

### NASA CONTRACTOR Report

NASA CR-1119

LOAN COPY: RETURN TO AFWL (WLIL-2) KIRTLAND AFB, N MEX

## DIGITAL PROGRAM FOR DYNAMICS OF NON-RIGID GRAVITY GRADIENT SATELLITES

by James L. Farrell, James K. Newton, and James J. Connelly

Prepared by WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION Baltimore, Md. for Goddard Space Flight Center

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION . WASHINGTON, D.



WASHINGTON, D. C. • AUGUST 1968



### DIGITAL PROGRAM FOR DYNAMICS OF NON-RIGID GRAVITY GRADIENT SATELLITES

By James L. Farrell,

James K. Newton, and

James J. Connelly

Distribution of this report is provided in the interest of information exchange. Responsibility for the contents resides in the author or organization that prepared it.

### Prepared under Contract No. NAS 5-9753-10 by WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION Baltimore, Md.

for Goddard Space Flight Center

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

For sale by the Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information Springfield, Virginia 22151 - CFSTI price \$3.00

### ABSTRACT

A digital program has been written to determine the dynamic behavior of discretized models for gravity gradient satellite structures. Both passive (elastic reaction, damping) and active (controller) internal torques can be included in the computational model. The program can be utilized simply by observing straightforward directions given in the introductory section of this report, and a concrete example (hinged assembly model of the Radio Astronomy Explorer satellite) of program adaptation is described in detail. To facilitate application to other configurations a clear separation is made between 1) computations applicable to a general gravity gradient satellite, and 2) specific RAE computations.

The basis for this digital program is the Roberson-Wittenburg dynamical formalism, noted and referenced in the text. This formalism grew from the desire to systematize the rigorous dynamic analysis of structures with multiple interconnected members. In programming the formulation for the present problem, it was found possible to supply additional details applicable to a fairly general class of gravity gradient satellites. Thus the general portion of the program contains provisions for straightforward implementation of internal moments (passive spring and damper or active controller torques inherently provided as simple functions of integrated rates and attitude; constraint torques at locked hinge axes automatically accounted for by a simple indexing scheme), as well as solar radiation pressure and thermal (direct Earth and direct plus reflected solar heating) effects. The necessary astronomical and kinematical expressions are supplied in a standard form, with explicit relations valid for eccentricities up to one-tenth.

Practical implementation of the dynamical formalism calls for the following computational refinements: 1) artificial enlargement of small members, to hasten the integration of high frequency oscillations without materially affecting the overall (low frequency) excursions; 2) hinge interactions to enhance the accuracy of numerical differentiation, necessitated by moment characterization for discretized elastic members; 3) the use of weak restraining springs and dampers at locked joint axes, to counteract the double integration of small numerical errors incurred by the constraint torque formulation; 4) representation of torsionless biaxial bending by an orthogonal matrix with one vanishing eigenvector component; and 5) the use of kinetic or potential energy considerations in fitting segments to an elastic curve.

Through successful comparison with an independent Lagrangian model analysis, a three-segment model was deemed sufficient for each of the 750 ft.  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch dia. RAE antenna booms. Each orbit (approximately 4 hours) of the undisturbed satellite then requires roughly one hour of simulation time, and the machine time is approximately doubled by introduction of thermal effects (solar pressure has a less pronounced effect). The expensive nature of the program is attributed to modeling accuracy (e.g., full nonlinear coupling; the interaction between dynamical behavior and forcing functions; etc.) plus the large number of integrated variables, in comparison with the number of independent co-ordinates. 16/10

.

### FOREWORD

- 20 - 1 - AV

This program was written for use by Goddard Space Flight Center, in the dynamic analysis of the Radio Astronomy Explorer satellite, under NASA Contract No. NAS5-9753-10. In combination with additional work performed under this contract (tasks 15 and 20), the results will provide (1) maximum insight into the three-dimensional flexible satellite motion, (2) comparison between this segmented model dynamics and another independent structural analysis (a Lagrangian modal analysis, documented separately), and (3) complete preparation for an operational program which provides statistical filtering of boom tip information (intermittently received by TV stations at fixed points on the Earth) in combination with attitude and damper position measurements.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

-----

.....

·---

Section	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
GENERAL PROGRAM COMPUTATIONS	7
APPENDIX	11
APPENDIX A: ANALYTICAL FORMULATIONS APPLICABLE TO THE GENERAL PROGRAM	13
Hinge Torques	13
Thermal Bending	16
Constraint Torques at Locked Joints	21
Radiation Pressure	24
Kinematics	27
Astronomical Geometry	28
APPENDIX B: THE RADIO ASTRONOMY EXPLORER (RAE) SATELLITE CONFIGURATION AND PARAMETERS	30
RAE VARIABLE INPUTS (PART I)	33
System Parameters	33
Initial and Final Conditions	34
Astronomical Parameters	34
RAR FIXED AND DERIVED INPUTS (PART II)	35
Hub and Boom Parameters	35
Rouilibrium Persmeters	36
	26
Astronomical Parameters	ەر
General Program Inputs	37

- -- -

· \_\_\_\_

---

### TABLE OF CONTENTS (con't)

Ster.

Section	Page
HYSTERESIS DAMPER SIMULATION	48
RAE READOUT DERIVATIONS AND FORMATS	49
Constant Readouts	49
Variable Readouts	49
APPENDIX C: PROGRAM LISTING	53
REFERENCES	91

`

#### INTRODUCTION

Accurate three-dimensional analysis of non-rigid assemblies has enjoyed only limited feasibility and flexibility in the past, due to the existence of unknown internal forces and moments which influence the relative motion between members. In many applications these relative motions interact with the rigid body degrees of freedom (e.g., the flexural behavior of a satellite boom changes the moments of inertia which in turn affect the attitude dynamics). Because of the resulting complexity, previous investigations have often employed analytical transformations whose detailed form depended heavily upon the specific configuration studied.

In order to provide a method applicable to a more general class of dynamical situations (e.g., flexural and torsional behavior of discretized structural models; attitude control of a hinged satellite assembly), a two-body Euler formulation devised by Fletcher, Rongved, and Yu<sup>1</sup> was extended to the N-body case by Hooker and Margulies;<sup>2</sup> the explicit development was then advanced by Roberson and Wittenberg.<sup>3</sup> These recent advances have been employed in a digital program capable of describing the rotational dynamics (attitude matrices and inertial angular rates) of multiple interconnected rigid bodies. The program is applicable to structural or attitude control problems subject to the conditions (1) existence of a unique path between any pair of bodies (the arrangement then conforms to the definition of a topological "tree"), and (2) characterization of interconnections by hinges which, for any pair of adjacent bodies, must be fixed in both members.

The present scope is restricted to gravity gradient satellites in a Keplerian orbit with eccentricity less than 0.1. In addition to the effects of ellipticity on gravity gradient torque, the program includes solar radiation pressure

(at 100% reflectivity) and thermal bending of booms; heat flyx sources are the sun and Earth (direct), plus the component of solar heat reflected by the Earth.

For a reasonably general class of satellites falling within the above scope, the program lends itself quite readily to implementation of accurate dynamic analysis. Although the pertinent mathematical developments (derivations in Refs. 2 and 3, augmented by the additions in Appendix A of this report) involve several arrays of variables, techniques for computer storage optimization have produced a practical computational arrangement for structures containing up to 26 members.\* Aside from a few possible adjustments involving specific satellite geometry,\*\* all that is necessary for immediate use of the program is a specification of the familiar satellite parameters, listed together with the corresponding Fortran designations in quotes below; (Appendix B demonstrates this specification procedure for an illustrative model of the Radio Astronomy Explorer (RAE) satellite;<sup>4</sup> the explicit thoroughness of the Roberson-Wittenburg<sup>3</sup> formulation is attested by the extreme simplicity of these parameters):

### System Parameters

N ("N") The number of rigid bodies in the system (There are then N-1 hinges). M (" $\in M$ ") A vector (N x 1) defining the mass of each body.

<sup>\*</sup>To exemplify the demand for machine capacity it is noted that, with 26 members, the augmented inertia matrix of Ref. 3 has  $(26 \times 3)^2$ , or over 6000, elements. This alone consumes about twenty per cent of the IBM 7094 core (the program is written in single precision).

<sup>\*\*</sup> If solar pressure and/or thermal effects are to be taken into account  $(A_E \neq 0, J_E \Rightarrow 0, \text{ or } J_S \Rightarrow 0)$ , see Appendix C. Also, if formulations of curvature (for hinge moments of discretized booms) require

Also, if formulations of curvature (for hinge moments of discretized booms) require accurate numerical derivatives, the "interacting joint" technique exemplified in Appendix B must be used.

- I ("A") A third order tensor (N x 3 x 3) containing the principal moments of inertia for each body. (The off-diagonal terms, though initially sero, will be appropriately augmented in accordance with the dynamics in the program).
- **R** ("R") A third order tensor (N-l  $x \ 3 \ x \ 3$ ) of restraining torque coefficients which generate position feedback (for control problems) or elastic reaction (for structural analysis) at each hinge.
- R'("RP") A third order tensor (N-1 x 3 x 3) similar to R, but generating hinge torques proportional to relative angular rates between each pair of adjacent bodies.
- S ("S") A matrix (N x N-1) of ones and zeros constructed simply as follows: Number the bodies (1 to N) and the hinges (1 to N-1). Set S<sub>ij</sub> to zero for every combination of unconnected body (i) and hinge (j). For each pair of adjacent members (I and K) identify the one (body I) to which the coefficients R and R' are referenced.\* Set S<sub>IJ</sub> to +1 and S<sub>KJ</sub> to -1 (where J is the hinge connecting the I and K members).
- ("RHO") A third order tensor (N-1 x 3 x 3) defining the orthogonal transformation between each pair of adjacent body principal axes in the undeformed or rest position. In the notation of the preceding item,
  [] is the transformation <u>from K to I co-ordinates.</u>

\*One example requiring such an identification would be a skewed boom hinged to a satellite hub. The rotational degrees of freedom of the hinge would presumably be referenced to principal axes of the boom (bending and torsion), rather than the hub.

- C ("C") A matrix  $(3N \ge 3N)$  generated from S as follows: All elements outside the upper left  $(3N \ge N-1)$  array are zero.\* For each zero in S, place a  $(3 \ge 1)$  null vector at the corresponding position in the upper left  $(3N \ge N-1)$  corner of C. Choose a right-hand convention for positive rotations about principal axes of each body (consistent with the definition of A), and express each mass center-to-hinge vector in these principal co-ordinates. For each nonzero element of S, multiply the corresponding mass center-to-hinge vector by S<sub>ij</sub> and enter this product in the corresponding location of C.
- $A_E$  ("AE") A vector (N x 1) defining the effective surface area of each body, assuming 100% reflectivity for solar radiation pressure.
- N<sub>C</sub> ("NC") The total number of locked hinge axes in the system. (To clarify this definition it is noted that the system has 3N-N<sub>C</sub> rotational degrees of freedom). The present program capacity allows up to thirty-eight locked modes.
- $\mathcal{M}(\mathsf{^{N}M['')} \quad \text{A vector } \{3(N-1) \times 1\} \text{ defined simply as follows: For every} \\ \text{locked hinge axis identify the joint number (J) and the locked mode} \\ (\mathbf{C} = 1, 2, 3 \text{ for } \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \text{ respectively}); \text{ compute the argument} \\ \mathbf{j} = 3 (J-1) + \mathbf{C} \text{ . Number the locked modes } 1, 2, \ldots N_c \text{ and, for} \\ \text{ each value of (j) representing a locked mode, set } M_j \text{ equal to this} \\ \text{ index number. For all other values of (j), set } M_j \text{ to zero.} \end{cases}$

\*These locations are used at a later point, after C is no longer needed and its storage is utilized for other purposes.

$$J_{E}$$
 ("XJE") Thermal bending constant computed as shown in Equation (A-19)  
from Earth heat flux ( $J_{E}$ ; Equation A-15); linear thermal coefficient  
of expansion (e); boom segment length ( $f$ ), diameter (d), thickness  
( $f$ ), earth heat absorptivity ( $a_{E}$ ), and thermal conductivity ( $k$ ).

**Js** ("XJS")

Thermal bending constant computed as shown in Equation (A-19) from the above parameters, with  $(J_E)$  and  $(a_E)$  replaced by the solar heat flux  $(J_S;$  Equations A-16 and A-17) and solar heat absorptivity  $(a_S)$ , respectively.

### Initial Conditions and Program Control

- ("TH") A third order tensor (N x 3 x 3) containing the direction cosine transformation from each set of body axes (defined for C above) to reference axes.\* The reference axes are defined by the upward local vertical (+Z) and the orbit pole (+Y).
- ("WV"; "WM") A vector ("WV"; 3N x 1) equivalenced to a matrix ("WM"; 3 x N) containing the absolute angular rate for each body, expressed in its own (principal axis) co-ordinate frame.\*
- NR ("ENR") Number of readouts per orbit.

EL ("ERL") A vector (12 N x 1) of allowable absolute error per integration step for angular rates (rad./sec.; 1 to 3N) and direction cosines (3N + 1 to 12N).

5

-----

<sup>\*</sup>It is thus seen that these addresses contain the desired information (satellite attitude, shape, angular rates) which can be read out at any time. To begin the computer run, the initial values are stored in these locations.

### Astronomical Parameters

- $a_{n}$  ("AZ") Semi-major axis of orbit.
- $e_{o}$  ("EZ") Eccentricity of orbit. (The present program assumes  $e_{o} \leq 0.1$ , but an extension could readily be made).
- $t_0$  ("TZ") Time at periapsis, relative to the time (t = 0) at the start of the simulation run.
- io ("EYZ") Orbital inclination.
- $\Omega_{\rm A}$  ("THZ") Longitude of the ascending node.
- ("WZ") Argument of the perigee.
- $N_n$  ("ND") Launch date (e.g.,  $N_D = 1$  for January 1).

For most programs it will be convenient to compute many of the above parameters from other, more basic, inputs (e.g., length, modulus of elasticity, angles at connecting points, etc.). This portion of the program will therefore consist of (a) Part I; controllable (punched card) inputs, and (b) Part II; fixed and derived inputs. Again, reference is made to Appendix B for an illustration. It is noted that the present program setup calls for inputs in MKS units, and the above angle inputs should be expressed in degrees. Also, any of the above provisions (auxiliary variables, additional dimension statements, print-out directions, etc.) must also be added to suit the individual problem under consideration. In general, the desired readouts will be simple functions of the angular rates (Q-array) and attitude matrices (G-array).

### GENERAL PROGRAM COMPUTATIONS

The preceding introductory material contains the information required for program utilization. For those interested in the approach, the present discussion describes the fundamentals of the formulation (Refs. 1-3), and additional detail is included in the Appendix.\*

To determine the behavior of coupled rigid body motion, the rotational dynamics are first expressed as a set of equations in the usual form,

$$[I] \underline{\dot{\omega}} + [\widetilde{\omega}] [I] \underline{\omega} = \underline{\mathscr{I}}$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

where  $[\mathbf{I}]$ ,  $\underline{\omega}$ ,  $[\mathbf{\tilde{\omega}}]$ , and  $\mathbf{\tilde{I}}$  denote inertia tensor, angular rate vector, the operator ( $\underline{\omega} \times$ ), and total torque vector, respectively. Since this Euler relation holds for each of the (N) members of the structure, Eq. (1) can be thought of as a (3N) dimensional equation;  $\underline{\omega}$  therefore has (3N) components, representing the absolute angular rate of each member as previously defined. The total torque vector  $\mathbf{\tilde{I}}$  consists of (a) external torques, (b) internal torques, and (c) moments of internal forces. Since the internal forces are generally unknown and are not of primary interest in themselves, it is desirable to replace them by equivalent quantities obtained from Newton's laws. Consequently the internal forces are re-expressed in terms of external and d'Alembert forces; the motion of the composite structure mass center is then eliminated from the equations. As a result, the d'Alembert forces can be defined by second derivatives of position vectors relative to this composite mass center. Through the dynamical formalism, the moments of these d'Alembert forces are written in a convenient

computational arrangement whereby

This report describes only the details of <u>implementing</u> the dynamic computations; for details of the formalism itself the reader is referred to Ref. 3.

- (1) part of the centripetal component is included as a constituent  $\underline{Q}$  of the total torque  $\underline{\mathscr{I}}$ ;
- (2) the remainder of the centripetal component is taken into account through replacing [I] in the second term of Eq. (1) by a constant augmented inertia matrix [K];
- (3) the tangential component (associated with <sup>(a)</sup>/<sub>(a)</sub>) is taken into account through replacing <sup>[I]</sup> in the first term of Eq. (1) by the augmented inertia matrix <sup>[K+Y]</sup>, where <sup>[Y]</sup> varies as a known function of the previously defined attitudes <sup>[Θ]</sup>.

With the external and internal torques, and the moments of the external forces denoted (as in Ref. 3) by  $\underline{\mathsf{L}}$ ,  $e^{\mathsf{T}} \odot [S] \overset{\sim}{\simeq}$ , and  $[P] \underline{\mathsf{F}}$  respectively, Eq. (1) is rewritten as Eq. (15) of Ref. 3:

# $[\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{\Psi}] \,\underline{\dot{\omega}} + [\mathbf{\widetilde{\omega}}] [\mathbf{K}] \,\underline{\omega} = \mathbf{L} - [\mathbf{P}] \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{e}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{o} [\mathbf{S}] \,\underline{\mathbf{\mathcal{X}}} + \mathbf{Q} \qquad (2)$

To adapt this formulation to the present program, the two terms in the gravity gradient expression (Eq. 19 of Ref. 3) are symbolized here as  $(\underline{H} - \underline{G}')$  respectively; the transformed force vector  $\underline{G}''$  is then substituted for  $\underline{G}''$  to include solar pressure effects (Eqs. A-40 and A-41 in Appendix A of this report). The first two terms on the right of Eq. (2) can then be expressed as

$$\underline{\mathbf{L}} - [\mathbf{P}] \underline{\mathbf{F}} = \underline{\mathbf{H}} - \underline{\mathbf{G}}^{\prime \prime}$$
(3)

The internal torque vector is then separated into two constituents as suggested in Ref. 2;

$$e^{\mathsf{T}} \mathfrak{o}[\mathsf{s}] \mathfrak{Z} = \mathfrak{Z}' + [\mathfrak{F}] \underline{\mathsf{T}}_{\mathsf{c}}$$
<sup>(4)</sup>

where  $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$  includes all spring and damper torques while  $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{C}}$  is a vector in which the (i<sup>th</sup>) component represents the constraint torque in the (i<sup>th</sup>) locked mode (see the definitions for N<sub>c</sub> and  $\mathbf{M}$  in the preceding section); [**f**] is the matrix defined by Eq. (A-28). The quantities on the left of Eq. (2) are written as

$$[T] \triangleq [K + \Psi] ; \Psi \triangleq [\widetilde{\omega}][K] \underline{\omega}$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

so that

$$[\Gamma] \underline{\dot{\omega}} = \underline{E} + [\underline{F}] \underline{T}_{C} \tag{6}$$

where

$$\underline{\mathbf{E}} = -\underline{\mathbf{W}} + \underline{\mathbf{H}} - \underline{\mathbf{G}}'' + \underline{\mathbf{Z}}' + \underline{\mathbf{Q}}$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

In Appendix A it is shown that this leads to an expression of the form

$$[\Gamma] \dot{\omega} = E - [F] \{ [F]^{T} [\Gamma]^{-1} [F] \}^{-1} \{ [F]^{T} [\Gamma]^{-1} E + \underline{\gamma} \}$$
 (A-31)

which is the actual equation solved through numerical integration in this program. Just as the specific system portion of the program has been divided into (a) Part I - Controlled inputs, (b) Part II - Fixed and derived inputs, and (c) Readouts, the general program operations fall into three categories:

- (a) Part 0 Program setup (e.g., dimensions, physical constants, etc.)
- (b) Part III General system constants (e.g., barycentric vectors as defined in Ref. 3, etc.), and
- (c) Part IV Evaluation of derivatives and numerical integration.

The Fortran nomenclature and operation sequence were chosen to maintain reasonable storage requirements without incurring any appreciable loss in computation efficiency. The six largest arrays consume roughly twenty thousand storage locations, accommodating a maximum of 26 members and up to 38 locked modes for the complete satellite assembly. All steps of the general computation are identified in Appendix C.

### APPENDIX

Most of the detailed theoretical background for the gravity gradient satellite program is contained in Ref. 3. In restricting the Roberson-Wittenburg approach to this application, however, it was found that additional aspects of the formulation (e.g., hinge moments, additional forces, etc.) could be defined more specifically with little further loss of generality. The various computations added to the general program are described in Appendix A.

In order to illustrate in a concrete manner how this program can be applied to an existing satellite, a model of the Radio Astronomy Explorer<sup>4</sup> is described in Appendix B. A detailed description of the computation then follows in Appendix C, in the form of an annotated Fortran listing.

