

SU-181

Technical Report No. 5

AD 635139

A General Method for the Calculation
of Axis-Crossing Moments

by

M. R. Leadbetter

GPO PRICE \$ _____

CFSTI PRICE(S) \$ _____

Hard copy (HC) \$ 1.00

Microfiche (MF) 150

June 1966

653 July 65

This research was supported by the Army, Navy, Air Force
and NASA under a contract administered by the Office of
Naval Research. Reproduction in whole or in part is
permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

Contract Number Nonr 4565(00)

Task NR 042-246

N 66 34674

FACILITY FORM 502

(ACCESSION NUMBER)
15
(PAGES)
CR 47340
(NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)

(THRU)
1
(CODE)
79
(CATEGORY)

AD 635139

Acquisitioned Document
SQT

Acquisitioned Document
SQT

R
T-I

RESEARCH TRIANGLE INSTITUTE • DURHAM, NORTH CAROLINA

A General Method for the Calculation
of Axis-Crossing Moments

by

M. R. Leadbetter

Summary. A formula for the moments of the number of crossings of a level by a stationary normal process in a given time was obtained by Cramér and Leadbetter [3]. Ylvisaker [14] has weakened the conditions for the validity of this result to the minimal possible, by a proof which includes non stationary normal cases. In this report we give an alternative derivation applicable to normal processes. Non normal processes are also discussed in a manner analogous to that given in [7] for the mean.

1. Introduction. There is a long history of interest in the problem of obtaining the mean number of crossings of a level by normal, and other types of stochastic processes in a given time. References [6] [10] [5] [2] [4] [13] [7] contain much of the development of this theory. Until recently there has been less interest in moments of higher order than the first. This is due in part to the fact that the simple results available for the mean are undoubtedly the most useful and are of paramount importance in applications, whereas the difficulty of making practical use of the higher moments increases greatly with the order of these moments. Further, the difficulty of obtaining rigorous results is certainly somewhat greater for moments of higher order than the first, in view of singularities of the probability densities involved "at diagonal points", as will be seen below.

However there are excellent reasons for studying moments of higher order than the first. Certainly the second moment plays an important role in applications of various sorts - for example in deciding on the length of "counting periods" to give very accurate time measurements by means of "noisy" standard frequency transmissions. The problem of obtaining the variance of the number of crossings of a level by a

(particular type of) stationary normal process was first considered by Steinberg, Schultheiss, Worgrin, and Zweig [12], using somewhat heuristic methods. Rozanov and Volkonski [11] point out precise sufficient (though by no means necessary) conditions for the validity of this formula, and Leadbetter and Cryer [8] weaken these restrictions to give a result under conditions which are close to being minimal. This latter result includes also a rather sharp condition for finiteness of the variance.

There are also important applications for results concerning moments of higher order, as, for example, in connection with one method of discussing the asymptotic distribution of the maximum of a stationary normal process in a given time (cf. [1]). A partial result concerning moments of higher order than the second was indicated by Ivanov at the end of his paper [5]. For stationary normal processes the moments of all orders were obtained by Cramér and Leadbetter [3] under mild restrictions. One of the conditions there assumed is that $\xi(t)$ should possess a continuous sample derivative, with probability one. Belayev [1] derives this result under slightly more restrictive conditions, but including non stationary (normal) cases also. It is also shown there that the k -th moment is finite if $\xi(t)$ possesses k (q.m.) derivatives. However, as can be seen from [8] (and as noted by Belayev) this sufficient condition for finiteness is not by any means necessary. Finally, Ylvisaker [14] has shown that it is possible to slightly further weaken the conditions for the validity of the formula for the moments, to avoid explicit reference to the existence of the sample derivative. Ylvisaker's derivation hinges on an interesting application of the martingale convergence theorem. It refers to stationary normal processes, but may also be adapted to cover non stationary cases.

