N69-35403

CASE FILE COPY

A Bound for the Spectral Radius of a Matrix

by

James M. Sloss*

It is the purpose of this note to derive a bound for the eigenvalues of a complex matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ in terms of a_{ij} . The estimate will be derived by constructing an integral equation with degenerate kernel for which each finite eigenvalue is the reciprocal of a non-zero eigenvalue of A. The precise result is:

Theorem: Let $A = (a_{i,j})$ be an $n \times n$ complex matrix and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of A (not necessarily distinct) but one of which is $\frac{1}{2}$ 0, then

(1)
$$|\lambda|_{\max}^2 = \max |\lambda_i|^2$$

$$\leq G^2(a_{ij}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n (2\ell-1)^{-1} \{\alpha_{\ell\ell} + \beta_{\ell\ell}\} + \sum_{\ell,k=1}^n (\ell+k-1)^{-1} \{\alpha_{\ell k} + \beta_{\ell k}\}$$

with

(2)
$$\alpha_{\ell k} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (2j-1)^{-1} q_{j\ell} \bar{q}_{jk}, \quad \beta_{\ell k} = \sum_{\substack{j,m=1\\j \neq m}}^{n} (j+m-1)^{-1} q_{j\ell} \bar{q}_{mk}$$

^{*} The author wishes to greatfully acknowledge support for this research by the National Aeronautics Space Administration, NASA Grant NGR 05-010-008.

where

(3)
$$q_{k\ell} = \sum_{m=1}^{n} a_{\ell m} b_{mk}, \qquad 1 \leq j, \ell \leq n,$$

with

(4)
$$b_{mk} = (k+m-1) \prod_{\substack{p=1 \ p\neq m}}^{n} \prod_{\substack{r+m-1 \ p\neq m}}^{n} \frac{r+m-1}{r-k}.$$

Remark: (b_{mk}) is a universal matrix in the sense it is independent of A.

Before we prove the theorem we shall prove the following.

Lemma: Consider the integral equation

(5)
$$\varphi(s) = \mu \int_{0}^{1} K(s,t) \varphi(t) dt$$

where

(6)
$$K(s,t) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} s^{\ell-1} q_{\ell}(t)$$

with

(7)
$$q_{\ell}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{j\ell} t^{j-1}$$

and $q_{j\ell}$ is given by (3). If $\lambda \neq 0$ is an eigenvalue of A, then $\mu = \lambda^{-1}$ is an eigenvalue of (5).

Proof of Lemma: First we note that

(8)
$$\int_0^1 t^{j-1} q_{\ell}(t) dt = a_{\ell,j}, \qquad 1 \leq j, \ell \leq n.$$

This follows since

$$(9) \int_{0}^{1} t^{j-1} q_{k}(t) dt = \sum_{k=1}^{n} q_{kk} \int_{0}^{1} t^{j+k-2} dt \qquad by (7)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} (j+k-1)^{-1} q_{kk}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} (j+k-1)^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{n} a_{km} b_{mk} \qquad by (5)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} (j+k-1)^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{n} (k+m-1) \prod_{\substack{p=1 \ p\neq m}}^{n} \frac{p+k-1}{r-k} \prod_{\substack{r=1 \ r\neq k}}^{n} a_{km}$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{n} a_{km} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} (j+k-1)^{-1} (k+m-1) \prod_{\substack{p=1 \ p\neq m}}^{n} \frac{p+k-1}{r-k} \prod_{\substack{r=1 \ r\neq k}}^{n} \frac{r+m-1}{r-k} \right\}.$$

Case 1 m = j. The j^{th} term of the sum in m becomes:

$$\mathbf{a}_{\text{lj}} \sum_{\mathbf{k=1}}^{\mathbf{n}} \prod_{\substack{\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{j}\\\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{j}}}^{\mathbf{n}} \prod_{\substack{\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{1}\\\mathbf{r}\neq\mathbf{k}}}^{\mathbf{n}} \prod_{\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{r}\neq\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{k}}.$$

