# NASA TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

NASA TM X-53386

February 1, 1966



# A MONTE CARLO PROGRAM FOR TRANSMISSION PROBABILITY CALCULATIONS INCLUDING MASS MOTIONS

By James O. Ballance Aero-Astrodynamics Laboratory

GPO PRICE	\$	
CFSTI PRICE	S) \$	
Hard copy Microfiche	(HC) (MF)	2.00 .50

NASA

NASA TM X-53386

George C. Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, Alabama

#### NASA-GEORGE C. MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM X-53386

# A MONTE CARLO PROGRAM FOR TRANSMISSION PROBABILITY CALCULATIONS INCLUDING MASS MOTIONS

1

ŝ

By

James O. Ballance

#### ABSTRACT

A Monte Carlo computer program which can be used to calculate the transmission probabilities for two cylindrical tubes in series is described, as well as the technique of adding directed mass motion to the random thermal motion of the molecules. The results for a simple straight cylindrical duct are compared to other solutions, and angle-ofattack effects are examined. Complex systems for which no adequate solutions have previously been found are easily analysed by this method. The Fortran instructions are listed along with a typical program solution.

ł

NASA-GEORGE C. MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM X-53386

February 1, 1966

İ

# A MONTE CARLO PROGRAM FOR TRANSMISSION PROBABILITY CALCULATIONS INCLUDING MASS MOTIONS

By

James O. Ballance

AERODYNAMICS DIVISION AERO-ASTRODYNAMICS LABORATORY

#### LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Title	Page
1.	Model for Monte Carlo Program for Transmission Probability Calculation	13
2.	Computer Flow Diagram for Monte Carlo Calculations of Transmission Probabilities	14
3.	Fortran Program for Monte Carlo Calculations of Free Molecular Flow Through Cylindrical Ducts	15-21
4.	Typical Computer Result	22
5.	Distribution of Speeds from Monte Carlo Calculation	23
6.	Histogram for Speed Distribution with Mass Motion	24
7.	Transmission Probabilities for Cylindrical Ducts as a Function of Speed Ratio	25
8.	Transmission Probabilities for Cylindrical Ducts as a Function of Length to Radius Ratio, L/A, with Speed Ratios	26
9.	Transmission Probabilities for Cylindrical Ducts as a Function of Length to Radius Ratio, L/A, with Speed Ratios	27
10.	Transmission Probabilities for Cylindrical Ducts as a Function of Speed Ratio	28
11.	Transmission Probabilities for Cylindrical Ducts as a Function of Speed Ratio	29
12.	Transmission Probabilities for Cylindrical Ducts as a Function of Speed Ratio	30
13.	Transmission Probabilities for Cylindrical Ducts as a Function of Speed Ratio	31
14.	Transmission Probabilities for Cylindrical Ducts as a Function of Speed Ratio	32
15.	Transmission Probabilities for Cylindrical Ducts as a Function of Speed Ratio	33

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Cont'd)

Figure	Title	Page
16.	Transmission Probabilities for Cylindrical Duct at a Constant Speed Ratio for Various Angles of Attack	34
17.	Transmission Probabilities for Orifice Restricted Cylindrical Tube	35
18.	Transmission Probabilities for Two Cylindrical Ducts in Series	36
19.	Transmission Probabilities for Two Ducts in Series at Various Speed Ratios	37
20.	Transmission Probabilities for Cylindrical Duct at a Constant Speed Ratio for Various Angles of Attack	38

# TABLE

Tra	insmissic	on Prot	pabiliti	ies for	Cylindrical	Ducts	
at	Various	Speed	Ratios	• • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •		9-12

#### TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM X-53386

# A MONTE CARLO PROGRAM FOR TRANSMISSION PROBABILITY CALCULATIONS INCLUDING MASS MOTIONS

#### SUMMARY

19510

A Monte Carlo computer program which can be used to calculate the transmission probabilities for two cylindrical tubes in series is described, as well as the technique of adding directed mass motion to the random thermal motion of the molecules. The results for a simple straight cylindrical duct are compared to other solutions, and angle-of-attack effects are examined. Complex systems for which no adequate solutions have previously been found are easily analysed by this method. The Fortran instructions are listed along with a typical program solution.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

While the properties of rarefied gas flow have been studied in detail for many years, there still exists, in many respects, a real lack of understanding of the physics of the problem. Gross properties such as the transmission probability (the probability that, if a molecule enters a system at point 1, it will exit the system at point 2), the spatial distribution at, near, or in the system, etc., have been determined for very simple systems (i.e., cylindrical ducts, rectangular ducts, infinitely wide parallel plates, etc.) when the density is sufficiently low that the system is in the free molecular flow regime. However, the condition for free molecular flow is not exact since all the parameters which affect the flow properties are not known. The Knudsen number is normally defined as the rates of the mean free path ( $\lambda$ ) to the characteristic dimension (L) of the system. When the Knudsen number is large (Kn = 10 or higher), the system is said to be in free molecular flow. But if one is considering a cylindrical duct as a system, it is not known if the characteristic length is the diameter of the duct, the length of the duct, or some dimension resulting from a combination of these values. For very large mean free paths and relatively small length-to-diameter ratios for the duct, the exact Knudsen number is not important. When the mean free path of the molecule is near the characteristic length ( $10 \ge K \ge .1$ ), the flow regime is called transition flow, for which no sufficient description is presently available.

These problems are greatly magnified when one considers complex systems such as orifice-restricted tubes, baffles in tubes, elbows, etc., and flow where there is relative motion between the system and the gas. There is a wealth of information in the literature about this problem with most of the solutions anchored on the excellent work of Clausing [1]. His approach and techniques have been followed with some improvements in accuracy. It was not until Davis in 1959 [2] that any significantly different approach to the problem was developed. In a series of extremely useful papers [2,3,4,5], Davis and his co-workers made a significant contribution to the field of vacuum system design. Because of the obvious success of Davis' approach and the belief that this approach could be used for solutions of many problems, the writer has developed programs very similar to those of Davis to look at several types of problems. Some results of these programs have been published [6,7]. These programs consider complex geometrical systems, relative mass motion, reflection coefficients, spatial distributions, etc. Since many requests for information about these programs have been received, it was decided to present in detail the program for a simple system. This paper describes the Fortran computer program for the Monte Carlo calculations of the transmission probability for two co-axial cylinders connected in series with provisions for relative mass motion directed along the axis of the system or at any arbitrary angle with respect to the axis. Only the basic program will be described in detail. Modifications which have been incorporated to determine flux distributions, spatial distributions, capture coefficients, etc., will be only briefly mentioned since these aspects can be added to the basic program as the user desires. The program has not been refined. It was not the intent of this study to develop an optimum, time-saving computer solution. Α skilled programmer could easily reduce the computing time. However, it was felt that the 8 minutes it takes for the present computer solution on a CDC-3200 (or 2 to 4 minutes on an IBM-7094) was reasonable. Most of the effort has been spent on the physics of the problem.

