



## **General Disclaimer**

### **One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document**

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.



FACILITY FORM 602

N 09-23533	
(ACCESSION NUMBER)	(THRU)
145	1
(PAGES)	(CODE)
Cat# 100693	25
(NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)	(CATEGORY)

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY  
DEPARTMENT OF  
AEROSPACE AND MECHANICAL SCIENCES

NASA Research Grant NGL-31-001-005  
(Supplement 6)

Prepared for  
National Aeronautics  
and Space Administration

PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION IN  
THE STRUCTURE OF A  
PROPAGATING CURRENT SHEET

Report 853\*

Prepared by Thomas M. York  
Thomas M. York

Approved by Robert G. Jahn  
Robert G. Jahn  
Professor of Aerospace Sciences  
and Research Leader

\* This report is a reproduction in entirety of the Ph.D. dissertation of Mr. Thomas M. York. It is submitted to the sponsor and to the distribution list in this form both as a presentation of the technical material, and as an indication of the academic program supported by this Grant.

Reproduction, translation, publication, use and disposal in whole, or in part, by or for the United States Government is permitted.

December 1968

School of Engineering and Applied Science  
Department of Aerospace and Mechanical Sciences  
Guggenheim Aerospace Propulsion Laboratories  
PRINCETON UNIVERSITY  
Princeton, New Jersey

## ABSTRACT

The structure of a current sheet propagating into ambient argon is studied experimentally and analytically. The current sheet in a linear z-pinch device is examined experimentally with a specialized high-speed pressure transducer, magnetic, electric and voltage probes, along with the photography of discharge luminosity. The pressure probe is used to measure profiles of axial and radial pressure at a single point in the chamber; this data is time-correlated with electric and magnetic field profiles.

The experimental results indicate a discontinuous compression followed by a sharp expansion within the sheet structure. From reduced profiles of pressure, current density, along with electric and magnetic fields, several distinct zones are definable within the sheet; in sequence, regions of electron current conduction (I), mass accumulation (II), and induced flow of unswept gas (III) are dominant. Profiles of particle density, temperature, and velocity are evaluated. From these results, ions are identified as the current carriers in the rear of the sheet (II). The current sheet is found to entrain a large percentage of gas. A balance of momentum and energy is carried out, with the resultant identification of loss mechanisms. The distributions of current and mass densities within the sheet do not agree with proposed piston-shock wave models; however, they do indicate the dominant mechanisms within each of the sheet regions, providing a more exact basis for theoretical analysis of current sheet structure.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Title Page . . . . .	i
Abstract . . . . .	ii
Table of Contents. . . . .	iii
List of Illustrations. . . . .	v
Chapter	
1 INTRODUCTION. . . . .	1
2 APPARATUS AND EXPERIMENTAL PARAMETERS . . . . .	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Discharge Apparatus	5
2.3 Supporting Equipment	6
2.4 Gas Discharge Conditions	9
2.5 General Circuit Characteristics	9
2.6 The Circuit Current Waveform	10
3 PRESSURE PROBE DEVELOPMENT. . . . .	14
3.1 Introduction	14
3.2 Probe Construction and Circuitry	16
3.3 Probe Response and Internal Stress Dynamics	22
3.4 Electrical Insulation and Probe Configurations	29
3.5 Probe Performance and Calibration	32
3.6 Probe Performance Within the Pinch Discharge	35
4 PRESSURE PROBE-CURRENT SHEET INTERACTION. . . . .	41
4.1 Introduction	41
4.2 Current Sheet Profiles in the Pinch Discharge	41
4.3 Luminosity Studies of Probe Interaction	45
4.4 Luminosity-Current Density Correlation	50
4.5 Flow Isolator Study	53
5 EXPERIMENTAL DATA, EVALUATION, AND RESULTS. . . . .	58
5.1 Introduction	58
5.2 Probing Configuration	58
5.3 Current Sheet Measurements	60
5.4 Current Sheet Characteristics	63
5.5 Estimation of Number Density and Particle Temperatures	67

## TABLE OF CONTENTS-contd.

	Page
Chapter 5-contd.	
5.6 Evaluation and Reduction of Pressure Probe Data	76
5.7 Summary of Reduced Results	85
6 ANALYSIS OF CURRENT SHEET STRUCTURE AND DYNAMICS. . . . .	91
6.1 Introduction	91
6.2 Current Conduction Processes	91
6.3 Estimations of Particle Velocity	96
6.4 Number Density Profile and Sweeping Efficiency	98
6.5 Estimated Temperature Profile and Energy Balance	106
6.6 Acceleration Mechanics	112
6.7 Discussion of Analytical Models	116
7 SUMMARY . . . . .	120
Appendixes	
A. MAGNETIC PROBE TECHNIQUES . . . . .	122
B. ELECTRIC PROBE TECHNIQUES . . . . .	128
C. VOLTAGE PROBE TECHNIQUES. . . . .	132
BIBLIOGRAPHY . . . . .	135

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
2-1	Experimental apparatus (schematic). . . . .	7
2-2	Circuit current waveform. . . . .	13
3-1	Schematic of piezoelectric pressure transducer. .	18
3-2	Equivalent circuit of piezoelectric element . . .	20
3-3	Equivalent circuit of piezo probe system. . . . .	20
3-4	One-dimensional stress model. . . . .	24
3-5	Response of brass backed piezo probe to a reflected shock wave. . . . .	24
3-6	Three-dimensional stress wave model . . . . .	26
3-7	Response of stainless steel backed piezo probe to a reflected shock wave . . . . .	26
3-8	Modifications of fast response piezoelectric transducer. . . . .	31
3-9	Pressure probe response to a reflected shock in a shock tube . . . . .	33
3-10	Cathode follower circuit. . . . .	37
3-11	Cathode follower response to square wave. . . . .	38
3-12	Pressure probe response with inactive element . .	38
3-13	Typical pressure probe response in pinch discharge. . . . .	40
4-1	Kerr-cell camera arrangement. . . . .	43
4-2	Circuit current waveform and radial view photographs of pinch discharge. . . . .	44
4-3	Radial and axial magnetic probe surveys . . . . .	46
4-4	Luminosity patterns about radial probe. . . . .	47
4-5	Luminosity patterns about axial probe . . . . .	49
4-6	Luminosity patterns about axial probe with flow isolator. . . . .	51

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS--contd.

Figure		Page
4-7	Axial-view luminosity pattern with simultaneous magnetic probe record. . . . .	52
4-8	Radial-view luminosity patterns with simultaneous magnetic probe records . . . . .	54
4-9	Effect of flow isolator on probe response . . . . .	56
5-1	Typical probe responses . . . . .	61
5-2	Radial variation of magnetic field and axial pressure. . . . .	62
5-3	Initial response of radial pressure probe . . . . .	64
5-4	Current sheet trajectories. . . . .	65
5-5	Control volume for electrostatic sheath calculation. . . . .	78
5-6	Current density, radial and axial pressure profiles . . . . .	87
5-7	Current density, magnetic induction, and force density profiles. . . . .	88
5-8	Current density, radial and axial electric field profiles . . . . .	89
5-9	Variation of peak radial pressure and $\delta$ with radius. . . . .	90
6-1	Estimated radial ion velocity and current density profiles. . . . .	99
6-2	Estimated number density and current density profiles. . . . .	102
6-3	Radial variation of peak density, width of entrained zone, and sweeping efficiency . . . . .	107
6-4	Estimated temperature and current density profiles. . . . .	108
A-1	Response of magnetic probe with various insulator coverings. . . . .	125
B-1	Electric probe circuit. . . . .	130
C-1	Discharge voltage measurements. . . . .	133

## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

The techniques of pulsed plasma acceleration have demonstrated unique potential for application to the problem of high performance space propulsion. The development of such a propulsion system, however, will require a detailed understanding of the interaction of a transient electromagnetic discharge with a low density gas. The difficulties involved in reaching this understanding are perhaps most clearly illustrated by noting similarities of the pulsed electric propulsion problem with those of the yet unsolved controlled thermonuclear reaction problem. Clearly, the goals of the fusion effort are the containment and heating of a gas, whereas gas acceleration and ejection are the objectives in any propulsion system. Yet both of these applications utilize the same type of electromagnetic mechanism: large discharge currents interacting with their self-induced magnetic fields. The objective of a transient plasma propulsion device is to attempt to exploit the inherently rapid transfer of stored electrical energy to a gas propellant in such a manner that will optimize the energy in directed gas motion.

A number of both pinch and rail devices have been developed in order to study the electromagnetic interaction

mechanism. Typically these devices produce a diffuse "sheet" discharge which propagates through the ambient gas, but the details of this sweeping process are not yet clearly understood. For an accelerator system the critical questions are how the current sheet interacts with the overrun gas and, most importantly, how much ambient gas becomes entrained within the sweeping sheet. These are the questions to which this work is addressed.

Earlier work directed toward analyzing current sheet interaction has progressed from the consideration of overall sheet dynamics, to internal sheet structure, to the combined structure and dynamics problem. In each of these cases a model was presumed that predicted mass distribution within the sheet system, but exact experimental confirmation of this important variable was not possible because of the resolution of available instruments. Specifically, the earliest model, proposed by Rosenbluth [1], was that of the current sheet acting as an impermeable piston. As such, it was envisioned as sweeping up all the gas it encountered and compressing it into a thin layer on the piston surface; this has been picturesquely referred to as the "snowplow" model. It allows for conservation of mass to be satisfied and uses conservation of momentum to relate the driving  $\mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B}$  mechanism to sheet dynamics. For all its simplicity, it has been found to be in general agreement with experimental sheet trajectories. With this agreement came acceptance of the concept of a current sheet piston; the logical extension of the model to include finite compressibility of the

gas and the related piston driven shock waves was also developed (e.g., [2]). However, some experimental evidence of mass distribution became available [3] with the declassification of fusion research and publication of Russian work in this field. Attempts at measuring pressures in a dynamic z-pinch experiment using piezoelectric probes did not indicate pressure discontinuities preceding the current sheet but following the current conduction zone, at variance with the concept of a current sheet piston driving a shock. The current sheet interaction problem received more careful attention from such workers as Lovberg (e.g., [4,5]), who attempted to develop a more consistent experimental-analytical sheet structure model. However, in this work mass distribution was still inferred from electromagnetic measurements. In an attempt to develop a more compatible sheet structure, the pressure sensing technique mentioned earlier [3] was incorporated into a generally self-consistent study of current sheets by Vlases [6,7], Sorrell [8], and Hoffman [9]. The instruments used suffered from lack of absolute resolution and only time of arrival of the pressure discontinuity was felt to be acceptable. The approach used was one of varying gas type and discharge parameters affecting the sheet dynamics in an attempt to achieve sheet-shock separation. A broad consideration of the current sheet interaction problem has also been carried out at Princeton by Jahn and his group (e.g., [10 to 14]). Specifically, the work of Black [12] established the relevance of the snowplow formulation for dis-



charges driven by pulse-forming networks. A detailed experimental study using electric and magnetic probes provided the basis for a sheet structure model proposed by Burton [13]. Further, an experimental study of the current sheet using reflected microwave measurements resulted in a prediction of current partition by Ellis [14].

While the understanding of dynamic electrical discharges has been significantly enhanced by recent efforts, it is clear that no approach is yet self-consistently complete. Rather, it is evident from each analysis that a knowledge of mass distribution would immeasurably aid the proper formulation of the sheet structure. Unfortunately, as implied above, standard density sensing techniques are not satisfactory for sheet diagnostics, typically because of such effects as the high degree of nonequilibrium or probing sensitivity to electron rather than heavy particle behavior.

In this perspective, the application of pressure sensing technique to the mass distribution problem appears most promising. The significance of determining the distribution of pressure within the current sheet lies clearly in its relationship to particle density and velocity distributions. Accordingly, the work to be presented will detail the development of a pressure sensing device with satisfactory resolution, its use in probing the current sheet environment, and the development of a current sheet model that is consistent with the observed distributions of pressure.

## CHAPTER 2

## APPARATUS AND EXPERIMENTAL PARAMETERS

## 2.1 INTRODUCTION

The study of dynamic current sheets will be based on detailed experimental results. While a number of devices are capable of providing gas accelerating discharges [15], the large-radius linear pinch discharge was chosen for the present investigation because of its conceptual simplicity and experimental convenience. The discharge chamber was of a cylindrical shape with electrode end walls and insulator sidewalls. A voltage applied across the electrodes produced a uniform cylindrical discharge at the outer radius which collapsed toward the center by self-field  $j \times B$  interaction. This device has been shown to provide a vigorous highly-reproducible discharge pattern, an optimum configuration for conservation of magnetic flux, accessibility of the current sheet to probing, and a discharge geometry that is analytically simple.


## 2.2 DISCHARGE APPARATUS

The main components of the discharge apparatus were the cylindrical discharge chamber mentioned above, a gas-triggered switch, and a capacitor bank distributed along a transmission line. The particular system used during the experiments

resulted from a study of pulse-forming networks and has been described in detail elsewhere [12]. The ability to alter the circuit current waveform in this machine from the standard lumped bank sinusoidal waveform was a significant element in generating an optimum current sheet for study. Briefly, (Fig. 2-1), the discharge chamber was 8 inches in diameter and 2 inches high. The electrodes of 3/4-in. thick aluminum were separated by a 1/4-in. thick Pyrex sidewall. Both the outer (ground) electrode and the sidewalls were fitted to accept various internal probes. The switch unit [16] was also a pinch chamber, similar to that of the main discharge except for a Plexiglas insert to inhibit complete pinching. The switch operated by providing an open circuit when the internal pressure was maintained below a few microns; the discharge was initiated by injecting a puff of gas which allowed switch breakdown and transfer of the bank potential to the main chamber. The electrical energy was stored in a bank of 20 capacitor units with nominal 2.5  $\mu\text{f}$  capacitance and 15 nh inductance, rated to 10 kv. The apparatus utilized a transmission line consisting of a pair of opposed sets of parallel plates to which the capacitors were connected. The transmission line was fitted with 40 linearly spaced capacitor stations so that the circuit current waveform could be controlled by varying circuit inductance through capacitor positions.

### 2.3 SUPPORTING EQUIPMENT

The operation of the pinch discharge apparatus required



EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS ( SCHEMATIC )

FIGURE 2-1

several support components. The system was operated at low pressures and the vacuum was maintained by a mechanical pump; a working limit of several microns was standard. System pressures were monitored with a standard Pirani gauge with a range of 1 to 2000  $\mu$  Hg; it was periodically calibrated against a McLeod gauge to insure its accuracy. Data was generally recorded photographically from Tektronix Type 555 dual-beam oscilloscopes using Type L and G plug-in units to preamplify the signals.

The intensity of the discharge resulted in the radiation of high-frequency "noise" signals and lower frequency shifts in ground potential. In order to record undistorted data, a number of precautions had to be taken. Generally, all probe leads were shielded coaxial cable having characteristic impedances of 50, 93, or 185 ohms. The use of termination with these cables to avoid spurious internal oscillations was determined by signal strength and signal-to-noise ratio. The oscilloscopes themselves had to be shielded from this spurious radiation by enclosing them within a metal-screened Faraday cage. In order to maintain an absolute ground, it was necessary to connect both the discharge chamber and screen room to separate, direct ground connections. Further, to avoid a low-frequency ground circuit oscillation, the chamber and screen room were connected by a short length of solid #8 cable, effectively substituting as a ground plane connection.

## 2.4 GAS DISCHARGE CONDITIONS

The selection of an appropriate gas and ambient chamber pressure was carried out essentially on the basis of particle energy considerations. In order to clearly establish the possible modes of internal energy absorption and excitation, the atomic noble gases are more appropriate than molecular gases. Further, on the basis of the plasma propulsion application, the relatively high molecular weight of argon and low ionization potential (15.75 ev) makes it an attractive choice. Regarding the pressure range of interest, it was found [12 to 14] that discharges of the 50  $\mu$ f capacitor bank charged to 10 kv into ambient argon of 100  $\mu$  Hg ambient pressure produced discharges with sharply peaked current density profiles and 3 to 5  $\mu$ sec pinch times; and accordingly, these conditions were selected as most appropriate.

## 2.5 GENERAL CIRCUIT CHARACTERISTICS

The characteristics of the discharge apparatus can be described most clearly by comparing the average circuit parameters for the components. As summarized by Black [13] for a low pressure argon discharge:

Unit capacitance. . . . .	2.5 $\mu$ f
Inductance of capacitor unit. . . . .	15 nanohenry
Line station-to-station inductance. . . . .	12 nanohenry
Switch and network resistance . . . . .	5 milliohm
Discharge plus switch inductance. . . . .	5 nanohenry
Discharge impedance . . . . .	5 milliohm

The capacitor units were connected into the transmission line to form an LC ladder network with an impedance of approximately 100 nanohenry. Clearly, the effective inductance of the network was primarily reactive rather than resistive. Further, because the network inductance far exceeded the chamber inductance, the circuit behavior was effectively decoupled from the dynamics of the pinch discharge.

The shot-to-shot behavior of the discharge circuit was monitored by recording measurements of circuit current waveform with a Rogowski coil of standard design [17]. The coil was placed around the outer circumference of the discharge chamber and so produced a signal proportional to  $dI/dt$ , the time rate of change of circuit current. Circuit current was then obtained by use of a passive RC integrator circuit. The calibration of the Rogowski coil was carried out by discharging a lumped capacitor configuration to produce a damped RLC circuit. From known voltage and capacitance and measured frequency and damping constant, the calibration factor was inferred.