It was found convenient to treat much of the notation in an individual sectional basis, with various quantities defined in the text of the derivations. The Roberson-Wittenburg notation<sup>3</sup>, however, and its additions (e.g., augmentation of external force by the solar pressure, etc.) described in the body of this report, are retained. Components of torques, angular rates, and angular accelerations, for example are expressed in the co-ordinate frame of the appropriate structural member; it follows that vector equations are generally written in these body co-ordinates. The IJK index (previously defined in terms of the incidence matrix [S] and the satellite shape [ $\below$ ] ), and much of the additional notation defined in the body of the report, arises repeatedly throughout the analysis. For the model of gravity gradient booms, the cross-section is presumably circular (either solid or hollow); the length is chosen along the body x-axis, with (y) and (z) along the principal inertia axes of the cross-section. In all cases, the principal inertia axes are used for body co-ordinates, and standard right hand conventions are used for angle transformations; the matrix notations [ $\]^T$ ;  $b_t$ []; and

 $[\mathcal{A}]_{\mathcal{U}}$  represent transpose; trace; and an orthogonal transformation obtained by a positive rotation of  $(\beta)$  radians about the u-axis, respectively.  $\underline{1}_{\alpha}$ represents the  $(\alpha)$  column of the 3 x 3 identity matrix  $[\mathbf{I}_{33}]$ .

L

#### APPENDIX A

### ANALYTICAL FORMULATIONS APPLICABLE TO THE GENERAL PROGRAM

In Ref. 3 the hinge moment computation was left open in order to maintain generality of scope for the dynamical formalism. For the gravity gradient satellite program it has been found that the torque at each joint can be characterized by a convenient formulation applicable to numerous hinge types. The method uses straightforward program logic based on the incidence matrix [5], with the torque computed from the eigenvector and trace angle of the orthogonal transformation between adjacent members. When the "rest position" of one member relative to another is variable (e.g., due to thermal bending), the same basic formulation is augmented in a straightforward manner. The "locked mode" torque described in Ref. 2, for hinges with less than three degrees of freedom, has also been programmed.

In addition to providing explicit hinge moment computations, the program includes the force on each member due to solar radiation pressure. Finally there is a kinematical relation appropriate for satellites in low eccentricity orbits, and the position of the sun must also be defined in relation to satellite orientation. All of these items are covered by the analytical background material in this Appendix.

### Hinge Torques

From the INTRODUCTION it is recalled that the undeformed shape of the satellite is defined in terms of the matrices  $[P_J]$ , where J ( $\leq N-1$ ) is the hinge index number. The next section illustrates how a modified matrix  $[P_J]$  performs this function when thermal effects are included. It follows that the reaction torque at hinge J is zero when the relative orientation  $\{[V']\}$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} \fboxlength{\clubsuit}{0} \fboxlength{\upharpoonright}{0} \renskip 
ho start the start the$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{J}}^{\mathbf{r}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}^{\mathbf{r}} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}$$
(A-1)

More specifically, the reaction torque is a function of the trace angle,

$$\lambda = \operatorname{Arccos}\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left[V\right] - \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$
 (A-2)

and the unit eigenvector  $\underline{U}$  of  $[\checkmark]$  which points along the positive axis of rotation. This vector satisfies the equation (denoting the 3 x 3 identity by I),

$$[\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{I}] \underline{\mathbf{U}} = \underline{\mathbf{0}} \tag{A-3}$$

and therefore can be computed from the cross product of any two nonvanishing\* rows of [V-I] .

The product ( $\lambda \underline{U}$ ) can be thought of as a displacement vector which generates a reaction torque. Immediately this suggests the form for the "position feedback" torque for a linear system having negligible delay:  $\lambda[R_J]\underline{U}$ , where  $[R_J]$  is the restraining matrix for hinge J, described in Section 1.1. For attitude control problems the elements of [R] are easily identified as the controller sensitivities; for structural applications [R] consists of rigidity coefficients which are readily derived from the small angle\*\* flexure and torsion formulas,

<sup>\*</sup>e.g., when  $\underline{\mathbf{y}}$  is along the x-axis the first row of  $[\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{I}]$  will vanish. Furthermore, when  $\underline{\mathbf{y}}$  is too close to the x-axis the use of the first row of  $[\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{I}]$  in the computation would lead to numerical problems. Program logic avoids inaccuracy of this type. \*\*This restriction of course holds only for structural reaction torques. In contrast

<sup>\*\*</sup>This restriction of course holds only for structural reaction torques. In contrast to restricting the scope of application, moreover, this can be viewed as a requirement imposed upon (N) since the angles can be made smaller by separating the model into a larger number of segments. Finally, it is noted that the hinge moment formulation could be modified to account for larger angles.

Bending Moment  $\doteq E \downarrow d\Theta_B/dl$  (A-4) Torsion Moment =  $G_4 d\Theta_T/dl$  (A-5)

where  $\mathbf{E}$ ,  $\mathbf{G}$ ,  $\mathbf{J}$ , and  $\mathbf{J}$  represent Young's modulus and the shear modulus of elasticity, the in-plane and polar area inertia moments of the structural member cross-section, respectively;  $(\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{B} / \mathbf{d} \mathbf{L})$  is a measure of bending curvature which can be approximated\* by  $(\lambda U_i / \mathbf{L})$ , where  $U_i$  is the component of  $\underline{U}$ along the axis implied in Equation (A-4), and ( $\mathbf{L}$ ) is the distance between centers of the members joined by hinge  $\mathbf{J}$ ; a similar approximation is used for the torsional gradient  $(\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{L})$ . It follows that, for structural members with no inherent coupling between bending and torsion (such as that which would arise from displacement between mass and shear centers),  $[\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{J}}]$  is a diagonal matrix with elements ( $\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{d} / \mathbf{L}$ ), ( $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{y}} / \mathbf{L}$ ), and ( $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{y}} / \mathbf{L}$ ), where  $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{y}}$  and  $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{y}}$ correspond to principal axes of the cross-section.\*

In addition to the above position feedback or elastic reaction, there may be a moment restraining relative angular rate between adjacent members. From the definition of [R'] it follows that this component of torque in the co-ordinates of body I is

$$[\mathbf{R}'_{\mathbf{J}}](\underline{\omega}'_{\mathbf{K}} - \underline{\omega}_{\mathbf{I}}) ; \underline{\omega}'_{\mathbf{K}} \triangleq [\vee']\underline{\omega}_{\mathbf{K}}$$
(A-6)  
and (recalling from Section 1.1 that [**R**] and [**R**'] are referenced to body I)  
the total hinge moment acting on body I is

$$\underline{\boldsymbol{\mathscr{X}}}_{\mathbf{I}}^{\mathsf{r}} = \lambda [R_{\mathbf{J}}] \underline{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}} + [R_{\mathbf{J}}^{\mathsf{r}}] (\underline{\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\omega}}}_{\mathsf{K}}^{\mathsf{r}} - \underline{\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\omega}}}_{\mathbf{I}}) \tag{A-7}$$

<sup>\*</sup>In many applications this first approximation will be inadequate; Appendix B illustrates a refined approximation method for  $(d\theta_B/d)$  ) which was successfully applied to the RAE program.

and the hinge moment on body K is

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{K}}}^{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{r}}} = -\left[\boldsymbol{\mathsf{V}}^{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{r}}}\right]^{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{I}}}^{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{r}}} \tag{A-8}$$

This completes the discussion for this portion of the program. Before leaving this topic it is noted that (1) various nonlinear functions of the deformation ( $\lambda \underline{U}$ ) and/or the relative rate ( $\underline{\omega}_{K}^{\prime}-\underline{\omega}_{\underline{I}}$ ) could easily be programmed, to simulate nonlinear reaction torque characteristics encountered in practice; and (2) delayed feedback torque supplied from band-limited devices could be computed by standard convolution integral techniques.

### Thermal Bending

Gravity gradient satellites often employ long narrow booms which are prone to nonuniform heating. A convenient way to take this into account is to replace the zero torque rest position matrix [.] for each hinge by a new matrix [.] which defines the reference shape under uneven heating conditions. This new matrix can be formed by a simple orthogonal transformation of its original value,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \rho_{J} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\delta_{z} \end{bmatrix}_{z} \begin{bmatrix} +\delta_{y} \end{bmatrix}_{y} \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{J} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A-9)

where the bending angles ( $\delta_y$ ) and ( $\delta_z$ ) are identified by combining this expression with equation (A-1):

# $[\mathbf{v}] = \left[-\delta_{\mathbf{z}}\right]_{\mathbf{z}}\left[+\delta_{\mathbf{y}}\right]_{\mathbf{y}}\left[\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{J}}\right]\left[\mathbf{v}''\right]^{\mathsf{T}}$

Structural deformation is zero when  $[\checkmark]$  is the identity matrix. Since  $[\checkmark]^T$  is the transformation from actual I to K co-ordinates and  $[\checkmark]$  is the transformation from K to original reference axes of I, it follows that the product  $[-\delta_{z}]_{z}[+\delta_{y}]_{y}$  must be the transformation from the original (undeformed) to the new rest position (zero torque) axes of body I. This angular displacement of

the reference orientation for each segment in the discrete model is obtained by inscribing a set of chords (n = number of segments per boom) inside the arc formed by thermal bending. The present description will begin with an example of planar bending caused by a single heat source, followed by extension to the general case.

In Fig. 1, **J** denotes the hinge connecting body I (represented by the chord **JJ**<sup>''</sup>) to body K, which may be visualized on the left of the hinge.



Fig. 1 Effect of Thermal Bending

The reference orientation of the I segment in the absence of heating effects will be taken along the direction of the arrow  $I_0$ . Thus  $\delta$  is the bending angle due to a heat source in the direction  $\underline{\epsilon}$ , tentatively defined in the plane of the figure.

Under the above conditions the arc JJ' is essentially circular\* with center at 0 and radius of curvature  $R_c$  meters. With (L) again defined as the distance between centers of the members joined by hinge J, it is seen

that the average change in angle per unit length is  $(\frac{\delta}{\ell} = \frac{1}{R_c})$ and, combined with Eq. (4) of Ref. 5,

$$\delta = \frac{e l}{d} (\Delta T)$$

(A-10)

\*Just as in the preceding section, this small angle approximation is valid provided that the model contains a sufficient number of segments. where  $\mathbf{e}$ ,  $\mathbf{d}$ , and  $(\Delta \mathbf{T})$  denote the linear thermal coefficient of expansion  $(^{\circ}\mathbf{C})^{-1}$ , boom diameter (meters), and diametric temperature differential (°C), respectively. With the unit vector along the segment  $\mathbf{z}$  (length) axis  $\mathbf{JJ}^{\prime}$  denoted by  $\underline{1}_{1}$  it is seen that a direction\* for  $\mathbf{\delta}$  can be defined by the unit vector  $\{\underline{\mathbf{\varepsilon}} \times \underline{1}_{1} / |\underline{\mathbf{\varepsilon}} \times \underline{1}_{1}|\}$ . By reason of the small angle approximation for adjacent segments, then, the finite rotation can be treated as a vector,

$$\underline{\delta} = \frac{el}{d} (\Delta T) \frac{\underline{\epsilon} \times \underline{1}_{l}}{|\underline{\epsilon} \times \underline{1}_{l}|}$$
(A-11)

Since  $| \leq \times 1_{i} |$  is equivalent to the cosine of the angle between  $\leq$  and the normal to the segment, Eq. (6) of Ref. 5 can be written here as

$$\frac{\Delta T}{|\underline{\epsilon} \times \underline{1}_{,}|} = \frac{d^2}{4K_f} (aq) \qquad (A-12)$$

and, therefore,

$$\underline{\delta} = \frac{e l d}{4 K s} (aq) (\underline{\epsilon} \times \underline{1}_{1})$$
(A-13)

in which K, f, a, and  $\varphi$  represents thermal conductivity (large calories/second-meter-°C), boom thickness (meters), and the absorptivity and heat radiation (large calories/second-meter<sup>2</sup>) of the source, respectively. The convenience of this formulation is apparent when different heat sources are combined; with direct earth radiation and direct plus reflected solar radiation (at an albedo<sup>6</sup> of 0.4), the unit vectors in the source directions are denoted as

<sup>\*</sup>The cross product conforms to the definition of  $[\delta]$  as the transformation from the original to the deformed rest position of segment I.

and the thermal deflection angles are computed from resultants thus: For the y-axis, the right of Eq. (A-13) is written with the substitutions,

I. Direct Earth

1)  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{E}$ , absorptivity for earth radiation. 2)  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}J_{E}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is computed from the earth radius ( $\mathbb{R}_{E}$ ) and the Keplerian orbital distance ( $\mathcal{R}$ ) as  $\mathcal{T}$  $\mathcal{F} = 2\left[\left|-\sqrt{\left|-\left(\mathbb{R}_{E}/\mathcal{R}\right)^{2}\right|}\right]$  (A-14)

and  $J_E$  is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant (5.67 x 10<sup>-8</sup>/4184 large calories per sec per sq. meter per °K<sup>4</sup>) multiplied by the fourth power of the effective<sup>8</sup> spherical blackbody Earth temperature (246°K):

$$J_{E} = \frac{5.67 \times 10^{-8}}{4184} (246)^{4}$$
 (A-15)

$$(\underline{\epsilon} \times \underline{1}_{I}] \cdot \underline{1}_{Z} = -\theta_{I33}$$

1)  $a = a_s$ , absorptivity for solar radiation. 2)  $f = J_s$  ( $I_s - 1$ ), where  $I_s = \begin{cases} 2, \text{ sun not eclipsed} \\ 1, \text{ sun eclipsed} \end{cases}$ 

II. Direct Solar

È

and 
$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{S}}$$
 is the product  
 $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{S}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{S}} \mathcal{L}/4184$  (A-16)  
with  $\mathcal{L}$  defined as the speed of light  
(3 x 10<sup>8</sup> m/sec) and  
 $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{S}} = 4.5 \times 10^{-6} (\text{Newt}./m^2)$  (A-17)  
3)  $[\mathbf{\xi} \times \underline{1}_1] \cdot \underline{1}_2 = \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{I3}}$   
III. Reflected Solar 1)  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{S}}$   
2)  $\mathbf{f} = .4 \ \mathbf{F} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{S}} (\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{S}} - \mathbf{1})$ , where all  
of these quentities are defined above. It  
is noted that  $\mathbf{F}$  is not the true coefficient  
to be used for reflected radiation, but it  
provides an excellent approximation.<sup>7</sup>  
3)  $[\mathbf{\xi} \times \underline{1}_1] \cdot \underline{1}_2 = -\mathbf{\Theta}_{\mathbf{I33}}$ 

The total rotation about the y-axis due to thermal bending is therefore

$$\delta_{y} = - \mathcal{F} J_{E}^{\prime} \theta_{I33} + J_{S}^{\prime} (\sigma_{I3} - \mathcal{F} \mathcal{F} \theta_{I33}) (I_{S} - 1) \qquad (A-18)$$

where

$$J_{E} = \frac{elda_{E}}{4\kappa\varsigma} J_{E} ; \quad J_{S} = \frac{elda_{S}}{4\kappa\varsigma} J_{S} \qquad (A-19)$$

۸

.

and, similarly, thermal bending about the z-axis is obtained by the negative  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\xi} & \underline{1} \\ \underline{j} & \underline{1} \\ \underline{j} & \underline{j} \\ \underline{\xi} & \underline{\xi} \underline{\xi} & \underline{\xi} & \underline{\xi} \\ \underline{\xi} & \underline{\xi} & \underline{\xi} & \underline{\xi} \\ \underline{\xi} & \underline{$ 

Thermal bending throughout the entire structure is accounted for by repeating these computations at every applicable hinge. For example, in the case of a nominally straight boom, the reference direction for the segment to the right of J' is the <u>extended</u> line JJ'; this segment is then denoted as I in computing the bending angles at J', while the chord JJ' takes the role of segment K. The preceding formulation then applies to the computation of angles at J', and likewise to all hinges where thermal bending can occur.

As a further refinement is is noted that the matrix  $[-\delta_{z}]_{z}[+\delta_{y}]_{y}$  can be replaced by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \delta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma & -\delta_{z} & -\delta_{y} \\ \delta_{z} & \frac{\delta_{y}^{2} + \gamma \, \delta_{z}^{2}}{\delta_{y}^{2} + \delta_{z}^{2}} & \frac{\delta_{y} \, \delta_{z} \, (\gamma - 1)}{\delta_{y}^{2} + \delta_{z}^{2}} \\ \delta_{y} & \frac{\delta_{y} \, \delta_{z} \, (\gamma - 1)}{\delta_{y}^{2} + \delta_{z}^{2}} & \frac{\delta_{z}^{2} + \gamma \, \delta_{y}^{2}}{\delta_{y}^{2} + \delta_{z}^{2}} \end{bmatrix}; \gamma \triangleq \sqrt{1 - \delta_{y}^{2} - \delta_{z}^{2}}$$
(A-21)

It has been verified that this matrix is orthogonal and that the x-component of its real eigenvector is zero.

### Constraint Torques at Locked Joints

þ

In many instances there are hinges which are constructed to allow only one or two degrees of freedom, or it may be desirable to remove a degree of freedom from the computation model.\* When a hinge constrains relative motion about the  $\alpha$ -axis ( $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$  for  $\chi$ ,  $\chi$ ,  $\chi$ , Z respectively) of a given member (I) of the structure, the  $\alpha$ -component of the relative angular rate between body I and K, expressed in I-coordinates, is set to zero:  $\frac{1}{2}$ , if the torsional natural frequencies of a gravity gradient boom are very high, it may be convenient to assume that only flexure is possible.

$$\underline{\mathbf{1}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{\mathsf{T}} \left\{ \underline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\mathsf{I}} - \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathsf{I}} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathsf{K}} \end{bmatrix} \underline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\mathsf{K}} \right\} = \mathbf{0}$$
<sup>(A-22)</sup>

As noted in Ref. 2, the first step in deriving the constraint torque is to differentiate the above expression. It is shown in a later section of this Appendix that

$$d_{dt} \left[ \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mathbf{I}} \right] = \left[ \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mathbf{I}} \right] \left[ \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\mathbf{I}} \right] - \left[ \mathbf{N} \right] \left[ \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mathbf{I}} \right]$$
(A-23)

(where [R] and [N] are skew-symmetric angular rate matrices), so that

$$\mathbf{1}_{\kappa}^{\mathsf{T}} \underbrace{\mathbf{\omega}}_{I} - \mathbf{1}_{\kappa}^{\mathsf{T}} \left\{ \left( \left[ \Omega_{I} \right]^{\mathsf{T}} \left[ \Theta_{I} \right]^{\mathsf{T}} - \left[ \Theta_{I} \right]^{\mathsf{T}} \left[ N \right]^{\mathsf{T}} \right) \left[ \Theta_{\kappa} \right] \underbrace{\omega}_{\kappa} + \left[ \Theta_{I} \right]^{\mathsf{T}} \left[ \Theta_{\kappa} \right] \underbrace{\omega}_{\kappa} \right\} = 0$$

$$\left[ \Theta_{I} \right]^{\mathsf{T}} \left( \left[ \Theta_{\kappa} \right] \left[ \Omega_{\kappa} \right] - \left[ N \right] \left[ \Theta_{\kappa} \right] \right) \underbrace{\omega}_{\kappa} + \left[ \Theta_{I} \right]^{\mathsf{T}} \left[ \Theta_{\kappa} \right] \underbrace{\omega}_{\kappa} \right\} = 0$$

This is simplified by accounting for 1) the skew-symmetric character of  $[\Omega]$  and [N], and 2) the property  $[\Omega_K] \cong_K = \underline{O}$ ; introducing the previously defined notations  $[\vee']$  and  $\underline{\omega}_K'$ ,

$$\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{\mathsf{T}}\left\{\underline{\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}}_{\mathbf{I}}-\left[\mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{T}}\right]\underline{\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}}_{\mathsf{K}}\right\}=-\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{\mathsf{T}}\left[\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\mathbf{I}}\right]\underline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\mathsf{K}}^{\mathsf{T}} \tag{A-25}$$

There will be a scalar equation of this form for every combination ( $\mathbf{J}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ) which represents a locked joint constraint. It is therefore appropriate to define an identifying argument ( $\boldsymbol{j}$ ) for each locked mode,

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{j}} = \boldsymbol{3}(\boldsymbol{J}-\boldsymbol{1}) + \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tag{A-26}$$

plus a column vector  $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$  having 3(N-1) components such that  $\mathcal{M}_{j}$  is zero for all unlocked modes and, for locked modes,  $\mathcal{M}_{j}$  is an integer representing the ordered index of that mode ( $1 \leq M_{j} \leq N_{c}$ , where  $N_{c}$  is the total number of locked modes). The set of equations (A-25) can then be written in matrix form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \underline{\dot{\omega}} = -\underline{\mathcal{Y}} \tag{A-27}$$

where **[F]** is a  $(3N \times N_C)$  matrix in which the only nonzero elements are the unit vector components

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{I},\mathbf{M}_{j}} = \mathbf{1}_{\alpha}^{\mathsf{T}}; \quad \mathbf{F}_{\mathsf{K},\mathbf{M}_{j}} = -\mathbf{1}_{\alpha}^{\mathsf{T}}[\mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{T}}] \tag{A-28}$$

in the  $\mathcal{M}_{i}$  row and the  $\mathbf{I} \stackrel{\underline{+}\mathbf{h}}{=}$  and  $\mathbf{K} \stackrel{\underline{+}\mathbf{h}}{=}$  triplet of columns respectively,  $\mathbf{I}$ and  $\mathbf{K}$  representing the bodies constrained by the  $\mathcal{M}_{i}$  locked mode. The vector  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$  in (A-27) is the same angular acceleration vector appearing in the Roberson-Wittenburg equation; and  $\underline{V}$  is a vector ( $\mathbf{N}_{c} \times |$ ) whose  $\mathcal{M}_{i}$  component is

$$\mathcal{V}_{m_{j}} = \underline{\mathbf{1}}_{\alpha}^{\mathsf{T}} [\mathbf{\hat{n}}_{\mathrm{I}}] \underline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\mathsf{K}}^{\mathsf{r}} \tag{A-29}$$

where  $(\alpha, I, K)$  of course correspond to the locked mode under consideration. At this point, Eq. (6) is premultiplied by  $[\varsigma]^{T}[r]^{-1}$  and

combined with (A-27):

$$\mathbf{\underline{T}}_{\mathbf{c}} = -\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}$$

so that the final differential equation is

$$[\mathbf{r}] \stackrel{\circ}{\underline{\omega}} = \underline{\mathbf{E}} - [\mathbf{F}] \left\{ [\mathbf{F}]^{\mathsf{T}} [\mathbf{r}]^{-1} [\mathbf{F}] \right\}^{-1} \left\{ [\mathbf{F}]^{\mathsf{T}} [\mathbf{r}]^{-1} \underline{\mathbf{E}} + \underline{\nu} \right\}$$

### Radiation Pressure

The present formulation involves the effective solar radiation force on each member of the structure, expressed in the co-ordinates of that member. Although the pertinent theory is well-known, an example of a typical boom segment (again denoted here as "body I") is treated here to illustrate application to the problem at hand.

