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CONTINUOUS CATALYTIC DECOMPOSITION OF METHANE

by

B. C. Kim, J. Zupan, L. Hillenbrand, and J. E. Clifford

SECTION 1. INTRODUCTION

The following discussion which views catalytic methane decomposition as an alternative to stored water is believed to provide the proper perspective for evaluating a methane decomposition process. At the present stage of development of regenerative space life-support systems, water electrolysis is considered the most reliable method of producing oxygen on board a spacecraft for missions in the 1970's. To provide the water for electrolysis indirectly, one could consider on-board storage of a portion of the drinking water requirements at launch. Consider further that this amount of water is consumed, evaporated into the cabin air, and subsequently electrolyzed to produce oxygen (e.g., by water-vapor electrolysis according to material-balance calculations covered in Appendix A).

However, to minimize the launch weight of stored water it is desirable to recover water by catalytic reduction of carbon dioxide to the extent that hydrogen is available as a by-product of water electrolysis. The Sabatier reaction which produces methane as a disposable product provides the most reliable method of recovering a portion of the stored water (about 60 percent). To eliminate the remaining need for water storage at launch by reacting all the carbon dioxide available, it is necessary to recover a portion of the hydrogen combined in the methane. One method is by catalytic decomposition of methane which produces a final product of carbon to be stored on board. Unused methane can be vented to space. The exact amount depends on several factors such as cabin leak rate, but preliminary estimates indicate that it is unlikely that greater than 75 percent of the methane needs to be decomposed (Figure A-2, Appendix A). Thus, of the several approaches utilizing waste carbon dioxide that result in a carbon product, the Closed Sabatier system with methane decomposition results in the least carbon production. The 25 percent reduction in carbon volume is desirable in view of the carbon-handling and -storage problems anticipated on board a space vehicle.

As mission duration is extended, significant weight savings in stored water are potentially possible by the development of an operationally reliable method of methane decomposition. While methane decomposition is the primary objective, new approaches to catalytic carbon production and carbon handling could be applicable to the Bosch system and the "solid electrolyte" system.

The objective of this program is to perform the necessary research and development of a subsystem for continuous catalytic decomposition of methane to hydrogen and carbon, with emphasis on process design as related to catalyst selection and continuous carbon removal. The primary emphasis on this research program is in three interrelated areas that include:

1) A fundamental study of methane-decomposition catalysts as related to process design
(2) A fundamental study of resultant carbon properties as related to evaluation of carbon-removal methods applicable to process design

(3) A process-design study including review of prior studies, conceptual design of new methods, and analysis of integration with other components of the subsystem (i.e., Sabatier reactor, methane/hydrogen separation unit) based on state-of-the-art knowledge of a Closed Sabatier system* in relation to overall EC/LS system.

At the beginning of the program the available published literature pertinent to methane decomposition was reviewed. A bibliography of 31 literature references was included in the first report on this contract.(1) There appear to have been only two prior contracts that resulted in published reports relating to methane decomposition. The most extensive experimental studies were performed by Isomet Corporation during the period from 1959 to 1962. The latter work was reviewed during a subsequent study by AiResearch in 1965.(2) The most significant experimental results relate to catalyst activity as function of carbon-to-catalyst ratio for the following catalysts: unsupported iron and nickel powder (Isomet data), and cobalt, nickel, and palladium powders supported on asbestos (AiResearch data). The experimental results from both programs are reproduced in Figure 14 shown later in this report and essentially summarize the most pertinent published information on catalytic decomposition of methane that was available prior to this program.

Pyrolytic decomposition of methane at elevated temperatures (above 1000 C) to avoid using an expendable catalyst was the subject of an in-house investigation at the NASA Ames Research Center. At the beginning of the present program pyrolytic decomposition of methane at temperatures below 1000 C was investigated in limited experiments(1,3)

The pyrolytic carbon-deposition study was concerned with decomposition of methane on defined substrates of graphite and quartz. Results from the experiments involving the graphite substrate indicate that decomposition of methane at 950 C occurs predominantly by the mechanism of a homogeneous, as opposed to heterogeneous, reaction in the gas phase followed by gas-phase nucleation of solid particles with subsequent accretion of the particles on available solid surfaces. Deposition of carbon on a quartz substrate was investigated as a method to achieve deposition and removal of carbon (without resorting to scraping) by thermal cycling. Experimental results supported by theoretical calculations indicate that a temperature cycling of 600 C or higher would be required for the carbon deposit to flake off the quartz substrate, and this approach was abandoned.

The principal disadvantage of pyrolytic methane decomposition is that, with the temperatures sufficient for homogeneous gas-phase reactions, it is difficult to control the deposition and prevent carbon accumulation on reactor walls and in gas feed lines. Thus, in subsequent catalytic studies, temperatures below 950 C were desired for practical reasons of preventing carbon deposition in undesirable areas of the reactor unit.

---

* A related study jointly sponsored by the Air Force (AMRL) and NASA begun in March, 1969, on Contract F 33615-69-C-1455, "Closed Sabatier Oxygen Reclamation System".

** Numbers refer to references listed in Section 6 of this report.
The experimental studies on catalysts during the first three quarters of this program (1, 3, 4) were summarized in the Third Quarterly Report (4) in the section on catalyst study which has been reproduced with an introduction as Section 2 of this report. Process design studies relating to the methane decomposition unit carried out concurrently with the experimental program (1, 3) were concluded in the fourth quarter and are summarized in Section 3 of this report.

Additional details of process-design and material-balance calculations are included as Appendix A of this report to show the interrelation of the methane decomposition unit in the overall Closed Sabatier system.

Appendix B contains some preliminary design estimates for a carbon-separation unit. The function of the latter unit is to separate the solid product from the product gases. This is a significant component for the overall methane-decomposition unit and the weight must be considered in tradeoffs versus the Open Sabatier system (no carbon product). At the present state of the art, it is difficult to make estimates for the carbon separation unit because of the various options for carbon storage. However, a similar or greater weight penalty is required for other carbon-producing processes (Bosch process or disproportionation process in the solid-electrolyte system) if the same assumptions are used regarding ultimate carbon storage.
SECTION 2. CATALYST STUDY

Introduction

The objective of the catalyst study was to select the most suitable catalyst for design of a continuous methane-decomposition unit. Therefore, the research on catalysts was directed toward a better understanding of the mechanism of catalyst action in the carbon-forming process to guide selection of a reactor-design approach. The available published information was not explicit on the mechanism which resulted in catalyst consumption. For example, in the Bosch method there has been extensive process development using an iron catalyst (i.e., steel wool for batch-reactor design and steel plates with scraping in the continuous reactor design). Although the original iron of the catalyst was always found in the resultant carbon product, it was not clear how the iron got there. It was not possible to say whether catalyst consumption was essential to the carbon-forming process or merely coincidental (i.e., was a nonconsumable catalyst possible). A better understanding of the mechanism of catalyst action was obtained from the catalyst survey summarized in this section. This was confirmed by the more extensive experiments with powder catalysts. Some experiments with extended surface catalysts are included for comparison although methods that require continual removal of the reaction product from the catalyst surface by physical means are not considered the best for long-duration operation of a continuous process.

Determination of Methane Conversion

A convenient method for measuring the conversion of methane to hydrogen and carbon was developed through the use of thermal conductivity measurements, as illustrated in Figure 1. This method was used for the catalyst survey in which ultrapure methane, 99.97 percent minimum, was passed through a drying trap, then through a pressure-control valve and capillary flow meter where the gas flow was carefully controlled and monitored. The metered gas flow then passed through one side of a Gow-Mac thermal conductivity cell, through the catalytic reactor, and finally through the second side of the thermal conductivity cell, all at substantially atmospheric pressure. A soap-film flow meter was attached to the exit port of the cell to permit periodic measurement of the outlet flow rates without disturbing the flow pattern in the rest of the system.

In this way, two measurements of the degree of conversion could be obtained. In the one method, the thermal conductivity difference between methane and hydrogen provided a very sensitive measurement of differences in composition of the inlet and exit gases at the catalytic reactor. For this purpose the cell was calibrated using known mixtures of hydrogen and methane in one side of the cell and pure methane in the other. The method provided a continuous monitoring of the catalyst performance but the response of the cell was not linear over the wide range of thermal conductivities involved and proved difficult to maintain in exact calibration.
FIGURE 1. ARRANGEMENT AND METHODS USED FOR DETERMINATION OF METHANE CONVERSION

The other method for measuring the conversion was based on the change in gas flow accompanying the decomposition. In the range of reaction temperatures below 950 °C, where the reaction was seen to be substantially confined to the catalytic surface, the assumption that 2.0 moles of hydrogen were formed for each mole of methane decomposed proved to be a very accurate basis for estimating the degree of conversion. This accuracy was determined by comparison with the conversion estimates obtained from the thermal conductivity differences at low conversions and by comparison with the total amount of carbon collected in the reactor at the end of an experiment as shown by the data in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment(a)</th>
<th>Carbon Formed, g</th>
<th>Catalyst(b)</th>
<th>C/Co Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estimated</td>
<td>Found</td>
<td>Weight, g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>0.863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>0.862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>12.47</td>
<td>12.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Other aspects of Experiments 1 and 2 are covered in Table 2 and Figures 2 and 3.
(b) Cobalt powder, 50 mesh.
Both methods of estimating the conversion were used; the thermal conductivity was recorded continuously and provided detailed information concerning the changes in conversion that accompanied changes in conditions at the catalytic reactor. The flowmeter results were used at frequent intervals to provide accurate calibration points for the conversion being obtained.

Survey of Catalysts

The planned screening program of various types and forms of catalyst was concluded during this quarter. All of the data obtained with essentially the same experimental apparatus are shown in Table 2 for comparison (including data for the first 14 catalysts previously reported in Table 1 of the Second Quarterly Report).