#### II. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROGRAM

#### A. ASSUMPTIONS

The following basic assumptions of the flow parameters of the molecules are consistent with most approaches:

1. The molecules enter the cylindrical duct with a direction proportional to the cosine of the angle between the normal to the entrance plane and the direction of the molecule. If the molecule strikes a wall, it leaves the wall in a direction proportional to the cosine of the angle between the normal to wall at the point of collision and the direction of the molecule.

2. The mean free path is sufficiently large such that collisions between molecules can be ignored. (Modifications to this basic program are not limited by this assumption; however, no description of these modifications will be made in this paper).

B. MODEL DESCRIPTION

The model for this study is shown in Figure 1, where we see the two cylindrical tubes in series with a common axis. For convenience, the radius of tube 1 is given the value of one. The configuration to be studied is then described in input parameters for the following parameters. (The symbols enclosed by parentheses refer to the parameters in the Fortran program, Figure 3):

1. The length of tube 1, (Q).

2. The length of tube 2, (QL2).

3. The radius of the entrance orifice to tube 1, (A).

4. The radius of the exit orifice of tube 1, (B).

5. The diameter of tube 2, (CL2).

6. The radius of the exit orifice of tube 2, (DL2).

In Figure 1, tube 2 is shown to be larger in diameter than tube 1. The program is not restricted to this configuration and can be used with tube 2 having any diameter. If QL2 is zero, tube 2 is not considered by the program.

C. THE MONTE CARLO PROCEDURE

In the Monte Carlo procedures, molecular histories are generated for a large number of molecular paths through the system. The properties of each molecule are recorded depending on the parameters of interest. This paper will consider only the tabulations of the number of molecules which exit the system at either exit plane as a function of the number of collisions with the walls (both the tube walls and the orifice plates).

A flow diagram for the computer program is shown in Figure 2; the Fortran print-out for the program is shown in Figure 3. The history for a molecule begins with instruction 2010. (The program instructions preceding number 2010 merely performs bookkeeping functions, presets counters, reads the input parameters, etc.) The initial coordinates of the molecule on the entrance plane are given by (with the axis of the cylinders being the positive X axis)  $Y_1 = 1 - 2R_1$  $Z_1 = 1 - 2R_2$ ,

where  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are random numbers. (There are numerous methods to calculate pseudo-random numbers in the literature.) These coordinates can fall anywhere within the square bounded by  $y = \pm A$ , and  $z = \pm A$ . The next instruction selects only those points within the circle  $Y_1^2 + Z_1^2 = A^2$ . Instructions 2011 through 2142 calculate a cosine-bias angle.

If mass motion is to be considered, the instructions from 2555 through 2141 are used to calculate the random thermal speed of the molecule. This is explained more fully in the next section. Direction cosines for the molecular trajectory are determined from 2556 through 2020. Instruction 2024 tests to see if the molecule hits the exit plane of the tube. If it does not hit this plane, it must hit the wall of tube between X = 0 and X = L(Q). The point of collision is calculated by instructions 3026 through 3035. At this point, the molecule is reflected diffusely from the tube wall by the instructions 3039 up to 3045. The instruction before 3045 senses Ul to see which direction the molecule will go. Instructions 3045 to 3052 and 3060 to 3063 tests to see if the molecule hits the end planes of the cylinder. If it does not, the x coordinate of the point of collision with the tube wall is calculated by 3068 to  $\cdot 3642$ . The remainder of the program repeats these tests for molecules in tube 2.

#### D. SPEED DISTRIBUTION

Although the procedure for combining the relative mass motion to the random thermal motion is straightforward, it needs some explanation. In the program, the position of a molecule at the entrance plane of the system is determined, and the direction cosines for its motion considering only the cosine bias are calculated. For no mass motion, the molecules whose direction cosine Ul, because of its thermal velocity, is negative will not enter the system. This is not necessarily so when there is mass motion. The magnitude of the thermal speed of the molecule is chosen by the instructions starting at 2555 in the program. Figure 5 presents a histogram of the thermal speed as selected by this procedure. From this distribution, one can determine the most probable speed to be 1.414, the root-mean-square speed to be 1.777, and the average or mean speed to be 1.596. Also shown in Figure 5 is the theoretical Maxwellian-Boltzman distribution. One can see that this calculation satisfactorily approximates the Maxwellian distribution.

Using the direction cosines, one can then determine the magnitude of each component of the speed. Mass motion can then be added to the thermal speed and new direction cosines for the actual path of the molecule relative to the moving tube. One small consideration must be made, however. This consideration is concerned with the relationship of the thermal speed of the molecules entering the system to the thermal speed of the molecules in the reservoir. From the kinetic theory of gases, one knows that the number of molecules striking the small area is given by

$$\frac{1}{4}$$
 V dN<sub>V</sub>,

where V is the speed of the molecule and

$$dN_{V} = \frac{4N}{\sqrt{\pi'}} \beta^{3} V^{2} e^{-\beta^{2} V^{2}} dV$$

$$\beta = \frac{m}{2kT}$$

The most probable speed of the molecules is found by setting the first derivative of function above equal to zero.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{V}}\left[\frac{1}{4}\,\mathbf{V}\,\frac{4\mathrm{N}}{\sqrt{\pi^{2}}}\,\beta^{3}\mathbf{V}^{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\beta^{2}\mathbf{V}^{2}}\right]=0$$

From this we find that the most probable speed of the molecules leaving the reservoir is given by

$$\left(v_{\text{exiting}}\right)_{\text{mp}} = \sqrt{3/2} \left(v_{\text{reservoir}}\right)_{\text{mp}}$$
.

The distribution shown in Figure 5 is for the molecules exiting the reservoir (or entering the tube). Since the speed ratio is defined as the ratio of the mass motion to the most probable motion of the gas molecules in the reservoir, the mass motion vector which is added to the thermal speed vector must be expressed in terms of the reservoir speed. Thus, the mass motion speed vector is given by

$$\frac{1.414 \text{ s}}{\sqrt{3/2}} = 1.155 \text{ s},$$

where S = speed ratio.