## 2.6 THE CIRCUIT CURRENT WAVEFORM

As noted above, the discharge of a lumped capacitor bank into the pinch discharge chamber would produce a damped sinusoidal ringdown of the familiar RLC circuit. However, it is possible for the pinch time to be greater than the half cycle of the ringdown, depending upon the gas type and pressure in the chamber. It has been shown [12] that if the circuit current reverses during the current sheet implosion, a secondary




breakdown will occur at the outer radius and decouple the primary sheet from the driving circuit. It has further been noted [12] that a slowly-rising driving waveform does not produce an intense, vigorous discharge. On this basis, a fast-rising waveform that does not reverse prior to pinch is required to study actively driving sheets. It is possible to meet these restrictions with a low-inductance lumped-bank discharging into a small (5 in.) diameter chamber with 120  $\mu$  argon as demonstrated by Burton [13]. For a larger diameter chamber, it is necessary to distort the sinusoidal waveform to avoid "crowbarring" a second sheet. The  $\mu$ -wave current sheet study carried out by Ellis [14] in an 8-in. diameter machine with 100  $\mu$  argon driven by a 50  $\mu$ f pulse-forming network utilized a constant-current waveform. While the constant current waveform is analytically convenient, it has been found to result in severe distortions of the imploding sheet. Specifically, the anode foot, or bifurcation, phenomenon [18] is dominant with this pulse, along with a high degree of irreproducibility in time of arrival of the current sheet [14]. An indication of such behavior can be seen by considering the expression for constant total (circuit) current as a function of sheet thickness ( $\delta$ ) and current density ( $j$ ),

$$I \approx 2\pi R \cdot \delta \cdot j$$

For an imploding sheet,  $R$  decreases with time, and accordingly  $\delta$  and/or  $j$  must increase with time; experimental evidence indicates a significant broadening of the current sheet with radius.

In order to avoid such difficulties, the pulse-forming network was used to drive a circuit current that first rose sharply and then decreased linearly until pinch (Fig. 2-2). This waveform was found to produce a thin, intense sheet that maintained approximately constant current density profiles over its incursion at constant velocity. Such behavior indicates  $I \propto R$ , and so the constant current density profile is in complete agreement with the above analytical outline. The detailed behavior of this current sheet will be considered again in a more appropriate context.



CIRCUIT CURRENT WAVE FORM

## CHAPTER 3

## PRESSURE PROBE DEVELOPMENT

## 3.1 INTRODUCTION

A pressure sensing device that can be used within an electrical discharge apparatus must meet several stringent requirements. It must be electrically insulated from the plasma environment and yet be capable of providing a signal free of stress distortion; further, it must produce a signal of sufficient strength so that it will not be distorted by spurious electromagnetic pickup. Using standard transducing techniques, there are several types of instruments that can possibly be used in this environment. A state-of-the-art survey of this subject has recently been published by Jones and Vlases [19] and so a detailed discussion of the mechanical characteristics of each will not be presented here. However, it is of interest to evaluate the performance of these probes for possible application.

The event that is being investigated has been found to occur [13,14] on the time and space scales of 1  $\mu$ sec and 1 cm, i.e., sheet velocities of the order of  $10^4$  m/sec. Strong shock wave approximations for a shock driven under these conditions indicate static pressure changes on the order of one atmosphere. In order to probe such a discontinuity effectively,

measurements both transverse and normal to the sweeping sheet are necessary. Ideally then, a probe with a dimension of 1 mm and rise time of 0.1  $\mu$ sec is indicated. Needless to say, such a device is not commercially available and so design of a specialized instrument must be considered.

The capacitive gauge noted by Vlases [19] has a satisfactory rise time and response period, but was designed for use normal to a discontinuity and would not be useful to probe a transversely sweeping sheet because of induced internal stresses. Further, experiments with a 1/2-in. diameter capacitive device indicated a poor signal-to-noise ratio.

The pressure-bar gauge as a plasma sensing device was first utilized by Stern and Dacus [20]. The active transducing element is a wafer of piezoelectric material bonded between rods of acoustically matched material. The pressure pulse is picked up at the end of a cylindrical rod, transmitted along the rod to the crystal, and passes through to the backing rod section. This gauge has a very significant advantage of small electromagnetic pickup due to the crystal-discharge separation, but also suffers the severe disadvantage of signal delay and dispersion within the initial section of the acoustically conducting bar. Some limits on the distortion due to three-dimensional effects have been noted in the literature [17], and the distortion due to thermal stresses have been analyzed by Measures [21]. The use of such a device in an inverse pinch has been reported by Katsaros [22]. In any case, in order to resolve the internal

structure of a current sheet by simultaneous measurements, the probes must operate with acceptable real-time delays of less than  $10^{-7}$  sec and so the pressure bar probe cannot meet the demands of the present application.

In order to meet the above requirements on time resolution, the sensing element must obviously be in close contact with the plasma. Accordingly, the direct-contact piezo-pressure gauge appears most appropriate for sheet diagnostics. The difficulties associated with this type of probe remain those of providing adequate insulation and of generating sufficient signal strength. Specifically, the sensing surface has usually been insulated by relatively thick coverings of Pyrex [6,23] or metal and insulator [24], resulting in real-time signal delays on the order of several tenths of microseconds and internal stress oscillations that distort the signal beyond time of arrival [19]. However, if it were possible to control and predict the internal probe oscillations and if an insulation were developed that produced negligible signal delay, the direct contact probe could be used to provide the desired record of pressures within the current sheet. With this objective, the direct-contact piezo-pressure probe was selected for detailed study and development.

### 3.2 PROBE CONSTRUCTION AND CIRCUITRY

The general configuration for the direct-contact pressure probe has been discussed in the literature cited and will simply be summarized here. Further, for the details of the

physics of the piezoelectric effect and typical applications, the interested reader is referred to the archive literature [25,26]. Again, the basic components of this device are a piezoelectric element, a backing rod, an outer conductor shell, and an outer insulator shell (Fig. 3-1). The construction is completely coaxial with the piezo element enclosed within a grounded shell to avoid spurious signal pickup.

The transducing element in the probe system is the piezoelectric element. Basically, a piezoelectric material responds to a change in the stress (pressure) applied to its surface by generating a proportionate electrical charge over certain crystal faces. For the present application, a piezo element was chosen that produces charge separation across the 1-2 plane (Fig. 3-1) due to pressures normal to that surface. The charge generated can be expressed as


$$Q_s = d_{33} \cdot A_p \cdot \Delta P \quad (3-1)$$

where  $d_{33}$  is the piezoelectric modulus (a material constant) and  $A_p$  is the crystal surface area on which  $\Delta P$  is applied. Accordingly, the piezoelectric material, together with the two conducting surfaces, constitutes a capacitor with

$$C_s = \frac{\epsilon A_s}{d} = \frac{(K_s \cdot \epsilon_o) A_s}{d} \quad (3-2)$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the crystal permittivity,  $K_s$  is the dielectric constant,  $d$  is the crystal thickness and  $A_s$  is the surface area of the capacitor (crystal) electrodes. The equivalent electrical





SCHEMATIC OF PIEZOELECTRIC PRESSURE TRANSDUCER


circuit for the piezo element is represented in Fig. 3-2 as a source across a crystal capacitance,  $C_s$ , and shunt leakage resistance,  $R_s$ . Accordingly, the crystal appears as a generator of emf across its terminals, as

$$V_s = \frac{Q_s}{C_s} = g_{33} \cdot d \cdot \Delta P \cdot \frac{A_p}{A_s} \quad (3-3)$$

where  $g_{33} = d_{33}/\epsilon$  is a "reduced" piezoelectric modulus. The probe can be represented in its circuit with a length of coaxial cable (subscript c) and oscilloscope input parameters (subscript L) as shown in Fig. 3-3. The output of the complete probe-cable-oscilloscope system can then be expressed as


$$V_L = V_s \cdot \frac{C_s}{C_s + C_L + C_C} = V_s \times F_R \quad (3-4)$$

where  $F_R$  is defined as an effective output reduction factor. From the above outline, it can be seen that while crystal output is directly proportional to thickness, the measurable system output also has a direct relationship to the crystal area through the capacitance. The crystal thickness must be dictated by the desirable rise-time which is directly related to acoustic velocity and thickness in the one-dimensional approximation, i.e.,  $t(\text{rise-time}) = d/a_s$ . Accordingly, the surface area of the sensing surface must be compromised for signal output. On the basis of an attractively high piezoelectric constant, the ceramic material PZT-5 (lead, zirconate, titanate) was selected as optimum from the number of materials available from Clevite Corporation, Piezoelectric Division. The relevant crystal



EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT OF PIEZOELECTRIC ELEMENT

FIGURE 3-2



EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT OF PIEZO PROBE SYSTEM

FIGURE 3-3

properties as summarized from specifications [27] are

Piezoelectric coefficient. . . . .	$g_{33} = 24.8 \times 10^{-3}$ volt-m/newton
Dielectric constant . . . . .	$K_3 = 1700$
Youngs modulus . . . . .	$Y_{33} = 5.3 \times 10^{10}$ newton/m <sup>2</sup>
Poisson's ratio. . . . .	$\nu = 0.30$
Density. . . . .	$\rho = 7.7 \times 10^3$ kg/m <sup>3</sup>
Resistivity. . . . .	$\rho_R > 10^{11}$ ohm-m

With the above parameters the following calculations can be made to determine the appropriate crystal dimensions:

Acoustic velocity . . . . .	$a_s = (Y_{33}/\rho)^{1/2} = 2.63 \times 10^3$ m/sec
Crystal thickness. . . . .	$d = t \cdot a_s = 2.63 \times 10^{-4}$ m = 0.0104 in. for $t = 0.1$ $\mu$ sec rise-time
Crystal output (ideal). . . . .	$V_s/\Delta P = g_{33} \cdot d = 0.64$ volts/atm
Cable capacitance. . . . .	$C_c = 150 \mu \mu$ f for 5 ft of RG-58
Oscilloscope capacitance. . . . .	$C_L = 50 \mu \mu$ f for G preamp

Since the effective crystal capacitance and crystal output were found to diminish by a factor of 2 in the process of probe fabrication, an acceptable reduction factor,  $F_R = 0.66$  was chosen to fix the probe system output at,  $V_L/\Delta P = 0.2$  v/atm. Then

$C_s$ (effective) . . . . .	$= 400 \mu \mu$ f for $F_R = 0.66$
$C_s$ (ideal) . . . . .	$= 2 C_s$ (effective) = $800 \mu \mu$ f

so

$$A_s = \frac{C_s \cdot d}{K_s \cdot \epsilon_o} = 1.4 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2$$

and

$$\text{Diam. (s)} = 4 \text{ mm} = 5/32 \text{ inches}$$

Further, the effective time constant for the equivalent circuit can be estimated,  $RC(\text{effective}) \approx 600 \text{ } \mu\text{sec}$ , considerably exceeding the requirements for a several microsecond event.

Regarding the resolution of the above device, it is evident that a sensing element of this size is not optimum for probing the anticipated 1.8 cm thick current sheet. However, it is within reasonable limits for establishing general profiles when the anticipated transverse and normal orientations can be used in conjunction. Further, should the anticipated discontinuity in the density appear within the current sheet, the resolution of the probe could be extended by the proportionality of the output to the applied force with an estimation of the area swept by the discontinuity.

### 3.3 PROBE RESPONSE AND INTERNAL STRESS DYNAMICS


The response of a piezoelectric pressure probe is directly proportional to the stress within the sensing element. The use of such a device in a transient loading situation requires a knowledge of the internal stress dynamics, i.e., a knowledge of the dynamics of stress waves that will propagate within the probe structure itself. The archive literature

[28, 29] may be consulted for details of such considerations. Ideally, in the one-dimensional approximation (Fig. 3-4), a stress,  $\sigma$ , applied at the surface of a solid rod will produce a compression that will be transmitted along the rod with velocity  $a_1 = (E_1/\rho_1)^{1/2}$ , inducing particle velocity  $v_1 = a_1 \sigma E^{-1} = \sigma (E_1 \rho_1)^{-1/2}$ . The variable,  $(E_1 \rho_1)^{1/2} \equiv Z_1$ , has been termed the acoustic impedance. Such a stress wave propagating from medium 1 to medium 2 must satisfy the boundary conditions at the interface that  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$  and  $v_1 = v_2$ . Accordingly, it can be shown [25] that the ratio of the reflected to the transmitted stress can be expressed

$$\frac{\sigma_{1R}}{\sigma_{1T}} = \frac{r - 1}{r + 1} \quad \text{where } r = \frac{Z_2}{Z_1} \quad (3-5)$$

In the present application, the function of the backing rod, 2, is to allow the stress wave to propagate completely out of the crystal, 1, and so to avoid internal stress oscillations. On the basis of the above analysis, this should be accomplished by matching the acoustic impedance of the two elements, a basic criterion which has been applied to piezo-probes since their introduction [3].

In an attempt to determine basic probe response and to evaluate the interaction between the piezo element and the backing rod material, probes were constructed using both brass and tin as backing rod materials. Brass matched the crystal impedance only roughly, while tin provided a much more exact



ONE-DIMENSIONAL STRESS MODEL

FIGURE 3-4



RESPONSE OF BRASS BACKED PIEZO PROBE  
TO A REFLECTED SHOCK WAVE

FIGURE 3-5




match. The response of a brass-backed probe to a reflected shock wave in a shock tube is presented in Fig. 3-5 as a typical reaction to a pressure discontinuity. It can be seen that the response is not ideal for several reasons: the initial signal does not rise to the constant pressure reservoir value until about 2  $\mu$ sec due to the superposition and interaction of two modes of internal stress oscillations. The high-frequency oscillation is a longitudinal mode across the crystal thickness and the lower frequency oscillation is a radial mode. Only the initial rise occurs with the desired 0.1  $\mu$ sec and so such a device cannot be used for determining magnitudes on a submicrosecond time scale. A similar probe with a tin backing rod responded with comparable thickness oscillations but, surprisingly, with a much more severe radial oscillation which did not damp out for hundreds of microseconds. In order to provide a perfect match, a depolarized PZT-5 cylinder was incorporated into a probe housing. This design resulted in exaggerated radial oscillations and there was little improvement in matching effect. This behavior could not be explained by the simplified one-dimensional approximation, and so a more exact consideration, including three-dimensional effects, was attempted.

For simplicity, the three-dimensional system will be considered with a rectangular, rather than circular, cross section. Fundamentally, if a uniform axial stress is applied over the end of a bar (Fig. 3-6), there will be axial as well



THREE-DIMENSIONAL STRESS WAVE MODEL

FIGURE 3-6



CRYSTAL .010" THICK

RESPONSE OF STAINLESS STEEL BACKED PIEZO PROBE  
TO A REFLECTED SHOCK WAVE

FIGURE 3-7

as lateral strains, expressible as

$$\epsilon_x = \frac{\sigma_x}{E}, \quad \epsilon_y = -\nu \frac{\sigma_x}{E}, \quad \epsilon_z = -\nu \frac{\sigma_x}{E} \quad (3-6)$$

where  $\nu$  is Poisson's ratio and isotropic behavior has been assumed. In terms of these variables, the general stress equations can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_x &= \lambda e + 2 G \epsilon_x \\ \sigma_y &= \lambda e + 2 G \epsilon_y \\ \sigma_z &= \lambda e + 2 G \epsilon_z \end{aligned} \quad (3-7)$$

$$\text{where } \lambda = \frac{\nu E}{(1 + \nu)(1 - 2\nu)}$$

$$e = \epsilon_x + \epsilon_y + \epsilon_z$$

$$G = \frac{E}{2(1 + \nu)}$$

The solutions of the above system of equations are strongly dependent on the boundary conditions that are applied, but in any case they indicate the strong interrelationship between axial and lateral behavior. For example, in order to attain the ideal one-dimensional acoustic approximation, the lateral strain components,  $\epsilon_y$  and  $\epsilon_z$ , are equated to zero. However, to accomplish this requires the uniform application of stresses

$$\sigma_y = \sigma_z = \left( \frac{\nu}{1 - \nu} \right) \sigma_x$$

with ratios of  $\sigma_y / \sigma_x = 0.4$  for typical materials. Needless to say, the implementation of such a stress scheme generally is unreasonable. Further, a realistic evaluation of the stress conditions within the complete probe structure must consider a rod surrounded by other reacting materials. Any axial stress will result in lateral stress generation in the rod from both internal and external sources due to lateral strain. The situation is further complicated when the interface between crystal, 1, and backing rod, 2, is considered since boundary conditions on the lateral particle displacements and stresses must now also be satisfied. A complete theoretical analysis of the probe structure behavior in the context of the above outline would clearly be excessive, and outside the scope of the present work. Rather, what is desired is a determination of the source of the radial oscillations in the crystal, and this effect should now be evident on properly analyzing the probe response. Specifically, since the normal boundary conditions at the interface are not critical, the lateral boundary conditions must control the behavior. The lateral strain can be expressed as

$$\epsilon_{y,z} = -\frac{\nu}{E} \sigma_x = \text{fn}\left(\frac{\nu}{E}\right)$$

with the normal stress condition assumed satisfied. Accordingly, the value of the parameter,  $\nu/E$ , was tabulated for

the crystal and different backing rod materials used:

<u>Material</u>	<u><math>\nu/E</math></u>	<u>Back rod performance</u>
PZT-5	$5.7 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{n}$	Poor
Tin	$8.0 \times 10^{-12}$	Unsatisfactory
Brass	$3.0 \times 10^{-12}$	Generally satisfactory

The above tabulation can be reduced to the following reasoning: Since  $\epsilon \sim (\nu/E) \cdot \sigma$ , the backing rod material that will allow the smallest lateral strain for a given axial stress will provide the best transient oscillatory response. Physically, this can be interpreted as an indication that the backing rod should function to damp radial oscillations within the crystal. To corroborate this hypothesis, a material was selected to provide a limiting value for the damping parameter; stainless steel ( $\nu/E = 1.6 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{n}$ ) was chosen as optimum. The response of such a probe to a reflected shock wave is presented in Fig. 3-7; such a device can be considered to meet satisfactorily the requirements on stress oscillations for a submicrosecond pressure probe.

