

General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

NASA CONTRACTOR
REPORT

SOME RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN RANDOM AND NON-RANDOM EXPECTANCIES

Prepared under Contract No. NAS8-18405

B. G. Grunebaum

COMPUTER SCIENCES CORPORATION
Computer Systems Branch

FACILITY FORM 602	N69-28315	
	(ACCESSION NUMBER)	(THRU)
	12	1
	(PAGES)	(CODE)
	NASA-CR-98472	19
	(NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)	(CATEGORY)

For

NASA-GEORGE C. MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER
Huntsville, Alabama

May 28, 1969

**SOME RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN RANDOM
AND NON-RANDOM EXPECTANCIES**

By

**George C. Marshall Space Flight Center
Huntsville, Alabama**

ABSTRACT

Several relationships involving random and non-random probabilities corresponding to instantaneous and identical events are established. A conjectured approximation is indicated.

**SOME RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN RANDOM
AND NON-RANDOM EXPECTANCIES**

By

B. G. Grunebaum

Distribution of this report is provided in the interest of information exchange. Responsibility for the contents resides in the author or organization that prepared it.

Prepared under Contract No. NAS8-18405

**COMPUTER SCIENCES CORPORATION
COMPUTER SYSTEMS BRANCH
SPACEBORNE COMPUTER PROJECT
Huntsville, Alabama**

For

**SYSTEMS RESEARCH BRANCH
Computer Systems Division
Computation Laboratory**

NASA-GEORGE C. MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
Introduction	2
Analysis	2

SOME RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN RANDOM AND NON-RANDOM EXPECTANCIES

SUMMARY

For an instantaneous, repeatable event, let:

$p(t)$ = probability that the event will occur at least once within $(0, t]$ if it occurred at time 0;

$q(t)$ = probability that the event will occur at least once within $(0, t]$ if time 0 is random;

T_1 = average time between consecutive occurrences;

T_2 = average time from random moment to next occurrence.

Then

$$q'(t) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{p'(t + \alpha)q'(\tau)}{1 - p(\tau)} d\tau$$

$$T_1 = \int_0^{\infty} tp'(t)dt$$

$$T_2 = \int_0^{\infty} tq'(t)dt$$

and it is conjectured that

$$T_1 \approx \frac{2\alpha + 1}{\alpha + 1} T_2$$

where α is the stochastic order of the process.

Technical Note

SOME RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN RANDOM AND NON-RANDOM EXPECTANCIES

B. G. Grunebaum
Computer Sciences Corporation
NASA-MSFC

Abstract

Several relationships involving random and non-random probabilities corresponding to instantaneous and identical events are established. A conjectured approximation is indicated.

Introduction

Consider an instantaneous event which occurs generally at irregular time intervals. The purpose of this paper is to establish relationships between:

- The probability that the next occurrence will be at some time t if the time of the last preceding occurrence is known; this is a non-random situation by definition;
- The probability that the next occurrence will be at some time t if the time of the last preceding occurrence is NOT known; this is a random situation by definition;
- The average waiting times to the next occurrence, from the last preceding one or from a random moment.

Such formulae are frequently used in the probabilistic modeling of systems and this note is intended to serve as a standard reference.

Analysis

An instantaneous event occurs at irregular time intervals. It is not known whether the probability to occur at any particular time depends on the times of past occurrences. Let:

$p(t)$ = probability that the event will occur at least once within $(0, t]$ if it occurred at time 0;

$q(t)$ = probability that the event will occur at least once within $(0, t]$ if time 0 is random;

T_1 = average time between consecutive occurrences;

T_2 = average time from random moment to next occurrence.

Assume $0 < t_1 < t_2$ and that the event occurred at $t = 0$. Then, the probability that the next occurrence belongs to $[t_1, t_2]$ is

$$p(t_2) - p(t_1) .$$

If $t_1 = t$ and $t_2 = t + dt$, it follows that the probability for t being the time between consecutive occurrences is

$$p'(t)dt .$$

Hence

$$(1) \quad T_1 = \int_0^{\infty} tp'(t)dt$$

and similarly

$$(2) \quad T_2 = \int_0^{\infty} tq'(t)dt = \int_0^{\infty} tf(t)dt$$

where $f(t) = q'(t)$ and, since $q(0) = 0$, we have also

$$(3) \quad q(t) = \int_0^t f(\tau)d\tau .$$

Assume now that $t = 0$ has been randomly selected and that the last preceding occurrence was at $t = -\tau$ where $\tau > 0$. Then the probability that there was no occurrence in $(-\tau, 0]$ is $1 - p(\tau)$ and therefore, the probability that the next occurrence belongs to $(0, t]$ is

$$\frac{p(t + \tau) - p(\tau)}{1 - p(\tau)} .$$

Since the probability that the last preceding occurrence actually belonged to $(-\tau - d\tau, -\tau]$ is $f(\tau)d\tau$, it follows that

$$(4) \quad q(t) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{p(t + \tau) - p(\tau)}{1 - p(\tau)} f(\tau)d\tau$$

and if $f(\tau)$ is finite for all $\tau \in [0, \infty]$ it is easy to verify that $q(0) = 0$ and $q(\infty) = 1$ as should indeed be the case.