If we let

$$\psi_{n,j}(x) = \frac{\prod \frac{p-x}{p-j}}{p-j}, \qquad \phi_{n,k}(x) = \frac{\prod \frac{r-x}{r-k}}{r-k},$$

$$p=1 \qquad \qquad r=1$$

$$p\neq j \qquad \qquad r\neq k$$

then the above becomes

$$a_{lj} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \psi_{n,j}[-(k-1)] \phi_{n,k}[-(j-1)]$$

in which $\psi_{n,j}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq n-1$ in x and thus

$$\psi_{n,j}[-(k-1)] = P_{n,j}(k)$$

is a polynomial of degree < n in k. By the Lagrange identities

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} j^{m} \varphi_{n,j}(x) = x^{m}, \qquad m = 0,1,\ldots,n.$$

Thus:

(10)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \psi_{n,j}[-(k-1)] \varphi_{n,k}[-(j-1)] = \sum_{k=1}^{n} P_{n,j}(k) \varphi_{n,k}[-(j-1)]$$
$$= P_{n,j}[-(j-1)]$$
$$= \psi_{n,j}(j)$$

Case 2 $m \neq j$. For any term, not j, of the sum in m we get:

(11)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{\ell m} (j+k-1)^{-1} (k+m-1) \psi_{n,m} [-(k-1)] \varphi_{n,k} [-(m-1)].$$

Note that

$$(j+k-1)^{-1} \psi_{n,m}[-(k-1)] = (j+k-1)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p=1 \ p\neq m}} \frac{p+k-1}{p-m}$$

is a polynomial in k of degree $\leq n-2$ since $m \neq j$ and thus p = j occurs in the product. As in Case 1, since

$$(j+k-1)^{-1}(k+m-1) \psi_{n,m}[-(k-1)]$$

is a polynomial of degree ≤ n-l in k, we get, using the Lagrange identities that (ll) becomes:

(12)
$$a_{\ell m}(j-m)^{-1}(m-m) \psi_{n,m}[m] = 0.$$

Thus combining the results for Case 1 and Case 2 above we get (8).

To complete the proof of the lemma, let $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)^T$ be an eigenvector of A corresponding to the eigenvalue λ , i.e.

$$Ax = \lambda x.$$

Let

(14)
$$\varphi(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} s^{j-1},$$

then $\varphi(s)$ is $\neq 0$ and is a solution of

$$\varphi(s) = \lambda^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} K(s,t) \varphi(t) dt.$$

To see this note that by (6)

$$\lambda^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} K(s,t) \varphi(t) dt = \lambda^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} K(s,t) \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} t^{j-1} dt$$

$$= \lambda^{-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} s^{\ell-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} \int_{0}^{1} q_{\ell}(t) t^{j-1} dt$$

$$= \lambda^{-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} s^{\ell-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{\ell j} x_{j} \qquad \text{by (8)}$$

$$= \lambda^{-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} s^{\ell-1} \lambda x_{\ell} \qquad \text{by (13)}$$

$$= \varphi(s) \qquad \text{by (14)}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of theorem: As is well known from the theory of integral equations all eigenvalues of

(15)
$$\varphi(s) = \mu \int_{0}^{1} K(s,t) \varphi(t) dt$$

lie outside the disc

$$\|\mu\|\|K\|_2 < 1$$

where

$$\|K\|_{2}^{2} = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} |K(s,t)|^{2} ds dt,$$

i.e., if μ is an eigenvalue of (15) then $|\mu|^{-1} \le ||K||_2$.

