

where

Equation (28) is a partial differential equation and we shall make use of it in solving the equation of continuity. Equation (13), putting  $R_e = 0$ , may be written

$$\nabla \cdot n_e \nabla = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 n_e v_r) + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (n_e v_\theta \sin \theta) + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} (n_\varphi v_\varphi) = 0 \quad (29)$$

Again from equations (15), (19) and (20) assuming  $m_i \simeq m_n$  and neglecting  $n_e$  or  $n_i$ as compared to  $n_n$  we may write

$$\frac{1}{n_n} \frac{\partial n_n}{\partial r} \simeq -\frac{m_n g}{kT}$$

$$n_n \simeq n_{n0} \exp\left[-(r - r_0)/H\right]$$
(30)

where  $n_{n0}$  refers to the neutral density at height  $r_0$ .

From equations (26), (28), (29) and (30) we get the following differential equation

$$\left(1 + \frac{\tan^2 \theta}{4}\right) \frac{\partial^2 n_e}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial n_e}{\partial r} \left[ \frac{3}{2H} + \frac{3}{r} + \frac{\tan^2 \theta}{4} \left( \frac{3}{r} + \frac{1}{H} \right) \right] + \frac{n_e}{2H} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{H} + \frac{3}{r} \right) + \frac{\tan^2 \theta}{4} \left( \frac{3}{r} + \frac{1}{2H} \right) \right] = 0 \quad (31)$$

In deriving (31), the dipole field approximation, i.e.,  $\tan I = 2 \cot \theta$ , has been assumed. The solution of equation (31) is given by

$$n_e(r, \theta) = A_1(\theta) \exp{-(r/2H)} + A_2(\theta) \exp{-(r/2H)}$$

$$\times \int \frac{1}{r^3} \exp{-[2r/H(4 + \tan^2{\theta})]} dr \quad (32)$$

where  $A_1(\theta)$  and  $A_2(\theta)$  are two arbitrary functions of  $\theta$  but are independent of r and must be evaluated to determine equation (32) uniquely. It is seen, however, that if equation (32) is substituted in equation (28) the only permissible values of  $A_1(\theta)$  and  $A_2(\theta)$  are when

$$A_1(\theta) = \text{const} = A_1$$
  
 $A_2(\theta) = 0$ 

If we allow any other value of  $A_2(\theta)$ , the resulting differential equation of  $A_2(\theta)$  has a solution which is not independent of r. This, however, is self-contradictory. We thus obtain

$$n_e = A_1 \exp\left(-r/2H\right) \tag{33}$$

A similar result may be obtained if equation (31) is written in terms of variable of  $\theta$  instead of variable of r. In this case we obtain the following differential equation.

$$\frac{\partial^2 n_e}{\partial \theta^2} + \left[ \frac{r}{H} - (1 + 4 \cot^2 \theta) \right] \frac{\cot \theta}{1 + 4 \cot^2 \theta} \frac{\partial n_e}{\partial \theta} = 0$$
 (34)

The solution of equation (34) may be written in the form

$$n_e(r, \theta) = B_1(r) + B_2(r) \int \left(\frac{1 + 3\cos^2\theta}{4}\right)^{r/6H} \sin\theta \, d\theta$$
 (35)

where  $B_1(r)$  and  $B_2(r)$  are arbitrary functions of r and must be evaluated from boundary conditions. Again substituting equation (35) in equation (28) we find, following the arguments given before, that

$$B_2(r) = 0$$
  
 $N_e = B_1(r) = \text{const exp}(-r/2H)$  (36)

which is equivalent to equation (33).

Equation (36) corresponds to the hydrostatic distribution of electron density with a scale height twice that of the neutral and is also obtained when  $\mathbf{v} = 0$ .

As a result of the previous analysis it is clear that the assumption of ambipolar diffusion in the ionosphere requires that  $\nabla \times [\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}] = 0$ . In studying the effect of

ambipolar diffusion on the charged particle distribution in the ionosphere, this point must be taken into account. In particular, the assumption of plasma diffusion along the field lines leads to the condition of hydrostatic distribution implying that  $\mathbf{v} = 0$ , independent of geomagnetic latitude.