The experimental catalytic reactor described in a previous report was a quartz U-tube with an enlarged section at the bottom of the U where the catalyst was contained. The side tubes of the U were filled with quartz rod to remove most of the dead-space volume except for a short length which was provided as a preheat zone for the gas. In the flow range of 6 to 25 cc (STP) methane per minute used for this study, the distribution of the carbon deposit on the catalyst indicated that this arrangement for preheating was entirely sufficient. The entire U-tube was immersed in an electric furnace controlled by a Foxboro temperature controller. The experiments with cobalt powder and magnetic agitation listed in Table 2 used a larger Vycor reactor (37 cm³ for carbon accumulation) [see Figure 16, Reference (5) for design].

It should be noted that all activities reported in Table 2 are based on ultrapure methane (99.97 percent), predried before feeding to the reactor. Other experiments discussed later in this report utilized technical-grade methane with and without additions of carbon dioxide and water vapor to simulate a typical Sabatier reaction product which would be the practical feed to a methane decomposition reactor. In general, the additives contributed to increased and sustained catalytic activity.

Supported Catalysts

The commercially available supported catalysts studied during this quarter were vanadium pentoxide, copper, silver, and nickel-tungsten. The support was not always identified but it is assumed to be alumina or an alumina-containing material in most cases. The commercial identifications are listed in Table 2 along with the results obtained for each catalyst. The lack of significant activity indicated for copper and silver is of interest for future engineering consideration in selection of materials of construction for high-temperature reactors and associated equipment.

Following the initial experiments with steel wool catalysts, a series of supported metal catalysts, metal foils, and a few miscellaneous materials were evaluated to determine more exactly the contributions of metal identity and form to the activities being obtained. The supported catalysts were included because they provided a ready opportunity to examine some metals in submicron crystallite form so that large catalyst surface areas were available. By comparing the initial reaction rates and the falloff in activity with carbon accumulation for the various forms, it was possible to
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst(b)</th>
<th>Activity, (a) percent decomposition CH₄ at temperature (°C) shown</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-gage steel wool(c)</td>
<td>-- 4 8 23 -- &gt;62</td>
<td>Second day of testing used here for more stable activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt (5%) on carbon powder (Baker)</td>
<td>-- Slight 5 5 -- 5</td>
<td>Activity falling, reactor not plugged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd (10%) on charcoal (Matheson, Coleman &amp; Bell)</td>
<td>-- Nil 45 50 -- --</td>
<td>Activity falling, reactor partially plugged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd on alumina (Girdler G-68-1/8 inch)</td>
<td>-- -- 13 22 36 56</td>
<td>Activity falling with time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe on alumina (Harshaw LA-40-4-3/16 inch)</td>
<td>-- -- 18 53 --</td>
<td>Very high Pellets swelled, reactor plugged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cracking catalyst (TCC bead catalyst Grade 34)</td>
<td>-- &lt;1 2 5 13 --</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co on alumina (Harshaw Co-0102-T 1/8 inch)</td>
<td>-- -- 68 83 (max) -- --</td>
<td>Pellets swelled, reactor partially plugged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-Mo alumina (Girdler G-51)</td>
<td>33 -- 44 (max) -- -- --</td>
<td>Activity falling, reactor not plugged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromia-alumina dehydrogenation catalyst (Davison)</td>
<td>-- -- 4 8 16 28</td>
<td>Short-term activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni (high) on alumina (Girdler T-324-1/8 inch)</td>
<td>65 86 (max) -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Pellets swelled, reactor partially plugged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd (0.5%) on alumina (Baker 1/8 inch)</td>
<td>7 (max) -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Activity falling, reactor not plugged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd (0.2%) on silica gel (Davison 6-12 mesh)</td>
<td>-- -- 13 18 (max) -- -- --</td>
<td>Activity falling, reactor not plugged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn on alumina (Harshaw Mn-0501-T 1/8 inch)</td>
<td>Slight -- Slight 6 12 --</td>
<td>Short-term activity holding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromel A wire, 32 gage, 0.020-cm diameter</td>
<td>-- -- Slight Slight 5 g(d)</td>
<td>Short-term activity holding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V₂O₅/Alundum (Harshaw L1345-20)</td>
<td>-- -- 7 Small 26 falling --</td>
<td>Re-test poor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu/support (Harshaw Cu-2501 G4-10)</td>
<td>Nil -- -- -- Nil --</td>
<td>Catalyst not visibly carbonized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag/support (Harshaw Ag-0101 G6-8)</td>
<td>Nil -- -- -- Nil --</td>
<td>Slight carbon deposit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-W/support (Harshaw Ni 4301E)</td>
<td>26 -- 63 62 63 -- --</td>
<td>Re-test 800 °C poor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni foil(e)</td>
<td>Nil -- Slight 4 5 --</td>
<td>Slight darkening.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni foil(e) activated (air/950 °C then H₂/800 °C)</td>
<td>75 (max) -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>Final, 45% after 10 hrs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt foil(d)</td>
<td>Nil -- Slight -- 3 --</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Activity and Remarks: Slight, Nil, Very high, Pellets swelled, reactor not plugged, partially plugged, Re-test poor, Catalyst not visibly carbonized, Slight carbon deposit, Re-test 800 °C poor.
TABLE 2. (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst(b)</th>
<th>Activity, percent decomposition CH₄ at temperature (°C) shown</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>700</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co foil(e) activated (air/950 C then H₂/800 C)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe foil (high purity)</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe foil(e) activated (air/500 C then H₂/800 C)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-test</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co powder(f)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co powder(f) activated (air/500 C then H₂/800 C)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) CH₄ flow 15.2 cm³/min (STP), inlet, in all runs. Catalyst usually occupied 3.0 cm³ reactor-tube volume and corresponded to residence times of about 0.04-0.05 min; GHSV = 300 (approx.). Activities are determined as ±10-20 percent of value listed. Predried, ultrapure methane (99.97 percent).
(b) All catalysts reduced in 50:50 mixture H₂ in He for 1 hr, the first 9 listed in the table at 450 C, the rest at 800 C except as noted for special activation.
(c) Calculated surface area of 154 cm² and 0.71 g based on 0.001-inch diameter.
(d) Corresponds to 3 x 10⁻⁶ moles CH₄ decomposed per cm² per hr for calculated wire-surface area of 107 cm².
(e) Total surface area of foil was 103 cm².
(f) Magnetic agitation used to suspend and retain powder in reaction zone.
identify some of the important characteristics of the reaction at moderately high carbon accumulations similar to those required for the application being considered.

Experimentally, it has been confirmed that the triad of transition metals, iron, cobalt, and nickel, all show high activity. Good activity is also obtainable from palladium, as previously reported\(^2\), but this metal has not been investigated in any detail in this work. Of greater importance, however, was the observation that the activities were found to depend strongly on the state of the metal surface and the type of pretreatment provided.

The experiments with supported iron, nickel, and cobalt indicated that these metals in high-surface-area form were capable of providing reaction rates substantially above those that had been obtained with steel wool. In the course of the reaction at these high rates, the pellets of supported catalyst swelled up as the carbon accumulated, reaching diameters several times the original value, until they completely filled the reaction-tube cross section. In some samples of metal foils of high purity, these three metals gave poor activities if the pretreatment was confined to reduction in hydrogen at 800 C.

**Effect of Surface Activation of Metal Foils**

The reduced foils had mirror-like smooth surfaces, and the supported metals had a high degree of surface development. Therefore, nickel, cobalt, and iron foils were subjected to oxidation in air sufficient to destroy the smoothness of the foil surface and then reduced again in hydrogen at the same temperature used for the first reduction. In each case the nickel and cobalt foils showed substantially greater activity after the oxidation-reduction, and it was noted that the initial reaction rate could be continued without any substantial decay. One further observation was that the continuing reaction caused disintegration of the metal foil and, in the case of the nickel foil, the high reaction rate was still being obtained after almost all of the foil had been converted to powder.

For cobalt, the reaction was stopped after only a minor portion of the foil had been converted to powder, and this powder was found to consist of carbon and cobalt in a weight ratio of 3.05 to 1. Subsequent experiments with both nickel and cobalt have shown that the weight ratios can be easily raised to over 5 to 1 and that the reaction is being achieved by the continuing activity of the powder that has formed.

The experiments with iron foil are qualitatively in agreement with these findings, but the foil in this case was of unusually high purity, less than 100 ppm total impurities, and did not react as readily as expected from the prior experiments with nickel and cobalt foil, the supported iron catalyst, or the steel wool. This low reactivity for the pure metal, the need for activation to produce more surface development, and the high reactivity of the submicron-supported metal forms have been interpreted to mean that the state of the surface, perhaps the grain and grain boundary structures, is of great importance in obtaining the degree of activity desired for the application under consideration.

The experiments with activated foil indicate that the continuing activity is maintained by the finely subdivided powders formed from the foils. However, there is not
sufficient information to say at this time whether that activity is due to regenerated fresh metal surface, or due to a reaction product of the methane and the metal during the decomposition.

Photomicrographs (appearing later in this report) made on catalyst-metal powders illustrate the process of subdivision of the metal by the reaction. This phenomenon has been a common characteristic of all experiments in which high continuing levels of activity were obtained, regardless of the metal choice.

Effect of Activation on Metal Powder

In a further examination of the reactivity provided by powders, several experiments were made using cobalt metal in the form of 50 mesh powder. Since beds of powder provide poor transport properties for the reactant and products, the arrangement of apparatus was changed so that the powder could be agitated and confined in the reactor.

To accomplish this, a magnetic field was employed, and, since cobalt is the only metal that remains ferromagnetic at the reaction temperatures employed, the experiments were confined to that metal. The catalyst chamber was located over a magnet that could be rotated at various speeds so as to provide agitation of the powder and, at the same time, another magnet was placed over the sample tube to partially levitate the powder and confine it in the reactor. Vibrational agitation seemed to serve equally as well as the magnetic agitation provided by the first magnet.

