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SUMMARY

A nitrogen inerted lubricating system incorporating a 125-mm ball bearing and 6.33-inch diameter face contact seals was operated in a simulated turbine engine sump to 14 000 rpm to explore system feasibility and to identify system problems. The bearing had a DN value of $1.75 \times 10^6$ and a maximum Hertz stress of 197 000 psi. In these 3-hour screening tests, using degassed lubricants at a bulk fluid temperature of 500°F, the dibasic acid ester (MIL-L-78083) did not provide adequate bearing lubrication at 600°F outer race bearing temperature. Three lubricants, an improved ester, a synthetic paraffin and a perfluorined polymeric fluid were used in other screening tests to 700°F outer race temperature and, in each case, the bearings showed no deterioration from the short time running. A modified polyphenyl ether (C-ether) lubricant
performed satisfactorily with 600° F outer race bearing temperature both with and without nitrogen inverting.

In inerted lubrication system operation, the most troublesome component was the bellows type face contact seal separating the nitrogen gas and oil. Analysis revealed that the seal problems (excessive gas leakage and wear) were not related to the inverting gas but rather to seal thermal deformation. Further experiments, on contact and hydrostatic type seals in another simulated engine sump without inverting, showed that thermal deformations were a major factor in limiting seal performance.

Two seals, revised to minimize thermal gradients and employing hydrodynamic lift devices, were designed, analyzed, and the seals were checked experimentally. One revised design employed a hydrodynamic gas bearing for lift and the other obtained lift by means of an oil lubricated spiral groove bearing. Both of these revised designs showed low leakage potential in preliminary tests.

INTRODUCTION

Continuing increases in flight speeds and turbine inlet temperatures are raising the bulk temperatures of lubricating systems in aircraft turbine engines (refs. 1 and 2). The ester base synthetic lubricants of conventional lubricating systems have little or no margin to cope with higher temperatures (ref. 2). Therefore, not only is there an immediate need for improved lubricants and lubricating systems in uprated engines, but advanced engines, such as for Mach 3 flight, pose
a much larger lubrication system problem because bulk lubricant temperatures are expected to be in the $450^\circ$ to $500^\circ$ F range.

In seeking solutions to this general problem of high temperature lubrication, some attention has been given to unconventional systems such as powder lubrication (ref. 3), dry films (ref. 4), and throwaway schemes. Another unconventional approach is based on oxygen exclusion through the use of an inert gas blanket (e.g., nitrogen). Oxidation is the primary limitation for lubricants at high temperatures. The inerted lubrication system approach is attractive because it may permit the use of presently available lubricants at significantly higher temperature levels. If oxygen is eliminated, bulk fluid temperatures for lubricants can be increased without significant chemical changes.

A key factor in the use of inerted lubricant systems is seal leakage, because the amount of blanket gas inventory depends on leakage rates. Low leakage precludes the use of labyrinth seals and places stringent requirements on the seal design since long life and low leakage must both be achieved. The size of seals is particularly important to performance and the use of full scale hardware is essential for obtaining meaningful data. There is some evidence (ref. 5) that seal carbon life will be enhanced through the use of nitrogen inerting. These data show that carbon wear rate is lower in nitrogen and that oxidation is the chief cause of higher wear rates in air.

The objectives of this study were: (a) to determine such problems
as can be established in short term operation that are associated with operation of lubricants, bearing and seals in a full size simulated engine sump at speeds, pressures, and temperatures expected in advanced engines; (b) to determine the leakage rates of these full size seals operating in an inerted bearing sump system; and (c) to investigate newer seal concepts and to check the feasibility of these concepts experimentally.

The simulated engine sump of the inerted lubrication system incorporated 6.33-inch diameter face contact and a 125-mm ball bearing operating at 14 000 rpm with a 3280-pound thrust load. Thus the bearing had a DN value of $1.75 \times 10^6$ and a maximum Hertz stress at $197 000$ psi. Runs were made with a nominal bulk fluid temperature of $500^\circ F$ with outer race bearing temperatures between $600^\circ$ and $750^\circ F$ and with nominal 100 psi pressure differential across the nitrogen gas to oil seal. Nominal seal surface speed was 400 feet per second. The initial bearings and seals were advanced state of the art components selected from different engine development programs. Neither the bearings nor the seals were designed to operate under temperature conditions as severe as imposed by this program. Only minor modifications to those parts (e.g., bearing cage clearance) were made for this exploratory operation.

