NASA TECHNICAL NOTE

NASA TN D-6489



NASA TN D-6489

LOAN COPY: RETU AFWL (DOGL KIRTLAND AFB, I

DYNAMICS OF HIGH-DRAG PROBE SHAPES AT TRANSONIC SPEEDS

by Robert I. Sammonds Ames Research Center Moffett Field, Calif. 94035

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION . WASHINGTON, D. C. . SEPTEMBER 1971

1. Report No.	2. Government Access	ion No.		3300
NASA TN D-6489				
4. Title and Subtitle			5. Report Date	
DYNAMICS OF HIGH-DRAG PROB	E SHAPES AT TRA	NSONIC SPEEDS*	September 197	1
			 A-3613 	
7 Author(c)			9 Porforming Organiz	stion Banart No
			6. Ferforming Organiz	ation report no.
Robert I. Sammonds		. –	10 Work Unit No	······
9. Performing Organization Name and Address			124-07-13-10-0	0-21
NASA Ames Research Center				
Moffett Field, Calif. 94035			11. Contract or Grant	No.
12 Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			13. Type of Report an Technical No	nd Period Covered
National Aeronautics and Space Admini	stration			
Washington, D.C. 20546			14. Sponsoring Agency	Code
	· · · ·			
15. Supplementary Notes				
*The basic results of this invest	igation were previous	ly reported in AIAA pap	er 70-564 entitled "	Transonic Static-
and Dynamic-Stability Characteristics	of Two Large-Angle 5	phericany blunted righ L	Jrag Cones.	
16. Abstract		·	·	
The transonic aerodynamics of s Both shapes were dynamically unstabi instability was reduced by moving the spherical segment afterbody that was n Both models and variations there	pherically blunted 55 le at small pitch amp center of gravity for nade concentric with of were statically stab	^o and 60 ^o half-angle conditudes over a small Mach ward and was eliminated the center of gravity. le in all tests.	es were studied in ba number range near entirely by providir	llistic-range tests. 1.0. The dynamic ng a full-diameter
17 Key Words (Suggested by Authorici)		18 Distribution Statement		
Large angle spherically blunted cones		To, Distribution datement		
Atmospheric entry vehicles				
Mars probe-lander configurations	lument and -	Unclassified – U	Jnlimited	
Blunt cones	unted cones			
Dynamic stability Ballistic range	ge tests			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (c	of this page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price
Unclassified	Unclassi	fied	52	\$3.00

TECH LIBRARY KAFB, NM

*For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151

•

SYMBOLS

|

Α	reference area, maximum body cross-sectional area, m ²
C _D	drag coefficient, drag/ $q_{\infty}A$
$C_{L_{\alpha}}$	lift-curve slope, per radian
C _{ma}	pitching-moment-curve slope (based on linear pitching-moment curve), per radian
$C_{m_q} + C_{m_{\dot{\alpha}}}$	damping-in-pitch derivative, $\frac{\partial C_m}{\partial (qd/V)} + \frac{\partial C_m}{\partial (\dot{qd}/V)}$, per radian
d	reference diameter, maximum body diameter, m
I _x	moment of inertia about the roll axis, kg-m ²
Iy	moment of inertia about transverse axis through center of gravity, kg-m ²
М	Mach number
m	mass of model, kg
q	angular pitching velocity, radians/sec
q _∞	free-stream dynamic pressure, N/m ²
Re	Reynolds number based on free-stream air properties and model reference diameter, d
r	radius of curvature of rounded corners and cone apex, m
V	velocity of the model with respect to the still air, km/sec
x _{cg}	axial distance from model nose to center-of-gravity position, m
x,y,z	earth-fixed axes; also displacements along these axes, m
α	angle of attack (angle, projected onto the xz plane, between model longitudinal axis and the stream direction), deg
α _m	average value of maximum-angle envelope, deg
$\overline{\alpha}_{r}$	exact resultant angle of attack, $\tan^{-1}\sqrt{\tan^2\alpha + \tan^2\beta}$, deg
β	angle of sideslip (angle, projected onto the xy plane, between model axis of symmetry and the stream direction, deg
ξ	dynamic-stability parameter, $C_D - C_{L_{\alpha}} + (C_{m_q} + C_{m_{\alpha}})(d/\sigma)^2$

iii

. بريدين ما د د د

.

.

-

σ	transverse radius of gyration with respect to the center of gravity of the model, $\sqrt{I_y/m},m$
ρ	free-stream air density, kg/m ³
(`)	first derivative with respect to time
a	Subscripts
b	base
с	corner
f	final
i	initial
1	linear
n	nose
w	wake
∞	free-stream conditions

DYNAMICS OF HIGH-DRAG PROBE SHAPES AT TRANSONIC SPEEDS*

Robert I. Sammonds

Ames Research Center

SUMMARY

The transonic aerodynamics of spherically blunted 55° and 60° half-angle cones were studied in ballistic-range tests. Both shapes were dynamically unstable at small pitch amplitudes over a small Mach number range near 1.0. The dynamic instability was reduced by moving the center of gravity forward and was eliminated entirely by providing a full-diameter spherical segment afterbody that was made concentric with the center of gravity.

Both models and variations thereof were statically stable in all tests.

INTRODUCTION

Experiments proposed for the planet Mars include both the determination of the atmospheric structure and composition through the use of unmanned probes and the landing of instrument packages on the planet's surface (refs. 1-5). One such experiment would determine the structure and mean molecular weight of the atmosphere during entry by on-board measurements of pressure, temperature, and acceleration in appropriate phases of the entry, and would determine atmospheric composition by use of a mass spectrometer.

These objectives require that the vehicle have the following qualities:

1. Known and well-defined motion-response characteristics (aerodynamics) for proper interpretation of the accelerometer measurements.

2. Aerodynamic stability to ensure the proper orientation of the heat shield and instrumentation and for the deployment of a drag device.

3. A low ballistic coefficient to maximize postblackout communication time and to decelerate to speeds at which on-board measurements of temperature and pressure may be made, or speeds at which drag devices may be deployed.

The aerodynamic characteristics of several candidate configurations (large-angle blunted cones) have been determined experimentally throughout a Mach number range from subsonic to hypersonic (refs. 6 and 7) and have been found to be generally favorable except in the transonic-speed range, where potentially serious dynamic instability was observed. The purpose of this study is to investigate the transonic aerodynamic characteristics of two particular

^{*}The basic results of this investigation were previously reported in AIAA paper 70-564 entitled "Transonic Static- and Dynamic-Stability Characteristics of Two Large-Angle Spherically Blunted High Drag Cones."

configurations in much greater depth than has previously been attempted. Included are the effects of Mach number, angle of attack, center-of-gravity location, wall interference, Reynolds number, and certain geometry changes.

MODELS

Two configurations were tested, a 55° half-angle blunted cone with a nose-to-base-radius ratio (r_n/r_b) of 1.0 and a 60° half-angle blunted cone with $r_n/r_b = 0.2$. The 60° half-angle cone was tested with and without corner radii (r_c) , with two center-of-gravity locations, and two afterbody shapes (flat and spherical). A few tests were made with a 30° half-angle blunted cone afterbody added to the 55° cone.

Pertinent dimensions of these configurations are given in figure 1. The model geometries are also tabulated in table 1. Materials were selected to give the desired mass and center-of-gravity location. These materials were steel, aluminum, tungsten alloy, and polyethylene. The models and sabots are shown in figure 2.

TESTS

The models were tested in free flight, in still air, in both the Ames Pressurized Ballistic Range (PBR) and the Ames Hypervelocity Free-Flight Aerodynamic Facility (Aero) in the transonic speed regime ($M_{\infty} = 0.4$ to 1.8). Reynolds numbers of the tests, based on model diameter, varied from 100,000 to 400,000. Table 1 summarizes the test conditions and table 2 lists the complete results of the tests.

Model Launching

The models were fired from various smooth-bore guns using both compressed air and gun powder as the energy source. The models were adapted to the guns by means of either two- or four-piece plastic sabots.

Instrumentation

Shadowgraphs of the models were obtained in orthogonal planes at 24 observation stations over a ballistic flight of 62 m (PBR), or at 16 observation stations for a ballistic flight of 23 m (Aero). The photographic observation stations for each facility contain accurately calibrated fiducial systems so that the spatial position and attitude of the model at each station can be determined accurately over the entire length of the flight. Electronic chronographs measured the time of the model flight between stations.

Accuracy of Data

The accuracies of the measured quantities for obtaining the aerodynamic coefficients from the model motions are as follows:

Measurement	<u>PBR</u>	<u>Aero</u>
x,y,z	±0.013 cm	±0.013 cm
α,β	±0.125°	±0.250°
t	0.625 µsec	0.02 µsec
p _∞	0.1 mm Hg	0.1 mm Hg

Reduction of Data

To determine the aerodynamic characteristics of each configuration, their free-flight motions were analyzed by use of the Ames Hypersonic Free-Flight Branch data-reduction program. This program, described in detail in reference 8, determines drag from the time-distance history of each flight, static and dynamic stability from the oscillatory history of the model, and lift-curve slope from the swerve measurements of the model in conjunction with the oscillatory motion.

A typical history of the model motion in the Pressurized Ballistic Range is shown in figure 3. This figure is a plot of α versus β and $\overline{\alpha}_{r}$ versus distance for the 60° cone at an average Mach number of 1.01. Because of the significant effects of small changes in Mach number and pitching amplitude on dynamic behavior in this speed regime (to be shown in the data), the data reduction was performed on short segments of each model trajectory consisting of three consecutive peaks. In this manner, four to six data points were obtained from each model flight with minimum changes in Mach number and amplitude within each segment analyzed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The dynamic-stability data in figures 4 and 5 show configurations A, B, and C to be dynamically unstable in the transonic Mach number range. The instability varies with Mach number and pitching amplitude. The dynamic stability of these configurations is neutral in the subsonic speed range, unstable in the Mach number range from 1.0 to 1.4, and neutral again at higher speeds. Constant Mach number crossplots of these data as a function of the pitching amplitude (fig. 6) show that the instability is maximum at the lowest angle of attack, decreasing with increasing amplitude of the oscillation until it reaches a limit cycle of about 20° .