It is convenient to begin by considering a flat surface of area A subjected to a radiation pressure from a source along the unit sunline vector  $\underline{\P}_{\mathbf{I}}$  (see Eqs. A-50 — A-54). With the force per unit area of A denoted by (  $\not\sim$  ), the component of the effective force along  $\underline{\mathbb{N}}_{A}$  due to incident radiation has a magnitude  $\not\Rightarrow A |\underline{\P}_{\mathbf{I}} \cdot \underline{\mathbb{N}}_{A}|$ , where  $\underline{\mathbb{N}}_{A}$  is the unit normal to the surface A. With perfect reflection, the total force due to incident plus reflected radiation is directed along  $(-\underline{\mathbb{N}}_{A})$  and has a magnitude

$$|\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{A}}| = \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{A} | \underline{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_{\mathbf{I}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{n}}_{\mathbf{A}} | \qquad (A-32)$$

While ( ) is defined as the force per unit area of A, it is more convenient to work with the characteristics of the source itself; it is easily shown that

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{S}} \left[ \mathbf{\underline{\sigma}}_{\mathbf{I}} - \mathbf{\underline{n}}_{\mathbf{A}} \right] \tag{A-33}$$

where  $P_s$  is defined by Eq. (A-18). It follows that

$$|F_{A}| = 2P_{S}(\underline{\sigma}_{I} \cdot \underline{n}_{A})^{2}A \qquad (A-34)$$

Application of this theory to nonplanar surfaces is straightforward in principle and, for regular geometry, often leads to simple solutions. Each



Fig. 2 Radiation Pressure on Section of Cylinder

section of a gravity gradient boom, for example, can be represented by a cylinder, centered about the boom torsion axis  $\underline{1}_{1}$ . Fig. 2 shows a small section of width  $(d \approx)$  with a principal normal (defined as the normal to the surface extending outward from the center of  $d \approx$ , and lying in the plane of  $\underline{1}_{1}$  and  $\underline{\leq}_{I}$ ) along the direction  $\underline{\mathbb{N}}_{0}$ . It is permissible to consider the unit sunline vector  $\underline{\leq}_{I}$ as originating from the intersection point of  $\underline{1}_{1}$ ,  $\underline{\mathbb{N}}_{0}$ , and  $\underline{\mathbb{N}}_{A}$ , so that the spherical law of cosines can be invoked:

$$(\underline{\sigma}_{\mathbf{I}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{n}}_{\mathbf{A}}) = (\underline{\sigma}_{\mathbf{I}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{n}}_{\mathbf{o}}) \cos \lambda \qquad (A-35)$$

where the significance of  $\underline{n}_A$  of course lies in its orthogonality to the differential surface area

$$dA = \frac{d}{z} d\lambda dx \qquad (A-36)$$

in which ( $\lambda$ ) represents boom diameter. Preparations are now complete for integrating the force over the cylindrical area. It is first noted that the component along  $\underline{1}_{1}$  vanishes in the present problem because the resultant must be normal to the surface; furthermore the component along ( $\underline{1}_{1} \times \underline{n}_{0}$ ) vanishes by symmetry. For the differential area dA the component of force along ( $-\underline{n}_{0}$ ) is ( $dF_{A} \cos \lambda$ ); it is this quantity which must be integrated using

(A-34) through (A-36):

$$F_{cyl} = 2P_{S} \left(\underline{\sigma}_{I} \cdot \underline{n}_{0}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{d}{z}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos^{3} \lambda \, d\lambda \, dx \qquad (A-37)$$

It can easily be shown that this is equivalent to  $2P_{S}A_{E}(\underline{\sigma}_{I}\cdot\underline{\eta}_{o})^{2}$ where  $A_{E}$  is the cylindrical effective area,

$$A_{E} = \frac{2}{3} l d \tag{A-38}$$

Since the direction of the effective force is along the unit vector

$$-\underline{n}_{o} = \frac{\underline{1}_{I} \times (\underline{1}_{I} \times \underline{\sigma}_{I})}{\left|\underline{1}_{I} \times (\underline{1}_{I} \times \underline{\sigma}_{I})\right|} = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{\sigma_{I2}^{2} + \sigma_{I3}^{2}}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_{I2} \\ \sigma_{I3} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A-39)

the solar force vector expressed in I-co-ordinates is

$$\mathbf{F}_{cyl} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ -\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{IZ} \\ -\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{I3} \end{bmatrix} (2\mathbf{P}_{S}) \sqrt{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{IZ}^{2} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{I3}^{2}} \mathbf{A}_{E}(\mathbf{I})$$
(A-40)

By a derivation along similar lines it can be shown that the effective radiation force vector for a sphere is

$$\frac{F}{-sph} = -\underline{\mathcal{I}}(2P_s) A_{E(sph)}$$
(A-41)

where the effective area for a sphere of radius (  $oldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{oldsymbol{j}}$  ) is

$$A_{E(sph)} = \frac{1}{2} \pi l_{1}^{2} \qquad (A-42)$$

Presence of these forces must of course be subject to the condition of no eclipse.

### Kinematics

In this section the orthogonal matrices [B];  $[\Theta_I]$ ; and [D] will denote transformations from principal axes of a structural member (body I) to a set of inertial axes; from the body axes to a set of local axes; and from the local to the inertial co-ordinates, respectively. It follows immediately that

$$[\mathbf{B}] = [\mathbf{D}] [\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mathbf{I}}]$$
(A-43)

and it is well-known that

$$d_{dt} [B] = [B] [\Omega_{I}] \qquad (A-44)$$

where  $[\Omega_I]$  is a skew-symmetric matrix of inertial angular rates  $(\Omega_{I,IZ} = -\omega_{I3}; \Omega_{I,I3} = +\omega_{IZ}; \Omega_{I,Z3} = -\omega_{II})$ . Defining the local axes by  $(+\gamma)$  along the orbit pole and  $(+\Xi)$  along the upward local vertical,

$$d_{dt} [D] = [D] [N]$$
(A-45)

where [N] is a 3 x 3 matrix whose only nonzero elements appear in the positions  $(N_{13} = -N_{31})$  representing the time derivative of the true anomaly; from the appropriate equation on page 262 of Ref. 9 it can be deduced that

$$N_{13} = \sqrt{\mu_E p_o} / \pi^2$$
 (A-46)

where  $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{a}_0(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{e}_0^2)$ ;  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{E}}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{n}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{a}_0$ , and  $\mathbf{e}_0$  are defined as the Earth gravitational constant; the Keplerian orbit position vector magnitude, semimajor axis, and eccentricity, respectively.

By differentiation of (A-43),  

$$d'_{dt} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D \end{bmatrix}^T d'_{dt} \begin{bmatrix} B \end{bmatrix} + \{ d'_{dt} \begin{bmatrix} D \end{bmatrix} \}^T \begin{bmatrix} B \end{bmatrix}$$
 (A-47)
and, in combination with (A-43) through (A-45),

# $d_{dt} \left[ \boldsymbol{\theta}_{I} \right] = \left[ \boldsymbol{\theta}_{I} \right] \left[ \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{I} \right] + \left[ \boldsymbol{N} \right]^{\mathsf{T}} \left[ \boldsymbol{\theta}_{I} \right]$ (A-48)

To compute the angular rate for the matrix [N] it is noted that gravity gradient satellites generally have low eccentricity (e.g., less than 0.1). The quantity ( $\Lambda$ ) in (A-46) can therefore be determined explicitly by a series approximation on page 153 of Ref. 9; using the notation ( $A_{vn}$ ) for the mean anomaly,

$$n = a_0 \left[ 1 - e_0 \cos A_m - \frac{1}{2} e_0^2 \left( \cos 2A_m - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{8} e_0^3 \left( 3 \cos 3A_m - 3 \cos A_m \right) \right]$$
(A-49)

# Astronomical Geometry

Solar position is determined using the celestial sphere model on page 9 of Ref. 9. A value of 23.5° is used as the ecliptic inclination and, on the  $(N_n \underline{th})$  day of the year, the sunline vector makes an angle of

$$\Psi_{\rm S} = 2\pi (N_{\rm D} - 80)/365$$
 (A-50)

with the vernal equinox. The sunline vector expressed in inertial (celestial sphere) co-ordinates is therefore

$$\underline{\nabla}^{\prime\prime} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \Psi_{S} \\ \cos 23.5^{\circ} \sin \Psi_{S} \\ \sin 23.5^{\circ} \sin \Psi_{S} \end{bmatrix}^{(A-51)}$$

To define this vector in local co-ordinates the inclination, longitude of the ascending node, and argument of the periges for the satellite orbit are written as ( $i_{o}, \Omega_{o}, \omega_{o}$ ) respectively, and the true anomaly is computed explicitly by

the series approximation on page 154 of Ref. 9:

$$V = A_{m} + 2e_{sin}A_{m} + \frac{5}{4}e_{o}^{2} sin 2A_{m} +$$

$$\frac{1}{12}e_{o}^{3}(13 sin 3A_{m} - 3 sin A_{m})$$
(A-52)

which is again accurate for most gravity gradient satellite applications ( $e_{a} \leq 0.1$ ). The sunline in local co-ordinates is therefore

$$\mathbf{A}' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_0 + \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbf{z}} \begin{bmatrix} i_0 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbf{x}} \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_0 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{A}''$$
(A-53)

The unit vector pointing toward the sun, expressed in body I-axes, is then obviously,

$$\underline{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_{\mathbf{I}} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mathbf{I}} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \underline{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}^{\prime} \tag{A-54}$$

and it is this vector which is used for the appropriate thermal bending and radiation pressure computations.

This completes the description of analytical formulations used in the gravity gradient satellite program. The next Appendix describes an example configuration (RAE satellite<sup>4</sup>).

#### APPENDIX B

# THE RADIO ASTRONOMY EXPLORER (RAE) SATELLITE CONFIGURATION AND PARAMETERS

The RAE satellite described in Ref. 4 is cruciform shaped, passively damped with a horizontal libration damper boom skewed out of the plane of the cruciform.<sup>10</sup> The typical RAE example configuration is shown in Fig. 3 with the flexible antenna booms approximated dynamically with 3 rigid segments per boom. The four 750 foot antenna booms in their undeformed state make an angle of 30 degrees with the  $\Xi_{\rm HUB}$  axis in the cruciform plane. The damper boom (assumed rigid) is spring restrained to its reference position relative to the hub, and is skewed at an angle of 65 degrees from the cruciform plane. Each rigid body or member and each hinge is indexed as shown in figure 3. The hinge numbers are denoted by an underline. For a larger number of segments per boom, the same counter-clockwise numbering scheme would be used.

Each member has a body-fixed set of right-hand coordinates defined collinear with the principal inertia axes, and the origin is the mass center of each member. Only the direction of two axes need be given for the right hand coordinate frame to be well defined. The hub axes are shown in Fig. 3. THE FOLLOWING COORDINATE FRAMES OF THE REMAINING MEMBERS ARE DEFINED WITH THE SATELLITE IN ITS UNDEFORMED CONFIGURATION, and it should be remembered that the axes remain fixed in each member even after relative rotational displacement between them:

The damper boom  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\underline{\times}$  axes are in the direction of  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{HUB}$ and the outward directed damper boom centroidal axis respectively. In each antenna boom segment the  $\underline{\mathbb{Y}}$  and  $\underline{\mathbb{X}}$  axes are in the direction of  $\underline{\mathbb{Y}}_{HUB}$ 



ŀ

S.

Fig. 3 RAE Configuration

and the outward directed antenna segment centroidal axis respectively. For radiation pressure effective area computations the hub is assumed spherical in shape, while the damper boom and all antenna segments are cylindrical. It is noted that the damper boom is free to rotate about its  $\underline{Y}$  axis only.

All parameters in the RAE simulation are expressed in MKS units. However, since many RAE satellite properties are given in English units, appropriate conversion factors are listed below. Following this is a description of RAE variable inputs (Part I), fixed and derived inputs (Part II), and inputs to the general program (Parts III and IV) as defined in the INTRODUCTION of this report\*. Included also are the formulations for initial conditions, magnetic hysteresis damping torque, and readouts.

# MKS Units

- Length Meters (m)
- Mass Kilograms (Kg)
- Temperature Degrees Centigrade (°C)

Heat - Large Calories (K-cal; i.e., the amount of heat required to raise the temperature of 1 KG-H<sub>2</sub>O by 1°C.)

Force - Newtons (Newt)

## **Conversion Factors**

- .3048n = 1 ft.
- 14.5939 Kg = 1 slug
- 1 Newt = .2248 lbs.

4184 Kcal = 1 Newt-m = 1 Joule

<sup>\*</sup>Along with the mathematical symbol, the actual Fortran statement as used in the program is given in quotation.

#### RAE VARIABLE INPUTS (PART I)

#### System Parameters

- n ("NPB") Number of segments per boom ( $1 \le n \le 6$ ).
- **S\_ (**"SD1")

Damper spring constant ratio. This ratio is defined as the damper hinge restraining spring constant  $R_{(1,2,2)}$  divided by the corresponding "gravity gradient spring constant":

$$S_{d} \stackrel{\triangleq}{=} \frac{R(I_{j}2_{j}2)}{\left[(3+\sin^{2}\delta)I_{(2,2,2)}(\mu_{E}/a_{0}^{3})\right]}$$
(B-1)

where  $(\mu_{\rm E})$  and  $(a_0)$  are defined after Equation (A-46); the angle  $(\delta)$  is  $(\frac{65\pi}{180} - \delta)$ , where  $(\delta)$  is the equilibrium yaw angle defined later in this Appendix. S<sub>d</sub> should be greater than unity so that the damper boom will seek a horizontal rest position.

**f**\_ ("FD")

Ŀ

Linear damping or non-linear hysteresis\* type damping option at the damper boom hinge. To simulate hysteresis damping, set  $f_d = 0$ . To simulate linear damping set  $f_d$  equal to the desired damping ratio, defined as

$$f_{d} \triangleq \frac{R_{(1,2,2)}}{[2I_{(2,2,2)}\sqrt{(3+\sin^{2}\delta)(S_{d}-I)(\mu_{F}/a_{0}^{3})}]}$$
(B-2)

It is noted that this conforms to the standard expression for a damping ratio, with the stiffness term defined as the combined effect of the spring restraint and gravity gradient.

\*The hysteresis damper simulation is described later in this Appendix.

 $N_L$  ("NL") Locked mode option. Any or all three degrees of freedom at each hinge may be eliminated, so long as the total number of locked modes  $N_C \leq 38$ . However, only three locked mode configurations are included in the RAE simulation:

 $N_L = 1$  Eliminates the rotational degree of freedom about the  $\chi$  and  $\Xi$  axes of the damper boom relative to the hub.

Bion Lora

- $N_L = 2$  Includes  $N_L = 1$  and also locks the torsional degree of freedom at hinges on all antenna boom segments.
- $N_L = 3$  Includes  $N_L = 1$  and also locks the three degrees of freedom at the base of each antenna boom. (In this mode, n = 1; this simulates a rigid cruciform with a single degree of freedom damper boom).
- $I_{T}("ITHERM")$  Thermal bending option. To include effects of thermal bending, set  $I_{T} = 1$ ; otherwise set  $I_{T} = 0$ .
- $N_A$  ("NA") Solar pressure option. To include effects of solar pressure, set  $N_A = 1$ ; otherwise set  $N_A = 0$ .

Initial and Final Conditions

X ("XINIT(I)") Twelve initial amplitudes of fundamental RAE satellite librational I= 1,...,12 and flexing modes as defined later in this Appendix.

T ("ORBS") Total number of orbits to be simulated.

NR("ENR") Number of readouts\* printed per orbit.

# Astronomical Parameters

- Co ("SE") Eccentricity of orbit
- to ("EYZ") Inclination angle between the normal to the orbital plane and the north geodetic pole of the celestial sphere.

 $\Omega_{0}$  ("THZ") Longitude of the ascending node measured from the Vernal Equinox. \*Readout format is described later in this Appendix. Hub and Boom Parameters

m	("EM(1)")	Mass of hub (Kg.)			
L	("ELl")	Effective geometric radius of hub (m.)			
I leter	(#A(1, , , , , , ) *)	Moments of Inertia about hub $ imes$ , $ imes$ , and $ ot\equiv$ principal			
C	<b>x =</b> 1,2,3	axes respectively (including two dipoles { 18.3 meter length }			
		along hub 🗶 -axis)			
mB	(" <b>E3(</b> B#)	Boom mass per unit length (Kg./m.)			
13	("ELB")	Total length of each antenna boom (m.)			
L	("SL")	Length of each segment, $l = l_B / n$			
La	(" <u>EL</u> D")	Length of damper boom (m.)			
L	("DIA")	Boom cross-section diameter (m.)			
5	("THK")	Boom wall thickness (m.)			
Ψ	("OLA")	Boom wall overlap half angle <sup>11</sup> (rad.)			
γ	("POR")	Poisson's Ratio			
E	<b>(</b> "E")	Modulus of Elasticity (Newt./m. <sup>2</sup> )			
<b>f</b> ("F( <b>c</b> ()") <b>c</b> (= 2,3)		Boom area moments of inertia about the diametral axes parallel			
		to the boom segment Y and Z axis respectively*			

$$f_{2} = \frac{\mathcal{A}^{3}}{8} \left[ \pi + \Psi + \sin \Psi \cos \Psi - \frac{2 \sin^{2} \Psi}{\pi + \Psi} \right]$$
(B-5)  
$$f_{3} = \frac{\mathcal{A}^{3} f}{8} \left[ \pi + \Psi - \sin \Psi \cos \Psi \right]$$
(B-4)

<sup>\*</sup>Boom principal axes are in the directions defined in the beginning of this Appendix. The values of  $f_2$  and  $f_3$  are given in Ref. 11 for open slit tubes, but are also valid for any tube with overlap.

f, ("F(1)") Multiplicative constant for torsional rigidity (locked slit tube):

$$f_1 = \frac{0.5}{1+\nu} (f_2 + f_3)$$
 (B-5)

K ("CK") Thermal conductivity of boom (Kcal/m.sec.°C)

e ("CTE") Temperature coefficient of linear expansion for boom (°C)

 $A_W$  ("AW") Ratio of perforation area to total surface area of the booms.

J<sub>E</sub> ("XJE") Earth heat flux density, as given in Eq. (A-15); (Kcal./sec.m<sup>2</sup>).

**J**<sub>S</sub> ("XJS") Solar heat flux density, as given by Eq. (A-16); (Kcal./sec.m<sup>2</sup>).

## Equilibrium Parameters

KA, KB First cantilever mode antenna boom tip deflection in and out ("QKA", "QBA") of the undeformed cruciform plane respectively (m.)

These equilibrium parameters, explained in Ref. 12, are used in the computation of initial conditions and readouts described later in this Appendix. Astronomical Parameters

a<sub>o</sub> ("AZ") Orbital semi-major axis (m.)
 ω<sub>o</sub> ("WZ") Argument of perigee (deg.)
 t<sub>o</sub> ("TZ") Time at perigee of orbit (sec.). NOTE: t ≜ 0 at start of simulation.
 N<sub>D</sub> ("ND") Vehicle launch date.

## General Program Inputs

Ŵ

Following is a list of System Parameters plus Initial and Final Conditions, as defined in the INTRODUCTION of this report, applicable to the RAE satellite. The Astronomical Parameters, also called for in the INTRODUCTION, are the same as those just defined.

