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## SUMMARY

Angular distributions for the ( $\alpha, \mathrm{t}$ ) reactions on $\mathrm{Zr}^{90}, \mathrm{Mo}^{92}$, and $\mathrm{Mo}^{96}$ leading to the ground states of $\mathrm{Nb}^{91}, \mathrm{Tc}^{93}$, and $\mathrm{Tc}^{97}$ have been measured from $15^{\circ}$ to $60^{\circ}$ for an alphaparticle energy of 41.5 MeV . The distorted wave Born approximation calculation for the stripping of a proton transferring 4 units of angular momentum provides an adequate description of the angular distributions. The spectroscopic strengths agree favorably with those deduced from a similar study using the ( $\mathrm{He}^{3}, \mathrm{~d}$ ) reaction. These results are consistent with a model which envisions the ground states of $\mathrm{Nb}^{91}, \mathrm{Tc}^{93}$, and $\mathrm{Tc}^{97}$ as a $1 \mathrm{~g}_{9 / 2}$ proton coupled to a $0^{+}$core.

## INTRODUCTION

The distorted wave Born approximation (DWBA) analysis of ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{n}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{He}{ }^{3}, \mathrm{~d}$ ) stripping reactions is an invaluable tool for extracting nuclear structure information. The ( $\alpha, \mathrm{t}$ ) reaction, which also involves the transfer of a proton to the target, should, in principal, be much like the ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{n}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{He}^{3}, \mathrm{~d}$ ) reactions. However, similar DWBA analyses of these reactions have been inconsistent, which suggests that the reaction mechanism is not well understood. The reaction Q -value for an ( $\alpha, \mathrm{t}$ ) reaction is usually about 20 MeV less than that for the ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{n}$ ) or ( $\mathrm{He}^{3}, \mathrm{~d}$ ) reaction. This results in a significant difference between the linear momentum of the ingoing and outgoing particles. This momentum mismatch should suppress those reactions in which the proton is captured with $\ell \leq 2$. This supposition was borne out in a study of the $\mathrm{Sc}^{45}(\alpha, \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{Ti}^{46}$ reaction (ref. 1). Üsing a conventional DWBA stripping calculation, good agreement between experiment and theory was obtained for reactions in which the proton was captured with $l=3$. A further

[^0]test would be to study ( $\alpha, \mathrm{t}$ ) reactions involving the transfer of a proton with $l=4$. Nucleil with protons in the $1 g_{9 / 2}$ shell satisfy this requirement. Zirconium, molybdenum, niobium, and technetium nuclei are particularly suited since all have less than four protons outside of the closed $Z=40$ shell. We report, herein, a study of the ( $\alpha, \mathrm{t}$ ) reactions on targets of $\mathrm{Zr}^{90}, \mathrm{Mo}^{92}$, and $\mathrm{Mo}^{96}$.

## SYMBOLS

| A | mass number |
| :---: | :---: |
| a | nuclear diffuseness |
| C | Clebsch-Gordan coefficient for isospin |
| $d \sigma / d \Omega$ | differential cross section |
| $J_{f}$ | spin of final state |
| $\left(2 J_{\mathrm{f}}+1\right) \mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~S}_{l \mathrm{j}}$ | spectroscopic strength |
| $J_{i}$ | spin of initial state |
| j | total angular momentum quantum number |
| 2 | orbital angular momentum quantum number |
| N | normalization constant |
| $\mathrm{n}_{2 j}$ | average number of neutron holes in the $l_{j}$ orbital |
| $p_{2 j}$ | average number of proton holes in the $l_{j}$ orbital |
| ${ }^{r} 0$ | reduced nuclear radius |
| $S_{2 j}$ | spectroscopic factor |
| V | real nuclear potential |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | Coulomb potential for uniformly charged sphere of radius $r_{0} A^{1 / 3}$ |
| W | imaginary nuclear potential |
| $\gamma$ | normalisation parameter for comparing experimental and theoretical cross sections |
| $\Delta \sigma_{\operatorname{EXPT}}(\theta)$ | experimental error |
| $\sigma_{\text {DWBA }}{ }^{(\theta)}$ | differential cross section calculated from distorted wave Born approximation |


| $\sigma_{\text {EXPT }}{ }^{(\theta)}$ | experimental differential cross section |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\chi^{2}$ | goodness-of-fit function |

## EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The experimental setup is described in an earlier report (ref. 1). The $\mathrm{Zr}^{90}$ target was a rolled foil having an areal density of $0.901 \pm 0.050$ milligram per square centimeter. This was determined by measuring the energy lost by $8.78-\mathrm{MeV}$ alpha particles passing through the foil. The $\mathrm{Mo}^{92}$ and $\mathrm{Mo}^{96}$ targets were evaporated films on a carbon backing. The areal density of these films was not determined by the alpha particle energy loss method because of their extreme fragility. Rather, the relative differential cross sections for the elastic scattering of $41-\mathrm{MeV}$ alpha particles were measured and the data were normalized to a theoretical optical model calculation. The optical model calculation used a Woods-Saxon potential of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{c}-\frac{V}{1+e^{x}}-\frac{W}{1+e^{x^{\prime}}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\frac{r-r_{0} A^{1 / 3}}{a} \\
& x^{\prime}=\frac{r-r_{0} A^{1 / 3}}{a^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The parameters were obtained by fitting the optical model calculation to measurements of the differential cross sections for the elastic scattering of $41-\mathrm{MeV}$ alpha particles on $\mathrm{Zr}^{90}$. The parameters used are shown in table I. The experimental results (table II) and optical model calculations are shown in figure 1.

The ( $\alpha, \mathrm{t}$ ) reactions on $\mathrm{Zr}^{90}, \mathrm{Mo}^{92}$, and $\mathrm{Mo}^{96}$ lead to states of $\mathrm{Nb}^{91}, \mathrm{Tc}^{93}$, and $\mathrm{Tc}^{97}$. The low-lying energy levels of these nuclei are shown in figure 2. A triton energy spectrum at a laboratory angle of $40^{\circ}$ taken with the $\mathrm{Mo}^{92}$ target is shown in figure 3 . Spectra taken with the $\mathrm{Zr}^{90}$ and $\mathrm{Mo}^{96}$ targets are very similar. The overall energy res olution is about 200 keV . This is sufficient to completely resolve the ground state of $\mathrm{Tc}{ }^{93}$ but not that of $\mathrm{Nb}^{91}$ and $\mathrm{Tc}^{97}$. However, the triton spectrum in figure 3 is completely dominated by the ground state group and therefore it is very likely that the strong
transitions seen in $\mathrm{Nb}^{91}$ and $\mathrm{Tc}^{97}$ correspond almost entirely to production of the ground states.

Angular distributions of differential cross sections corresponding to the production of the ground states of $\mathrm{Nb}^{91}, \mathrm{Tc}^{93}$, and $\mathrm{Tc}^{97}$ are shown in figure 4. The numerical results are presented in table III. The overall uncertainty in the cross sections is estimated to be 15 percent.

## DISCUSSION

The triton spectrum in figure 3 is to be compared with the ( $\mathrm{He}^{3}, \mathrm{~d}$ ) spectrum shown in figure 5. The ( $\mathrm{He}^{3}$, d) reaction strongly excites many states in $\mathrm{Tc}^{93}$, whereas only the ground state of $\mathrm{Tc}^{93}$ is strongly excited in the ( $\alpha, \mathrm{t}$ ) reaction. Analysis of the $\left(\mathrm{He}^{3}, \mathrm{~d}\right)$ results shows that only the ground state transition proceeds by $l=4$ proton capture; the remaining transitions proceed by either $l=1$ or $l=2$. Thus, the supposition that ( $\alpha, t$ ) transitions proceeding by proton capture with $l \leq 2$ are strongly suppressed is borne out in this experiment.