The static-stability coefficients ($C_{m\alpha}$) obtained for these two configurations, with reference to the center of volume, are presented in figures 7(a) and (b). These data show both models to be statically stable throughout the Mach number and pitch amplitude ranges of these tests. For the 60° cone (fig. 7(b)), very little change is evident in the static stability with either Mach number or pitch amplitude. For the 55° cone (fig. 7(a)), there was more spread in the data, but it does not appear to correlate with either Mach number or pitching amplitude.

The lift-curve slope $C_{L_{\alpha}}$ (fig. 8) was essentially the same for both the 60° and 55° cones and varied from approximately -1.1 at Mach numbers greater than 1 to about -0.6 at a Mach number of 0.6. The rate of change of the lift-curve slope between these two points was rather abrupt, occurring near M = 1.

The drag coefficients obtained (fig. 9) show small scatter and define smooth curves, with little effect of pitching amplitudes to about 20° .

Drag coefficients determined from tests in the Ames 2- by 2-Foot Transonic Wind Tunnel (ref. 9) for the 60° cone are compared in figure 9(b) with the results of free-flight tests. These drag data agree remarkably well except at a Mach number of 1.0 where the wind tunnel value was about 10 percent lower than that for free flight. The reason for this discrepancy is not definite, but is thought to be the result of sting and wall interference.

Wall Interference

The aerodynamic characteristics presented for the two cones were obtained from tests in two facilities of quite different dimensions. Because of the possibility of wall interference in the transonic speed range, these differences were useful for assessing interference effects. A series of tests, outlined in table 3, was made to evaluate interference with variations in model scale, Reynolds number, and blockage factor. The results of these tests, summarized in figures 10 to 13 for nominal Mach numbers of 0.95, 1.05, and 1.15, show the following variations:

(1) At a nominal Mach number of 1.05, where the dynamic instabilities previously encountered were large, figure 10 shows that for pitching amplitudes above 12° there is good agreement in the data regardless of the facility or the blockage factor. Below 12° , there appears to be a small effect of facility and Reynolds number but it should be noted that these data are limited. Although the data for the other two Mach numbers are limited, they show similar trends to those observed at M = 1.05.

(2) The static-stability data obtained in the PBR at a Mach number of 1.05 were significantly lower than those obtained in the Aero facility for equal Reynolds numbers and blockage factors, especially for the smaller pitching amplitudes (fig. 11). At Mach numbers of 0.95 and 1.15 this effect of facility on the static stability tends to diminish. In the Aero facility, increasing the blockage factor from 0.03 to 0.19 percent had little or no effect on the stability at a Mach number of 1.05, but increased the stability at the higher Mach number (one data point). In addition, decreasing the Reynolds number for a constant blockage factor had little effect on the static stability. In the PBR, however, simultaneously decreasing the Reynolds number and the blockage factor significantly increased the stability of the model at all three Mach numbers, particularly at M = 1.05.

(3) The lift-curve slope (fig. 12) was not significantly affected by either Reynolds number, blockage factor, or facility at any of the Mach numbers shown.

(4) Drag coefficients obtained in the Aero facility were approximately 5 percent lower than those obtained in the PBR for constant Reynolds number and blockage factor (fig. 13(a)). Reducing the Reynolds number from 0.23 to 0.08×10^6 in the Aero facility increased the drag by about

5 percent when the blockage factors were held constant (fig. 13(b)). Increasing the blockage factor from 0.03 to 0.19 percent, however, reduced the drag coefficient from 1.23 to 1.14 at M = 1.04, but above M = 1.15, this effect of blockage disappears (fig. 13(c)). Decreasing the blockage factor from 0.03 to 0.004 percent while simultaneously changing from the Aero facility to the PBR (fig. 13(d)) and keeping the Reynolds number essentially constant caused an increase in the drag coefficient comparable to the increase noted in figure 13(a) when only the facility was varied. This suggests that the variation in the blockage factor from 0.03 to 0.004 percent did not significantly affect the drag of the model.

Briefly, these results show that: (1) changing from the Aero facility to the PBR significantly reduced the static stability of the model and increased the drag coefficient by 5 percent but had no appreciable effect on either the dynamic stability or the lift-curve slope; (2) varying the Reynolds number had no consistent effect on the dynamic stability, lift-curve slope or static stability obtained in the Aero facility, but changed the drag coefficient by 5 percent; (3) varying the blockage factor from 0.03 to 0.19 percent significantly affected the drag coefficient at $M_{\infty} = 1.04$ but had little or no effect on the dynamic stability, static stability, or lift-curve slope; (4) decreasing the blockage factor by an order of magnitude (0.03 to 0.004 percent) for a constant Reynolds number significantly increased the static stability of the model but had little or no effect on the dynamic stability of the model but had little or no effect on the static stability of the model but had little or no effect on the static stability of the model but had little or no effect on the static stability of the model but had little or no effect on the static stability of the model but had little or no effect on the static stability of the model but had little or no effect on the dynamic stability of the model but had little or no effect on the dynamic stability of the model but had little or no effect on the dynamic stability of the model but had little or no effect on the dynamic stability of the model but had little or no effect on the dynamic stability of the model but had little or no effect on the dynamic stability of the model but had little or no effect on the dynamic stability of the model but had little or no effect on the dynamic stability of the model but had little or no effect on the dynamic stability of the model but had little or no effect on the dynamic stability of the model but had little or no effect on the dynamic stability of the model but had little or no effect on the dynamic stability of the model but ha

These results lead to the conclusion that interference effects are experienced at blockage factors above 0.03 percent and for tests in the PBR. However, these interference effects manifest themselves mainly by affecting the drag coefficient and the static stability. Interference effects on the dynamic stability are either insignificant or at least within the accuracy of the data.

The basic data for these tests are presented in appendix A.

Because the mechanism of the blockage or interference effects is not understood, a brief description of the facilities used may be enlightening.

Model A was tested in the Hypervelocity Free-Flight Aerodynamic Facility (fig. 14). This facility, shown on the left, is octagonal in cross section, has smooth solid walls, and has all of its electronics, optics and fiducial system located on the outside of the tunnel structure. The test section itself is tapered in the direction of the model flight to accommodate the boundary-layer growth when the facility is used with a counterflow airstream.

Model B, on the other hand, was tested in the PBR. This facility, shown on the right of figure 14, consists of a shell, circular in cross section, having the film platens, spark light sources, fiducial system and photobeams inside the range shell. It is difficult to show all the pertinent details of the interior of this facility in one photograph. However, the uprange photograph on the right shows the film platens, blast shields and the station structure but not the 3.04-m shell that encloses it. The distance from the range centerline to the film platen increases as the model travels downrange as indicated by the dimensions given for the various stations. Since each film platen is only 0.5 m wide, the time or distance that the model is adjacent to the film station is about 20 percent of that required for the entire flight. In other words, for about 80 percent of the model's flight the only parts of the range structure that could influence the model are the range shell itself and the floor of the range. It should also be noted that the station spacing is not uniform but varies from 2.1 m to as much as 4.2 m.

Modifications of Basic Models

As a direct result of the instabilities determined for the two configurations, a few tests were conducted with the objective of either eliminating the instabilities or understanding them better. The following geometric modifications were thus made to the original 60° half-angle blunted cone for the reasons stated:

(1) The corner was made sharp (model E, fig. 1) to investigate the effect of rounding on the dynamic instability.

(2) The center of gravity was moved 6 percent forward of that for the basic configuration (see table 1) to determine the variation of dynamic stability as a function of the center of gravity.

(3) A spherical segment afterbody was added to the original configuration, the center of curvature of which was at the center of gravity of the model. This center of gravity location was held at the same position as that for the basic configuration (model F, fig. 1 and table 1) in an attempt to identify the part of the body that contributes the destabilizing dynamic moments. Note that a spherical afterbody with its center of curvature at the center of gravity cannot produce moments about the center of gravity due to pressure forces, since all pressure forces act through the center of gravity.

Eliminating the corner radius (fixing the separation point) had essentially no effect on the aerodynamic behavior of the blunted 60° cone (model E) except that the drag was increased by about 10 percent at all speeds (figs. 15(e) and 16).

Moving the center of gravity of model C forward from $x_{cg}/d = 0.23$ to 0.17 decreased the tendency of the model oscillation to diverge and reduced the limit cycle amplitude as well (fig. 17). The damping comparison is shown best in figure 18 for a Mach number of 1.05. Damping coefficients determined from Jet Propulsion Laboratory free-flight tests in the Ames 6- by 6-Foot Wind Tunnel by the method of reference 8 agree well with the ballistic-range data (fig. 18).

Adding the full-diameter spherical segment afterbody to model C completely eliminated the dynamic instabilities previously encountered with the flat base (figs. 19 and 20). Note that even the oscillation of 1.9° amplitude is, at worst, neutrally stable at $M_{\infty} = 1.0$. Since this afterbody was intended to eliminate the moment contribution caused by pressure forces acting on the base, it is concluded that irregular pressures on the flat base are highly destabilizing. The flight speed and local airspeeds in the flow field, however, are subsonic and transonic so that the afterbody could also affect the pressures on the front face. The slight reduction in drag coefficient and decrease in static stability due to the spherical afterbody (figs. 21 and 22) may be evidence of the influence of the afterbody on the forebody flow field, but they could also be a direct result of afterbody pressures. The lift-curve slopes were unaffected by afterbody shape.

Shadowgraph pictures of the two models at comparable Mach numbers and angles of attack are presented in figure 23. These pictures show that model F had the narrower wake and further extending shock waves in the vicinity of the shoulder. Thus, the flow pictures show evidence of changes in the aerodynamic properties, demonstrated in detail by the drag coefficient and pitching moment. Some wake diameter measurements are compared in table 4.