## DISCUSSION

The results obtained in the preceding section are not in agreement with the findings of Kendall (1962), Lyon (1963), Rishbeth et al. (1963) and Goldberg and Schmerling (1963) even though all these authors have studied the case of plasma diffusion along the field lines. The disagreement arises due to the fact that they have not taken into account the additional constraint on  $\nabla_{ne}$  given by equation (28) which automatically results from the assumption of field aligned plasma diffusion. However, in the opinion of this author this point must be taken into account. In view of the great geophysical importance of this problem we shall pursue this point a little further and investigate the limitations of solving this problem when  $\mathbf{v}_e \neq \mathbf{v}_i$ . For the conditions existing in the F-region where the gyro-frequency is much greater than the collision frequencies it is shown in the appendix that

$$\mathbf{v}_{e} \simeq e(-\gamma_{e} + \delta_{e}\gamma_{i})(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{h})\mathbf{h} - (\gamma_{e} + \delta_{e}\gamma_{i}) \left(\frac{1}{n_{e}}\nabla p_{e} \cdot \mathbf{h}\right) \mathbf{h} + (m_{e}\gamma_{e} + m_{i}\delta_{e}\gamma_{i})(\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{h})\mathbf{h}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{i} \simeq e(\gamma_{i} - \delta_{i}\gamma_{e})(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{h})\mathbf{h} - (\gamma_{i} + \delta_{i}\gamma_{e}) \left(\frac{1}{n_{e}}\nabla p_{e} \cdot \mathbf{h}\right) \mathbf{h}$$

$$+ (m_{i}\gamma_{i} + m_{e}\delta_{i}\gamma_{e})(\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{h})\mathbf{h}$$

$$(38)$$

where **h** is the unit vector along the field lines and the coefficients  $\gamma_e$ ,  $\gamma_i$  etc. are defined by equation (A3) in the appendix. It is evident from equations (37) and (38) that both electron and ion velocities are along the magnetic field when their gyro frequencies are much greater than their respective collision frequencies. However, it is not at all evident that the magnitudes of the two velocities are equal. It is not possible to make any further simplification of equations (37) and (38) since the mathematical problem leading to the solution for the electric field is extremely difficult. In order to avoid this difficulty one generally assumes  $\mathbf{v}_e = \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{v}$ . In this case the electric field can be easily eliminated from equations (37) and (38) leading to the well known expression for the diffusion velocity.

$$\mathbf{v} \simeq \frac{\gamma_e \gamma_i}{\gamma_e + \gamma_i} \left\{ \left[ \frac{2}{n_e} \nabla p_e + (m_e + m_i) \mathbf{g} \right] \cdot \mathbf{h} \right\} \mathbf{h}$$
 (39)

or in the component from

$$egin{aligned} v_{er} &= -D \left\{ \left( rac{1}{n_e} rac{\partial n_e}{\partial r} + rac{1}{T_e} rac{\partial T_e}{\partial r} + rac{1}{2H_i} 
ight) \sin^2 I \, + rac{1}{r} \left( rac{1}{n_e} rac{\partial n_e}{\partial heta} + rac{1}{T_e} rac{\partial T_e}{\partial heta} 
ight) \cos I \sin I 
ight\} \ v_{e heta} &= -D \left\{ \left( rac{1}{n_e} rac{\partial n_e}{\partial r} + rac{1}{T_e} rac{\partial T_e}{\partial r} + rac{1}{2H_i} 
ight) \sin I \cos I + rac{1}{r} \left( rac{1}{n_e} rac{\partial n_e}{\partial heta} + rac{1}{T_e} rac{\partial T_e}{\partial_e} 
ight) \cos^2 I 
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $D = 2kT_e \gamma_e \gamma_i/(\gamma_e + \gamma_i)$  may be interpreted as diffusion coefficient.

Equation (40) has been the basis of studying the diffusion problem in the ionosphere. However, in the light of the discussion presented in this paper it is evident.

