

Dated \*\*

Classification Change Notices No. 20

by authority of NASA

Declassified

NATIONAL AFRONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM X-280

TRANSONTC WIND-TUNNEL INVESTIGATION OF THE EFFECT OF CONTROL SPAN AND LARGE WING-TIP NACELLES ON EFFECTIVENESS OF SPOILER-SLOT-DEFLECTOR CONTROLS ON AN UNSWEPT-WING FIGHTER-TYPE AIRPLANE\*

By Dewey E. Wornom

#### SUMMARY

An investigation was conducted in the Langley 8-foot transonic pressure tunnel to determine the effect of control span and large wing-tip nacelles on spoiler-slot-deflector effectiveness. Effect of control span on control characteristics was obtained by testing the outboard one-third, outboard two-thirds, and the complete control. The complete control extended from 29 to 86 percent of the wing semispan and was located between the 80- and 94-percent-chord lines. The unswept wing of the fighter-type airplane had an aspect ratio of 2.42. a taper ratio of 0.433, and a modified NACA 65A005 airfoil section. Six-component force and moment data were obtained through an angle-ofattack range of approximately  $-6^{\circ}$  to  $16^{\circ}$  for Mach numbers from 0.60 to 1.20. The test Reynolds number varied from  $1.42 \times 10^6$  to  $1.90 \times 10^6$ .

Increasing the control span from the outboard one-third to the outboard two-thirds generally produced greater rolling-moment-coefficient increment than further increasing the control span to the complete control. Furthermore, at low angles of attack, the outboard two-thirds control span was nearly as effective as the complete control. However, at high angles of attack and below a Mach number of 0.95 all control spans lost effectiveness with a small degree of control reversal noted for the shorter spans. Wing-tip nacelles increased the rolling-moment coefficient except at angles of attack above approximately 7° for Mach numbers of 0.90 and below. This increase in rolling-moment coefficient may not result in greater lateral maneuverability due to the dampingin-roll contribution of the nacelles.

\*Title, Unclassified.

F

#### INTRODUCTION

Previous transonic investigations of lateral-control devices have shown the usefulness of spoiler-slot-deflector controls in maintaining control effectiveness at high angles of attack for high-speed airplanes. (For example, see ref. 1.) Furthermore, these controls require only small wing thickness, produce small torsional loads, and need less control force than flap-type spoiler ailerons. As a result of these favorable control characteristics further investigations, such as those of references 2 to 4, were made to determine the effect of wing geometry, control surface deflection ratio, and control location on the effectiveness of these controls.

 $\mathbf{L}$ 

8

The present investigation provides transonic data on the effect of control span and large wing-tip nacelles on the spoiler-slot-deflector effectiveness of an unswept-wing fighter-type airplane. Control span was changed by projecting either the outboard two-thirds span or outboard one-third span of the complete control. The complete control extended from approximately 29 to 86 percent of the wing semispan. The large wing-tip nacelles were of a size to house two small jet engines one above the other.

Force and moment data were obtained through an angle-of-attack range from approximately  $-6^{\circ}$  to  $16^{\circ}$  for Mach numbers from 0.60 to 1.20. The test Reynolds number varied from  $1.42 \times 10^{6}$  to  $1.90 \times 10^{6}$ . Results of the six-component data are presented herein.

#### SYMBOLS

Presentation of the data is with respect to the stability system of axes. Forces and moments are referred to an assumed center of gravity located in the plane of symmetry and corresponding to the onethird-chord point of the wing mean aerodynamic chord.

b wing span, measured to center line of wing-tip nacelles, in.

c local wing chord, in.

c wing mean aerodynamic chord, in.

C<sub>D</sub> drag coefficient, Drag/qS

C<sub>L</sub> lift coefficient, Lift/qS



3

rolling-moment coefficient, Rolling moment/qSb  $C_{l}$ pitching-moment coefficient, Pitching moment/qSc Cm Cn yawing-moment coefficient, Yawing moment/qSb side-force coefficient, Side force/qS Cγ Μ free-stream Mach number free-stream dynamic pressure, lb/sq ft q S wing area between center line of wing-tip nacelles, sq ft maximum thickness of airfoil, percent local wing chord tmax α wing-chord-line angle of attack, deg projection of spoiler into airstream, measured perpendicular δς to wing chord line (negative when projected above surface of wing), fraction of c δđ projection of deflector into airstream, measured perpendicular

d projection of deflector into airstream, measured perpendicular to wing chord line (negative when projected below surface of wing), fraction of c

#### APPARATUS AND MODEL

The investigation was conducted in the Langley 8-foot transonic pressure tunnel which is a single-return tunnel with a rectangular slotted test section permitting continuous operation through the transonic speed range. Stagnation temperature and dewpoint controls precluded the formation of condensation shocks.

A three-view drawing of the all-metal model of the fighter-type airplane is presented in figure 1. Also shown in figure 1 is a crosssectional detail of the spoiler-slot-deflector control. Photographs of the sting-mounted model with the spoiler-slot-deflector controls projected are shown in figure 2.

The steel wing, unswept along the 50-percent-chord line, was mounted high on the fuselage. The wing had an aspect ratio of 2.42, a taper ratio of 0.433, and a modified NACA 65A005 airfoil section over the entire span. The basic airfoil section was modified to provide a





blunt trailing edge with a thickness equal to 30 percent of the maximum thickness of the local airfoil section. This modification was made by straight-line elements from the thick trailing edge to a point of tangency with the basic section forward of the trailing edge. The wing had no twist, camber, or dihedral.

The fuselage and wing-tip nacelles were ducted for internal flow.

The complete spoiler-slot-deflector control (fig. 1) extended from approximately 29 to 86 percent of the wing semispan and had a chord of approximately 14 percent of the wing local chord. The spoiler was hinged at the 0.800c line and the deflector was hinged at the 0.941c line. The outboard two-thirds span of the complete control extended from approximately 48 to 86 percent of the wing semispan. The outboard one-third span of the complete control extended from approximately 67 to 86 percent of the wing semispan. The steel spoiler was hinged to the upper wing surface at the leading edge of the slot. The steel deflector was hinged to the lower wing surface at the trailing edge of the slot. Onesixteenth-inch-diameter braces were used to support the spoiler and deflector in the projected positions.

#### CORRECTIONS AND ACCURACIES

Boundary interference at subsonic velocities has been minimized by the slotted test section and no corrections have been applied. Supersonic boundary reflected shocks were downstream of the tail of the model at a test Mach number of 1.13 and higher. No corrections have been applied for sting interference effects. All drag data have been corrected for internal flow and base drag.

Through consideration of the static calibrations of the electrical strain-gage balance and repeatability of data, the estimated accuracy of the coefficients is within the following limits:

$C_{L}$	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	±0.05
$C_{\rm D}$	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	±0.0035
C <sub>m</sub>	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	<b>±0.01</b> 5
$C_7$	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	±0.002
Cn	•	•		•	•		•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	±0.003
CY	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	±0.010

The angle of attack was determined within  $\pm 0.15^{\circ}$ .



The model was tested at Mach numbers from 0.60 to 1.20 for an angle-of-attack range from approximately  $-6^{\circ}$  to  $16^{\circ}$ . The test Reynolds number varied from 1.42 ×  $10^{6}$  to 1.90 ×  $10^{6}$  over the Mach number range.

TESTS

The spoiler slot deflector, mounted on the right wing panel only, was tested at different projections. The complete control was tested at a spoiler projection of 10 percent of the wing local chord with and without wing-tip nacelles. The outboard two-thirds span of the complete control was tested at spoiler projections of 2, 5, 10, 12.2, and 13.3 percent of the wing local chord. The outboard one-third span of the complete control was tested at spoiler projections of 10 and 13.3 percent of the local wing chord. The projection of the deflector was always threefourths of the spoiler projection.