CONCLUSIONS

The transonic aerodynamic characteristics of two Mars probe-lander candidates have been determined experimentally in free flight in still air. These data indicate the following:

1. The two basic shapes tested $(60^{\circ} \text{ and } 55^{\circ} \text{ half-angle blunted cones})$ have similar regions of dynamic instability in the transonic-speed range. The dynamic stability is neutral in the subsonic-speed range, unstable at Mach numbers from 1.0 to 1.4, and neutral at higher speeds. The degree of instability varies with pitching amplitude, being greatest at small amplitudes and approaching neutral stability (limit cycle) at about 20° .

2. Modifications in afterbody geometry are capable of eliminating the transonic dynamic instability. In particular, a full diameter spherical segment afterbody with its center of curvature at the center of gravity yields a dynamically stable configuration.

3. Eliminating the corner radius of the 60° cone had no significant effect on the dynamic behavior of the model. However, moving the center of gravity location forward reduced both the instability and the apparent limit cycle amplitude.

4. The transonic drag coefficients of the round-cornered 60° cone were approximately 10 percent lower than those of the sharp-cornered 60° cone over the entire Mach number range of these tests (0.6-1.8).

5. Interference effects on the dynamic stability and lift-curve slope of model C were either insignificant or at least within the accuracy of the data. However, moderate variations in the static stability and drag, apparently due to wall and equipment interference, were encountered at blockage factors above 0.03 percent and for the tests made in the PBR.

6. All configurations were statically stable and had negative lift-curve slopes.

Ames Research Center

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Moffett Field, Calif., 94035, June 10, 1971.

APPENDIX A

BASIC DATA FOR WALL INTERFERENCE TESTS

The complete set of data used for the comparison plots in figures 10 to 13 are presented in figures 24 to 26. These data show the variation of dynamic and static stability, lift-curve slope, and drag coefficient as a function of Mach number for Reynolds numbers of approximately 0.1×10^6 and 0.2×10^6 and blockage factors of 0.03 and 0.19 percent in the Aerodynamic facility and for a blockage factor of 0.004 percent in the PBR.

REFERENCES

- 1. Seiff, Alvin; and Reese, David E., Jr.: Defining Mars' Atmosphere A Goal for Early Missions. Astronaut. Aeronaut., vol. 3, no. 2, Feb. 1965, pp. 16-21.
- 2. Roberts, Leonard: Entry Into Planetary Atmospheres. Astronaut. Aeronaut., vol. 2, no. 10, Oct. 1964, pp. 22-29.
- 3. Martin, J.S., Jr.; Bowen, F.W., Jr.; et al.: 1973 Viking Voyage to Mars. Astronaut. Aeronaut., vol. 7, no. 11, Nov. 1969, pp. 30-58.
- 4. Sommer, Simon C.; Boissevain, Alfred G.; Yee, Layton; and Hedlund, Roger C.: The Structure of an Atmosphere from On-Board Measurements of Pressure, Temperature, and Acceleration. NASA TN D-3933, 1967.
- 5. Peterson, Victor L.: A Technique for Determining Planetary Atmosphere Structures from Measured Accelerations of an Entry Vehicle. NASA TN D-2669, 1965.
- 6. Sammonds Robert I.: Aerodynamics of Mars Entry Probe-Lander Configurations at a Mach number of 10. NASA TN D-5608, 1970.
- 7. Krumins, Margonis V.: Drag and Stability of Mars Probe/Lander Shapes. J. Spacecraft Rockets, vol. 4, no. 8, Aug. 1967, pp. 1052-1057.
- 8. Malcolm, Gerald N.; and Chapman, Gary T.: A Computer Program for Systematically Analyzing Free-Flight Data to Determine the Aerodynamics of Axisymmetric Bodies. NASA TN D-4766, 1968.
- 9. Marko, Wayne J.: Static Aerodynamic Characteristics of Three Blunted Sixty-Degree Half-Angle Cones at Mach Numbers from 0.60 to 1.30. TR 32-1298, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, Calif., July 1, 1968.

Mode1	Cone half-angle	r _n /r _b	r _c /r _b	x _{cg} /d from nose	Diameter, cm	Iý×10 ⁻³ , g-cm ²	Iy/Ix	$\rho_{\infty} \times 10^3$, g/cm ³	M _∞	Re×10 ⁻⁶ , diam	α _m , deg	md ² /Iy	After- body	Facility
A B	55° ∳	1.0 ∳	0 ↓	0.17 0.20	2.032	0.0023	0.549	0.345	0.89-1.14	0.12-0.15	4-25 16-23	23.4 20.6	Flat Conical ²	Aero ¹
C C	60°	0.2	0,1	0.23	5.080	0.12	0.544	0.24-0.29	0.6-1.8	0.17-0.46	4-20	21.5	Flat	PBR ³
C					+	0.043	0.545	0.248	0.6-1.4	0.15-0.31	12-35	21.4		Aero
C					2.032	0.0013-0.0028	0.552	0.20-0.60	0.8-1.21	0.08-0.26	6-28	21.3		Aero
C				•	1 🕈	0.0027	0.550	0.118	0.8-1.4	0.04-0.06	7-16	21.5		PBR
D				0.17	5.080	0.067	0.582	0.146	0.8-1.65	0.15-0.22	5-38	29.2		
E			Ó	0.27		0.089	0.576	0.283	0.7-1.52	0.20-0.35	6-18	25.9	♥ .	
F	↓	+	0.1	0.23	♦	0.18	0.731	0.352	0.98-1.41	0.34-0.46	2-10	19.8	Spherical ⁴	1

¹Aerodynamic Hypervelocity Free-Flight Facility. ²30° half-angle cone with bluntness ratio (r_a/r_b) of 0.25, base radius = 0.555 r_b (forebody base radius). ³Pressurized Ballistic Range. ⁴Center of curvature of full diameter spherical afterbody located at center of gravity of model.

(a) Pressurized Ballistic Range		
Model C; $\theta_c = 60^\circ$; $x_{ca}/d = 0.23$; $d \approx 5.080$ cm		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	I _y ×10 ⁻³ , g-cm ² I _y /I _x	¹ md ² /Iy cm ⁻¹ ρ _m A/2m×10 ⁴ ,
983 4-14 1.251 0.145 -0.782 22.284 0.947 1.05 0.2378 0.2358 2.88 4.18 139.4 0.198 0.0226 5.0800 0.1014	0.1223 0.5443	3 21.394 0.2357
7-18 1.259 .140 -1.112 18.457 .752 1.03 .2322 3.29 5.05 36.1 .224 .0389		
10-20 1.224 .135 -1.066 16.599 .669 1.00 .2265 4.30 6.01 60.1 .219 1.0369	· L L	il l
94 13-23 1.1/9 133904 11.42 426 .96 .2211 4 4.06 0.97 99.6 .221 .011 4 .000 0.97 99.6 .221 .011 4	.1222 .5436	5 21.391 .2418
1 7-17 1.270 1.128 -1.111 10.897 .398 1.05 .2441 1 6.84 8.42 1.9 .208 .0132	1 1	1
9-20 1.270 .127 -1.103 5.729 .157 1.02 .2378 7.26 8.83 1.7 .300 .0147		
12-22 1.238 .129987 3.073 .040 1.00 .2324 7.62 9.14 1.7 .114 .0198		
16-24 1.163 .124901 889055 .98 .2274 7.63 9.24 1.6 .132 .0129 4 4	1225 5454	4 21 370 2492
987 4-14 1.290 1.32 -1.067 10.467 .380 1.14 .2761 .2495 6.41 7.85 1.6 .177 .0655 5.0600 1.015	.1225 .5454	· 21.3/0 · 2452
7-18 1.279 1.129 -1.062 10.763 1.394 1.11 1.052 7.12 0.39 1.03 1.20 0.178		
14-24 1.281 1.28 -1.120 7.211 .225 1.05 .2528 8.64 10.19 1.4 .261 .0358	+ +	+ +
989 4-13 1.261 138 -981 17.556 .715 1.06 .2952 .2887 4.95 6.23 1.7 .283 .0124 5.0775 .1013	.1219 .5436	5 21.426 .2884
7-16 1.259 .138988 12.441 .476 1.03 .2884 5.68 6.96 1.6 .214 .0173		
9-19 1.218 .133975 7.599 .252 1.01 .2803 6.33 7.75 1.6 .272 .0203		1 ' I
1 15-24 1.094 129 -1.61 2.057 0.058 1.05 1.067 1.07 1.000 1.000 1.00 1.0000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.00	.1209 .5447	7 21.513 .2820
9-20 .989 .137619 .563049 .88 .2365 5.35 7.66 6.3 .165 .0178		
12-22 .976 .138621 2.094 .023 .86 .2317 5.46 7.72 5.8 .199 .0300		
1 16-24 .952 .139636 2.050 .022 .84 .2270 1 5.45 7.89 5.8 .180 .0422 1 1		4 21 642 2860
1058 4-13 1.342 .118 -1.158 2.700 .009 1.35 .3742 .2615 9.85 13.39 4.9 .0223 5.0805 .1001	.1199 .5444	1 1
	'	
13-23 1.306 116 -1.113 2.985 0.26 1.25 3.391 10.44 14.79 4.8 .258 0.140		
16-24 1.286 .116 -1.124 3.203 .037 1.23 .3331 10.88 15.05 4.4 .431 .0155	+ 1	+ +
1059 3-12 .994 .132911984134 .87 .2342 .2802 6.82 9.70 11.3 .337 .0216 5.0798	.1197	21.585 .2835
6-16 .990 .133860908128 .85 .2293 6.60 9.70 15.4 .344 .0246		
9-19 .967 .133811705115 .83 .2256 6.64 9.61 17.5 .188 .0249		
11-21959 . 132 794 - 1.234136012150 0.37 9.55 14.92240440		1 1
1060 3-13 1.261 116 -1.075 2.434 .005 1.10 .3008 .2828 12.74 18.69 11.3 .196 .0160 5.0792 .0996	.1188	21.626 .2877
7-17 1.260 118 -1.057 1.545036 1.07 .2917 13.13 19.10 9.6 .319 .0239	1	
9-20 1.262 .121 -1.085 2.074013 1.04 .2828 13.03 19.43 9.9 .369 .0221		1 1
12-22 1.239 122 -1.048 3.476 .055 1.01 .2753 15.78 20.00 9.1 .282 .0315		
16-24 1.165 123 -1.003 2.161 .000 .98 2652 14.21 20.28 8.9 .297 .0211 17 17	1205	21,504 . 2838
1001 4-13 .896 136 -1.59 3.004 .007 100 1174 1 3.25 4.70 8.1 .216 .0419		
9-19 .882 .131686 3.632 .096 .63 .1712 3.43 4.93 7.4 .208 .0632		
12-22 .897 .130587 1.251011 .62 .1673 3.52 5.12 9.0 .219 .0429		
16-24 .888 .132372 1.233001 .61 .1642 1 3.63 5.16 11.2 .107 .0569 1		1 1 1
1117 3-13 1.311 140 -1.140 13.544 .514 1.27 3553 2862 3.21 4.61 19.2 .370 .0246 5.0749 .0997	. 1191	21.559 .2903
7-17 1.314 1.36 -1.173 1.3.902 .229 1.23 .3418 5.58 5.55 10.0 3.01 0.259		
15-24 1.308 124 -1.162 13.430 .508 1.13 .3141 + 6.03 8.30 4.8 .342 .0318		
1119 8-18 1.322 .135 -1.118 4.058 .076 1.34 .3727 .2869 8.94 12.36 3.1 .277 .0241 5.0772 .1017	.1225 .544	7 21.413 .2855
10-20 1.318 .134 -1.122 4.199 .082 1.31 .5658 9.82 12.80 2.9 .228 .0157		
15-22 1.300 1.33 1-1.118 5.358 1.37 1.27 3.545 10.16 13.40 2.5 .244 0.185 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		