Figure 6 shows a histogram for the speed distribution with mass motion. The solid curve represents the Monte Carlo solution for the general expression of the velocity distribution

$$\frac{d^{3}N_{V}}{N} = \left(\frac{m}{2\pi kT}\right)^{3/2} e^{-\frac{m}{2kT} \left[\left(V_{x} - SI\right)^{2} + V_{y}^{2} + V_{z}^{2}\right]} dV_{x} dV_{y} dV_{z}$$

where N = 10,000 and SI = 8 
$$(V_{reservoir})_{mp}$$

The root mean square speed was found to be 9.384 and  $\bar{V}$ , 9.330. The dashed curve shows the distribution of molecules that actually enter the tube for the same mass motion. It is seen that, although the rms speed and the mean speed are approximately equal, the actual distributions are very different. This difference is a result of the cosine distribution of the entering molecules. In Figure 6, the peak on the program distribution at V = 8.5 results from the addition of the mass motion to those molecules at the entrance plane which were traveling opposite to the direction of mass motion, while the peak at V = 10.0 results from the addition of mass motion with the molecules which were traveling in the same direction as the mass motion.

#### III. RESULTS

The transmission probabilities for cylindrical ducts at various speed ratios have been calculated by Pond [8], by deLeeuw and Rothe [9], and by Hughes [10]. While Pond presents his data directly as transmission probabilities, it is quite difficult to accurately obtain values from his figures. Rothe and deLeeuw present their data as a pressure ratio; some simple calculations are required to convert the data into transmission probabilities. The results of this operation are presented in Table 1 and in Figures 7 through 9. Figures 10 through 15 present data from the Monte Carlo solutions with the solid line representing the values interpolated from the UTIA data. It is guite noticeable that the Monte Carlo data for all L/A values are slightly lower than the UTIA data below speed ratio of 5. For the zero speed ratio data, the Monte Carlo values are consistently within ±5 per cent of other solutions. For speed ratios up to 4, the difference between the Monte Carlo data and the UTIA data is between 5 and 10 per cent; however, the Monte Carlo data are always the smaller. No explanation of this can be given at this time.

All the previous results are for the case where the mass motion is directed along the axis of the tube. In general, the mass motion will be at any angle with respect to the axis of the tube. Defining the angle of attack to be the angle between the direction of mass motion and the axis of the tube, Figure 16 presents the Monte Carlo data for tubes of L/A = 2, and speed ratios of 2, at various angles of attack. It is interesting to note that, at an angle of attack of 90 degrees, the transmission probability (0.35) is not the same as the transmission probability for zero speed ratio (0.51).

In all the data presented so far, only a single tube nas been considered. Normally, a measuring system in free molecule flow consists of a gauge volume connected to the free stream by an orifice-restricted tube or a series of tubes. The techniques for calculating the transmission probability for composite systems with zero mass motion have been examined by several investigators [7,11,12]. To see if these same approaches could be used for composite systems with mass motions, Monte Carlo calculations were made for an orifice-restricted tube of L/A = 8,  $A_1/A_2 = .5$ , and for various speed ratios. So that any differences which might appear would be the result of the procedure, the Monte Carlo transmission probability values for a tube of L/A = 4 were used in the calculations. Figure 17 presents the results of these calculations. Deviations up to approximately 15 per cent are observed between the calculated values and the Monte Carlo values. Tube lengths of L/A = 2 and 4 were also examined (although not presented in this report). Deviations from the theoretical values for these tubes were quite small, i.e., 2 to 4 per cent. The standard techniques for coupling orifices and tubes in free molecular flow may be used for mass motion studies. The deviation from these values increased with increasing tube length, but decreased with increasing mass motion.

For the case of two tubes with transmission probabilities  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  corresponding to tube  $L_1/A_1$  and  $L_2/A_2$ , respectively, Reference 7 shows that the total transmission probability for zero mass motion is given by

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_{\rm T}} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{\rm 1}} + \frac{{A_{\rm 1}}^2}{{A_{\rm 2}}^2 \alpha_{\rm 2}} - \frac{{A_{\rm 1}}^2}{{A_{\rm 2}}^2} \, .$$

Assuming this equation to be valid for the case where there is mass motion such that  $\alpha(L/A)$  becomes  $\alpha(L/A,S)$ , calculations were made for two values of L/A and various speed ratios and are compared with Monte Carlo data for the same parameters in Figure 19. There is excellent agreement between the calculated values and the Monte Carlo values.

Figure 20 presents a comparison of Monte Carlo solutions for transmission probabilities for cylindrical ducts and angles of attack with data from Reference 10 for the same configuration. Again, there is excellent agreement between the two methods.

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

A simple Monte Carlo computer program similar to the one developed by Davis has been modified to permit investigation of the influence of mass motion with random orientation with respect to the tube. Comparison of results from this program to numerical solutions of other investigations show the agreement of the different methods to be excellent. Using the inherent advantages of this program, such as orifice studies, series of tubes, etc., the general coupling equations developed for zero mass flow appear to be applicable to flows with relative mass motion.

After this comparison of numerical methods to Monte Carlo methods for simple tubes, a great amount of confidence may be placed in attempting investigations of parameters such as reflection coefficients, thermal and accommodation coefficients, etc. Also rather simple modifications to this program allow one to examine ducts of other types, i.e., elliptical and conical.

#### TABLE I

### TRANSMISSION PROBABILITIES FOR CYLINDRICAL DUCTS AT VARIOUS SPEED RATIOS

(CALCULATED FROM UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO REPORT NO. 88, DECEMBER 1962, BY J. H. DELEEUW AND D. E. ROTHE)