7 BB

Transition strips, consisting of grains of carborundum (approximately 0.005 inch in diameter), were placed on the model for all tests. On the fuselage and wing-tip nacelles the strips were 0.15 inch wide and located at 10 percent of their length. On the wing, tail surfaces, and ventral fins the strips were 0.10 inch wide and located at the 10-percent chord.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Presentation of Data

Basic six-component force and moment data for all control conditions tested are presented in tables I and II. Analysis plots showing the principal effects of control span, control deflection, and wing-tip nacelles are presented in figures 3 to 6.

#### Effect of Control Span

Variation of rolling-moment coefficient with angle of attack  $(\delta_s = -0.10c)$  for the three control spans is shown in figure 3(d) Increasing the control span from the outboard one-third to the outboard two-thirds generally produced a greater rolling-moment-coefficient increment than further increasing the control span to the complete control. Furthermore, at low angles of attack, the outboard two-thirds span of the complete control was nearly as effective as the complete control. This is probably attributable to the spanwise location of



this control as compared to the complete control. However, at the high angles of attack and below a Mach number of 0.95 all control spans lost effectiveness with a small degree of control reversal noted for the shorter spans.

The effects of the three control spans on the remaining forces and moments are shown in figures  $\Im(a)$ , (b), (c), (e), and (f). The effects on the forces were essentially proportional to the control span but for the moments the effects were not consistent. Adverse yawing moments are shown in figure  $\Im(e)$  for all control spans. In general, the angle of attack at which the yawing moment becomes adverse decreases with increases in Mach number. A detrimental inconsistency of the outboard two-thirds control span, when compared to the complete control, is the more adverse yawing moment above  $\alpha = 6^{\circ}$  and  $12^{\circ}$  at M = 1.00 and 0.95, respectively. For Mach numbers of 1.13 and 1.20 the complete-control adverse yawing-moment coefficient reached a value of -0.03 at the highest test angles of attack. The degree of adversity, at these supersonic speeds, increased as the control is extended closer to the fuselage. This seems to indicate a strong interference between the control and adjacent side of the fuselage.

Overall, the outboard two-thirds control span appears to be the most effective comparatively. The remainder of the discussion is concerned with this control span except for the effect of wing-tip nacelles on control effectiveness.

#### Characteristics of the Outboard Two-Thirds Control Span

Effect of angle of attack.- Below a Mach number of 0.95 the rollingmoment coefficient for the outboard two-thirds control span ( $\delta_s = -0.10c$ ) was relatively constant up to an angle of attack of approximately 5°. (See fig. 3(d).) At higher angles of attack, the rolling-moment coefficient decreased rapidly and, as previously noted, became zero or negative. Above a Mach number of 0.95 the rolling moment for the outboard two-thirds control span was essentially constant over the angle-ofattack range tested.

Effect of Mach number.- The variation of rolling-moment coefficient with Mach number for the outboard two-thirds control span is shown in figure 4. Below an angle of attack of  $4^{\circ}$ , the variation of rollingmoment coefficient with Mach number was small for the three control projections presented. Above  $4^{\circ}$  the rolling-moment coefficient peaked around a Mach number of 0.95 with the subsonic level being less than the supersonic level. Control reversal is noted at the angle of attack of  $12^{\circ}$  around a Mach number of 0.75 for control projection up to -0.10c.



#### Effect of control projection.- Variation of rolling-moment coefficient with control projection for the outboard two-thirds span of the complete control is given in figure 5. Loss of control effectiveness is noted at 14° angle of attack for the test Mach numbers up to 0.90. For the remaining test conditions plotted, control effectiveness was approximately proportional to control projection up to projections of at least -0.10c. Furthermore, at Mach numbers above unity, control effectiveness was not affected by angle of attack.

#### Effect of Wing-Tip Nacelles

For the complete control at  $\delta_s = -0.10c$ , wing-tip nacelles

increased the rolling-moment coefficient over the test angle of attack and Mach number range except above approximately 7° angle of attack at Mach numbers from 0.60 to 0.90. (See fig. 6.) The maximum increase occurred at a Mach number of 1.00 from approximately 0° to  $6^{\circ}$  angle of attack where the addition of wing-tip nacelles almost doubled the rolling-moment coefficient. Although the wing-tip nacelles resulted in greater rolling-moment coefficient, the overall lateral maneuverability of such a configuration may not be increased. Reference 5 indicates that the damping in roll due to the wing-tip nacelles may be greater than the increase in rolling moment thus resulting in a decrease in lateral maneuverability.

#### CONCLUSIONS

A transonic wind-tunnel investigation was made to determine the effects of spanwise control length and large wing-tip nacelles on spoiler-slot-deflector effectiveness of an unswept-wing fighter-type airplane. Results of the investigation indicate the following conclusions:

1. Increasing the control span from the outboard one-third to the outboard two-thirds generally produced greater rolling-moment-coefficient increment than further increasing the control span to the complete control. Furthermore, at low angles of attack, the outboard two-thirds control span was nearly as effective as the complete control.

2. At high angles of attack and below a Mach number of 0.95 all control spans lost effectiveness with a small degree of control reversal noted for the shorter spans.

3. Wing-tip nacelles increased the rolling-moment coefficient except above approximately  $7^{\circ}$  angle of attack for Mach numbers of 0.90 and below. However, the increased rolling-moment coefficient may not result in greater lateral maneuverability due to the damping-in-roll contribution of the nacelles.

Langley Research Center, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Langley Field, Va., January 21, 1960.

#### REFERENCES

- Vogler, Raymond D.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation at Transonic Speeds of a Spoiler-Slot-Deflector Combination on an Unswept NACA 65A006 Wing. NACA RM L53J21, 1953.
- 2. West, F. E., Jr., Whitcomb, Charles F., and Schmeer, James W.: Investigation of Spoiler-Slot-Deflector Ailerons and Other Spoiler Ailerons on a 45° Sweptback-Wing-Fuselage Combination at Mach Numbers From 0.60 to 1.03. NACA RM L56F15, 1956.
- 3. Hammond, Alexander D., and Huffman, Jarrett K.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of the Effect of Aspect Ratio and Chordwise Location on Effectiveness of Spoiler-Slot-Deflector Controls on Thin Untapered Wings at Transonic Speeds. NACA RM L57G08a, 1957.
- 4. Hammond, Alexander D., and McKinney, Linwood W.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of the Effect of Sweep and Taper Ratio on Effectiveness of Spoiler-Slot-Deflector Controls on Aspect-Ratio-4 Wings at Transonic Speeds. NACA RM L58E29, 1958.
- 5. Murray, Harry E., and Wells, Evalyn G.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of the Effect of Wing-Tip Fuel Tanks on Characteristics of Unswept Wings in Steady Roll. NACA TN 1317, 1947.



# ··· ··· · · · ·

:

