

General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

T. M. M. Jones
1972
C. D. Jones

THEORETICAL CHEMISTRY INSTITUTE

THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

THE FIRST ORDER WAVE FUNCTION IN THE $1/2$ EXPANSION

by

Saul T. Epstein

Get DRA

FACILITY FORM 602	N71-16276	
	(ACCESSION NUMBER)	(THRU)
	CR-116126	(CODE)
	(NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)	(CATEGORY)

WIS-TCI-328

14 November 1968

MADISON, WISCONSIN

THE FIRST ORDER WAVE FUNCTION IN THE $1/2$ EXPANSION*

by

Saul T. Epstein

Theoretical Chemistry Institute and Physics Department
University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin

While giving some lectures on the $1/2$ Method we came upon a derivation of the form of the first order wave function which seems simpler than those now in the literature [For a summary see Sueng and Wilson, J. Chem. Phys. 47, 5343 (1967). Our method most closely resembles that of Sinanoglu, Phys. Rev. 122, 491 (1961)]. Hence we wish to pass it on.

We will use $1s^2 2s$ as an example but the generalization will be obvious. The first order wave function satisfies

$$(H^{(0)} - E^{(0)}) \psi^{(1)} + (H^{(1)} - E^{(1)}) \psi^{(0)} \quad (1)$$

* This research was supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Grant NGL 50-002-001.

where

$$H^{(0)} = \sum_{i=1}^3 h(i)$$

$$h(i) = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 - \frac{2}{r_i}$$

$$H^{(1)} = \frac{1}{r_{12}} + \frac{1}{r_{13}} + \frac{1}{r_{23}}$$

$$E^{(1)} = \langle \psi^{(0)}, H^{(1)} \psi^{(0)} \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{(0)} &= \mathcal{A} \chi(1) \chi(2) \bar{\chi}(3) \alpha(1) \beta(2) \alpha(3) \\ &\equiv \mathcal{A} \varphi^{(0)} \alpha(1) \beta(2) \alpha(3) \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathcal{A}$ the antisymmetrizer, χ and $\bar{\chi}$ respectively the 1s and 2s eigenfunctions of h , and $E^{(0)} = 2E(\chi) + E(\bar{\chi})$.

Now since $H^{(0)}$ and $H^{(1)}$ are symmetric and spin independent it is tempting to say, let us solve

$$(H^{(0)} - E^{(0)}) \psi^{(1)} + (H^{(1)} - E^{(1)}) \varphi^{(0)} = 0 \quad (2)$$

and then write

$$\psi^{(1)} = \mathcal{A} \varphi^{(1)} \alpha(1) \beta(2) \alpha(3) \quad (3)$$

The difficulty of course is that (2), as it stands, is inconsistent since there are $\varphi^{(0)}$ which are also eigenfunctions of $H^{(0)}$ with eigenvalue $E^{(0)}$, indeed $\varphi^{(0)}$ itself is an example, such that

$$(\varphi^{(0)}, (H^{(1)} - E^{(1)}) \varphi^{(0)}) \neq 0$$

To avoid these inconsistencies we replace (1) by

$$(H^{(0)} - \epsilon) \psi^{(1)} + (H^{(1)} - E^{(1)}) \psi^{(0)} = 0 \quad (4)$$

and take the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow E^{(0)}$ only at the end of the calculation.

Now aside from making $(\psi^{(0)}, \psi^{(1)}) = 0$, which of course is quite ~~AND INDEED IS THE USUAL CHOICE~~ permissible, this procedure is quite innocuous. That is $\psi^{(1)}$ as defined by (4) exhibits no singularities as $\epsilon \rightarrow E^{(0)}$, i.e. there are no terms proportional to $(E^{(0)} - \epsilon)^{-1}$ because there are no $\psi^{(0)'}$ which are eigenfunctions of $H^{(0)}$ with eigenvalue $E^{(0)}$ and such that

$$(\psi^{(0)'}, (H^{(0)} - E^{(0)}) \psi^{(0)}) \neq 0$$

Now consider the analogue of (2), namely

$$(H^{(0)} - \epsilon) \varphi^{(1)} + (H^{(1)} - E^{(1)}) \varphi^{(0)} = 0 \quad (5)$$

As long as $\epsilon \neq E^{(0)}$ (or any other eigenvalue) this is a perfectly consistent equation. However $\varphi^{(1)}$ evidently will contain $(E^{(0)} - \epsilon)^{-1}$ terms. Namely the $(\varphi^{(0)'}, \varphi^{(1)})$ will all depend on ϵ in this way. However since if we multiply (5) by

$\alpha^{(1)} \beta^{(1)} \alpha^{(1)}$, apply $\mathcal{A}$ and use (3), we recover (4), it

follows that since $\psi^{(1)}$ contains no $(E^{(0)} - \epsilon)^{-1}$ terms, they must cancel identically on antisymmetrization, and hence we can ignore them in solving (5).