As with cobalt foil, the cobalt-powder catalyst was found to be substantially improved by the redox activation procedure, and in these cases nearly equilibrium conversions were obtained under the same flow and temperature conditions used for catalyst-survey results as shown in Table 2.

The results for experiments with cobalt powder are also summarized in Figures 2 and 3. Comparison of Figures 2 and 3 shows the higher activities with cobalt powder that was activated by oxidation and reduction with that which was reduced only. Later figures show the experimental data in recalculated form in which the activity is plotted for increments in carbon accumulation in the reactor. The actual peak activity found for the activated sample was 88 percent conversion at 15-cc-methane-per-minute inlet flow at 850 C (at about 0.2 grams carbon/gram cobalt in Figure 3). The subsequent change in flow to 25 cc/minute produced almost no change in conversion, expressed in percent, so that the specific reaction rate was increased from 0.039 to 0.064 g-mole carbon per hour per gram of catalyst. As the experimental apparatus was limited to a feed of 25 cc/minute, higher flows could not be investigated in this experiment. However, equilibrium conversion at 850 C has been estimated to be about 95 percent so the activities being obtained (82 to 88 percent) are only slightly below equilibrium values. As shown in Figure 3, high activity was maintained up to a carbon/catalyst ratio of 3 at a level above 75 percent methane decomposition that would be required for a one-pass system (no recycle).

In another experiment with activated cobalt powder and magnetic agitation a carbon to catalyst ratio of 5.55 was attained. The three experiments were summarized in Table 1 to show the correlation of carbon found with the reaction $\text{CH}_4=\text{C}+2\text{H}_2$. In
FIGURE 2. EFFECT OF HYDROGEN REDUCTION ONLY ON EXTENDED ACTIVITY OF COBALT POWDER (50-MESH) CATALYST FOR METHANE DECOMPOSITION
FIGURE 3. EFFECT OF OXIDATION-REDUCTION ON EXTENDED ACTIVITY OF COBALT POWDER (50-MESH) CATALYST FOR METHANE DECOMPOSITION
addition to confirming predominant formation of hydrogen, practically all of the carbon was associated with the catalyst with little or no deposition of products on the reactor wall at 850 C.

Additional experiments with powders are discussed in a later section using a different experimental apparatus which permitted study of powders other than cobalt.

**Effect of Feed Composition on Methane Decomposition on Extended Surfaces**

The series of experiments initiated in the second quarter on the decomposition of methane over a defined surface of iron and nickel foils was completed. The addition of small quantities of carbon dioxide and water vapor to the methane feed was made in an attempt to solve the problem of catalyst inactivation observed in the initial runs, when 97.0 percent minimum purity methane (the technical grade product of the Matheson Co.) was decomposed over iron and nickel foils. Moreover, methane feed containing traces of carbon dioxide and water vapor simulates the composition of a Sabatier effluent, the feed to the methane cracking unit in the actual life-support system.

The apparatus described earlier (1) was used (i.e., a quartz tube and two cylindrical resistance-wire furnaces for heating the decomposition zone and a preheater section). The operating procedure also remained unchanged. Nickel sheet was 0.023 to 0.024-in. (0.053-0.061 cm) thick, iron (shim steel) used was a 0.006-in. (0.015 cm) thick foil. Both catalysts were 5 in. (12.7 cm) wide and 6 in. (15.2 cm) long. Nickel catalyst was cleaned with concentrated hydrochloric acid, rinsed successively with distilled water and acetone, then dried in air. Iron foil was wiped with a clean towel and degreased in chlorol. Catalyst foil was rolled up so that it fitted into the quartz reactor tube. The spacings between layers of the roll were 0.1 to 0.4 cm. After the catalyst was rolled up and before the run, it was degreased in chlorol, dried in air, rinsed with acetone and dried again in air. The catalyst surface area of 386 cm$^2$ in these experiments was the same as that reported previously, but the methane flow used was increased from 50 to 250 cm$^3$/min.

In Runs C-6 to C-8, crushed graphite was replaced by Alcoa T-61 alumina, mesh size minus 8 plus 14. The preheater temperature in all experiments was 700 C. The degree of methane conversion was determined from the pressure measurements of the gaseous reaction mixture at room and at liquid-nitrogen temperature. Small amounts of carbon dioxide and water vapor in the reaction mixture lowered its methane content and resulted in slightly higher values of the degree of methane decomposition than those calculated for only methane-hydrogen mixture. The resulting differences were estimated to be in the error range of the analytical method used. Therefore, the uncorrected values are given in Table 3 and plotted in Figures 4 and 5. In Runs C-6 and C-7, wet carbon dioxide was fed into the reactor, in Run C-8 methane was allowed to bubble through water upstream of the reactor.

No maximum in the methane-decomposition rate was observed with the methane flow of 250 cm$^3$/min. Considering the results obtained with the methane flow of 50 cm$^3$/min, a maximum in the degree of methane decomposition with the methane flow of 250 cm$^3$/min should have occurred during the initial 10 to 20 minutes of the experiment. The degree of methane decomposition at 750 C was lower at higher methane flow.
### TABLE 3. CATALYTIC DECOMPOSITION OF METHANE(a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Temp, C</th>
<th>Time, hr</th>
<th>H₂ Content in the Product, volume percent</th>
<th>Degree of CH₄ Decomposition, percent</th>
<th>Reaction Rate, g-mole CH₄/hr cm² x 10⁵</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-5(b)</td>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>750</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-6(c)</td>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>750</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-7(c)</td>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-8(d)</td>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>950</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>950</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Preheater temperature: 700 C; catalyst surface area: 386 cm².
(b) CH₄ flow rate: 250 cm³/min at 21 C, 14.7 psia.
(c) CH₄ flow rate: 250 cm³/min at 21 C, 14.7 psia; CO₂ flow rate: 10 cm³/min at 0 C, 14.7 psia; carbon dioxide was bubbled through water.
(d) CH₄ flow rate: 250 cm³/min at 21 C, 14.7 psia; CO₂ flow rate: 10 cm³/min at 0 C, 14.7 psia; methane was bubbled through water.
FIGURE 4. CATALYTIC DECOMPOSITION OF CH₄ AND SIMULATED SABATIER PRODUCT MIXTURE ON NICKEL

FIGURE 5. CATALYTIC DECOMPOSITION OF CH₄ AND SIMULATED SABATIER PRODUCT MIXTURE ON IRON
(Run C-5) than that observed with the methane flow of 50 cm$^3$/min (Run C-1)\(^{(3)}\), but the rates of the methane decomposition per unit area of catalyst in these runs were similar. The same conclusion can be drawn for methane decomposition at 800 C (Runs C-3 and C-5). \(^{(3)}\)

Comparing the results obtained when technical-grade methane was used (Run C-5) with those of Run C-6, a higher degree of methane decomposition with a wet carbon dioxide-methane reaction mixture was observed only at higher temperature, i.e., at 850 C. With a carbon dioxide-wet methane reaction mixture, a higher degree of methane decomposition was measured from 750 C on up. The presence of about 4 percent carbon dioxide and 1.8 percent water vapor in methane approximately doubled the degree of methane decomposition at these temperatures. The highest degree of methane decomposition using this carbon dioxide-water vapor mixture was 15 percent at 900 C for a methane flow rate of 250 cm$^3$/min and a catalyst-surface area of 386 cm$^2$. Improved catalyst activity with the carbon dioxide and water addition to the methane was also maintained in extended runs (compare results of Experiments C-5 and C-8 at 800 C).

**Methane Decomposition in a Vibrating Bed of Catalyst Particles**

Experiments on methane decomposition using higher methane flow of 250 cm$^3$/min were carried out in a vibrating bed of catalyst (nickel or cobalt) particles. The reactor shown in Figure 6 consisted of a 2.5-cm-ID quartz tube with a conical bed support. The methane was fed into the reactor through a 0.125-cm capillary at the center of the bed-support cone, the angle of the cone being about 37 degrees. The preheater section of the reactor, a 3.0-cm-ID quartz tube was filled with 8 x 16-mesh alumina in the first three experiments. Later, quartz wool was used instead. The reaction zone and the preheater zone were heated with two cylindrical, resistance-wire furnaces. As in the previous work\(^{(1)}\), the reaction-zone thermocouple (Chromel-Alumel) was positioned inside the quartz tube and the thermocouple used with the preheater was located in contact with the outer quartz-tube wall. The reactor was mechanically vibrated in vertical position by a Syntron electric vibrator. The assembled system was purged with helium before and during the charging of the catalyst powder into the reactor and while the heater zone was heated to the reaction temperature. Helium purge was used also after the methane-decomposition experiment, when the reactor was cooled off.

Initial experiments were carried out with spherical nickel powder, minus 270 mesh from Welded Carbide Co., Inc. Cobalt powder, minus 50 mesh, m2N8, was obtained from Alfa Inorganics, Inc. A summary of the experimental conditions used and results obtained is given in Table 4. Nickel powder used in Run 1P-Ni contained many particles in the micron-size range. Only about one quarter of this powder was 270 x 325-mesh particles, as observed after screening it through a 325-mesh screen. Nickel powder in Run 2P-Ni was of better defined size. The smaller quantity of catalyst powder and the absence of small micron-size particles explain the observed lower degree of methane decomposition in this experiment. Decrease in the amount of catalyst particles and in the catalytic surface in Run 3P-Ni as compared to that of 2P-Ni resulted in additional decrease in degree of methane decomposition.