N ("N") Total number of rigid members, N = 4n + 2M ("EN(I)") Hass vector with elements  $m_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le N$  ( $i^{th}$  body).  $m_1 = hub$  mass  $m_2 = l_d m_B$   $m_i = l m_B$  for  $3 \le i \le N$ I ("A(I,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ )") Inertia tensor with elements I( $i, \alpha, \beta$ ),  $1 \le i \le N$ ;  $1 \le \alpha, \beta \le 3$ : I ( $i, \alpha, \beta$ )" = 0,  $\alpha \ne \beta$ I ( $i, \alpha, \beta$ ) = 0,  $\alpha \ne \beta$ I ( $i, \alpha, \beta$ ) = 0,  $\alpha \ne \beta$ I ( $i, \alpha, \beta$ ) = hub principal inertias\* I (i, 1, 1) =  $m_i l_d^2/l_4$ ,  $2 \le i \le N$  (B-6) I ( $2, \alpha, \alpha$ ) =  $m_2 l_d^2/l_2$ ,  $\alpha \le 2, 3$  (B-7)

$$I(i,\alpha,\alpha) = m_i l^2 / l_2 , 3 \le i \le N; \alpha = 2,3$$
 (B-8)

**R** ("R( $J, \alpha, \beta$ )") Hinge spring constant tensor (See Eqs. A-7 and A-8):

$$\begin{array}{l} R(j_{1}\alpha_{j}\beta_{j}), \ 1 \leq j \leq (N-1); \ 1 \leq \varkappa, \beta \leq 3: \\ R(j_{1}\alpha_{j}\beta_{j}) = 0, \ \alpha \neq \beta \\ R(1,1,1) = R(1,3,3) = 0 \\ R(1,2,2) = (3 + \sin^{2}\delta) S_{d} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{E} \\ \alpha_{0} \end{pmatrix} I_{(2,2,2)} \qquad (B-9) \\ R(j_{1}\alpha_{j}\alpha_{j}) = (1 - A_{W}) E f_{d} / \ell, \ 2 \leq j \leq (N-1); 1 \leq \leq 3 \\ (B-10) \end{array}$$

<sup>\*</sup>A considerable saving in machine time was realized by increasing these values in the computational model. Ref. 12 shows that only the highest frequency (lowest amplitude) oscillations are affected.

At this point a brief digression is in order, to explain certain subtle aspects of structural discretization. First it is noted that high frequency torsional oscillations (which consume excessive machine time in simulation) can be circumvented through the previously defined input  $(N_{\perp})$ . When  $N_{\perp} \neq 1$ all torsion axes are locked; thus (B-10) is applied for  $\ll = 2$  and 3 only. When  $N_{\perp} = 3$  all antenna joints are locked and (B-10) is bypassed completely. Theoretically the rigidity constants for all locked modes should be zero, since Eq. (A-30) provides the necessary constraint torque. In practice, however, small computational imperfections in the value of this torque are doubly integrated with the dynamical equations. A weak spring and damper have been placed in the locked torsional joints, to counteract this cumulative effect. 調

In connection with bending moments, Eq. (A-4) was accompanied by a statement that the rate of change of slope  $(d\theta_B/d\ell)$  cannot in general be adequately determined from a single hinge angle. The inherent accuracy limitations of numerical differentiation can, however, be minimized by using a properly weighted sum to compute derivatives at each point. For the RAE program this was accomplished through augmenting the standard internal torque computation\* as follows: the vector  $\{\lambda \ U \ \}$  at every antenna boom hinge  $(J_S)$  is transformed into the co-ordinates of each interacting member  $(I_A)$ ; the result, multiplied by the appropriate rigidity matrix [R] and weighting constant, is included in the total internal moment acting on that member. The members which may interact with "The exact form of this refinement will vary with the particular structural topology, but the method exemplified here will be useful for a wide range of applications. It is noted that all weighting constants are set to zero in the Initial Program Setup, (Part O). Therefore, in the absence of any subsequent introduction of weights in Part I or II, the internal torque modifications in Part IV of the General Frogram will not invalidate the standard formulation (Eqs. A-7 and A-8) applicable to truly isolated hinges.

any given hings are the hub and the segments in the same quadrant as the hings. The extent of interaction is determined from Newton's divided difference formula;<sup>13</sup> a three-segment planar model of an antenna boom will serve to illustrate the technique below.

ľ



Fig. 4. Segmented Antenna Boom

Normalized Arc Length	Angle off Base Tangent	l <sup>st</sup> Divided Difference	2 <sup>nd</sup> Divided Difference	3 <sup>rd</sup> Divided Difference
X	θΒ	$f(X_0, X_1)$	$f(X_0, X_1, X_2)$	$f(X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3)$
0	0		-	
1/2	<u>بر</u>	2/1	- 雪(H2-2H1)	1
3/2	μ, + μ2	<u> </u>	+ (H3-H2)	5H3-15H2+13H1
5/2	$\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3$	μ <sub>3</sub>	- = = H3	-75K3+5H2
		0		
3	M1+H2+ M3		1	

In the accompanying table, attention is first drawn to the first two columns. The hinge angles ( $\mu$ ) can represent a  $\Upsilon$  or  $\Xi$  axis component of ( $\lambda \underline{U}$ ) in the present problem. For a boom cantilevered to the hub ( $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathbf{B}}$ ) is zero at the

base and, since there should be no bending moment at the free end,  $(\Theta_B)$  should not change at X = 3. In addition to the known values of  $(\Theta_B)$  for each segment (presumably the centers), then, these two boundary conditions can be used to determine the derivative of  $(\Theta_B)$ . Differentiating Eq. (17) of Ref. 13,

$$f'(x) = f(x_{o}, x_{1}) + [zx - (x_{o} + x_{1})]f(x_{o}, x_{1}, x_{2}) + [3x^{2} - 2(x_{o} + x_{1} + x_{2})X + (x_{o}x_{1} + x_{o}x_{2} + x_{1}x_{2})]f(x_{o}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3})$$

where  $(\hat{\Theta}_{\mathbf{B}})$  is to be substituted for  $(\mathbf{f})$  and the divided differences obtained here conform to the definitions in Ref. 13. In solving this equation for  $\hat{\Theta}_{\mathbf{B}}$ at the hinge points X = 0 and X = 1, the values (0, 1/2, 3/2, 5/2) are chosen for  $(X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3)$ , respectively; at X = 2 the values (1/2, 3/2, 5/2, 3)are used. It is easily verified that

$$f_{0}^{\prime} \quad (=\theta_{B}^{\prime} @ x=0) = \frac{46}{15} \mu_{1} - \frac{41}{60} \mu_{2} + \frac{3}{20} \mu_{3}$$

$$f_{1}^{\prime} \quad (=\theta_{B}^{\prime} @ x=1) = \frac{67}{60} \mu_{2} - \frac{2}{15} \mu_{1} - \frac{1}{20} \mu_{3}$$

$$f_{2}^{\prime} \quad (=\theta_{B}^{\prime} @ x=2) = \frac{67}{60} \mu_{3} - \frac{1}{20} \mu_{2}$$

The internal moments acting on the hub and the inner, central, and outer segments would then be  $(-f_0')$ ,  $(f_0' - f_1')$ ,  $(f_1' - f_2')$ , and  $(f_2')$ , respectively, multiplied by the appropriate element of  $[\mathbb{R}]$ . The amount by which this exceeds the corresponding component of  $\{\lambda[\mathbb{R}] \cup \}$  is provided by the supplemental internal torque computations in Part IV and the weighting coefficients (Fortran designation "EPSIL") in Part II of the program.

The description of General Program inputs will now continue, with the damping tensor as the next item. Aside from the previously mentioned "weak dampers" in the locked modes, the only nonzero value for the present program is located at the first hinge, its value controlled by the normalized damping ratio ( $f_d$ ) as indicated in Eq. (B-2):

$$R_{(1,2,2)} = 2 f_d I_{(2,2,2)} \sqrt{(3 + \sin^2 \delta)(S_d - 1) \mu_E / a_o^3}$$
(B-11)

and a description of hysteresis damping (for the case  $f_d = 0$ ) appears later in this Appendix.



(B-12)

 $\rho$  ("RHO(J,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ )") Rest position rotation matrix between adjacent bodies with

3

x 3 sub-matrices 
$$[P_{j}]$$
,  $1 \le j \le (N-1)$ :  
 $[P_{1}] = [ = [ = T/3 ]_{x}$   
 $[P_{2}] = [ = T/3 ]_{y}$   
 $[P_{3}] = [ = T/3 ]_{y}$   
 $[P_{4}] = [ - 2T/3 ]_{y}$   
 $[P_{5}] = [ - T/3 ]_{y}$   
 $[P_{5}] = [ - T/3 ]_{y}$   
 $[P_{j}] = [ I_{33} ]$ ,  $6 \le j \le (N-1)$ 

С

I

("C(I,J)") Hinge connection matrix

$$C_{31} = 3(0, 3048); \text{ See Fig. 3}$$

$$C_{12} = -C_{13} = -C_{14} = C_{15} = -\frac{1}{2}l_1$$

$$C_{32} = C_{33} = -C_{34} = -C_{35} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}l_1$$

$$C_{1j} = -\frac{1}{2}l_1 \begin{cases} 2 \le j \le (N-1), \ i = 3j+1 \ AND^{\texttt{*}} \\ 6 \le j \le (N-1), \ i = 3(j-4)+1 \end{cases}$$
All other elements of [C] are zero.

\*These values all have the same sign, since the reversal in direction from segment mass center to opposite hinges will be cancelled by the sign reversal in the pertinent incidence matrix elements. A<sub>E</sub> ("AE(I)") Effective areas for solar pressure forces:

If  $N_A = 0$ , all  $A_{E(I)} = 0$ ; otherwise compute the values indicated (See Eqs. A-38 and A-42):

$$A_{E(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \pi l_{l}^{2} \qquad (B-15)$$

$$A_{E(2)} = \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{L}_{d} \tag{B-16}$$

$$A_{E(i)} = \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{L} , 3 \leq i \leq N \qquad (B-17)$$

ľ

Total number of locked hinge degrees of freedom and hinge axis indexing number respectively. Below is a table showing values of  $N_c$  and  $\mathcal{M}_i$  for the three locked mode options,  $N_L$ .

NL	Nc	m
1	2	<i>M</i> <sub>1</sub> =1; <i>M</i> <sub>3</sub> =2
2	N	$m_1 = 1; m_3 = 2; m_{\{3(J-1)+1\}} = J+1, 2 \le J \le N-1$
3	14	$\mathcal{M}_{i}=1; \mathcal{M}_{3}=2; \mathcal{M}_{J}=J-1, 4 \leq J \leq 15$

J≝ J≤

("LJE") Thermal bending constant for Earth radiation as defined by Eq. (A-19). ("LJS") Thermal bending constant for solar radiation as defined by Eq. (A-19). This completes the RAE System Parameter specifications. The initial conditions need somewhat more detailed treatment here because of their relation to a Lagrangian formulation of the RAE satellite.<sup>12</sup>

Initial values for the angular position { direction cosine matrix  $[\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{I}}]$ } and angular velocity vector  $\underline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\mathbf{I}}$  of each member I of the discrete RAE satellite model are derived from the initial conditions of an equivalent flexible continuous RAE satellite model.<sup>12</sup> First cantilever mode shape amplitudes of the booms are linearly transformed into a set of "satellite modes"  $(\mathbf{X}_5 \cdots \mathbf{X}_{12})$ . It is of interest to excite these "satellite modes" separately or in combination in the discrete model program. Also, nonzero initial values of the libration Euler angles  $(\mathbf{X}_{1}, \mathbf{X}_{2}, \mathbf{X}_{3})$  with respect to the local frame and of the single degree of freedom damper angle  $(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{4}})$  from the continuous model will excite similar motion in the discrete model. Thus the initial values of the twelve quantities  $(\mathbf{X}_{1}\cdots \mathbf{X}_{12})$  are transformed to the initial attitude of each member in the discrete model. It is noted that there is no loss of generality in setting the initial derivatives  $(\mathbf{X}_{1}\cdots \mathbf{X}_{12})$  to zero, since motion is still excited by initial displacements from equilibrium.

The transformation from the twelve variables of the continuous model to each member's attitude in the discrete model is accomplished separately for the hub and the damper boom, and in combination for the antenna boom segments. First, the orthogonal transformation from hub to local axes is written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_2 \end{bmatrix}_{\boldsymbol{Y}} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_1 \end{bmatrix}_{\boldsymbol{X}} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_3 + \boldsymbol{\delta} \end{bmatrix}_{\boldsymbol{Z}}$$
(B-18)

where  $X_1, X_2$ , and  $X_3$  are the roll, pitch, and yaw libration angles respectively and  $\tilde{b}$  is a static yaw angle of the hub body axes in equilibrium due to the skewed

damper boom. The orthogonal transformation from damper principal axes to the local frame is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{1} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{X}_{4} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{Y}}$$
(B-19)

where [7] represents the 65 degree hub-to-damper transformation about the yaw axis (see Fig. 3).

The conversion from the generalized coordinates describing the satellite deformation modes to any boom segment direction cosine matrix can be separated into three steps:

1. First, all in-plane and out of plane tip deflections can be expressed as a linear combination of the satellite flexing mode amplitudes. For example the in-plane and out of plane tip deflections of the lower left antenna boom are

$$W_{z} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ X_{6} + (X_{8} + 2K_{A}) - X_{9} - X_{11} \right]$$
 (B-20)

and

$$W_{y} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ X_{5} + (X_{7} + 2K_{B}) - X_{10} - X_{12} \right]$$
(B-21)

where  $K_A$  and  $K_B$  are the in and out of plane static (equilibrium) tip deflections, respectively. All other boom tip deflections follow in a similar fashion from the transformation defined in Ref. 12.

2. In the second step, the elastic deformation slope is computed for each segment, making use of the first cantilever mode shape. A question immediately arises as to the method of fitting a finite number of segments to the cantilever curve. The segments could be inscribed or circumscribed, or their mass centers could be matched to the mode shape function; alternatively, the slope of each segment could be chosen to match the corresponding portion of strain energy in the continuous

elastic curve. Actually, the accompanying Fortran listing uses none of these methods. Instead, the first cantilevered mode function was approximated by a least squares fit, giving rise to proportionality constants (4; Fortran designation "SLSQ") which fix the slopes of the k<sup>th</sup> segment as

$$\Delta_{y} = \Delta_{k} \bigvee_{B} , \quad 1 \le k \le n \qquad (B-22)$$

and

$$\Delta_{z} = A_{k} \frac{\nabla \gamma}{\ell_{B}}, \quad 1 \le k \le n \qquad (B-23)$$

for any values of in-plane ( $W_E$ ) and transverse ( $W_Y$ ) tip deflection. It was then found that, for three\* segments per boom, the results could be improved through small changes in the relative magnitudes of ( $A_X$ ). Chosen values for this case (i.e., n = 3) minimized the initial angular accelerations under equilibrium conditions. No further improvements were investigated for other segmented approximations, but curve fitting is recognized as a possible means of improving future discretized structural models of this type.

3. Finally, the transformation is computed for segment-to-local coordinates. Again using the lower left antenna quadrant as an example,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\theta}_7 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\theta}_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\rho}_2 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Delta} \end{bmatrix} \tag{B-24}$$

where  $[\Delta]$  is computed exactly as in Eq. (A-21) using the angles in (B-22) and (B-23).

<sup>\*</sup>A three-segment model was chosen for actual run trials, as a compromise between accuracy and economy of computation.

Initial angular rates arise solely from orbital motion since, as previously explained, the initial generalized co-ordinate derivatives  $(\dot{X}_1, \dot{X}_2, \dots, \dot{X}_{12})$  are zero. For any member, then, the initial angular rate vector is

$$\underline{\omega}_{I} = \mathbf{\dot{v}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Theta}_{I} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \underline{\mathbf{1}}_{\mathbf{Z}} , \quad \mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{N}$$
 (B-25)

where (  $\overset{\bullet}{\mathbf{V}}$  ) follows readily from Eq. (A-52).

## HYSTERESIS DAMPER SIMULATION

The hysteresis damping torque for the RAE satellite is taken from the model found in Ref. 14. The damping torque equation, repeated here, is

$$T_{H} = T_{R} + 2T_{P}[1 - exp\{-\Sigma |\lambda - \lambda_{R}|\}] \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) \qquad (B-26)$$

where:

- $T_R$  = damping torque at the time when  $\dot{\lambda}$  last changed sign,
- Tp = peak (saturation) damper torque,
- $\Sigma$  = exponential rate constant,
- $\lambda$  = angle between damper axis and damper rest position (i.e.,  $X_4$  in the problem at hand),

 $\lambda_{R}$  = damper angle when  $\lambda$  last changed sign.

The damper hings has only one rotational degree of freedom (y-axis of the damper boom). The other two degrees of freedom are eliminated by locked modes as described in Appendix A. When the input variable  $f_d$  is set to zero, this hysteresis torque replaces the usual (linear) computation for damping torques in part IV.

### RAE READOUT DERIVATIONS AND FORMATS

The readouts consist of (1) constant parameters printed only once per computer run, and (2) variable parameters computed and printed out at multiple intervals during the simulated orbital period. The format will appear as written below.

# Constant Readouts

\_\_\_\_

"FAIRCHILD BOOMS OF" (n) "SEGMENTS PER BOOM" "NL = " ( $N_L$ ) "E = " (E) "DAMPER SPRING CONSTANT" ( $S_d$ ) "DAMPING RATIO" ( $f_d$ ) "ORBIT" "A" ( $a_o$ ) "O" ( $\mathfrak{R}_o$ ) "I" ( $\mathfrak{i}_o$ ) "E" ( $\mathfrak{e}_o$ ) "NR = " ( $N_R$ ) "SIMULATION TO LAST" (T) "ORBITS"

"INITIAL CONDITIONS" "1" (X<sub>1</sub>) "2" (X<sub>2</sub>) .... etc., to (X<sub>12</sub>)

Sunline vector components in inertial coordinates:

"SUN" 
$$( \mathcal{A}_{1}^{\prime \prime} ) ( \mathcal{A}_{2}^{\prime \prime} ) ( \mathcal{A}_{3}^{\prime \prime} )$$

## Variable Readouts

At integral multiples of  $T_0/N_R$  (where  $T_0 = 2\pi\sqrt{\mu_E/a_0^3}$  is the orbital period) the computations itemized below are performed and readouts printed. (1) Time and satellite position { direction cosine elements of the transformation [D] from local to inertial co-ordinates; inertial axes are defined by the Vernal Equinox (+x) and the north geodetic pole (+ = )} : "T = \_\_\_\_\_HRS" "SATELLITE"  $D_{13}$   $D_{23}$   $D_{33}$ 

- (2) When the sightline from the satellite to the sun is not obstructed by the Earth, this will be indicated by the readout "IN SUN"; the readout "SHADOW" appears during eclipse.
- (3) Attitude of the reference axes of the composite satellite with respect to local axes,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\prime} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{V} \end{bmatrix}_{\boldsymbol{Z}}$$
(B-27)

where  $\lambda$  is the static offset angle of the  $\underline{X}_{HUB} = \underline{Z}_{HUB}$  plane with the orbital plane:



(4) Attitude of the damper boom with respect to its reference position:

$$[\mathbf{V}] = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\rho}_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\theta}_1 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (B-28)

"DAMPER" V 23 V 12

(5) Antenna boom deformation is characterised by lateral deflections, both in and out of the cruciform reference plane, for the tip of each segment. The in-plane and out-of-plane deflections are the 3rd and 2nd components respectively of a deflection vector  $\underline{d}_i$ , where (i) is the segment index number. Since the deflection at the tip of any segment includes the deflections of all inner segments, d can be computed by a recursion formula:

$$\frac{d}{4j+K-2} = \frac{d}{4(j-1)+K-2} + \int \left[ \int_{K+1}^{R} \left[ \left[ \theta_{1} \right]^{T} \right] \left[ \theta_{1} \right]^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{1} \\ \theta_{1} \\ \theta_{2} \\ \theta_{3} \\ \theta$$

where j is the index number of the segment tip. To start the recursion, the values of  $d_3$ ,  $d_4$ ,  $d_5$ , and  $d_6$  are computed from the last term of the same expression, (B-29). The relative twist angle (rad.) between adjacent members is computed from the trace angle ( $\lambda$ ) and the X-axis component of the deformation eigenvector  $\underline{U}$  for the hinge connecting those members; ( $\lambda$ ) and  $\underline{U}$  are defined in Eqs. (A-2) and (A-3), respectively.

$$d_{i,1} = \lambda U_{i}, 3 \le i \le N$$
(B-30)

/- -->

The "DEFORMATION" format is as follows:

I

Boom number (K) 1 2 3 4  
"IN-PLANE" 
$$d_{33}$$
  $d_{43}$   $d_{53}$   $d_{63}$   
 $d_{73}$   $d_{83}$   $d_{93}$   $d_{10,3}$   
 $\vdots$   $\vdots$   $\vdots$   $\vdots$   $\vdots$   
"OUT OF PLANE"  $d_{32}$   $d_{42}$   $d_{52}$   $d_{62}$   
 $d_{72}$   $d_{82}$   $d_{92}$   $d_{10,2}$   
 $\vdots$   $\vdots$   $\vdots$   $\vdots$   $\vdots$ 

"TWIST"	d <sub>31</sub>	d <sub>41</sub>	<sup>d</sup> 51	<sup>d</sup> 61
	d.71	d <sub>81</sub>	d <sub>91</sub>	<sup>d</sup> 10,1
	•	•	•	•
	•	•	•	•
	•	•	•10	•

(6) The amplitudes of the satellite flexing modes<sup>12</sup> are computed from the antenna boom tip deflections and printed out. The computation is the exact inverse of the operation used to find tip deflections from flexing mode amplitudes, described earlier in this Appendix.