Another simulated engine bearing sump operating with air (not inerted) was used to evaluate seal concepts. This system contained
bearings from turbine engines (a 130-mm roller bearing and a 110-mm duplex thrust bearing). The air to oil seals were operated at pressure differentials to 300 psi and at speeds to 400 feet per second. Sealed air temperatures to 1200° F were employed.

Analytical studies were made to determine thermal gradients in the seal structure and to determine overall elastic deformation due to temperature, pressure and centrifugal force.

Part of the studies reported herein were made under NASA contract NAS 3-7609 (ref. 6) and under NASA contract NAS 3-6267 (refs. 7, 8 and 9).

APPARATUS AND PROCEDURE

Inerted Lubrication Systems

A schematic of the simulated turbine engine sump employing an inerted lubricating system is shown in figure 1. The rig incorporated a 125-mm ball bearing and was operated at 14 000 rpm. Heaters on the bearing and housing outside diameter permitted operation to 750° F bearing outer race temperature. Lubricants were introduced at a nominal temperature of 500° F. Two face contact seals (bellows secondary) formed the sealing system. Nitrogen was introduced between the two seals at 105 psig. The seal between the nitrogen gas and bearing sump, therefore, was subjected to 100 psi pressure differential, and the seal between the hot air (1200° F and 100 psi) and nitrogen was subjected to 5 psi pressure differential.
Figure 2 is a schematic of the seal employed in the inerted lubrication systems. The bellows assembly was fabricated from Inconel. A finger spring damper, rubbing against the nosepiece retainer O.D., provided friction damping. The carbon-graphite nosepiece face contained three elements: an outer wear pad, a sealing dam and an inner wear pad. The wear pads were interrupted by grooves which vented the wear pad area. Thus the pressure drop occurred only across the sealing dam. The seal seat was chrome plated on the rubbing surface and finished flat within three light bands.

Seal gas leakage was monitored continuously. Wear measurements of the carbon-graphite nosepiece and seal seat were made by inspection after running. In some cases surface profile traces were made to determine effect of sealing face deformation on contact area. Other parameters recorded included sealed gas pressure and temperature, sliding speed, lubricant in-and-out temperatures, and bearing outer race temperature.

The test bearing was a split inner ring, angular-contact ball bearing, the type most widely used in aircraft turbine engines. This design permits a maximum ball complement (because of separable inner ring halves) and supports thrust load in either direction. The separable ring also permits the use of a precision-machined one-piece cage which is required for high-speed, high-temperature operation. The test bearing has a bore diameter of 125 mm and a nominal
mounted operating contact angle of 26°. This bearing runs at the test speed of 14,000 rpm (DN = 1.75 x 10^6) and a thrust load P = 3280 pounds (maximum Hertz surface stress, 197,000 psi). For operating temperatures up to 600°F, consumable electrode vacuum melted (CVM) M-50 tool steel rings and balls were used. At higher temperatures, CVM WB49 tool steel was used for the bearing rings and CVM M-1 tool steel for the balls. The cages are of an outer-ring piloted design and were constructed of silver plated M-1 tool steel. The bearings had a nominal 51.6% inner ring conformity, a 52.1% outer ring conformity, a surface roughness of 4-microinch rms maximum across grooves, twenty-one 13/16-inch diameter balls and a 0.0068 to 0.0080 inch unmounted internal radial looseness.

The lubricants used were:

(a) Formulated dibasic acid ester (MIL-L-7808E type) with proprietary additives and with an extrapolated viscosity of 0.64 cs at 600°F.

(b) Mixed ester-base lubricant with improved thermal stability and with an estimated viscosity of 1.17 cs at 600°F.

(c) Synthetic paraffinic lubricant containing a proprietary boundary lubricant additive and having an estimated viscosity of 2.4 cs at 600°F.

(d) Unformulated perfluorinated polymer (fluorocarbon) with an estimated viscosity of 1.6 cs at 600°F.