The theoretical curves shown in figure 4 were calculated by the application of the DWBA stripping formalism of Tobocman (ref. 4). The theoretical expression for capture of a proton can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} \Omega}=\mathrm{N}\left(\frac{2 J_{\mathrm{f}}+1}{2 J_{\mathrm{i}}+1}\right) \mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{lj}} \sigma_{\mathrm{DWBA}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The normalization constant, N , involves an overlap integral for the dissociation of an alpha particle into a triton and proton. In the study of the $\mathrm{Sc}^{45}(\alpha, \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{Ti}^{46}$ reaction (ref. 11), $N$ was determined empirically to be 28.0. This value was used in this work. The cross sections ( $\sigma_{\text {DWBA }}$ ) were calculated with the FORTRAN code written by the authors of reference 5 . The wave functions for the incident and exit channels were generated by solution of the Schroedinger equation with a Woods-Saxon potential (eq. (1)). The parameters for the incident system were those shown in table I. The parameters for the exit systems were estimated from the $20-\mathrm{MeV}$ triton elastic scattering results of refer ence 6. These are shown in table IV. The bound state wave function for the captured proton was an eigenfunction of a Woods-Saxon Hamiltonian with eigenenergy equal to the binding energy of the proton in the residual nucleus. The potential did not contain a spinorbit term. The radius and diffuseness parameters of the potential function were 1.25 and 0.65 fermi. The depth of the potential was chosen to reproduce the binding energy.

The theoretical calculations were adjusted in magnitude by determining a normalizing parameter $\gamma$ which minimized the $\chi^{2}$ function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}^{2}=\sum_{\theta}\left[\frac{\left.\gamma \sigma_{\mathrm{DWBA}^{(\theta)}-\sigma_{\mathrm{EXPT}}(\theta)}^{\Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{EXPT}^{(\theta)}}}\right]^{2} \text {, }{ }^{2} \text {. }{ }^{2}}{}\right. \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The theoretical fits are quite satisfactory in the angular region $12^{\circ}$ to $40^{\circ}$ and are reasonable at the larger angles. The quality of the fits is comparable to those obtained in the study of the $\mathrm{Sc}^{45}(\alpha, \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{Ti}^{46}$ reaction. Since the fits are acceptable, it is meaningful to extract spectroscopic strengths. These, along with some ( $\mathrm{He}^{3}$, d) results (ref. 2), are shown in table $V$. The agreement between the ( $\alpha, t$ ) and ( $\mathrm{He}^{3}, \mathrm{~d}$ ) results is well within an estimated 20 -percent error limit.

The total spectroscopic strength associated with a given single-particle state is the sum of the strengths for all states identified as fragments of the single-particle state. French and MacFarlane (ref. 7) have shown that for capture of a proton this sum is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum\left(2 J_{f}+1\right) C^{2} S_{l j}=\left\langle p_{l j}\right\rangle-\frac{\left\langle n_{l j}\right\rangle}{N-Z+1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\langle\mathrm{p}_{\imath j}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\mathrm{n}_{2 j}\right\rangle$ are the average numbers of proton and neutron holes in the ( 2 j ) orbital of the ground state of the target. The neutron shells up through $1 g_{g / 2}$ are presumably filled in $\mathrm{Zr}^{90}, \mathrm{Mo}^{92}$, and $\mathrm{Mo}^{96}$. Hence, if the proton is captured with $\boldsymbol{Z}=4$ into a $1 g_{9 / 2}$ orbital, $\left\langle n_{j}\right\rangle=0$ for these nuclei. Then $\left\langle p_{j}\right\rangle=10,8$, and 8 for $\mathrm{Zr}^{90}$, $\mathrm{Mo}^{92}$, and $\mathrm{Mo}^{96}$. These numbers are to be compared with $9.3,6.7$, and 6.0 obtained from experiment. Excepting $\mathrm{Mo}^{96}$, the numbers agree within experimental error. Thus, the characterization of the $9 / 2^{+}$ground state of $\mathrm{Nb}^{91}, \mathrm{Tc}^{93}$, and $\mathrm{Tc}^{97}$ as a $1_{9 / 2}$ proton coupled to the $0^{+}$target ground state is reasonable.

## CONCLUSION

The differential cross sections for the $(\alpha, \mathrm{t})$ reactions on $\mathrm{Zr}^{90}, \mathrm{Mo}^{92}$, and $\mathrm{Mo}^{96}$ leading to the ground states of $\mathrm{Nb}^{91}, \mathrm{Tc}^{93}$, and $\mathrm{Tc}^{97}$ are significantly larger than those leading to the excited states. The DWBA calculations for the stripping of a proton transferring 4 units of angular momentum yield reasonable fits to the angular distributions. Spectroscopic strengths deduced from the DWBA analysis compare favorably with those deduced from similar analyses on ( $\mathrm{He}^{3}, \mathrm{~d}$ ) reactions leading to the same states.