9

 $\mathbf{F}$ 

2011

#### TABLE 1.- AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE COMPLETE MODEL

(a) Plain wing

[	z, deg	C <u>t</u>	c <sub>D</sub>	с <sub>т</sub>	c <sub>2</sub>	с <sub>п</sub>	CY	α, deg	C <sub>L</sub>	c <sub>D</sub>	C <sub>m</sub>	C2	Cn	с <sub>Y</sub>
Γ				M = 0.6	0						M _ 1.00			
	-4.47	332	.0558	.058B	0007	0012	0066	5.62	574	.1266	•0646	.0001	0028	0011
	-2.17	135	.0409	.0673	0007	0021	~.0076	-2.67	253	.0806	♦065Ŭ	0001	0048	0018
	-1.03	039	.0391	.0665	0010	0022	~.0069	-1.15	086	•0729	•0743	•0000	0051	0024
	C.13	.064	.0397	•0642	0009	0020	~.0081	0.45	+131	•0766	•0676	~.0003	0056	0021
	1.30	• 164	.0415	+U583	0011	~.0011	~.0059	1.98	• 306 ( 80	•088∠ >>>04	•0515	0001		
	2:40	- 373	- 0557	-0317		0002	~ 0107	4.90	-648	.1399	0080	0003	0031	0034
	4.76	-480	.0693	-0126	~.0001	.0000	~.0092	6.31	.811	.1782	0654	0001	0022	0041
	7.05	.686	.1148	0447	.005	.0005	0070	9.00	1.092	.2686	2016	.0004	0016	0045
	9.28	.851	.1752	1294	.0036	.0009	0073	11.47	1.304	.3659	3366	•0038	0011	0004
	11.36	.950	.2341	2254	.0012	.0010	0032	14.04	1.508	•4B15	4939	.0012	•0005	0058
	13.52	1.068	.3021	-+3061	.0002	.0008	~.0052	16.39	1.685	<b>.</b> 6038	6525	•0009	•0024	0079
				<b>N</b> - 0 B										
$\vdash$				M = 0.0							M = 1.13			
	-4.89	402	.0634	.0427	~.0005	0018	~.0040	-5.64		+1334	•1232	0004	-+0012	- 0021
	-2.29	160	0370	0754	~_0007	0030	- 0052	-2.15	130	-0865	-1034	0015	0020	0057
	0.30	-100	.0377	.0785	~.0007	0032	0048	0.28	-045	0857	+0844	0014	0027	0062
	3,59	.222	.0415	.0755	0011	0023	- 0064	1.71	.197	.0936	•0567	0013	0022	0069
	2.89	.353	0508	.0651	0007	0019	0078	3.23	.365	.1105	.0155	0011	0013	0076
	4.19	•490	.0672	.0490	0007	0007	~.0089	4.69	.533	.1351	0361	0013	0016	0063
	5.47	•623	.0912	.0162	~.0001	0004	~.0069	6.12	•694	•1679	-•0941	0012	0019	-+0059
	7.88	•810	.1471	0683	•0008	0006	0050	8.88	•966	• 2486	2079	0010	0020	0055
	10.00	+919	•20 <b>9</b> 0	1825	.0005	0007	0035	11-48	1.173	• 3 3 9 3	3080	0007	0016	0057
	12.19	1.032	.2742	2825	0009	.0002	~.0052	13.87	1.321	•4297		0007	-+0013	
	14.34	1.118	. 3419	3/95	•U040	0049	.0048	10.35	1+480	•2241	5150	0005	0025	-+0085
				M ≃ 0.90	2						M = 1.20			
	-5.13	444	.0774	.0516	0003	~+0025	0037	-5.58	-,550	.1401	•1520	0002	.0010	0059
	-2.39	175	.0437	.0669	~.0003	0036	0040	-2.69	290	.1011	.1265	-•0006	•0004	0072
	-0.94	019	.0388	•0913	~.0004	0036	0040	-1.25	139	•0935	•1063	0006	•0001	0074
	0.55	•167	.0426	.0938	~.0007	0033	0055	0.28	•000	•0920	•0756	- 0007	•0019	- 00002
	1.96	+ 317	0718	•0891 0730	~_0007	~.0024	- 0065	3.21	- 182	.1161	+ 0022	0008	-0007	0085
	2.81	• 4 7 3	.0996	- 0465	~.0007	0016	0059	4.59	.494	.1384	0582	0005	-0008	0073
	5.99	.735	. 1254	-0021	-2007	0010	0052	6.03	644	.1680	1201	0002	.0013	0088
	8.42	.928	1878	0837	0004	0012	0047	8.75	.926	.2460	2450	.0010	+0012	0075
							1	11.43	1.138	.3360	3473	•0022	•0001	0047
								13.87	1.287	•4242	4339	•0011	•0004	0068
								16.33	1.444	•5310	-+5376	•0013	0012	-+0067
$\vdash$				M = 0.95	5				· · · · · ·					
F	-5.52	558	. 1001	.0316	0009	0022	0024							
	-2.59	236	.0592	.0596	Ú001	003B	0034							
	-1.05	054	.0517	.0731	~.0004	0037	0041							
1	0.63	.180	.0561	.0723	0003	0032	0047							
	2.05	• 340	.0676	.0624	~.0004	0030	0055							
	3.50	•511	.0884	.0439	0007	0023	0061							
1	4.95	•681	+1181	.0105	0009	0018	0060							
	6.31	• 836	• 1536	0313	0006	0016	0061							
	9.05	1+121	• 2462	-•151Z	.0004	0015	0042							
	11-54	1,322	. 3441	2560	-0000	0015	0053							
	13.96	1.504	4526	3560	-0027	0020	0036							
1	16.10	1.581	.5414	4766	0006	0016	0073							
1				_										



. #

#### TABLE I.- AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE COMPLETE MODEL - Continued

(b) Complete control;  $\delta_s = -0.10c$ ;  $\delta_d = -0.075c$ 

						_						-	
a, deg	$C_{L}$	с <sub>D</sub>	C <sub>m</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	Cn	с <sub>Ү</sub>	a, deg	CL	с <sub>D</sub>	C <sub>m</sub>	C1	Cn	Сү
	l		M = 0.ć	io		·				M = 1.00		•	
-4.77	509	.1245	0053	.0263	•0122	.0383	-6.40	794	.2164	0214	•0200	•0102	•0410
-2.44	313	.1000	•0238	.0231	•0113	•0389	-3.52	522	•1565	•0253	•0192	•0094	•0390
-1.30	216	.0953	.0253	•0231	.0104	.0375	-1.96	345	.1350	•0458	•0200	•0097	•0361
-0.14	114	.0932	•0256	.0240	•0101	•0365	-0.26	125	•1240	•0701	.0223	•0093	.0350
1.02	020	.0925	•0213	•0247	•0099	•0377	1.34	•069	.1255	•0713	•0237	•0100	•0359
2.17	•078	.0941	•0129	•0256	.0074	•0385	2.91	•269	•1397	•0563	•0247	•0079	•0400
3.33	•177	.0976	.0047	•0259	•0067	•0395	4.40	• 4 4 4	•1620	•0313	•0250	•0030	•0456
4.47	•286	.1049	0109	.0251	•0054	.0383	5.84	•607	•1908	0111	.0251	0012	.0514
6.87	•550	.1391	0552	•0187	.0030	.0268	8+59	•905	•2687	1348	•0257	0051	.0581
9.18	•764	.1912	1217	.0150	.0018	•0225	11.20	1.148	•3629	2775	•0238	0086	•0621
11.38	•922	.2549	2174	.0064	0003	.0187	13.65	1.340	•4651	-•3908	•0256	-•0064	+0547
13.54	1.041	.3204	2971	.0027	0034	•0175	16.07	1.523	•5749	5374	•0280	•0004	•0378
			M = 0.8	ю						M ⇒ 1.13	-		
-5.45	605	.1413	0344	.0266	.0106	.0454	-6.27	722	.2125	•0406	•0198	0045	+0515
-2.82	- 363	1052	.0072	.0231	.0095	.0430	-3.37	466	.1602	.0796	•0193	0043	•0517
-1.50	243	.0967	.0223	0223	.0089	.0408	-1.85	306	•1416	.0847	•0182	0051	.0513
-0.20	113	.0935	.0327	.0228	.0090	.0390	-0.29	137	.1330	•0802	.0171	0058	+0502
1.05	010	.0916	.0369	.0233	.0088	.0400	1.21	•022	.1320	•0647	•0165	0071	•0501
2.33	.115	. 0939	.0321	.0237	.0079	.0397	2.74	.202	.1413	.0339	.0158	0081	•0509
3.84	-263	1026	-0181	•022B	.0060	0393	4.21	.371	.1603	0072	•0169	0111	•0549
4.96	.403	.1173	.0000	0224	.0035	.0403	5.68	.531	.1863	0548	•0179	0134	.0591
7.65		. 1644	0621	-0177	-0024	0258	8.49	.811	.2560	1525	.0197	0189	.0661
0.00	.878	2272	- 1678	-0063	- 0005	.0190	1 11.11	1.029	.3384	2495	•0186	0257	•0705
12.17	1.003	. 2917	- 2722	+0032	0034	-0189	1 13.57	1.194	4278	- 3402	.0173	0286	0723
14.36	1,105	- 3624	3682	-0009	0065	.0161	16.03	1.359	-5265	- 4379	-0174	0253	-0601
14.50							1						
			M ≃ 0.9	0						M = 1.20			
-5.98	=+716	1663	0612	-0336	.0078	+0535	-6.22	712	.2152	.1010	.0205	0014	+0507
-3.17	444	1154	0078	.0236	.0073	.0425	-3.31	454	.1641	•1174	.0194	0039	.0516
-1.69	- 279	1003	.0221	.0213	.0072	.0405	-1.82	306	.1467	.1102	•0186	0050	•0505
-0.27	121	.0946	.0403	.0211	.0075	.0391	-0.26	133	.1368	•0954	•0181	0070	•0501
1.09	001	. 0935	- 0505	.0220	-0079	0392	1.16	-008	.1359	.0749	0178	0093	.0513
2.56	.182	1002	- 0486	-0206	-0064	-0378	2.67	.183	.1443	0360	•0172	0123	0528
1 1 03	344	1169	0360	0207	.0050	.0356	4.13	- 349	1615	-+0138	-0173	0156	+0557
- 43	• J04	1202	0050	0179	0016	034.0	5.60	. 496	-1860	0660	-0176	0180	.0587
2.43	• 520	• 1 3 0 3 5	- 0666	0121	- 0009	0293	8.38	.774	-2529	1755	-0194	0242	•0668
10.64	1 002	01733	- 1491	0071	- 0027	0278	11.07	.997	. 3341	2752	0190	0274	.0695
10.54	1.005	.2010		.0071	0027	•0278	12.53	1.159	.4206	3673	-0169	0318	.0739
							15.97	1.323	-5178	- 4614	•0166	0316	.0717
1							1						
			M - 0 9	35			L						
-6.32	789	-1884	0849	-0234	.0047	.0449							
-3.45	516	1303	0201	0208	0056	0413							
-1.88	- 327	1112	.0140	0212	.0065	0381							
-0.27	- 124	-1026	.0497	0216	.0074	0361							
1.27	-055	1032	.0616	-0228	-0080	-0344							
2.85	273	1154	.0488	0250	.0053	0377							
4.33	. 45.4	. 1364	- 0272	.0241	- 0001	.0449							
5.79	•	.1652		.0271	0055	-0518							
p 67	- 010	.2207		_0210	0124	0624							
1 10 66	• • • • • • •	2231	- 1000	0.250	- 0130	0640							
1 10.99	1 331	4270	- 2007	.0250		+0500							
15.52	1.534	+42/0	- 4004/	01201	0111	+0512							
1 12.03	1+408	+ 90Z1	4224	*019U	0053	.0289							
1													
1													