r			-6	1	<u> </u>					a –	a . 1						I ×10-3.	T		a A/2mx104
	Cha Lat		-ςmα,	La'	F	Cm Cm	M	Rox 10-6	ρ _∞ ×10 ³ ,	Trms,	ίπ' 1	- 1	α,β dev.,	y,z dev.,		m×10 ⁻³ ,	-y - cm ²	1 /1	md ² /1	cm ⁻¹
Ron	sta. int.		per rac	⊥per rad	· ·	···	°1.	Kevi0 S	g/cm ²	deg	deg	α _m /α _{min}	deg	cm	a,cm	R	g-cm-	<u>'y''x</u>		
1120	6-16	1.356	0.131	-1.001	15.326	0.601	1.36	0.3773	0.2864	4.98	6.92	3.0	0.657	0.0282	5.0655	0.0989	0.1176	0.5446	21.587	0.2917
	8-19	1.333	.125	988	12.605	. 476	1.32	.3665		5.80	7.89	2.3	.406	.0280						
	11-22	1.315	.125	-1.019	10.110	. 360	1.28	. 3532		6.95	8.92	2.0	. 392	0180			1 1		- t - 1	
1,15,	15-24	1.326	1.124	995	9.004	- 058	45	1254	2873	111 19	9.72	118 5	347	.0096	5.0795	.1001	.1202	. 5456	21.487	. 2907
1151	7-17	875	120	504	-2.494	179	.44	.1229	1	11.05	16.39	86.3	. 489	.0262	+	l t	+	+	ł	+
1154	4-14	1.396	.119	-1.052	2.178	013	1.77	.4862	. 2857	7.93	11.61	13.7	.120	.0175	5.0754	.0997	.1194	.5454	21.498	. 2900
	7-17	1.409		-1.084	2.508	.001	1.72	.4738		8.19	11.78	11.3	.167	.0198						
	9-20	1.403		-1.154	1.740	038	1.66	.4575	1	7.97	11.98	11.0	. 260	.01/8				+	↓ '	↓
+	12-23	1.386	V	-1.172	2.608	.002	1.60	.4411	<u>'</u>	8.45	112.39	9,9	288	.0145						
								Mode I	C; e _c =	60°; x	cg/d =	0.23; d ≈ 2	1.032 cm							
1263	5-17	.949	.143	591	1.817	.013	.82	.03664	.1156	4.95	7.19	11.4	.272	.0145	2.0345	.01452	.002820	.5520	21.322	.1294
	8-20	.947	. 142	680	. 396	058	. 81	.03624		5.08	7.25	11.7	.315	.0216			11	1 1		
11	10-22	.930	145	/16	4.550	.135	. 81	03566	1	5.08	7.29	13.5	. 363	0289	11			1		
1265	5-17	.920	143	- 647	1.537	004	.84	.03735	.1153	5.75	8.41	30.0	.320	.0206	2.0307	.01427	.002746	.5524	21.432	.1309
1	8-19	. 969	.143	701	1.621	002	. 84	.03699	1	5.94	8.47	22.9	. 304	.0226		1 1	1	1		1
	10-22	.964	.143	759	5.840	.192	. 83	.03662	1	6.04	8.70	27.2	.286	.0251		1 1				l l
1 +	13-24	.952	.143	723	5.950	. 200	.82	.03630	+	6.10	8.84	25.3	. 305	.0231	1 +	+	1 🕴	ł ł	+	1
1285	5-15	1.375	.148	-1.292	.620	095	1.37	.06257	.1178	5.90	8.27	6.9	. 243	.0147	2.0333	.01412	.002701	.5516	21.616	.1355
	7-18	1.378	.151	1-1.355	-4.904	353	1.36	.06189		5.59	7.97	6.1	. 388	.0173			1 1		1	
	9-20	1.375	. 150	-1.289	-4.35/	325	1.34	,06110	1	5.60	8.03	5.2	.409	.0168		1 1				
	11-22	1.302	.152	-1.209	1 200	12/	1.33	05070	1 1	5,33	7.94	5.9	. 395	0207	1		1			
1286	5-16	1.353	151	-1.100	- 737	- 117	1.06	.04888	1190	6.58	9.56	17.7	299	.0206	2.0340	01429	.002752	.5510	21.477	.1354
1200	7-19	1.261	152	-1.034	2,969	.031	1.05	.04835	1	6.81	9.71	12.8	282	.0198	1			1	1	
1 1	9-21	1.259	.151	-1.012	8.700	. 299	1.04	.04779		6.92	10.02	10.5	. 374	.0239					1 1	1 1
	12-23	1.259	.149	972	11.083	.412	1.02	.04718	1 +	7.13	10.35	9.5	. 302	.0241	1	+	l +	+	1 +	
1288	4-16	1.084	.143	808	423	107	.95	.04390	.1192	5.33	7.38	3.2	. 365	.1421	2.0320	.01415	.002698	. 5481	21.648	.1367
1	7-20	1.067	. 141	832	2.562	.031	.94	.04332		5.51	7.44	3.3	. 344	.1270		1		1	1	
	9-21	1.059	.141	900	1.890	003	.93	.04299		5.39	7.52	3.4	.315	.0206					1 1	
1 +	12-23	1.046	.138	795	1.417	020	.92	.04253		5,44	7.51	3.2	.131	.0213	+	•	+	1	1.1	†
1289	5-17	1.317	.137	-1.037	.758	075	1.13	.05190	.1185	10.41	15.29	764.0	.208	.0178	2.0345	.01444	.002789	.5478	21.436	.1333
1	8-19	1.308	.137	-1.072	.515	087	1.12	.05122		10.75	15.33	306.5	.168	.0195				1 1		
	10-22	1.302	.137	-1.071	1,.41/	091	1.10	.05052	1 1	10.56	15.34	191.7	. 245	.0213	1 1		1 1	1	11	1
1.	113-24	1.285	.137	-1.080	-1.850	190	1.09	0.1333	1177	10.55	13.3/	219.8	240	0221	2 0351	01428	002751	5492	21 508	1336
1290	7-20	1.125	1.12	820	3 258	065	.30	04333	1.11/3	110.15	15 06	22.0	264	0267	1	1 1	1 1	1.3422	1 1	.1550
	9-21	1.056	1.11	- 766	2.451	.029	.94	.04256	1	10.57	15.18	22.0	272	.0280		1		1 1	1 1	
1 +	12-23	1 1.033	.141	746	.131	077	.93	.04212	+	10.63	15.28	19.6	.181	.0330		1	1	1	•	Ť
					·	·		Mode 1	D; θ _c =	60°; x	.,/d =	0.17; d ≈ 5	.080 cm							
118	2 6-16	.949	.152	651	1.597	0	. 89	. 1251	1458	11.25	16.7	16.6	150	.0241	5.0795	.07649	.06754	. 5822	29,222	. 1932
	9-19	.912	.152	571	1.811	.011	. 8	.1231		11.81	16.9	16.3	.157	.0137		1 1			1 1	1
	11-21	.920	.152	572	.574	031	. 81	.1217		11.46	17.03	15.2	.185	.0145			1 1		1	
1.	15-24	. 897	.153	625	-1.817	114	. 8	.1197	+	11.83	16.87	16.4	.180	,0185	+ +	↓ ↓	+	1 +	+	+
118	5 3-12	1.163	.157	960	4.571	.084	1.0	.1472	.1463	7,15	10.47	37.4	. 399	.0168	5.0805	.07697	.06813	.5810	29.161	. 1927
	7-16	1.232	.159	830	7.337	.181	1.0	. 1443		7.59	11.02	24.0	.243	.0241					1 1	1 1
	9-19	1.191	.157	842	4.904	.098	1.00	.1416		8.03	11.61	24.7	.311	.0239		1				
1	15-24	1 100	156	750	3.338	.050		1 1396	1	8.08	11.92	17.3	.364	.0178			1	1	[1
118	4 4-13	1.363	. 146	/80	- 306	- 097	1.5	2120	1/152	8.52	12.13	18./	. 194	.0297		07607	06700	601	20 243	1037
1	7-16	1.363	. 146	950	232	088	1.5	2093	1452	10.92	14 01	2.0	166	01/5		.07002	1.00709	.581	29.247	.1937
	9-18	1.373	.146	-,966	665	-,103	1.4	2058		11 06	14.01	2.0	.100	.0150						
	11-21	1.353	.145	-1.017	425	096	1.4	2015	1 1	10.84	13.95	2.0	.158	.0137						1 1
1 1	15-24	1.327	.145	996	. 335	068	1.4	. 1968	+	10.66	14.03	2.1	.163	.0178	1	+	+	+ +	1	1
113	5 İ 7-17	1.300	.142	948	.284	067	1.3	. 1865	.1457	14.80	21.89	20.7	. 204	.0236	5.080	3 .07642	.06742	. 582	0 29.25	.1932
1	9-19	1.274	1.142	940	.635	054	1.3	1.1833	1	14.89	21.89	20.3	.245	.0236				1		1 1
	12-22	1.270	.142	981	018	078	1.2	.1792		14.90	21.88	3 19.6	. 262	.0292		1				1
1	15-24	1.270	.142	996	.175	072	1.2	.1764	1 +	14.80	21.87	19.0	.271	.0198		1	+	+		<u></u> .
118	7-17	1.309	.146	-1.076	2.477	.003	1.3	.1819	.1453	11.55	17.25	11.6	.183	.0208		.07691	.06859	.585	0 28.96	1913
1 1	9-19	1.278	1.146	1-1.096	1 1.244	039	1.2	8 .1788	1 1	112.04	117.30	11.6	,219	.0211	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1