For example, the in-plane neutral mode amplitude is:

$$X_{B} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( d_{4n+1,3} - d_{4n+2,3} + d_{4n-1,3} - d_{4n,3} \right) - 2K_{A} \qquad (B-31)$$

The "SATELLITE MODES" format is as follows:

"ROLL"  $(x_5)$  "PITCH"  $(x_6)$  "YAW"  $(x_7)$ "LONGITUDINAL"  $(x_9)$  "LATERAL"  $(x_{10})$  "VERTICAL"  $(x_{11})$ 

"IN-PLANE NEUTRAL" ( $X_8$ ) "OUT OF PLANE NEUTRAL" ( $X_{12}$ )

### APPENDIX C

#### PROGRAM LISTING

The RAE segmented model program presented here has been successfully run in Fortran IV single precision on the Univac 1108 and has been found in agreement with the independent Lagrangian analysis of Ref. 12. While many of the Fortran statements are self-explanatory or follow readily from previous discussion, understanding of the overall computational scheme is enhanced in several instances by the accompanying comments, referrals to equations, cross-references between different parts of the program, etc. It will be reiterated here that usage of the program for other satellite configurations will not require knowledge of the material in these Appendices. Program utilization for general purposes calls for 1) all inputs specified in the INTRODUCTION of this report, and 2) Parts 0, III, and IV of the present listing (with present FORMAT and WRITE statements replaced by desired readouts for the particular problem under consideration\*). augmented by the accompanying subroutines ICE, INTEG, INVERT, and XSIMEQ, plus card Nos. 55-58 which provide necessary zero resets for each program run. It should be noted that the hinge interactions, solar pressure forces, thermal bending, and hysteresis damping present in Part IV, unless actuated by inputs in Parts I and II, are de-activated by the cards at the end of Part 0. If similar effects are to be included in a simulation for another satellite configuration, the following minor modifications are needed:

(1) Elastic coefficients derived on pages 38-40 must be co-ordinated with the appropriate satellite geometry, necessitating logic changes in cards 818-848. \*Note that deletion of the computations associated with readouts in the present program (e.g., calculations involving the Fortran designation "SD") is optional.

(2) The geometry of each member will determine its response to solar radiation pressure; card Nos. 646-652 will be replaced accordingly if solar pressure effects are to be taken into account.

٦,

(3) The thermal bending formulation was derived in terms of lengths between <u>centers</u> of adjacent members (See discussion preceding Eq. A-10). When this is not uniform throughout the structure, simple logic must be introduced into the computation (for the RAE this is done by card Nos. 722-724).

(4) Nonlinear damping and/or spring action can easily be simulated by modifying the internal torque ("EL") computation at any hinge.

1	C	PART 0
2	L	THERED VELVER
<b>.</b>		INTEGER ASIACH DIMENSION MI(75),SOU(70,30),GAM(30),YT(30,38)
4 C		$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{D} \text{Implies}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{M}) \in \{1, 0\}, \mathbf{N} \in$
5		$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}$
07	1	LIMENSION SCOPEST FILTER (0) FILT
2	1	
0	6	LIGTION WV(78) WV(3.26) WD(78) VECT(78) H(78)
9 10	1	P(78) = P(78) = P(78) = P(78) = P(3-3) = P(3-3) = P(3-3) = P(2-2) = P(2-2
11		$D_{0}(1) = (1, 0, 1) = (1, 0, 1) = (1, 0, 1) = (1, 0, 0, 1) = (1$
10	. 4	
12		DIMENSION V(312) DV(312) FRI (312) DV(F(2184) SIG(3.26) DELT(3)
14	•	DIMENSION ( COLE) / COLE, / COLE, / DIOL (DIOL/OTOCO/DOC/DECCOLE) ( COLE)
15		EQUIVALENCE (PSQ(1+1)+PST(1+1))+ (PQ(1+1)+7(1+1))+ (WM(1+1)+WV(1))
16	•	
17		F(U) = V(U) = V(U) + WV(U) + (DY(U) + WD(U))
18		DATA PI /3.14159265/,FMU /0.398613E+15/,SPC /0.45E=05/,ERRD /0.638
19	1	16+07/
20	•	IBUG = 0 Auxiliary readout control.
21		IORD = 4 ]Troute to subroutine TCE (See Card No. 583).
22		KPRI = 0
23		CO 12006 I=1,6
24		DO 12006 J=1.7
25	12006	EPSIL(I,J) = 0.0 Deactivates interaction in hinge moment computations.
26		D0 6781 1=1,26
27	6781	AE(1) = 0.0 — Deactivates solar pressure unless subsequently overridden.
28		X J = 0.0 Deactivates thermal bending unless subsequently overridden.
29		$XJS = 0.0^{J}$
30		FD = 1.E=03 Deactivates hysteresis damping computations in fart iv for general
31	С	
32	С	PART I
33	С	
34		DIMENSION XIMIT(12),ZIN(4),YIN(4),F(3),SHAD(2),SD(26,3)
35		DIMENSION SLSQ(6)
36		UAIA (SHAD(1))I=1,2) /6FSHADOW,6HIN SUN/
37		
38		KASE = $0$
39	9999	
40		KASL = KASL+1 /
41		IF (KADU +E4+ U) 50 TV 1970 STD1 = T (KDVC
42		SIMI - MADRU

Υ.

Т

43		KBBC = 0
44		WRITE (6,1971) STP1 Readout for numerical integration step size.
45	1971	FORMAT (1H1E18.5)
46	1970	CONTINUE
47	_	READ (5,190) NL,NA,ITHERM,NPB,THZ,EYZ,EZ,ENR,DRBS,FD,SD1
48	190	FORMAT (411,7F10.0)
49		READ (5-1901) XINIT
50	1901	FORMAT (6F10.0)
51		IF (NL .EQ. 3) NPB = 1 Antenna base lock is allowed only for the rigid cruciform.
52	C	
53	Ĉ	PART II
54	Č.	
55	•	D0 1031 J=1.38
56		GAM(1) = 0.0
57		DB 1031 J=1.78
58	1031	SQU(1,1) = 0.0
59	1051	
60		
61	1032	SO(1.1) = 0.0
62	TOPE	D = 1029 + 1 = 1.6
63	1029	S(S(1)) = 0
64	102 7	GO TO (1038.1033.1034.1035.1036.1037).NPB See pages 15-16.
65	1033	
66	1000	SISO(2) = 1.40461
67		GD 10 1038
68	1034	
69	1021	SI S(1) = 5461 ) (Lt include minimum initial $d(t)/dt$ of anti-librium
70		SISO(2) = 1.1432
71		SISO(2) = 1.3107 Subject for 2, 4, 5 or 6 segments were obtained by least squares.
72		
73	1035	SI S(1) = -321406
74	2037	SI SO(2) = 1.01717
75		SI SO(3) = 1.28445
76		S(S(4)) = 1,37697
77		G0 T0 1038
78	1036	SI SO(1) = -261152
79	1050	SI S0(2) = -865497
80		SISO(3) = 1.1645
81		SISO(4) = 1.33302
82		SISO(5) = 1.37583
83		GO TO 1038
84	1037	SISO(1) = -21987
85	1001	SISO(2) = .750147

86		S(SQ(3) = 1.04962)	
87		SI SQ(4) = 1.25421	Damper exponential constant.
88		SLSQ(5) = 1.34979	his normalized bystanceis saturation targue (aquivalent to the net
89		SLSQ(6) = 1.37636	pring torque on an isolated damper, skewed at 65° and inclined
90	1038	CONTINUE	t 0.4506 radian) when expressed in English units corresponds to
91		SIGH = 77.	$.05 \times 10^{-3}$ ft.lb See card No. 461.
92		TPH=.4506	
93		TRH = $0.0$ Hysteresis	·
94		$AMBDR = 0.0 \left( \frac{1}{damper} \right)$	
95		SDO = 1.0 (initialization	
96		AMDDT = 0.	
97		XJS = 3.0E+08*SPC/4184.	Eq. A-16.
98		XJE = 5.67E-08*246.0**4/4184	Eq. A-15.
99		DO 11000 I=1,6	
100		DO 11000 J=1,7	
101	11000	EPSIL(I,J) = 0.0	• · · · ·
102		GD TO 111004,11008,11005,11006,110	007,11009),NPB ————————————————————————————————————
103	11008	EPSIL(1,1) = -13./6.	
104		EPSIL(1,2) = 7./3.	
105		EPSIL(1,3) = -1./6.	
106		EPSIL(2,1) = 5./6.	
107		EPSIL(2,2) = -1.	
108		EPSIL(2,3) = 1.76.	
109			
110	11002		
111		$EPSIL(1_{2}1) = -31.710.$	
112		EPSIL(1,2) = 11.70.	
114		EPSIL(1,5) = -2.715	
115		EPSTE(2) = -4.75	
116		FPSI(2,3) = 1.76.	
117		EPS11(2.4) = -1./20.	
118		FPSII(3,1) = -3./20.	
119		FPSIL(3.2) = 1./5.	
120		EPSIL(3,3) = -1./6.	
121		EPSIL(3,4) = 7./60.	
122		GO TO 11004	
123	11006	CONTINUE	
124		EPSIL(1,1) = -31./15.	
125		EPSIL(1,2) = 11./5.	· · ·
126		EPSIL(1,3) = -2./15.	
127		EPSIL(2,1) = 41./60.	
128		EPSIL(2,2) = -4./5.	

 $-_1$ 

57

ļ L

I

129		EPSIL(2,3) =19./120.
130		EPSIL(2,4) = -1./24.
131		EPSIL(3,1) = -3./20.
132		EPSIL(3,2) = 1./5.
133		EPSIL(3,3) = -2./15.
134		EPSIL(3,4) = 2./15.
135		EPSIL(3,5) = -1./20.
136		EPSIL(4,3) = 1./24.
137		EPSIL(4,4) = -19./120.
138		EPSIL(4,5) = 7./60.
139		GD TO 11004
140	11007	CONTINUE
141		EPSIL(1,1) = -31./15.
142		EPSIL(1,2) = 11./5.
143		EPSIL(1,3) = -2./15.
144		EPSIL(2,1) = 41./60.
145		EPSIL(2,2) = -4./5.
146		EPSIL(2,3) = 19./120.
147		EPSIL(2,4) = -1./24.
148		EPSIL(3,1) = -3./20.
149		EPSIL(3,2) = 1./5.
150		EPSIL(3,3) = -2./15.
151		EPSIL(3,4) = 1./8.
152		EPSIL(3,5) = -1./24.
153		EPSIL(4,3) = 1./24.
154		EPSIL(4,4) = -1./8.
155		EPSIL(4,5) = 2./15.
156		EPSIL(4,6) = -1./20.
157		EPSIL(5,4) = 1./24.
158		EPSIL(5.5) = -19./120.
159		EPSIL(5,6) = 7.700
160		GO TO 11004
161	11009	EPSIL(1,1) = -31./15.
162		EPSIL(1.2) = 11./5.
163		EPSIL(1,3) = -2./15.
164		EPSIL(2,1) = 41./60.
165		EPSIL(2,2) = -4./5.
166		EPSIL(2,3) = 19./120.
167		EPSIL(2,4) = -1./24.
168		EPSIL(3,1) = -3./20.
169		EPSIL(3,2) = 1./5.
170		EPSIL(3,3) = -2./15.
171		EPSIL(3,4) = 1./8.

.

170		EPS11(3,5) = -1/24	
172		CDCF(4/2) = 1/2/2	
174		Crost(4, 4) = -1 / 2	
174		$EPSIL(4++4) = -1 \cdot / 0 \cdot $	
1/2		EPSIL(4+5) = 1*/0*	
176		EPSIL(4+10) = -1.724	
177		EPSIL(5,4) = 1.724	
178		EPSIL(5,5) = -1./8.	
179		EPSIL(5,6) = 2./15.	
180		EPSIL(5,7) = -1./20.	
181		EPSIL(6,5) = 1./24.	
182		EPSIL(6,6) = -19./120.	
183		EPSIL(6,7) = 7./60.	
184	11004	CONTINUE	
185		TZ = 0.0	
186		WZ = 0.0	
187		AZ = 0.1238E+08 Semimaj	or axis for 6000 Km. altitude.
188		ND = 80 March 2	1.
189		ENPB = NPB	
190	C		
191	C	FAIRCHILD BOOM	
192	С		
193	-	ELB = 750.*.3048 Antenna	length in meters.
194	103	SL = ELB/ENPB Segment	length.
195		THK = .508E-04 Boom wa	11 thickness,
196		E = .117E + 12	
197	3418	AW = 0.0 Value o	f E used in Eq. B-10 includes effects of perforations.
198		POR = 0.3	Poisson's ratio.
199		EMB = 0.480E-03*14.5939/0.3048	Linear mass density of booms (kg./m.).
200		CK = 0.031	Thermal conductivity of booms (page 36).
201		DIA = 0.587/39.37	•
202		OLA = 0.1	Small overlap angle due to interlocking at seam; not critical.
203		CTE = 0.0	
204		IF (ITHERM .NE. 0) CTE = 1.87E-05	coefficient of thermal expansion.
205		F(2) = .125*DIA**3*THK*(PI+DLA+SI)	VIOLA) *COS(OLA) - 2.0*SIN(OLA) **2/
206		1(PI+OLA))	Eq. B-3.
207		F(3) = .125*DIA**3*THK*(PI+OLA-SI	$V(OLA) \neq COS(OLA)) = Eq. B-4.$
208		F(1) = 0.5/(1+POR)*(F(2)+F(3))	Eq. B-5.
209		DO 104 I = 1,3	
210	104	F(I) = E*F(I)*(1.0-AW)/SL	Eq. B-10; see card No. 368.
211		XJE = ELB/4.0/ENPB/CK/THK*0.1*CTE	*DIA*XJE Eq. A-19.
212		XJS = ELB/4.0/ENPB/CK/THK*.05*CTE	DIA*XJS
213		N = 4*NPB+2	Segments, hub, and damper.
214		N3 = 3*N	

Ľ

215		NM = N-1 Allowable error per integration step for angular rates (rad./sec).
212		
210		E = 1 0 = 0.04/2 0
210		
210	CCEC	DU 2222 1-1982 CDI/IX = CC1
219	2222	EKL(1) = EEE1
220		$N12 = 12 \pm N$
221		NNN = N3+1
222		DU 5556 I=NNN,NIZ
223	5556	ERL(1) = EEEZ
224		DANG = $65 \cdot 11180 \cdot 12$ , or here is a matter of the state of the sta
225		QKA = 35.57
226		QBA = .9830
227		QGAM = .11317
228		DD 7301 I=1,75
229	7301	MI(I) = 0
230		GO TO (7303,7304,7305), NL — See pages 34 and 43.
231	7303	NC = 2
232		MI(1) = 1
233		MI(3) = 2
234		GO TO 7401
235	7304	NC = N
236		MI(1) = 1
237		MI(3) = 2
238		DO 7307 I=2,NM
239		M = 3*(I-1)+1
240	7307	MI(M) = I+1
241		GO TO 7401
242	7305	NC = 14
243		QKA = 0.0
244		QBA = 0.0 Values for rigid cruciform.
245		QGAM = .0623134
246		MI(1) = 1
247		MI(3) = 2
248		DO 7308 I=4.15
249	7308	MI(I) = I-1 Assumed hub dimension; not at all critical.
250	7401	CONTINUE
251		$E(1) = 1.5 \pm 0.3048$
252		ELD = CBRT(12./EMB*1.0E+04*14.5939*.3048**2) Damper length_corresponding to
253		$EM(1) = 10.52*14.5939$ $10^4$ slug-ft. <sup>2</sup>
254		EM(2) = ELD * EMB
255		$DO \ 105 \ I = 3.N$
256	105	EM(I) = ELB + EMB/ENPB
257		DO 106 $I = 1 \cdot N$

258		DO 106 J = 1,3	
259		DO 106 K = $1.3$	
260	106	A(I + J + K) = 0.0	
261		A(1,1,1) = 14.24	
262		A(1,2,2) = 90.8	
263		A(1,3,3) = 92.68	
264		A(1,1,1) = A(1,1,1) * 1000	
265		$A(1,2,2) = A(1,2,2) \neq 200$ , See footnote on page 37.	
266		A(1,3,3) = A(1,3,3) * 20.	
267	1065	CONTINUE	
268	1005	DO(107 I = 1.3)	
269	107	$\Delta(1, 1, 1) = \Delta(1, 1, 1) = 14, 5939 = 0, 3048 = 2$	
270	101	$A(2, 1, 1) = EN(2) + D(A + 2/4, 0 - Eq. B_6)$	
271		$A(2,1,1) = A(2,1,1) \pm 10000$	
272		$\frac{100}{100} = \frac{100}{100} = \frac{10000}{10000}$	
272	100	$E_{0} = 1 = 2,5$	
213	100	$\frac{1}{100} = 3.N$	
275		$A(I_1, I_2) = E_{A(I_1, I_2)} = E_{A(I_1, I_2)} = E_{A(I_2, I_2)$	
272		A(1,1,1) - A(1,1,1) + 10000 Artificial enlargement of small i	nertias.
270		A(1)(1) - A(1)(1)(1)(1)(0)(0)	
279	100	Eq. B-8	
270	109	A(1)JJJ = 1 + 712 + 72M + 11 + 51 + 72	
219	111	$\frac{110}{112} = 1$	
200	111	$\frac{1}{1} = 1 $	
281	112	AE(1) = 0.0	
202	110	$A_{F(1)} = 0$ Subscripts Subscr	
283	110	AE(1) = 0.5*PI*E(1**2 Cylinder; Eq. B-16)	
284		AE(2) = 2.73.*DIA*ELD	
285		DU 113 I = 3 N	
286	113	AE(1) = 2.73.*UIA*SL - 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000	
287	114	M = N - 1	
288		DU 115 I = 1, N	
289		DU 115 J = 1, M	
290	115	S(1, J) = 0.0	
291		$00\ 116\ I = 1,5$	
292	116	S(1,1) = -1.0	
293		IF (NPB .EQ. 1) GO TO 5070	
294		DO 117 I = 6, M $)$ Eq. B-12.	
295		J = I - 3	
296	117	S(J,I) = -1.0	
2 <b>97</b>	5070	CONTINUE	
298		DO 118 I = 1, M	
299		J = I + 1	
300	118	S(J,I) = 1.0	

301		$M = 3 \pm N$	_ \	
302		DD 119 I = 1.M	1	
302		119.1 = 1.4		
304	119	(11 - 1) = 0.0		
305	112	$C(3,1) = 3.0 \times 0.3048$		
204		$(1), 2) = -0.5 \pm 51$		
300		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
307		C(1,2) = C(1,2)		
308		$U(1_{1},5) = -U(1_{1},2)$		
309		$U(1_{3}4) = U(1_{3}3)$		
310		C(3,4) = -ELI * SIN(PI/3.)		Ea Pl Note: Dompon displacement ( balow
311		U[3,5] = U[3,4]		$\rightarrow$ Eq. B-14. Note: Damper displacement $\sigma_{31}$ below
312		C(3,2) = -C(3,4)		hub mass center is not at all
313		C(3,3) = C(3,2)		critical.
314		M = N-1		
315		$DD \ 120 \ I = 2,M$		
316		K = 3 * I + 1		
317	120	C(K,I) = -SL/2.		
318		IF (NPB .EQ. 1) GO TO 5071		
319		DO 121 J = $6, M$		
320		$K = 3 \neq (J - 4) + 1$		
321	121	C(K,J) = -SL/2.		
322	5071	CONTINUE		,
323		DO 122 I = 1, M		٨
324		$D0 \ 122 \ J = 1.3$		
325		DO 122 K = $1 + 3$		
326	122	$RHO(\mathbf{I}_{\bullet}\mathbf{J}_{\bullet}\mathbf{K}) = 0_{\bullet}0$		
327		RHO(1.1.1) = COS(DANG)		
328		RHO(1,2,2) = COS(DANG)		
329		RHO(1,1,2) = SIN(DANG)		
330		RHO(1,2,1) = -RHO(1,1,2)		
331		RHO(1.3.3) = 1.		
332		RHD(2,2,2) = 1		
332		RHO(3,2,2) = 1		<b>B</b> . <b>D</b> 10
334		RHO(4,2,2) = 1		} Eq. B-⊥3.
225		PHO(5,2,2) = 1		
226		PHR[2,1,1] = 5		
227		P = 0 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 +		
220		NOU(210101 - +0 DUO(5 1 11 - 5		
220		NU01211117 - +2		
237		NU(2))) = 02		
54U		$K \Pi U \{ 0 \} \{ 1 \} \{ 1 \} = - 0 $		
541		$K \Pi U \{ 0 \neq 0 \neq 0 \} = - 0$		
342		Knu(4*1*1) = -*2		1
545		Knu(4,3,3) =3	/	/

344 345 346 347 348 349	$\begin{array}{l} RHO(2,3,1) = SIN(PI/3.) \\ RHO(3,3,1) = SIN(PI/3.) \\ RHO(4,1,3) = SIN(PI/3.) \\ RHO(5,1,3) = SIN(PI/3.) \\ RHO(2,1,3) = -SIN(PI/3.) \\ RHO(3,1,3) = -SIN(PI/3.) \\ \end{array}$
350	RHO(4,3,1) = -SIN(PI/3.)
351	KHU(0,3,1) = -51N(P1/3,1) TE (NDB EQ. 1) CQ TQ 5072
252	DB : 123 I = 6.M
355	DO 123 K = 1.3
355	123  RHO(1) K = 1.
356	5072 CONTINUE
357	M = N-1
358	DO 127 I = 1, M
359	DO 127 J = 1,3
360	DO 127 K = 1,3
361	$R(I_{f}J_{f}K) = 0.0$
362	$127 \text{ RP}(I_{f}J_{f}K) = 0.0$
363	IF (NL .EQ. 3) GO TO 5073 — Higid Uruciform,
364	IQL = 1
365	IF (NL .Eq. 2) $IQL = 2$ Locked torsional modes.
366	DU-128 1=2,M
367	DU 128 J=1QL + 3
368	128  K[1,J,J] = F[J]
309	IF [NL •NE• 2] GU (U 1209 E073 CONTINUE
371	DO 1288 I=1.NM
372	$R[I_1] = 10.*A(I+1.2.2)*EMU/A7**3$ Werk springs and demons for looked tensions
373	$\frac{1}{1} = 5 + A(1+1) = 2 + SORT(FMU/A7 + 3)$
374	1288 CONTINUE Eq. B-9 (at $S_d = 1.298$ thi
375	1289 CONTINUE
376	R(1,2,2) = SD1*(3.0+SIN(DANG -QGAM)**2)*FMU/AZ**3*A(2,2,2) radian).
377	RP(1,2,2) = 2.0*FD*A(2,2,2)*SQRT((3.0+SIN(DANG -QGAM)**2)*
378	1(SD1-1.0)*FMU/AZ**3) Eq. B-11.
379	DUR(2,2) = R(2,2,2)
380	DUR(3,3) = R(2,3,3)
381	xc = cos(xinit(1))
382	YC = CUS(XINIT(2))
383	ZC = COSIQGAM+XINIT(3))
384	$XF = SIN(XIN) \{\{1\}\}$
385	YF = SIN(XINI)(2)
386	L + = SIN(QGAM+XINI+(3))

