

General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

X-611-69-438

PREPRINT

NASA TM X-63715

**SOLAR ACTIVITY, 27 DAY VARIATION
AND LONG TERM MODULATION
OF COSMIC RAY INTENSITY
(RESEARCH NOTE)**

**V. K. BALASUBRAHMANYAN
D. VENKATESAN**

SEPTEMBER 1969



**— GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER —
GREENBELT, MARYLAND**

FACILITY FORM 603

N69-40412	
(ACCESSION NUMBER)	(THRU)
7	1
(PAGES)	(CODE)
TMX 63715	29
(NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)	(CATEGORY)

SOLAR ACTIVITY, 27-DAY VARIATION AND LONG TERM MODULATION

OF COSMIC RAY INTENSITY

(RESEARCH NOTE)

V. K. Balasubrahmanyam
NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center
Greenbelt, Maryland U.S.A.

and

D. Venkatesan*
Physics Department, University of Calgary
Calgary, Alberta, Canada

(* on Sabbatical leave at NASA/GSFC)

The effect of the double maxima in the 11-year cycle of solar activity pointed out by Gnevyshev (1963, 1967) is detected both in the long term modulation and the 27-day variation in cosmic ray intensity. A linear relationship between the amplitude of the 27-day variation and the cosmic ray intensity is obtained. The constraints that this observation puts on theories of modulation of cosmic ray intensity are discussed.

A comparative study of the 11-year solar cycle of activity as represented by R, the Zurich Relative Sunspot Number and cosmic ray intensity; and the observation of a phase lag between the two has been made by many workers (Forbush (1958), Neher (1962), Simpson (1963), Dorman and Dorman (1965)).

Gnevyshev (1963, 1967) has shown that each solar cycle has two maxima, the earlier maximum occurring at high solar latitudes, and

the later one at low latitudes. These maxima are seen in phenomena at various levels on the sun such as Coronal green line 5303 Å, proton flares, and occurrence of large sunspots (Sakurai (1967), Gnevyshev (1967)). Balasubrahmanyam (1968) points out that the effect of this double maxima can be seen in favorable circumstances, in cosmic ray intensity also. This is illustrated in Figure 1.

Venkatesan (1958) has observed that the amplitude of the 27-day variation has a structure quite different from the solar cycle as represented by R, the Zurich Relative sunspot number. This is shown in Figure 2. Actually the amplitude of the 27-day variation A and the mean annual geomagnetic activity as represented by the sum of the daily values of Kp, the planetary geomagnetic index, for 60 most disturbed days show the same behavior as the cosmic ray intensity.

Figure 3 shows the linear correlation between the amplitude of the 27-day variation in cosmic ray intensity and the annual mean cosmic ray intensity. The correlation coefficient is 0.8. In view of this close connection, we suggest that the long term variation takes place as a result of a superposition of many short term decreases. The studies of Balasubrahmanyam and Venkatesan (1969), McDonald and Webber (1960), McDonald (1959), and Meyer (1960) seem to suggest that the spectral changes during short term changes, including Forbush decreases and during long term changes are similar. Thus one can view that the long term variation in cosmic ray intensity is built up of a number of short term changes. During periods of high solar activity, a larger number of short term decreases occur, and these short term decreases are probably confined to small azimuthal regions. The

rotation of the sun propagates these intensity changes around.

Balasubrahmanyam et al. (1969) from a study of the cosmic ray intensities recorded by two spacecraft (IMP-C and Pioneer 6) separated by 60° in solar azimuth have shown that even during relatively quiet times, small changes in cosmic ray intensity exhibit co-rotation.

The fact that the cosmic ray intensity recovers to a value close to its maximum, right in the middle of the solar cycle, strongly argues against theories with long relaxation periods advocated by Dorman and Dorman (1967) and favors short relaxation and steady state approaches to the onset of modulation. The recent work of Hatton et al. (1967), Simpson and Wang (1967) and Fathak and Sarabhai (1969) on the absence of hysteresis between cosmic ray intensity variation and the Coronal green line intensity (5303 \AA) supports the view presented here.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:

One of us, D. Venkatesan, wishes to acknowledge the hospitality of Dr. F. B. McDonald during the stay at NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center, and to grant A-3685 of the National Research Council, Ottawa, Canada.

REFERENCES

- Balasubrahmanyam, V. K., 1969, Solar Phys. 7, 39.
- Balasubrahmanyam, V. K., Roelof, E. C., Bukata, R. P., and Palmeira, R. A. R., 1969, International Conference on Cosmic Rays, Budapest, Hungary, to be published in proceedings.

Balasubrahmanyam, V. K., and Venkatesan, D., 1969, 11th International Conference on Cosmic Rays, Budapest, Hungary, to be published in the proceedings.

Dorman, I. V., and Dorman, L. I., 1967, J. Geophys. Res. 67, 1513.

Forbush, S. E., 1958, J. Geophys. Res. 63, 651.

Gnevyshev, M. N., 1963, A. Zh. 40, 401.

Gnevyshev, M. N., 1967, Solar Phys. 1, 107.

Hatton, C. J., Marsden, P. L., and Willetts, A., 1967, Proc. International Cosmic Ray Conference, Calgary, Can. J. Phys., 1968, 46, Part 4, S915.

McDonald, F. B., 1959, Phys. Rev. 116, 462.

McDonald, F. B., and Webber, W. R., 1960, J. Geophys. Res., 65, 767.

Meyer, P., 1960, J. Geophys. Res., 65, 3881.

Neher, H. V., 1962, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 17, Suppl. A-2, 492.

Pathak, P. N. and Sarabhai, V., 1969, Submitted to Planet and Sp. Sc.

Sakurai, K., 1967, Special Contributions, Geophysical Institute, Kyoto University, No. 7, 1.

Simpson, J. A., 1963, Proc. International Conference on Cosmic Rays, Jaipur, India, Vol. II, p.155.

Simpson, J. A. and Wang, J. R., 1967, Astrophys. J. 149, L73.

Venkatesan, D., 1958, Tellus 10, 117.

Figure 1: The cosmic ray intensity variation during the solar cycle No. 18. Also shown is the geomagnetic activity as given by the sum of the daily values of Kp, the geomagnetic planetary index, for 60 most disturbed days for each year. The cosmic ray intensity is from the ion chamber data of Forbush (1958). R refers to the annual means of the Zurich Relative Sunspot Number, R.

Figure 2: The variation of the amplitude of the 27-day variation during solar cycle 18, and geomagnetic activity and annual mean values of R.

Figure 3: The relationship between the amplitude of the 27-day variation of cosmic ray intensity and the annual means of cosmic ray intensity. The correlation coefficient is 0.8.