TABLE 2.- DATA SUMMARY FOR THE TWO BASIC CONFIGURATIONS - Continued.

Run	Sta. Int.	с _р	-C _{mα} , per rad	C _{La} , per rad	Ę	с _{та} + с _{та}	M _{as}	Re×10 ⁻⁶	ი _ლ ×10 ³ , g/cm ³	αrms, deg	α _m , deg	a _m ∕amin	α,β dev., deg	y,z dev., cm	d, cm	m×10- ³ , g	I _y ×10 ⁻³ , g-cm ²	Iy/Ix	md²/Iy	p_A/2m×10 ⁴ , cm ⁻¹
1186	12-22	1.292	0.146	-1.059	-0.265	-0.090	1.25	0.1748	0.1453	11.76	17.48	12.2	0.168	0.0211	5.0803	0.07697	0.06859	0.5850	28.960	0.1913
1187	16-24	1.270	.147	-1.008	-1.373	126	1.23	. 1717	1154	12.05	17.33	12.9	. 289	.0241	5 0851	07727	06967	5014	20 116	+
	7-17	1.170	.136	890	007	071	1.18	. 1658		25.14	37.95	7.9	.438	.0348	5.0051		1.00002		1	1 1
11	9-20	1.162	.137	893	575	090	1.16	.1627		24.55	37.64	8.3	. 456	.0366	1					
11	12-22	1.135	.137	902	451	085	1.14	.1600		24.56	37.20	8.2	.245	.0348			11			
1193	6-15	1.128	.156	-1.091	-1.42/	191	1.62	.2272	.1456	4.03	5.82	8.1	1.187	.0150	5.0658	.07514	.06617	. 5809	29,141	1952
	8~18	1.393	.161	-1.122	-1.977	154	1.59	.2230		4.07	5.81	9.4	. 156	.0190						1
	11-21	1.373	.161	-1.130	2.213	010	1.55	. 2173		3.97	5.76	8.7	1 .175	.0147)					
1194	6-16	1.360	.100	-1.140	2 282	117	1.53	1667	1468	5.95	8.59	5.3	.145	0135	5 0800	07536	1 06633	5807	29 318	† 1975
	9-19	1.255	.148	-1.002	4.373	.072	1.15	.1631		6.13	8.89	5.0	. 19)	.0257	1	1.01350	1		1	1 1
	11-21	1.270	.147	-1.028	6.870	.156	1.13	.1605		6.52	9.24	4.8	.156	.0277						
1105	14~24	1.245	.148	~.906	9.230	.241	1.11	.1573	1457	6.95	9.89	4.4	214	.0215		1 1170	0.570	1 1	+	+
	9-19	1.337	.158	- 988	5.217	.099	1.08	.1549	.145/	5.55	8.08	5.4	.135	.0208	1	.07439	.00538	.5818	29.360	.1985
11	11-21	1.246	.156	-1.018	4.569	.079	1.06	.1496	11	5.84	8.33	4.6	.257	.0180	1	{ {	i i		{ }	((
+	15-24	1.236	.157	-1.067	6.799	.153	1.04	.1462	+	6.19	8.74	4.6	. 251	.0210	ł	ł	1	•	l t	ļļ
1196	7-17	1.256	.150	777	3.350	.045	1.06	. 1493	. 1463	7.91	11 92	20.8	158	.0206	5.0617	.07677	.06774	.5820	29.038	.1917
	12-22	1.231	.152	888	6.027	.015	1.02	.1437		8.52	12.49	78.1	375	.0229						
[+	15-24	1.210	. 149	883	5.427	.115	1.01	.1415	+	8.65	12.89	322.5	.429	.0221			 	1		1
			·			Model	Ε; θ _c	= 60°;	$x_{cg}/d = 0$).27; d	≈ 5.08	0 cm		·		. ~		,		
1052	3-11	1.332	.155	961	15.729	.520	1.16	.3086	.2799	4.24	5.91	4.1	. 415	.0178	5.0373	.08889	.08733	.5759	25.829	.3138
11	6-14	1.365	.152	945	16.020	.531	1.13	. 3004	i l	4.75	0.04	3.4	240	.0208						
11	10-19	1.363	145	- 913	10 332	.312	1.10	.2844		6.20	8.58	3.3	. 206	.0183			1 1			
	13-21	1.343	.144	962	8.987	.259	1.04	.2761		6.92	9.56	3.3	.197	.0129					11	1 1
	16-23	1.297	.142	892	7.102	. 190	1.01	. 2689		7.53	10.34	3.4	.289	.0117					11	
1,062	18-24	1.260	.138	866	6.017	.151	.99	. 2643	2768	8.06	12 61	3.3	250	0343	5.0826	09084	09023	5754	26 008	3091
1002	6-14	1.029	.147	930	587	051	.78	.2077	1 . 1	9.41	12.46	3.9	.217	.0409	1	1	1 1	1		1
	8-17	1.010	.150	886	640	098	. 77	. 2036		9.49	12.53	3.8	.248	.0363	1	! I				j
11	10-19	1.000	.152	946	793	105	.75	.1995		9.47	12.44	5.5	1 .248	.0272						
	13-21	1.001	. 152	853	-1.455	127	.73	. 1953		9.22	12.16	3.2	1 .313	.0233	1 I	!]				1 1
1063	3-11	1 279	122	003	1.137	043	1.39	. 3734	.2799	10.16	14.69	10.1	.175	.0363	5.0594	.08970	.08812	. 5751	26.058	.3136
1005	6-14	1.431	.121	987	.703	066	1.36	. 3633		10.42	14.85	9.0	. 198	.0340				1	1	1 1
	8-17	1.519	.121	-1.068	1.322	049	1.32	.3535		10.41	15.00	9.1	.186	.0325						
	10-19	1.380	.122	-1.116	2.058	017	1.28	. 3433		10.87	15.22	8.7	.214	.0119						1 1
	13-22	1.387	.123	-1.105	1,967	020	1.23	. 3204	1	111.39	16.17	8.0	.191	.0178		1	1	1	1 1	1 +
1118	1-9	1.087	.147	716	6.462	.180	.94	.2703	. 2966	4.71	6.44	20.8	.274	.0183	5.0813	.09120	.09085	.5745	25.919	.3298
	3-11	1.080	.141	770	2.909	.041	.92	.2652		4.40	6.51	25.0	. 392	.0183						
11	6-13	1.088	.148	616	3.672	.076	.90	2602		4.76	6.80	21 3	.002	.0150				[
	10-18	1.105	.155	678	1,030	-,029	.87	.2490		4,84	6.79	14,1	,434	.0155						1
	12-21	1.060	.146	625	.597	042	. 84	.2424		4.89	7.08	10.9	.565	.0226						
+	15-23	1.077	.153	630	.436	049	.82	.2371		4.83	6.77	6.4	. 399	.0526	5 0300	08857	08679	5700	25 011	†
1121	5-12	1.278	.145	841	3.017	.035	1.00	2858	. 2968	8.90	12.91	21.9	300	.0223	5.0399	.00055	.02079	.5/90	1 1	1 . 5344
	0-18	1.257	144	777	1.586	- 014	.95	.2711		9.29	13.74	32.0	.241	.0236						
	11-20	1.125	.144	776	1.696	008	.93	.2645		9.43	13.99	43.8	.189	.0203						
,	14-22	1.091	.144	746	1.806	001	. 90	. 2584	+	9.61	14.21	355.3	. 207	0178	5 0782	00082	1 00073	5760	1 1 0 7 1	2080
1152	5-14	1.374	.126	-1.019	-1.120	135	1.37	.3549	.2680	11.91	17.13	27.2	.440	.0191	3.0782	.09082	.09032	.5/60	25.931	.2909
	8-17	1.403	1,125	-1.061	1.513	075	1.33	.3324		111.80	17.29	14.7	. 169	.0180		1	(1			1
	13-23	1.475	.126	-1.081	1.308	048	1.24	. 3202		12.22	17.40	10.8	. 294	.0102	+	+	ł	↓ ↓	1	
1153	7-15	1.472	.135	-1.146	18.826	.623	1.52	.4140	.2849	4.93	5.91	1.6	. 369	.0124	5.0381	.08852	.08633	.5718	26.026	.3208
	9-18	1.458	.127	-1.216	13.484	.415	1.47	. 3998		5.85	6.82	1.5	.1/5	0183						
11	10-19	1.433	137	-1.123	13.429	. 414	1.39	.3782		7.46	8.81	1.5	. 394	.0294	1					
}	16-24	1.413	.127	-1.161	10.958	. 322	1.35	.3677	1 +	8.19	9.45	1.4	,465	.0140	1	1	1	+	1 *	+