L/A

•

#### K(S+L/A)

	S=0	S=0.02	S=0.05	S=0.1
•010021	•995015	•995073	•995179	•995317
.013338	•993375	•993433	•993630	•993760
•017752	•991202	•991355	•991456	•991753
•023628	•988324	•988477	•988668	•989040
.031449	•984520	•984767	•985043	•985482
.041859	•979501	•979747	•980111	•980788
•055714	•972901	•973239	•973775	•974507
•074155	•964256	•964684	•965299	•966416
•098701	•952988	•953595	•954368	•955765
.131371	•938402	•939091	•940191	•941927
•174854	•919688	•920629	•921947	•924150
•232731	895950	<b>.</b> 897040	898725	•901429
•309765	•866283	•867671	<b>.</b> 869681	•872967
•412298	•829888	•831538	•833904	•837829
•548769	•786257	•788124	•790854	•795436
•730410	•735367	•737397	•740476	•745562
•972176	•677841	<b>.</b> 679974	•683233	•688666
1.293971	•614986	617159	•620498	•626050
1.722267	•548766	•550917	•554137	•559610
2.292342	•481741	•483769	•486810	•491991
3.051106	•416713	•418547	•421327	•426000
4.061021	•355790	•357391	•359827	•363959
5.405230	•299834	•301212	•303291	•306845
7.194348	•249045	•250213	•251962	•254952
9.575701	•203677	.204633	.206081	•208559
12.745267	.164051	.164837	•166018	•168025
16.963960	•130320	•130944	•131882	•133499
22.578970	•102309	.102799	103545	•104813
30.052592	•079535	•079916	•080503	•081495
40.000000	•061367	•061667	•062114	•062879

#### TRANSMISSION PROBABILITIES FOR CYLINDRICAL DUCTS AT VARIOUS SPEED RATIOS

(CALCULATED FROM UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO REPORT NO. 88, DECEMBER 1962, BY J. H. DELEEUW AND D. E. ROTHE)

K(S,L/A)

	5=0•2	5=0.3	S=0.5	S=0.75
.010021	•995636	•995930	•996414	•997009
.013338	•994209	•994534	•995239	•995994
•017752	•992247	•992786	•993668	•994687
.023628	•989720	•990392	•991619	•992945
•031449	•986405	•987248	•988868	•990612
•041859	•981939	•983122	985209	•987524
•055714	•976090	•977638	•980400	•983435
•074155	•968454	•970379	•974047	•978019
•098701	•958367	•960922	•965667	•970845
•131371	•945314	•948589	•954683	•961429
•174854	•928441	•932566	•940359	•949078
•232731	•906855	•912034	•921860	•932991
•309765	879561	•886005	•898220	•912209
•412298	845703	•853442	•868328	•885554
•548769	804512	813570	.831181	•851933
•730410	•755763	•765950	•786133	•810390
•972176	699656	•710758	.732956	•760292
1.293971	.637380	<b>.</b> 648912	.672337	•701856
1.722267	•570796	•582290	.605929	•636333
2.292342	• 502600	•513549	•536385	•566302
3.051106	•435683	•445744	•466882	•494972
4.061021	• 372523	•381433	•400314	•425685
5.405230	•314215	•321907	•338272	•360475
7.194348	•261150	•267640	•281523	•300456
9.575701	•213679	•219073	•230612	•246424
12.745267	.172202	•176594	.186016	•198984
16.963960	•136841	•140364	•147934	•158386
22.578970	107458	•110245	•116238	•124537
30.052592	•083566	•085744	•090446	•096955
40.000000	• 064482	•066169	•069811	•074866

#### TABLE I CONTINUED

#### TRANSMISSION PROBABILITIES FOR CYLINDRICAL DUCTS AT VARIOUS SPEED RATIOS

# (CALCULATED FROM UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO REPORT NO. 88, DECEMBER 1962, BY J. H. DELEEUW AND D. E. ROTHE)

L/A

.

K(S+L/A)

	S=1.0	S=1•5	S=2.0	S=3.0
.010021	•997460	•998153	•998587	•999066
•013338	•996636	•997533	•998118	•998727
•017752	•995520	•996726	•997500	•998314
.023628	•994043	•995648	•996680	•997738
•031449	•992060	•994198	•995579	•997046
•041859	•989455	•992289	•994109	•996107
•055714	•985974	•989744	•992171	•994793
•074155	•981379	•986356	•989582	•993026
•098701	•975260	•981871	•986122	•990741
•131371	•967202	•975905	•981549	•987666
•174854	•956595	•967981	•974281	•983536
•232731	•942654	•957496	•967353	•978117
•309765	•924480	•943676	•956601	•970900
•412298	<ul><li>900963</li></ul>	•925521	•977487	•961324
•548769	870840	•901780	•923601	•948489
•730410	•832991	.871117	898954	•931629
•972176	•786407	•832080	•866865	•909231
1.293971	•730797	•783488	825723	•879 <b>799</b>
1.722267	•666936	•724985	•774219	•841413
2.292342	•597051	•657693	•712129	•792279
3.051106	•524404	•584387	•641035	•731374
4.061021	•452652	•509051	•564575	•659777
5.405230	• 384 <b>3</b> 25	•435216	486945	•581021
7.194348	•320979	•365417	•411695	•499720
9•575701	•263678	•301455	•341523	•420331
12.745267	•213191	•244575	•278317	•346376
16.963960	•169876	•195418	•223168	•280303
22.578970	•133684	•154127	•176499	•223239
30.052592	•104149	•124756	•138040	•175498
40.000000	•080462	•093050	•106967	•136563

#### TABLE I CONTINUED

#### TRANSMISSION PROBABILITIES FOR CYLINDRICAL DUCTS AT VARIOUS SPEED RATIOS

(CALCULATED FROM UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO REPORT NO. 88, DECEMBER 1962, BY J. H. DELEEUW AND D. E. ROTHE)

K(S,L/A)

	S=4.0	S=5.0	S=10	S=20
•010021	•999324	.999422	•999728	•999854
•013338	•999078	.999232	•999621	•999817
.017752	•998780	•999003	•999504	•999755
•023628	•998319	•998668	•999334	•999668
•031449	•997809	•998212	•999126	•999556
.041859	•997074	•997655	•998812	•999407
•055714	•996051	•996861	•998422	•999218
.074155	•994749	•995837	•997901	•998948
•098701	•993071	•994415	•997208	•998606
131371	•990710	•992590	•996293	•998146
•174854	•987687	•990126	•995078	•997530
•232731	•983614	•986862	•993430	•996716
•309765	•978170	•982532	•991253	•995628
•412298	•970961	•976744	•988376	•994182
•548769	•961334	•969042	•984521	•992262
•730410	•948588	•958846	•979401	•989701
•972176	•931699	•945256	•972597	•986288
1.293971	•909277	.927241	•963531	•981747
1.722267	•879753	•903438	•951487	•978051
2.292342	•841134	.872023	•935498	•967719
3.051106	•791366	830957	•914288	•956995
4.061021	•729290	•778163	886246	•942842
5.405230	655847	•712652	849368	•923969
7.194348	•574787	635915	801390	•899044
9.575701	•491180	•552293	•740265	•866123
12.745267	•410023	•467380	•665846	•822983
16.963960	•335220	•386354	•581363	•767235
22.578970	•269265	•313020	•493029	•697465
30.052592	•213006	.249408	•407378	•615228
40.000000	•166509	•195940	•329503	•526056