If the function $p(t)$ is differentiable everywhere, then, it follows from (4) that

$$(5) \quad f(t) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{p'(t + \tau)f(\tau)}{1 - p(\tau)} d\tau .$$

This is a homogeneous Fredholm type integral equation of the second kind which, in general, permits the determination of $q(t)$ if $p(t)$ is known. The converse is generally not possible. For the latest numerical procedures, see references [1] and [2].

The average times T_1 and T_2 satisfy the inequality

$$(6) \quad T_1 \geq T_2 \geq \frac{T_1}{2} \quad .$$

In order to prove this, notice that the inequality $T_1 \geq T_2$ is obvious, since T_2 can never be greater than T_1 and $T_1 = T_2$ is possible only if the sequence has no memory, that is if the probability of the event to occur at any particular time is independent of all preceding occurrence times. In this case it is well known that $p(t)$ must have the form $p(t) = 1 - e^{-\alpha t}$ where α is a positive constant and the reader may easily verify with the aid of (5) that this yields $f(t) = p'(t) = \alpha e^{-\alpha t}$, i.e. $q(t) = p(t)$. Thus, it remains to be proven that $2T_2 \geq T_1$.

The smallest possible value of T_2 occurs if $p(t)$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} p(t) &= 0, & t \in [0, t_0) \\ &= 1, & t \in [t_0, \infty) \end{aligned}$$

where $t_0 > 0$. In this case, the time of the next occurrence may be considered to depend on the times of ALL preceding occurrences. Furthermore, $p'(t)$ will be an impulse function, so that

$$\int_0^{\infty} t p'(t) dt = t_0 = T_1 \quad .$$

Now, for all $p(t)$, it is true that

$$T_2 = \int_0^{\infty} t dt \int_0^{\infty} \frac{p'(t + \tau) f(\tau)}{1 - p(\tau)} d\tau$$

[1] Bellman, R.E. : A New Approach to the Numerical Solution of a Class of Linear and Nonlinear Integral Equations of the Fredholm Type. Proc. Nat. Acad. of Science, 1965, pp. 1501-1503.

[2] Day, J.T. : Note on the Numerical Solution of Integro-Differential Equations. Computer Journal, 9,4, (Feb. 67), pp. 394-395.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{f(\tau) d\tau}{1-p(\tau)} \int_0^\infty \tau p'(t+\tau) dt \\
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{f(\tau)}{1-p(\tau)} \left[T_1 - \int_0^\infty \tau p'(t) dt - \tau(1-p(\tau)) \right] d\tau \\
&= T_1 \int_0^\infty \frac{f(\tau)}{1-p(\tau)} d\tau - \int_0^\infty \frac{f(\tau)\tau}{1-p(\tau)} d\tau + \int_0^\infty \tau p'(t) dt = T_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
2T_2 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{f(\tau) d\tau}{1-p(\tau)} \int_\tau^\infty \tau p'(t) dt \\
(7) \quad &= \int_0^\infty \tau p'(t) dt \int_0^t \frac{f(\tau) d\tau}{1-p(\tau)}.
\end{aligned}$$

But in this case, for $t \in (0, t_0]$, $f(t)$ satisfies

$$f(t) = f(t_0 - t)$$

and for $t = 0$ or $t \geq t_0$, we have $f(t) = 0$. Hence, by virtue of (7),

$$2T_2 = t_0 \int_0^{t_0} \frac{f(\tau) d\tau}{1-p(\tau)} = T_1 \int_0^{t_0} f(\tau) d\tau = T_1 \int_0^{t_0} f(\tau) d\tau = T_1$$

which completes the proof of (6).

Let α be the order of the process in the sense that the probability of the event to occur at any time t depends on the times of the last preceding occurrences. Thus $\alpha = 0$ means "no memory" while $\alpha = \infty$ means that the times of all occurrences are fixed. Then, it is conjectured that if $p(t)$ is reasonably smooth, we have the approximation

$$(8) \quad T_1 \approx \frac{2\alpha + 1}{\alpha + 1} T_2.$$

For example, let

$$\begin{aligned}
p(t) &= t, & 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\
&= 1, & t \geq 1
\end{aligned}$$

Then, the reader may easily verify with the aid of (5) that

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 2(1-t) & , 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ 0 & , t \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$q(t) = \begin{cases} t(2-t) & , 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ 1 & , t \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Using (1) and (2), this yields

$$T_1 = 1/2$$

and

$$T_2 = 1/3$$

so that

$$T_1 = (3/2)T_2 .$$

Since this process corresponds to $\alpha = 1$, this same result can be duplicated with the aid of formula (8). Still, in general (8) is only an approximation.

REFERENCES

Cramer, H. : Mathematical Methods of Statistics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J. 1951.

Feller, W. : Probability Theory and its Applications. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, N. Y. 1957.

Kendall, M. G. and Stuart, A. : The Advanced Theory of Statistics, Vol. I, Distribution Theory. Charles Griffin and Company, Ltd., London, England 1958.