Run	Sta. Int.	C	-C _m ,	C _{La} ,	,	C _{ma} + C _{ma}	м	Rex10-6	ρ_×10 ³ ,	^o rms'	α _m ,		α,β dev.,	y,z dev.,		m×10- ³ ,	Iy×10 ⁻³ ,			ρ _∞ A/2m×10 ⁴ ,
		0	per rau	per rue		ч ч	"œ	Model Fr	g/cm ⁻	aeg	aeg	^α m ^{/α} min		сm	d, cm	g	g-cm ²	I _y /I _x	md ² /Iy	cm ⁻¹
1277	4.15	1 720	0.100	1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	0.010	0.077		houer r,	0c = 00	, ^cg/		3; u ~ 3.0								
123/	7-19	1.328	118	-1.114	1.852	-0.077	1.41	0.4828	0.3540	4.00	4.56	1.3	0.128	0.0170	5.0770	0.1412	0.1829	0.7317	19.904	0.2537
	10-22	1.306	.113	-1.228	1.581	048	1.32	.4536		4.18	4.75	1.3	, 180	.0264					11	
+	13-24	1.301	.114	-1.162	-1.830	216	1.29	.4434	Į Į	4.12	4.73	1.4	.215	.0307	ļļ	ļļ		ļ	l	
1230	7-18	1.301	124	991	-5.416	389	1.27	.4398	.3567	2,00	2.71	2.4	. 180	.0297	5.0782	.1423	.1851	.7307	19.829	.2539
	9-21	1.283	.129	386	-6.432	409	1.19	.4137		1.00	2.24	2.0	. 164	0366						
 	13-24	1.270	.122	-1.342	-5.565	412	1.16	.4004		1.59	2.08	2.1	.151	.0310		ļ	I I	1		
1239	4-15	1.245	.122	971	-3.903	310	1.10	.3719	.3494	7.54	9.91	2.4	.250	.0213	5.0777	.1456	. 1899	.7317	19.769	.2430
	10-22	1.245	126	- 998	-4.051	317	1.07	3514		7.18	9.45	2.4	. 251	.0381					í I	
1	13-24	1.240	.126	-1.065	-3.007	269	1.02	.3442		6.81	8.80	2.5	. 271	.0340						
1240	7-18	1.281	.117	722	1.804	010	1.06	.3554	. 3475	1.32	1.93	38.6	.210	.0155	5.0782	. 1414	. 1836	.7308	19.864	2488
	9-21	1.256	.115	-1.132	-3.747	309	1.03	. 3461		1.30	1.81	45.3	.220	.0368					1	i i i
1241	3-14	1.268	123	-1.041	-2.382	143	1.00	1.3354	3516	7 00	1.80	22.5	.262	.0368	t t	ŧ	i 🖡	1 1	i i i	1 1 1
1.1.	7-18	1.270	.122	-1.055	-1.420	189	1.14	.3889		6.97	10.33	9.5	. 225	0157	5.0739	.1413	.1837	.7322	19.800	.2516
	9-21	1.255	.123	-1.077	-1.498	193	1.11	.3785		6.68	9.90	10.8	.180	.0323	i	i	i 1			
1,14,2	13-24	1.252	.124	-1.095	-2.836	262	1.08	.3666	ļ	6.63	9.59	12.5	.147	.0142						
1242	7-19	1.258	118	-1.013	-7.233	481	1.06	3476	.3507	2.99	4.48	19.5	.115	.0122	5.0767	.1429	.1857	.7292	19.841	.2483
	10-22	1,186	1.114	-1.013	-4.242	325	1.00	.3372		2.57	4.09	41.8	.167	0287						
, t	13-24	1.129	.114	-,994	-4.772	348	.98	.3305	+	2.48	3.61	120.4	.227	.0147		1	 	1	↓	ļ ļ
									(b) A	erodyna	mic Fa	cility		1		1	L			<u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>
<u> </u>		, ···-	1	r				Model	Α; θ _c =	55°; x _c	.g/d = 1).17; d ≈ 2	.032 cm							
270	1-9	1.322	0.158	-0.991	17.539	0.651	1.14	0.1511	0.3451	6.62	8.29	1.7	0.431	0.0114	2.0340	0.01291	0.002285	0.5492	23.373	0.4343
	6-14	1.307	.149	-1 127	18.358	.688	1.12	1485		7.55	9.41	1.7	.319	.0107						
1	7-16	1.304	.155	-1.133	7.870	.232	1.08	.1429		9.17	11.04	1.6	. 323	0086		i 1				
271	3-11	1.335	.157	992	11.544	. 395	1.17	.1559	.3450	5.39	7.97	8.5	. 301	.0081	2.0345	.01285	.002281	.5497	23.326	4363
	5-14	1.327	.158	-1.122	10.679	. 353	1.15	.1525		6,24	8.79	5.7	.541	.0173		1				
272	1-9	1.310	171	-1.111	10.067	.328	1.12	1492	7457	6.65	9.35	4.6	.612	.0137	+	ļ ļ	ļ ļ	1	Ļ	I I
Ĩ	3-11	1.310	.167	-1.144	20.147	.756	1.13	.1323	. 3457	4.99	6.62	2.4	.280	0081	2.0343	.01287	.002277	.5486	23.395	.4365
i i	5-13	1.304	.157	-1.075	18.939	.708	1.11	.1473		5.52	7.36	2.3	.545	.0056						
	7-15	1.303	.146	904	22.247	.857	1.09	.1447	i I	6.31	8.70	2.3	. 289	.0127						
273	1-9	1.300	167	990	15.33/	.558	1.07	1.1429		6.86	8.94	2.3	.605	.0124		+	+	÷.	• •	↓ I
i İ	•4-12	1.028	.161	565	-6.492	346	.91	.1218		3.10	1 4.15	2.0	326	0119	2.0345	.01286	.002275	.5475	23.394	.4371
	6-14	1.023	.168	590	978	111	.90	.1201		3.00	4,21	3.8	. 195	.0069						
	9-16	1.028	.162	694	1.947	.010	.89	.1181	Ļ	2.94	4.08	4.0	. 209	.0066	; i	i I	i l		`↓	5 1 '
2/4	3-11	1 207	.100	-1.090	21.279	.810	1.09	.1448	. 3458	5,19	7.18	2.9	.221	.0112	2.0348	.01289	.002289	.5491	23.314	.4362
1	5-13	1.283	.161	-1.135	17.725	.663	1.05	1.1393		6.07	8.30	2.9	.188	.0104	- 1	. [1			. i
1	7-15	1.263	.161	911	13.471	.485	1.03	.1370		7,55	10.11	2.5	.406	.0086			. [
,ŧ	9-16	1.231	.163	950	9.852	.329	1.02	.1353	i i	8.17	10.61	2.4	. 317	.0086	; 1		, I	1 L		¦
275	1-9	1.305	.169	848	28.941	1.150	1.10	. 1475	. 3463	3.89	5.47	3.0	. 384	.0076	2.0345		.002291	. 5487	23.294	.4368
	6-14	1.299	.169	-1.066	11.253	.382	1.08	1443		4.55	5.21	2.9	.318	.0107					' I	
1	8-16	1.276	.165	-1.062	10.487	.350	1.04	.1388	Ļ	5.49	7.57	2.7	.177	.0203	1	. I	1	, I	, I	, I '
279	1-10	1.266	.144	-1.032	1.331	041	1.12	.1492	.3450	17.00	24.63	24.9	.412	.0124	2.0366	.01312	.002367	.5484	22,996	4283
	4-12	1.255	.144	968	1.188	045	1.10	.1462	1	17.14	24.68	22.3	.450	.0086	·	: 1			1	
- L	9-16	1.272	1.147	988	1.246	044	1.07	1426	' <u> </u>	17.11	25.10	19.6	.562	.0089						, .
280	1-9	1.227	1.182	855	12.987	.473	1 .98	.1300	.3444	3.97	5.49	39.3	. 205	.0104	2 0330	01701	002770	+	27 047	+
L +	2-10	1.232	. 177	j-1.038	8.685	.278	.97	.1290	ŧ	3.88	5.77	64.1	. 254	.0130	1.0330	.01304	1	.54/2	23.04/	.4288

TABLE 2.- DATA SUMMARY FOR THE TWO BASIC CONFIGURATIONS - Continued.