(e) Unformulated modified polyphenyl ether (aromatic C-ether) having 0.6 cs estimated viscosity at 600°F.
Prior to test all lubricants were degassed for 72 hours at room temperature at a nominal pressure of $10^{-2}$ mm of mercury.

**Seal Concept Studies**

Figure 3 is a schematic of the seal and bearing area of a simulated turbine engine sump employing an open lubrication system and used to study face contact and hydrostatic seal concepts. The system simulated the roller bearing sump at the turbine location and the structure is typical of engine parts. High pressure air at temperatures to 1200° F was introduced at the seal dam inside diameter and air leakage was into the bearing compartment. Seal gas leakage was monitored continuously. Wear measurements of the sealing faces were provided by inspection after running. Other parameters recorded included sealed gas pressure and temperature, rpm, lubricant temperature and seal nosepiece temperature. In some runs accelerometers were attached to the nosepiece and accelerometer output was recorded on magnetic tape and then analyzed qualitatively for evidence of nosepiece instability.

Analysis of sealing face deformation was made by first calculating a thermal map of the seal assembly by a finite difference steady state heat transfer computer program. Thermal deformation was calculated from these thermal maps by an axi-symmetric finite element computer program which also included pressure and centrifugal force effects.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Inerted Lubrication System

Lubricants. - Operation of a full scale simulated lubrication system (bearing and seals) allows evaluation of a lubricant at temperatures, shear rates, and loads which are indicative of actual conditions. Thus a check is obtained on such items as coking, lubricant breakdown due to shear rates, lubricant effectiveness at bearing cage sliding surface, corrosion, seal performance, etc. The durations of these runs were not sufficient to show potential problems that might accrue from extended operation with partial lubricant films. Such discontinuous films might be expected at the high surface temperatures for the bearing and oil seals of these runs. The lubrication system, which is described in the apparatus section, simulated the expected environmental conditions of an inerted bearing sump of an advanced engine. The lubricants evaluated, also described in the apparatus section, were:

(a) a dibasic acid ester qualifying against MIL-L-7808E, (b) an improved higher viscosity mixed ester formulation, (c) a synthetic paraffinic lubricant, (d) a modified polyphenyl ether (C-ether), and (e) a perfluorinated polymeric fluid. All lubricants were degassed before use for 72 hours at 75° F and a nominal pressure of 10^-2 millimeters of mercury.

Primary results obtained for these lubricants in the system studies (3-hour screening tests) are as follows:
(a) The dibasic acid ester (MIL-L-7808E type) lubricant did not provide adequate bearing lubrication, even with inert blanketing, at 600° F outer race bearing temperatures. Lubrication-related bearing distress, including excessive wear of the cage and balls, occurred. Also, isoteniscope data show thermal breakdown of the formulation at 575° F.

(b) An improved mixed ester of somewhat greater viscosity than the dibasic acid ester (MIL-L-7808E) ran satisfactorily in tests up to 700° F outer race bearing temperature. At 650° F bearing temperature, this fluid performed satisfactorily for approximately 10 hours before testing was stopped due to a seal malfunction.

(c) The synthetic paraffinic lubricant was used satisfactorily to outer race bearing temperatures of 700° F. An attempted run at 750° F was aborted after less than 2 hours because of excessive leakage of the oil-side test seal.

(d) The modified polyphenyl ether (C-ether) performed satisfactorily at 600° F both with and without nitrogenblanketing. Higher temperature testing was suspended because it was necessary to provide oil flows greater than considered practical to stabilize the bearing temperatures at 600° F.

(e) Perfluorinated polymeric fluid was run successfully to bearing temperatures of 700° F but higher lubricant flow rates were required to achieve temperature stability than for the improved ester or the synthetic paraffinic lubricants.
Only minor oil coking occurred in most tests and usually did not appear to affect bearing or seal performance seriously. Attempted runs of 50 hours with the improved ester and the synthetic paraffinic were not completed due to repeated oil-side seal malfunctions, which was also the limiting factor in a majority of the 3-hour screening tests.

From the overall system viewpoint, based on short term tests, bearing and seal operation using iner tered recirculating lubrication appears to be feasible at 150° to 200° F higher bulk oil temperatures than possible with a conventional recirculating system with several off-the-shelf fluids. For example, in an open system one ester base fluid would not be considered at bulk oil temperatures over 350° F, but isoteniscope data showed it was thermally stable to 575° F in the absence of air. The primary problem in the iner tered system is related to achieving low leakage oil-seal performance so that leakage loss of inerting gas would be tolerable in a flight vehicle.