It will be our purpose here to give an alternative derivation of the moments for the normal case under the (minimal) conditions assumed by Ylvisaker, but without appealing to martingale theory. We shall then give explicit results (in terms of convergence of certain densities) for processes which may be non normal as well as non stationary. These results are analagous to those of [7] for the mean. The

method of proof to be given uses, in part, ideas from each of the available works on this subject.

2. A general result. We shall consider a process $\xi(t)$ possessing, a.s., continuous sample functions and, for a given integer k , absolutely continuous $2k$ -dimensional distributions with corresponding densities of the form $f_{t_1 \dots t_{2k}}(x_1 \dots x_{2k})$. Our discussion will be in terms of the k -th factorial moment of the number N of upcrossings of zero by $\xi(t)$ in $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Certainly (as in [7]) N is a well defined random variable. The modifications required to deal with downcrossings, the total number of crossings, crossings of other levels and curves, more general time intervals, and ordinary moments will be clear.

For $\underline{t} = (t_1 \dots t_k)$ lying in the k -dimensional unit cube, let m_r denote the unique integer such that $m_r/2^n \leq t_r < (m_r+1)/2^n$. Write $E_n(\underline{t})$ for the k -dimensional cube whose sides are the intervals $[m_r/2^n, (m_r+1)/2^n]$. We shall refer to $E_n(\underline{t})$ as "the cube of side 2^{-n} containing $\underline{t}$ ". For $\epsilon > 0$, let $A_{n\epsilon}$ denote the set of all points $\underline{t}$ in the unit cube such that for all $\underline{s} = (s_1 \dots s_k) \in E_n(\underline{t})$, we have $|s_i - s_j| > \epsilon$ whenever $i \neq j$, and write $\lambda_{n\epsilon}(\underline{t})$ for the characteristic function of the set $A_{n\epsilon}$. Finally let the random variable $\chi_{i,n} = 1$ if $\xi(i/2^n) < 0 < \xi[(i+1)/2^n]$, $\chi_{i,n} = 0$ otherwise.

The main results concerning the factorial moments of N will be relatively straightforward consequences of the following lemma.

LEMMA. Let $M_{n\epsilon} = \sum' \chi_{i_1,n} \dots \chi_{i_k,n} \lambda_{n\epsilon}(\frac{i_1}{2^n} \dots \frac{i_k}{2^n})$, where the summation is extended over

all ordered sets of distinct integers $i_1 \dots i_k$, $0 \leq i_r \leq 2^n - 1$. Then

(i) $M_{n\epsilon}$ is non decreasing as n increases for fixed ϵ , and as ϵ decreases
for fixed n .

(ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} M_{n\epsilon} = N(N-1) \dots (N-k+1)$, with probability one.

To prove the first statement of (i) we note that each term of the sum for $M_{n\epsilon}$ corresponds to a cube of side 2^{-n} (viz. $E_n(\frac{i_1}{2^n} \dots \frac{i_k}{2^n})$). For fixed $\epsilon > 0$, the typical term is unity if (a) every point $\underline{s} = (s_1 \dots s_k)$ in the cube is such that $|s_i - s_j| > \epsilon$ for $i \neq j$, and (b) $\chi_{i_1,n} = \chi_{i_2,n} = \dots = \chi_{i_k,n} = 1$. When n is increased by unity, the cube divides into 2^k subcubes, in each of which property (a) still holds. Correspondingly, the typical term of the sum divides into 2^k terms formed by replacing n by $(n+1)$, and each i_j by either $2i_j$ or $2i_j+1$. Since if $\chi_{i_j,n} = 1$ we must have either $\chi_{2i_j,n+1} = 1$ or $\chi_{2i_j+1,n+1} = 1$ (with probability one), it follows that at least one of these 2^k terms is unity, and hence the first statement of (i) follows. The second statement of (i) is obvious.