### 3.4 ELECTRICAL INSULATION AND PROBE CONFIGURATIONS

The problem of developing an insulating covering for the piezo element that would produce no signal delay nor introduce any extraneous stress conditions presented difficulties. Because of the inherent delay introduced by any covering due to stress propagation, an effective real-time probe must utilize an extremely thin covering so that this delay will not appear

on the time scale of the event. Typical insulator properties indicate acceptable delays of  $10^{-8}$  sec for a thickness of approximately  $10^{-2}$  mm. In order to meet this requirement, the use of a number of lacquers and liquid insulating compounds was attempted as insulators with little success. However, the utilization of a thin, polyester base, electrical insulator tape proved quite satisfactory. Specifically, the application of one layer of 1 mil (0.001 in.) thick #74 Scotch insulating tape was found to hold off 5,000 volts when properly applied. A single, thinned layer of Zapon lacquer over this was also found to be beneficial. Theoretically, this tape would produce only several nanoseconds delay; detailed shock tube experiments confirmed that there was no noticeable delay or dispersion of the pressure probe response.

The electrical insulation of the outer conductor shell was accomplished by fitting the internal probe circuitry into either machined nylon or Pyrex tubes. The mechanical properties of each of these materials, along with those of the conducting shell, were related to a low-frequency radial oscillation that appeared in the probe output. It was found that this oscillation could be controlled by utilizing acoustically absorbent materials outside the backing rod. Practically, this involved using beeswax adjacent to the crystal as an insulator-sealer and using brass for the conducting shell. The tailoring of the shell thickness and material to control the low-frequency oscillation resulted in the two configurations shown in Fig. 3-8.










FIGURE 3-8

### 3.5 PROBE PERFORMANCE AND CALIBRATION

The evaluation of probe performance and probe calibration was carried out using reflected shock waves in simple shock tubes. Before proceeding to a detailed discussion of probe calibration, it is of interest to consider some general aspects of probe system behavior.

A general-purpose, pressure-burst shock tube was used to evaluate basic performance. The 7/8-in.-i.d. tube had a 21-in. driven section with 1 atm air and a 22-in. driver section filled with 4 atm of helium, both at room temperature. The response of the present design pressure probe is presented in Fig. 3-9. On such records it is of interest to identify the constant pressure plateau, the rarefaction arrival, and the twice reflected shock arrival for time correlation. Before interpreting this response, consideration should again be given to the circuit time constants. It has been noted that, for the basic probe circuit,  $RC(\text{effective}) = 600 \text{ } \mu\text{sec}$ . Accordingly, for time up to 60  $\mu\text{sec}$ , the probe signal will be directly proportional to pressure and so the exact equilibrium plateau will be sensed for calibration purposes, but at later times the signal will reflect RC decay. In fact, such behavior was evident when a 10 megohm resistance was temporarily inserted in series with the load resistance; decay was considerably reduced, but the onset of both the rarefaction and reflected shock were clearly indicated at the apparent times in Fig. 3-9. Returning to a consideration of probe performance, it is evident that the



a) 0.5  $\mu\text{sec}/\text{DIV}$ b) 1.0  $\mu\text{sec}/\text{DIV}$ c) 2.0  $\mu\text{sec}/\text{DIV}$ d) 10  $\mu\text{sec}/\text{DIV}$ e) 100  $\mu\text{sec}/\text{DIV}$ f) 500  $\mu\text{sec}/\text{DIV}$ 

PRESSURE PROBE RESPONSE TO A REFLECTED SHOCK IN SHOCK TUBE

probe responds to within ten percent of mean pressure on the first pulse, i.e., less than  $0.1 \mu\text{sec}$ .

The pressure probe calibration was carried out using simple, single-diaphragm, constant area shock tubes. This method was chosen because it is highly reproducible, it provides an impulse on the desired submicrosecond time scale, and it permits calibration over a reasonable operating range. In particular, it was desired to calibrate the probes over a range of pressures that included the ambient discharge pressure of  $100 \mu$  and over as large a range of pressure increment as possible. This was carried out by subjecting the probe to the head-on reflection of the shock from the tube end wall. Regarding the low density calibration, it is well-known [30] that shock tube behavior diverges from classical inviscid behavior because of boundary layer effects in this density regime. So, rather than embark on a lengthy data reduction scheme for low density calibration, it was decided to utilize experimental low density shock-tube data presented by Duff [31], by constructing a shock tube with exactly the same internal dimensions as his device. Accordingly, a shock tube with  $1 \frac{1}{8}$ -in. i.d., 3-ft driver, and 7-ft driven section was assembled; the diaphragm was manually burst. Using Duff's data on shock Mach number for given initial pressure and diaphragm pressure ratio, reflected shock data was taken over an ambient pressure range from  $500 \mu$  to 300 mm Hg argon with argon driver gas. This range was extended to ambient pressures of 1.0 atm argon with

a 21-in. driven section driven by both argon and helium drivers. Corresponding pressure jumps across the reflected shock of from 0.01 to 5.3 atm were calculated. Within experimental limits, the probe output voltage was found to vary linearly with pressure increment. Specifically, for the axial probe  $V_s / \Delta P = 0.25$  volts/atm, and for the radial probe  $V_s / \Delta P = 0.30$  volts/atm.


### 3.6 PROBE PERFORMANCE WITHIN THE PINCH DISCHARGE

The use of the pressure sensing device developed above within a plasma environment has some inherent difficulties that should be considered. The active probe element will respond to momentum transfer imparted to the insulator surface, but because of the particle energies in the plasma, the insulator itself may participate in the momentum transfer through ablation and secondary emission. These effects have been examined both theoretically and experimentally by Friedman [32] for high energy thermalized plasmas and found to be unimportant for pressures in the neighborhood of 0.1 atmosphere. For the slightly higher pressures of the present highly transient experiment, these effects can be considered insignificant.

The problem of determining, discriminating, and eliminating other extraneous signals in the pressure probe circuit, however, did require careful attention. First, the proximity of the probe to the discharge initiation subjects the probe circuit to the related burst of intense electromagnetic radiation; the resulting spurious signal is evidenced by a decaying "noise" oscillation within the cable. This signal can effec-


tively be eliminated in a low impedance circuit, such as for a magnetic probe [14], by properly terminating the coaxial cable at both ends. However, in the present case, with a high impedance device and small output signal this troublesome signal required the design and application of a cathode follower amplifier to allow for satisfactory probing. Cathode follower design has been well documented in the archive literature [33], and so will not be discussed in detail. Rather, the design will simply be presented (Fig. 3-10) and the high-frequency performance noted. The follower was driven by a battery source and completely enclosed within a grounded box, but it was still found necessary to operate the device only inside the oscilloscope screen room because of extraneous pickup. The frequency response of this device was experimentally evaluated using an electronic square-wave pulse generator. Typical overlays of circuit response with and without follower are presented in Fig. 3-11; it can be seen that the response was satisfactory, with a voltage reduction factor (0.945) that was in agreement with theory.

In order to discriminate between pickup and real pressure signals, a number of techniques were employed such as reversing crystal polarity, reversing machine polarity, and rotation about the probe axis. However, the most useful technique involved placing a layer of 1 mil insulating tape over the outer piezo element surface and assembling as before. The insulation excluded any real pressure signal and thus allowed




CATHODE FOLLOWER CIRCUIT

FIGURE 3-10



CATHODE FOLLOWER RESPONSE TO SQUARE WAVE

FIGURE 3-II




PRESSURE PROBE RESPONSE WITH INACTIVE ELEMENT


FIGURE 3-12

identification of all extraneous signals; the resulting "null" signal for the pressure probe circuit is presented in Fig. 3-12.


With the above mentioned refinements in the pressure probe circuitry, the probes were inserted into the pinch discharge chamber; the typical responses shown in Fig. 3-13 were observed. The simultaneous record of  $\dot{B}_\theta \sim j$  (Appendix A) included with each pressure history was recorded for time reference within the sheet structure; the magnetic probe was mounted on the pressure probe structure to insure exact time correlation.



a) RADIAL PROBE (HEAD ON SHEET INTERACTION)



b) AXIAL PROBE MOUNTED ON CATHODE



c) AXIAL PROBE MOUNTED ON ANODE

TYPICAL PRESSURE PROBE RESPONSE IN PINCH DISCHARGE  
 $R = 2''$  ,  $h = 1''$



## CHAPTER 4

## PRESSURE PROBE-CURRENT SHEET INTERACTIONS

## 4.1 INTRODUCTION

The useful application of pressure probes to the diagnostics of current sheet structure requires a detailed knowledge of undisturbed current sheet behavior and a knowledge of the interaction between the current sheet and the probe structure. Accordingly, the discharge was examined with local magnetic field probes and high-speed photographic techniques, with the intention of determining the optimum configuration for probing the current sheet structure.


## 4.2 CURRENT SHEET PROFILES IN THE PINCH DISCHARGE

The variation of total circuit current with time has been presented earlier, however, the discharge chamber can conduct this current with a number of possible current density distributions depending upon electrode material, electrode configuration, etc. Studies of current sheet profiles in specific devices have been presented in the literature [8, 12 to 14], but generally serve to illustrate the diversity of conduction modes that can be generated. Ideally, the current conduction between the pinch chamber electrodes would take place within a thin cylindrical sheet that was axially and azimuthally uniform.

The work of Burton [13] clearly identified the experimental characteristics of such a current sheet as a diffuse layer or "foot" on the anode, an evident tilt of the central current sheet region, and a distortion of the current sheet near the cathode. However, the sheets produced in that device were well-defined and satisfactory for study. The magnetic probe study of the constant-current, large-diameter pinch presented by Ellis [14] indicated a less satisfactory sheet, as these effects were more dominant.


As a first step toward defining current sheet behavior with the present waveform, luminosity profiles of the imploding current sheet were examined with photographs taken in a radial view Kerr-cell arrangement (Fig. 4-1). The photographic line-of-sight was established by removing a section of the outer chamber conductor while inserting heavy bolts to allow satisfactory current flow. Typical photographs of the luminosity of the imploding current sheet are shown in Fig. 4-2, where the diffuse anode, sheet tilt, and cathode region distortion, along with the degeneracy of the sheet at small radii, can be seen. However, luminosity profiles do not necessarily define current profiles, and in order to identify that parameter, a magnetic probe study of the chamber was made.

The magnetic induction loop as a device for probing transient discharges has been well documented in the literature [17], and so will not be analyzed in detail here. A discussion of the relevant parameters for the present appli-




KERR CELL CAMERA ARRANGEMENT  
FOR FOLLOWING PHOTOGRAPHS

$I(1.78 \times 10^5 \text{ AMP/Div})$   
 $1 \mu \text{ sec / Div}$




T-3119

ANODE  
 $t = 1.2 \mu \text{ sec}$   
 $R = 3.25 \text{ in}$   
 CATHODE




T-3120

$t = 1.7 \mu \text{ sec}$   
 $R = 2.50 \text{ in}$



T-3121

$t = 2.8 \mu \text{ sec}$   
 $R = 1.25 \text{ in}$




CIRCUIT CURRENT WAVEFORM AND RADIAL VIEW PHOTOGRAPHS  
 OF PINCH DISCHARGE

cation is included in Appendix A. From that outline, it will be noted that  $j_z \approx (\mu_0 V_s)^{-1} \dot{B}_\theta$  and so  $j_z$  profiles will approximately follow  $\dot{B}_\theta$  profiles. An induction coil with a 3/32-in.-i.d., wrapped with #28 Formvar coated wire, encased in a Pyrex tube for convenience, was used here. A survey of the chamber was taken at 1/2-in. radial increments and 1/4-in. axial increments; a comparison of the derived current density profiles with the luminous profiles showed general agreement of sheet trajectory, diffuse current profiles near the electrodes, and sheet tilt. A typical set of axial data at  $R = 2$  in. and a radial survey midway between the electrodes is presented in Fig. 4-3. From this, and similar data, it was concluded that a sharp, well-defined, current density profile appropriate for sheet study could be found only in the central region of the chamber. On this basis, detailed studies of the current sheet were carried out between radii of 3 in. and 1 in., and close to the midplane ( $h = 1$  in.).

#### 4.3 LUMINOSITY STUDIES OF PROBE INTERACTION








With the understanding of current sheet behavior presented above, the problem of probe interference with the current sheet became of primary concern. As a first step, several "dummy" models of probing shapes were inserted through the chamber walls and the luminosity patterns about them were photographed. The first shape to be examined was that of a radial probe, extending through pinch position to the probing position ( $R = 2$  in.,  $h = 1$  in.); Fig. 4-4 shows a sequence of photographs



$h = 0''$  DEFINES CATHODE

# RADIAL AND AXIAL MAGNETIC PROBE SURVEYS





LUMINOSITY PATTERNS ABOUT RADIAL PROBE  
FIGURE 4-4

with the current sheet moving past the probing shape along with a 4.5 x magnification of the region of interest. From these photographs it is evident that at this probing position ( $R = 2$  in.) the probe is not immersed in the anode foot. However, upon the arrival of the clearly defined rear surface of luminosity, the stagnation region of the probe becomes intensely luminous and at later times typical wavelike contours of luminosity about the probe shape are evident. It should also be noted that there is a definite asymmetry evident in the luminous wave pattern, approximately five degrees toward the cathode. This value is in good agreement with a measured sheet-tilt angle of five degrees at this position, both indicating an axial sheet velocity component toward the cathode.


A similar sequence of photographs was taken of an axial probe shape; Figs. 4-5a,b, and c show luminosity patterns when the sheet first reaches the probe and at later times. Note that the flow again stagnates on the side (non-sensing) surface of the probe and the wavelike profiles then appear after the passage of the sheet rear surface of luminosity. In order to determine if the wavelike contours of luminosity were the results of expansion of a stagnated gas around the probe shape, a thin, sharp-edged annular collar was fitted over the probe end. Such a shape would eliminate an expansion of stagnated gas, and also would prohibit the side of the probe shape from communicating with the sensing surface. Several photographs of the luminosity profiles of this arrangement are presented




T-3141

ANODE


CATHODE

a) 1.9  $\mu$  sec

T-3143

b) 2.0  $\mu$  sec

T-3144

c) 2.4  $\mu$  sec

LUMINOSITY PATTERNS ABOUT AXIAL PROBE  
100  $\mu$  ARGON

in Fig. 4-6. Wavelike profiles are again evident without a stagnation region, indicating that the "waves" are representative of the gas properties behind the sheet luminous surface.

#### 4.4 LUMINOSITY-CURRENT DENSITY CORRELATION

In order to clarify the relative positions of sheet luminosity, the rear surface of sheet luminosity, and the region that gives rise to the wavelike contours relative to current density profiles, simultaneous data of luminosity- $B_0$  were taken. First, in order to establish the radial distribution of luminosity, the outer solid conducting electrode was temporarily replaced by one with a 6-in. diameter Pyrex insert that provided an axial view of the chamber. It was found that such an electrode produced no definable current distortion except quite close to the electrode (cathode). Noting that the intense luminosity on the axis is light bleedthrough at pinch (of no significance here) from the axial view Kerr-cell photograph of the discharge (Fig. 4-7). it can be seen that the sheet luminosity occurs within an annulus approximately 1.5 cm wide. Further, from the complementary record of a magnetic probe mounted on a radial pressure probe, it is evident that the axial current was flowing about the probe significantly ahead of the rear surface of sheet luminosity, the surface that is definable in the radial view photographs.

With a metal cathode again in place, a metal grill-work installed for the outer chamber wall to insure current distribution, and an axial probe shape with built-in magnetic

51

T-3149




ANODE

1.3  $\mu$  sec

CATHODE

T-3150




A

1.8  $\mu$  sec


C

T-3150



(4.5 X)

T-3161




C

1.6  $\mu$  sec

A

T-3161





(4.5 X)

100  $\mu$  ARGON

LUMINOSITY PATTERNS ABOUT AXIAL PROBE WITH  
FLOW ISOLATOR

FIGURE 4-6



$1.9\mu\text{sec}$   
PROBE AT  $R=2''$ ,  $h=1''$

AXIAL-VIEW LUMINOSITY PATTERN WITH SIMULTANEOUS  
MAGNETIC PROBE RECORD

FIGURE 4-7


probe, the data shown in Fig. 4-8 were recorded. Accordingly, the rear surface of sheet luminosity can be seen to arrive approximately when  $\dot{B}_0$  returns to zero, i.e., at the rear edge of the sharp current sheet. The implication is then, clearly, that the current sheet luminosity is directly related to the excitation of particles in the current conduction process, and, perhaps more interesting, the wavelike luminous profiles appear to be indicative of excitation of gas that has been swept over by the sheet but not completely entrained. Further, recently published work [34] with a clearly defined interaction between a projectile and an argon plasma has identified similar luminous profiles as shock wave profiles. Accordingly, the significance of these waves lies in their possible utilization as a diagnostic device for gas properties in the swept gas, if a flow velocity can be identified for that region. This topic will be given further consideration during the analysis of experimental data.