120 S. Chandra

that under the assumption of  $\mathbf{v}_e = \mathbf{v}_i$ , the correct expression for  $\mathbf{v}$  is given by equation (24) which does not explicitly depend on the magnetic field irrespective of the relative magnitude of gyro and collision frequencies. The dependence on the magnetic field comes from equation (22) which is the required condition for  $\mathbf{v}_e = \mathbf{v}_i$ .

Unfortunately, there is no simple way of solving for  $\mathbf{v}_e$  and  $\mathbf{v}_i$  in terms of the particle densities and temperatures. In order to avoid the mathematical complexities, it may perhaps be desirable to devise experimental techniques for measuring the electric field. This will certainly be an important step in our understanding of the very intricate problem of diffusion in the ionosphere.

Acknowledgement—I am indebted to Dr. S. J. BAUER for giving many valuable suggestions throughout the course of this work. I also wish to thank Mr. W. CAHILL, Dr. F. MARIANI and Dr. E. MAIER for their critical comments.

This work was performed while the author held a NASA Post Doctoral Research Associateship from the National Academy of Sciences.

### REFERENCES

Chapman S.	1956	Nuovo Cimento, Suppl. 4, 1385.
FERRARO V. C. A.	1945	Terr. Mag. and Atmos. Elect. 50, 215.
GOLDBERG R. A. and SCHMERLING E. R.	1963	J. Geophys. Res. 68 1927.
JOHNSON M. H. and HULBURT E. C.	1950	Phys. Rev. 79, 802.
Johnson M. H.	1951	Phys. Rev. 84, 566.
KENDALL P. C.	1962	J. Atmosph. Terr. Phys. 24, 805.
LAMB H.	1932	Hydrodynamics, Dover Publications.
Lyon A. J.	1963	J. Geophys. Res. 68, 2531.
RISHBETH H., LYON A. J. and		
MARGRET PEART	1963	J. Geophys. Res. 68, 2559.
SCHÜLTER A.	1951	Z. Naturf. 6a, 73.
SPITZER L.	1962	Physics of Fully Ionized Gases, 2nd ed. Interscience, New York.

#### APPENDIX

If  $\mathbf{v}_n \ll \mathbf{v}_e$  or  $\mathbf{v}_i$ , we may rewrite equations (8) and (9) in the following form.

$$\mathbf{v}_e + \lambda_e \mathbf{v}_e \times \mathbf{h} = \gamma_e \left( -e \mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{A}_e}{n_e} \right) + \delta_e \mathbf{v}_i \tag{A1}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_i + \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i \times \mathbf{h} = \gamma_i \left( e \mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{A}_i}{n_i} \right) + \delta_i \mathbf{v}_e$$
 (A2)

where **h** is a unit vector along the field lines and the coefficients  $\gamma_e$ ,  $\gamma_i$  etc. are given by the following equations.

$$\begin{split} \gamma_e &= \frac{1}{n_i \alpha_{ei} + n_n \alpha_{en}} \\ \gamma_i &= \frac{1}{n_e \alpha_{ei} + n_n \alpha_{in}} \\ \lambda_e &= eB\gamma_e \\ \lambda_i &= -eB\gamma_i \\ \delta_e &= n_i \alpha_{ei} \gamma_e \\ \delta_i &= n_e \alpha_{ei} \gamma_i \end{split} \tag{A3}$$

The coefficients  $\lambda_e$  and  $\lambda_i$  may be interpreted as the ratio of gyrofrequency and the effective collision frequencies of electrons and ions respectively. Further,  $\delta_e$  and  $\delta_i$  are the coupling terms between electron and ion motion through collision. Obviously  $\delta_e$  and  $\delta_i$  are zero if  $\mathbf{v}_e = \mathbf{v}_i$  since the terms containing  $\alpha_{ei}$  are zero.