Comparison of the experimental spectroscopic strengths with theory indicates that the $9 / 2^{+}$ground states of $\mathrm{Nb}{ }^{91}, \mathrm{Tc}^{93}$, and $\mathrm{TC}^{97}$ are reasonably characterized as a $1 \mathrm{~g}_{9 / 2}$ proton coupled to a $0^{+}$core.

Lewis Research Center,
National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Cleveland, Ohio, April 27, 1971, 129-02.

## REFERENCES

1. Priest, Joseph R. ; and Vincent, John S.: Study of the $\mathrm{Sc}^{45}(\alpha, \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{Ti}^{46}$ Reaction at 41 MeV . Phys. Rev., vol. 182, no. 4, June 20, 1969, pp. 1121-1130.
2. Picard, J.; and Bassani, G.: Spectroscopic Studies in the $A=90$ Mass Region. (I) The ( $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{He}, \mathrm{d}\right)$ Reaction of ${ }^{88} \mathrm{Sr},{ }^{90} \mathrm{Zr}$, and ${ }^{92} \mathrm{Mo}$ Nuclei. Nucl. Phys., vol. A131, 1969, pp. 636-652.
3. Anon.: Nuclear Data Sheets 1959-1965. Academic Press, 1966, p. 706.
4. Tobocman, W.: Theory of Direct Nuclear Reactions. Oxford University Press, 1961.
5. Gibbs, W. R.; Madsen, V. A.; Miller, J. A.; Tobocman, W.; Cox, E. C.; and Mowry, L.: Direct Reaction Calculation. NASA TN D-2170, 1964.
6. Hafele, J. C.; Flynn, E. R.; and Blair, A. G. : Triton Elastic Scattering. Phys. Rev., vol. 155, no. 4, Mar. 20, 1967, pp. 1238-1245.
7. French, J. B.; and MacFarlane, M. H.: Isobaric-Spin Splitting of Single-Particle Resonances. Nucl. Phys., vol. 26, 1961, pp. 168-176.

TABLE I. - OPTICAL MODEL PARAMETERS FOR ENTRANCE CHANNEL

| Parameter | $\mathrm{Zr}^{90}$ | $\mathrm{Mo}^{92}$ | $\mathrm{Mo}^{96}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real nuclear potential, V, MeV | 195.95 | 195.95 | 195.95 |
| Reduced radius for real potential, $\mathrm{r}_{0}$, fm | 1. 355 | 1.355 | 1.355 |
| Nuclear diffuseness for real potential, a, fm | 0.5974 | 0.5974 | 0.5974 |
| Imaginary nuclear potential, W, MeV | 46.79 | 46.79 | 46.79 |
| Reduced radius for imaginary potential, $\mathrm{r}_{0}^{\prime}$, fm | 1.355 | 1.355 | 1.355 |
| Nuclear diffuseness for imaginary potential, $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}$, fm | 0.5974 | 0.5974 | 0.5974 |
| Coulomb radius, $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{oc}}$, fm | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 |
| Goodness of fit ( $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ divided by number of data points) | 1.09 | 2.67 | 1.71 |

TABLE II. - DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTIONS FOR ELASTIC SCATTERING OF $41.5-\mathrm{MeV}$ ALPHA PARTICLES FROM Zr ${ }^{90}$, Mo ${ }^{92}$, AND M0 ${ }^{96}$