To prove (ii) we note first that if the typical term in the sum of $M_{n\epsilon}$ is non zero it follows that $|i_r - i_s| > 1$ for $r \neq s$, since it is impossible to have $\chi_{i,n} = \chi_{i+1,n} = 1$ for any i . It is easy to see from this that, for such a term,

$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{n\epsilon}(\frac{i_1}{2^n} \dots \frac{i_k}{2^n}) = 1$. Further if $N_n = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \chi_{i,n}$ it follows easily as in [3]

that $\sum' \chi_{i_1, n} \dots \chi_{i_k, n} = N_n(N_n - 1) \dots (N_n - k + 1)$. But $N_n \rightarrow N$ with probability one

(cf. [7]). From these facts (ii) follows at once, and the proof of the lemma is complete.

From the monotonicity properties proved in (i) of the lemma it follows that the order of the ϵ and n -limits in (ii) may be interchanged. Hence, writing $M_k = \mathcal{E} N(N-1)\dots(N-k+1)$, it follows by two applications of monotone convergence that

$$M_k = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E} M_{n\epsilon}. \text{ From the definition of } M_{n\epsilon} \text{ and a simple transformation of}$$

variables it now follows at once that

$$(1) \quad M_k = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum' \lambda_{n\epsilon} \left(\frac{i_1}{2^n} \dots \frac{i_k}{2^n} \right) P\{\xi_{i_r} < 0 < \xi_{i_r} + 2^{-n}\eta_{i_r}, r = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$$

in which $\xi_r = \xi(r/2^n)$ and $\eta_r = 2^n(\xi_{r+1} - \xi_r)$. As noted earlier, the only non zero terms in the sum on the right correspond to integers $i_1 \dots i_k$ satisfying $|i_r - i_s| > 1$ for $r \neq s$. Thus we can (and do now) regard $\sum'$ as indicating summation only over such sets of integers. For such integer sets, the random variables $\xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_k}, \eta_{i_1} \dots \eta_{i_k}$

clearly possess a joint density. Let us write $\Psi_{n\epsilon}(x_1 \dots x_k, y_1 \dots y_k)$ to be equal to this joint density for all $\underline{t} = (t_1 \dots t_k)$ in $A_{n\epsilon}$ such that $i_r/2^n \leq t_r < (i_r+1)/2^n$, $r = 1 \dots k$, i.e. for all $\underline{t}$ of $A_{n\epsilon}$ lying in the cube $E_n(\frac{i_1}{2^n} \dots \frac{i_k}{2^n})$, and $\Psi_{n\epsilon} = 0$ otherwise. Then from (1),

$$M_k = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{kn} \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 d\underline{t} \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty d\underline{y} \int_{-2^{-n}y_1}^0 \dots \int_{-2^{-n}y_k}^0 \Psi_{n\epsilon}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) d\underline{x}$$

By a simple change of variable we may now obtain the following general result.

THEOREM 1. For the process $\xi(t)$ defined at the beginning of this section,

$$(2) \quad M_k = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 dt \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty dy \int_{-y_1}^0 \dots \int_{-y_k}^0 \Psi_{nt\epsilon}(2^{-n}x_1 \dots 2^{-n}x_k, y_1 \dots y_k) d\mathbf{x}.$$

3. Normal processes. In this section $\xi(t)$ will denote a (separable) stationary normal process. It will be shown how the result given by Cramér and Leadbetter for the k -th factorial moment $M_k(u)$ of the number of upcrossings of the level u by $\xi(t)$ in $0 \leq t \leq 1$, can be obtained from Theorem 1. The less restrictive (in fact minimal) conditions assumed by Ylvisaker [14] will be used for this calculation. Specifically we shall assume that $\xi(t)$ has zero mean, and spectrum $F(\lambda)$ which is not purely discrete and possesses a finite second moment $\lambda_2 = \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 dF(\lambda)$. Under these conditions it follows that

$$(3) \quad M_k(u) = \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 dt \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty y_1 \dots y_k p_{\underline{t}}(u, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}$$

where $\underline{t} = (t_1 \dots t_k)$ and $p_{\underline{t}}(u, \mathbf{y})$ is obtained from the joint density $p_{\underline{t}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ for $\xi(t_1) \dots \xi(t_k)$ together with the (q.m.) derivatives $\xi'(t_1) \dots \xi'(t_k)$, by putting all the components of $\mathbf{x}$ equal to u .