Seals. - Analysis revealed that the seal malfunctions were not related to the inerting gas but rather to thermal deformation. Seal leakage data are summarized in table I. The data shows that seal leakage, for the majority of runs, is greater (5 scfm or more) than would likely be acceptable from a nitrogen inventory standpoint. The leakage shown is a total for both seals (oil seal and air seal of fig. 1) in the system, however, it was determined that most of the leakage (up to 90%) could be attributed to the oil seal. (See Fig. 4 for calculated...
calculated seal leakage as a function of gap height.)

One seal malfunction that commonly occurred was a cyclic increase and then decrease in leakage. This cyclic change in leakage implies a cyclic change in the average height (h) of the sealing gap (see fig. 2). A mechanism which could account for this cyclic malfunction is as follows:

(a) Initially the closing force, being slightly greater than the opening force, tends to hold the nosepiece in sliding contact against the seal seat (see fig. 2). However, the heat generation at the sliding interface is relatively high because of intermittent sliding contact or shearing of a relatively thin film of gas.

(b) This high heat generation caused the nosepiece dam thermal growth to be greater than that of the bellows and its mean effective diameter (m.e.d.). The opening pressure force, therefore (see fig. 2), increases with respect to the closing force and the seal eventually opens.

(c) With increased seal gap the heat generated is reduced, the nosepiece cools and the seal returns to the initial condition and the cycle repeats itself.

Carbon deposits from wear debris and lubrication degradation were evident along the outer wear pad after some of the runs (see fig. 2 for location of wear pads). These coking deposits from lubricant degradation were attributed to the heat generated at the sliding face of the carbon, thus the area at and near the dam is hotter than the rest of
the nosepiece. In several cases the coking was severe enough to plug the outer wear pad vents (see fig. 2 for vent locations). It should be noted that plugging of these wear pad vents can also cause seal lift and attending high leakage.

Another problem encountered was the bellows closing-force change which accompanied the pressure increase. This is sometimes called a change in bellows mean effective diameter (m.e.d.) (see fig. 2). Balancing the opening and closing forces requires a knowledge of this m.e.d. change and of the probable pressure profiles at the sealing dam. At best, the selected force balance was a compromise, and a slight closing force was selected at test pressure in order to preclude opening of the sliding interface (dam) due to inertia forces. Carbon wear encountered in some of the tests with increased loading was attributed to the lack of control over this seal force balance.

Evaluation of Seal Concepts In an Open Lubrication System

Face contact and hydrostatic seal concepts were evaluated in a simulated engine sump operating with an air environment (not inerted). The face contact seal used operates on the same principle as the seal previously described for the inerted system except that the bellows is replaced with two piston rings and a series of helical springs (fig. 5). It is evident from table II, which is a summary of the test data, that seal leakage was the primary failure mode. Additional data, characteristic of the leakage rates of these seals, is given in figure 5. Up to
120 psi pressure differential the leakage rate is relatively low (being less than 5 scfm); beyond 120 psi the leakage rate shows a strong dependence on sliding speed. This dependence of leakage on sliding speed could be caused by: (a) nosepiece dynamic response to seat runout and rotation, (b) thermal effects such as described for the bellows face seal, (c) separation of the sealing surfaces by greater volume or size of wear particles, and also by (d) instabilities induced by stick-slip friction phenomena.

An additional problem associated with operation of the face contact seal was the thermal deformation of the nosepiece and seal seat. This thermal deformation, illustrated in figure 6, was identified by both wear patterns and thermal analysis. The axial thermal gradients cause both the nosepiece and seal seat to form divergent leakage gaps. A diverging gap reduces the seal opening force and causes the net closing force to increase. The result is heavy carbon wear at the nosepiece inside diameter.