			-C _{ma} ,	CL _a					0 ×10 ³ .	a	a.,		a. 6 dev	y.z dev.		m×10 ^{−3} .	I _v ×10 ⁻³ ,	1		o A/2m×10 ² .
Run	Sta. Int.	C _D	per rad	per rad	ξ.	$C_{m_q} + C_{m_{\alpha}}$	Moo	Re×10-6	g/cm ³	deg'	deg	a _m /a _{min}	deg	cm	d, cm	8	g-cm ²	Iy/Ix	md ² /Iy	° cm ⁻¹
280	4-12	1,194	0,177	-1,121	7.714	0.234	0.96	0.1270	0.3444	4.17	6.06	19.6	0,208	0.0142	2.0330	0.01304	0.002338	0.5472	23.047	0.4288
	7-14	1.097	.169	988	4.189	.091	.94	.1246		4.36	6.34	20.5	. 386	.0145						
, t	9-16	1.053	.163	958	.308	074	.93	.1229	↓	4.69	6.60	17.4	.234	.0132	•	•	•	.t	• •	•
281	1-9	1.325	.161	-1.015	21.843	.823	1.10	.1339	.3163	5.34	6.37	1.6.	.232	.0099	2.0345	.01337	.002334	.5489	23.709	.3846
	4-12	1.318	.158	-1.247	16.641	.596	1.05	.1308		6.47	7.50	1.5	.220	0114	i					
	6-14	1.317	.155	-1.356	16.228	.572	1.06	.1288		7.18	8.17	1.4	.271	.0124						
l t	8-16	1.310	.151	-1.265	14.583	.506	1.04	.1268	↓ ↓	7.80	8.80	1.3	.265	.0135	+	+	•	†	†	+
282	1-9	1.328	.154	899	33.465	1.336	1.17	.1425	.3156	4.99	6.89	2.7	.510	.0096	2.0343	.01288	.002280	.5481	23.382	. 3981
	6-14	1,315	.149		12 758	.987	1.15	1369		7 34	9 43	2.2	240	0152			1			
11	6-16	1.309	.147	961	13.479	.479	1.12	.1358		7.77	9.78	1.9	.316	.0142						
l ł	8-16	1.311	.147	935	11.143	.381	1.11	.1348	l t	7.92	10.09	1.9	.162	.0071	+	+	+	łł		i J
				·					Model B	θ _c = 5	5°; x _c	g/d = 0.20;	d ≈ 2.032 c	:m						
120	1-12	0.987	0.173	-0.818	0.381	-0.073	0.84	0.0357	0.1105	12.58	18.31	12.8	0.193	0.0200	2.0333	0.007084	0.001491	0.6583	19.638	0.2532
1	5-16	.977	.172	828	1.465	017	.83	.0351		12.39	18.41	15.9	.239	.0140	1 1 1 1 1 1	1	+ 1757		1 7 7 7 7	†
122	5-13	.901	.158	601	2.393	.042	./1	.0295	.1094	15.33	22.45	24.2	206	0183	2.0279	.007018	.001353	1.6590	21.325	.2518
128	1-12	.928	.164	643	.191	066	.67	.0280	.1097	13.52	16.65	1.7	.257	.0163	2.0297	.007276	.001438	.6435	20.841	.2439
- † -	5-16	.921	.164	618	.662	042	.66	.0276	ŧ	13.33	16.53	1.7	.234	.0155	1	1	1 1	•	+	+
									Model C	θ _c ≈ 6	0°; x _c	g/d = 0.23;	d ≈ 2.032 d	 -m						
231	1-9	1.243	0.119	-1.085	1.454	-0.041	1.21	0.2431	0.5208	16.70	24.50	350.0	0.345	0.0094	2.0351	0.01440	0.002799	0.5554	21.299	0.5884
	4-12	1.230	.120	-1.048	.702	074	1.17	.2352		16.86	24.87	108.1	. 372	.0107						
11	8-16	1.212	120	-1.056	2 024	053	1.15	2251		10.02	24.99	/5.8	.344	.0114		ļ			i i	
232	1-9	1.220	.123	-1.008	2.062	008	1.17	.2332	.5183	18.79	27.37	34.7	.667	.0223	2.0328	.01443	.002802	.5555	21.277	. 5828
	4-12	1.208	.125	999	1.830	018	1.13	.2258		18.99	27.98	26.4	.382	.0127	[] ·					()
	6-14	1.196	.124	985	2.316	.006	1.11	.2210		18.76	28.26	21.1	.337	.0145			1			1
233	8-16	1.200	.124	990	1.208	046	11.09	.2164	6214	19.33	28.21	20.2	.414	0058	2 0343	01456	002841	. 1	21 206	5820
1	4-12	1.258	.129	-1.203	4.847	.113	1.13	.2259		9.07	12.40	30.8	.237	,0051	1 1 1	1	1		1	1
	6-14	1.250	.129	-1.137	6.773	.207	1.10	. 2209		9.00	13.53	18.0	.387	.0124						
+	8-16	1.238	.127	-1.049	6.262	.188	1.08	.2161	÷.	9.77	14.28	16.2	. 298	.0058	1 . t.	•	+	+	†	† _
234	1-9	.963	.129	713	2.131	.021	.92	.1828	.5172	14.97	22.23	21.2	.276	0101	2.0340	.01453	.002828	. 5539	21.252	.5785
	6-14	.948	.129	697	1.463	008	. 88	.1753		15.22	22.69	24.4	.203	.0132						i
I I	8-16	.930	.130	656	.659	044	.87	.1724	ļ	15.29	23.20	26.1	.356	.0180	i 🕴	l l	l t	1	i i	Ļ
258	1-8	1.215	.118	-1.059	.581	079	1.16	.2739	.6070	12.17	17.73	59.1	. 335	.0053	2.0325	.01428	.002752	.5501	21.437	.6895
1	1-11	1.209	.119	-1.027	2.921	.032	1.14	.2687		12.50	18.29	166.4	.477	.0150						· • •
1	5-11	1,205	.121	-1.034	3,343	.052 088	1,13	2588		12.52	18.46	92.3	.404	.0124	1			;		
	7-15	1.185	.122	- 937	3.320	.056	1.07	.2523	.	13.32	19.55	34.9	.469	.0097				1	1	
14	10-16	1.165	.124	-1.061	1.727	023	1.04	.2462	: [14.36	19,97	31.7	.213	.0101	+	+	; †	; 🕴	l t	ŧ
259	1-9	.980	.128	592	-4.151	266	.90	.2086	. 5995	5.58	7,75	12.7	.133	.0094	2.0335	.01424	.002742	.5512	21.480	.6836
	2-10	.972	.131	675	666	108	. 89	2065		5.53	7.92	12.0	.231	0314						:
	6-14	932	.130	-1.023	1.14	040	. 85	.1984		5.44	7.72	13.6	.217	.0185				[
1	9-16	.919	.130	924	-5.234	329	.83	.1937	; t	5.21	7.61	12.7	. 237	.0140	1	+		+	+	↓
260	1-8	1.255	.124	-1.046	.261	096	1.16	.2615	.5867	12.76	18.47	370.0	.508	.0117	2.0315	.01434	.002785	.5541	21.253	.6629
	3-11	1.253	.125	-1.067	1.893	020	1.12	.2534		12.69	18.74	312.8	.469	.0114						
	5-13	1.248	.127	-1.079	2.638	.015	1.09	.2471		12.83	18.94	379.0	.491	.0127						
	10-16	1.244	.129	-1.003	3.483	.050	1.04	.2350		14.20	19.88	33.7	.431	.0096			+			1
261	1-8	1.223	.139	883	4.994	.135	1.02	.2285	.5848	9.14	13.20	15.2	. 440	.0211	2.0330	.01447	.002804	.5524	21.323	.6561
	3-11	1.166	.141	907	3.561	.070	.99	.2218		9.95	13.87	22.0	. 298	.0192	1					
	5-12	1.134	.139	935	.734	063	97	.2181		9.52	14.00	24.6	.226	.0122						
11	9-16	1.082	.140	- 755	1.34	097	.95	2087		9.57	14.20	25.8	259	.0063			1			
276	1-8	1.233	.161	-1.007	11.756	.446	1.05	.2360	.5844	5.84	6.39	1.2	.370	.0122		.01443	.002795	.5520	21.335	.6574
	3-11	1.205	.161	928	11.040	.418	1.02	.2289		6.57	7.12	1.2	. 384	.0117						1
+	4-13	1.181	.138	-1.034	7.809	.262	1.00	.2248	+	7.07	7.47	1.1	.282	.0157	1	1	1	1		<u> </u>

15

-

TABLE 2.- DATA SUMMARY FOR THE TWO BASIC CONFIGURATIONS - Concluded.