#### 4.5 FLOW ISOLATOR STUDY


In the light of the above evidence that there is an interaction between the current sheet and probe, it is of interest to determine the most satisfactory configuration for the axial pressure probe. The radial probe shape can be considered satisfactory as it has been the subject of many gasdynamic analyses [35].

A continuum consideration of mass flow about an axial probe would predict stagnation of the gas on the forward-facing

T-3703



T-3703




$\dot{B}_\theta$   
 $t = 1.9 \mu\text{sec}$

I


$1 \mu\text{sec}$

54

T-3705



T-3705



$\dot{B}_\theta$   
 $t = 2.1 \mu\text{sec}$

I



$1 \mu\text{sec}$

RADIAL-VIEW LUMINOSITY PATTERNS WITH SIMULTANEOUS MAGNETIC PROBE RECORDS





surface and expansion of this compressed gas over the sensing surface aligned with the flow. The expanded gas would interfere with a measurement of stream pressure, and so it would appear ideal to insulate the sensing surface from this effect by constructing such a "flow isolator" as used in the luminosity studies above. The output of adjacent magnetic and pressure probes for several isolator configurations is shown in Fig. 4-9. Comparing the results with the 1 1/2-in. isolator to those without any isolator, two important effects are evident. First, the use of the isolator produces a severe distortion of the current density profile due to the alteration of current conduction paths. On comparing the pressure records, it can be seen that the signal with the isolator indicates a uniformly low value after the current sheet passage, while an expanded flow region does appear to exist without the isolator during the same period. However, the pressure data of critical importance, that within the sheet structure, is not affected by such interference with, or without, an isolator. An intermediate size isolator (7/8 in.) can be seen to produce some distortion of the current density and to allow some expanded flow. In an attempt to evolve an optimum isolator, a 1 1/2-in.-diameter shape with sides cut away as indicated on the sketch, was evaluated; it was found to produce moderate current density distortion and to allow moderate expansion effects. In order to evaluate the effect of probe size on the data, axial data was also taken with the 5/16-in.-



T- 3576



T - 3577



T- 3578



EFFECT OF FLOW ISOLATOR ON PROBE RESPONSE  
( $R = 2''$ ;  $h = 1''$ )



o.d. radial probe; it compared favorably with data from the 1/2-in.-o.d. axial probe.

An effective probing of the current sheet interaction can be carried out only with a current density profile free of distortion, accordingly, the axial pressure probe must be used without an isolator. It is evident from the above results that such a configuration does not distort the pressure data within the current sheet profile, and for purposes of analyses, the axial probe response can be treated as though the element was embedded in a planar surface.

## CHAPTER 5

## EXPERIMENTAL DATA, EVALUATION, AND RESULTS

## 5.1 INTRODUCTION

In order to effectively monitor the structure of the sweeping current sheet, a compatible scheme for simultaneous probing was developed. Reduction of the data to consistent property profiles through the current sheet was carried out. In the case of pressure data, possible perturbations of sheet behavior inherent in probe response were considered. In order to provide a meaningful basis for current sheet analysis, profiles of the important variables within the current sheet will be presented.

## 5.2 PROBING CONFIGURATION

The effective analysis of current sheet structure requires a knowledge of a relatively large number of field and gas properties at each point. Specifically, azimuthal magnetic field records, radial and axial (anode, cathode) pressure measurements, radial and axial electric field data, along with total circuit current are of importance. It was clearly impossible to emplace probes for each of these properties and record accurate data in the same discharge; the required data were collected with several different probing arrangements and discharge shots. In order to circumvent the difficulties

of multiple shot correlation due to slight irreproducibilities in sheet arrival, the  $\dot{B}_\theta$  record was specified as common, and all data were referenced to its profile. At any given point within the chamber the following data were recorded with one axial and one radial probe emplaced for each shot (Fig. 2-1); data were recorded on two dual-beam oscilloscopes:

Shot No. 1:  $P_r, E_z, I, \dot{B}_\theta$

Shot No. 2:  $P_z(c), E_r, B_\theta, \dot{B}_\theta$

Shot No. 3:  $P_z(A), E_r, B_\theta, \dot{B}_\theta$

The basic criterion used for time correlation of any given set of records was the matching of the arrival time of 10 percent of  $\dot{B}_\theta$  peak; this value was chosen since some distortion at later times was evident with magnetic probes built into axial probes. Further,  $P_z$  and  $E_r$  records were considered exact when both  $\dot{B}_\theta$  and  $E_r$  reproduced from shot-to-shot.

Axial probes protruded through the ground electrode while radial probes extended through the pinch axis to the probe position on the far side to avoid distortion caused by the sheet sweeping over the probe support. In order to avoid interaction, each of these probes was separated azimuthally by a  $30^\circ$  angle at the same radius; at  $R = 2$  in., this angle produced a nominal 1-in. separation. With such an arrangement, the correlation depended upon the local azimuthal symmetry of the discharge. Discharge patterns were established by axial-view, Kerr-cell photographs similar to those noted earlier (Fig. 4-7).

Specific local symmetry was established by comparison of the data records of several variations of probe orientation. With the radial E probe defining a reference, the axial pressure -  $B_\theta$  probe was positioned  $30^\circ$  clockwise off axis; a second shot was taken with the axial probe  $30^\circ$  counterclockwise off axis; and a third shot was made with the positions reversed - the axial probe on axis and the E probe positioned  $30^\circ$  counterclockwise away. A comparison of the above data showed excellent reproducibility of profiles and arrival time within the current sheet; accordingly, it was concluded that the probing configuration would provide accurate simultaneous current sheet data.


### 5.3 CURRENT SHEET MEASUREMENTS

With the procedures defined above, current sheet data were recorded along the electrode midplane at radii from 3 to 1 1/2 inches. At larger radii the initial burst of noise generally obscured any real data record and at radii smaller than 1 1/2 in. the anode "foot" extended beyond the midplane, distorting the intense current sheet. Typical data records of current, electric field, magnetic field, and pressure at one point in the chamber are presented in Fig. 5-1; the data have been corrected for the slight irreproducibility in current sheet arrival. The notation  $P_z(c)$  refers to axial pressure with the probe mounted on the cathode. Complementary data showing the variation of axial (c) pressure and  $B_\theta$  with radius are presented in Fig. 5-2. In order to clarify the detailed

REGIONS: I II III 61


|| —> || —< || 1  $\mu$  sec/DIV

I  
 $E_z$   
(2. v/Div)




T-3625

$E_r$   
(2. v/Div)




T-3620

$B_\theta$




T-3625

$P_R$   
(20. v/Div)




T-3620

$P_z(C)$   
(.5 v/Div)



T-3623

$P_z(A)$   
(.5 v/Div)






TYPICAL PROBE RESPONSES

$R=2''$ ,  $h=1''$

FIGURE 5-1

AP 25 P-197 A 68



RADIAL VARIATION OF MAGNETIC FIELD AND AXIAL PRESSURE


FIGURE 5-2

response of the radial pressure probe during the critical initial signal period, data are presented with a sensitivity equal to that of the axial pressure measurements; Fig. 5-3 shows a typical response.

#### 5.4 CURRENT SHEET CHARACTERISTICS


From data such as that presented above, it is possible to define some characteristics of current sheet dynamics and current sheet structure. First, the experimental data confirm the existence of a discontinuous region of strong pressure response within the current sheet. It can clearly be seen that the discontinuous pressure rise occurs significantly after the peak of the current density profile; moreover, following this compression, the existence of a sharp expansion within the sheet structure is also experimentally confirmed. In order to establish clearly the dynamics of the current sheet, the trajectories of  $B_\theta$  peak and the pressure front discontinuity are presented in Fig. 5-4. It can be seen that the pressure discontinuity travels at a slightly lower velocity than the current sheet, resulting in an increasing separation as the sheet implodes. However, in both cases the sheet velocities are effectively constant over the region of interest—a fact of considerable significance in the reduction of data.

More critical than the dynamics of the current sheet are some implications regarding current sheet structure evident in Fig. 5-1. Upon considering the two records of axial pressure, it is clear that these pressures recorded at the



INITIAL RESPONSE OF RADIAL PRESSURE PROBE





CURRENT SHEET TRAJECTORIES

FIGURE 5-4

same point but with opposite orientation about the electrode midplane, are not identical. The differences appear to indicate three different zones of interaction within the current sheet structure. The initial zone (I, as noted in the figure) is characterized by approximately equal pressure records with anode or cathode mounting, intense current flow ( $\dot{B}_\theta$ ) and strong electric fields ( $E_z$  and  $E_r$ ). Further, from Fig. 5-3, the radial pressure record, which is proportional to particle density and directed particle velocity, also shows a very weak signal of the same order as the axial measurement. Such response defines region I as a zone of high-current flow but little mass accumulation. The absence of mass accumulation in this zone is further indicated by the constancy of the pressure profile in region I while the current sheet implodes, as evidenced in Fig. 5-2. The axial electric field ( $E_z$ ) can be attributed to both a resistive (ohmic) voltage drop and a motional induced ( $u \times B$ ) component, while the radial electric field indicates charge separation and electron current conduction (Chap. 6).

The discontinuity (rise and fall) in the radial and cathode mounted pressure probe response defines the boundaries of a second (II) zone. The two axial pressure records exhibit a significant difference in their response in this region; this fact along with the magnitude of the radial probe signal suggests a region of intense particle concentration. The large values of axial electric field suggest both ohmic and motional components, but the absence of measurable radial electric fields

indicates a balance of charged particles; there are relatively small but positive currents flowing in this region. The asymmetry in the axial pressure measurements must be related to the tilt of the current sheet and inclination of the flow field, with the resultant conduction of current (streaming toward the cathode) by ions.

The third zone of interaction (III) is typified by approximately equal axial pressure measurements, small output signal from the radial pressure probe and very low current density. This region is made up of gas that has been passed over by the intense current sheet layer, but not entrained. The fact that an induced flow field exists is evidenced by the large axial electric field measured with little current flow and the luminous wave patterns that have been observed and investigated earlier.

The above qualitative considerations have been presented in order to clarify the general nature of the current sheet behavior and so to provide a basis for more detailed discussion of the structure that will follow.

## 5.5 ESTIMATION OF NUMBER DENSITY AND PARTICLE TEMPERATURES

In order to carry out an order of magnitude evaluation of perturbation effects on the pressure data, it is necessary to have reasonable estimates of both particle number density and particle temperatures. From the above discussion there are evidently three zones of interaction, and so an estimate of both variables for each zone is desired.

First, regarding number densities, it will be specified that electron and ion densities are effectively equal on the basis of Gauss' law

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{\rho_e}{\epsilon}$$

where  $\rho_e$  is the net charge density and  $\vec{E}$  is the electric field. With the radial electric field being the significant electric field component in the sheet frame, Gauss' law takes the form

$$\frac{\partial E_r}{\partial r} = \frac{\rho_e}{\epsilon}$$

With a typical electric field increment of 20 volts across 0.5 cm, a charge imbalance of  $10^{-14}$  coulomb/cm<sup>3</sup> is equivalent to a number density of  $10^5$  electrons/cm<sup>3</sup> or approximately a ratio of  $10^{-11}$  over the anticipated charged-particle density. Accordingly, the approximation that  $n_i \approx n_e$  will be applied throughout the sheet.

In an attempt to establish orders of magnitude of particle densities in the various regions, the radial pressure data will be used with the following understanding. First, the radial pressure measurement is one of momentum transfer from directed kinetic energy of the heavy particles with an order of magnitude of 180 ev. Perturbations due to the several ev electrostatic sheath drop would not greatly affect the trajectory of such high energy particles; further, the assumption of inelastic collision of non-interacting particles with

the probe surface will be made. The validity of such an (Newtonian) assumption will be discussed in more detail later. On this basis then, the radial pressure can be related to number density ( $n$ ) and particle velocity ( $v$ ) by

$$P_r \approx nmv^2$$

Taking the approximation of sheet velocity for particle velocity, the radial probe output indicates number densities of the following order:

$$n_I < 10^{16}/\text{cm}^3$$

$$n_{II} \approx 10^{17}/\text{cm}^3$$

$$n_{III} \approx 10^{16}/\text{cm}^3$$

compared with an ambient number density of  $n_0 = 3 \times 10^{15}/\text{cm}^3$ .

Further, on the basis of the microwave diagnostic work of Ellis [14] in such a discharge, it will be assumed that the ambient gas reaches a degree of ionization of 10 percent shortly after initiation of the discharge, due to precursor effects.

The estimation of particle temperatures in the various regions becomes a much more subtle and difficult task due primarily to the high degree of nonequilibrium within the current sheet. However, the separation of the current sheet into zones of interaction can be used to alleviate the difficulty of such an analysis. It has been specified that region I is a zone of intense current conduction and apparently intense electron activity. In a frame of reference fixed with the sheet, the electron population will receive energy primarily from ohmic heating.

An upper limit on this loss mechanism can be estimated from measurements of voltage drop across the current sheet by inner divider voltage measurement techniques (Appendix C). Such voltage records indicate a typical voltage drop across the current sheet of 80 volts and, accordingly, a power input at  $R = 2$  in. to the electron swarm of

$$P(R = 2 \text{ in.}) = \Delta V_{\text{inner}} \cdot I \approx 20 \times 10^6 \text{ (joule/sec)}$$

The primary loss mechanisms for the electron swarm are radiation, heat conduction, and inelastic collision with heavy particles. The radiation and conductivity losses have been estimated to be unimportant for the environment under consideration [13], and, accordingly, the inelastic energy transfer mechanism must be dominant. The excitation of argon atoms to ionization has been investigated by Petschek and Byron [37] and more recently by Harwell and Jahn [38] who established that the ionization process occurs via a two-step process. It was found that the activation energy coincided with the first excitation potential of the atom and that ionization would occur with a second inelastic electron-atom collision. Accordingly, the rate of energy loss per cubic centimeter per second to ionization by inelastic collisions can be expressed:

$$Q_{\text{in}} \left( \frac{\text{Joule}}{\text{cm}^3 \text{ sec}} \right) = 11.3 \times 10^{-28} n^2 T_e^{1/2} (11.5 + 2T_e) e^{-11.5/T_e}$$

where  $T_e$  is in eV and number density is per  $\text{cm}^3$ . For the case of a current sheet 0.5 cm wide at a 5 cm radius, the plasma volume is  $80 \text{ cm}^3$ ; with a number density of  $10^{16}/\text{cm}^3$ , equating

power input with power loss indicates an electron temperature of

$$T_e(I) \approx 4.0 \text{ ev}$$

The corresponding time interval to excite a neutral argon atom to its first activation level is 0.12  $\mu\text{sec}$ —a figure that would indicate significant levels of ionization within the current density profile due to electron-atom inelastic collisions. It should also be noted that the high energy tail of the electron distribution is providing the necessary ionization energy increment.

As a complementary indicator of ionization profiles within the current sheet, some time resolved spectroscopic studies carried out by von Jaskowsky [39] in a 5-in. diameter pinch discharge are of interest. Profiles of argon II radiation were found to peak slightly ahead of the peak in total light intensity, whereas argon III radiation was found to peak at the same time as total light. As the earlier studies have indicated a direct correlation between light and current conduction, the argon line profiles appear to reinforce the conclusion that the region of intense current flow is also a source region for ionization; significant amounts of first ionization appear to be achieved early in the current sheet structure.

In order to establish an estimate of ion temperature in the highly nonequilibrium current conduction zone, it is necessary to consider the possible heavy particle collision

processes. First, regarding the electron-ion collisions, it is of interest to examine generally the relaxation time for thermal energy transfer between the two species. Following Spitzer [40], the time to establish equipartition of energy between two groups of particles, each with a Maxwellian distribution but with different temperatures, can be approximated for  $T_A/m_A \ll T_e/m_e$  as

$$t_{eq} \approx \frac{3 m_i (kT_e)^{3/2}}{8 (2\pi m_e)^{1/2} n e^4 \ln \lambda}$$

Taking  $T_e = 4.0$  ev,  $n \approx 10^{16}/\text{cm}^3$ , and  $\ln \lambda \approx 6.0$

$$t_{eq} \approx 1.3 \text{ sec}$$

Accordingly, with this estimate as an order of magnitude indicator, it will be assumed that the two species are effectively thermally insulated from each other on the time scale of the event.

On the basis of the above discussion then, the primary mechanism for heating the heavy particles appears to be the argon-argon, short-range collisions. An estimate of these effects will be carried out using the collision data summarized by Jahn [15]. Considering first the case of a static argon atom colliding with entrained argon ions within the sheet at a relative kinetic energy of 180 ev, the elastic scattering cross section is  $Q^{(el)} \approx 2 \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2$  and the charge-transfer cross section is  $Q^{(t)} \approx 2 \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2$ . Accordingly, with an



ion density of  $n_A \approx 10^{16}/\text{cm}^3$ , the mean free path for atom-ion collisions is approximately

$$\lambda_{A-i} = \frac{1}{n_A \cdot Q_{A-i}} \approx 10^{-1} \text{ cm}$$

a figure indicative of significant energy transfer in the 1 cm thick zone. Further, the atom-atom ionization cross section at 180 ev is  $Q^{(i)} \approx 0.5 \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^2$  and the ion-ion elastic cross section  $Q^{(E)} \approx Q^{(p)} \approx 10^{-12}/E^2 \approx 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^2$ . While it does not appear possible to establish a single collision process as the dominant energy transfer mechanism in the collision hierarchy, it can generally be concluded that the conditions within the regions of particle concentration (I and II) are appropriate for considerable thermalization of the available relative kinetic energy of the streaming argon particles. Some limits on the heavy particle temperatures that may result in such a system can be derived by a brief consideration of an energy balance.