Equations (A1) and (A2) may be solved for  $\mathbf{v}_e$  and  $\mathbf{v}_i$ . We thus obtain

$$\mathbf{v}_{e} = \frac{1 - \lambda_{e}\lambda_{i} - \delta_{e}\delta_{i}}{(1 - \lambda_{e}\lambda_{i} - \delta_{e}\delta_{i})^{2} + (\lambda_{e} + \lambda_{i})^{2}} \left\{ \gamma_{e}\mathbf{G}_{e} \left[ 1 + \frac{\lambda_{i}(\lambda_{e} + \lambda_{i})}{1 - \lambda_{e}\lambda_{i} - \delta_{e}\delta_{i}} \right] \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{\gamma_{e}(\mathbf{G}_{e} \cdot \mathbf{h})\mathbf{h}}{1 - \delta_{e}\delta_{i}} \left[ \frac{(\lambda_{e} + \lambda_{i})(\lambda_{e} + \lambda_{i}\delta_{e}\delta_{i})}{1 - \lambda_{e}\lambda_{i} - \delta_{e}\delta_{i}} - \lambda_{i}\lambda_{e} \right] \right.$$

$$\left. + \gamma_{e}\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{G}_{e} \left[ \frac{\lambda_{e} + \lambda_{i}}{1 - \lambda_{e}\lambda_{i} - \delta_{e}\delta_{i}} - \lambda_{i} \right] \right.$$

$$\left. + \delta_{e}\gamma_{i}\mathbf{G}_{i} + \frac{\delta_{e}\gamma_{i}(\mathbf{G}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{h})\mathbf{h}}{1 - \delta_{e}\delta_{i}} \left[ \frac{(\lambda_{e} + \lambda_{i})^{2}}{1 - \lambda_{e}\lambda_{i} - \delta_{e}\delta_{i}} - \lambda_{i}\lambda_{e} \right] \right.$$

$$\left. + \delta_{e}\gamma_{i}\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{G}_{i} \left[ \frac{\lambda_{e} + \lambda_{i}}{1 - \lambda_{e}\lambda_{i} - \delta_{e}\delta_{i}} \right] \right)$$

$$\left. + \delta_{e}\gamma_{i}\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{G}_{i} \left[ \frac{\lambda_{e} + \lambda_{i}}{1 - \lambda_{e}\lambda_{i} - \delta_{e}\delta_{i}} \right] \right.$$

$$\left. + \delta_{e}\gamma_{i}\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{G}_{i} \left[ \frac{\lambda_{e} + \lambda_{i}}{1 - \lambda_{e}\lambda_{i} - \delta_{e}\delta_{i}} \right] \right)$$

$$\left. + \delta_{e}\gamma_{i}\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{G}_{i} \left[ \frac{\lambda_{e} + \lambda_{i}}{1 - \lambda_{e}\lambda_{i} - \delta_{e}\delta_{i}} \right] \right.$$

$$\left. + \delta_{e}\gamma_{i}\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{G}_{i} \left[ \frac{\lambda_{e} + \lambda_{i}}{1 - \lambda_{e}\lambda_{i} - \delta_{e}\delta_{i}} \right] \right.$$

$$\left. + \delta_{e}\gamma_{i}\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{G}_{i} \left[ \frac{\lambda_{e} + \lambda_{i}}{1 - \lambda_{e}\lambda_{i} - \delta_{e}\delta_{i}} \right] \right.$$

$$\left. + \delta_{e}\gamma_{i}\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{G}_{i} \left[ \frac{\lambda_{e} + \lambda_{i}}{1 - \lambda_{e}\lambda_{i} - \delta_{e}\delta_{i}} \right] \right.$$

$$\left. + \delta_{e}\gamma_{i}\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{G}_{i} \left[ \frac{\lambda_{e} + \lambda_{i}}{1 - \lambda_{e}\lambda_{i} - \delta_{e}\delta_{i}} \right] \right.$$

where

$$\mathbf{G}_{e} = -e\mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{A}_{e}}{n_{e}}$$
 $\mathbf{G}_{i} = e\mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{A}_{i}}{n_{i}}$  (A5)

A similar expression can be written for  $\mathbf{v}_i$  by interchanging the suffixes e and i.