\_\_\_\_

63

----
387		TH(1,1,1) = ZC*YC-XF*YF*ZF
388		TH(1,1,2) = ZF * YC + XF * YF * ZC
389		TH(1,1,3) = -XC*YF
390		TH(1,2,1) = -XC*ZF
391		H(1,2,2) = XC * ZC Eq. B-18.
392		TH(1,2,3) = XF
393		TH(1,3,1) = ZC*YF+XF*YC*ZF
394		TH(1,3,2) = YF + ZF - XF + YC + ZC
395		TH(1,3,3) = XC*YC
396		TH(2,1,1) = COS(XINIT(4))
397		TH(2,1,2) = 0.0
398		TH(2,1,3) = -SIN(XINIT(4))
399		TH(2,2,1) = 0.0
400		TH(2,2,2) = 1.0
401		TH(2,2,3) = 0.0
402		TH(2,3,1) = SIN(XINIT(4))
403		TH(2,3,2) = 0.0
404		TH(2,3,3) = COS(XINIT(4))
405		DO 7480 I=1.3
406		DD 7480 J=1,3
407		DVEC([I,J) = 0,0
408		DO 7480 K=1,3
409	7480	DVEC(I,J) = DVEC(I,J)+RHO(1,K,I)+TH(2,K,J)
410		D0 7481 $I=1.3$
411		DO 7481 J=1,3
412		H(2,I,J) = 0.0
413		DO 7481 K=1,3
414	7481	$TH(2,I,J) = TH(2,I,J)+TH(1,I,K) \neq DVEC(K,J)$
415		XINIT(7) = XINIT(7)+2.0*QBA
416		XINIT(8) = XINIT(8)+2.0*QKA
417		ZIN(1) = -0.5*(XINIT(6)+XINIT(8)-XINIT(9)-XINIT(11)) Eq. 3-20.
418		ZIN(2) = -0.5*(XINIT(6)-XINIT(8)-XINIT(9)+XINIT(11))
419		ZIN(3) = -0.5*(XINIT(6)+XINIT(8)+XINIT(9)+XINIT(11))
420		ZIN(4) =-0.5*(XINIT(6)-XINIT(8)+XINIT(9)-XINIT(11))
421		YIN(1) = 0.5*(XINIT(5)+XINIT(7)-XINIT(10)-XINIT(12)) Eq. B-21.
422		YIN(2) = -0.5*(-XINIT(5)+XINIT(7)+XINIT(10)-XINIT(12))
423		YIN(3) = -0.5*(XINIT(5)+XINIT(7)+XINIT(10)+XINIT(12))
424		YIN(4) = 0.5*(-XINIT(5)+XINIT(7)-XINIT(10)+XINIT(12))
425		DO 1812 K=1,4
426		DO 1812 J=1,NPB
427		I = 4*J-2+K
428		YYY = SLSQ(J) * ZIN(K) / ELB - Eq. B-22.
429		ZZZ = SLSQ(J)*YIN(K)/ELB - Eq. B-23.

430	YYS = YYY**2
431	<b>ZZS = ZZZ**</b> 2
432	SQUR = SQRT(1.0-YYS-ZZS)
433	$TH(I_{1}I_{1}) = SQUR$
434	$TH(I_{y}I_{y}2) = -2ZZ$
435	$TH(\mathbf{I}_{+}1,3) = -YYY$
436	TH(1,2,1) = ZZZ
437	TH{I,2,2} =(YYS+ZZS*SQUR)/(YYS+ZZS)
438	TH(I,2,3) = YYY*ZZZ*(SQUR-1.0)/(YYS+ZZS)
439	$TH(\mathbf{I},3,1) = YYY$
440	TH(1,3,2) = TH(1,2,3)
441	TH(1,3,3) = (ZZS+YYS*SQUR)/(YYS+ZZS)
442	IF (YYS+ZZS .LT. 1.E-30) TH(I,2,2) = 1.0 Recolution of marible simularity
443	IF (YYS+ZZS .LT. 1.E-30) TH(I,3,3) = 1.0 $\int$ mesonation of possible singularity.
444	DO 1813 $II=1,3$
445	DG 1813 JJ=1,3
446	DVEC(II,JJ) = 0.0
447	DO 1813 KK=1.3
448	1813 $DVEC(1I,JJ) = DVEC(II,JJ)+RHO(K+1,KK,II)+TH(I,KK,JJ)$
449	$DO \ 1814 \ II = 1,3$
450	DO 1814 JJ=1,3
451	TH(I, II, JJ) = 0.0
452	DD 1814 $KK = 1,3$
453	1814 TH(I,II,JJ) = TH(I,II,JJ)+TH(1,II,KK)*DVEC(KK,JJ)
454	1812 CONTINUE
455	DO 1815 I=1.N
456	DO 1815 J=1.3
457	CAM = -SQRT(FMU)*TZ/AZ**1.5
458	1815  WM(J,I) = TH(I,2,J) * SQRT(FMU)/AZ**1.5*(1.0+2.0*EZ*COS(CAM))
459	1 +2.5*EZ**2*CDS(2.0*CAM)+1.0/12.0*EZ**3*(39.*CDS(3.0*CAM)-3.0*
460	1  COS(CAM) = Eq. B-25.
461	TPH = TPH*(SD1-1.0)*(3.+SIN(DANG -QGAM)**2)*A(2,2,2)*FMU/AZ**3 See card Nos. 92 and 376.
462	WRITE (6,4916)
463	4916 FORMAT (1H130X33HRAE SATELLITE DYNAMICS SIMULATION)
464	WRITE (6.4918) NPB.NL.E
465	4918 FORMAT (1H022X19HFAIRCHILD BODMS OF II.19H SEGMENTS PER BOOM. 5X
466	15HNL = I3.5X4HE = E10.4
467	IF (ITHERM .NE. 0) WRITE (6,4919)
468	4919 FORMAT (1H034X24HTHERMAL EFFECTS INCLUDED)
469	IF (NA .NE. 0) WRITE (6.4920)
470	4920 FORMAT (1H029X31HSOLAR PRESSURE EFFECTS INCLUDED)
471	WRITE (6,4921) SD1,FD
472	4921 FORMAT (1H020X22HDAMPER SPRING CONSTANT E12.4,5X13HDAMPING RATIO

ł

473	1	LE12.4)
474		WRITE (6,4922) AZ,THZ,EYZ,EZ,ENR
475	4922	FORMAT (1H016X5HORBIT5X1HAE12.5,5X1HOE12.5,5X1HIE12.5,5X1HEE12.5,
476	1	555HNR = F3.0
477		WRITE (6,4923) ORBS
478	4923	FORMAT (1H030X19HSIMULATION TO LAST F6.3,7H ORBITS)
479		XINIT(7) = XINIT(7)-2.*QBA
480		XINIT(8) = XINIT(8)-2.*QKA
481		WRITE (6,4924) (I,XINIT(I),I=1,12)
482	4924	FORMAT (1H035X18HINITIAL CONDITIONS//(I5,E10.4,I5,E10.4,I5,
483	1	LE10.4, I5, E10.4, I5, E10.4, I5, E10.4))
484		CG = COS(QGAM)
485		SG = SIN(QGAM)
486	C	
487	С	PART III
488	С	
489		IPART = 3
490		CAPM = 0.0
491		DO 3299 I=1,N
492	3299	CAPM = CAPM+EM(I) Total mass.
493		ENZ = SQRT(FMU)/AZ**1.5
494		PZ = AZ*(1.0-EZ**2)Used in Eq. A-46.
495		WZ = WZ*PI/180.
496		EYZ = EYZ*PI/180.
497		THZ = THZ*PI/180.
498		TO = 2.0*PI/ENZ
499		CAPT = TO*ORBS
500		XIF = SIN(EYZ)
501		XIC = COS(EYZ)
502		THF = SIN(THZ)
503		THC = COS(THZ)
504		PSIS = 2.*PI*FLOAT(ND-80)/365
505		XIS = 23.5*PI/180.
506		SIGDP(1) = COS(PSIS)
50 <b>7</b>		SIGDP(2) = COS(XIS) * SIN(PSIS)  Eq. A-51.
508		SIGDP(3) = SIN(XIS)*SIN(PSIS) /
509		WRITE (6,974) SIGDP
510	974	FORMAT (1H05X3HSUN7X3E16.7)
511		DO 420 I = 1,NM NOTE Background for remainder of Part III is contained in Ref. 3.
512		DO 410 $J = 1, NM$
513		PSQ(I,J) = S(I+1,J)
514	410	PQ(I,J) = 0.
515	420	PQ(I,I) = 1.

516		MQ = 25	Den and Def 2
517		LQ = XSIMEQ(MQ,NM,NM,PSQ,PQ,DQ,JQ)	Theorem 2 of Rel. 5.
518		GO TO (425,900,910),LQ	
519	425	DO 440 I = 1,N3	
520		$Z(I_{*}I) = 0_{*}$	
521		DO 430 J = 1, NM	
522		Z(I,J+1) = 0.	
523		DO 430 K = 1, NM	
524	430	Z(1,J+1) = Z(I,J+1)+C(I,K)*PSQ(K,J)	Matrix [D] of Ref. 3. NOTE: Original [C]
525	400	n0 440 J = 1.N	is no longer needed; its storage can now be used
526		$C(\mathbf{I},\mathbf{J}) = 0,$	for other computations.
527		00440 K = 1.N	
528		DUM = -EM(K)/CAPM	
529		$IF(K_EQ_J) DUM = DUM+1.$	
530	640	$C(I_{I},J) = C(I_{I},J) + Z(I_{I},K) + DUM + FM(J)$	- Product [D] µ m of Ref. 3.
531	4.0	DO 450 I=1+N3	• • • • • •
532		10450 J = 1.N3	
533		$PSI(I_{IJ}) = 0.$	
534		100450  K = 1.N	e 1
535	450	PSI(I,J) = PSI(I,J) + C(I,K) + 7(J,K)	Matrix [J] of Ref. 3.
536		WRITE (6,17249)	••
537	17249	FORMAT (1H )	
538	11247	D0 4705 I=1,N3	
539		IALF = 1 + MOD(I - 1, 3)	
540		ICAP = 1 + (I - IALF)/3	
541		DO 470 J=1,N3	
542		IBET = 1+MOD(J-1,3)	
543		JCAP = 1 + (J - IBET)/3	
544		IF (ICAP-JCAP) 470,3701,470	
545	3701	CONTINUE	
546	••••	TAU = 0.	
547		IF (IALF-IBET) 4702,4703,4702	
548	4703	CONTINUE	
549		IF(IALF.EQ.IBET) TAU =	
550		1PSI(3*ICAP,3*ICAP)+PSI(3*ICAP-1,3*ICAP-1)	+PSI(3*ICAP-2,3*ICAP-2)
551	4702	CONTINUE	
552		$TAU = TAU - PSI(I \cdot J)$	
553		A(ICAP, IALF, IBET) = A(ICAP, TALF, IBET) + TAL	Constant augmented inertia matrix.
554	470	CONTINUE	
555	4705	CONTINUE	
556		$D0 \ 480 \ I = 1.N3$	
557		IALF = 1 + MOD(1 - 1 + 3)	
558		ICAP = 1 + (I - IALF)/3	

559		DO 480 J=1+N
560		PSI(I,J) = 0.
561		DO 475 K=1+N
562	475	PSI(I,J) = PSI(I,J) + Z(I,K) + FM(K)
563		PSI(I,J)=-PSI(I,J)/CAPM+Z(I,J)
564	480	CONTINUE
565		DO 490 I = 1,N3
566		IALF = 1 + MOD(I - 1, 3)
567		ICAP = 1+(I-IALF)/3
568		DO 490 J=1+N
569	490	B(ICAP, J, IALF) = PSI(I, J) Barycentric vectors.
570	C	
571	Č	PART IV
572	Ċ	
573		DO 495 I=1.N \
574		D0 495 L=1,3
575		II = N3+(I-1)*9+(L-1)*3
576		DO 495 K=1,3
577	495	Y(II+K) = TH(I+K+L)
578		TR = TO/ENR > Preparation for numerical integration.
579		LICE = 4
580		NV = 12*N
581		T = 0.0
582		GO TO 831
583	800	CALL ICE (TR,T,TP,NV,Y,DY,DICE,LICE,IND,IORD,KPRI,ERL) Numerical integration.
584		GO TO (810,820,830,840),LICF
585	С	BOX A
586	810	DO 500 I = 1.N
587		II = N3+(I-1)*9
588		D0 500 K = 1.3
589		DO 500 L = 1,3
5 <b>9</b> 0	500	TH(I+K+L) = Y(II+3+L-3+K)
591		KRUM = KRUM+1
592		IPART = 4
593		AM = ENZ*(T-TZ)
594		COAM = COS(AM)
595		R5 = AZ*(1EZ*(COAM+.5*EZ*(COS(AM+AM)-1.+.75*EZ*(COS(3.*AM)-COAM)
596		1))) — Eq. A-49.
597		SCRF = $2.0*(1.0-SQRT(1.0-(ERRD/RS)**2))$ Eq. A-14.
598		RMU = 3 * FMU * RS * * (-3)
599		VV = AM+2.0*EZ*SIN(AM)+1.25*EZ**2*SIN(2.0*AM)
600		1+1.U/12.0*E2**3*(13.0*SIN(3.0*AM)-3.0*SIN(AM)) Eq. A-52.
601		CV = COS(VV)

602		SV = SIN(VV)
603		SWZ = SIN(WZ)
604		CWZ = COS(WZ)
605		VC = CWZ + CV - SWZ + SV
606		VF = SWZ * CV + CWZ * SV
607		SIGP(1) = (~THC*VF~THF*XIC*VC)*SIGDP(1)+(~THF*VF+THC*XIC*VC)
608		1*SIGOP(2)+XIF*VC*SIGDP(3)
609		SIGP(2) = THF*XIF*SIGDP(1)-THC*XIF*SIGDP(2)+XIC*SIGDP(3)
610		D13 = THC+VC-THF+XIC+VF Eq. A-53.
611		D23 = THF*VC+THC*XIC*VF
612		D33 = XIF*VF
613		SIGP(3) = D13*SIGDP(1)+D23*SIGDP(2)+D33*SIGDP(3)
614		DO 530 I = $1,N$
615		ICON = 3*(I-1)
616		D0 510 J = 1,3
617		U(J) = 0.
618		D0 510  K = 1.3
619	510	U(J) = U(J) + A(I, J, K) * WM(K, I) Eq. 5, page 9.
620		UU(ICON+1) = WM(2,I) + U(3) - WM(3,I) + U(2)
621		UU(ICON+2) = WM(3,I)*U(1)-WM(1,I)*U(3)
622		UU(ICON+3) = WM(1,I) + U(2) - WM(2,I) + U(1)
623		D0 520 J = 1,3
624		U(J) = 0.
625		D0520 K = 1.3
626	520	U(J) = U(J)+A(I,J,K)*TH(I,3,K) First term of Eq. 19 of Ref. 3.
627		H(ICON+1) = RMU*(TH(I;3;2)*U(3)-TH(I;3;3)*U(2))
628		H(ICON+2) = RMU*(TH(I+3+3)*U(1)-TH(I+3+1)*U(3))
629	530	H(ICON+3) = RMU*(TH(I+3+1)*U(2)-TH(I+3+2)*U(1))
630		D0550 I = 1.N3
631		EL(I) = 0.
632		Q(I) = 0.
633		G(I) = 0.
634		DO 550 J = 1.N3
635	550	$PSI(I_{J}) = 0.$
636		RUM = FMU*CAPM*RS**(-3)
637		$DUM = -SQRT(1 \cdot 0 - (ERRD/RS) * * ?)$
638		IS = 1
639		IF(SIGP(3).GT.DUM) IS = 2 In sunlight.
640		DU / 3U I = 1.N
641		IF (IS .EQ. 1) GO TO 140
642	141	DU 145 JJ = 1/3
643		$SLG(JJ,I) = U \cdot U$
644		DU 143 K = 1,3

	1/0	$E_0$ $A_{-5}$	
545	143	S16(JJ,I) = S16(JJ,I) + IR(I,K,JJ) + S16(IK) - 24, .	
646			
647		UU [145] JJ = 1,5	essure force on sphere.
648	145	OP(JJ) = -S[G(JJ,I) + 2.0 + SP(+AE(I))]	contro tores ou ophoros
649		GO TO 140	0.2
650	144	UP(1) = 0.0	Solar pressure force
651		DO 146 $JJ = 2,3$	on cylinder.
652	146	UP(JJ) = -SIG(JJ,I)*2.0*SPC*SQRT(SIG(2,I)**2+SIG(3,I)**2)*AE(1	) -
653	140	CONTINUE	
654		ICON = 3*(I-1)	
655		DO 730 J = $1_{P}N$	
656		JCON = 3*(J-1)	
657		DO 670 JJ = 1,3	
658		DO 660 II = $1,3$	
659		V(II,JJ) = 0.	
660		DD 660 K = $1,3$	
661	660	V(II,JJ) = V(II,JJ)+TH(I,K,II)*TH(J,K,JJ)	
662		P(1,JJ) = B(I,J,2) * V(3,JJ) - B(I,J,3) * V(2,JJ)	
663		P(2,JJ) = B(I,J,3) * V(1,JJ) - B(I,J,1) * V(3,JJ) Eq. 12b of R	ef. 3.
664	670	P(3,JJ) = B(I,J,1) * V(2,JJ) - B(I,J,2) * V(1,JJ)	-
665		DQ 690 K = 1.3	
666		$II \neq ICON+K$	
667		IF(1.EQ.J) GO TO 680	
668		PSI(II, JCON+1) = CAPM*(P(K, 2)*B(J, I, 3)-P(K, 3)*B(J, I, 2))	
669		PSI(II, JCON+2) = CAPM*(P(K, 3)*B(J, I, 1)-P(K, 1)*B(J, I, 3))	_ Eq. 14c of Ref. 3;
670		PSI(II, JCON+3) = CAPM*(P(K, 1)*B(J, I, 2)-P(K, 2)*B(J, I, 1))	applicable for $I \neq J$ .
671		60 T0 690	
672	680	$PSI(II_{A}(GON+1)) = A(I_{A}K_{A})$	
673		$PSI(II_{4} CON+2) = A[I_{4}K_{6}, 2]$	
674		$PSI(II_{0}\cup CON+3) = A(I_{0}K_{0}3)$	
675	690	CONTINUE	
676	•••	P0, 700, K = 1.3	
677		$  \{k\}\rangle = 0$	
678		R0, 700, t = 1.3	
679		BUM = 3.+TH(J.3.K)+TH(J.3.()	
680		$\mathbf{T} \mathbf{F} (\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{F} \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{D} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{D} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{M} - 1$	
681	700	$I(K) = I(K) + D(1) + B(.1, T_{-1})$	
682		IE (L = E0= 1) 60 TO 7210	Second term of Eq. 19
683			of Ref. 3.
684	710	$GEICON+KI = GEICON+KI+RIM*(P(K_1)*((1)+P(K_2)*((2)+P(K_3)*((3))))$	1
685		$H(1) = -R(1, 1, 1) \times (W(1, 1) \times (2) + W(1, 1) \times (2) + R(1, 1, 2) \times (2)$	
686		344(1, ()*UM(2, ()+R(1,1,3)*UM(1, ()*UM(3, ()	
687		11(2) = R(1,1,1)=WH(1,1)=WH(2,1)=R(1,1,1)=HH(1,1)=+2=	
001		OTEN - DIOBTBTELUNITEONAUUTBOLDIAETEELIIUMUTBOLLLE	