l

```
FIGURE 3
```

```
DIMENSION COUNT(3,250)
     - FORMAT(F9.4,5XF9.4,5XF9.4,5XF9.4,5XF5.0)
    2 FORMAT(F9.4.5XF9.4.5XF9.4.5XF9.4)
      FORMAT(1X9HCOLLISION 5X9HEXIT AT L7X9HEXIT AT 07X10HEXIT AT L2)
3
      FORMAT (2XI3, 12XF5.0, 12XF5.0, 12XF5.0)
   4
   5 FORMAT(11x3Hx=L2xF5+0+8x3Hx=02xF5+0+8x4Hx=L22xF5+0+7x3H0UT2xF5+0)
   6 FORMAT (F14.7)
    7 FORMAT (2H27,2X1HT2YF14.7,5X1HV2XF14.7,5X1HW2XF14.7)
    8 FORMAT (2H70,2X1HT2XE14.7,5X1HV2XE14.7,5X1HW2XE14.7)
   9 FORMAT (14 )
   37 FORMAT (5HX(IC), 2XF14.7, 5X8HCOLL NUM, 2XI3)
  151 FORMAT (F5.3)
  152 FORMAT(3HL1=F9.4,5X3HL2=F9.4,5X2HS=F9.4,5X5HTOTAL2XF5.0)
 153 FORMAT(1x2HA=F9.4,6x2HB=F9.4,5x2HC=F9.4,5x2HD=F9.4)
      FORMAT(1x44HMONTE CARLO SOLUTIONS OF FREE MOLECULAR FLOW)
260
261
      FORMAT (1X25HTHROUGH CYLINDRICAL DUCTS)
      FORMAT (1) YI KHAND SPEED RATIOS)
267
268
      FORMAT (1X15HNO SPEED RATIOS)
  270 FORMAT (141)
  271 FORMAT(14)
272
      FORMAT (1 \times 25 \text{HSPEED RATIO} = K(1.154700))
  604 FORMAT (6HX(IC1), F14.7,6HX(IC2),F14.7,8HCOLL NUM,I3)
  605 FORMAT(1HYF14.7,1HZF14.7,1HXF14.7,2HD1F14.7,2HD2F14.7)
  606 FORMAT(2HU1F14.7,2HU2F14.7,2HU3F14.7,2HAAF14.7,2HAAF14.7,2HAPF14.7,F14.7)
  611 FORMAT (5HX(IC), 2XE14.7, 7HCOLL NO, 2X13)
      FORMAT (OR, 5XOR)
650
8700 FORMAT (F9.4)
      FORMAT(1X26HAMGLE OF ATTACK IN DEGREES, E9.4)
8701
      PI = 3 \cdot 1415926536
150
      XL2T = 0.
      FORMAT (5×6HISTART, 5×08, 5×08)
7800
      XOT = 0.
      XLT = 0.
      OUT = O_{\bullet}
      ATST = 0
      READ 1, Q, QL2, SI, TMAX
      READ 2, A, B, CL2, DL2
      READ 650, ISTART(1), ISTART(2)
      READ 271, NCOLL
      READ 8700 , ANGLE
      DRINT 270
      PRINT 260
      PRINT 261
      IF (SI) 265,266,265
```

TRANSMISSION PROBABILITIES FOR CYLINDRICAL DUCTS

С

COMMON ISTART(2)

```
15
```

FIGURE 3 CONTINUED

DO 500 J = 1,3 DO 500 K = 1, NCOLL500 COUNT( $J_{*}K$ ) = 0. AATAC =  $ANGLE* \cdot 0174532925$ 2010 Y] = (1. - 2.\*FLAT(ISTART))\*A = (1. - 2.\*FLAT(ISTART))\*A 71 D = Y1\*Y1 + Z1\*Z1ICOLL = 1IF  $(D - \Delta * \Lambda)$  2011,2010,2010 2011 R1 = FLAT(ISTART)R2 = FLAT(ISTART) IF(R1 - R2) 2013,2011,2012 2012 CTHET = R1 GO.TO 2142 2013 CTHET = R2 2142 STHET = SQRTE(1. - CTHET\*\*2) SD = 1. IF (SI) 2555,2556,2555 2555 XT = 0.YT = 07T = 0. DO2557 J = 1,12XA = FLAT(ISTART)YA = FLAT(ISTAPT)2558 YT = YT + YA $7\Lambda = FLAT(ISTART)$ 2559 ZT = 7T + 7A2557 XT = XT + XAVX = XT - 6VY = YT - 6. V7 = 7T - 6SP = SORT(VX\*VX + VY\*VY + V7\*V7)IF (FLAT(ISTART) - .5) 2141,2011,2556 2141 CTHET = -CTHET2556 PSI = FLAT(ISTART)\*2.\*PI AA = CTHET\* SP + SI\*1.154700\*COSE(AATAC)AP = STHET\*COSE(PSI)\*SP + SI\*1.154700\*SINE(AATAC) AC = STHET \* SINE(PSI)\*SPDENO = SORT(AA\*AA + AP\*AP + AC\*AC)U1 = AA/DENOIF (11 - 0.) 2011,2011,2098 2998 12 = AP/DENO