## TABLE 1.- AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE COMPLETE MODEL - Continued

(c)	Outboard	two-thirds	span	of	complete	control;	δ <sub>5</sub> =	-0.02c;	δđ =	-0.015c
		the second s	the state of the s	_						

		_											
a, deg	$c_{L}$	c <sub>D</sub>	Րա	cı	c <sub>n</sub>	с <sub>Ү</sub>	a, deg	CL	cD	C <sub>m</sub>	cz	c <sub>n</sub>	С <sub>Ү</sub>
			M = 0.6	0						M = 1.00	L	I	1
0.12 2.44 4.76 7.05 10.30 13.49	• 032 • 232 • 45 0 • 664 • 879 1• 044	.0401 .0460 .0680 .1137 .2020 .2997	.0657 .0486 .0176 0379 1709 3048	.0044 .0040 .0036 .0027 .0040 .0026	0016 0012 0003 .0007 0013 0025	0047 0048 0044 0046 0024 0012	-5.73 -2.73 0.37 3.43 6.32 9.04 12.74 16.39	611 253 .086 .452 .788 1.082 1.381 1.661	•1321 •0221 •0748 •1093 •1763 •2699 •4177 •5980	.0566 .0696 .0802 .0472 0499 1953 4103 6287	.0056 .0051 .0050 .0045 .0039 .0042 .0054 .0096	0027 0051 0054 0044 0040 0005 0013	.0046 .0035 .0020 .0013 .0018 .0015 0009 0056
<u> </u>			M = 0.84				<b> </b>			M - 1 17			
-4.97 -2.36 0.27 2.86 5.47 7.84 11.08 14.30	439 203 .059 .328 .597 .791 .954 1.097	0699 0442 0411 0532 0912 1449 2386 3385	.0369 .0670 .0799 .0674 .0216 0555 2251 3712	.0057 .0054 .0047 .0039 .0024 .0023 .0034 .0069	0003 0020 0027 0018 0006 0027 0071	0002 0008 0008 0026 0039 0022 0007 .0076	-5.67 -2.76 0.24 3.19 6.17 8.95 12.63 16.31	573 304 .020 .347 .686 .965 1.219 1.475	•1396 •0978 •0888 •1118 •1707 •2535 •3792 •5386	•1244 •1188 •0950 •0273 •0846 •1997 •3366 •5146	•0023 •0014 •0013 •0014 •0018 •0018 •0029 •0036	0013 0038 0046 0039 0040 0038 0040 0038 0062	•0015 •0004 -0003 -0007 -0002 -0022 -0001 -0060
			M = 0.90	)				•		M = 1.20			
-5.26 -2.54 0.45 3.32 6.01 8.35 11.70 15.02	493 232 .114 .446 .728 .883 1.080 1.251	0855 0494 0460 0707 1270 1836 2846 4062	.0432 .0643 .1001 .0841 .0196 0672 253C +.4284	.0073 .0070 .0056 .0041 .0099 .0051 .0078 .0116	0016 0027 0032 0029 0026 0055 0107	.0017 .0015 0007 0028 .0047 .0018 .0045 .0106	-5.63 -2.75 0.24 3.16 6.08 8.85 12.61 16.25	573 307 .020 .330 .645 .922 1.200 1.425	<ul> <li>1442</li> <li>1033</li> <li>0941</li> <li>1149</li> <li>1686</li> <li>2472</li> <li>3748</li> <li>5236</li> </ul>	•1585 •1372 •0922 •0134 •1077 -2330 -3757 -5250	.0010 .0014 .0016 .0012 .0016 .0021 .0050 .0048	.0030 .0015 .0000 -0004 .0004 .0013 .0000 -0027	0003 0027 0025 0037 0045 0064 0017 0004
			M = 0.95	, i			L						
-5.63 -2.69 0.51 3.46 6.31 9.04 12.70 16.02		• 1081 • 0635 • 0592 • 0907 • 1558 • 2472 • 3918 • 5328	.0250 .0627 .0849 .0586 -0202 1362 2954 4699	.0080 .0063 .0063 .0052 .0044 .0054 .0055 .0055 .0098	0009 0032 0038 0041 0045 0038 0025 0057	.0009 .0018 0002 .0004 .0012 .0006 0003 .0011							

ΩΩ).-T

TABLE I. - AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE COMPLETE MODEL - Continued

.

ļ.