At this stage it is appropriate to make a numerical estimate of the coefficients  $\lambda_e$ ,  $\gamma_e$ , etc. in order to get a physical insight of equation (A4). For the conditions existing in the F-region, we adopt the following numerical values of the collision frequencies as given by Chapman (1956). These frequencies correspond to his model h (T = 1480°K). Thus we may write

$$egin{aligned} v_{ei} &= 268/\mathrm{sec} \ v_{en} &= 37 \cdot 4/\mathrm{sec} \ v_{in} &= 1/\mathrm{sec} \end{aligned}$$

Again 
$$\omega_e=rac{eB}{m_e}=5\cdot2\, imes\,10^6/{
m sec}$$
 where  $B=0\cdot3\, imes\,10^{-4}$  Weber 
$$\omega_i=rac{eB}{m_i}=1\cdot5\, imes\,10^2/{
m sec} \ {
m assuming} \ m_i=19 \ {
m amu}$$

Substituting these values in equation (39) and neglecting  $m_e$  as compared to  $m_i$  we obtain

$$egin{aligned} \lambda_e &\simeq 1.7 \, imes 10^4 \ \lambda_i &\simeq 2.8 \, imes 10^2 \ \gamma_i &\simeq 0.6 \, imes 10^{26} \, \mathrm{sec/kg} \ \gamma_e &\simeq 3.5 \, imes 10^{27} \, \mathrm{sec/kg} \ \delta_e &\simeq 0.88 \ \delta_i &\simeq 1.54 \, imes 10^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

From the above computations it is clear that  $\lambda_e$  and  $\lambda_i \gg 1$ ;  $\delta_e \approx 1$  and  $\delta_i \ll 1$ . With these approximations, equation (A4) can be written in the following form

$$\mathbf{v}_{e} \simeq \gamma_{e} \left[ \frac{\mathbf{G}_{e}}{\lambda_{e}^{2}} + (\mathbf{G}_{e} \cdot \mathbf{h})\mathbf{h} + \frac{\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{G}_{e}}{\lambda_{e}} \right] + \delta_{e} \gamma_{i} \left[ -\frac{\mathbf{G}_{i}}{\lambda_{e} \lambda_{i}} + (\mathbf{G}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{h})\mathbf{h} + \frac{\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{G}_{i}}{\lambda_{e} \lambda_{i}} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{e}} \right) \right]$$
(A6)

A further approximation of equation (A6) results in the following equation:

$$\mathbf{v}_e \simeq \gamma_e(\mathbf{G}_e \cdot \mathbf{h})\mathbf{h} + \delta_e \gamma_i(\mathbf{G}_1 \cdot \mathbf{h})\mathbf{h}$$
 (A7)

Following the same procedure for  $\mathbf{v}_i$ , we may write

$$\mathbf{v}_i \simeq \gamma_i(\mathbf{G}_1 \cdot \mathbf{h})\mathbf{h} + \delta_i \gamma_e(\mathbf{G}_e \cdot \mathbf{h})\mathbf{h}$$
 (A8)

We may further write, assuming  $(1/n_e)\nabla p_e \simeq (1/n_i) \nabla p_i$ , and substituting for  $\mathbf{G}_e$  and  $\mathbf{G}_i$  from equation (A5)

$$\mathbf{v}_{e} \simeq e(-\gamma_{e} + \delta_{e}\gamma_{i})(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{h})\mathbf{h} - (\gamma_{e} + \delta_{e}\gamma_{i}) \left(\frac{1}{n_{e}} \nabla p_{e} \cdot \mathbf{h}\right)\mathbf{h} + (m_{e}\gamma_{e} + m_{i}\delta_{e}\gamma_{i})(\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{h})\mathbf{h}$$
(A9)

$$\mathbf{v}_{i} \simeq e(\gamma_{i} - \delta_{i}\gamma_{e})(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{h})\mathbf{h} - (\gamma_{i} + \delta_{i}\gamma_{e}) \left(\frac{1}{n_{e}} \nabla p_{e} \cdot \mathbf{h}\right)\mathbf{h} + (m_{i}\gamma_{i} + m_{e}\delta_{i}\gamma_{e})(\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{h})\mathbf{h}$$
(A10)