Methane decomposition experiments on cobalt powder were carried out mostly at 850 C. The data demonstrated significant effect of the amount of cobalt-catalyst powder
FIGURE 6. VERTICAL VIBRATING BED FOR METHANE PYROLYSIS
## TABLE 4. METHANE DECOMPOSITION IN A VIBRATING BED OF CATALYST PARTICLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Temp, C</th>
<th>Time, hr</th>
<th>H2 Content in the Product, volume percent</th>
<th>Degree of CH4 Decomposition, percent</th>
<th>Volume of the Reaction Product Powder, cm³</th>
<th>Amount of Carbon Formed, g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1P-Ni</td>
<td>Ni-Powder</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- 270 mesh</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.9 cm³</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42.37 g</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2P-Ni</td>
<td>Ni-Powder</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>11.1(c)</td>
<td>4.9g(c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>270 x 325 mesh</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 cm³</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.99 g</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3P-Ni</td>
<td>Reaction Product Powder of 2P-Ni</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4P-Co</td>
<td>Co-Powder</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>21.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-50 mesh</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 cm³</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.32 g</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>85.4</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5P-Co</td>
<td>Reaction Product Powder of 4P-Co</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6P-Co</td>
<td>Co-Powder</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-50 mesh</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.08 cm³</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.38 g</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7P-Co</td>
<td>Co-Powder</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>19.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-50 mesh</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>87.7</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.02 cm³</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.13 g</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) CH₄ flow rate: 250 cm³/min at 21 C, 14.7 psia; preheater temperature: 700 C.
(b) Bed was plugged at the beginning of the experiment. The developed pressure burst the bed. Since nickel powder was carried out of the reactor by the spurt no reliable measurements were possible.
(c) Values reported in these two columns were obtained at the end of the experiment. Runs 2P-Ni, 4P-Co and 7P-Co were run for 6 hours. Runs 5P-Co and 8P-Co were run for 6.5 and 9 hours, respectively.
(d) Run was discontinued after a short reaction time (~2 hr), due to a leak in the system.
(e) Reactor was at 750 C for the initial 40 minutes.
(f) Prior to the methane-decomposition experiment, the cobalt powder was oxidized in air at 800 C for 1 hr and reduced in hydrogen at 500 C for 1 hr.
on the degree of methane decomposition. As when nickel powder was used, the catalytic activity of cobalt powder passed through a maximum during the decomposition experiment. The initial catalytic activity of cobalt powder was lower than that of nickel powder. However, a valuable comparison could be made only on nickel and cobalt particles of the same size and shape. The effect of activating the cobalt prior to the methane-decomposition experiment was outstanding. The most important observation was the high catalytic activity of the product powder at the end of Run 7P-Co when the carbon to catalyst ratio was higher than 6.3.

Microscopic examination of product particles (Run 2P-Ni) did not reveal any separate carbon. Only spherical particles in the product powder were observed under the microscope. Examination of sectioned product particles indicated a coating on all particles (Figure 7) and an extensive attack of the whole particle in most cases. The as-received nickel particles were dense and sound (Figure 8). All particles appeared to be polygrained, but they showed some difference in their network of voids (Figure 9). The cobalt powder consisted of agglomerates of smaller particles (Figure 10). This powder, too, was disintegrated in the methane-decomposition process. The extent of the disintegration varied with the ratio of the total carbon formed to the amount of catalyst used as shown in Figures 11 and 12, which are sectioned product-powder particles of Runs 4P-Co and 7P-Co, respectively. The final carbon-to-catalyst ratio in Run 4P-Co was 1.75 and that in Run 7P-Co was 6.35.

Methane-decomposition Runs 4P-Co, 6P-Co, and 7P-Co, on cobalt-catalyst powder are analyzed from a different viewpoint in Table 5 and Figure 13. In this representation a direct comparison with the results of the work at AiResearch (Figure 14) is possible. The "cumulative carbon produced" reported in Table 5 is higher than the "amount of carbon formed" given in Table 4. The difference in these two values comes mainly from the uncertainty in the "cumulative carbon produced" at the beginning of the experiment in the initial 1.5 hr. Some carbon was formed also on the quartz wool and on the quartz wall of the upper part of the preheater, and was not included in the values reported in Table 4.

As already noted, the degree of methane decomposition and the rate of carbon production on preactivated cobalt powder was high. The best improvement is indicated for the observed rates of carbon production per unit weight of the catalyst powder for the ratio of the cumulative carbon formed per unit weight of the catalyst greater than 5. Additional overall improvement is realized in considering that the 75 percent of the catalyst-support weight was left out in the AiResearch evaluation.

Discussion

It is characteristic of the reaction process under study that the catalyst becomes intimately mixed with the carbon powder-reaction product. Thus, since the catalyst ultimately would be removed with the carbon accumulated in the reactor, the ability of the catalyst to maintain the reaction at high weight ratios of carbon to catalyst is of critical importance. The meaning of this to the engineering of a stable reactor is better understood if it is realized that one square meter of catalyst surface can form only about $10^{-4}$ grams of carbon unless that monolayer of reaction product can be displaced or new catalyst surface can be generated to replace it. A weight ratio of 5 grams of carbon per gram of catalyst would consist of 40,000 to 50,000 layers of carbon atoms.
FIGURE 7. NICKEL PARTICLES AFTER THE RUN 2P-Ni
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FIGURE 10. AS-RECEIVED COBALT PARTICLES
FIGURE 11. PRODUCT-POWDER PARTICLES AFTER RUN 4P-Co
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FIGURE 12. PRODUCT-POWDER PARTICLES AFTER RUN 7P-Co
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FIGURE 14. CATALYST ACTIVITY VERSUS CARBON CONTENT
Based on AiResearch and Isomet data. (2)
### TABLE 5. ACTIVITY OF COBALT CATALYST POWDER(a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Time, hr</th>
<th>Degree of CH₄ Decomposition, percent</th>
<th>Rate of Carbon Production, g/hr(b)</th>
<th>Rate of Carbon Production/Wt of Catalyst Powder, hr</th>
<th>Average Rate of Carbon Prod., g/hr(c)</th>
<th>Cumulative Carbon Prod./Wt of Catalyst Powder</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4P-Co</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.52g</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>7.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>12.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>18.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>24.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>27.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6P-Co</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.36 g</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>6.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>9.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>14.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7P-Co</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.13 g</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>7.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>12.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>16.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>19.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>21.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) CH₄ flow rate: 250 cm³/min at 21 C, 760 mm Hg; 6.25 x 10⁻¹ g mole/hr.
(b) The rate of carbon production is 7.5 g/hr, when degree of methane decomposition is 100 percent.
(c) Obtained graphically.
on the catalyst surface if the 1 gram of catalyst were spread out so as to provide 1 square meter of active surface, and if layerwise deposition of carbon occurred. In the summary table of catalysts tried, those metals which provided only an initial surge of activity may be regarded as ones for which the deposited layer of carbon rapidly inundated and poisoned the reactive surface. On the other hand, those catalysts that provided good continuing activity all were pulverized during the reaction and this effect of the reaction process is believed to be responsible for the continuing activity obtained. The above considerations of the number of carbon layers involved in a suitable reactor, and the experimental observations of the formation of a powder containing both catalyst and carbon seem to indicate that it is unrealistic to view the high-reaction-rate process as occurring through any substantial thickness of carbon product. The diffusion rate for methane or catalyst through the carbon layer would depend strongly upon the cracks and other defects formed in the layer, and the critical thickness for reaction rates of the order seen in this work would be difficult to estimate.

Alternatively, the diffusion thickness can be viewed as being maintained small by the destructive action on the metal structure of the catalyst, but the nature of the catalyst surface being maintained by this process is not known. In the catalytic process, the activation of the methane molecule is achieved by chemical-bond formation between the catalyst and the molecule during which carbon-hydrogen bonds are broken. Ultimately, the hydrogen is desorbed leaving behind the carbon residues which may remain chemically attached to the catalyst or which may be desorbed as the carbon product. From the experimental results and particularly the effect of the oxidation-reduction procedure, it can be assumed that chemisorption of the methane and carbon deposition along intergranular cracks or fissures in the metal surface produces a wedging action which causes the metal to become pulverized, and this process generates additional catalytic surface. If the carbon residues formed by the surface process are readily desorbed or displaced from the surface, reaction can continue on all surfaces at a rate that is enhanced by the increasing amount of surface area, and decreased by the accumulation of carbon residue which gradually inundates the catalyst.

It is also possible that carbides or similar reaction products persist at the surface and prevent regeneration of at least part of the original surface. In that event the continuing reaction depends on the activity, if any, of the reaction product thus formed, the amount of original metal surface regenerated, and the amount of fresh surface formed. The balance that is achieved among these several processes depends not only on the elemental composition of the catalyst but upon the metallurgical structure of the sample tried. In this respect, the low activity of the high-purity iron sample may be of interest in demonstration of the role of metallurgical factors present in the commercial steel wool and other forms of iron materials.

The results indicate that the reaction occurs to a considerable extent in the powdery mixture of catalyst and carbon that forms. This accumulated product of reaction is a loose material that readily falls off the metal surface and does not appear to adhere as a succession of layers forming a gradient of catalyst concentration between the original catalyst surface and the outer layer of the product. For such a product, good kinetics of reaction can be maintained only if the powdery bed of material is kept agitated and loosely formed so that methane can stream through it. The experiments with cobalt powder have shown that high levels of reactivity can be maintained by use of a powder that has been oxidized and reduced to activate the surface, and agitated to prevent transport limitations. The cobalt powder, furthermore, is ferromagnetic at reaction temperatures so that this metal or its alloys may be confined effectively in the
reactor by a magnetic field. The use of powder form for the catalyst and magnetic confinement in the reactor has been shown to be a valid basis for engineering of a suitable reactor for the life-support system under investigation.

The investigation of the kinetic nature of the reaction process has produced a number of conclusions concerning the variables that determine the reaction. These are summarized in the following section.