1

(d) Outboard two-thirds span of complete control;  $\delta_{\rm S}$  = -0.05c;  $\delta_{\rm d}$  = -0.0375c

a, deg	$c_{L}$	с <sub>D</sub>	C <sub>m</sub>	Cl	Cn	сү	a, deg	$C_{L}$	c <sub>D</sub>	Cm	cl	Cn	СY
			M = 0.6	0						M = 1.00	•	•	
0.00 2.31 4.66 7.00 10.33 13.47	016 .190 .421 .658 .889 1.044	• 0514 • 0546 • 0757 • 1185 • 2083 • 3035	•0600 •0415 •0078 •0443 •1737 •3068	.0113 .0118 .0093 .0049 .0043 .0022	.0017 .0007 .0015 .0014 0010 0029	.0020 .0040 .0025 -0017 -0001 -0015	-5.92 -2.91 0.20 3.28 6.18 8.88 11.40 13.86 16.34	662 341 .033 .408 .749 1.033 1.242 1.466 1.644	<ul> <li>1506</li> <li>0957</li> <li>0836</li> <li>1142</li> <li>1771</li> <li>2651</li> <li>3592</li> <li>4729</li> <li>5935</li> </ul>	•0465 •0720 •0890 •0543 •0433 •1814 •3158 •4830 -•6175	•0142 •0126 •0126 •0118 •0095 •0116 •0081 •0099 •0129	.0001 0019 0035 0048 0068 0022 0037 0015	.0155 .0144 .0130 .0147 .0155 .0189 .0078 .0074 0027
þ			M = 0.8							M = 1.13		<u> </u>	
-5.13 -2.48 0.12 2.72 5.36 7.86 10.03 12.16 14.32	499 234 .020 .279 .574 .804 .927 1.028 1.104	.0853 .0556 .0502 .0588 .0946 .1512 .2153 .2784 .3457	.0231 .0598 .0804 .0664 .0206 -0561 1742 2770 3701	.0154 .0107 .0104 .0090 .0056 .0003 0023 0034 .0024	•0028 •0012 •0005 •0005 •0005 •0001 •0012 •0018 •0036	.0104 .0057 .0041 .0033 .0011 -0010 -0030 -0051 -0001	-5.82 -2.91 0.08 3.10 6.03 8.85 11.35 13.78 16.25	613 339 021 .314 .654 .937 1.137 1.286 1.459	<ul> <li>1556</li> <li>1118</li> <li>1001</li> <li>1178</li> <li>1725</li> <li>2534</li> <li>3386</li> <li>4254</li> <li>5355</li> </ul>	.1288 .1299 .1081 .0389 .0755 .1943 .2938 .3834 .5021	• 0091 • 0071 • 0069 • 0065 • 0063 • 0063 • 0065 • 0065	0021 0042 0067 0074 0079 0079 0066 0075	.0169 .0125 .0160 .0117 .0124 .0113 .0124 .0070 .0013
			M ⇒ 0.9	0						M = 1.20			
-5.49 -2.73 0.26 3.22 5.99 8.37 12.73 14.98	568 301 .061 .414 .733 .901 1.133 1.249	.1038 .0657 .0548 .0763 .1312 .1916 .3261 .4096	.0221 .0538 .0963 .0829 .0190 -0715 -3155 4223	.0194 .0163 .0113 .0078 .0078 .0026 .0007 .0090	.0012 .0000 -0002 -0001 -0017 -0019 -0050 -0094	.0168 .0121 .0063 .0045 .0071 .0041 .0018 .0100	-5.81 -2.90 0.10 3.04 5.92 8.77 11.37 13.79 16.24	614 350 030 .291 .612 .893 1.111 1.258 1.415	1589 1153 1011 1189 1688 2459 3328 4179 5221	•1642 •1461 •1082 •0283 •0908 •2165 -3237 •4104 -\$156	.0078 .0070 .0073 .0061 .0057 .0062 .0057 .0065 .0072	•0039 •0019 •0000 •0032 •0033 •0024 •0020 •0028 •0045	•0110 •0082 •0073 •0090 •0070 •0042 •0023 •0034 •0058
			M = 0.9	5									
-5.89 -2.88 0.37 3.31 6.19 8.89 11.35 13.83 15.91	666 338 .090 .433 .774 1.056 1.256 1.460 1.528	.1275 .0773 .0670 .0947 .1569 .2428 .3336 .4442 .5266	•0044 •0555 •0891 •0677 •0115 -1266 -2289 -3447 -4689	.0159 .0154 .0151 .0134 .0144 .0146 .0099 .0112 .0086	.0011 .0000 0012 0029 0057 0085 0045 0049 0067	.0134 .0121 .0119 .0125 .0138 .0211 .0082 .0078 .0026							



i

ł

i

i

TABLE 1.- AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE COMPLETE NODEL - Continued

097-J

(e) Outboard two-thirds span of complete control;  $\delta_s = -0.10c$ ;  $\delta_d = -0.075c$ 

							<u> </u>				,		
a, âeg	C <sub>I,</sub>	CD	Շա	c1	C <sub>n</sub>	Сү	α, deg	с <sub>L</sub>	$c_D$	сm	c,	C <sub>n</sub>	с <sub>ұ</sub>
			M = 0.60	0						M = 1.00	4	ł	
							6.00	7.76	1024	0194	0202	0045	0284
1						i	-2.12	- 425	• 1050	-0540	- 0202	+0056	-0234
1						1	-3.55	- 234	.1083	•0678	.0210	-0049	-11238
-0.07	06.6	.0489	.0470	.0205	.0065	-0203	0.06	018	1039	.0770	.0208	0036	.0239
-0.07				.0205			1.59	169	1094	•0731	• 0202	.0022	.0243
2.23	.128	.0705	.0357	.6217	.0065	.0206	3.08	.344	.1270	.0537	•0204	.0011	.0273
4.59	356	.0854	.0023	.0204	.0073	.0170	4.51	.511	•1524	.0200	.0203	-+0004	•0304
				• • • • •			5.90	.669	.1833	0321	•0202	0037	.0356
6.94	•612	.1253	0440	•0124	.0039	.0088	8.73	•973	.2689	1712	•0206	0103	•0426
10.32	.906	.2150	1696	.0035	.0007	.0022	11.23	1.191	.3607	3029	•0159	0127	•0387
13.54	1.064	.3133	3033	0002	0026	.0022	13.75	1.419	•4737	-•4615	.0163	0141	•0369
1							16.17	1.599	•5896	5819	•0196	0085	•0225
L													
			M = 0.80	)						M = 1.13			
-5.26	552	.1106	.0041	.0238	.0083	.0256	-5.97	663	.1807	•0951	+0188	.0015	+0298
-2.66	306	.0780	.0410	.0204	.0064	•0246	-3.07	397	•1327	•1115	•0169	0028	•0309
-1.35	181	.0707	•0555	.C196	.0059	.0252	-1+56	238	.1180	1107	•0154	0050	•0302
-0.04	054	• 6687	.0620	.0199	•0056	•0235	-0.03	071	•1129	•0997	-0144	0062	•0290
1.22	•055	• Û686	.0625	•02 <b>07</b>	.0057	.0233	1.42	.086	.1159	-0761	+0140	0073	+0293
2.52	.193	.0733	•0541	.0199	.0045	•0229	2.89	•255	•1284	•0389	+0142	0082	•0305
3.82	• 330	.0836	•0413	.0190	•0043	•0213	4.36	•426	•1484	0063	•0145	0102	•0325
5+17	•487	.1018	•0182	•0175	.0045	•0171	5.87	• 592	+1/93		•0154	- 0119	-0301
1 1.11	• / 4 /	+1525		-0123	+0025	•0104	0.00	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	+2217	- 3685	+0109	- 0153	.0368
9.99		+2182		- 0005	- 0025	-0032	11.10	1.224	. 4230		-0138	-+0135	-0332
16 31	1.035	-2035	- 3712	0030	- 0023	0039	16.08	1.412	-5289	4759	-0128	0130	-0219
14.51		• • • • • •	- • J / 12			••••							
	-		M = 0.90	>	· · · · · · · · · · ·					M = 1.20			
-5-71	649	.1341	0118	.0319	.0049	.0359	-5.88	656	.1825	.1474	.0163	.0068	.0267
-2.88	350	.0871	.0332	.0214	.0053	.0254	-3.03	396	.1361	•1413	•0158	•0032	+0245
-1.43	191	.0757	.0576	.0197	.0047	.0234	-1.55	244	•1214	•1300	•0156	•0009	•0243
0.03	035	.0731	.0779	.0200	.0045	.0233	-0.05	074	•1167	.1082	-0152	0018	•0262
1.41	•114	.0748	.0826	.0193	.0045	.0207	1.33	•065	.1198	•0802	•0153	-•0037	•0275
2.90	• 301	.0857	.0751	•0179	•0039	•0196	2.87	•241	•1314	•0356	•0146	0065	•0291
4.35	•483	.1056	.0570	.0180	•0036	.0191	4.36	•394	•1499	0142	•0146	0085	•0300
5.76	•649	1338	.0272	.0183	.0021	.0196	5.73	•550	•1756	0723	0149	0098	•0303
8.23	•855	.1925	0635	.0070	0008	•0136	8.53	•827	•2467	1916	•0165	0112	•0312
12.81	1+156	.3359	3167	0008	0057	-00/7	11.13	1.044	• 52 B1		-0159		-0282
15.02	1.270	•4215	4239	.0033	0051	•0092	13.58	1 37)	+4141	4883	-0131	~-0128	+0260
1							10.04	10311	• 2102		•0151	-+0120	+0200
			M = 0.9"	5			L			<u> </u>			
-6.05	774	- 1571	0273	-0222	0065	.0246							
-3.12	425	1022	.0249	.0206	.0051	.0222							
-1.51	218	0862	.055B	.0213	.0049	.0213							
0.16	.013	.0833	.0796	.0228	•0048	.0210							
1.70	•198	.0888	.0806	.0225	.0026	.0221							
3.11	• 362	.1052	.0673	•0221	•0009	•0246							
4.54	•530	.1294	•0422	.0222	0015	•0285							
5.97	•693	.1605	.0030	.0231	0048	.0325							
8.69	•983	.2431	1002	.0257	0120	•0423							
11.16	1.192	•3324	2114	.0200	0131	.0377							
13.69	1-408	•4426	3294	.0192	0137	•0347							
15.84	1.506	<b>.</b> 5270	4631	•0145	0099	•0175							
1													