A second concept evaluated was an orifice-compensated hydrostatic seal design which operates on an air film of about 0.0005 inch. The seal design (fig. 7) is somewhat similar to that of a face contact seal except that a recess and a series of orifices have been added to the nosepiece face. Some of the leakage through the seal takes place through the orifices arranged circumferentially around the seal. The leakage flow through the orifices produces a pressure drop from the
sealed pressure $P_1$ to the recess pressure $P_1^1$, and the sealing gap height is controlled by compensations in recess pressure $P_1^1$. The mechanism works as follows: if the gap is closed down for some reason, the leakage out is reduced. This means low pressure drop in the orifices due to reduced leakage flow; therefore, recess pressure $P_1^1$ will increase, approaching sealed pressure $P_1$, and producing a net restoring force to maintain design gap height. Similarly, if the gap opens beyond the design point, the inverse process takes place.

Table III contains a summary of pertinent results obtained in operation of the orifice-compensated hydrostatic seal. Inspection of the data revealed that the most serious problems were excessive leakage and rubbing due to thermal deformation. The effects of thermal deformation are shown in figure 8 which represents data taken at 200 feet per second rubbing speed and 100 psi pressure differential. With a sealed air temperature of $120^\circ$F, the seal leakage is near 13 standard cubic feet per minute; and as the air temperature is increased, the leakage decreases. This leakage decrease is due to increasing angular deformation of the sealing gap. The net result is that the seal runs with closer minimum clearance. Eventually, as the air temperature increases, the nosepiece rubs against the seal seat and failure occurs.

The preceding data indicate that thermal deformation is a serious problem in all three seals evaluated (face contact with bellows,
face contact with piston ring, and orifice-compensated seals). Accordingly, an analysis was made of means to reduce thermal deformation in the seal structure and analysis revealed that the deformation causing divergent leakage gaps at the sliding interface was due to: (a) axial thermal gradients in the seat and nosepiece, (b) nonuniform thermal growth of the shaft under the seal, and (c) moments induced by the thermal growth of the spacers clamping the seal seat. The other serious problems encountered in the seal experimental evaluations were wear and failure due to high speed rubs. In the case of the hydrostatic seal, the seal rub caused immediate failure because of the large seal forces involved. It is thought that redesign of the hydrostatic seal to minimize thermal deformations will allow operation at higher temperatures without rubbing contact. However, an attempt was first made to improve the performance of the face contact seal by design changes to mitigate thermal deformation (by heat shielding, cooling and material changes) and by adding hydrodynamic devices, to the sealing faces, which act to prevent contact between the nosepiece and the seal seat. The two revised designs are shown in figures 9 and 10.

Face Contact Seal with Gas Bearing for Hydrodynamic Lift

The revised face contact seal design (fig. 9) consists of a structurally isolated seal seat which is mounted over its centroid on a shaft spacer with radial flexibility. The seal seat is clamped axially by a machined bellows. Oil is passed under the shaft spacer, thus aiding thermal
isolation, and then through radial holes in the seal seat near the rubbing interface. Molybdenum was selected for the seat because it has a low thermal deformation factor; that is, high thermal conductivity and low thermal expansion (ref. 10). The nosepiece and nosepiece carrier are both piloted by three locating lugs which also serve as anti-rotation devices. Thin shields under the piston carrier and over the shaft provide additional thermal shielding. Some of the oil which passes through the rotating seal seat is caught by a baffle and redirected back to cool the nosepiece and nosepiece carrier. The nosepiece face contains three elements: (a) a shrouded step gas bearing, (b) a sealing dam which acts as a conventional face contact seal, and (c) a spiral groove windback section of large axial clearance (0.020 in.) which prevents oil from seeping into the sealing dam area. The windback section is not affected by thermal deformation because of this large axial clearance.

The thermal map for the nosepiece of this revised design is given in figure 11. Figure 12 contains the combined deformation due to thermal and pressure effects. The analysis at 300 psi and 1300° F gas temperature shows that angular deformation of the nosepiece gas bearing section is 2.0 milli radians. The minimum calculated film height would then be 0.00020 inch at the bearing inside diameter and 0.00050 inch at the gas bearing outside diameter; the gas bearing can accommodate this tilt angle. Of more concern is the milli-radian deformation across the sealing dam. Since this deformation has a divergent tendency, the opening force is expected to decrease with increasing deformation; however,
the analysis shows that the gas bearing will accept the force changes and prevent seal face contact. Figure 13 shows the calculated gas bearing load capacity.