Thus in particular for

$$|\lambda|_{\max} = \max |\lambda_i| \neq 0$$

of (1) we have

$$|\lambda|_{\max} \leq ||K||_2$$

In order to calculate $\|K\|_2$ note that

(16)
$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} s^{\ell-1} q_{\ell}(t) \sum_{k=1}^{n} s^{k-1} \overline{q_{k}(t)} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} s^{2\ell-2} q_{\ell}(t) \overline{q_{\ell}(t)} + \sum_{\substack{\ell,k=1\\\ell\neq k}} s^{\ell+k-2} q_{\ell}(t) \overline{q}_{k}(t).$$

But by (7),

$$(17) q_{\ell}(t) \overline{q_{\ell}(t)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{j\ell} t^{j-1} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \overline{q_{m\ell}} t^{m-1}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{j\ell} \overline{q}_{j\ell} t^{2j-2} + \sum_{\substack{j,m=1\\j\neq m}}^{n} t^{j+m-2} q_{j\ell} \overline{q}_{m\ell},$$

and for & # k

(18)
$$q_{\ell}(t) \overline{q_{k}(t)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{j\ell} t^{j-1} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \overline{q}_{mk} t^{m-1}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{j\ell} \overline{q}_{jk} t^{2j-2} + \sum_{\substack{j,m=1\\j\neq m}}^{n} t^{j+m-2} q_{j\ell} \overline{q}_{mk}.$$

Thus combining (16), (17) and (18) gives

$$\begin{split} \|K\|_{2}^{2} &= \int_{0}^{1} dt \int_{0}^{1} ds \ K(s,t) \ \overline{K(s,t)} = \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} dt \left\{ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} (2\ell-1)^{-1} q_{\ell}(t) \ \overline{q_{\ell}(t)} + \sum_{\ell,k=1}^{n} (\ell+k-1)^{-1} q_{\ell}(t) \overline{q}_{k}(t) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} (2\ell-1)^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} (2j-1)^{-1} q_{j\ell} \overline{q}_{j\ell} + \sum_{j,m=1}^{n} (j+m-1)^{-1} q_{j\ell} \overline{q}_{m\ell} \right\} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell,k=1}^{n} (\ell+k-1)^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} (2j-1)^{-1} q_{j\ell} \overline{q}_{jk} + \sum_{j,m=1}^{n} (j+m-1)^{-1} q_{j\ell} \overline{q}_{mk} \right\} \\ &\ell_{j}k = 1 \qquad \qquad j \neq m \end{split}$$

which concludes the proof of the theorem.

Example: We shall give an estimate, using the above theorem, for the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 4 \\ 1 & \frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B = (b_{mk}) = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -6 \\ -6 & 12 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Q = (q_{k\ell}) = (AB)^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\alpha = (\alpha_{\ell k}) = \begin{bmatrix} 64 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{4}{5} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\beta = (\beta_{\ell k}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 8 \\ 8 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$G^{2}(a_{1,1}) = 64 + \frac{1}{9} + 8 = 72\frac{1}{9} \approx 72.444$$
.

Thus $\lambda_{\text{max}} = \max |\lambda_1| \le G(a_{i,j}) \approx 8.511$ where the actual eigenvalues are

$$\lambda = \frac{13 \pm \sqrt{157}}{3} , \qquad |\lambda|_{\text{max}} \approx 8.510 .$$

Comparing estimates with some of the familiar bounds [1] for this matrix we see that

$$|\lambda|_{\max} \le \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} |a_{i,j}| = 13\frac{2}{3}$$

or

$$|\lambda|_{\max} \le \left(\sum_{1 \le i, j \le 2} |a_{i,j}|^2\right)^{1/2} = 9.024$$

or

$$\left|\lambda\right|_{\max} \le \max_{1\le i, j\le 2} \left|a_{i,j}\right| \cdot 2 = 16$$
.

This shows, for this example, that the estimate of the theorem is the best of the above estimates.

Bibliography

[1] Taussky, O., and Marcus, M., <u>Hermitian forms and eigenvalues</u>, erticle in Survey of Numerical Analysis, edited by J. Todd, McGraw-Hill (1962), pp. 283.