14

#### TABLE I.- AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE COMPLETE MODEL - Continued

(f) Outboard two-thirds span of complete control;  $\delta_{\rm g}$  = -0.122c;  $\delta_{\rm d}$  = -0.0915c

I

L-788

a, deg	с <sub>г</sub>	сD	с <sub>т</sub>	C2	с <sub>n</sub>	Сү	a, deg	$c_{L}$	с <sub>D</sub>	Cm	cl	Cn	с <sub>ұ</sub>
			M = 0.6	io					·	M = 1.00	•	<b>.</b>	
-0.10 2.21 4.53 6.91 10.29 13.47	076 .114 .336 .603 .889 1.040	.0863 .0863 .1036 .1454 .2243 .3189	•0474 •0337 •0072 •0372 •1638 •3047	.0202 .0219 .0209 .0133 .0041 .0046	.0096 .0085 .0082 .0063 .0010 0038	.0252 .0253 .0213 .0094 .0065 .0117	-6.15 -3.24 -0.04 3.04 5.92 8.64 11.15 13.69 16.07	738 446 043 .328 .652 .941 1.170 1.393 1.573	• 1988 • 1432 • 1172 • 1406 • 1935 • 2740 • 3662 • 4762 • 5873	•0096 •0418 •0735 •0577 •0183 •1568 •3082 •4458 -5981	.0200 .0197 .0227 .0237 .0250 .0264 .0193 .0219 .0225	.0085 .0089 .0081 .0054 .0003 -0087 -0148 -0175 -0087	.0319 .0273 .0276 .0320 .0401 .0540 .0526 .0497 .0268
		<u>.</u>	M = 0.8	0						M = 1 13			
-5.32 -2.72 -0.11 2.42 5.08 7.66 9.94 12.14 14.30	561 323 076 .162 .454 .728 .897 1.011 1.100	.1256 .0928 .0836 .0873 .1114 .1603 .2246 .2917 .3594	•0022 •0365 •0583 •0527 •0210 •0488 •1649 -2746 -3634	.0240 .0208 .0207 .0215 .0202 .0158 .0041 .0024 .0046	.0100 .0087 .0082 .0080 .0067 .0043 .0002 0024 0037	.0317 .0309 .0299 .0281 .0237 .0144 .0099 .0104 .0118	-6.09 -3.21 -0.19 2.85 5.79 8.54 11.18 13.57 16.05	683 419 099 .240 .576 .845 1.066 1.223 1.413	<ul> <li>1972</li> <li>1486</li> <li>1280</li> <li>1414</li> <li>1885</li> <li>2601</li> <li>3466</li> <li>4328</li> <li>5407</li> </ul>	.0820 .1018 .0916 .0323 0627 1715 2785 3712 4825	.0195 .0193 .0171 .0165 .0202 .0184 .0152 .0148	.0020 -0028 -0062 -0081 -0124 -0187 -0235 -0253 -0253 -0216	.0365 .0398 .0390 .0393 .0437 .0516 .0545 .0527 .0398
	·		M = 0.0							N 1 00			
-5.72 -2.97 -0.10 2.74 5.51 8.10 12.74 14.96	650 379 064 .248 .559 .803 1.132 1.243	• 1471 • 1042 • 0890 • 0968 • 1378 • 1961 • 3399 • 4227	0111 .0244 .0671 .0736 .0249 0550 3123 4234	• 0300 • 0232 • 0212 • 0207 • 0182 • 0132 • 0047 • 0126	.0079 .0076 .0072 .0072 .0060 .0024 0036 0116	.0374 .0300 .0292 .0256 .0223 .0202 .0144 .0256	$ \begin{array}{r} -6 \cdot 01 \\ -3 \cdot 18 \\ -0 \cdot 19 \\ 2 \cdot 77 \\ 5 \cdot 67 \\ 11 \cdot 06 \\ 13 \cdot 54 \\ 16 \cdot 03 \\ \end{array} $	674 419 104 .217 .533 .813 1.034 1.92 1.368	<ul> <li>1993</li> <li>1513</li> <li>1321</li> <li>1435</li> <li>1862</li> <li>2559</li> <li>3362</li> <li>4228</li> <li>5271</li> </ul>	m = 1.20 •1346 •1344 •1030 •0334 ••0745 ••1920 -•2990 ••3875 -•4912	.0183 .0185 .0184 .0178 .0182 .0198 .0186 .0171 .0155	.0074 .0022 -0030 -0076 -0121 -0159 -0184 -0217 -0218	•0335 •0342 •0359 •0377 •0405 •0440 •0433 •0448 •0422
	·		M = 0.9	5			L	~					
-6.12 -3.23 -0.03 3.03 5.88 8.59 11.10 13.53 15.54	740 446 042 .327 .660 .938 1.155 1.363 1.406	• 1715 • 1162 • 0950 • 1137 • 1656 • 2430 • 3311 • 4318 • 5003	0411 .0133 .0735 .0686 .0103 0882 2072 3306 4595	.0226 .0211 .0226 .0258 .0285 .0332 .0251 .0218 .0251	.0080 .0068 .0066 .0035 -0020 -0121 -0133 -0141 -0137	.0311 .0287 .0270 .0316 .0400 .0540 .0540 .0468 .0420 .0318							



-

TABLE 1.- ARKODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE COMPLETE MODEL - Continued

.

)) | | |

(g)	Outboard	two-thirds	span of	complete	control;	δ	Ξ	-0.133c;	δđ	=	~0.10c
-----	----------	------------	---------	----------	----------	---	---	----------	----	---	--------