1

16

265 PRINT 267 PRINT 272 GO TO 260 266 PRINT 268 269 PRINT 9 PRINT 9

DRINT 9

PRINT 152, Q, QL2, SI, TMAX PRINT 153, A, B, CL2, PL2

PRINT 8701, ANGLE

```
U3 = AC/DENO
      \Delta TST = \Delta TST + 1.
2021 \text{ DELTA} = 12/111
      DFLTB = !!3/!!1
2020 \times 1 = 0.
      XIXL = 0
      XIXO = 0.
      YIXL = DELTA*0 + Y1
      ZIXL = DFLTB *0 + Z1
2024 IF (YIXL*YIXL + 7IXL*ZIXL - 1.) 4100,4100,3026
3026 T = DELTA*Y1 + DELTB*71
      V = DELTA*DELTA + DELTB*DELTB
      W = Y1*Y1 + Z1*Z1 - 1.
 3029 P = SORTE(T*T - V*W)
      XIC = (-T+P)/V + XI
 3034 IF (XIC - Q) 3035,3035,3036
 3036 PRINT 37, XIC , ICOLL
      GO TO 7115
 3035 YIC = DFLTA*(XIC - X1) + Y1
      ZIC = DF(TS*(XIC - XI) + 71)
 3039 \text{ STAU} = 710
      CTAU = YIC
 3025 ICOLL = ICOLL + 1
      IF (ICOLL - NCOLL) 3341,7115,7115
3341
     IF (XIC) 3036,3036,3040
 3040 R1
          = FLAT(ISTART)
      R2 = FLAT(ISTART)
      IF (R1 - R2) 3042,3040,3041
 3041 \text{ CTHET} = R1
      GO TO 3043
 3042 \text{ CTHET} = R2
 3043 STHET = SQRTE(1. - CTHET**2)
 3044 \text{ PSI} = F1 \wedge T (1 \text{ START}) * 2 \cdot * PI
      U1 = SINE(PSI)*STHET
      U2 = -CTHET*CTAU - STHET*COSE(PSI)*STAU
      U3 = -CTHET*STAU + STHET*COSE(PSI)*CTAU
      DELTA = U2/U1
      DFLTB = 13/U1
      IE (U1 ) 3060,3045,3045
 3045 YIXL = DELTA*(Q - XIC) + YIC
      ZIXL = DFLTB*(Q - XIC) + ZIC
      X1 = XIC
      Y1 = YIC
      71 = 71C
3052
     IF (YIXL*YIXL + 71XL*71XL - 1.) 4100,4100,3068
      YIXO = DFLTA*(-XIC) + YIC
 3060
      ZIXO = DFLTR*(-XIC) + 7IC
      XIXO = 0.
       X1 = XIC
      Y1 = Y1C
      ZI = ZIC
3063
     IF(YIXO*YIXO + ZIXO*7IXO - 1.) 5110,5110,3068
```

,

```
17
```

```
3068 XIXO = 0.
 3069 T = DFLTA*Y1 + DFLTP*71
      V = DELTA*DELTA + DELTR*DELTR
      IF (U1) 3691,3039,3692
 3691 \times IC = -ABSE((2 \cdot *T)/V) + X1
      GO TO 3034
 3692 \times IC = ABSE((2 \cdot T)/V) + X1
      GO TO 3034
      IF (YIXL*YIXL + ZIXL*ZIXL - B*B) 4101,4799,4799
4100
 4101 COUNT(1.ICOLL) = COUNT(1.ICOLL) + 1.
      X \downarrow T = X \downarrow T + 1.
      IF (QL2) 7120,7120,6170
 4799 ICOLE = ICOLE + 1
      IF ( ICOLL - MCOLL) 4800.7115.7115
 4800 R1 = FLAT(ISTART)
      R2 = FLAT(ISTART)
      IF(R1 - P2) 4801,4800,4802
 4801 \text{ CTHET} = - R2
      GO TO 4803
 4802 \text{ CTHFT} = - \text{ R1}
 4803 STHET = SORTE(1. - CTHET**2)
      PSI = FLAT(ISTART)*2.*PI
      U1 = CTHET
      U2 = STHET*COSE(PSI)
      U3 = STHET*SINE(PSI)
      DELTA = 112/111
      DELTR = UR/UT
 4804 YIXO = DELTA*(-XIXL) + YIXL
      ZIXO = DELTB*(-XIXL) + ZIXL
      X1 = XIXL
      Y1 = YIXI
      71 = 71 \times L
      IF (YIX0*YIX0 + 7IX0*7IX0 - 1.) 5110,5110,4805
 4805 T = DELTA*YIXL + DELTB*ZIXL
      V = DELTA*DELTA + DELTE*DELTE
      W = YIXL*YIXL + 7IXL*7IXL - 1.
      XIC = (-T-SORTF(T*T - V*W))/V + XIXL
      GO TO 3035
     IF (YIX0*YIX0 + ZIX0*ZIX0 - A*A) 5111,5810,5810
5110
 5111 COUNT (2 \cdot ICOLL) = COUNT(2 \cdot ICOLL) + 1 \cdot
      XOT = XOT + 1.
      GO TO 7120 .
 5810 \text{ ICOLL} = \text{ICOLL} + 1
                           5811,7115,7115
      IF (ICOLL - MCOLL)
 5811 R1
          = FLAT(ISTART)
      R 2
            = FLAT(ISTART)
      IF (R] - R2) 5812,5811,5813
 5812 CTHET = R2
      60 TO 5814
 5812 CTHET = RT
 5814 STHET = SORFE(1. - CTHET**2)
      PSI = FLAT(ICTAPT)*2.*PI
```