**Summary of Experimental Results**

1. The decomposition can be carried out using pure methane feed and the activity does not require traces of CO or CO₂ to form and maintain the active surface. However, experiments with the addition of up to 4 percent carbon dioxide and 1.8 percent water vapor to the methane feed have shown that the reaction over nonactivated nickel foil was enhanced by the presence of these materials. Moreover, this improved activity was maintained for extended reaction times.

2. The reaction over iron catalysts obeyed the kinetic rate law for a reversible first-order reaction process with an Arrhenius activation energy of 41.6 kcal/mole in the temperature range of 700 to 950 °C.

3. At all temperatures below 950 °C, the reaction is essentially confined to the catalytic surface with little or no contribution by a homogeneous reaction process. Thus, for a noncatalytic vessel of silica, the walls of the vessel are maintained essentially free of carbon deposits.

4. The catalytically deposited carbon is relatively pure and free of tars. Thus, in three extended experiments a total of 12.53 grams of deposit were collected as compared to 12.47 grams expected if each mole of methane decomposed yields 12.0 g of deposit as elemental carbon.

5. The metals iron, cobalt, nickel, and palladium have been found to be the most effective of those tried. The list of catalysts tried included one standard commercial cracking catalyst. The most effective catalyst materials have been found to be effective in either supported or unsupported forms, provided that proper activation is used. The catalyst identified as iron foil was specially prepared from a sample having no more than 100 ppm total impurities and had disappointing activity compared to that of the commercial steel wool or samples prepared by reducing a commercial sample of supported iron oxide.

6. The unsupported metals were activated best by first oxidizing the sample and then reducing the sample in hydrogen at temperatures of 500 °C and above before admitting methane.

7. In all cases of high activity, the growth of the carbon deposit was not layer-wise, but rather was accompanied by pulverization of the metal and the accumulation of
an intimate mixture of active metal and carbon residue. The prior oxidation and reduction of the sample apparently promoted this tendency for the metal to pulverize during methane decomposition. In some of these cases the reaction exceeded 80 percent conversion at flows of nearly 2 liters of methane (STP) per hour for 1 gram of metal-powder catalyst. Under these conditions recycle of unconverted methane probably would not be necessary.

(8) The large dependence of high, continuing activity upon the pulverizing action of the reaction on the metal catalyst indicates that a suitable reactor would probably need to be capable of retaining the powder formed until a sufficient carbon-to-metal ratio has been produced. This has been suitably accomplished with work described here by use of vibratory or magnetic agitation, and magnetic confinement of the catalyst powder in the reactor. The only metal with suitable magnetic characteristics for this purpose appears to be cobalt.
SECTION 3. PROCESS DESIGN STUDY

Methane-Decomposition Unit Design

The major task in the design study was concerned with the methane-decomposition reactor, which involved selection and configuration of a catalyst, reactor geometry, and operating mode that would permit continuous deposition and removal of carbon produced from methane decomposition. The design concept evolved from this program is the combination of a magnetic field coupled with a particulate catalyst which is ferromagnetic at the reaction temperature of interest. Thus, the active catalyst requires no physical support within the reactor or inert support structure (e.g., asbestos) that would add to the expendable catalyst weight.

The magnetic field is used as a means to contain the catalyst in the hot reaction zone in a gravity-independent manner. A rotating magnetic field or rapid polarity reversal can create magnetic-field-strength fluctuations which impart agitation to the catalyst bed. A state of gas-solid fluidization exists while carbon is formed on the catalyst. The catalyst/carbon mixture maintains position against gas flow up to high carbon/catalyst ratio as long as an adequate magnetic field exists. Partial reduction or removal of the magnetic field permits the product carbon/catalyst particles to be entrained by the gas for removal from the hot reaction zone. Final gas/solid separation occurs in a low-temperature carbon separator which collects the solid product powder for either a continuous or batch operation. The carbon separator is discussed in Appendix B.

Experimental results in this program indicate that a mixture of a cobalt catalyst and carbon deposited around cobalt particles remains ferromagnetic at a reaction temperature up to at least 900 °C. Hence, the carbon-cobalt mixture in the catalyst bed could be confined inside the working volume of the reactor by a magnetic field until sufficient catalyst utilization had been achieved.

Two of the best catalysts having good catalytic activities toward methane decomposition are nickel and cobalt. Nickel appears to be somewhat superior to cobalt in terms of catalytic activity. However, the Curie points of nickel and cobalt are 358 and 1130 °C, respectively. Since the reaction temperature of interest lies in the range of 700 to 900 °C, cobalt is the choice of catalyst for magnetic application. Of all the metallic elements, cobalt is unique in having the highest Curie point. Alloys of nickel and cobalt might be considered in future experiments for improved catalytic activity while retaining adequate magnetic properties. Curie points of cobalt-nickel alloys are shown in Figure 15. The data suggest that alloys containing up to 40 percent by weight of nickel have sufficiently high Curie temperatures for methane decomposition.

Parameters that determine reactor sizing include the quantity of the catalyst, the carbon/catalyst ratio in the catalyst bed, and the packing density of the catalyst bed during steady-state operation. These parameters were determined from the results on this program in some laboratory-scale experiments at nearly 1-man capacity. Since the reactor size is relatively small, a 3-man experimental reactor was designed for future study rather than the 1-man reactor originally considered at the beginning of the program.
The catalyst study conducted on this program and covered in Section 2 includes experimental results with cobalt powder. Two of the experiments showed that activated cobalt powder has sufficiently high activity for methane decomposition to permit designing an efficient and compact reactor. It was desired that preliminary design of the experimental reactor and extrapolated estimates for a 3-man, 1000-day mission be based on demonstrated catalyst activity. The choices of experimental data to use were: (1) demonstration of 75 percent decomposition up to a carbon/catalyst ratio of 3 using a magnetic field (one-pass system), or (2) demonstration of attainment of at least a carbon/catalyst ratio of 6.35 with a degree of methane decomposition of 45 percent averaged over a 6-hour run in a vibrating catalyst bed. The latter choice was made because the added penalties for larger reactor size and recycle were sufficiently small to provide favorable weight estimates.

The pertinent experimental data are reproduced in Table 6 in a slightly different form for design purposes.

**TABLE 6. EXPERIMENTAL DATA ON DECOMPOSITION OF METHANE USING COBALT POWDER CATALYST**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Activated Cobalt Powder, -50 mesh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amount of Catalyst Used, g</td>
<td>3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane Feed, g-mole/hr</td>
<td>0.615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Formation Rate (Average), g-mole/hr</td>
<td>0.276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of Methane Decomposition, g-mole/hr/g catalyst</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of Methane Decomposition (Average), percent</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon/Catalyst Ratio Attained, g/g</td>
<td>6.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Bulk Density, g/cm³</td>
<td>0.175(b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Data given in this table are based on experimental data reported for Run 7p-Co, Table 4. In producing 19.89 g carbon in 6-hr run.

(b) Value estimated on the low (conservative) side from observation during Run 7p-Co (Table 4). The final product density corresponding to a packing density was 0.435 g/cm³ (Table 4).
Results of reactor-design calculations are summarized in Table 7. The catalyst consumption estimated for a final carbon/catalyst ratio of 6.35 in the present design is considered adequate, and there were evidences in the catalyst studies that this ratio could be increased without reducing the reaction rate significantly.

TABLE 7. DESIGN AND OPERATING PARAMETERS FOR A METHANE-DECOMPOSITION REACTOR (3-MAN SYSTEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cobalt powder, -50 mesh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rate of methane Decomposition, g-mole/hr</td>
<td>2.08(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalyst in the Reactor, g</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon in the Reactor, g</td>
<td>149.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactor Working Volume, cm³</td>
<td>1000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalyst Consumption, g/day</td>
<td>94.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(kg/1000-day mission)</td>
<td>(94.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactor Temperature, C</td>
<td>850.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactor Pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>760.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactor Feed(b):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Flow, g-mole/hr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane, percent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactor Effluent(b):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Flow, g-mole/hr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane, percent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Based on data given in Figure A-4, Appendix A for a waste carbon product formation rate of 16.6 g-moles/man-day (73.5 percent net overall decomposition of methane with recycle).
(b) Based on data given in Table 6.

Reactor Design

To obtain a uniform and strong magnetic field throughout the working volume of the reactor, a flat, disk-shape design is proposed. A cross section of the proposed reactor design is shown in Figure 16. The reactor consists of a hollow disk with resistance heaters on both sides of the disk. Vacuum insulation is recommended, using radiation shields and a gas-tight jacket around the reactor-heater assembly. A radiation shield, made of a gold-plated high-temperature alloy, surrounds the heater unit. The outer surface of the heater unit and the inside surface of the jacket would be gold plated to serve as additional radiation shields. Confinement and agitation of the cobalt-catalyst bed would be accomplished with a stationary permanent magnet mounted on one side of the disk and a rotating permanent magnet mounted on the opposite side. A rotating magnetic field with local polarity reversal would be set up between the stationary permanent magnet and the rotating magnet.

The cobalt catalyst would be transferred from a storage-feed hopper into the reactor through an inlet tube, which extends into the center of the reactor, by feed gas as gas-solid suspension.
FIGURE 16. PROPOSED DESIGN FOR EXPERIMENTAL METHANE-DECOMPOSITION REACTOR
At steady-state operation, the reactor would be filled with cobalt/carbon mixture, and excess volume of spent catalyst coated with carbon would be pushed out of the catalyst bed and carried out of the reactor by the product gas.

The inlet and outlet of the reactor is a concentric-tubular section which serves as a counter-current heat exchanger to recover the sensible heat of reactor effluent. The feed to the reactor flowing through the center tube would be preheated by the reactor effluent flowing through the annulus surrounding the tube.