		_	_										
α, deg	$C_{L}$	$c_D$	С <sub>та</sub>	Cl	C <sub>n</sub>	с <sub>Y</sub>	a, deg	cL	cD	C <sub>m</sub>	C2	Cn	с <sub>Y</sub>
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		M = 0.c	io .		•				M = 1.00	1	1	L
1							-6.22	744	.2070	0056	:0205	•0120	•0352
1							-3.34	467	<ul> <li>1505</li> </ul>	•0303	•0194	•0126	•0314
-0.11	079	.0868	.0394	.0201	-0107	.0317	-0.11	069	•1243	-0685	+0229	+0123	•0284
2.21	•115	.0890	.0281	.0220	.0107	.0322	3.01	•304	•1434	+0589	+0257	.00BD	·0351
4.52	• 327	.1021	0015	.0216	.0090	.0301	5.90	•634	.1951	0123	•0281	•0036	+0422
6.95	•598	.1373	0420	.0153	.0081	.0168	8.63	.917	•2746	-+1375	•0313	0031	•0544
10.34	.900	.2231	1651	.0043	.0018	.0091	11.20	1.174	•3703	3050	.0280	0109	•0599
13.51	1.054	.3213	3076	0043	0029	.0142	13.71	1.387	.4765	4488	.0250	0119	.0492
1		•					16.09	1.559	•5871	5977	.0266	.0006	.0264
1											•••••		
1													
1													
			M = 0.8	0						M = 1.13			
-5.34	567	.1297	0078	.0247	.0123	•0352	-6.12	690	•2055	.0732	•0202	.0027	.0439
-2.73	324	.0976	.0247	.0210	.0102	.0370	-3.28	431	•1573	.0974	+0201	0013	•0453
-0.12	076	.0881	.0469	.0207	.0106	.0348	-0.21	107	.1349	.0851	.0183	0050	.0445
2.43	.156	0913	0451	-0218	.0104	.0330	2.84	.230	.1475	.0263	.0177	0079	.0444
1 5.07	.445	-1154	0158	0215	-0084	0300	5.80	-56A	1927	0691	0200	0132	-0498
1 2 67	716	1437	- 0503	0182	0067	.0107	8.56	. 844	- 2660	1833	-0227	0211	-0608
1.00	• 1 10	• 10 32	- 1431	0060	0012	0125	11.12	1.055	- 3518	294.0	-0218	0283	+0676
9.99	.900	+2212	~+1031	.0060	+0012	0135	12 67	1 215	4276	- 2967	0175	0205	.0655
12.14	1.007	• 2921	2/08	-0047	0007	-0129	13.37	1 4 0 7	5400	- 5089	0176	- 0271	0699
14.30	1.11/	. 3002		•0059	~.0027	•0195	10.00	10401	+ 340 9		+0124	-+0271	+0424
1													
1							1						
1													
			M = 0.9	0						M = 1.20			
-5.79	649	.1544	0211	.0295	.0101	•0414	-6-07	684	.2068	.1193	.0193	.0088	•0382
-3.05	393	.1110	0132	.0236	.0097	.0342	-3.22	428	.1588	•1237	•0198	+0038	•0397
-0.13	071	.0938	.0539	.0210	.0098	.0323	-0-20	115	.1380	•0935	.0196	-+0014	•0398
2.69	.224	.1014	•0641	.0212	.0098	.0299	2.76	.209	.1483	+0254	•0192	-+0062	•0418
5.59	.571	-1437	-0276	0744	.0080	.0304	5-68	•529	.1898	0787	.0198	0120	.0456
1	••••	•••••					8.48	-804	-2595	1964	+0220	0183	.0527
1							11.07	1.017	- 3415	3014	-0214	0247	0585
12 01	1 120	3466	- 3116	0077	- 0015	. 0181	13.57	1.185	4287	3878	-0182	0280	0596
12.01	10130	• <u>-</u>		0178	- 0007	0200	16 08	1 259	. 5340	4922	.0168	0275	.0594
15.01	1.257	.4302	-+4212	•0128	0097	.0200	10.05	10 3 3 5	• 5 5 4 0		+0100		
[													
1													
1													
			M = 0.9	5									
-6.18	746	.1799	~.0557	.0235	.0096	.0353							
-3.33	469	.1257	0013	.0217	•0090	•0328							
-0.11	068	.1031	.0608	.0226	•0090	+0298							
-0.11	068	.1028	.0616	.0226	•0090	.0298							
2.95	.307	.1186	.0627	.0273	.0063	.0348							
5.88	-649	.1687	.0093	.0313	0010	0440							
8.59	-923	. 2474	0872	-0377	0090	-0576							
0,90	1 1 77	+4+14 3307	- 20012	0370		00100							
1 11+12	101/1	• 3372	2081			-0209							
13.50	1.337	•4257	3472	0299	0124	•0408							
15.61	1.403	• 5048	4592	.0269	0120	•0350							
1						I							
1													
1													
1						1							



#### TABLE I.- AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE COMPLETE MODEL - Continued

(h) Outboard one-third span of complete control;  $\delta_{\rm S}$  = -0.10c;  $\delta_{\rm d}$  = -0.075c

t

a, deg	$c_L$	с <sub>D</sub>	C <sub>m</sub>	Cl	c <sub>n</sub>	Сү	α, deg	$c_{\rm L}$	cD	Cm	cl	c <sub>n</sub>	CY
	L		M = 0.6	0						M = 1.00	L	L	L
							-5.93 -2.93 0.26 3.30 6.17 8.98 11.55 13.99 16.35	661 339 .046 .414 .753 1.053 1.302 1.501 1.657	<pre>.1509 .0985 .0870 .1163 .1777 .2693 .3737 .4859 .6013</pre>	•0480 •0694 •0821 •0531 -0416 -1813 -3340 -4890 -6207	•0156 •0142 •0139 •0143 •0131 •0145 •0129 •0092 •0145	.0033 .0012 .0006 .0001 -0023 -0035 .0003 .0003 .0036 0010	.0111 .0088 .0079 .0087 .0094 .0115 .0037 0065 0053
			M = 0.8	0			L			M = 1.13			
-5.13 -2.51 0.10 2.74 5.39 7.86 10.08 12.21 14.36	495 239 .024 .287 .594 .822 .934 1.039 1.126	0863 0575 0508 0596 0967 1520 2167 2815 3501	.0244 .0559 .0758 .0677 .0248 -0514 -1703 -2741 -3686	.0184 .0140 .0138 .0116 .0072 .0008 .0004 0019 0037	.0058 .0036 .0032 .0033 .0036 .0019 .0002 .0013 .0052	.0092 .0073 .0059 .0025 0032 0031 0034 0081 0153	-5.83 -2.94 0.11 3.07 6.11 8.89 11.49 13.92 16.35	619 342 020 .309 .660 .935 1.156 1.314 1.473	<pre>.1543 .1125 .0990 .1179 .1733 .2530 .3444 .4364 .5432</pre>	.1211 .1219 .1035 .0412 0687 1828 2934 3909 5056	.0115 .0096 .0097 .0100 .0104 .0119 .0111 .0069 .0075	•0027 •0003 •0020 •0029 •0045 •0045 •0047 •0040 •0040 •0041	•0105 •0084 •0077 •0069 •0079 •0068 •0028 •0028 •0075 •0075
			M = 0.9	0						M = 1.20			-
-5.55 -2.70 0.27 3.26 6.07 8.50 12.86 15.16	585 283 .062 .425 .754 .946 1.178 1.301	.1068 .0646 .0555 .0761 .1327 .1964 .3370 .4260	•0181 •0523 •0955 •0823 •0217 •0713 -•3212 -•4296	.0252 .0159 .0141 .0101 .0112 .0009 .0013 .0030	.0041 .0029 .0029 .0003 .0005 0010 0016	.0158 .0078 .0054 .0019 .0046 0027 0040 0055	-5.79 -2.95 0.08 3.05 5.94 8.72 11.39 13.84 16.22	610 348 029 .292 .610 .890 1.127 1.273 1.424	•1591 •1164 •1032 •1212 •1713 •2477 •3383 •4259 •5276	•1624 •1446 •1065 •0311 •0847 •2095 •3262 •4143 •5162	.0089 .0091 .0102 .0098 .0104 .0112 .0094 .0084 .0081	.0071 .0048 .0028 .0004 -0001 -0003 .0007 .0018 0004	.0073 .0059 .0058 .0057 .0038 .0028 0011 0052 0044
L			M = 0.9	5									
-5.87 -2.85 0.38 3.33 6.22 8.96 11.48 13.95 16.01	663 331 .098 .437 .782 1.081 1.296 1.490 1.547	1270 0768 0677 0950 1572 2468 3451 4553 5348	•0108 •0541 •0882 •0682 •0138 -1336 -2410 -3525 -4706	.0171 .0162 .0156 .0156 .0158 .0164 .0090 .0096 .0066	.0049 .0028 .0021 .0008 -0021 -0056 -0013 -0026 -0034	.0099 .0084 .0072 .0081 .0084 .0130 0013 0010 0039							