From a frame of reference fixed with the constant velocity current sheet, the swept particles must deposit the relative kinetic increment ( $E_{\text{kin}} \approx 180 \text{ ev}$ ) in one of the several available modes. This energy can reside as thermal energy with related radiation, and axial ion streaming. If the total energy was converted to translational (random kinetic) motion, an upper limit of  $T_A \approx 100 \text{ ev}$  would result. However, such an extreme value suggests that a possible energy balance might be met by equating thermal gain with radiative loss for a system of

snowplowed particles. Specifically, at  $R = 2$  in., equating thermal gain with black-body radiated loss,

$$E_{TH} \cdot n_0 \cdot \pi(R_0^2 - R^2) \cdot h = \int_0^t 2 \cdot 2\pi R \cdot h \cdot \sigma_R T^4 dt$$

where  $\sigma_R$  is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant. With  $E_{TH} = 100$  ev, a heavy particle temperature of about 2 ev is indicated—establishing a lower limit on the possible argon temperature. A more detailed calculation of temperature on an energy basis does not appear reasonable with the present state of understanding of such a plasma, however, a general accounting of energy deposition within the current sheet will be presented later.

In order to carry out the desired heavy particle temperature estimate, the equilibrium equation of state,  $p = nkT$ , will be used with approximate pressures and number densities. For region I, an approximate static pressure of 0.1 atm with a number density of  $10^{16}/\text{cm}^3$  indicates a temperature of  $T_A(I) \approx 10$  ev. Similarly, for the region of mass accumulation (II) an estimated pressure of 1.0 atm with a number density of  $10^{17}/\text{cm}^3$  implies that  $T_A(II) \approx 10$  ev, in reasonable agreement with the above energy considerations. With such a proposed equilibrium temperature, it can be expected that an incoming ion would reach translational equilibrium with the system in a few collisions. However, as noted earlier, the electrons would not be able to absorb thermal energies of this magnitude

on the time scale of the event. Accordingly, it will be assumed that

$$T_e(\text{II}) \approx T_e(\text{I}) \approx 4.0 \text{ ev}$$

The particles that can be found in the region behind the intense current sheet (III) have passed through the vigorous interaction zone but can be expected to approach thermal equilibrium more closely because of the longer available relaxation time. A temperature estimate can be carried out using the luminous wave profiles about the various probe shapes. Henderson [34] identified such luminosity as an indicator of heavy particle compression and heating across a shock, and that interpretation can be applied here. Further, detailed gasdynamic studies of low density gas flows have utilized the glow discharge method of visualization [41], a technique with very similar electrode components naturally available in the pinch discharge, resulting in a close identification of such luminous patterns with shock wave profiles. From luminosity profiles such as those presented in Fig. 4-6, with a flow inclination of  $5^\circ$ , and an approximate shock angle of  $20^\circ$ , the estimated Mach number would be  $M_{\text{III}} \approx 3.5$ . Taking flow velocity in this region as  $1/2 V_s$  (Chap. 7), the indicated sound speed would then be

$$a = \frac{v}{M} \approx \frac{1/2 V_s}{M_{\text{III}}} = 4.3 \times 10^3 \text{ m/sec}$$

With an estimated pressure in this region of 1 atm, this value

of sound speed would correspond [42] to a temperature of

$$T_A(\text{III}) \approx T_e(\text{III}) \approx 3.0 \text{ ev}$$

which is in reasonable agreement with other data.

With the above estimates of number density and temperature, an evaluation of perturbations to the pressure measurements can be carried out.

## 5.6 EVALUATION AND REDUCTION OF PRESSURE PROBE DATA

The data that have been recorded with the pressure probe structure immersed in the stream of moving plasma are subject to two major perturbations: those of the electrostatic sheath surrounding the probe, and those related to the viscous and compressible fluid mechanics of the gas moving past the probe surface.

Regarding the electrostatic sheath perturbation, the insulating surface of the probe will allow no net current to flow from the plasma and so the probe will assume floating potential ( $V_f$ ), slightly negative with respect to the plasma ( $V_p$ ). With the orderings on number density and temperature presented above, the important sheath parameters - the ion mean free path ( $\sim 10^{-4} - 10^{-5}$  m) and Debye length ( $\sim 10^{-7}$  m) - are related as

$$\lambda \text{ (ion mfp)} \gg h \text{ (Debye length)}$$

and since the sensing element is embedded in a plasma surface, the sheath meets the constraints of classical Debye shielding for a plane nonconductor [17]. However, the effects of such a sheath on the pressure sensed at the probe surface can be

shown to be independent of the sheath structure and detailed particle behavior.\* First, it will be noted that the sheaths under consideration can be seen to demonstrate a large value of electron flux relative to ion flux, in the ratio  $(T_e/m_e)^{1/2}/(T_i/m_i)^{1/2}$ . As such, a calculation of sheath formation time must consider the response of the ions in order to derive an upper limit for this parameter; specifically for 10 ev ions,

$$v_i = \left( \frac{kT_i}{m_i} \right)^{1/2} \approx 10^3 \text{ m/sec.}$$

and the Debye length for a sheath with 4 ev electrons,

$$h(m) = \left( \frac{\epsilon_0 kT_e}{n_e e^2} \right)^{1/2} \approx 10^{-7}$$


so the time for the ion component to adjust can be approximated as  $10^{-10}$  sec. Accordingly, the sheath can be assumed to be always in phase with the plasma, and steady flow of the particles through the sheath can be assumed. The physical geometry to be considered in the sheath analysis is illustrated in Fig. 5-5 where it should be noted that surface (3) is defined where local  $\bar{E}$  is zero, and surface (1) is taken within the insulator, and includes the surface electron layer, so that  $\bar{E}$  at (1) is zero also. An appropriate conservation of momentum for this control volume can be written

$$\int_v [\nabla \cdot \rho \bar{v} \bar{v}] dv + \int_v (\nabla p) dv + \int_v [\nabla \cdot \tau] dv = 0$$

where  $v$  is the particle streaming velocity and  $\tau$  is the

---

\* The author is indebted to Prof. S. H. Lam for pointing out this fact and indicating the appropriate analysis.



CONTROL VOLUME FOR ELECTROSTATIC SHEATH CALCULATION

electromagnetic stress tensor,  $\tau_{ij} = D_i E_j + B_i H_j - 1/2 (D_k E_k + B_k H_k) \delta_{ij}$ . The integrals can be transformed to surface integration, as

$$\int_s \rho \bar{v} (\bar{v} \cdot \bar{n}) ds + \int_s p \bar{n} ds + \int_s [\tau \cdot \bar{n}] ds = 0$$

and the integration carried out for the four surfaces defined in the figure. Accordingly,

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{if} & v_3 = 0 & \text{then} \quad p_1 = p_3 \\ \text{and if} & v_3 \neq 0 & \text{then} \quad p_1 = p_3 + nmv_3^2 \end{array}$$

or, the pressure transmitted to the sensing element ( $p_1$ ) is not influenced by the existence of a surface electrostatic sheath.

An analysis of gasdynamic interaction effects on the pressure data must take as its focal point the experimental results presented in Fig. 4-9, where it can be seen that very significant alterations of the probing shape produced only slight variations in the resultant axial pressure profiles within the current sheet. Apparently, the axial probe data can be treated as though the element was embedded in a flat plate. Such behavior appears to indicate that the response is related to local conditions rather than the overall flow field about the probe shape. This type of interaction can be described basically by the Newtonian approximation, valid for steady-state hypersonic flow or unsteady, adsorbing (cold wall) flow on a short time scale; the latter appears to be compatible with the present case. However, since the predictions of classical compressible flow in the limit of large  $M$  and small  $\gamma$  agree with Newtonian results, the general steady-state interaction will first be

considered and then evaluated for the appropriate limiting conditions.

The evaluation of gasdynamic effects will depend upon a number of parameters, most basic of which is the Mach number, defined locally as  $M = v/a$ , where  $a$  is acoustic velocity. Approximating the particle velocity by sheet velocity and using the heavy particle temperatures for sound speed in regions I and II, with  $M_{III}$  indicated above, there results

$$M_I \approx 3.0, \quad M_{II} \approx 3.0, \quad M_{III} \approx 3.5$$

It should be noted that the assumption of sheet velocity for evaluating  $M_I$  can be expected to be excessive for the primary phase of argon acceleration, but it is used only to establish an order of magnitude.

For the axial probe configuration, the shock wave, boundary layer analysis of the current sheet interaction will treat each sheet region independently in an attempt to estimate the gasdynamic effects on the pressure data. Because of the size ( $\sim 1$  cm) of the axial probe and the Mach numbers involved, it is of interest to consider the possible effect of viscous interaction in each of these three regions. Following Hayes and Probstein [43], the quantity of interest is the hypersonic interaction parameter

$$\bar{\chi} \equiv \frac{M^3 \sqrt{C}}{\sqrt{Re_x}}$$

where  $C$  is a constant dependent on the temperature-viscosity law, and  $Re_x$  is a Reynolds number based on length. When the



viscosity is evaluated for highly ionized argon [40], and approximate values are taken for the remaining variables, the following results are indicated:

$$\bar{\chi}(\text{I}) \approx 2.0, \quad \bar{\chi}(\text{II}) \approx 0.6, \quad \bar{\chi}(\text{III}) \approx 0.15$$

Accordingly, each of the three regions can be approximated by weak interaction theory, i.e., the effects produced by the self-induced (i.e., boundary layer growth) pressure gradient are small.

The pressures recorded in region I with both axial probe orientations and the radial probe are all effectively equal. The fact that there is little evident difference between stagnation and static pressures is a clear indication that gasdynamic interaction has little measurable influence on the pressure recorded in region I. Such behavior would be more compatible with a lower ( $< 1$ ) Mach number flow than that indicated above. In this context, where estimates of number density determined independently (Chap. 6) and the indicated pressure are combined in the equation of state,  $p = nkT$ , a temperature variation from zero to 30 eV is indicated. When these estimates are combined with a velocity variation from zero to  $1/2V_g$  (Chap. 6), lower Mach numbers ( $< 1$ ) are indicated. Accordingly, it will be assumed that the pressures measured in this zone are approximately equal to pressures within the sheet for this region (I).

The relationship between measured pressure and sheet pressure in the more dense region can be carried out in the following manner. It has been noted [43] that the inequality

$$\left(\frac{\delta}{x}\right)^2 \ll \epsilon$$

where  $\delta$  is boundary layer thickness, and  $\epsilon = \rho_\infty / \rho_\delta$  specifies the condition for separation of the flow field into regions of classical shock wave and classical boundary layer behavior. Further, since

$$\frac{\delta}{x} \approx \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} \frac{M_\infty^2 \sqrt{C}}{\sqrt{Re_x}} \approx \frac{\bar{\chi}}{M}$$

Then

$$\left( \frac{\delta}{x} \right)_{II} \approx 0.2$$

and

$$\left( \frac{\delta}{x} \right)_{III} \approx 0.04$$

With reasonable estimates of the density ratio, the above inequality is satisfied in both regions II and III and so a separated flow field analysis can be applied. Further, with the boundary layer approximation, the variation of pressure across the viscous layer can be considered negligible, and the primary perturbation between the current sheet and measured pressure values is then the pressure change across a shock wave or an expansion wave. Taking the  $R = 2$  in. data as typical, from the current sheet tilt and wave deflection data, a flow inclination of  $5^\circ$  toward the cathode is indicated. Accordingly, the probe data on the cathode would be subject to compression, and that on the anode to expansion through that angle. With the given flow inclination and approximate Mach number, the pressure ratio across a shock with small flow deflection angle ( $\theta$ )

can be expressed [35] as

$$\frac{p}{p_{\infty}} = 1 + \frac{2 \gamma}{\gamma + 1} (K_s^2 - 1)$$

where

$$K_s = M \sin \beta = \frac{\gamma + 1}{4} (M \theta) + \sqrt{\left( \frac{\gamma + 1}{4} M \theta \right)^2 + 1}$$

and for an expansion through angle  $\theta$  as

$$\frac{p}{p_{\infty}} = \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} M \theta \right)^{\frac{2 \gamma}{\gamma - 1}}$$

An approximate  $\gamma = 1.2$  is selected based on tabulated high temperature argon properties [42] for the conditions compatible with the indicated ionization and the compatible relationship of shock angle ( $\beta$ ),  $\theta$ , and  $M$  from experimental estimates. Accordingly, for region II with  $M_{II} \approx 3.0$ , the pressure ratios of 1.33 and 0.70 are indicated for the compression and expansion, respectively. For region III, it is more convenient to use the measured wave angle,  $\beta \approx 20^\circ$ , with  $M_{III} \approx 3.5$  to estimate  $p/p_{\infty} \approx 1.5$  for the compression and 0.68 for the expansion.

Before the relationship of probe output to sheet static pressure can be carried out, the spatial resolution of the probe must be considered. Again, the probe surface is about 4 mm in diameter, which with sheet speeds of  $3 \times 10^4$  m/sec indicates a period of 0.125  $\mu$ sec for an infinitesimally thin profile to sweep past that surface. Since the signal response in regions I and III varies continuously on such a time scale, the output

in these regions can be assumed to be directly proportional to the average sheet pressure being sensed. However, region II is unique with its evident discontinuity at beginning and end of the signal, so that the output signal is proportional to the average pressure on the probe surface, and not average pressure in the sheet region. Specifically, the time interval between signal rise and fall in region II ( $\Delta t$ ) can be taken as an indicator of the thickness of the dense region ( $\delta$ ), as

$$(\Delta t - t_{\text{sweep}}) V_s \approx 1.3 \text{ mm}$$

for the 2-in. radius. Taking a plane-slab approximation of the dense layer 1.3 mm thick, the slab area in contact with the transducer ( $A_p$ ) can be calculated as a function of time from simple geometrical considerations. Accordingly, recalling that the probe output is proportional to the ratio ( $A_p/A_s$ ), Eq. (3-3), the value of sheet pressure can be determined when  $A_p$  is known for an appropriate probe signal.

The evaluation of average static pressure within region II is determined from analysis of the axial pressure data, based on estimates of number density and argon temperature from radial pressure data. The basic data (Fig. 5-1) indicate values of measured pressures of  $P_Z(c) = 3.0 \text{ atm}$  and  $P_Z(A) = 1.0 \text{ atm}$ . With a dense layer thickness of 1.3 mm, the area in contact with the crystal can be calculated; accordingly,  $A_p/A_s \approx 1.5$ . This factor, along with a factor to account for the gasdynamic compression or expansion, when applied to the measured values of pressure, indicates an average pressure in the entrained region

of  $P_{\text{stat}}(\text{II}) = 3.5 \text{ atm}$ . It is also of interest to note that the axial (c) pressure corrected for the area ratio becomes 4.5 atm.

The pressure data that have been recorded with the radial probe configuration must also be evaluated for gasdynamic effects. However, the gasdynamic interaction of a right-cylinder of revolution, with its axis aligned in the flow direction, can be considered well-known [35,43]. The sensing surface was mounted within the cylinder end--perpendicular to the flow direction--and so a blunt-body analysis is appropriate. A steady-state hypersonic analysis for such a configuration [44] predicts stagnation surface pressures as

$$p = \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1} \right) \right] \rho_0 v^2$$

In the present application with  $\gamma \approx 1.2$ ,

$$p \approx \rho_0 v^2$$

in agreement with the Newtonian approximation to the flow-field interaction. Such an approximation also appears most appropriate when the transient nature of the event is considered. Specifically, the impingement of a plane pressure-temperature discontinuity on a plane wall has been considered experimentally and analytically by Baganoff [45] for the shock wave reflection application. On the ( $\mu\text{sec}$ ) time scale of the reflection event, it was demonstrated that the process of heat transfer from the gas will affect the pressure history and inhibit the reflection

event. Extending these concepts to the present case, the thickness of the impinging layer ( $\delta$ ) is then a critical parameter as the wall (probe surface) could effectively absorb the available energy; an estimate of the characteristic time for heat transfer ( $\tau_{HT} \sim \mu/\rho a^2$ ) is of the same order of magnitude as the characteristic fluid mechanical time. On the basis of the above discussion, the Newtonian approximation will be applied, as


$$P_r = n m v^2$$

where  $n$  is argon number density and  $v$  is particle velocity.

The analysis presented above for the evaluation of pressure and density from experimental data are based upon a number of approximations which can be estimated to produce cumulative results correct within a factor of two. While not exact, such results will serve to provide a generally correct indication of property profiles within the sheet structure.

## 5.7 SUMMARY OF REDUCED RESULTS


Using the procedures outlined above, profiles of static pressure and radial pressure were reduced and are presented in Fig. 5-6 along with current density variation. Current density and electric field data were reduced following the outlines presented in the appendices. The profiles of magnetic induction ( $B$ ) and force density ( $j \times B$ ) are given in Fig. 5-7 and those of electric fields ( $E_z$  and  $E_r$ ) in Fig. 5-8. Since they are significant indicators of the general mode of mass accumulation, the variation of peak radial pressure and thickness of the dense accelerated region (II) are given in Fig. 5-9.