<u>\_\_\_\_\_</u>

688		1WM(3,J)**2)+8(J,I,3)*WM(2,J)*WM(3,J)
689		U(3) = B(J,I,1)*WM(1,J)*WM(3,J)+B(J,I,2)*WM(2,J)*WM(3,J)
690		1-B(J,I,3)*(WM(1,J)**2+WM(2,J)**2)
691		00720 K = 1,3
692	720	$Q(ICON+K) = Q(ICON+K)+CAPM*(P(K,1)*U(1)+P(K,2)*U(2)+P(K,3)*U(3)) \longrightarrow Eq. 14d of Ref. 3.$
693	7210	CONTINUE
694		GO TO (147,148),IS
695	148	DO 149 $JJ = 1,3$
696		K = 3*(I-1)+JJ
697	149	G(K) = G(K)+P(JJ,1)*UP(1)+P(JJ,2)*UP(2)+P(JJ,3)*UP(3) Add moment of solar
698	147	CONTINUE pressure force.
699	730	CONTINUE
700		DO 650 I = 1,N
701		ICON = 3*(I-1)
702		DO 6500 J=1,NM
703		IF (S(I,J)-1.0) 6500,735,6500
704	735	
705		DO 560 K = $1,N$
706		IF(K.EQ.I) GO TO 560
707		IF(S(K,J)) 555,560,555
708	555	IF(KAY.NE.0) GO TO 920
709		
710	560	CONTINUE
711		IF(KAY.LE.0) GO TO 920
712		IF(S(KAY,J)+1.) 920,565,920
713	565	TRACE = 0.
714		
715		DO 150 II = 1,3
716	150	DELT(II) = 0.0 No thermal effects at damper hinge.
717		IF(J.EQ.1) GO TO 151
718		DELT(2) = -SCRF*XJE*TH(I,3,3)+XJS*(SIG(3,I)-0.4*SCRF*TH(I,3,3))*
719		1(21S-1.0)
720		DELT(3) = -SCRF*XJE*TH(1,3,2)+XJS*(SIG(2,1)-0.4*SCRF*TH(1,3,2))* Eq. A-20.
721		
722		IF (J .EQ. 1 .OR. J .GT. 5) GU TO 151
723		DELT(2) = DELT(2)/2. See discussion at beginning of Appendix C.
724		DELI(3) = DELI(3)/2.
725	151	
126		TYY = DELILZI
121		LLL = UELIISI
728		
729		$LLS = LLL^{\mp}L$
730		SQUK = SQKI(1.0-YYS+22S)

į

```
731
              DDRD(1,1) = SQUR
732
              DDRD(1,2) = -ZZZ
733
              DDRD(1,3) = -YYY
734
              DDRD(2.1) = ZZZ
              DDRD(2,2) = (YYS+ZZS+SQUR)/(YYS+ZZS)
                                                                    Eq. A-21.
735
              DDRD(2,3) = YYY*ZZZ*(SQUR-1.0)/(YYS+ZZS)
736
737
              DDRD(3,1) = YYY
738
              DDRD(3,2) = DDRD(2,3)
739
              DDRD(3,3) = (ZZS+YYS*SQUR)/(YYS+ZZS)
                                                                    Elimination of possible indeterminacy
              IF (YYS+ZZS .LT. 1.E-30) DDRD(2,2) = 1.0
740
             IF (YYS+ZZS .LT. 1.E-30) DDRD(3,3) = 1.0
                                                                    in Eq. A-21.
741
742
              DO 11119 [1=1,3
743
              DO 11119 JJ=1.3
744
              RHOP(J,II,JJ) = 0.0
745
              DO 11119 KK=1,3
       11119 RHOP(J,II,JJ) = RHOP(J,II,JJ)+DDRD(II,KK)*RHD(J,KK,JJ) — Eqs. A-9 and A-21.
746
747
        152 CONTINUE
748
              DO 570 K = 1,3
749
              DO 570 L = 1,3
750
              VP(K,L) = 0.
751
              D0 570 JJ = 1,3
752
         570 VP(K.L) = VP(K.L)+TH(I.JJ.K)*TH(KAY.JJ.L)
753
              DO 590 K = 1,3
754
              D0 580 L = 1,3
755
              V(K_{\nu}L) = 0.
756
              DO 580 II = 1.3
                                                                              — Eq. A-1.
757
         580 V(K,L) = V(K,L)+VP(L,II)*RHOP(J,K,II)-
758
         590 TRACE = TRACE+V(K<sub>0</sub>K)
              IF (ABS(V(1,2))+ABS(V(1,3))+ABS(V(2,3)) .LT. .01) GO TO 603 ----- Off-diagonal vector element
759
                                                                                     for small angles.
760
              CAMB = .5*(TRACE-1.)
761
              IF(ABS(CAMB).LT.1.) GO TO 595
762
              CAMB = SIGN(1.,CAMB) ------Overrides small numerical error.
763
                                                                   _ Eq. A-2.
         595 AMBDA = ACOS(CAMB) -----
764
       С
                  EIGENVECTOR OF/V/ -
                                                                     See Eq. A-3.
765
              IF(ABS(V(2,3)).GT.ABS(V(1,2))) GO TO 596
766
              IF(ABS(V(1,3)).GT.ABS(V(1,2))) GD TO 597
767
              U(1) = V(1,2) * V(2,3) - V(1,3) * (V(2,2) - 1.)
                                                                   __Cross product of 1st and 2nd rows of [V-I].
              U(2) = V(1,3) * V(2,1) - V(2,3) * (V(1,1) - 1.)
768
769
              U(3) = (V(1,1)-1,)*(V(2,2)-1,)-V(1,2)*V(2,1)
770
              GO TO 599
771
         596 IF(ABS(V(2,3)).GT.ABS(V(1,3))) GD TO 598
772
         597 U(1) = V(3,2) * V(1,3) - V(1,2) * (V(3,3) - 1_{\circ})
773
              U(2) = (V(1,1)-1.)*(V(3,3)-1.)-V(1,3)*V(3,1)
```

774		U(3) = V(3,1) * V(1,2) - V(3,2) * (V(1,1) - 1.)
775		GO TO 599
776	598	U(1) = (V(2,2)-1.)*(V(3,3)-1.)-V(2,3)*V(3,2)
777		U(2) = V(2,3) * V(3,1) - V(2,1) * (V(3,3) - 1.)
778		U(3) = V(2,1) * V(3,2) - (V(2,2)-1.) * V(3,1)
779	599	UNRM = SQRT(U(1)**2+U(2)**2+U(3)**2)
780		D0 600 K = 1,3
781	600	U(K) = U(K)/UNRM
782		U(1) = SIGN(U(1), V(2,3))
783		U(2) = SIGN(U(2), V(3, 1))
784		U(3) = SIGN(U(3), V(1, 2))
785		GÐ TO 607
786	603	AMBDA = SQRT(V(1,2)**2+V(2,3)**2+V(3,1)**2)
787		U(1) = V(2,3) / AMBDA
788		U(2) = V(3,1) / AMBDA
789		U(3) = V(1,2) / AMBDA
790	C	
791	607	$KON = 3 \div (KAY - 1)$
792		DD 610 K=1,3
793		WKP(K) = 0.
794		D0 610 L=1,3
795	610	WKP(K) = WKP(K)+VP(K,L)*WM(L,KAY) ————————————————————————————————————
796		DO 630  K = 1.3
797		UP(K)=0. Bypasses the hysteresis damper computation for
798		DUM = 0. general program utilization - See card No. 30.
799		IF (FD .GT. 1.E-05) GO TO 4871
800		IF (J .NE. 1 .DR. K .NE. 2) GO TO 4871
801		SAMBDA = SIGN(AMBDA, V(3, 1))
802		TRYTH = TRH+SIGN(2.0*TPH*(1.0-EXP(-SIGH*ABS(SAMBDA-AMBDR))), AMDDT)Eq. B-26.
803		THH = SIGN(AMIN1(ABS(TRYTH), TPH), TRYTH) Torque saturation.
804		DD 4872 IJK = $1,3$
805	4872	$UP(2) = UP(2) + R(J_K, IJK) \neq U(IJK)$ ————————————————————————————————————
806		UP(2) = AMBDA*UP(2)+THH assumed fixed, i.e., no damper stops).
807		WKPS = WKP(2)
808		AMBDS = SAMBDA
809		G0 T0 630
810	4871	CONTINUE
811		DO(6201 = 1.3)
812		UP(K) = UP(K) + RP(J,K,L) * (WKP(L) - WM(L,I)) Uiscous Damping.
813	620	$DUM = DUM + R(J_{\circ}K_{\circ}L) + U(L)$
814		UP(K) = UP(K) + AMBDA * DUM
815	630	EL(ICON+K) = EL(ICON+K)+UP(K) ————————————————————————————————————
816		DD 640 K = $1_{2}3$

817	640	EL(KON+K) = EL(KON+K)-VP(1,K)*UP(1)-VP(2,K)*UP(2)-VP(3,K)*UP(2)	P(3)	Equal and
818		IF (J .EQ. 1) GO TO 6501 No interactions at damper hinge.		opposite torque.
819		DO 5546 II=1,3		
820		D0 5546 JJ=1,3	<b>\</b>	
821	5546	DVEC(II,JJ) = AMBDA*TH(I,II,JJ)	\	
822		DO 5547 II=1,3	1	
823		UP(II) = 0.0		
824		DO 5547 JJ=1,3		
825	5547	UP(II) = UP(II) + DVEC(II, JJ) + U(JJ)		
826		JS = 1 + (J - 2)/4		
827		DO 5550 II=1,3	1	
828		DVEC(1, II) = 0.0	1	
829		DO 5550 JJ=1,3	1	
830	5550	DVEC(1,II) = DVEC(1,II)+EPSIL(JS,1)*TH(1,JJ,II)*UP(JJ)	1	
831		DO 15551 II=1,3	1	
832		DVEC(2, II) = 0.0		
833		DO 5551 JJ=1,3		
834	5551	<pre>DVEC(2,II) = DVEC(2,II)+DUR(II,JJ)*DVEC(1,JJ)</pre>	1	
835	15551	EL(II) = EL(II)+DVEC(2,II)	See page	s 38-40.
836		IQ = MOD[J-2,4]+3		
837		NP1 = NPB+1	1	
838		DO 5552 IP=2,NP1		
839		IA = IQ+4*(IP-2)		
840		00 15553 II=1,3		
841		DO 15553 JJ=1,3		
842		$DVEC(II_{J}J) = 0.0$		
843		DO 15553 KK=1,3		
844	15553	DVEC(II,JJ) = DVEC(II,JJ)+DUR(II,KK)*TH(IA,JJ,KK)		
845		DO 5553 II=1,3	1	
846		IIA = I1+3*(IA-1)	1	
847		DO 5553 IJ = 1,3	/	
848	5553	EL(IIA) = EL(IIA) + EPSIL(JS, IP) + DVEC(II, IJ) + UP(IJ)		
849	5552	CONTINUE		
850	6501	SD(J+1,1) = AMBDA+U(1) Torsional displacement readout.		
851		DD 4601 K = $1_{g}3$		
852		KK = 3 + (I - 1) + K		
853		KL = 3*(J-1)+K		
854		IF (MI(KL) .EQ. 0) GU 10 4501Mode not locked.		
855		INDX = MI(KL)		
856		SQU(KK+1NDX) = 1.0		
857		$UU 40U2 18 = 1,3$ $\gamma =$		
858	1100	111 = 3 + (KAY - 1) + 10		
827	4602	$SU(111,1NDX) = -VP(K_{2}(B))$		

•

860		GD TD (4603,4604,4605),K
861	4603	GAN(INDX) = WM(2,I) * WKP(3) - WM(3,I) * WKP(2)
862		GO TO 4601
863	4604	GAM(INDX) = WM(3,I) * WKP(1) - WM(1,I) * WKP(3) > Eq. A-29.
864		GO TO 4601
865	4605	GAM(INDX) = WM(1,I) * WKP(2) - WM(2,I) * WKP(1) /
866	4601	CONTINUE
867	6500	CONTINUE
868	650	CONTINUE
869		DO 750 J = $1_{*}N3$
870	750	VECT(J) = -UU(J)+H(J)+EL(J)-G(J)+Q(J) - Eq. 7, page 9.
871		DO 5601 I=1,N3
872		DD 5601 J=1,N3
873	5601	PSIV(I,J) = PSI(I,J)
874	7249	FORMAT (1H0/(9E11.4))
875		CALL INVERT (PSIV,N3,78)
876		IF (NC .EQ. 0) GO TO 14717
877		DO $4639II = 1,NC$
878		DO 4639JJ = 1,NC
879	4639	XT(II,JJ) = 0.0
880		DO 4700 II = 1,N3
881		DO 4700 KK = 1, NC
882		SUM = 0.0
883		DO 4701 LL = $1,N3$
884	4701	SUM = SUM+PSIV(II,LL)*SQU(LL,KK)
885		DO 4700 JJ = 1,NC
886	4700	XT(JJ,KK) = XT(JJ,KK) + SQU(II,JJ) + SUM - Matrix [Y] [I'] [Y] , Eq. A-31.
887		CALL INVERT (XT, NC, 38)
888		DO 4712 I = 1,N3
889		XID (I) = 0.0
890		DD 4712 $J = 1.N3$
891	4712	XID(I) = XID(I) + PSIV(I,J) + VECT(J)
892		DD 4713 I=1, NC
893		$x_{IB}(1) = 0.0$
894		D0 4713 J = 1,N3
895	4713	XIB(1)=XIB(1)+SQU(J,I)*XID(J) - Vector [7] [1] E, Eq. A-31.
896		DO 4714 I = $1, NC$
897		XID(I) = 0.0
898		DD 4714 J = 1,NC
899	4714	XID(I) = XID(I) + XT(I,J) + XIB(J)
900		DO 4715 I = 1,N3
901		DO 4715 $J = 1, NC$
902	4715	VECT (I) = VECT(I)-SQU(I,J)*XID(J)

ļ

ł

903		DO 4716 I = $1, NC$
904		XID(I) = 0.0
905		DO 4716 J = 1, NC
906	4716	XID(I) = XID(I) + XI(I,J) + GAM(J)
907		DO 4717 I = 1,N3
908		DO $4717 J = 1, NC$
909	4717	VECT(I) = VECT(I) - SQU(I, J) * XID(J)
910	14717	CONTINUE
911		DO 5610 I = 1,N3
912		WD(I) = 0.0
913		DO 5610 J = 1,N3
914	5610	$WD(I) = WD(I) + VECT(J) + PSIV(I,J) - \omega$ from Eq. A-31.
915		CONS = SQRT(FMU*PZ)/RS**2EQ. A-46.
916		DO 780 I = 1, N
917		II = N3 + (I - 1) * 9
918		DO 780 K = $1,3$
919		CONK = (K-2) * CONS
920		DY(II+K) = TH(I,K,2) * WM(3,I) - TH(I,K,3) * WM(2,I) + CONK * TH(I,4-K,1) Eq. A-18
921		DY(II+K+3) = TH(I,K,3) * WM(1,I) - TH(I,K,1) * WM(3,I) + CONK*TH(I,4-K,2)
922	780	DY(II+K+6) = TH(I,K,1)*WM(2,I)-TH(I,K,2)*WM(1,I)+CONK*TH(I,4-K,3))
923		IF (IBUG .NE. O) WRITE (6,2060) T,RS,CONS
924		IF(IBUG.NE.0) WRITE(6,2200) (I,UU(I),H(I),EL(I),G(I),Q(I),WV(I), Extra readouts
925		1 WD(I),I=1,N3)
926	2060	FORMAT(1H0/10X16HPART V - TIME = F8.2,19H, ORBITAL RADIUS = Y
927		1  F7.3, $17H$ , $0RBITAL RATE = E8.3/$
928	2200	FORMAT(1H0,20X1HW,11X1HH,11X1HL,11X1HG,11X1HQ,7X5HDMEGA,
929		1 3X9HOMEGA DOT//(I10,7E12.4))
930		IF (IBUG .NE. O .AND. FD .LT. 1.E-O5) WRITE (6,7654) AMBDS,AMBDR,
931		1 TRH, TRYTH, THH, AMDDT, WKPS, TPH
932	7654	FORMAT (1H05E18.5)
933		GO TO 800 pypases the hysterests damper computation for general
934	820	CONTINUE program addition a set offic and to. Set
935		KBBC = KBBC+1
936		IF (FD .GT. 1.E-05) GO TO 800/
937		AMDDT = WKPS-WM(2,2) Time derivative of damper angle See card No. 807.
938		SNN = SIGN(1.0, AMDDT)
939		IF (ABS(SNN+SOO) .GT. 1.5) GO TO 4887 denotes no polarity change in hysteresis
940		TRH = THH } Boost values for Eq. P. 26
941		AMBDR = AMBDS $\int \frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$
942	4887	SOD = SNN
943		GO TO 800
944	830	CONTINUE
945		THOUR = <b>T/</b> 3600.

946		WRITE (6.950) THOUR.013.023.033.SHAD(IS)
947	831	DVEC(1,1) = TH(1,1,1)*CG+TH(1,1,2)*SG
948	•••	DVEC(1,2) = -TH(1,1,1) * SG+TH(1,1,2) * GG
949		DVEC(1,3) = TH(1,1,3)
950		DVEC(2, 1) = TH(1, 2, 1) * (G+TH(1, 2, 2) * SG
951		DVEC(2,2) = -TH(1,2,1) + SG+TH(1,2,2) + CG
952		PVEC(2,3) = TH(1,2,3) PVEC(2,3) = TH(1,2,3)
953		DVEC(3,1) = TH(1,3,1)*CG+TH(1,3,2)*SG
954		DVEC(3,2) = -TH(1,3,1)*SG+TH(1,3,2)*CG
955		DVEC(3.3) = TH(1.3.3)
956		WRITE(6.951)((DVEC(I,J), J = 1,3), I = 1,3)
957	951	FORMAT (1H040X8HATTITUDE //(20X3E16.7))
958		$DO \ 160 \ I = 1.3$
959		$DO \ 160 \ J = 1.3$
960		$DVEC(\mathbf{I},\mathbf{J}) = 0,0$
961		DO 160 K = $1.3$
962	160	DVEC(I,J) = TH(1,K,I) * TH(2,K,J) + DVEC(I,J)
963		DO 161 I = 1,3
964		DO 161 J = 1,3
965		V(I,J) = 0.0
966		DO 161 K = 1,3
967	161	V(I,J) = V(I,J) + RHO(1,I,K) + DVEC(K,J) - Eq. B-28.
968		WRITE (6,952) V(2,3),V(3,1),V(1,2)
969	952	FORMAT (1H010X6HDAMPER5X3E16.7)
970		DO 162 II = $3_{p}6$
971		DO 163 I = 1,3
972		DO 163 J = 1,3
973		DVEC(I,J) = 0.0
974		DO 163 K = 1,3
975	163	DVEC(I,J) = DVEC(I,J) + RHO(II-1,I,K) + TH(1,J,K)
976		DO 164 I = $2_{g}3$
977		SD(II,I) = 0.0
978		D0 164 $J = 1_{9}3$
979	164	SD(II,I) = SD(II,I)+SL*DVEC(I,J)*TH(II,J,I) Eq. B-29.
980	162	CONTINUE
981		DU 166 K=1,4
982		DO 167 II = $1,3$
983		DO $167 JJ = 1.3$
984		$DVEC(II_{9}JJ) = 0.0$
985		DO 167 KK = $1,3$
986	167	$DVEC(II_{9}JJ) = DVEC(II_{9}JJ)+SL*RHO(K+1,II_{9}KK)*TH(1,JJ,KK)$
987		DO 165 J=2,NPB
988		INDX = 4*J+K-2

		$\mathbf{N}$
989		DO 168 II=2,3
990		SD(INDX, II) = 0.0
991		DO 168 JJ=1,3
992	168	SD(INDX,II) = SD(INDX,II) + DVEC(II,JJ) + TH(INDX,JJ,1)
993	165	CONTINUE Eq. B-29.
994	166	CONTINUE
995		DO 169 II=2,3
996		DD 169 JJ=7,N
997	169	SD(JJ,II) = SD(JJ,II)+SD(JJ-4,II)
<b>9</b> 98		WRITE $(6,953)$ (SD(1,3), I = 3,N)
9 <b>9</b> 9		WRITE $(6,954)(SD(1,2),I = 3,N)$
1000		WRITE $(6,955)(SD(1,1),I = 3,N)$
1001	953	FORMAT (1H030X11HDEFORMATION/4X8HIN PLANE /(15X4E16.7))
1002	954	FORMAT (4X12HOUT OF PLANE /(15X4E16.7))
1003		DO 3330 I = $1_{y}4$
1004		$J = 4 \star NPB - 2 + I$
1005		ZIN(I) = SD(J,3)
1006	3330	YIN(I) = SD(J,2)
1007		XINIT(5) = -0.5*(YIN(3)+YIN(4)-YIN(1)-YIN(2))
1008		XINIT(6) = -0.5*(ZIN(3)+ZIN(4)+ZIN(1)+ZIN(2))
1009		XINIT(7) = -0.5*(YIN(3)-YIN(4)-YIN(1)+YIN(2))-2.0*QBA
1010		XINIT(8) = -0.5*(ZIN(3)-ZIN(4)+ZIN(1)-ZIN(2))-2.0*QKAEq. B-31.
1011		XINIT(9) = -0.5 * (ZIN(3) + ZIN(4) - ZIN(1) - ZIN(2))
1012		XINIT(10) = -0.5*(YIN(3)+YIN(4)+YIN(1)+YIN(2))
1013		XINIT(11) = -0.5*(ZIN(3)-ZIN(4)-ZIN(1)+ZIN(2))
1014		XINIT(12) = -0.5*(YIN(3)-YIN(4)+YIN(1)-YIN(2))
1015		WRITE (6,9511) XINIT(5),XINIT(6),XINIT(7),XINIT(9),XINIT(10),
1016		1XINIT(11),XINIT(8),XINIT(12)
1017	9511	FORMAT (1H027X15HSATELLITE MODES//4X4HROLL9XE10.4,5X5HPITCH
1018		18XE10.4, 5X3HYAW10XE10.4//4X12HLONGITUDINAL1XE10.4, 5X7HLATERAL
1019		26XE10.4,5X8HVERTICAL5XE10.4//4X16HIN PLANE NEUTRAL5XE10.4,
1020		36X20HOUT OF PLANE NEUTRAL6XE10.4)
1021		IF (T .GT. CAPT) GO TO 9999 Duration of program run.
1022		TP = T + TR
1023		GO TO 800
1024	840	CONTINUE
1025		GD TO 800
1026	2080	FORMAT(1H1,30X36HBEGIN INTEGRATION, PRINT INTERVAL =F8.4///)
1027	C	ERROR STOPS
1028	90 <b>0</b>	WRITE(6,3100) IPART
1029		GD TD 990
1030	910	WRITE(6,3110) IPART
1031		GD TD 990

- 1032 920 WRITE(6,3120)(S(K,J),K=1,N)
- 1033 990 WRITE(6,3000)
- 1034 999 GD TD 9999
- 1035 950 FORMAT (1H15X4HT = E16.7,6H HOURS5X9HSATELLITE3X3E16.7,4XA6)
- 1036 955 FORMAT (4X5HTWIST /(15X4E16.7))
- 1037 3000 FORMAT(1H0,15X,18H\*\*\* ERROR STOP \*\*\*)
- 1038 3100 FORMAT(1H0,10X14HOVERFLOW, PART I3)
- 1039 3110 FORMAT(1H0,10X,21HSINGULAR MATRIX, PART I3)
- 1040 3120 FORMAT(1H0,10X,24HBAD COLUMN IN MATRIX /S///(15F6.1))
- 1041 END

į

L

## Subroutines

Following are listings for standard Westinghouse subroutines ICE, INTEG, INVERT, and XSIMEQ. For theoretical descriptions of these computational schemes the reader is referred to "Standard Subroutines Used by Westinghouse RAE Operational Programs," April, 1968, Contract No. NAS5-9753-20.