To obtain this result from Theorem 1 we note first that the conditions of that theorem are clearly satisfied by $\xi(t)$ (cf. [7]). It follows by considering the process $\xi(t) - u$ that

$$(4) \quad M_k(u) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 dt \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty dy \int_{-y_1}^0 \dots \int_{-y_k}^0 \Psi_{nt\epsilon}(u + 2^{-n}x_1 \dots u + 2^{-n}x_k, y_1 \dots y_k) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Let $D(\epsilon) = \{\underline{t} = (t_1 \dots t_k): |t_i - t_j| > \epsilon \text{ for all } i \neq j\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{n\epsilon}$. Then it follows simply (cf. [9]) that for any given $\underline{t} \in D(\epsilon)$, the covariances occurring in the normal distribution described by $\Psi_{n\underline{t}\epsilon}$ converge to those of $p_{\underline{t}}(\underline{x}, \underline{y})$; in fact this occurs uniformly for $\underline{t} \in D(\epsilon)$. In particular this implies that the integrand in (4) converges to $p_{\underline{t}}(u, \underline{y})$ in $D(\epsilon)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If we can show that the n limit may be taken inside all the integral signs it would then follow that

$$M_k = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int \dots \int_{D(\epsilon)} d\underline{t} \int_0^\infty y_1 \dots y_k p_{\underline{t}}(u, \underline{y}) d\underline{y}$$

from which the final result follows by monotone convergence.

To demonstrate that the order of the n limit and the integral signs may be interchanged, it is sufficient to show that the integrand in (4) is, for each fixed $\epsilon > 0$, dominated by a function which is independent of n and integrable over the indicated $\underline{x}, \underline{y}, \underline{t}$ region.

Let $\Lambda_{n\underline{t}}$ denote the covariance matrix appearing in the density $\Psi_{n\underline{t}\epsilon}$, and $B_{n\underline{t}}$ its lower right hand $k \times k$ submatrix. That is $B_{n\underline{t}}$ is the covariance matrix for $\eta_{i_1} \dots \eta_{i_k}$, with the above notation. A simple minimization over the first k variables shows that $[\underline{x}', \underline{y}'] \Lambda_{n\underline{t}}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{x} \\ \underline{y} \end{bmatrix} \geq \underline{y}' B_{n\underline{t}}^{-1} \underline{y}$. Now the elements of $B_{n\underline{t}}$ converge (uniformly in $D(\epsilon)$) to those of $B_{\underline{t}}$, the covariance matrix for $\xi'(t_1) \dots \xi'(t_k)$. But $\min_{\underline{y}} \underline{y}' B_{n\underline{t}}^{-1} \underline{y} / \underline{y}' B_{\underline{t}}^{-1} \underline{y}$ is simply the smallest characteristic root of $B_{n\underline{t}}^{-1} B_{\underline{t}}$, and this tends to unity as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (uniformly for $\underline{t} \in D(\epsilon)$). Hence we may take n_0 independent of $\underline{t}$ and such that $\underline{y}' B_{n\underline{t}}^{-1} \underline{y} \geq \frac{1}{2} \underline{y}' B_{\underline{t}}^{-1} \underline{y}$ for all $\underline{y}$ when $n \geq n_0$.