| Center-of-mass scattering angle, ${ }^{\theta} \mathrm{cm},$ deg | ```Differential cross section, d}\sigma/d\Omega mb/sr``` | ```Statistical error, \Delta\sigma, mb/sr``` | Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\begin{gathered} \theta_{\mathrm{cm}}, \\ \mathrm{deg} \end{gathered}$ | Differential cross section, $\mathrm{d} \sigma / \mathrm{d} \Omega$, $\mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{sr}$ | ```Statistical error, \Delta\sigma, mb/sr``` | Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\underset{\mathrm{deg}}{\theta_{\mathrm{cm}}}$ | Differential cross section, $\mathrm{d} \sigma / \mathrm{d} \Omega$, $\mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{sr}$ | ```Statistical error, \Delta\sigma, mb/sr``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Zr}^{90}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Mo}^{92}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Mo}^{96}$ |  |  |
| 13.05 | 24919. | 25. | 18. 25 | 5089.3 | 7.8 | 10.41 | 96584. | 212. |
| 15.66 | 10378. | 11. | 20.85 | 1830.7 | 5.2 | 12.49 | 39227. | 133. |
| 18.26 | 4554.7 | 6.3 | 23.45 | 1140.5 | 2.8 | 14.57 | 19803. | 78. |
| 20.87 | 1638.7 | 3.0 | 26.05 | 749.0 | 1.1 | 16.65 | 11075. | 53. |
| 23.47 | 1031.7 | 2.4 | 28.65 | 267. 19 | . 86 | 18.73 | 4969. | 25. |
| 26.07 | 647.6 | 1.1 | 31. 24 | 140.09 | . 51 | 20.81 | 2284. | 13. |
| 28.67 | 211.84 | . 58 | 33.84 | 151.98 | . 64 | 22.89 | 1476.1 | 9.1 |
| 31.27 | 97.42 | . 16 | 36.43 | 74.57 | . 32 | 24.97 | 990.6 | 6.0 |
| 33.87 | 121.30 | . 31 | 39.01 | 18.90 | . 20 | 27.04 | 481.3 | 3.4 |
| 36.46 | 62.16 | . 14 | 41.60 | 24.59 | . 20 | 29.12 | 216.1 | 1.5 |
| 39.05 | 14.45 | . 09 | 44.18 | 25.32 | . 19 | 31. 19 | 179.1 | 1.4 |
| 41.63 | 17.63 | . 08 | 46.76 | 8.82 | . 10 | 33.26 | 154.5 | 1.0 |
| 44.22 | 21.92 | . 10 | 49.34 | 1.89 | . 06 | 35.33 | 78.23 | . 85 |
| 46.80 | 8.16 | . 05 | 51.91 | 6.16 | . 10 | 37.40 | 23.74 | . 49 |
| 49.38 | 1.18 | . 02 |  |  |  | 39.47 | 20.17 | . 42 |
| 51.95 | 4.56 | . 04 |  |  |  | 41.53 | 28.20 | . 38 |
| 54. 52 | 5.66 | . 05 |  |  |  | 43.60 | 22.59 | 46 |
| 57.08 | 1.81 | . 02 |  |  |  | 45.66 | 9.88 | . 29 |
| 59.65 | . 056 | . 004 |  |  |  | 47.72 | 2.93 | . 16 |
| 62.20 | 1.31 | . 02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE III. - DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTIONS FOR THE ( $\alpha, \mathrm{t})$ REACTION ON $\mathrm{Zr}^{90}, \mathrm{Mo}^{92}$, AND Mo ${ }^{96}$

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Center-of-mass } \\ \text { reaction angle, } \\ g_{\mathrm{cm}} \text { deg } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Differential } \\ \text { cross section, } \\ d \sigma / \mathrm{d} \Omega \\ \mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{sr} \end{gathered}$ | ```Statistical error, \Delta\sigma, mb/sr``` | Center-of-mass reaction angle, $\begin{gathered} \theta_{\mathrm{cm}} \\ \mathrm{deg} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Differential } \\ \text { cross section, } \\ \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\sigma} / \mathrm{d} \Omega \\ \mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{sr} \end{gathered}$ | ```Statistical error, \Delta\sigma, mb/sr``` | Center-of-mass reaction angle, $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{\mathrm{cm}}, \\ & \text { deg } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Differential } \\ \text { cross section, } \\ \mathrm{d}_{\sigma} / \mathrm{d} \Omega \\ \mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{sr} \end{gathered}$ | Statistical error, $\Delta \sigma$, $\mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{sr}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7 \mathrm{zr}^{90}$ |  |  | Mo ${ }^{92}$ |  |  | Mo ${ }^{96}$ |  |  |
| 13.09 | 11.28 | 0.53 | 18.33 | 4.23 | 0.22 | 10.44 | 11.09 | 0.59 |
| 15.71 | 9.12 | . 32 | 20.94 | 3.01 | 21 | 15.66 | 6.13 | . 19 |
| 18.33 | 6.20 | 23 | 23.55 | 2.35 | 13 | 20.87 | 3.04 | . 10 |
| 20.94 | 5.14 | . 17 | 26.16 | 2.05 | . 06 | 26.08 | 1.82 | . 07 |
| 23.55 | 3.94 | . 15 | 28.77 | 1.39 | 06 | 31.27 | . 74 | . 03 |
| 26. 16 | 2.98 | . 07 | 31.38 | . 88 | . 04 | 36.46 | . 64 | . 03 |
| 28.77 | 2. 14 | . 06 | 33.98 | . 85 | . 05 | 41.64 | . 46 | . 03 |
| $3 \times .38$ | 1.37 | . 02 | 36.58 | . 69 | . 03 | 46.80 | . 22 | . 02 |
| 33.98 | 1. 10 | . 03 | 39.18 | . 65 | . 04 | 51.96 | . 18 | . 01 |
| 36.58 | . 98 | . 02 | 41.77 | . 55 | . 03 | 57.09 | . 085 | 009 |
| 39.18 | 1.01 | . 02 | 44.36 | . 39 | . 02 |  |  |  |
| 41.77 | . 84 | . 02 | 46.95 | 30 | 02 |  |  |  |
| 44.36 | . 63 | . 02 | 49.53 | . 30 | . 02 |  |  |  |
| 46.95 | . 48 | . 01 | 52.11 | . 27 | . 02 |  |  |  |
| 49.53 | . 44 | . 01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52.11 | . 39 | . 01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54. 69 | . 33 | . 01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57.26 | . 21 | . 006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59.83 | . 148 | . 007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 62. 39 | . 133 | . 006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE IV. - OPTICAL MODEL PARAMETERS
FOR EXIT CHANNEL