**TABLE 8. PRELIMINARY DESIGN AND ESTIMATED WEIGHT AND POWER PENALTIES FOR METHANE-DECOMPOSITION UNIT (3-MAN SYSTEM)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactor</th>
<th>Dimensions of Catalyst Bed</th>
<th>20-cm diameter by 3.2-cm length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volume of Catalyst Bed, cm³</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnet</td>
<td>Dimensions of Static Permanent Magnet</td>
<td>20-cm diameter by 3.2 cm thick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dimensions of Rotating Permanent Magnet</td>
<td>20-cm diameter by 0.5 cm thick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalyst Storage-Feed Hopper</td>
<td>Dimensions</td>
<td>35-cm diameter by 70 cm height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volume, liters</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Weight</td>
<td>Reactor, kg</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magnet (Including a Motor for Rotation), kg</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Catalyst Storage-Feed hopper (1000-Day Mission), kg</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Fixed Weight, kg</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Input</td>
<td>Reactor Heater, watts</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rotating Magnet Motor, watts</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Power, watts</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total fixed weight and power penalty for the reactor unit on a 3-man basis, including the magnet, the catalyst storage-feed hopper, and the heat exchanger are estimated as 25 kg and 312 watts, respectively. Preliminary design data and estimated weight and power penalties are given in Table 8. Details of heat-balance calculations are given below.
Decomposition of methane to carbon and hydrogen is an endothermic reaction. Heat of reaction data are shown in Figure 17.\(^7\) The endothermic heat of reaction at 850°C is 21.5 kcal/mole of methane, which corresponds to a power input at a level of 52 watts for the 3-man system. The process would require much additional power to make up for heat loss from the high-temperature reactor through reactor insulation and to compensate for heat-exchange inefficiency.

The heat loss through the vacuum-jacketed reactor primarily by radiation can be estimated from the reactor design shown in Figure 16. The heat loss by radiant heat transmission from the reactor was estimated as 120 watts for the 3-man system for the following conditions:

- Outside-surface temperature of heater unit = 850°C
- Outside-surface temperature of vacuum jacket = 25°C
- Emissivity of gold surface = 0.05

The heat loss due to heat-exchanger inefficiency can be estimated from the flow rates, the sensible heat of the reactants, and the products around the reactor-heat exchanger assembly. Flow rates of the reactants and the products are given in Table A-2, Appendix A. Sensible-heat data for methane, hydrogen, and carbon are shown in Figure 18.\(^7\) The reactor effluent consists of 69 g-moles/day of methane and 104 g-moles/day of hydrogen. Assuming the reactants enter the heat exchanger at 25°C and the products leave the heat exchanger at 500°C, the enthalpy loss for the 3-man system was estimated to be 40 watts.

The total power input to the reactor heater would be the sum of the three values calculated, which is 212 watts for the 3-man system.

### Summary of Methane-Decomposition-Unit Weight and Power Estimates

Table 9 provides a summary of the preliminary weight estimates for the total methane-decomposition unit that would be added to an Open Sabatier System to achieve a Closed Sabatier System. The weight-and-power penalties of Table 9 can be considered relative to the alternative of carrying stored water of 900 kg for a 3-man, 1000-day mission (the small added tankage weight of approximately 5 percent for stored water is not included).

### Discussion of Process-Design Results

To illustrate the significance of the experimental results obtained on this program, a comparison can be made with prior published information relating to catalysts for methane decomposition and to the various approaches that have been considered to resolve the "carbon-handling problem" for reduced-gravity operation.
FIGURE 17. ENDOOTHERMIC HEAT OF METHANE DECOMPOSITION
FIGURE 18. SENSIBLE HEAT OF METHANE, HYDROGEN, AND CARBON
### TABLE 9. SUMMARY OF PRELIMINARY WEIGHT ESTIMATES FOR METHANE-DECOMPOSITION UNIT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>3-Man Equipment Weight, kg</th>
<th>1000-Day Expendable Weight, kg</th>
<th>Power at 136 kg/kw, watts</th>
<th>Power Penalty, kg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reactor</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycle Pump</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen Separator</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalyst</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Separator</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) This value is based on an assumed carbon packing density of 0.25 g/cm$^3$ (Table B-1, Appendix B) and might be reduced significantly by demonstration of a packing density of about 0.45 g/cm$^3$ indicated for the carbon/cobalt product at $R = 6.35$ (Table 4).

In the earliest work by Isomet the use of unsupported powder-metal catalyst was evaluated (at least iron and nickel but not cobalt). As shown by the data in Figure 14, the decrease in catalyst activity with increase in carbon formation was considered a serious limitation (at that time the assumed goal was a carbon-to-catalyst ratio of 100). The powder bed plugged in the exploratory experiments because there was no agitation of the powder. The major effort in the Isomet studies was directed toward supported catalysts and development of a mechanical method of moving catalyst canisters through a high-temperature reactor.

Subsequent studies by AiResearch showed that higher activity could be obtained for an equivalent amount of metal catalyst by use of an asbestos support structure (e.g., compare 25 percent Ni on asbestos and Ni powder in Figure 14). Supported cobalt and supported palladium powder were also shown to have high initial activity but sustained activity to sufficiently high carbon-to-catalyst ratio did not appear possible. The inert support material (asbestos) results in a large expendable weight. It should be noted that while reasonably high catalyst activity is indicated in Figure 14 up to about a carbon-to-metal-catalyst ratio of 4, the ratio would be only 1 for carbon to metal powder plus asbestos. Thus, the use of an inert material for catalyst support does not appear to be an attractive approach. Most of the subsequent AiResearch investigation was directed to the use of cleanable cobalt shot (with limited catalyst surface area). The latter approach has many of the limitations of the "scraping" techniques (i.e., the need for providing mechanical movement within a high-temperature reactor to separate the carbon/catalyst product from unused catalyst).

The use of an expendable catalyst without added support structure is a desirable approach. In a batch operation fine metal wire (i.e., steel wool) can be used to provide its own physical support initially. Batch processes accomplish carbon separation from the product gas within the high-temperature reactor and require expendable canisters or a large reactor with resultant heat losses. A continuous methane-decomposition process leads to a smaller reactor volume but requires a means of continuous product removal from the high-temperature reactor. This can be conveniently accomplished by
entrainment in the gas stream. Thus, the ideal system provides that a large-surface-area catalyst be used without any expendable inert mechanical support. The problem resolves to one of containing the catalyst/carbon mixture within the high-temperature zone of the reactor in a dispersed manner while controlling entrainment by the gas stream. A magnetic field satisfies the requirements in an ideal manner that avoids sealing problems that would be associated with penetration of the hot reactor to provide mechanical motion. The above reasoning which leads to the use of a magnetic field also limits the choice of catalysts to cobalt (or cobalt alloys).

The important principles of operation of a methane-decomposition reactor with a magnetic field have been shown to be feasible. Further study is indicated to optimize design of the magnetic field and to demonstrate continuous carbon removal. This is considered to be the most important goal for future research on the new concept. While further improvement of activity of the cobalt-powder catalyst can be expected (i.e., use of smaller mesh powder, improved initial activation, and use of Sabatier products rather than pure methane), the catalytic activity already demonstrated is considered adequate to achieve a small reactor size.

The carbon-to-cobalt ratio of about 6 already demonstrated in the experimental work is considered adequate catalyst utilization at the present time.

The reasoning behind the acceptance of a relatively low carbon-to-catalyst ratio is evident from the weight estimates for the total subsystem as a function of carbon-to-catalyst ratio shown in Figure 19. First, in Figure 19, it is evident that about the same expendable weight can be achieved for a "suspended" catalyst at a ratio of about 6 as could be obtained with a "supported" catalyst at a ratio of over 20. Admittedly, the magnets and power for rotation are an added weight to provide catalyst "suspension" but this is a small fixed weight independent of mission duration whereas an asbestos support (or special expendable canisters in a batch process) is a mission-duration-dependent item.

The second consideration shown in Figure 19 is that the greater portion of the weight saving versus the alternative of stored water is achieved within a carbon-to-catalyst ratio of about 6 for the assumed fixed weight of the system. Actually, the fixed-weight estimates are conservatively low because no allowance was made for spare components to achieve mission-reliability goals. Assumption of a 1000-day mission makes the expendable-catalyst weight appear significant but the related assumption that the original reactor unit will operate for 1000 days should not be overlooked.

The important point illustrated by Figure 19 is that the maximum utilization of catalyst (or the highest carbon-to-catalyst ratio) does not result in the minimum total-system weight. The reason for this is that the higher the carbon-to-catalyst ratio, the larger the volume of reactor and, the larger the volume of the reactor, the greater the fixed weight and power penalty associated with heat loss. This can be shown by the following simplified equation for estimating reactor volume:

\[ V = \frac{K(1 + R)}{kP_B} \]
FIGURE 19. WEIGHT ESTIMATES OF METHANE-DECOMPOSITION UNIT AS A FUNCTION OF PRODUCT CARBON/CATALYST RATIO

Basis: 3-man system, 1000-day mission for which stored water alternative is 900 kg.
where

\[ V = \text{design-reactor volume, cm}^3 \]
\[ K = \text{constant for a particular carbon-producing capability, g-mole carbon/hr} \]
\[ k = \text{specific design-reaction rate, g-mole carbon/hr-g catalyst} \]
\[ R = \frac{\text{carbon/catalyst weight ratio}}{} \]
\[ \rho_B = \text{operating bulk density of carbon/catalyst mixture.} \]

In general, it has been observed that reactivity of the catalyst, \( k \), decreases with increase in \( R \). (Thus, an increase in design volume, \( V \), required for lower \( k \).) At best, there is the possibility that some minimum value of \( k \) can be sustained beyond a value of \( R = 5 \) as suggested by the data in Figure 13. However, it is obvious that, if one assumes a certain operational void volume for the catalyst bed, the bulk-density term also decreases as \( R \) increases (i.e., density of cobalt is 8.9 g/cm\(^3\) corresponding to \( R = 0 \) and density of carbon is 2 g/cm\(^3\) at \( R = \infty \)). Taking the latter factor into account indicates that the reactor volume increases approximately as \((1 + 4.5 \ R)\). Thus, reactor weight and power penalty for heat loss both increase significantly if one provides sufficient reactor volume to contain the carbon.