$R = 2''$ ,  $h = 1''$ ,  $t \approx 2. \mu\text{sec}$

CURRENT DENSITY, RADIAL AND AXIAL PRESSURE PROFILES

FIGURE 5-6




$R = 2''$ ,  $h = 1''$ ,  $t \approx 2. \mu\text{sec}$

CURRENT DENSITY, MAGNETIC INDUCTION, AND FORCE DENSITY  
PROFILES

FIGURE 5-7






$R = 2''$ ,  $h = 1''$ ,  $t \approx 2. \mu \text{ sec}$

CURRENT DENSITY, RADIAL AND AXIAL ELECTRIC FIELD PROFILES

FIGURE 5-8



VARIATION OF PEAK RADIAL PRESSURE AND  $\delta$  WITH RADIUS

FIGURE 5-9

## CHAPTER 6

## ANALYSIS OF CURRENT SHEET STRUCTURE AND DYNAMICS

## 6.1 INTRODUCTION

The two most important points to be considered in an analysis of the data that have been presented are the indications of absolute mass entrainment and the development of a compatible sheet model to explain the acceleration process. The establishment of the current carriers within the sheet is basic to any understanding and will be considered first. From the measured electromagnetic field and pressure variation, estimates of particle velocities through the sheet will be made. Further, profiles of number density through the current sheet will be derived and sweeping efficiency estimated. As the question of energy deposition is critical to any acceleration scheme, a general energy balance within the system will be discussed. A momentum balance across the current sheet will be carried out; the experimental force and mass distributions will then be compared with various analytical models that have been proposed.

## 6.2 CURRENT CONDUCTION PROCESSES

The conduction of current by charged particles is interrelated with the mechanics of particle acceleration, and so it is of importance to establish the species of the dominant current carrier. On the basis of the time resolved spectroscopic

results and collisional excitation noted earlier, an estimation of the degree of ionization will be made. The time and space resolved spectroscopy indicated dominant AII(1st ion) radiation in the forward part of the sheet and significant AIII radiation in the region of the current density peak; little AI(atom) radiation was observed in any part of the sheet. The estimates of energy transfer from inelastic electron collision indicated that the high-energy tail of the electron distribution controlled the ionization process; such a mechanism for argon electronic excitation would be compatible with the observed spectroscopic behavior. On the basis of these results, it is evident that there are nonuniformities in the ionization profile through the sheet, however, it appears reasonable for purposes of analysis to make the approximation that the argon is uniformly singly ionized in the forward part of the sheet and that the degree of ionization remains constant through the sheet profile.

One model of the conduction process is that of collisionless particles moving under the influence of electric and magnetic fields; it is accordingly useful to establish the order of magnitude of some parameters of such motions. Specifically, the radius of gyration of a charged particle in a magnetic field,

$$r_B = \frac{m v_{\perp}}{qB} \quad (6-1)$$

where  $v_{\perp}$  is the particle velocity perpendicular to the magnetic

field,  $B$ , takes values of  $r_B(+)=10^{-2}$  and  $r_B(-)=10^{-6}$  m in the region of maximum magnetic field, with  $v_{\perp}=V_s$  for incoming particles in the sheet frame. Accordingly, since the ion gyro radius is of the order of the sheet thickness, it is indicated that the ion can be accelerated by the magnetic field interaction within the sheet. An order of mean free path for ion collisions of about 0.1 mm in the dense region indicates that the ions cannot be expected to behave as collisionless particles. It would then appear that the ions are collisionally coupled once they have entered the entrained region. For the electrons, in the approximately fully ionized plasma, electron-ion collisions become dominant ( $\lambda_{ei} \approx 0.1$  mm) and result in randomization of electron motion; this fact along with the estimated Debye length at  $10^{-7}$  m indicate that the electrons would not appear collisionless.

In the light of the above considerations, it appears most appropriate to adopt a continuum treatment to describe the current conduction process. This approach is embodied in the generalized Ohm's law [46]:

$$\bar{\mathbf{j}} = \sigma_o \left[ \bar{\mathbf{E}} + \bar{\mathbf{v}} \times \bar{\mathbf{B}} + \frac{\nabla p_e}{n_e e} - \frac{\bar{\mathbf{j}} \times \bar{\mathbf{B}}}{n_e e} \right] \quad (6-2)$$

where  $\sigma_o = \frac{n_e e^2}{m_e \nu_{ei}}$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{E}}$  is the electric field measured in the laboratory frame, and  $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$  is the mass averaged velocity of the plasma in the laboratory frame. By expressing total current

as the sum of axial and radial components, the following equations can be developed:

$$j_z = \sigma_o \left[ E_z - v_r B_\theta + \frac{1}{n_e e} j_r B_\theta \right]$$

$$j_r = \sigma_o \left[ E_r + v_z B_\theta - \frac{1}{n_e e} j_z B_\theta + \frac{1}{n_e e} \frac{\partial p_e}{\partial r} \right]$$

where only aximuthal B fields are considered because of axial symmetry and the axial electron pressure gradient is neglected. On the basis of experimental evidence  $j_r \ll j_z$  and so in order to carry out some estimates of the conduction process,  $j_r$  will be neglected here; further, in region I where the bulk of current is conducted, the electron pressure gradient can be shown to be negligible, thus implying

$$j_z = \sigma_o [E_z - v_r B_\theta] \quad (6-3)$$

$$\frac{j_z B_\theta}{n_e e} = E_r + v_z B_\theta \quad (6-4)$$

Considering Eq. (6-4), since

$$j_z = n_e e (v_{ze} - v_{zi}) = j_{ze} + j_{zi}$$

and  $v_z \approx v_{zi}$ , then

$$E_r = \frac{B_\theta}{n_e e} \cdot j_{ze} \quad (6-5)$$

Accordingly, it can be seen that there is a direct correlation between radial electric field and electron current. From measured  $E_r$ ,  $B_\theta$  and estimated  $n_e$  substituted in Eq. (6-5) and com-

pared with  $j_z$ , it can be concluded that the bulk of the current (region I) is carried by electrons. This conclusion is reinforced by the simultaneous symmetric axial pressure records and similar radial records for this same region as discussed earlier. The accuracy of radial electric field measurements in the later regions will be affected by gasdynamic interaction [36] and so this analysis will not be applied there. It should also be noted that the radial  $E_r$  field, due to charge separation, drops sharply at the exact same time that the radial pressure record begins demonstrating a significant ion concentration (Fig. 5-3). Further, it will be noted that Eq. (6-5) can be used as an indicator of number density in region I, with measured  $E_r$ ,  $B_\theta$ , and  $j_z$ .

An indication of the current carriers in the dense, entrained region (II) can be derived from the axial pressure records. For this zone, there is a substantial difference between the pressure sensed on the cathode compared to that with an anode mounting (Fig. 5-1). The difference must be accounted for by the axial streaming of heavy particles, that is, substantial currents are conducted by the ions in the dense entrained region. Specifically, the definition that

$$j_{zi}(\text{II}) = n_A e v_{zi} \quad (6-6)$$

where  $n_A$  is the number density of argon particles, all assumed singly ionized, will be used in a compatible calculation of both number density and axial ion velocity.

The measured current density in the after region (III) is relatively small but with currents in the same direction as the main conduction zone. There is only a slight asymmetry in the axial pressure data which can be accounted for by the flow inclination as evidenced by the luminous wave patterns. Accordingly, it will be assumed that the electrons are the primary current carriers in region III.

Regarding the radial current component, its magnitude was estimated from the appropriate component of Ampere's law, as:

$$j_r = -\frac{1}{\mu_0} \frac{\partial B_\theta}{\partial z} \quad (6-7)$$

With appropriate values for  $R = 2$  in.,  $j_z = 5 \times 10^6$  amp/m<sup>2</sup> as compared to  $j_r = 7 \times 10^7$  amp/m<sup>2</sup>. These figures indicate the justification for neglecting radial current, but also show agreement with the approximation

$$\frac{j_r}{j_z} \approx \phi \quad (6-8)$$

where  $\phi$  is the current sheet tilt from luminosity or magnetic probe records.

### 6.3 ESTIMATIONS OF PARTICLE VELOCITY

The model that has been developed of the current sheet interaction with the ambient argon atoms is one where the approximately static atom is approached by the imploding current sheet, ionized by electron collision, passes through the current zone with some thermalization of its kinetic energy, meets



a very strong ion collisional interaction in the dense zone (II) and is entrained at sheet velocity or "leaks" through the current sheet after having been accelerated to a fraction of sheet velocity. Clearly, if a particle is entrained it will be traveling at sheet velocity ( $V_p$ ), but an estimation of particle velocities prior to and after this region are of interest.

The relative energy between a static ion and one entrained in the current sheet is 180 ev, as noted earlier. In zone I an ion overtaken by the current sheet would collide primarily with electrons which would produce little effect on its trajectory because of the mass discrepancy, however, the collisions with argon particles would randomize an increment of the incoming ion velocity. With the electrons carrying the current, the  $j \times B$  force is applied to the electrons and transferred to the ions by the measurable charge-separation radial electric field. Again, it is desired to establish limiting profiles of ion velocity and number density on this region. On this basis, the minimum ion velocity in the current zone will be calculated as defined by its collisionless motion through the electric field. By equating particle kinetic energy with the field energy increment, the ion velocity in the sheet frame as a function of position in the current sheet is

$$u_{ri}^2 = v_s^2 - \frac{2}{m_A} \int_0^{r_s} eE_r dr$$

and since sheet position can be transformed to the time of sheet passage at a fixed position

$$u_{ri}(I) = \left\{ v_s^2 - \frac{2eV_s}{m} \int_0^t E_r dt \right\}^{1/2} \quad (6-9)$$

From measured profiles of  $E_r$  (Fig. 5-8) the lab velocity  $v_i = V_s - u_i$  in Fig. 6-1 was derived. The maximum value of  $\sim 1/5V_s$  should be noted as conservatively low because of the gasdynamic distortion noted (Appendix B) for radial electric probe measurements and the effects of collisions.


An estimation of particle velocity in region III, behind the intense current zone, can be carried out using Eq. (6-3), where for  $n_e \approx 10^{16}/\text{cm}^3$  and  $T_e \approx 3 \text{ ev}$ ,  $\sigma_o \approx 10^4 \text{ mho/m}$  and so  $j_z/\sigma_o \approx 10^2 \text{ v/m}$  which can be neglected compared with the magnitude of the remaining terms. Rearranging, the particle velocity then becomes

$$v_{ri}(III) \approx \frac{E_z}{B_\theta} \quad (6-10)$$

and from the field profiles (Figs. 5-7 and 5-8) the variation presented in Fig. 6-1 is implied.

#### 6.4 NUMBER DENSITY PROFILE AND SWEEPING EFFICIENCY

The derivation of profiles of particle number density compatible with the profiles of pressure, electric and magnetic fields, is desired. As in the earlier treatments it is most



ESTIMATED RADIAL ION VELOCITY AND CURRENT DENSITY PROFILES

FIGURE 6-1

convenient to discuss the distinct zones of the sheet separately.

### Region I

The leading edge of the current sheet has been identified as one with intense electron current conduction. Further, the simultaneous drop in  $E_r$  (Fig. 5-1) and rise in  $P_r$  (Fig. 5-3) at the instant of  $\dot{B}_\theta$  peak both indicate the arrival of significant numbers of ions with the related increase in number density. The increment of radial pressure between  $\dot{B}_\theta$  peak and the major pressure discontinuity does not appear on the axial pressure records, leading to the identification of this increment with a directed motion of ions. Experimentally then, this initial zone (I) appears as a primary region of isotropic pressure and a secondary region with small but definable increment of impact pressure that can be used to indicate number density. Since the isotropic pressure can be attributed to either density or temperature effects, it appears necessary to evaluate a more general density profile. This evaluation can be carried out by appealing to the apparent absence of mass accumulation and resulting steady flow of particles through the zone. Taking a frame of reference fixed with the sheet, to good approximation:

$$(\text{Zone I}) \quad n_0 V_s = nu \quad (6-11)$$

where  $n_0$  is ambient number density,  $n$  is ion number density through the zone as a function of particle velocity,  $u \equiv V_s - v_r$ . Taking the variation of  $v_r$  presented in Fig. 6-1, the number


density profile indicated in Fig. 6-2 was derived. Since the particle velocities were derived from a radial E field integral (collisionless), the region of questionable accuracy is shown dashed. However, it is to this subregion that the radial pressure increment can be applied, with the following formulation

$$\Delta P_r = n_A m_A v_r^2$$

where  $v_r$  is the local field-induced ion velocity. It can be seen that there is reasonable agreement between the two sets of data when they overlap. It will again be noted that the derived results represent a minimum velocity profile and a maximum number density profile according to the model. As a further check on the indicated profiles, number density was calculated from Eq. (6-5) and was found to be in reasonable agreement when the experimental error in  $E_r$  was considered.

### Region II

The number density in the entrained region will not be treated as a distribution but as an average due to the inadequate resolution of the measurements within the estimated 1.5 mm thick zone. Because of the large pressure discontinuity on both the radial and axial records, it must be expected that the distribution is actually sharply peaked at the leading edge of the region; the implications of this effect will be discussed later. The derivation of number density from radial pressure measurements will first be presented, and then the relationship of axial measurements to axial ion velocity will be outlined.



ESTIMATED NUMBER DENSITY AND CURRENT DENSITY PROFILES

FIGURE 6-2

The reduction of an average density from radial pressure measurements is carried out from an impact analysis,

$$P_r = n_A m_A v_r^2$$

where  $v_r$  for the entrained mass is equal to sheet ( $V_p$ ) velocity. Taking the appropriate value for  $R = 2$  in. of  $P_r = 100$  atm, the indicated average number density is  $n_A(\text{II}) = 1.65 \times 10^{17}/\text{cm}^3$ , (Fig. 6-2).

As has been noted earlier, the difference between the static response,  $P_{\text{stat}}$ , and  $P_Z(\text{C})$  can be related to the ion number density and axial velocity. Again equating pressure increment to impact momentum transfer,

$$\Delta P_Z = n_A m_A v_{zi}^2$$

where, when appropriate values are substituted ( $\Delta P_Z = 1$  atm) then  $v_{zi} = 3 \times 10^3$  m/sec is indicated. This value compares favorably with the tilt component of axial velocity,  $V \sin \phi = 2.7 \times 10^3$  m/sec. A further check on the magnitude of the axial velocity can be carried out on the basis of an axial momentum balance,

$$f_z = \rho \frac{D}{Dt} v_z$$

and if the force field to the leading edge of region II is considered, steady flow conditions can be applied to give

$$j_r B_\theta = n_o m_A V_s \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial r}$$

Recalling that  $j_r \approx \phi j_z$ , then

$$dv_z = \frac{\phi j_z B_\theta}{n_o m_A V_s} dr$$

and across the region of interest  $r \approx \text{constant}$ , so from Ampere's law,

$$\int_I dv_z = \int_I \frac{\phi B_\theta dB_\theta}{\mu_o n_o m_A V_s}$$

then at the leading edge of Zone II

$$v_z \approx \frac{\phi B_\theta^2}{2\mu_o n_o m_A V_s} = 2.8 \times 10^3 \text{ m/sec}$$

in reasonable agreement with the results derived from pressure measurements.

The above value of axial ion velocity can be related to current density through Eq. (6-6), as

$$j_{zi} = n_A e v_{zi} \approx 8 \times 10^7 \text{ amp/m}^2$$

compared with the total current density,  $j_z = 2.5 \times 10^7 \text{ amp/m}^2$ , it is apparent that negative electron currents are flowing, with axial velocity  $v_{ze} \approx 2 \times 10^3 \text{ m/sec}$ . It would appear that the negative electron current is due to collisional coupling of the electrons with streaming ions in the dense, entrained region.

### Region III

The gas that has been passed over by the intense current



sheet appears to have a significant induced velocity as evidenced by the axial electric field. Using the particle velocities indicated in Fig. 6-1, the number densities in this region were estimated using the radial probe data, with

$$P_r = n_A m_A v_r^2$$

The indication of number density from the axial probe measurement and the estimated temperature are in reasonable agreement with the impact profiles.

#### Mass Entrainment

The analysis presented to this point serves to identify the reservoir of entrained mass as contained within region II, since the primary region (I) appears to support steady flow, and since the swept region (III) is evidently not directly coupled to the intense acceleration zone. A calculation of entrained mass, then, is effectively a calculation of the mass in region II. Analytically, it is appropriate to define the ratio of mass entrained in the current sheet layer (II), to that originally in the annular swept volume as the sweeping ratio

$$S \equiv \frac{n_{ent} \cdot m_A (2\pi R \cdot \delta) \cdot h}{n_o \cdot m_A \cdot \pi (R_o^2 - R^2) \cdot h}$$

Accordingly, the density in region II can be expressed as

$$n_{II} = n_o + \frac{n_o (R_o^2 - R^2) S}{2R \cdot \delta}$$


as a function of radius and  $\delta$  ; or, with measured values of  $n_{II}$ ,

$$S = \left( \frac{n_{II}}{n_0} - 1 \right) \frac{2R \cdot \delta}{(R_0^2 - R^2)}$$

The variation of measured values of  $n_{II}$  and  $\delta$  with radius, and the derived variation of  $S$  is presented in Fig. 6-3.