17

#### TABLE I.- AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE COMPLETE MODEL - Concluded

F

(i) Outboard one-third span of complete control;  $\delta_g$  = -0.133c;  $\delta_d$  = -0.10c

a, deg	C <sub>L</sub>	C <sub>D</sub>	ت <u>،</u>	C1	с <u>"</u>	Су	a, deg	C <sub>L</sub>	с <sub>D</sub>	C <sub>m</sub>	cı	C <sub>n</sub>	C¥
┣────┘	I	L	M = 0.6	ío	L	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				M = 1.00	L	L	
0.05 3.50 7.02 10.34	021 .294 .658 .920	.0628 .0730 .1259 .2148	.0562 .0273 0377 1619	.0142 .0144 .0062 0001	•0057 •0060 •0028 •0008	.0146 .0118 .0031 .0015	0.21 4.73 8.89 12.67	•027 •564 1•024 1•361	•0963 •1488 •2691 •4233	•0761 •0121 -•1812 -•4016	•0151 •0156 •0168 •0109	•0039 •0007 -•0043 -•0023	•0137 •0170 •0206 •0101
			M ≠ 0.8	0						M = 1.13		·····	
0.11 4.00 7.84 11.13	005 .399 .790 .973	.0621 .0805 .1538 .2515	•0682 •0474 -•0507 -•2164	•0147 •0132 •0057 -•0005	•0047 •0055 •0022 -•0002	•0177 •0106 •0050 •0046	0.09 4.59 8.76 12.60	032 .469 .903 1.210	•1075 •1464 •2518 •3869	•0973 -•0106 -•1751 -•3355	•0108 •0123 •0137 •0092	-+0032 0060 -+0084 -+0075	.0171 .0175 .0193 .0131
											*****		
0.21	.022	0676	M = 0.9	0	004.0	0140	0.05	- 043	1112	M = 1.20	0114	00.74	0121
4.62 8.42 11.71	.023 .564 .914 1.089	•1056 •1987 •2970	.0852 .0574 0715 2551	.0134 .0145 .0058 .0055	.0048 .0032 .0013 ~.0015	•0149 •0113 •0058 •0075	0.05 4.48 8.66 12.54	042 .447 .858 1.181	• 1115 • 1503 • 2488 • 3805	-1032 -0272 -2014 -3659	•0114 •0122 •0142 •0126	•0025 -0025 -0051 -0061	•0131 •0140 •0150 •0127
			M = 0.9	5			L						
0.32 4.72 8.84 12.64	•066 •577 1•037 1•364	.0754 .1230 .2443 .3953	.0821 .0417 1214 2882	.0179 .0183 .0201 .0136	•0045 •0008 -•0055 -•0047	.0140 .0176 .0228 .0137							



### TABLE II.- AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MODEL WITHOUT WING-TIP NACELLES

Complete control;  $\delta_8 \approx -0.10c$ ;  $\delta_d \approx -0.075c$ ]

·													
a, deg	°L	¢D	5	cı	Ċ <sub>n</sub>	с <sub>ү</sub>	a, deg	°⊾	cD	с <sub>т</sub>	c,	Cn	с <sub>Y</sub>
M = 0.60							M = 1.00						
							-5.32	448	.1391	•0262	•0145	•0045	.0441
	003	0705	0204	0176	004.0	0224	-2.67	258	•1136	•0761	•0126	•0062	•0381
2.07	092	.0793	0062	-0175	•0040	.0350	2.30	-0005	•1072	•0017	•0127	+0042	.0376
4.25	.184	.0862	0496	.0177	.0003	.0349	4.96	.338	.1275	0402	.0131	.0036	.0342
6.49	.356	.1046	1026	.0176	.0006	•0334	7.65	.601	.1765	1327	•0153	0003	.0380
9.88	•640	.1643	1968	.0148	•0002	•0222	10.22	.843	•2489	2781	•0153	0063	•0484
13.20	• 918	.2038	3229	.0121	0003	•0200	12.72	1.244	.4349		+0217	0102	+0494
1							1		• • • • • • •		••		
							1						
M = 0.80							M = 1.13						
-4.88	373	.1027	•0362	.0175	•0053	.0396	-5.46	472	.1572	•0628	.0147	0062	.0477
-2.50	-+235	.0853	.0519	.0163	•0042	.0373	-2.84	288	•1251	+0929	•0125	0054	•0441
-0.20	088	.0790	•0362	.0156	.0032	0358	-0.17	122	+117	+0702	+0109	0055	•0428
4.47	.229	+0886	0440	-0163	•00012	•0356	5.03	.343	.1431	0677	.0097	0108	.0477
6.94	•451	1130	1057	.0156	.0018	.0264	7.64	.563	.1875	1608	.0106	0160	.0538
9.44	.687	.1655	1932	.0128	.0012	.0180	10.19	•761	.2487	2540	•0125	0236	.0636
11.84	.885	.2359	2914	.0117	0013	•0180	12.69	•948	• 3237	3486	•0143	0300	•0718
14.12	1.041	.3123	3790	.0104	0009	•0194	15.07	1.105	•4004	-+470/	•0151	-+0390	.0/52
			M = 0.9	0						M = 1.20			
-5.07	404	.1109	.0238	.0165	•0043	•0393	-5.50	478	•1602	•0925	•0156	0033	•0445
-0.19	087	.0902	.0462	.0146	•0032	.0338	-0.21	089	.1139	.0739	•0117	0070	-0412
2.18	.072	.0831	.0115	.0148	.0016	.0345	2.41	.115	.1189	.0149	.0106	0109	.0439
4.70	•276	.0986	0382	.0158	.0018	.0340	5.02	.328	.1448	0690	.0103	0151	•0484
7.35	•541	.1349	1113	.0160	.0039	•0235	7.63	•549	.1898	1685	•0117	0189	•0539
9.97	.814	• 2002	2156	•0177	0020	•0221	10.17	•749	+2512	- 2683	•0134	0237	•0604
14.81	1.196	. 3737		.0211	0122	.0332	15.11	1.081	.4033	4613	.0134	0351	.0736
14001	101/0	• • • • • •							• • •		••••		
<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-			L						
M = 0.97													
-2.65	450	.0965	.0617	.0151	.0047	.0376							
-0.21	087	.0885	.0523	.0146	.0030	.0356							
2.23	.080	.0899	.0207	.0146	.0019	.0352							
4.88	• 324	.1103	0412	.0145	•0044	.0287							
7.59	.845	• 1577	1243	.0189	-0005	.0307							
12.67	1.060	.3145	3483	.0242	0039	0363							
15.08	1.244	.4129	- 4504	.0242	0095	.0402							
l													



.





::

L-59-1812

L-788



L**-**59**-**1811

Figure 2.- Model installed in the Langley 8-foot transonic pressure tunnel. Outboard two-thirds span of complete control with  $\delta_s = -0.05c$ ;  $\delta_d = -0.0375c$ .



•





Figure 3.- Effect of complete and partial control span spoiler slot deflector on the aerodynamic characteristics of the model.  $\delta_s = -0.10c; \delta_d = -0.075c.$ 

21



(b) Drag coefficient.

,6 Lift coefficient, CL

Figure 3.- Continued.





-,6

-.4

0



B

(c) Pitching-moment coefficient.



23





.

Figure 3.- Continued.

24

.04



(e) Yawing-moment coefficient.



[• ]

٠

 25

4F

L**-**788

◄

-





•••

P-/00

Figure 3.- Concluded.

\_\_\_\_\_

26

••••

::

••••

.



.

Figure 4.- Variation of rolling-moment coefficient with Mach number at various angles of attack for the outboard two-thirds span of the complete controls.  $\delta_d = 0.75\delta_s$ .





E.or. st.

••••

••

¢

•

....

1 4

••••

Φ





::

•••••

= -0.10c;  $\delta_{d} = -0.075c$ . δ<sub>s</sub> Figure 6.- Effect of wing-tip nacelles on the complete control.

Å.

NASA - Langley Field, Va. L-788

5F

L-788

٠