For use of a magnetic field in the reactor design, a vacuum-jacket type insulation was preferred to the thicker felt-type insulation. Therefore, heat losses, as related to reactor volume, were estimated as radiation heat losses which vary as the fourth power of absolute temperature of the reactor. Thus, there will be a trade-off between higher operating temperature to favor increased reaction rate, and lower operating temperature to reduce the heat loss and power. No detailed optimization has been made to determine the optimum reaction temperature. However, some data have been obtained during the experimental work to indicate the effect of temperature on reaction rate as shown in Figure 20. The data shown for steel wire are reproduced from an earlier report.(3) The data for cobalt powder were obtained at a carbon-to-catalyst ratio of 3 (Figure 3 of this report). The cobalt powder after activation had a larger true surface area of 8000 cm\(^2\)/g (compared to about 1800 cm\(^2\)/g for as-received powder). The high reaction rate for cobalt powder is probably related to the larger active surface area (compared to steel wool).

It is often difficult to determine which metal is the best catalyst unless all other factors are identical. Fortunately, the proposed limitation to cobalt (or cobalt alloy) reduces the scope of any future catalyst study. The apparent absence of any immediate need to achieve higher carbon-to-catalyst ratio than already demonstrated is also important. Other properties of the catalyst that might affect continuous catalyst feed to the reactor, operating bulk density, continuous removal of solid product from the reactor, and packing density of the separated carbon now appear to be more important than maximizing reaction rate or increasing catalyst utilization. Catalyst study as related to demonstrating continuous, reliable system operation and ease of process control appears to be the important direction for future research. Since, in the final analysis, the use of any carbon-producing process in space applications depends on resolving the operational problems.
FIGURE 20. RATE OF METHANE DECOMPOSITION AS FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE FOR COBALT-POWDER AND STEEL-WOOL CATALYSTS.
SECTION 4. CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions may be drawn from the results obtained in this program:

(1) The use of cobalt powder as an expendable catalyst looks promising as a means for designing an efficient reactor for methane decomposition and for resolving the problem of carbon handling.

(2) A disk-shaped reactor with a rotating magnetic field set up uniformly through the catalyst bed by a stationary permanent magnet coupled with a rotating magnet is recommended. The total fixed weight and power penalty for the reactor unit are estimated as 25 kg and 312 watts, respectively, for a 3-man system.

(3) One feasible method for collection and disposal of carbon produced from methane decomposition as waste is the use of disposable bag filters. The fixed weight of the filter unit for a 3-man, 1000-day mission is estimated as 43 kg, of which the weight of the disposable bags accounts for 80 percent.

(4) The metals—iron, cobalt, nickel, and palladium—have been found to be the most effective catalysts of those tried for methane decomposition. The most effective catalyst materials have been found to be effective in either supported or unsupported forms provided that proper activation is used. The unsupported metals were activated best by first oxidizing the metals and then reducing them in hydrogen at temperatures of 500°C and above before admitting methane.

(5) In all cases of high activity, the growth of the carbon deposit was not layerwise, but, rather, was accompanied by disintegration of the metal catalyst and the accumulation of an intimate mixture of active metal and carbon residue.

(6) The large dependence of high, continuing activity upon the pulverizing action of the reaction on the metal catalyst indicates that a suitable reactor would probably need to be capable of retaining the powder formed until a sufficient carbon-to-metal ratio has been achieved. This has been suitably accomplished with work described here by use of vibratory or magnetic agitation, and magnetic confinement of the catalyst powder in the reactor. The only metal with suitable magnetic characteristics for this purpose appears to be cobalt.
SECTION 5. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK

Future work recommended for implementing the findings of this program would be construction and evaluation of an experimental methane-decomposition unit. The unit would be designed for a nominal 3-man capacity based on the design data and specifications developed in this program. The overall unit would consist of (1) a methane-decomposition reactor, including the rotating-magnet and catalyst-feed mechanisms, (2) a carbon-separation unit, (3) a recycle pump, (4) a hydrogen-separation unit, and (5) instrumentation and controls.

Evaluation of the experimental unit would be directed to accomplish the following tasks:

1. Continuous feeding of fresh catalyst and removal of spent catalyst/carbon mixture from the reactor
2. Improving the design of the carbon-separation unit
3. Investigating the process dynamics of reactor operation as it relates to automatic control of the overall Closed Sabatier system operation
SECTION 6. REFERENCES


(4) JANAF Thermochemical Data, Volumes 2 and 4.

APPENDIX A

PROCESS-DESIGN AND
MATERIAL-BALANCE CALCULATIONS
A flowsheet of a Closed Sabatier system for oxygen recovery from carbon dioxide and water vapor is shown in Figure A-1. Indicated flows are based on metabolic oxygen consumption at a rate of 910 g/man-day, carbon dioxide production at a rate of 1000 g/man-day, and water-vapor generation by respiration and perspiration at a minimum rate of 1113 g/man-day. Carbon dioxide is first reacted with hydrogen by the Sabatier reaction at 200 C to produce water and methane:

\[ \text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2 = 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CH}_4. \]

After water vapor is removed, methane is decomposed to hydrogen and carbon, the latter being the primary waste product from the overall process. Hydrogen containing unreacted methane is separated from the decomposition products and is returned to the Sabatier reactor.

The Sabatier reaction produces 818 g/man-day of water by complete reduction of all of the available carbon dioxide. To provide enough hydrogen for the reduction process, about three quarters of the total methane produced by the Sabatier reaction must be decomposed, and the remainder discarded as waste. When hydrogen recovery from methane is less than the indicated level, either part of the carbon dioxide must be wasted or make-up hydrogen must be provided from another source. In the latter event, the preferred approach is to carry stored water at launch and supply the make-up hydrogen by electrolysis. The stored water at launch as a function of the extent of conversion of methane to hydrogen is shown in Figure A-2. When the conversion is nil in the situation where all of the methane produced is dumped overboard, the Sabatier reaction produces only 508 g/man-day of water. The difference between 818 g/man-day and 508 g/man-day is the deficiency to be made up from stored water. The storage requirement amounts to 113 kg/man-year (249 lb/man-year), which indicates a trade-off for long missions which favors recovery of hydrogen from methane.

Optimal performance of a Closed Sabatier carbon dioxide reduction system is attained when all of the available carbon dioxide is utilized as efficiently as possible to maximize recovery of contained oxygen as water. Therefore, the system must be operated in such a manner that, given a prescribed feed of carbon dioxide to be processed, minimum quantity of carbon dioxide is wasted. To accomplish this task, the instrumentation and control system should be designed accordingly.

*The fact that the water-vapor production might be higher is not pertinent, since only the humidity condensate (90 g/day-man) would be increased.
FIGURE A-1. CLOSED SABATIER SYSTEM
Numerals: g/man-day.
FIGURE A-2. STORED WATER AT LAUNCH AS FUNCTION OF PERCENT DECOMPOSITION OF METHANE
There are several subsystems in the overall system, and subsystem process control might be simpler if each subsystem operated independently. However, this would require intermediate storage of methane (from the Sabatier reaction) and hydrogen (from methane decomposition and water electrolysis). In general, accumulation of appreciable volumes of these gases is not desired. Thus, the method of integrating the methane-decomposition reactor into the overall system was analyzed with respect to material balance for several gas-recycle options.

Figure A-3 is a flowsheet showing the relationship of the principal subsystems in one type of integrated system. In this system, waste gas is vented overboard from the outlet of the hydrogen-stripper cell. After hydrogen is removed by the stripper cell, the waste gas consists of mostly methane and carbon dioxide, the latter originating from incomplete conversion of carbon dioxide in the Sabatier reaction. Consequently, to minimize waste of carbon dioxide, high conversion of carbon dioxide in the Sabatier reaction is required.

Control variables in the Sabatier reaction are reaction temperature and feed composition (hydrogen/carbon dioxide ratio). Since the reaction is both rate and equilibrium limited, it is sensitive to temperature changes. Design of the Sabatier reactor, therefore, is based primarily on consideration of efficient removal of heat generated from the exothermic reaction. Control of the feed composition involves regulating the hydrogen input for a fixed carbon dioxide input to maintain a preset ratio of the two gases in the feed. Normally, a hydrogen/carbon dioxide ratio of 4.1 would be sufficiently high to accomplish near-complete conversion of carbon dioxide. The hydrogen feed, supplied from water electrolysis and from decomposition of methane, can be controlled in principle by regulating either the rate of water electrolysis or the rate of methane decomposition or both. One approach is to regulate the rate of water electrolysis solely to satisfy the oxygen demand by the crew. The Sabatier feed composition would be then regulated by controlling the rate of methane decomposition to smooth out fluctuations in the total hydrogen feed resulting from off-normal operation of the water-electrolysis unit and the methane-decomposition unit. The hydrogen/carbon dioxide ratio can be determined either by direct analysis of the gas mixture or by separate determination of the carbon dioxide feed and the hydrogen feed, the latter from the total current supplied to the water-electrolysis cell and the hydrogen-stripper cell.

In the system shown in Figure A-3, the rate of methane decomposition is regulated by the recycle-pump speed. The latter is controlled in turn by output signals from a hydrogen/carbon dioxide analyzer-controller. Although, theoretically not required if there is sufficiently high percent methane decomposition in one pass through the reactor, the recycle pump would be a desirable part of the system for redundancy, process control and possibly for operation at lower percent conversion per pass to increase catalyst utilization. An alternate method of controlling the recycle rate is by flow control on a bypass around the recycle pump.