Similarly by using the continuity of the smallest characteristic root of $B_{\underline{t}}^{-1} B_{\underline{t}_0}$, (for any fixed $\underline{t}_0 \in D(\epsilon)$), it can be seen that $\underline{y}' B_{\underline{t}}^{-1} \underline{y} \geq \alpha \underline{y}' B_{\underline{t}_0}^{-1} \underline{y}$ for some

$\alpha > 0$, all $\underline{t} \in D(\epsilon)$ and all $\underline{y}$. Further since $\Lambda_{\underline{nt}}$ is non singular it follows by continuity and uniform convergence that $|\Lambda_{\underline{nt}}|$ is uniformly bounded away from zero in this region. Hence, for some constant K ,

$$\Psi_{\underline{nt} \in D(\epsilon)}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) \leq K \exp(-\alpha \underline{y}' B_{\underline{t}_0}^{-1} \underline{y} / 4)$$

from which the desired conclusion follows.

4. Generalizations. The above proof carries through for a non stationary normal process subject to a non degeneracy assumption (cf. [7]). The condition $\lambda_2 < \infty$ is replaced by the requirement that the covariance function $r(t, s)$ should possess a continuous mixed second partial derivative $\partial^2 r / \partial t \partial s$.

For non normal processes we may obtain a result for higher moments, similar to that for the mean given in [7]. Specifically suppose $\xi(t)$ satisfies the conditions stated early in Section 2 and write for $\underline{t} = (t_1 \dots t_k)$

$$g_{\underline{t}, \tau}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = \tau^k f_{t_1 \dots t_k, t_1 + \tau \dots t_k + \tau}(x_1 \dots x_k, x_1 + \tau y_1 \dots x_k + \tau y_k).$$

That is $g_{\underline{t}, \tau}$ is the joint density for the random variables $\xi_{t_1} \dots \xi_{t_k}$ and the incremental ratios $(\xi_{t_i + \tau} - \xi_{t_i}) / \tau$. It then follows that

$$\Psi_{n, \underline{t}, \epsilon}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = g_{\left(\frac{m_1}{2^n} \dots \frac{m_k}{2^n}\right), 2^{-n}}(\underline{x}, \underline{y})$$

at points $\underline{t}$ for which the left hand side is non zero, when $m_r / 2^n \leq t_r < (m_r + 1) / 2^n$.

The following result holds for M_k as defined in Section 2.

THEOREM 2. Consider points $\underline{t} = (t_1 \dots t_k)$ such that $t_i \neq t_j$ for $i \neq j$ and suppose that, with the above notation,

- (i) $g_{\underline{t}, \tau}(\underline{x}, \underline{y})$ is continuous in $(\underline{t}, \underline{x})$ for each $\underline{y}, \tau$
- (ii) For each $\epsilon > 0$, $g_{\underline{t}, \tau}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) \rightarrow p_{\underline{t}}(\underline{x}, \underline{y})$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $(\underline{t}, \underline{x})$ for $\underline{t} \in D(\epsilon)$ and each $\underline{y}$.
- (iii) For each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a function $h_{\epsilon}(\underline{y})$ such that for $\underline{t} \in D(\epsilon)$,

$$g_{\underline{t}, \tau}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) \leq h_{\epsilon}(\underline{y}) \text{ and } \int_0^{\infty} \int \dots \int y_1 \dots y_k h_{\epsilon}(\underline{y}) d\underline{y} < \infty.$$

Then

$$M_k = \int_0^1 \dots \int d\underline{t} \int_0^{\infty} \dots \int y_1 \dots y_k p_{\underline{t}}(0, \underline{y}) d\underline{y} \leq \infty.$$

To prove this result we note that by (i) and (ii) it follows that

$\Psi_{n, \underline{t}, \epsilon}(2^{-n}x_1 \dots 2^{-n}x_k, y_1 \dots y_k) \rightarrow p_{\underline{t}}(0, \underline{y})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence as for the normal case the result follows once it is established that the n -limit in (2) may be taken inside the integral signs, for each fixed $\epsilon > 0$. But this latter property follows at once from (iii) by dominated convergence.

Finally we repeat that these results can be modified to refer to downcrossings, arbitrary time intervals, crossings of curves, and so on. The appropriate modifications are completely analogous to those given in [7] for the mean and hence will not be considered further here.