We now (for obvious reasons) write (5) as

$$(H^{(0)} - E) \psi^{(1)} = \left(\frac{1}{r_{12}} - E^{(1)}(15) \right) \Lambda(1) \Lambda(2) \bar{\Lambda}(3)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{r_{13}} - E^{(1)}(15) \right) \frac{\Lambda(1) \bar{\Lambda}(3) - \bar{\Lambda}(1) \Lambda(3)}{\sqrt{2}} \Lambda(2)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{r_{13}} - E^{(1)}(15) \right) \frac{\Lambda(1) \bar{\Lambda}(3) + \bar{\Lambda}(1) \Lambda(3)}{\sqrt{2}} \Lambda(2)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{r_{23}} - E^{(1)}(35) \right) \frac{\Lambda(2) \bar{\Lambda}(3) - \bar{\Lambda}(2) \Lambda(3)}{\sqrt{2}} \Lambda(1)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{r_{23}} - E^{(1)}(35) \right) \frac{\Lambda(2) \bar{\Lambda}(3) + \bar{\Lambda}(2) \Lambda(3)}{\sqrt{2}} \Lambda(1)$$

+ NUMERICAL MULTIPLES OF $\Lambda(1) \Lambda(2) \bar{\Lambda}(3)$,
 $\Lambda(1) \bar{\Lambda}(2) \Lambda(3)$ and $\bar{\Lambda}(1) \Lambda(2) \Lambda(3)$

Here $E^{(1)}(1s)$ is the first order energy of the $1s^2$ state, thus

$$E^{(1)}(1s) = (AA, \frac{1}{r} AA)$$

etc.

One now readily sees that the only source of $(E^{(0)} - E)^{-1}$ terms is precisely the "numerical multiples" [There are no such that $\varphi^{(0)'}_{12}$ such that $(\varphi^{(0)'}, (\frac{1}{r_{12}} - E^{(1)}(1s)) \alpha(1)\alpha(2)\beta(1)\beta(2)) \neq 0$ and similarly for the next four pieces], and further one sees that this is their only contribution [The solution of $(H^{(0)} - E) \chi + A \alpha(1) \alpha(2) \beta(1) \beta(2)$ where A is a number is clearly just $\chi = (E^{(0)} - E)^{-1} A \alpha(1) \alpha(2) \beta(1) \beta(2)$]. Thus, in accord with our previous discussion we may drop them and immediately find the known result that effectively

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{(1)} = & \varphi^{(1)}_{12}(1s) \bar{\alpha}(3) + \frac{1}{r_{12}} \left[\varphi^{(1)}_{13}(1s) + \varphi^{(1)}_{13}(1s) \right] \alpha(2) \\ & + \frac{1}{r_{12}} \left[\varphi^{(1)}_{23}(1s) + \varphi^{(1)}_{23}(1s) \right] \alpha(3) \end{aligned}$$

Here, of course $\varphi^{(1)}_{12}(1s)$ is the first order correction to $1s^2 1s$ for electrons 1 and 2 etc. * [One may also check explicitly, if one wishes, that the "numerical multiple" terms are completely symmetric in 1, 2, 3 so that if one multiplies by $\alpha(1)\beta(1)\alpha(2)\beta(2)$ and applies Δ their contribution will vanish identically. However the point is that there is no need to do this. Our general argument proves that the cancelation must occur.]

$$* \text{ with } (\alpha(1)\alpha(2), \varphi^{(1)}_{12}(1s)) = 0$$

We close with comments on a slightly different point. $\psi^{(0)}$
can be written

$$\psi^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\alpha(1) \alpha(2) \bar{\alpha}(3) - \bar{\alpha}(1) \alpha(2) \alpha(3)) \alpha(1) \beta(2) \alpha(3) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \alpha(1) \alpha(2) \beta(3) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \beta(1) \alpha(2) \alpha(3) \right]$$

Now in dealing with symmetric spin independent operators, for example $H^{(0)}$ and $H^{(0)}$, each of the spin parts $\psi^{(0)}$ yields the same contribution and there are no cross terms. Hence we can replace $\psi^{(0)}$ by

$$\phi^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\alpha(1) \bar{\alpha}(3) - \bar{\alpha}(1) \alpha(3)) \alpha(2)$$

and the whole discussion goes through as before except that ψ is replaced by

$$Q = \frac{1 - P_{13}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

where P_{13} permutes the spatial coordinates of 1 and 3. In particular then

$$\phi^{(1)} = Q \psi^{(1)}$$

Further in calculating a matrix element of a spin independent symmetric operator we need apply Q only once since Q will commute with the operator, is Hermitian, and satisfies $Q^2 = \sqrt{2} Q$. Thus

for example

$$\begin{aligned}
 E^{(2)}_2 (\psi^{(0)}, (H^{(1)} - E^{(1)}) \psi^{(1)}) &= (\phi^{(0)}, (H^{(1)} - E^{(1)}) \phi^{(1)}) \\
 &= \sqrt{2} (\phi^{(0)}, (H^{(1)} - E^{(1)}) \varphi^{(1)})
 \end{aligned}$$

which can considerably simplify the calculations.