\_\_\_\_

1		SUBROUTINE ICE (P,TT,TP,NN,Y,DY,F,L,INDEX,I,KPRI,ERL)	
2		DIMENSION ERL(1)	
3		DIMENSION DUMPR(312)	
4		DIMENSION Y(1), DY(1), F(1)	AAIC0030
5	С	DIMENSION Y(1), DY(1), F(1)	AAIC0040
6		T = TT	AAIC0050
7		GO TO (100,200,300,400),L	AAIC0060
8	100	IG=IG	AAICOO70
9		GO TO (101,102),IG	AAICO080
10	101	J = 1	AAIC0090
11		L = 2	AAIC0100
12		M = 0	AAICO110
13		TS = T	AAIC0120
14		$DO \ 106 \ K = 1.N$	AAIC0130
15		K1 = K+3*N	AAICO140
16		$K_2 = K_1 + N$	AAIC0150
17		K3 = N + K	AAIC0160
18		F(K1) = Y(K)	AAICO170
19		F(K3) = F(K1)	AAIC0180
20	106	F(K2) = DY(K)	AAIC0190
21		GO TO 402	AAIC0200
22	102	CALL INTEG(T,DT, N,Y(1),DY(1),F(1),J,I)	AAICO210
23		J = J+1	AAIC0220
24		IF(J-I ) 103,103,104	AAIC0230
25	103	L = 1	AAIC0240
26		GO TO 402	AAIC0250
27	104	M = M+1	AAICO260
28	105	GO TO (110,120,130),M	AAIC0270
29	110	DO 111 K = $1, N$	AAIC0280
30		K1 = K+N+N	AAIC0290
31	111	F(K1) = Y(K)	AAIC0300
32	112	DO 113 K = $1_{P}N$	AAICO310
33		K1 = K+3*N	AAIC0320
34		K2 = K1 + N	AAIC0330
35		K3 = N + K	AAICO340
36		Y(K) = F(K1)	AA1C0350
37		F(K3) = F(K1)	AAIC0360
38	113	DY(K) = F(K2)	
39			
40		T = TS	AAICO380
41		IF(T) 114,116,114	AAIC0390
42	114	IF(ABS(H/T)000001) 115,115,116	AAIC0400

43	115	M = 0	AAICO410
44		= 4	AAIC0420
45		G0 T0 402	AAICO430
46	116	DT = .5 + H	AAIC0440
47		M = 1	AAICO450
48		J = 1	AAIC0460
49		GQ TQ 300	AAICO470
50	120	DO 121 K = 1.N	AAICO480
51	_	K1 = K+N	AAICO490
52	121	F(K1) = Y(K)	AAIC0500
53		M = 2	AAIC0510
54		J = 1	AAIC0520
55		IG = 2	AAIC0530
56		L = 1	AAIC0540
57		GO TO 402	AAIC0550
58	130	DO 131 K = $1_{*}N$	AAIC0560
59		K1 = K + 2 * N	AAIC0570
60		F(K) = (Y(K) - F(K1)) / (2.0 * * I - 1.0)	AAICO580
61		DUMPR(K) = Y(K)	
62		Y(K) = Y(K) + F(K)	AAIC0590
63	131	CONTINUE	AAICO640
64		IF (KPRI .EQ. 0) GO TO 1324	
65		WRITE (6,1325) T	
66	1325	FORMAT (1H15X18HATTEMPTED STEP AT E10.4//10X2HY1,10X2HY210X2HYE)	10
67		1X2HER//)	
68		DO 1326 $IKZ = 1, N$	
69		IAM = 2*N+IKZ	
70	1326	WRITE (6,1327) IKZ,F(IAM),DUMPR(IKZ),Y(IKZ),F(IKZ)	
71	1327	FORMAT (15,4E12.4)	
72	1324	CONTINUE	
73		DO 1967 K=1,N	
74		IF (ABS(F(K)) .GT. ABS(ERL(K))/20.) GO TO 135	
75	1967	CONTINUE	
76	134		AAIC0740
77	1345	DT = H	AAIC0750
78		GO TO 401	AAIC0760
79	135	DO 1968 K=1,N	
80		IF (ABS(F(K)) .GT. ABS(ERL(K))) GD TO 136	
81	1968	CONTINUE	
82		GO TO 1345	
83	136	DO 137 K = 1, N	AAIC0780
84		K1 = K + N	AAIC0790
85		K2 = K+N+N	AAICO800

---

86	137	F(K2) = F(K1)	AAICO810
87	138	H = •5*H	AAICO820
88		MU=2	
89		GO TO 112	AAICO830
90	200	MU=MU	AAICO840
91		GO TO (203,204),MU	AAICO850
92	203	H= AMAX1(AMAX1(H,H1),H2)	AAICO860
93		MU = 2	AAICO870
94	204	H1 = ABS(H)	AAICO880
95		IF(P) 205,206,206	AA1C0890
96	205	H = -H1	AAIC0900
97		GO TO 207	AAICO910
98	206	H = H1	AAIC0920
99	207	IF(ABS(P)-H1) 208,209,209	AAIC0930
100	208	H = P	AAIC0940
101	209	T2 = TP - T	AAIC0950
102		IF(T2) 210,212,210	AAICO960
103	210	H2 = ABS(T2)	AAIC0970
104		IF(TP) 211,213,211	AAIC0980
105	211	IF(ABS(T2/TP)00001) 212,213,213	AAIC0990
106	212	$\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{P}$	AAIC1000
107		DT = H	AAIC1010
108		L = 3	AAIC1020
109		GO TO 402	AAIC1030
110	213	M = 0	AAIC1040
111		<b>J</b> = 1	AAIC1050
112		IF(H1-H2) 215,215,214	AAIC1060
113	214	MU = 1	AAIC1070
114		H = T2	AAIC1080
115	215	DT = H	AAIC1090
116	300	IG = 2	AAIC1100
117		GD TD 102	AAIC1110
118	400	MU = 2	AAIC1120
119		H = P	AAIC1130
120		DT = P	AAIC1140
121		N = NN	AAIC1150
122	401	IG = 1	AAIC1160
123		L = 1	AAIC1170
124	402	TT = T	AAIC1180
125		RETURN	AAIC1190
126		END	AAIC1200

1	C	ICE INTEGRATION SUBROUTINE		0010
2	C	I = 2 SECOND ORDER	RUNGE-KUTTA	0020
3	C	I = 3 THIRD ORDER	RUNGE-KUTTA	0030
4	С	I = 4 FOURTH ORDER	RUNGE-KUTTA	0040
5	С	STORAGE $F1 = E = Z1$		0050
6	С	F2 = YHAF1	TEMPORARY STORAGE REQUIRED=	0060
7	С	F3 = YFULL	DIMENSION OF F ARRAY =	0070
8	С	F4 = YSAVE	N*(3+I)	0080
9	С	F5 = DYSAVE	WHERE N = NO OF DERIVATIVES	0090
10	С	F6 = Z2	AND I = ORDER OF INTEGRATION	0100
11	С	F7 = 23	PROCESS	0110
12		SUBROUTINE INTEG (T,DT, N,Y,	DY,F,J,I)	0120
13		DIMENSION Y(1), DY(1), F(1)		0130
14	С	DIMENSION Y(1), DY(1), F(1)		0140
15		DO 100 K = $1_{P}N$		0150
16		K1 = K		0160
17		K2 = K+5*N		0170
18		K3 = K2 + N		0180
19		K4 = K + N		0190
20		GD TO (999,85,95,95),I		0200
21	85	GD TO (86,2,999,999),J		0210
22	86	F(K1) = DY(K) * DT		0220
23		Y(K) = F(K4) + F(K1)		0230
24		GO TO 100		0240
25	95	GO TO (1,2,3,4),J		0250
26	1	F(K1) = DY(K) * DT		0260
27		Y(K) = F(K4) + .5 * F(K1)		0270
28		GO TO 100		0280
29	2	F(K2) = DY(K) * DT		0290
30		GO TO <b>(999,22,23,24),I</b>		0300
31	3	F(K3) = DY(K) * DT		0310
32		GO TO (999,33,33,34),I		0320
33	4	Y(K) = F(K4) + (F(K1) + 2.0 + (F(K2)))	+F(K3))+DY(K)*DT)/6.0	0330
34		GD TO 100		0340
35	22	Y(K) = .5*(F(K1)+F(K2))		0350
36		GO TO 25		0360
37	23	$Y(K) = 2.0 \pm F(K2) - F(K1)$		0370
38		GU TO 25		0380
39	24	Y(K) = .5 * F(K2)		0390
40	25	Y(K) = Y(K) + F(K4)		0400
41		GD TO 100		0410
42	33	Y(K) = F(K4) + (F(K1) + 4.0 * F(K2) + 1)	F(K3))/6.0	0420

~

43		GO TO 100	0430
44	34	Y(K) = F(K4) + F(K3)	0440
45	100	CONTINUE	0450
46		GO TO (110,120,130,140),J	0460
47	110	GO TO (999,131,132,132),I	0470
48	120	GO TO (999,140,132,140),I	0480
49	130	GO TO (999,140,140,132),I	0490
50	131	T = DT + T	0500
51		GO TO 140	0510
52	132	$T = T + .5 \pm DT$	0520
53	140	RETURN	0530
54	999	PAUSE	0540
55		GO TO 140	0550
56		END	0560

1	С	MATRIX INVERSION BY GAUSS-JORDAN ELIMINATION
2		SUBROUTINE INVERT(A,N,NN)
3		DIMENSION A(NN,N),B(350),C(350),LZ(350)
4		IF ( N.EQ.1) GO TO 300
5		SUM=1.
6		DO 5 I=1,N
7	5	SUM=SUM*A(I.I)
8	ີ່ລ	
9	-	RAVG=10.**(-ALOG10(SUM)/N)
10	C	
11	•	DO 6 I=1.N
12		$DD = 6$ $J = 1 \cdot N$
13	6	$A(I_{r,J}) = A(I_{r,J}) * RAVG$
14	Č.	
15	•	$00 \ 10 \ J = 1 \cdot N$
16	10	LZ(J) = J
17	20	DO 20 I = $1 \cdot N$
18		K = I
19		Y = A(I,I)
20		L = I - I
21		LP = I+1
22		IF(N-LP) 14.11.11
23	11	$DO 13 J = LP \cdot N$
24		$W = \Delta(I,J)$
25		IF(ABS(W)-ABS(Y)) 13.13.12
26	12	K = J
27	*-	V = W
28	13	CONTINUE
29	14	$IF(Y_{-} T_{-} E_{-}8) = GO = TO = 260$
30	- ·	DO 15 J = 1.N
31		C(J) = A(J,K)
32		$A(J_{\bullet}K) = A(J_{\bullet}I)$
33		A(J,I) = -C(J)/Y
34		$A(I \cdot J) = A(I \cdot J) / Y$
35	15	B(J) = A(I,J)
36	•	$A(I \cdot I) = 1 \cdot / Y$
37		J = LZ(I)
38		LZ(I) = LZ(K)
39		LZ(K) = J
40		DO 19 K = 1.N
41		IF(I-K) 16,19,16
42	16	$DO \ 18 \ J = 1.N$

مر ما المرجم المر

86

.

	IF(I-J) 17,18,17
17	A(K,J) = A(K,J)-B(J)*C(K)
18	CONTINUE
19	CONTINUE
20	CONTINUE
	DO 200 I = 1, N
	IF(I-LZ(I)) 100,200,100
100	$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{I}$
	DD 500 $J = K, N$
	IF(I-LZ(J)) 500,600,500
600	M = LZ(I)
	LZ(1) = LZ(3)
	LZ(J) = M
	DO 700 L = 1, N
	C(L) = A(I,L)
	A(I,L) = A(J,L)
700	A(J,L) = C(L)
500	CONTINUE
200	CONTINUE
С	
C	
С	MAKE IT A SYMMETRIC MATRIX
C	
	DO 250 I=1,N
	DO 250 J=I.N
	AVG=(A(I,J)+A(J,I))/2.*RAVG
	$A(I_{2}J) = AVG$
	A(J,I) = AVG
250	CONTINUE
С	
	RETURN
300	IF(ABS (A(1,1)).LT.1.E-10 )N=-IABS(N)
	A(1,1)=1./A(1,1)
	RETURN
C	
260	N=-IABS(N)
	RETURN
	END
	17 18 19 20 100 600 700 500 200 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C

.

ļ

1		INTEGER FUNCTION XSIMEQ(IMAX, N, M, A, B, DET, IE)	SIMEDOO1
2		DIMENSION A(IMAX, IMAX), B(IMAX, IMAX), IE(IMAX)	31460002
3			
4		CALL D VORKIJI)	SIME0003
2	4.7	UU 45 (=1;N JE(I) - I	SIME0004
0 7	45	1E(1) = 1	SIME0005
1		DU 1 IIV - ISIN Amay - Aftai Taia	SIME0006
8		AMAA = ALINJINJ TT - TN	SIME0007
3		11 - 10	SIME0008
10		$DO = 11$ $T = TN_N$	SIMED009
12		OR 11 i = TN.N	SIME0010
12		$7MT - ABS \{A(I, I)\}$	SIME0011
1.6		T = (AMAY - 7MT) 10.11.11	SIME0012
15	10	AMAX = 7MT	SIME0013
16	10	$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I}$	SIME0014
17			SIME0015
18	11		SIME0016
19	11	IE (A(II)) 69.33.69	SIME0017
20	69	IF(II-IN) 13.17.13	SIME0018
21	13	DO 15 J = 1.0	SIME0019
22	*-	R = A(II,J)	SIME0020
23		$A(II \cdot J) = A(IN \cdot J)$	SIME0021
24	14	A(IN,J) = R	SIME0022
25		IF(J-M) 19,19,15	SIME0023
26	19	R = B(II, J)	SIME0024
27	-	B(II,J) = B(IN,J)	SIME0025
28		B(IN,J) = R	SIME0026
29	15	CONTINUE	SIME0027
30	17	IF(JJ-IN) 16,18,16	SIME0028
31	16	DO 24 I = $1, N$	SIME0029
32		R = A(I, JJ)	SIME0030
33		A(I,JJ) = A(I,IN)	SIME0031
34	24	A(I,IN) = R	SIME0032
35		IQ=IE(IN)	SIME0033
36		IE(IN)=IE(JJ)	SIME0034
37		IE(JJ) =IQ	SIME0035
38	18	DET = DET*AMAX	SIME0036
39		KI = IN+1	SIME0037
40		IF (KI-N) 143,143,144	SIME0038
41	143	DO 160 $J = 1, M$	SIME0039
42		B(IN,J) = B(IN,J)/A(IN,IN)	SIME0040

-----

- **5**9

43		DD 160 K = KI.N	SIME0041
44	160	B(K,J) = B(K,J) - A(K,IN) + B(IN,J)	SIME0042
45		$DO BO J = KI \cdot N$	SIME0043
46		$A(IN_{\bullet}J) = A(IN_{\bullet}J)/A(IN_{\bullet}IN)$	SIME0044
47		DO 80 K = KI, N	SIME0045
48	80	A(K,J) = A(K,J) - A(K,IN) + A(IN,J)	SINE0046
49		$DO 1 K = KI_{*}N$	SIME0047
50	1	A(K, IN) = 0.	SINE0048
51	145	A(IN, IN) = 1.	SIME0049
52		NF = N-1	SINE0050
53		IF(NF.LE.O)GO TO 147	
54		DO 109 K = $1_{2}NF$	SIME0051
55		I = N - K	SIME0052
56		NK = I + 1	SIME0053
5 <b>7</b>		DO 109 L = 1, M	SIME0054
58		SUM = 0.	SIME0055
59		DO 110 J = NK, N	SINE0056
60	110	$SUM = SUM + A(I, J) \neq B(J, L)$	SINE0057
61	109	B(I,L) = B(I,L) - SUM	SIME0058
62	147	CONTINUE	
63		DO 111 K = 1, N	SIME0059
64		DO 111 I = 1, N	SIME0060
65		IF(IE(I)-K) 111,113,111	SIME0061
66	111	CONTINUE	SIME0062
67		DO 118 I= 1,N	SIME0063
68		DO 118 J= 1,M	SIME0064
69	118	$A(I_{y}J) = B(I_{y}J)$	SIME0065
70		CALL OVERFL(JO)	SIME0066
71		CALL D VCHK(JI)	SIME0067
72		GD TO (139,140), JO	SIME0068
73	140	GO TO (139,141),JI	SIME0069
74	141	XSIMEQ = 1	SIME0070
75	189	RETURN	SIME0071
76	33	XSIMEQ = 3	SIME0072
77		GD TO 189	SIME0073
78	144	DO 161 $J = 1, M$	SIME0074
79	161	B(IN,J) = B(IN,J)/A(IN,IN)	SIME0075
80		GO TO 145	SIME0076
81	139	XSIMEQ = 2	SIME0077
82		GO TO 189	SIME0078
83	113	DO 114 L=1,M	SIME0079
84		Q = B(I,L)	SIME0080
85		B(I,L) = B(K,L)	SIME0081

I.

86	114	$B(K_{\circ}L) = Q$	SIME0082
87		IQ = IE(K)	SINE0083
88		IF(K) = IF(I)	SIMEO084
89		IF(I) = IQ	SIMEO085
90		GO TO 111	SIMEOO86
91		END	SINE0087

## REFERENCES

- 1. Fletcher, H.J., L. Rongved, and E. Yu, "Dynamics Analysis of a Two-Body Gravitationally Oriented Satellite", BSTJ 42, 2239-2266 (1963).
- 2. Hooker, W. and G. Margulies, "The Dynamical Equations for an n-Body Satellite", J. Astro. Sci. XII, 123-128 (Winter, 1965).
- 3. Roberson, R.E. and J. Wittenburg, "A Dynamical Formalism for an Arbitrary Number of Interconnected Rigid Bodies, with Reference to the Problem of Satellite Attitude Control", IFAC Paper No. 46.D, London, 24 June 1966.
- 4. Stone, R.G., "RAE -- 1500 Foot Antenna Satellite", <u>Astronautics and Aeronautics</u>, March 1965, p. 46.
- 5. Fixler, S.Z., "Effects of Solar Environment and Aerodynamic Drag on Structural Booms in Space", AIAA J. Spacecraft and Rockets 4, 315-321 (1967).
- 6. Levin, E., "Reflected Radiation Received by an Earth Satellite", ARSJ 32, 1328-1331 (1962).
- 7. Jensen, J. et. al., <u>Design Guide to Orbital Flight</u>, New York, McGraw-Hill, 1962, pp. 795 and 842.
- 8. Blanco, V.M. and S.W. McCuskey, <u>Basic Physics of the Solar System</u>, Reading Mass., Addison-Wesley, 1961, p. 53 and 286.
- 9. Danby, J.M.A., Fundamental of Celestial Mechanics , New York, Macmillan, 1964.
- 10. Tinling, B.E. and V.K. Merrick, "Exploitation of Inertial Coupling in Passive Gravity-Gradient-Stabilized Satellites", <u>AIAA J. Spacecraft and Rockets</u> 1, 381-387 (1964).
- 11. Rimrott, F.P.J., "Storable Tubular Extendible Member", <u>Machine Design</u> 37, 28, 156-165 (1965).
- 12. Newton, J.K. and J.L. Farrell, "Natural Frequencies of a Flexible Gravity Gradient Satellite", to appear in AIAA Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets.
- 13. Wylie, C.R., <u>Advanced Engineering Mathematics</u>, New York, McGraw-Hill, 1951, p. **509**.
- 14. Dow, P.C. et.al., "Dynamic Stability of a Gravity Gradient Stabilized Satellite Having Long Flexible Antennas" presented at AIAA Guidance and Control Specialists Conference, Seattle, Washington, Aug. 15-17, 1966.