REFERENCES

- [1] Yu. K. Belayev On the number of intersections of a level by a Gaussian stochastic process, I. Teor. Veroyatnost. i. Primenen. 11 (1966) p. 121-128.
- [2] E. C. Bulinskaya On the mean number of crossings of a level by a stationary Gaussian process. Teor. Veroyatnost. i. Primenen. 6 (1961) p. 474-477.
- [3] H. Cramér and M. R. Leadbetter The moments of the number of crossings of a level by a stationary normal process. Ann. Math. Statist. 36 (1965) p. 1656-1663.
- [4] K. Ito The expected number of zeros of continuous stationary Gaussian processes. J. Math Kyoto Univ. 3-2 (1964) p. 207-216.
- [5] V. A. Ivanov On the average number of crossings of a level by sample functions of a stochastic process. Teor. Veroyatnost. i. Primenen. 5 (1960) p. 319-323.
- [6] M. Kac On the average number of real roots of a random algebraic equation. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 49 (1943) p. 314-320.
- [7] M. R. Leadbetter On crossings of levels and curves by a wide class of stochastic process. Ann. Math. Statist. 37 (1966) p. 260-267.
- [8] M. R. Leadbetter and J. D. Cryer The variance of the number of zeros of a stationary normal process. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 71 (1965) p. 561-563.
- [9] M. R. Leadbetter and J. D. Cryer On the mean number of curve crossings by non-stationary normal processes. Ann. Math. Statist. 36 (1965) p. 509-516.
- [10] S. O. Rice Mathematical analysis of random noise. Bell Syst. Tech. Jour. 24 (1945) p. 46-156.
- [11] Yu. Rozanov and V. A. Volkonski Some limit theorems for random functions I and II. Teor. Veroyatnost. i. Primenen. 4 (1959) p. 186-207 and 6 (1961) p. 202-215.

- [12] H. Steinberg, P. M. Schultheiss, C. A. Wogrin and F. Zweig Short time frequency measurements of narrow-band random signals by means of a zero counting process. J. Appl. Phys. 26 (1955) p. 195-201.
- [13] N. D. Ylvisaker The expected number of zeros of a stationary Gaussian process. Ann. Math. Statist. 36 (1965) p. 1043-1046.
- [14] N. D. Ylvisaker On a theorem of Cramér and Leadbetter. Submitted to Annals Math. Statist.

Unclassified

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Research Triangle Institute		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
		2b. GROUP	
3. REPORT TITLE A General Method for the Calculation of Axis-Crossing Moments			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Technical Report, June 1966			
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) Leadbetter, M. Ross			
6. REPORT DATE June 1966		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 11	7b. NO. OF REFS 12
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. Nonr 4565(00)		9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) SU-181 No. 5	
b. PROJECT NO. Navy RR 003-05-01 NASA RO-001-01		9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
c. Task No. NR 042-246			
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Distribution of this document is unlimited			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Logistics and Mathematical Statistics Branch, Office of Naval Research Washington, D. C.	
13. ABSTRACT In this report the moments of the number of crossings of a level or curve by a stochastic process $\xi(t)$ are obtained, for a wide class of such processes. This extends results of Cramér and Leadbetter [3], Ylvisaker [14] to non normal cases and provides an alternative derivation to that of Ylvisaker (loc. cit.) in the normal case.			

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Stochastic processes Probability						

INSTRUCTIONS

1. **ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (*corporate author*) issuing the report.

2a. **REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. **GROUP:** Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. **REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. **DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. **AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. **REPORT DATE:** Enter the date of the report as day, month, year; or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. **TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. **NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. **CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. **PROJECT NUMBER:** Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. **ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. **OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S):** If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (*either by the originator or by the sponsor*), also enter this number(s).

10. **AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through _____."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through _____."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through _____."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. **SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (*paying for*) the research and development. Include address.

13. **ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. **KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.