#### 6.5 ESTIMATED TEMPERATURE PROFILE AND ENERGY BALANCE

From the measured static pressure profile and the number density variation reduced from radial pressure records, it is possible to estimate particle temperatures from the equation of state,  $p = nkT$ . Since it has been assumed that  $n_i = n_e$ , a calculation of total particle temperature  $T_t \equiv T_a + T_e$  can be carried out directly. Species temperatures can be distinguished by estimation of electron temperatures in the various regions as proposed above. On this basis, the estimated temperature profiles are presented in Fig. 6-4. These results are meant to serve only as general indications of thermal energy deposition and not as exact profiles. Specifically, it should be noted that it is only possible to consider average values for region II because accurate resolution of details is impossible. However, the data do appear to indicate that this is not a region of constant pressure, density, and temperature, but is sharply peaked at its leading edge in all these variables. This effect could help to explain the estimated 34 ev ion temperatures at the leading edge and the average of 12.5 ev for the zone. A



RADIAL VARIATION OF PEAK DENSITY, WIDTH OF ENTRAINED ZONE, AND SWEEPING EFFICIENCY

FIGURE 6-3



ESTIMATED TEMPERATURE AND CURRENT DENSITY  
PROFILES

FIGURE 6-4

sharply peaked profile could still exhibit a temperature jump at the leading edge to be compatible with the pressure and density discontinuity and yet satisfy the temperature estimates presented.

With an estimate of particle temperatures through the current sheet, it is now possible to carry out a general energy balance within the discharge system in an attempt to corroborate the sheet behavior postulated and to clarify further the sheet interaction mechanics. Calculations of energy components will be carried out for the  $R = 2$  in. sheet position.

The total energy input to the discharge chamber can be estimated from measurements of voltage drop across the electrodes ( $V_{ch}$ ) and circuit current ( $I$ ), as

$$E_{ch} = \int_0^t I \cdot V_{ch} dt \approx 400 \text{ joules}$$

where  $t$  is the time required for the sheet to reach radius  $R$ . The energy deposited in the magnetic field system behind the sheet can be expressed as

$$E_B = \int_0^R \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} (2\pi r h) dr \approx 200 \text{ joules}$$

The kinetic energy of the particles accelerated by the current sheet is

$$E_{kin} = 1/2 n_0 S m_A V_s^2 \cdot \pi (R_0^2 - R^2) \cdot h \approx 100 \text{ joules}$$

Accordingly, the thermal energy increment can be evaluated as

$$E_{TH} = E_{ch} - (E_B + E_{kin}) \approx 100 \text{ joules}$$

in agreement with the equipartition of sheet energy between kinetic and thermal components expected for the constant velocity trajectory [12]. When the 100 joule energy increment is averaged over the available atoms the value of 180 ev per particle is arrived at, in agreement with the thermal component calculated from ion kinetic energy in the sheet frame; it is much more appropriate to examine the component parts of the thermal energy deposited within the sheet.

As a first step, the kinetic energy of axial streaming will be evaluated as

$$E_{kin}(z) = 1/2 m_A n_{II} v_{zi}^2 \cdot 2\pi R \cdot \delta \cdot h \approx 1 \text{ joule}$$

a negligibly small component, accordingly, in the sheet frame

$$E_{TH} \approx E_{int} = E_T + E_{el} + E_{ion} + E_\gamma$$

where the so-called internal energy is broken into translational (temperature), ionization, electronic excitation and radiated energies. Now the translational and ionization energies can be calculated separately using the estimated temperature profile (Fig. 6-4) and the assumption of complete single ionization:

$$E_T = \sum n \cdot 3/2 k(T_A + T_e) \cdot \text{vol.} \approx 15 \text{ joules}$$

$$E_{ion}(1st) = e_i \cdot n_o \cdot \pi(R_o^2 - R^2) \cdot h \approx 10 \text{ joules}$$

with  $e_i = 15.75$  ev. The energy deposited within the electronic excitation mode has not yet been considered; i.e., if this term was neglected there would exist complete nonequilibrium between the electronic states and the translational states. For the other limiting case, assuming complete equilibrium between the translational and electronic modes as would be expected because of electron activity, the internal energy can be estimated from the work of Knoche [47,48] who considered the thermodynamic properties of argon plasma to temperatures exceeding  $10^5$  °K. This work assumes complete thermal equilibrium, including equal electron and ion temperatures, resulting in dominant sixth and seventh stage ions at  $10^5$  °K. In order to estimate the electronic energy investment in the present case with dominant first and second stage ions, the appropriate internal energy for that condition was estimated as

$$E_{el} \approx 15 \text{ joules}$$

The thermal energy balance must be completed, then, by a term to account for energy loss by radiation. The components can be tabulated and summed to complete the energy balance, as:

$$E_{kin}(z) \approx 1 \text{ joule}$$

$$E_T \approx 15 \text{ joules}$$

$$E_{ion}(1st) \approx 10 \text{ joules}$$

$$E_{el} \approx 15 \text{ joules}$$

$$E_\nu \approx 60 \text{ joules}$$

---


$$\sum E = E_{TH} \approx 100 \text{ joules}$$

The radiated energy component can be used to define an effective "brightness" temperature,  $T_\nu$ , as

$$E = \int_0^t 2 \cdot 2\pi R \cdot h \cdot \sigma_r T_\nu^4 dt$$

where with  $E_\nu = 60$  joules,  $kT_\nu = 1.2$  ev indicating an optically thin body of gas. The significant percentage (60%) of thermal energy lost in radiation agrees with the experimental observation of intense light emission from the sweeping discharge.

## 6.6 ACCELERATION MECHANICS

The analysis of the current sheet presented above has established the distribution of mass and the distribution of energy within the current sheet. The analysis of acceleration mechanics is basically concerned with the establishment of a radial momentum balance within the sheet system. Accordingly, a differential formulation of momentum conservation will first be presented and the application of this relationship to the current sheet will then be carried out.

The radial momentum balance, neglecting boundary effects, can be written most generally (per unit volume) as

$$f_r = \rho \frac{Dv_r}{Dt}$$

or

$$f_{em} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial(\rho v_r)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\rho v_r^2)$$

where  $f_{em}$  is the electromagnetic force applied to the streaming



particles. This expression will be applied in a frame of reference fixed with the current sheet. It has been established that the electrons are the primary current carriers in the sheet and accordingly, that the  $j \times B$  momentum increment received by the electrons is transmitted to the ions through the radial electric field. Since the radial  $E$  field drops rapidly toward zero at the time of  $\dot{B}_\theta$  peak, it can be presumed that the local  $j \times B$  increment is applied directly to the heavy particles without measurable charge separation after that point. Accordingly, for a momentum balance between the sheet leading edge ( $R_1$ ) and a point later than  $\dot{B}_\theta$  peak ( $R_2$ )

$$-\int_{R_1}^{R_2} j_z B_\theta dr - \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} dr = \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \cdot v_r dr + \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \frac{\partial (\rho v_r^2)}{\partial r} dr$$

since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho v_r) \approx v_r \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}$$

Further, in the sheet frame,  $v_r = 0$  for the region of entrained mass ( $\partial \rho / \partial t \neq 0$ ), and taking ambient properties ( $\circ$ ) as initial conditions ( $p_\circ \approx 0$ ,  $v_{r\circ} = v_s$ ), then

$$\int_{R_1}^{R_2} j_z B_\theta dr + p_2 + \rho_2 v_{r2}^2 - \rho_\circ v_s^2 = 0$$

Now the experimentally derived profile of entrained mass has indicated mass concentrations within a thin, discontinuous region, accordingly, it appears most appropriate to apply the

above relationship between ambient conditions and an interface defined slightly behind region II, where in the near vacuum,  $p_2 \approx 0$ , then

$$\int_{R_1}^{R_2} j_z B_\theta dr = \rho_o v_s^2 - \rho_{III} v_{rIII}^2$$

This expression can be further reduced by applying the expression of mass conservation, as

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\rho v_r) = 0$$

which, when integrated between the points of interest gives

$$\rho_{III} v_{rIII} = \rho_o v_s - \frac{\partial \rho_{III}}{\partial t} \cdot \delta = \rho_o v_s (1 - S)$$

Substituting into the momentum balance

$$P_{jB} \equiv \int_{R_1}^{R_2} j_z B_\theta dr \approx \rho_o v_s^2 S$$

where  $S$  is the sweeping efficiency defined earlier, and  $P_{jB}$  is the effective pressure acting on the entrained mass due to the  $j \times B$  force field. Taking experimental values,  $P_{jB} = 3.36 \times 10^5 \text{ n/m}^2$ , implying a sweeping efficiency of  $S \approx 1.8$ , in obvious contradiction with measured  $S \approx 0.9$  and a limiting value of  $S'(\text{max}) = 1$ . The above calculation must be interpreted from the point of view that the equation does not describe a complete momentum balance. Specifically, a momentum increment due to the boundary layer effects at the

electrodes can be expected, which within the general orders of magnitude of the problem could account for the excessive momentum increment. It will also be noted that there is apparent agreement between effective driving pressure and the average static pressure of the entrained mass, i.e.,  $P_{jB} \approx P_{stat}$ .

It would appear, then, that the current sheet interacts with the ambient gas as a slightly porous piston. In the context of that observation, it is of interest to consider the state of the gas left behind (III) by the intense current sheet interaction. Following region II, there is an evident low pressure region followed by a gradual continuous compression and a second expansion. For purposes of analysis, this behavior will be compared with that predicted for gas behind a real, physical piston. For such a case, the important parameter for the gas behind the piston is the characteristic velocity,  $U_L$ . A piston moving with a velocity greater than  $U_L$  will be followed by a region of vacuum and then a continuous compression. The characteristic velocity can be evaluated as

$$U_L = \frac{2a_0}{\gamma - 1}$$

Taking approximate values of  $\gamma \approx 1.3$  and  $a_0 \approx 4 \times 10^3$  m/sec for  $T \approx 3$  eV [42], then  $U_L \approx 3 \times 10^4$  m/sec -- very near sheet velocity, indicating that this effect could significantly influence the swept gas. Accordingly, the behavior of the unswept gas is in general agreement with the concept of the current sheet acting upon the ambient gas as a porous piston.

## 6.7 DISCUSSION OF ANALYTICAL MODELS

The analysis of the current sheet that has been carried out has been based exclusively on the compatible interpretation of simultaneous experimental profiles through the sheet. It is now of interest to consider the profiles that have been derived within the structure and to compare them with those predicted by more general analytical models that have been proposed.

### Snowplow Model

The snowplow model provides perhaps the simplest model for dynamic current sheet interaction. It is based on the assumption of an infinitely conducting body of plasma, through which the current must flow through a thin surface layer. This surface current layer is presumed to act as an impermeable "magnetic piston" that entrains the swept mass in a very thin layer on the surface and carries it along. The model, then, predicts an infinitesimally thin current sheet with no magnetic field in front and no mass in the rear; across that interface the gas kinetic pressure,  $P$ , will equal the magnetic pressure,  $P_{\text{mag}}$ , as

$$P = \int_{R_1}^{R_2} j_z B_\theta \, dr = \frac{B^2(R_2)}{2\mu_0} = P_{\text{mag}}$$

Accordingly, it can be seen that such a model would not provide an exact analysis for the present experiments for several reasons. First, the current sheet is not infinitesimally thin, but has a finite width ( $\sim 2$  cm) with mass concentration

( $\sim 2$  mm) in the rear of the force field. Since there is no definable interface where there is a balance between gas kinetic and magnetic pressure, there are conceptual difficulties in defining the snowplowing surface. Further, the basic model does not consider leakage, however, such effects can be included in a straightforward manner. It should be noted that while this model is not exact, it does agree approximately with a correct momentum balance outlined above, applied as jump conditions across the current conduction region.

#### Shock Wave Models

When the specification of finite compressibility of the gas is introduced into a piston-sweeping model, it can be expected that a shock wave will emerge from the interaction. Accordingly, the experimental results will be compared with the predictions of such a model. It should be noted that it is well established that shock waves can be generated in 100  $\mu$  ambient argon [49], however, the conditions required for classical shock formation in electromagnetic shock tubes are not clearly understood [50]. The present experimental results define a density discontinuity imbedded in the current sheet, with no measurable change in the magnetic field corresponding to the density variation. Accordingly, since analysis of MHD shocks invariably predicts a change in magnetic fields across such a density discontinuity [51], the experimental results will be compared with classical gasdynamic shocks as a valid approximation. However, it will again be emphasized that there is no clear delineation

between piston and shock in the present experiments, in fact, the shock is imbedded in the rear of the force field.

Detailed calculations of the flow field produced by a pressure discontinuity in a cylindrical shock tube have been presented in the literature [52,53], however, the results do not clearly define the behavior of an effective piston and so application to the present case is not straightforward. However, the conditions of the present experiment can be directly compared with the results of a self-similar solution of the multidimensional piston problem presented by Chernyi [35]. The analysis presented is based on a Lagrangian formulation and expansion of gas properties in terms of the strong shock density ratio. When that solution is adapted to the cylindrical imploding geometry with a constant velocity piston, a constant velocity shock with constant pressure and density behind the shock are predicted. The theoretical separation of piston and shock ( $\delta$  shock) predicted by this analysis is presented in Fig. 6-3 for purposes of comparison. This solution, then, predicts a constant post-shock pressure with linearly increasing shock separation ( $\delta$  shock). This behavior is in direct conflict with the present experimental evidence, where it is observed that the region of entrained mass is approximately constant thickness while its density significantly increases with implosion. From this comparison, it is evident that the sweeping current sheet, although acting to entrain a very high percentage of mass encountered, does not interact in detail as a classical piston during the discharge initiation period observed.

Compatible analytical models for the cylindrical electromagnetic discharge have been presented by Greifinger and Cole [54] for the infinite conductivity case, and Hoffman [9] for specialized finite conductivity cases. Both solutions are based upon the application of similarity techniques to the complete equations. However, the infinite conductivity assumption with the implicit infinitesimally thin sheet does not accurately describe the experimental configuration of a diffuse current sheet providing a distributed force field. The finite conductivity calculations [9] include predictions of number density profiles for perfectly sweeping sheets; the theoretical solution for parameters appropriate to the present experimental results show reasonable agreement up to the pressure discontinuity, which is a singular point. On the basis of this theoretical study and related experimental studies, it was concluded that shocks would not form in the forward portion of the current sheet in argon. The trends evident in the present experimental study do indicate an approach toward an entrained gas region of constant density and increasing thickness ( $\delta$ ) as the sheet implodes, but any prediction of eventual shock wave separation from the current sheet does not appear to be warranted. Regarding the significance of the present results to a purely analytic treatment, there are clear indicators of conductivity profile and boundary conditions within the sheet, both of which are critical elements in the development of any similarity solution.

## CHAPTER 7

## SUMMARY

The interaction of a dynamic current sheet propagating into ambient argon has been examined experimentally in a linear z-pinch device and the results were incorporated into a compatible sheet interaction model. Some specific aspects of the study are as follows.

Measurement of pressure profiles through the current sheet required the development of a high-speed piezoelectric pressure transducer. This study resulted in the determination of a new criterion for matching the piezo material to the probe body and the utilization of a unique high voltage insulation.

The examination of probe-discharge interaction resulted in the discovery of luminous profiles about the probe in the swept gas region and their tentative identification as shock waves resulting from a sheet induced flow.

Simultaneous measurements of pressure, current density, and electric field served to identify three regions of dominant behavior within the current sheet; in sequence, regions of current flow (I), mass accumulation (II), and induced flow of unswept gas (III) were defined. The primary current carriers were found to be the electrons; however, significant axial



streaming of ions was identified in the rear of the sheet (II), corresponding to ion current conduction.

Profiles of particle density, temperature, and velocity through the sheet were derived from the data. With these results the current sheet was seen to entrain a large percentage of the mass it encountered; a momentum balance established the existence of a substantial loss mechanism, such as electrode drag; an energy balance was in agreement with temperature and ionization models and defined a significant radiation loss.

The momentum balance across the current sheet was found to agree in concept, but not in detail, with a snowplow formulation. Experimentally derived variation of pressure, density, and width of the entrained gas zone during the initial discharge period observed did not agree with separated piston-shock wave models of current sheet interaction. The significance of the experimental results to a general theoretical analysis of current sheet interaction was concluded to be the definition of the dominant mechanisms for each of the regions within the sheet structure.

## APPENDIX A

## MAGNETIC PROBE TECHNIQUES

## A.1 INTRODUCTION

A knowledge of magnetic field distribution profiles is an important element in the analysis of any electromagnetic event. The determination of these profiles for a transient, self-field discharge can be carried out with simple inductive loops. The performance of such devices has been well-documented in the literature [17], and so the present outline will be directly concerned with basic performance, perturbations of probe output, and evaluation of current density from the basic magnetic field data.

## A.2 BASIC PERFORMANCE OF THE INDUCTIVE LOOP

An inductive loop is simply a length of conducting wire turned to form a closed contour with twisted leads. The relationship between voltage output and magnetic induction is provided by Faraday's law,

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = - \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}$$

which when integrated over the loop area indicates a voltage

$$V_B \text{ (emf)} = - \int_A \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \cdot d\vec{A}$$

$$\approx A \cdot \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} \text{ for } B \text{ constant, normal to } A$$

The magnetic induction can be most easily determined by integrating the above signal in a passive RC network with terminating resistance  $R_0$ , integrator resistance  $R$ , and integrator capacitance  $C$ , resulting in a signal voltage

$$V_B \text{ (emf)} = \frac{1}{RC} \int V_B \, dt$$


$$\approx \frac{A}{RC} \cdot B \text{ for a single-turn coil}$$

On the basis of providing satisfactory spatial resolution with the 5/32-in. diameter pressure probe, all magnetic probes were fabricated with either two or three turns of #27 Formvar-coated copper wire and wrapped with a 3/32-in. (2.4 mm) internal diameter. Several inches of twisted lead connected the coil to 50- $\Omega$  cable for transmission to the oscilloscope. The primary constraint on the probe system is related to frequency response, defined in terms of  $\tau = L/R_0$ , the time it takes a suddenly applied field to enter the coil. Taking approximate values for the above circuit,  $\tau = 10^{-10}$  sec, well within the time scale of the current sheet event. In order that the error introduced by the integrator would be small [17], the constraint  $\tau_e(\text{experiment}) \ll RC = 200 \, \mu\text{sec}$  was satisfied.