Preliminary runs were made with hydrodynamic type seals having a shrouded step gas bearing pad type geometry incorporated into the nosepiece (similar to fig. 9). The purpose of the runs was to check the hydrodynamic concept and the runs were made with room temperature air. Inspection of the seal surfaces indicated lift was occurring, however a seal failure was experienced at 400 feet per second and 100 psi pressure drop. Nevertheless, low leakage sealing potential is shown by the data obtained at 200 and 300 feet per second.

Face Contact Seal with Lubricated Spiral Groove for Hydrodynamic Lift

A second design for providing hydrodynamic lift is shown schematically in figure 10. The overall design is structurally similar to the previous seal except that the gas bearing pads have been eliminated and seat cooling oil is made to pass through a spiral groove section which provides hydrodynamic lift (see ref. 11 for spiral groove seal theory). In operation, the spiral groove section provides the lift to establish a sealing gap of about 0.0005 inch. This lift prevents wear, limits the gas leakage to acceptable levels, and mitigates the effects of thermal angular deformation. Preliminary runs with seals employing this spiral lift concept showed operation at less than 0.0005-inch film thickness and 0.5 SCFM leakage at 100 ft/sec sliding velocity at 75 psi. Typical
leakage data is given in figure 15.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Nitrogen gas inerted lubrication system studies were made using a simulated engine sump operating at 14,000 rpm with a 125-mm ball bearing under 3280-pound thrust load (197,000 psi maximum Hertz stress) and with 6.33-inch mean diameter face contact seals (400 fps). Three-hours screening runs with the inerted system were used to explore feasibility and establish problem areas with 500°F nominal bulk fluid temperature and up to 750°F bearing temperatures. Seal concept studies were also made with open lubrication systems. Face contact and hydrostatic seal performance was determined at various speeds, pressures, and temperatures. Design revisions were made to seals in order to mitigate problems encountered.

The experimental data and analysis revealed the following:

1. Formulated dibasic acid ester (MIL-L-7808E) did not provide adequate bearing lubrication at 600°F outer race temperature in the inerted system. Three lubricants, an improved ester (twice the viscosity of dibasic acid ester), a synthetic paraffinic fluid, and a perfluorinated polymeric fluid, were evaluated to 700°F bearing outer race temperature; in each case the bearings showed no deterioration in the short duration runs. However, with the perfluorinated polymeric fluid there was slight evidence of corrosion on the bearing after running at higher temperature (700°F). A modified polyphenyl ether (C-ether) performed
satisfactorily at 600° F outer race temperature both with and without inerting.

2. The inerted system operated satisfactorily since low oxygen content (<0.5 percent) was maintained during operation. Seal leakage was frequently so high, however, that a flight system of these components would be impractical. This high leakage and wear was attributed to thermal deformation of the sealing face. The role of deformation in seal performance is more clearly delineated in these full scale hardware experiments than in more common small component studies.

3. Supporting, but open lubrication system studies on two seal types (face contact and hydrostatic) also confirmed that seal face thermal deformation was a major problem. Changes in force balance, which accompany thermal deformation, can lead to severe rubbing and wear.

4. Two face seal concepts, one using a hydrodynamic gas bearing for lift, and the other an oil lubricated spiral groove for lift, showed low leakage in preliminary dynamic testing.
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TABLE I. - FACE CONTACT SEAL LEAKAGE IN INERTED LUBRICATION SYSTEMS

