

General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

CENTER FOR RADIOPHYSICS AND SPACE RESEARCH
CORNELL UNIVERSITY
ITHACA, NEW YORK

January 1971

NAS 9-8018

CRSR 422

EVOLUTION OF MARE SURFACE

by

T. Gold

~~N71-17559~~
~~63-119845~~
FACILITY FORM
(PAGES)
62-119845
(NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)

(THRU)
63
(CODE)
30
(CATEGORY)

Presented at Lunar Science Conference in Houston, January 1971

Evolution of Mare Surface

1. No Evidence for General Bedrock at Shallow Depth

Photography of the lunar surface from orbit shows in general an absence of stratification on most steep surfaces. Almost everywhere the material seems to be very homogeneous to a depth of several kilometers. Craters are frequently very precisely circular and bowl shaped. The cases where there are ledges or particularly densely strewn boulder fields around craters may be a local exception, or they may represent a compaction and induration of the lunar soil with depth. There is no evidence that anything other than lunar soil, differently compacted and cemented in different locations, fills all the mare basins.

2. Seismic Evidence Favors Deep Deposit of Gradually Increasing Compaction.

The seismic evidence cannot be understood except with an absence of widespread bedrock at shallow depth, and instead, the presence of a medium of much slower sonic velocity over an interval of several kilometers of depth. Lunar soil gradually compacted with depth would account very well for the whole range of seismic phenomena seen(1).

3. Imbedding of Stones and Core Tube Layers Shows that Deposition of Soil has been Faster Process than Plowing over by Meteorites.

Stones on the lunar surface are in general clean, though partially imbedded. Material around them has been moved and filled in level to a rather sharp shoreline around each stone. At the

same time the stones have not been showered over by any kind of spray. A surface transportation mechanism is required to account for this, where the flow in general takes place within less than one inch of the surface (2).

Plowing over by meteorite impact has been thought of as being the major surface activity. It has been estimated, for example, that the ground has been plowed over a hundred times to a depth of 40 centimeters in the lifetime of the mare surface (3). The core tube evidence makes clear that the ground at that site has not even been plowed over once to a depth of 40 cm. There is clear evidence that the core has striations in height noticeable in optical properties, in chemical differences and in differences of the size distribution of the grains. This can only be understood by supposing that the surface has been added to at a rate that exceeds the plowing by meteorites. If one supposed a plowing rate to 40 cm depth of once in 40 million years, one thus requires a deposition rate faster than 1 cm per million years. The rate required to fill the mare basins in 4 billion years would be 1 cm in ten thousand years.

Mare surface in general is clearly not saturation bombarded since the crater density is a great deal less than in the highlands. This can be understood again as a sign that a deposition process lays down material faster in the mare regions than the plowing by meteorites.

4. Rocks and Soil in the Same Locality do not have the Same Origin.

The compositional differences between soil and rocks in the

same region make clear that the soil is not local bedrock ground up with the rocks being pieces of that same bedrock. This would have been the expected situation if bedrock existed at a shallow depth and if the soil were merely the consequence of its local pulverization.

If instead the soil has suffered some surface creep over big distances, while the rocks are pieces thrown out from major craters and originally represent material at a great depth, then such compositional differences can indeed be expected.

Conclusion.

The mare basins represent deep deposits three to six kilometers in depth (judging from the seismic evidence and from the appearance of submerged craters) and those deposits are a similar material as is found on the surface, though somewhat compacted and cemented at the greater depths. There is crystalline rock below this, at least in some regions. Within this deep deposit rocks have been distributed by major impacts in which they were either generated, or previously existing crystalline rock at some depth was excavated. The origin of the crystalline rock may be early volcanism, or perhaps more likely it was produced by the heating of very major impacts such as the ones that created the mare basins. During the entire accumulation process of the mare basin the surface would have looked much as it does now, with a sprinkling of rocks among the deposit of fine powder.

The required surface transportation and deposition mechanism is most likely electrostatic. Electrostatic agitation of a surface

is readily produced in the laboratory by electron bombardment in the energy range of a few hundred electron volts. Higher or lower electron energies, or proton beams, have not led to any observable effects, while electrons in the energy range of the secondary emission cross-over point are found to be remarkably effective in stirring up the surface and causing it to creep. This has been demonstrated in the laboratory, both with a variety of powders and with actual lunar soil (Figure 1).

The difference between the front and the back of the moon.

If indeed electron bombardment in the energy range of a few hundred volts is responsible for the major surface transportation process, then one would expect the front and the back of the moon to have had very different treatment. The moon is enveloped approximately four days each month in the magnetic tail of the earth, and it is there that electrons in this energy range occur as a consequence of the solar wind impingement on the magnetosphere. In the free solar wind stream electron energies are generally very low. The front of the moon is preferentially subjected to this electron bombardment, and any effects of it would thus be expected to dominate greatly on this side. The observed difference between the front and the back of the moon is indeed very great and demands an explanation. The difference is just of the kind that the mare ground predominates on the front side and is rare or absent on the back. If the back has any large low basins, they appear not to have been filled in. It is suggested therefore that the difference between the two hemispheres can be understood as a consequence of the surface transportation caused by magnetospheric tail electrons. While this explanation fits the facts

qualitatively, it has to be said that a quantitative evaluation of the rates of transportation is a difficult matter depending very sensitively on the surface electrical properties of the lunar soil.

References

1. T. Gold and S. Soter, Apollo 12 Seismic Signal: Indication of a Deep Layer of Powder, Science 169, 1071 (1970).
2. T. Gold, Apollo 11 and 12 Close-up Photography, Icarus 12, 360 (1970).
3. E. M. Shoemaker, M. H. Hait, G. A. Swann, D. L. Schleicher, G. G. Schaber, E. N. Goddard and A. C. Waters, Origin of the Lunar Regolith at Tranquillity Base, Proceedings of the Apollo 11 Lunar Science Conference 3, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, Supplement I, ed. by A. A. Levinson, Pergamon Press, New York (1970).
4. Work on lunar studies at Cornell University is supported by NASA Contract NASA NAS9-8018 and NASA Grant NGL-33-010-005.

Figure Caption

Figure 1. Surface configuration of insulating rock powder after being exposed to an electron beam of 2 kilovolt energy. The terrain has been changed totally several times over by electrostatic transportation processes so that no features have much to do with the original deposition of the powder. The actual surface area seen on the picture is 6 x 12 cm.