Probe coils were calibrated by discharging several lumped capacitors through a shorting post in the discharge chamber; the output was compared with the magnetic fields calculated from known currents and radial position.

### A.3 PERTURBATIONS OF MAGNETIC PROBE OUTPUT

As with any probe technique which requires immersion of the sensing element within a moving plasma, the magnetic probe signal is subject to distortion. Effects such as thermal heating of the probe covering, ablation and its consequences, distortion of current patterns have been discussed generally by Lovberg [17] and specifically for the present application by Burton and Ellis [13,14]. Ideal magnetic diffusion times were calculated to be well within acceptable limits; however, the effects of plasma diffusion in the environment of a gasdynamic interaction with the probe structure are of some concern. Simultaneous measurements of  $\dot{B}_\theta$  and pressure are intended, and any delay in  $\dot{B}_\theta$  due to diffusion would be unsatisfactory. In order to evaluate this effect, a series of shots was taken in the pinch chamber, varying the thickness and type of insulating covering over the magnetic probe while maintaining every other parameter constant. A highly reproducible current sheet was developed for this purpose by altering the circuit current waveform; the results of this study are presented in Fig. A-1. It can be seen that there is a significant reduction in the magnitude of  $\dot{B}_\theta$  peak with the heavier insulation. Further, there also appears to be a noticeable broadening effect in the  $\dot{B}_\theta$  profile with covering thickness; this implies that for detailed sheet studies, a magnetic probe coil should be given only thin coverings of insulation. Accordingly, the magnetic probe coils built into



RESPONSE OF MAGNETIC PROBE WITH VARIOUS  
INSULATOR COVERINGS

the pressure probe structure were insulated only by heavy Formvar and a very thin covering of epoxy. For the general chamber survey, a coil encased in a 5 mm diameter Pyrex tube was employed.

#### A.4 CURRENT DENSITY DISTRIBUTION

The output from an induction coil can be related to current density through Ampere's law, neglecting displacement current,

$$\mu_0 \bar{j} = \nabla \times \bar{B} \quad (A-1)$$

or in its appropriate form for the cylindrical geometry,

$$j_z(r, t) = \frac{1}{\mu_0 r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r B_\theta) \quad (A-2)$$

The above equation can be integrated over a surface in the  $r - \theta$  plane to give

$$\mu_0 I_{enc}(r, t) = 2\pi r B_\theta(r, t) \quad A-3)$$

which when substituted into (A-2) provides

$$j_z(r, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (I_{enc}) \quad (A-4)$$

Following Ellis [14], (A-3) will be differentiated with respect to time, and the enclosed current variation at a fixed radius will be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{I} &= \dot{I}_{circuit} + \dot{I}_{convection} \\ &\approx \dot{I}_{Rog} \cdot \frac{I_{enc}}{I_{Rog}} - v_s \frac{\partial I_{enc}}{\partial r} \end{aligned}$$

which when combined and substituted into (A-4) for  $\partial/\partial r (I_{enc})$  gives

$$j_z(r,t) = \frac{1}{u_o V_s} \left[ \dot{B}_\theta - \left( \frac{\dot{I}_{Rog}}{I_{Rog}} \right) B_\theta \right] \quad (A-5)$$

where  $V_s$  is current sheet velocity, and the subscript Rog refers to Rogowski coil (total circuit current) data. The current density profiles evaluated by the above technique were checked for accuracy by comparison with enclosed current profiles; the enclosed current calculated from a time integration of current density, compared with a more direct calculation from Eq. (A-3) were generally found to be in agreement. The distribution of chamber current for the given waveform was found to result in approximately 75 percent of the current flowing within the sheet, with the remainder distributed in the swept area.

## APPENDIX B

## ELECTRIC PROBE TECHNIQUES

## B.1 INTRODUCTION

The existence of finite electric fields within sweeping current sheets has been experimentally established by the application of the double floating probe device [4,8,13,17]. The principles of operation and the application to the study of current sheet structure can be considered well-known and will not be repeated here. The unique aspect of such a measurement in this study is that it will be made simultaneously with both pressure and magnetic probes. Accordingly, the questions of primary significance are those relating to the accuracy of the measurement in both time and magnitude.

## B.2 BASIC PERFORMANCE OF THE ELECTRIC PROBE

The floating double probe is a device that measures the difference between floating potential at two points in a plasma. To accomplish this measurement, two closely spaced electrodes are allowed to float in the plasma with a signal proportional to their difference being recorded. Specifically, a conically shaped probe was used with a 2.5 mm diameter ring electrode separated by a 1.85 mm gap from the second electrode at the tip; electrode surface areas were equal. The components



of the system are shown in Fig. B-1. The design of the transformer and performance of that unit have been clearly outlined by Burton [13]. The frequency response and calibration of the circuitry with  $R = 0$  was carried out with a square wave generator; frequency response was found to be satisfactory and the voltage reduction factor was 0.125.

While the probe circuit will produce a signal proportional to the difference of floating potential ( $V_f$ ), the quantity of direct interest is the difference in plasma potential ( $V_p$ ). The relationship between these two quantities is the difference in sheath potential drop ( $V_s$ ), as

$$(V_{f_2} - V_{f_1}) = (V_{p_2} - V_{p_1}) + (V_{s_2} - V_{s_1})$$

The sheath potential drop will be of the order of  $kT_e/e$ ; accordingly, with  $kT_e(\text{max}) \approx 4$  ev, an electron temperature gradient greater than about 1 ev in 2 mm would not be expected. Such a temperature difference would be negligible compared to measured signals of about 20 volts in the center of the sheet. Accordingly, the local electric field will be evaluated as


$$E = -\nabla V \approx \frac{V_{f_2} - V_{f_1}}{\delta_E}$$

where  $\delta_E$  is the electrode separation.

### B.3 PERTURBATIONS OF ELECTRIC PROBE OUTPUT

The basic advantage of the double probe is that the currents drawn from the plasma are quite small in order to

AP25-4055-66



ELECTRIC PROBE CIRCUIT (SCHEMATIC)

FIGURE B-1

avoid distortion of the medium. However, the finite size of the probe may result in gasdynamic interaction as a serious source of error. As a guide to interpretation of such effects, the results of some preliminary work reported by Turchi [36] are of interest. In a series of carefully executed experiments, it was found that the indicated electric field signal of a floating probe is dependent upon electrode separation and on the shape of insulating surfaces surrounding the electrodes. Specifically, for a conically shaped probe as used in the present experiments, the electric field was found to be lower than that obtained with small, spherical electrode tips by a factor of up to 0.63. The trends noted in these experiments will be useful in the evaluation of electric probe data.

## APPENDIX C


## VOLTAGE PROBE TECHNIQUES

## C.1 INTRODUCTION

The significance of voltage measurements in this study lies in their direct relationship to energy deposition. Specifically, a knowledge of the resistive drop across the current sheet allows an estimation of ohmic heating, and a knowledge of the total drop across the chamber allows an estimation of total energy supplied to the current sheet and magnetic field system. For all voltage measurements that were taken, a Tektronix type P6013 probe was connected to a terminal from the chamber system and to the oscilloscope. A general discussion of voltage probing principles has been presented in the literature [17] and a specific application to the linear z-pinch is also available [13]; accordingly, the emphasis in the present outline will be simply to indicate the specific probing circuit.

## C.2 EXTERNAL VOLTAGE MEASUREMENTS

The measurement of the total voltage drop across the chamber ( $V_{ch}$ ) was accomplished as indicated in Fig. C-1. For this case, the voltage probe was connected to the lower electrode of the discharge chamber and to the ground electrode.



DISCHARGE VOLTAGE MEASUREMENTS

FIGURE C-1

The output signal was then proportional to the chamber voltage:

$$V_{ch} = \frac{d}{dt} (\phi_{chamber} + \phi_{insulator}) + IR_{plasma}$$

### C.3 INNER DIVIDER VOLTAGE MEASUREMENTS

In order to measure the voltage drop across the current sheet, a metal rod with insulating covering was fitted through the outer electrode on the chamber axis to contact the inner electrode at one point (Fig. C-1). The probe was connected to the axial conducting rod and ground, so the circuit path was through the electrodes and current sheet and did not include any changing flux within its contours. Ideally the probe output was then proportional to plasma resistance, as:

$$V_I \approx IR_{plasma}$$

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. M. Rosenbluth et al., "Infinite Conductivity Theory of the Pinch," Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory Rept. LA-1850, Los Alamos, N. Mex., 1954.
2. K. Hain et al., "Fully Ionized Pinch Collapse," Zeitschrift fur Naturforschung, Band 15a, Heft 12, 1960.
3. N. V. Fillipov, "Investigation of Pressures in a Powerful Pulsed Gas Discharge Using a Piezoelectric Measuring Device," vol. 3 of Plasma Physics and the Problems of Controlled Thermonuclear Reactions, Pergamon Press, Inc., New York, 1959.
4. L. C. Burkhardt and R. H. Lovberg, "Current Sheet in a Coaxial Plasma Gun," Phys. Fluids, vol. 5, March, 1962.
5. R. H. Lovberg, "Investigation of Current-sheet Microstructure," AIAA J., vol. 4, July, 1966.
6. G. C. Vlases, "Experiments in a Cylindrical Magnetic Shock Tube," J. Fluid Mech., vol. 16, 1963.
7. G. C. Vlases, "Shock and Current Layer Structure in an Electromagnetic Shock Tube," Phys. Fluids, vol. 10, November, 1967.
8. F. Y. Sorrell, "Current Sheet Dynamics in an Inverse Pinch Shock Tube," Phys. Fluids, vol. 11, May, 1968.
9. A. L. Hoffmann, "MHD Shock Production and Current Sheet Diffusion," Ph.D. thesis, Calif. Inst. of Tech., Pasadena, Calif., 1967.

10. R. G. Jahn and W. F. von Jaskowsky, "Current Distribution in Large-radius Pinch Discharges," AIAA J., vol. 2, October, 1964.
11. R. G. Jahn et al., "Current Pattern Stabilization in Pulsed Plasma Accelerators," (to be published) AIAA J., 1968.
12. N. A. Black, "Dynamics of a Pinch Discharge Driven by a High Current Pulse-forming Network," Ph.D. thesis, Dept. of Aerospace and Mechanical Sciences, Princeton Univ., Princeton, N. J., 1966.
13. R. L. Burton, "Structure of the Current Sheet in a Pinch Discharge," Ph.D. thesis, Dept. of Aerospace and Mechanical Sciences, Princeton Univ., Princeton, N. J., 1966.
14. W. R. Ellis, "An Investigation of Current Sheet Structure in a Cylindrical Z-Pinch," Ph.D. thesis, Dept. of Aerospace and Mechanical Sciences, Princeton Univ., Princeton, N. J., 1967.
15. R. G. Jahn, Physics of Electric Propulsion, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1968.
16. R. G. Jahn et al., "Gas-triggered Pinch Discharge Switch," Rev. Sci. Instr., vol. 36, January, 1965.
17. R. H. Huddleston and S. L. Leonard, Plasma Diagnostics, Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1965.
18. "Pulsed Electromagnetic Gas Acceleration," 11th Semi-annual Progress Rept. No. 634j, Guggenheim Laboratories for the Aerospace Propulsion Sciences, Princeton Univ., Princeton, N. J., 1967.



19. T. G. Jones and G. C. Vlases, "Pressure Probes for Research in Plasma Dynamics and Detonation," *Rev. Sci. Inst.*, vol. 30, August, 1967.
20. M. O. Stern and E. N. Dacus, "A Piezo-electric Probe for Plasma Research," *Rev. Sci. Instr.*, vol. 32, p. 140, 1961.
21. R. M. Measures, "Acoustical Propagation of Thermally Induced Stresses," *Acustica*, vol. 15, March, 1965.
22. W. Katsaros, "Entwicklung and Erprobung Einer Hochauflösenden Piezoelektrischen Drucksonde," *Institut für Plasmaphysik, IPP 1/46*, March, 1966.
23. C. T. Chang, "Shock Wave Phenomena in Coaxial Plasma Guns," *Phys. Fluids*, vol. 4, September, 1961.
24. W. H. Rudderow, "Pressure Measurements in a Magnetically Driven Shock Tube," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 39, January, 1968.
25. T. F. Hueter and R. H. Bolt, Sonics, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1955.
26. D. S. Johnson, "Design and Application of Piezoceramic Transducers to Transient Pressure Measurements," A. E. thesis, Calif. Inst. of Tech., Pasadena, Calif., 1963.
27. "Modern Piezoelectric Ceramics," Clevite Corp., Piezo-electric Division Bull. 9247, August, 1962.
28. S. Timoshenko and J. N. Goodier, Theory of Elasticity, 2nd ed., McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1951.
29. H. Kolsky, Stress Waves in Solids, Oxford University Press, London, 1953.

30. H. Mirels and W. S. King, "Series Solution for Shock-tube Laminar Boundary Layer and Test Time," AIAA J., vol. 4, May, 1966.
31. R. E. Duff, "Shock-tube Performance at Low Initial Pressure," Phys. Fluids, vol. 2, March-April, 1959.
32. M. Friedman, "The Use of Piezoelectric Probes for Direct Measurement of Plasma Pressure," Plasma Phys., vol. 10, May, 1968.
33. H. V. Malmstadt et al., Electronics for Scientists, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1962.
34. D. B. Henderson, "Aerodynamic Study of an Argon Plasma," Phys. Fluids, vol. 10, September, 1967.
35. G. G. Chernyi, Introduction to Hypersonic Flow, Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1961.
36. "Pulsed Electromagnetic Gas Acceleration," 12th Semi-annual Progress Rept. 634k, Guggenheim Laboratories for the Aerospace Propulsion Sciences, Princeton Univ., Princeton, N. J., 1968.
37. H. Petschek and S. Byron, "Approach to Equilibrium Ionization Behind Strong Shock Waves in Argon," Graduate School of Aeron. Eng., Cornell Univ., Ithaca, N. Y., 1955.
38. K. E. Harwell and R. G. Jahn, "Initial Ionization Rates in Shock-heated Argon, Krypton, and Xenon," Phys. Fluids, vol. 7, February, 1964.
39. "Pulsed Electromagnetic Gas Acceleration," 4th Semi-annual Progress Rept. 634c, Guggenheim Laboratories for the Aero-

space Propulsion Sciences, Princeton Univ., Princeton, N. J., 1964.

40. L. Spitzer, Physics of Fully Ionized Gases, 2nd ed., Interscience Publishers, New York, 1962.
41. W. J. McCroskey, "An Experimental Model for the Sharp Leading Edge Problem in Rarified Hypersonic Flow," Dept. of Aerospace and Mechanical Sciences Rept. 771, Princeton Univ., Princeton, N. J., 1966.
42. K. S. Drellishak et al., "Partition Functions and Thermodynamic Properties of Argon Plasma," AEDC-TDR-63-146, August, 1963.
43. W. D. Hayes and R. F. Probstein, Hypersonic Flow Theory, Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1959.
44. N. C. Freeman, "...Hypersonic Flow Past Plane and Axially Symmetric Bluff Bodies," J. Fluid Mech., vol. 1, 1956.
45. D. Baganoff, "Experiments on the Wall-pressure History in Shock-reflection Processes," J. Fluid Mech., vol. 23, 1965.
46. G. W. Sutton and A. Sherman, Engineering Magnetohydrodynamics, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1965.
47. K. F. Knoche et al., "Gas-plasma Research at the Institute for Thermodynamics, Braunschweig," AGARD Rept. 320, September, 1959.
48. K. F. Knoche, "Thermodynamics Charts for Argon Plasma Up to  $10^5$  °K With Illustrative Examples," ARL Rept. 171, December, 1961.
49. M. Camac, "Argon Shock Structure," J. H. DeLeeuw (ed.),

- vol. 1 in Advances in Applied Mechanics, supplement 3 of Rarified Gas Dynamics, Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1965.
50. J. A. Thornton, "Electric and Electromagnetic Shock Tubes," Anderson, et al. (eds.), in Physico-Chemical Diagnostics of Plasmas, Northwestern Univ. Press, Evanston, Ill., 1963.
51. C. K. Chu and R. A. Gross, "Shock Waves in Plasma Physics," Plasma Laboratory Rept. No. 41, Columbia Univ., New York, 1968.
52. R. B. Payne, "A Numerical Method for a Converging Cylindrical Shock," J. Fluid Mech., vol. 2, March, 1957.
53. R. F. Chisnell, "The Motion of a Shock Wave in a Channel, With Application to Cylindrical and Spherical Shock Waves," J. Fluid Mech., vol. 2, May, 1957.
54. C. Greifinger and J. D. Cole, "On Cylindrical MHD Shock Waves," Phys. Fluids, vol. 4, May, 1961.