[6.33-Inch seal mean diameter; sliding speed, 395 ft/sec; pressure differential, 100 psi.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run number</th>
<th>Fluid type</th>
<th>Run time, hr</th>
<th>Bulk oil temperature, °F</th>
<th>Bearing outer race temperature, °F</th>
<th>Seal leakage rate, scfm</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>Formulated dibasic acid ester</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>490 to 555</td>
<td>540 to 600</td>
<td>.7 to 1.5</td>
<td>Test bearing failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Formulated mixed ester-base</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>480 to 500</td>
<td>590 to 675</td>
<td>6 to 8</td>
<td>Run completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Formulated synthetic paraffinic</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>450 to 500</td>
<td>600 to 700</td>
<td>10 to 11</td>
<td>Run completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>495 to 500</td>
<td>730 to 750</td>
<td>1 to 3</td>
<td>Run completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>475 to 520</td>
<td>610 to 640</td>
<td>6 to 26</td>
<td>Oil seal left off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Formulated synthetic paraffinic</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>480 to 550</td>
<td>560 to 625</td>
<td>3.7 to 4.4</td>
<td>Run completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>450 to 550</td>
<td>550 to 600</td>
<td>8.9 to 20.7</td>
<td>Excessive seal leakage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>480 to 500</td>
<td>675 to 760</td>
<td>.9 to 4.9</td>
<td>Seal failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Unformulated perfluorinated polymer</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>500 to 505</td>
<td>610 to 615</td>
<td>10 to 13</td>
<td>Run completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>500 to 510</td>
<td>690 to 780</td>
<td>15 to 23</td>
<td>Excessive seal leakage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>490 to 510</td>
<td>600 to 740</td>
<td>5 to 10</td>
<td>Test bearing failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Unformulated modified polyphenyl ether</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>480 to 500</td>
<td>580 to 600</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Test bearing failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>490 to 515</td>
<td>600 to 620</td>
<td>7 to 11</td>
<td>Run completed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE II. - FACE CONTACT SEAL PERFORMANCE IN OPEN LUBRICATION SYSTEM

[6.80-Inch mean seal diameter; sliding speeds, 200 to 400 ft/sec.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run number</th>
<th>Run time, hr</th>
<th>Nosepiece wear, in.</th>
<th>Reason for run termination</th>
<th>Sealed air temperature, °F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>High seal leakage 58 scfm @ 140 psi</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.0256</td>
<td>High seal leakage 46 scfm @ 250 psi</td>
<td>70 800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>High seal leakage 30 scfm @ 120 psi</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>High seal leakage 40 scfm @ 160 psi</td>
<td>800 1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>High seal leakage 32 scfm @ 40 psi</td>
<td>800 1230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>101.7</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>Run completed</td>
<td>800 1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Sump fire due to seal failure</td>
<td>800 1200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE III. - HYDROSTATIC SEAL PERFORMANCE IN OPEN LUBRICATION SYSTEM

[6.70-Inch mean seal diameter; sliding speed, 200 to 400 ft/sec.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run number</th>
<th>Run time, hr</th>
<th>Sealed pressure, psi</th>
<th>Reason for run termination</th>
<th>Sealed air temperature, °F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>High seal leakage 72 scfm</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>High seal leakage 20 scfm</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>High seal leakage 76 scfm</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Seal rub</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Seal rub</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Seal rub</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Run completed</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Seal rub</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nitrogen gas, 105 psig
Air, 1200° F;
100 psig

Nitrogen cover gas, 5 psig
Oil-inlet, 500° F

Figure 1. - Bearing and seal assembly in simulated engine sump, inerted lubrication system.

Figure 2. - Schematic of the nitrogen to oil seal, inerted lubrication system.
Figure 3. - Bearing and seal assembly in simulated open engine sump used to evaluate face contact and hydrostatic seals.

Figure 4. - Calculated seal leakage as a function of gap height, nitrogen gas at 105 psig; temperature 500° F (260° C).
Figure 5. - Face contact seal leakage (piston ring secondary), open lubrication system.
Figure 6. - Divergent seal gap caused by thermal deformation of sealing faces.
Figure 7. - Orifice compensated hydrostatic seal with piston ring secondary.
Figure 8. - Effect of thermal deformation on air leakage of orifice compensated hydrostatic seal, sliding speed 200 feet per second (61.0 m/sec), pressure differential 100 psi.

Figure 9. - Face contact seal with hydrodynamic gas bearing for lift augmentation.
Figure 10. - Face contact seal with spiral groove bearing for lift augmentation.

Figure 11. - Thermal map of seal assembly.
Figure 12. - Seal deformation and growth due to temperature and pressure.

Figure 13. - Calculated load capacity of gas bearing portion of seal face 20 step type pads; 300 psig gas pressure; 1300°F gas temperature; 500 ft/sec sliding velocity; 1 milliradian tilt of seal faces.
Figure 14. Leakage as a function of pressure and speed for face contact seal with hydrodynamic gas bearing for lift augmentation.

Figure 15. Air leakage for face seal with lubricated spiral groove providing hydrodynamic lift.