In general, the volume of gas flow through the methane-decomposition reactor is relatively low (compared to the Bosch process) even with the recycle flow required for low percent conversion per pass. The gas flow rate could be a factor in transporting the product carbon out of the hot reaction zone. A method of increasing the total gas flow through the reactor is by flow control on a bypass line around the hydrogen separator as discussed in the following section.
FIGURE A-3. CLOSED SABATIER SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION AND CONTROLS
A flow sheet of a methane-decomposition unit is shown in Figure A-4. The unit consists of a high-temperature reactor, a heat exchanger for heat recovery, a carbon separator, a hydrogen separator, and a recycle-gas pump. This unit receives as its feed a gas mixture leaving the water separator following the Sabatier reactor and produces pure hydrogen at a suitable pressure to be returned to the Sabatier reactor. The feed gas consists of methane and small quantities of unreacted hydrogen and carbon dioxide. The feed-gas composition shown is based on: (1) hydrogen/carbon dioxide ratio of 4.1 moles/mole in the Sabatier feed, (2) 99 percent conversion of carbon dioxide feed to methane in the Sabatier reaction, (3) complete removal of water vapor from the Sabatier-reactor effluent, and (4) removal of excess hydrogen by the hydrogen separator until about 1 percent hydrogen remains in the gas mixture.

The flow sheet shows two recycle streams at the reactor outlet; one passes through the hydrogen separator, and the other by-passes the hydrogen separator. The recycle stream by-passing the hydrogen separator is included in the flow sheet to consider the option of high gas flow through the reactor while passing a portion of the total recycle through the hydrogen separator. The methane flows in the recycle streams are designated as R1 and R2.

A small quantity of carbon dioxide present in the feed is removed from the process with the excess methane and is vented from the process as waste. Assuming the carbon dioxide does not undergo reaction in the process, its concentration would build up to a maximum level of about 3 percent in the waste-gas stream and less than 3 percent elsewhere in the process.

The equations shown in Figure A-4 were solved to examine the effect of recycle on the compositions of the gas mixture at the inlet and the outlet of the methane-decomposition reactor. Calculated results are summarized in Table A-1. The results show that the gas compositions at the reactor outlet are independent of R2 (the rate of methane recycle by-passing the hydrogen separator) and dependent only on R1 (the rate of methane recycle through the hydrogen separator). As R1 is increased, the concentration of methane at the reactor inlet and outlet increases, thus favoring the methane decomposition reaction. Increasing R2 on the other hand produces the reverse effect – reducing the concentration of methane at the reactor inlet. The latter recycle, therefore, should be minimized and considered only as a means to provide a high gas flow through the reactor, if needed, to aid in carbon removal from the reactor.

Material-balance calculations around the methane-decomposition reactor for $R_2 = 0$ in a 3-man system are summarized in Table A-2. When the degree of methane decomposition reaches 73.9 percent on the basis of methane feed to the reactor, no recycle would be required ($R_1 = 0$). For a lesser degree of decomposition, the unreacted methane from the reactor must be partially recycled to close the system. As the recycle rate is increased, the total gas flow through the reactor increases, and the degree of methane decomposition needed to produce hydrogen at a prescribed rate decreases. Also, with increasing recycle rate, the concentration of methane is reduced only slightly at the reactor inlet; but it increases substantially at the reactor outlet, thus favoring the decomposition reaction. At the lowest level of methane decomposition
(calculated as 13.7 percent), the recycle rate is 300 g-moles/day or approximately 5 liters/min (STP), which is less than one-tenth the typical recycle rate used in Bosch-reactor operation.

**TABLE A-1.** MATERIAL BALANCE AROUND REACTOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recycles, g-mole/day/man&lt;sup&gt;(a)&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Flow Rate&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; g-mole/day/man</th>
<th>Composition, percent</th>
<th>Flow Rate&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; g-mole/day/man</th>
<th>Composition, percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>CH&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>H&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>CO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>122.5</td>
<td>567.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1022.5</td>
<td>5670.2</td>
<td>34.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>132.5</td>
<td>211.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1032.5</td>
<td>2110.3</td>
<td>34.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>122.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>222.5</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1122.5</td>
<td>326.2</td>
<td>37.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> 1 g-mole/day/man = 0.0155 l/min/man (STP) = 0.000550 cfm/man (STP).
FIGURE A-4. FLOWSHEET OF METHANE DECOMPOSITION PROCESS

Numerals: g-moles/man-day.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recycle(g-mole/day)</th>
<th>Reactor Inlet</th>
<th>Reactor Outlet</th>
<th>CH4 Decomposition, percent of input</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flow Rate, g-mole/day</td>
<td>Composition, percent</td>
<td>Flow Rate, g-mole/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>CH4</td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>CO2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>127.5</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>157.5</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>3.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>187.5</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>4.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>217.5</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>5.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>247.5</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>6.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>277.5</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>7.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>307.5</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>8.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>337.5</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>9.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>367.5</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>10.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) A portion of output (essentially methane) from the hydrogen separator recycled to decomposition reactor.
(b) 100 g-mole/day = 1.55 liter/min (STP) = 0.0550 cfm (STP).
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CARBON-SEPARATION UNIT
APPENDIX B
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Requirements

Some of the requirements for a carbon-separation device are (1) a high collection efficiency for small particles of spent catalyst and carbon, (2) operation with low gas flow, (3) ease of handling collected carbon (from the standpoint of permanent storage and disposal), (4) reliability, and (5) low fixed weight.

Bag Filter Design

A bag filter satisfies some of the requirements better than any other types of gas/solid separation devices. A bag-filter unit was used in the Bosch subsystem in the ILSS at NASA Langley Research Center. Typical collection efficiencies of bag filters on small particles in the micron range are above 99 percent on woven fabrics and above 99.9 percent on felt fabrics.\(^8\) A filter unit for removing carbon from the effluent of methane decomposition is shown schematically in Figure B-1. The proposed design is based on a batch-mode operation in which two identical filters are used to permit periodic removal and replacement of disposable bag filters. The bags filled with carbon will be removed from the unit and transferred manually to a food locker for storage and future disposal.

For the present design, a commercial Teflon felt\(^8\) was selected as the filter medium. Teflon was chosen for its strength, abrasion resistance, heat resistance, and nonflammability. Design and operating data are given in Table B-1 for a 3-man unit to be used on a 1000-day mission. In the design given, the dimensions of the filter, the basis weight of the filter fabric, and the packing density of carbon in the filter were assumed. A large proportion of the total-weight penalty of the unit is due to the disposable filter bags. Since commercial filter bags are designed for a long-term usage involving repeated cleaning, the basis weight assumed for the felt might be higher than would be needed for disposable bags for use on a short-term basis. Hence, the fixed weight of the filter bags could be reduced substantially by improved design of the felt for present application.

Pressure drop through the filter occurs in most part through the layer of carbon dust, the pressure drop through the felt itself being comparatively negligible. The pressure drop increases in proportion to the thickness of the dust layer as shown by the following equation\(^8\),

\[ P = K \mu m V, \]
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FIGURE B-1. CARBON-SEPARATION UNIT
The cake resistance factor (K) depends mainly on the particle size of dust and void fraction of cake. The resistance factor increases with decrease in particle size and void fraction. For sizes under 10 microns, the value of the resistance factor levels off to a maximum of 0.75, increased voids compensating for the reduction in size. From the maximum value of the cake-resistance factor, pressure-drop data were calculated as function of the rate of gas flow through the 3-man unit. The pressure-drop data shown in Figure B-2 refer to the maximum values reached at the end of collection cycles. For the 3-man integrated system, the minimum gas flow through the filter is 118.5 g-mole/day (Table A-2). The maximum pressure drop for this flow is estimated as 1.0 mm Hg from Figure B-2.

### TABLE B-1. DESIGN AND OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS OF CARBON-SEPARATION UNIT

(3-Man Unit for 1000-Day Mission)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter Medium</th>
<th>Teflon felt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>15.6 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basis Weight, oz/sq yd</td>
<td>12-inch diameter by 12 inch length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions</td>
<td>4.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter Area, ft²</td>
<td>0.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon-Collection Capacity, ft³</td>
<td>above 99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon-Collection Efficiency, percent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter Housing</th>
<th>Aluminum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>13-inch diameter by 13-inch length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions</td>
<td>by 1/4-inch thickness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Conditions</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rate of Carbon Collection, kg/day</td>
<td>0.598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Packing Density, g/cm³</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Loading/Bag, kg</td>
<td>5.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Between Filter Replacement, days</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fixed Weight</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter bags</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number Required/1000 days</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Weight of Bags, kg</td>
<td>32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter Housing, kg/2 units</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Fixed Weight, kg</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other Carbon-Separation Concepts

The following methods of carbon separation are suggested as alternative approaches to the problem:

(1) Filtration using one bag filter with the capacity to hold and store all the carbon produced in the mission. The volume of the filter unit, based on a packing density of 0.2 g/cm$^3$ of carbon is estimated as 2,990 liters or 106 ft$^3$. The advantage of this approach is that carbon would be permanently contained by the system without being exposed to the outside cabin atmosphere. The disadvantage is that the large quantity of methane and hydrogen contained in the filter unit presents a fire hazard.

(2) Filtration using the proposed dual-filter unit with repeated use of filter bags by transferring carbon into one large storage bag. Carbon transfer would be accomplished by a blow-back technique using cabin air as the transfer medium. This approach eliminates the need for the large number of disposable bags and manual transfer of carbon to storage but would require added equipment for automatic transfer of carbon into the permanent storage bag.

(3) Separation of carbon using an electromagnet as a substitute for the filter bag in method (2). This approach obviates the need for replacement of filter bags due to plugging and degradation.

(4) Separation of carbon using a cyclone and a screw feeder. A screw feeder attached to the bottom of a cyclone separator would transfer carbon into a storage container. The main advantage of this method would be possible compaction of powdery carbon in the screw feeder to obtain more densely packed carbon.