İ

FIGURE 3 CONTINUED

```
U1 = CTHFT
                          12 = CTUET*(OCF(DCT)
                          HR = CTHETMOINE(DOI)
                          Y1 = YIXO
                          71 = 7100
                          GO TO 2021
   6170 \times L2 = \times I \times L + 0 L2
                           YXL2 = DELTA*(XL2 + YIXL) + YIYL
                           7 \times 1.2 = \nabla F (T^{P} \times (X | 2 - X J \times L) + 7 T \times L
                           TE (VX 2*VX 2 + 7V 2*7V 2 - (2*(2) 4850,6171,6171
   6171 \text{ ICOL} = \text{ICOL} + 1
                          IF (TCOLL - MCOLL) 6172,7116,7116
   6172 T = DELTARYIXE + DELTOR7IVE
                          V = DELTA*DELTA + DELTO*DELT'
                          \mathcal{W} = \mathbf{YI}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{7}\mathbf{I}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{7}\mathbf{I
                          \Theta = SOPTE(T*T - V^{*N})
                           XIC2 = ((-T+P)/V) + VIXL
                           Y1 = YIXI
                           Y1 = YTXI
                           71 = 71Y1
   6720 YIC2 = DELTA*(YIC2 - X1) + Y1
                           7102 = DELTE*(XI02 - XI) + 71
                           STA!1 = 7TC2/C12
                           CTAU = YTC2/CL2
   6173 P1 = FLAT(ISTART)
                           P_{2} = F[AT(ICTADT)]
                           IF (P1 - P2) 6175,6173,6174
    6174 CTHET = P1
                           GO TO 6176
    6175 CTHET = P2
    6176 STHET = SORTE(1. - CTHET**2)
                           PSI = FLAT(ISTART)*2.*PI
                           111 = CINF(POI)*CTHET
                           12 = -(THET*CIAN - STHET*COSE(DSJ)*STAN
                           112 = -CINEL*CIVI + CIMEL*COCE(DCI)*CIVI
                           DELTA = 12/11
                           DELTO = 112/117
                           IE (11) 6177,6173,6178
    6177 YXON = DELIA*(XIXI - YIC2) + YIC2
                            7 \times 0 = DELTB*(XIXL - \times 102) + 7102
                            IÈ (YXON*YXON + ZXON*7XON - CL2*CL2) 6180,6180,6813
    6178 YY12 = DELTA*(XL2 - XIC2) + YIC2
                            7XL2 = DELTP * (XL2 - XLC2) + 7TC2
                            IF(YXL2*YYL2 + 7XL2*7YL2 - (12*(12) 6050,6811,6911
                            IF (YXCN*VYCM + 7XON*7XON - P*P) 6181,6181,6182
6180
    6181 \text{ AIXP} = \text{AXON}
                            7IXL = 7XON
                            60 TO 4204
    6182 ICOLL = ICOLL + 1
                            TE (TCOLI - MCOLI) 6183,7115,7115
                                          = FLAT(ISTART)
     6192 81
                             22
                                                    = FLAT(ISTART)
```

```
IF (U1) 6821,7115,6822
 6821 \text{ XIC2} = -ABSE((2 \cdot *T)/V) + X1
       GO TO 6720
 6822 \times IC2 = APSF((2.*T)/V) + X1
       GO TO 6720
      IF (YXL2*YXL2 + 7XL2*7XL2 - DL2*DL2) 6860,6851,6851
6850
 6851 ICOLL = JCOLL + 1
       IF (ICOLL - NCOLL) 6852,7115,7115
 6852 \text{ R1} = \text{FLAT}(\text{ISTART})
       R2 = FLAT(ISTART)
       IF (R1 - R2) = 6853, 6852, 6854
 6853 \text{ CTHET} = 82
       GO TO 6855
 6854 CTHET = 01
 6855 STHET = SORTE(1. - CTHET**2)
       PSI = FLAT(ISTART)*2.*PI
       ·비 = - CTHET
       112 = STHET*COSE(PSI)
       U3 = STHET*SINE(PSI)
       DELTA = 12/U1
       DELTR = 113/111
       YXON = DELTA*(XIXL - XI2) + YXL2
       7 \times QN = DFLTR*(XIXL - XL2) + ZXL2
       IF(YXQN*YXQN + ZXQN*ZXON - CL2*CL2) 6180,6180,6856
 6856 T = DELTA*YXL2 +DELTE*7XL2
       V = DELTA*DELTA + DELTR*DELTR
       M = Y \times L^{2} \times Y \times L^{2} + 7 \times L^{2} \times 7 \times L^{2} - C L^{2} \times C L^{2}
       XIC2 = (-T-SQRTF(T**2 - V*M))/V + XL2
       71 = 7 \times 12
```

IF (R1 - R2) 6184,6183,6185

·6186 STHET = SORTF(1. - CTHET\*\*2)
PSI = FLAT(ISTART)\*2.\*PI

112 = STHET\*COSE(PSI)

 $U_{2} = STHET*SINE(PSI)$ 

ICOLL = ICOLL + 1

6810 T = DELTA\*Y1 + DELTB\*71

IF (ICOLI - NCOLI) 6810,7115,7115

V = DELTA\*DELTA + DELTR\*DELTP

6185 CTHET = 81 GO TO 6186 6184 CTHET = 82

6811 X1 = XTC2 Y1 = YIC2 71 = 7IC2

UI = CTHET

DELTA = 112/111 DELTR = 112/111 YIXL = YYON ZIXL = ZXON GO TO 6170 •

1

FIGURE 3 CONTINUED

20

 $\begin{array}{rcl} Y1 &=& YXL2\\ X1 &=& XL2 \end{array}$ 

```
GO TO 6720
6860 \text{ COUNT} (3, \text{ICOLL}) = \text{COUNT}(3, \text{ICOLL}) + 1.
     XL2T = XL2T + 1.
     GO TO 7120
7115 OUT = OUT + 1.
7120 IF (ATST - TMAX) 2010.7211.7211
7211 PRINT 3
     D07140 J = 1, MC041
     K = J - 1
7140 DRINT 4, K, COUNT(1, J), COUNT(2, J), COUNT(2, J)
     POINT O
     PRINT F, XLT, XOT, YIZT, OUT
     DDINT O
     PPINT 7800, ISTART(1), ISTART(2)
9088 GO TO 150
     END
```

•

•

1 = 2.0000       L2=       0       S=       .4640       TOTAL       99         ANGLE OF AITACK IN DEGREES       40.0000       C=       0       D=         GOLLISION       EXIT AT L       EXIT AT O       EXIT AT L2       0       0         1       1209       2126       0       0       1         2       853       975       0       3       533       542       0         3       533       542       0       0       0       0       0       0         5       178       180       0       0       0       0       0       0         6       98       118       0       0       0       0       0       0         10       15       11       0       0       0       0       0       0         13       2       3       0       0       0       0       0       0         14       1       3       0       0       0       0       0       0         20       1       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         21       0       0       0<	MONTE CARLI Through Cyi And Speed I Speed Rati	0 SOLUTIO LINDRICAL RATIOS 0 = K(1.1	DNS OF FREE _ Ducts L54700)	MOLECULAR	FLOW			
COLLISION         EXIT AT L         EXIT AT O         EXIT AT L2           0         2203         0         0           1         1209         2126         0           3         533         975         0           4         319         320         0           5         178         160         0           6         98         118         0           7         70         74         0           8         42         45         0           9         25         17         0           10         15         11         0           14         1         3         0         0           13         2         3         0         0           14         1         3         0         0           15         1         1         0         0           16         1         0         0         0           18         0         0         0         0           20         1         0         0         0           21         0         0         0         0	1= 2.000 A= 1.000 Angle of A	0 L2: 0 B: 1TACK IN	= 0 = 1.0000 Degrees 40	S = C = .0000	.4640 0	TOTAL D=	9999 0	
46         0         0         0           47         0         0         0           48         0         0         0           49         0         0         0	ANGLE OF A COLLISION 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 223 24 25 26 27 28 20 31 32 33 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 223 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 21 22 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 45 36 37 38 39 40 41 45 36 37 38 39 40 41 45 37 38 39 40 41 45 37 45 37 37 37 38 39 40 41 45 37 37 37 38 39 40 41 45 37 37 37 37 38 39 40 41 45 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37	EXIT 22 12	DEGREES 40 AT L 203 209 853 533 319 178 98 70 42 25 15 11 7 2 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	.0000 EXIT AT ( 2126 975 542 320 180 118 74 45 17 11 6 9 3 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	) E:	XIT AT L2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Y-1 5560 Y-0 4470 Y-10 0	46 47 48 49	Y-I EE	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0			n	0117

# FIGURE 4 - TYPICAL COMPUTER RESULT









ļ

















FIG. 17. TRANSMISSION PROBABILITIES FOR ORIFICE RESTRICTED CYLINDRICAL TUBE 2 თ œ • ~ •  $A_2^2/A_1^2 = 4.0$  $L/A_2 = 8.0$ Q -**-**|<del>-</del>|s S S M Monte Carlo Theory 2 0 0 -0.e. ø. -7-6 ц.