| Real nuclear potential, $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{MeV}$ | 153.2 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Reduced radius for real potential, $\mathrm{r}_{0}, \mathrm{fm}$ | 1.24 |
| Nuclear diffuseness for real potential, $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{fm}$ | 0.678 |
| Imaginary nuclear potential, $\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{MeV}$ | 20.6 |
| Reduced radius for imaginary potential, $\mathrm{r}_{0}^{\prime}, \mathrm{fm}$ | 1.45 |
| Nuclear diffuseness for imaginary potential, $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}, \mathrm{fm}$ | 0.841 |

TABLE V. - SPECTROSCOPIC
STRENGTHS

| Residual <br> nucleus | Spectroscopic <br> strengths, <br> $(\alpha, t)$ | Spectroscopic <br> strengths, <br> $\left(\mathrm{He}^{3}, \mathrm{~d}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Nb}^{91}$ | $9.3 \pm 1.9$ | $9.0 \pm 1.8$ |
| $\mathrm{Tc}^{93}$ | $6.7 \pm 1.3$ | $6.7 \pm 1.3$ |
| $\mathrm{Tc}{ }^{97}$ | $6.0 \pm 1.2$ |  |



Figure 1. - Optical model fits to $\mathrm{Zr}^{90}(\alpha, \alpha) \mathrm{Zr}{ }^{90}, \mathrm{Mo}^{92}(\mathrm{a}, \alpha) \mathrm{Mo}^{92}$, and $\mathrm{Mo}^{96}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{Mo}{ }^{96}$ angular distributions.


Figure 2. - The energy levels of $\mathrm{Nb}^{91}, \mathrm{Tc}^{93}$, and $\mathrm{Tc}^{97}$. The levels for $\mathrm{Nb}^{91}$ and $\mathrm{T}{ }^{93}$ are those reported in ref. 2; the levels for $\mathrm{Tc}^{97}$ are taken from ref. 3.)


Figure 3. - Triton spectrum obtained at $40^{\circ}$ for $\left.{ }^{10} 0^{92}(a, t)\right)^{93}$ reaction.


[^1]

Figure 5. - Deuteron spectrum obtained at $30^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{Mo}^{92}\left(\mathrm{He}{ }^{3}, \mathrm{~d}\right) \mathrm{Tc}{ }^{93}$ reaction at 18 MeV , as reported in reference 2.
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[^1]:    Figure 4. - Angular distributions of cross sections for $\mathrm{Zr}^{90}(a, t) \mathrm{Nb}^{91}, \mathrm{Mo}^{92}(a, t) \mathrm{Tc}{ }^{93}$, and $\mathrm{Mo}^{96}(a, t) \mathrm{Tc} \mathrm{c}^{97}$. (The statistical error is smaller than the size of the data point representing the measurement.)

