

General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

NATURAL RESOURCES PROGRAM

SPACE APPLICATIONS PROGRAMS

TECHNICAL LETTER NASA-36

N70-41129

(ACCESSION NUMBER)

(THRU)

15
(PAGES)

1
(CODE)

CR-76287
(NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)

23
(CATEGORY)

FACILITY FORM 602

U.S. Geological Survey
Department of the Interior



UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20242

Technical Letter
NASA-36
June 1966

Dr. Peter C. Badgley
Chief, Natural Resources Program
Office of Space Science and Applications
Code SAR, NASA Headquarters
Washington, D.C. 20546

Dear Peter:

Transmitted herewith are 3 copies of:

TECHNICAL LETTER NASA-36
THE EFFECT OF ULTRAVIOLET RADIATION ON THE
INTENSITY OF LUMINESCENCE*

by

David L. Daniels**

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, likely of William A. Fischer, is written above the typed name.

William A. Fischer
Research Coordinator
Earth Orbiter Program

*Work performed under NASA Contract No. R 146-09-020-006
**U.S. Geological Survey, Washington, D.C.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

TECHNICAL LETTER NASA-36

THE EFFECT OF ULTRAVIOLET RADIATION ON THE
INTENSITY OF LUMINESCENCE*

by

David L. Daniels**

June 1966

These data are preliminary and should
not be quoted without permission

Prepared by the Geological Survey
for the National Aeronautics and
Space Administration (NASA)

*Work performed under NASA Contract No. R 146-09-020-006
**U.S. Geological Survey, Washington, D.C.

CONTENTS

OBJECTIVE	<u>Page</u> 1
PROCEDURE	1
RESULTS	3
CONCLUSION	3
ILLUSTRATIONS	

Figure 1 Instrument plan

- 2 Graph showing transmission curves of Corning 7-60 and Kodak 4 filters and luminescent emission curve of scapolite (127)
- 3 Charts showing changes in luminescent intensity of scapolite with time. Runs 1 and 2.
- 4 Chart showing change in luminescent intensity of scapolite with time. Run 3.

The effect of ultraviolet radiation on the intensity of luminescence

by

David L. Daniels

Objective

The objective of this experiment is to study the effects of prolonged (9 days) radiation on luminescing surfaces.

The experiment involves the measurement of changes in luminescent intensity due to prolonged irradiation of a luminescent mineral with ultraviolet radiation.

Procedure

The procedure was to irradiate a luminescent mineral continuously with light from a 175 watt mercury vapor lamp for periods ranging from one hour to as long as nine days. Part of the surface of the sample was masked by a sheet of non-luminescing black painted brass; this area served as control or as a basis for evaluating measurement of output intensity to luminescence and to spurious fluctuations in power and instrument sensitivity.

To perform these measurements, the equipment listed in Technical Letter NASA-3 (part II, p. 2,3) was modified, and a special sample holder was constructed (fig. 1).

The sample, scapolite (127) from Grenville, Ontario, was polished and oriented at the entrance slit in order to direct the specular component of the reflected radiation away from the monochromator entrance slit. The black brass mask with two 1/4-inch diameter holes (1/2 inch separation) was

mounted on the sample. One of the two exposed areas was designated the test area, the other a control. A second mask covered one of the holes when the area exposed at the other hole was being measured. The area exposed through the mask was illuminated with an unfiltered 175 watt mercury lamp.

The luminescence was measured at the beginning and end of each irradiation run as well as intermittently during the run. During these measurements, the incident radiation on the sample was temporarily filtered with a Corning 7-60 filter (fig. 2). The luminescence emitted from the sample was passed into the entrance slit of the spectrometer. The entrance slit was covered by a Kodak 4 filter (fig. 2) in order to block reflected ultraviolet light. The grating and the slits of the spectrometer were set to pass the whole luminescence band of scapolite centered at $5450\text{\AA}$ (fig. 2). The light issuing from the exit slit was measured with a RCA 1P28 photomultiplier (fig. 1). Luminescence measurement was then repeated with the test area covered and the control area exposed. The control area was then re-covered with the mask, the 7-60 filter removed, and the irradiation of the test area continued. The measuring equipment was turned off during intervals between measurements.

Of the minerals available for study, scapolite (127) was the most suitable for the following reasons:

- 1) Silicate mineral; similar in composition to typical rock-forming minerals.
- 2) High luminescent efficiency; keeps signal to noise ratio high.

- 3) Narrow band emission curve with large wavelength separation between emission and excitation bands. This reduces the problem of reflected light.
- 4) Luminescent intensity is uniform throughout the two exposed areas of the sample.

Results

The intensity measurements for three runs are shown in figures 3 and 4. The lower curves in each graph are measurements of intensity as a function of time. The upper curve is the ratio of intensities of the test area to the control area. This curve therefore is an index of luminescent intensity corrected for instrument fluctuations.

In the three runs, the only change that is consistent is the small drop in intensity at the start.

Conclusion

No significant change in luminescent intensity due to prolonged exposure to ultraviolet radiation is indicated in this specimen of scapolite.




Figure 1 - Instrumentation




Figure 2





Figure 3




Figure 3




Figure 4