#### REFERENCES

- 1. Clausing, P., "Ülber die Strömung sehr verdunnter Gase durch Röhren von beliebiger Länge," Ann Plysik 12, p. 961, 1932.
- Davis, D. H., "Monte Carlo Calculation of Molecular Flow Rates Through a Cylindrical Elbow and Pipes of Other Shapes," Journal of Applied Physics, Vol. 31, p. 1164, July 1960.
- Levenson, L. L., N. Milleron, and D. H. Davis, "The Optimization of Molecular Flow Conductance," Transaction of the Seventh National Symposium on Vacuum Technology, Cleveland, Ohio, 1960.
- 4. Levenson, L. L., N. Milleron, and D. H. Davis, "Molecular Flow Conductance," Le Vide, No. 103, p. 42, January-February 1963.
- 5. Davis, D. H., L. L. Levenson, and N. Milleron, "Theoretical and Experimental Studies of Molecular Flow Through Short Ducts," Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on Rarefied Gas Dynamics, Berkeley, California, 1960.
- Ballance, J. O., "Monte Carlo Solutions of Knudsen and Near-Knudsen Flow Through Infinitely Wide, Parallel and Skewed, Flat Plates," NASA TM X-53112, August 1964.
- Ballance, J. O., "Transmission Probability Determination with Directed Mass Motion and with Mean Free Path Consideration," Third International Vacuum Congress, Stuttgard, Germany, June 1965.
- Pond, H. L., "The Effects of Entrance Velocity on the Flow of a Rarefied Gas through a Tube," Journal of the Aerospace Sciences, Vol. 29, No. 8, August 1962.
- 9. deLeeuw, J. H. and D. E. Rothe, "A Numerical Solution for the Free-Molecular Impact-Pressure Probe Relations for Tubes of Arbitrary Length," UTIA Report No. 88, December 1962.
- 10. Hughes, P. C., "Theory for the Free Molecule Impact Probe at an Arbitrary Angle of Attack," UTIAS Report No. 103, May 1965.
- Oatley, C. W., "The Flow of Gas Through Composite Systems at Very Low Pressures," British Journal of Applied Physics, Vol. 8, p. 15, January 1957.
- 12. Santeler, D. J., "Probability and Molecular Flow in Vacuum Systems," Proceedings of the Fifth Annual Symposium on Space Environmental Simulation, May 1964.

#### APPROVAL

TM X-53386

# A MONTE CARLO PROGRAM FOR TRANSMISSION PROBABILITY CALCULATIONS INCLUDING MASS MOTIONS

#### By James O. Ballance

The information in this report has been reviewed for security classification. Review of any information concerning Department of Defense or Atomic Energy Commission programs has been made by the MSFC Security Classification Officer. This report, in its entirety, has been determined to be unclassified.

This document has also been reviewed and approved for technical accuracy.

WERNER K. DAHM Chief, Aerodynamics Division

Paus les

E. D. GEISSLER Director, Aero-Astrodynamics Laboratory

#### DISTRIBUTION

DIR

R-DIR

#### R-ASTR

Dr. Haeussermann

#### R-P&VE

Dr. Lucas Mr. Riehl

#### R-RP

Dr. Stuhlinger Mr. Heller Dr. Shelton

#### **R-AERO**

Dr. Geissler Mr. Vaughan Mr. Scoggins Mr. Dahm Mr. Horn Mr. Ballance (40) Mr. Lindberg

### MS-T

Mr. Roy Bland (6)

MS-IPL (8) MS-IP MS-H HME-P

CC-P

# EXTERNAL

Langley Research Center Langley Station Hampton, Virginia Lewis Research Center

21000 Brookpark Cleveland, Ohio Attn: Dr. Herman Mark Mr. Lloyd Krause Mr. Edward Richley Library

Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland Attn: Mr. George Newton Library

JPL. 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, California

Ames Research Center Moffett Field, California

Manned Spacecraft Center Houston, Texas Attn: William K. Roberts Library

Arthur D. Little, Inc. Cambridge, Massachusetts Attn: Raymond Moore

Northrop Space Laboratories Huntsville, Alabama Attn: T. M. McCoy

#### EXTERNAL

Scientific and Technical Information Facility (25) Attn: NASA Representative (S-AK/RKT) P. O. Box 5700 Bethesda, Maryland

#### EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION (Continued)

AEDC Arnold Air Force Station, Tennessee Attn: Capt. George Mushalko ARO, Inc. AEDC Arnold Air Force Station, Tennessee Attn: Mr. George Kirby Library University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts Attn: Dr. Robert Stickney Dr. L. Trilling Celestial Research Corporation 1015 Fremont Avenue South Pasadena, California Attn: Dr. Raymond Chuan Mr. John Wainwright Mr. Don Wallace University of Alabama University, Alabama Attn: Dr. Walter Schaetzle Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, Georgia Attn: Dr. A. B. Huang Institute of Aerophysics University of Toronto Toronto, Canada Attn: P. C. Hughes Dr. G. N. Patterson Cornell Aeronautical Laboratories, Inc. Buffalo, New York McDonnell Aircraft Corporation P. O. Box 516 St. Louis, Missouri Attn: E. S. J. Wang

Radiation Laboratory Livermore, California Lockheed Aircraft Corporation Huntsville, Alabama Attn: Mr. M. Culp Mr. T. Cunningham Mr. L. Morrison Library Brown Engineering Company Huntsville, Alabama Attn: Mr. D. Tarbell Library Heat Technology Laboratory Huntsville, Alabama University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan Attn: Dr. A. G. Hansen George Carignan Hasso Niemann Library

University of California