	· r															·				
Run	Sta. Int.	с _D	-C _{ma} , per rad	C _{La} , iper rad	Ę	c _{mq} + c _m	M _{oo}	Re×10-6	ρ _∞ ×10 ³ , g/cm ³	arms, deg	° _m , deg	α _m /α _{min}	α,β dev., deg	y,z dev., cm	d, cm	m×10 ⁻³ , g	I _y ×10 ⁻³ , g-cm ²	$1_y/1_x$	md²/ly	ρ _∞ A/2m×10 ⁴ , cm ⁻¹
276	5-13	1 172	0.145	-1.004	8.133	0.279	0.99	0.2235	0.5844	7.20	7.56	1.1	0.201	0.0152	2.0330	0.01443	0.002795	0.5520	21.335	0.6574
1 î	7-15	1.133	.138	-1.039	6.536	. 205	.97	.2184		7.58	7.98	1.1	. 339	.0094						1
1 +	8-16	1.110	.148	980	4.357	.106	.96	.2159	+	7,76	8.11	1.1	. 219	.0102	*	!	+	+	+	
277	1-9	1.239	.151	-1.143	10.541	. 381	1.02	.2304	. \$851	4.97	5.95	1.5	. 295	.0091	2.0353		.002791	.5517	21.421	.6595
	3-12	1.195	. 151	-1.134	12.098	,456	.99	.2235		5.95	7.16	1.6	.442	.0117	1					
	5-14	1.154	.148	990	11,986	.460	.9/	.2183		6.64	8.04	1.7	.520	.0145	1		1			1
+	6-15	1.146	.138	948	5.657	.166	.96	.2158	1050	0.80	8.45	1.7	.548	.0124	1 2 0 7 0 2	00/ 700	*	100	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	•
225	2-11	1.392	.123	-1.139	-1.469	190	1.63	1101	, 1929	12.81	19.33	69.1	. 236	.0135	2.0302	.006720	.001314	.5498	21.082	.4719
11	5-13	1.384	.123	-1.158	.032	119	1.55	1142		13.53	19.15	46.7	. 300	.0102	\ <u>I</u>		ł			
1 +	7-16	1.383	.124	-1.134	//1	150	1.55	.1102	1065	12.55	10.70	36,1	. 395	0054	2 0770	004405	00,701	5525	21 271	1
226	1-10	1,288	.134	-1.078	10.259	371	1 1 1	0846	1.100	6 17	8 67	9.4	. 343	0000	2.0350	.000033	1.001301	. 3323	21.2/1	.4704
	6-14	1.285	.134	-1.086	10.257		1.1.	0827		6 68	0.03	1.5	.275	0107		1				
+ 1	9-16	1.286	.132	-1.157	2.4/3	262	1 1 1	0883	1	5 06	7 45	17.6	767	0157		1				
	4-12	1.304	. 138	-1.18/	3 306	052	.91	.0746	. 1973	15.24	22.26	23.2	264	.0284	2.0351	.006748	.001319	.5514	21 186	4754
22	1-10	1.252	.141	-,9/0	3 151	.055	.90	.0727		15.63	22.86	19.4	281	.0305		1	1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
11	4-15	1.15	175	037	2.554	.038	.9	4 .0713	1	15.92	23.08	15.6	410	.0170	↓	↓		+ I		
1 27	7-15	11.04.	130	- 015	3.071	.047	.9	7 .0733	. 1968	17.84	26.45	661.5	220	.0410	2.0328	.006777	.001317	.5513	21.264	.4712
23.	5 13	1,15	120	- 770	.551	060	.9	.0718	1	18,23	26.83	111.8	.402	.0366		1 T				
11	7-16	1.05	12:	- 702	- 279	092	.9	.0705	+	18.00	27.08	69.4	. 348	.0127	+		+	; ŧ	+	1 1
27	3 1-9	1 25	17	- 879	9.118	3 . 327	1.0	7 .0820	. 1982	4.01	5.61	9.2	. 278	.0071	2.0335	.006709	.001300	. 5484	21.387	. 4798
i î	3-11	1 24	.169	865	9.650	.353	1.0	5 .0805		4.31	6.16	8.2	. 224	.0079		1			,	
1	5-13	1.22	.16	952	10.364	4 . 384	1.0	4 .0790		4.53	6.62	7.9	. 339	.0099				'		
ŧ	7-15	1.19	2 .16	940	9.858	8 .362	1.0	2 .0777	↓ ↓	4.80	7.10	12.0	.509	.0058) 	+	+	, †	. ↓	1 +
							N	odel C;	θ _c = 60°;	x _{cg} /J	= 0.23	; d ≈ 5.08	0 cm						<u> </u>	
44	7 1-12	1.20	9 0.129	-0.918	0.336	-0.084	1.09	0.2613	0,2488	17.69	25.85	16.3	0.466	0.0353	5.0820	0.03622	0.04380	0.5453	21.358	0.6966
1 1	4-15	1.14	5 .129	960	.139	092	1.05	,2518		17.83	26.11	18.5	. 313	.0699	1 1	+	+	1	↓	
44	8 1-13	1.05	4 .129	781	688	118	.99	.2362	•	24.75	35.02	9.5	.711	.0363		.03638	.04397	. 5437	21.371	. 6935
44	9 1-11	1.17	7 .126	908	. 248	086	1.10	. 2916	.2761	23.27	33.42	14.5	. 542	.0279	1 1	.03641	.04400	. 5440		. 7691
	4-14	1.11	1 .127	8/5	1/1	101	1.00	.2801	t	23.33	33.55	15.2	.274	.0360		+	+	+	†	+
45	0 2-12	1.20	5 .129	961	1.745	020	1.10	.2867	.2730	16.71	24.15	53.7	.612	.0541	1 1	.03644	.04421	. 5452	21.290	.7598
1	5-15	1.12	/ .129	8/5	2.6/6	.032	1.03	.2/53	1 1	17.49	25.23	37.1	,411	,0581	+	†	+	+	+	+
40	3 1-12	1.21	5 .140	-1.090	4.254	.091	1.00	.2560	.24/9	8.03	11.91	15.7	.526	.0338	5.0805	.03593	.04316	.5451	21.484	. 6994
1.19	0 1 1 2	1.13	1 176	977	3.554	000.	1.04	1 2400	7,00	0.44	12.34	12.0	.538	.0318	1.1	•	+	+	+	•
⁴⁸	0 1-12	1,51	/ .130 n' 134	-1.0/6	3.205	3 041	1 1 2	2 . 3145 SI 3014	1 .2488	0 22	11.88	2.8	. 345	.0279	5.0795	.03576	.04314	.5448	21.387	.7050
- 48	0 1-12	1.30	6 130	-1.003	1 310	002	1.4	1554	2491	21 93	71 0	2./	.281	.0218	1	1	1 . *	1	1	
1 1	A-15	.70	si 120	503	1.51	- 039	6	1 1516	1 1 1	21.03	22 20	91.0	.4/2	.0325	5.0818	.03558	.04285	.5443	21.442	.7072
49	2 1-11	77	7: 132	- 965	447	- 081	1 1 2	2885	2480	12 34	17 01	10	314	.03/8	1				1	1 7004
1	i	1 25	5135	931	1.512	015	1 1.1	2750	1	12 21	17.5	4.0	587	.0302	3.0836	.03553			21.428	7084
	1 4.12	1 1.25	1 .122	1	1	-1015	1 1.1	1.2750	1	1 12.21	1 17.5	4.0		10274		1. 1				

TABLE 3.- TEST CONDITIONS USED IN EVALUATING THE EFFECT OF FACILITY, REYNOLDS NUMBER, AND BLOCKAGE

Symbols used in figures 8-11	Facility	Range diam or equivalent circular diam, M	Range cross- sectional shape	Location of instrumentation and optics	Model maximum diam, cm	Blockage factor, percent 100×Am/Ar	Reynolds number (based on d) ×10 ⁻⁶	ρ _∞ , g/cm ³
0	Aero HFF	1.1763	Octagonal	External to test section	2.03	0.0298	0.23	0.56
6	TI	11	11	11	11	11	.08	.20
•	11	11	11	11	5.08	.1865	.27	.25
	PBR	3.0480	Circular	Internal to range	17	.0278	.27	.28
Ø	11	T1	11	11	2.03	.0044	.04	.12

ON THE AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF MODEL C

TABLE 4. - WAKE DIMENSIONS

Model configuration	С	С	F	F
Mach No.	0.99	1.08	0.99	1.08
dw/d, at d = 1 (from model nose)	1.45	1.44	1.41	1.33
dw/d, at d = 2 (from model nose)	1.58	1.52	1.35	1.23
x _W /d, at recompression shock (from model nose)	3.65	4.43	3.42	3.51

ç



Model E

Model F

.

.05d

Figure 1.- Model configurations.



(a) Model A with four-piece sabot.

A-36131

Figure 2.- Models and typical sabots.



(b) Model C with two-piece sabot.

A-38031

Figure 2.- Continued.



(c) Model E with two-piece sabot.

Figure 2.- Continued.

22

A-38032



(d) Model F_{i} with four-piece sabot.

A-40080

Figure 2.- Continued.



(e) Model B.

A-40078

Figure 2.- Concluded.



Figure 3.- Typical model motion obtained in Pressurized Ballistic Range.



(a) Models A and B.(b) Model C.

Figure 4.- Variation of the damping parameter (ξ) with M_{∞} .



Figure 5.- Variation of the dynamic stability $(C_{m_q} + C_{m_{\alpha}})$ with M_{∞} .



đ

Figure 6.- Effect of pitch amplitude on the dynamic stability of models A and C.



Figure 7.- Variation of the static stability derivative ($C_{m_{\alpha}}$) with M_{∞} .



(a) Models A and B.(b) Model C.

Figure 8.- Variation of the lift-curve slope ($C_{L_{\alpha}}$) with M_{∞} .



(a) Models A and B.(b) Model C.

Figure 9.- Variation of the drag coefficient with M_{∞} .



Figure 10.- Comparison of the dynamic stability of model C for three blockage factors in two facilities for nominal Mach numbers of 0.95, 1.05, and 1.15.

Figure 11.- Comparison of the static stability of model C for three blockage factors in two facilities for nominal Mach numbers of 0.95, 1.05, and 1.15.

Figure 12.- Comparison of the lift-curve slope of model C for three blockage factors in two facilities for nominal Mach numbers of 0.95, 1.05, and 1.15.

Figure 13.- Effect of facility, Reynolds number, and blockage on the drag coefficient of model C.

HYPERVELOCITY FREE-FLIGHT AERODYNAMIC FACILITY (AERO)

External Electronics, Optics and Fiducial System

Distance Across Windows: STA 1 = 1.30 m STA 16 = 0.98 m (Equiv Av Circular Dia = 1.18)

PRESSURIZED BALLISTIC

RANGE

(PBR)

Internal Electronics, Optics and Fiducial System

Centerline Distance to Film Plane: STA 1 - 7 = 0.25 m STA 8 - 17 = 0.50 m STA 18 - 24 = 0.76 m (Pressurized Shell Dia = 3.04 m)

(Model Diameters = 0.02 - 0.05 m)

Figure 14.- Facility internal geometry.

Figure 15.- Aerodynamic characteristics of model E (d = 5.08 cm) in P.B.R.

Figure 16.- Comparison of the drag coefficients obtained for models C and E.

加州の

Figure 17.- Aerodynamic characteristics of model D ($x_{cg}/d = 0.17$) in the P.B.R.

.

Figure 18.- The effect of center-of-gravity location on the dynamic stability of the 60° half-angle blunted cone at a Mach number of 1.05.

Figure 19.- Aerodynamic characteristics of the 60° half-angle blunted cone with a spherical afterbody, model F.

Figure 20.- Effect of afterbody shape on the dynamic stability ($C_{mq} + C_{m\dot{\alpha}}$) of a 60° half-angle cone at a Mach number of 1.05.

Figure 21.- The effect of afterbody shape on the drag coefficient of the 60° half-angle blunted cone.

Figure 22.- Effect of afterbody shape on the static stability of the 60° half-angle blunted cone.

(a) Model C, $M_{\infty} \approx 0.994$, $\alpha = 1.02^{\circ}$.

(b) Model F, $M_{\infty} \approx 0.996$, $\alpha = 1.22^{\circ}$.

Figure 23.- Continued.

1,

(c) Model C, $M_{\infty} \approx 1.08$, $\alpha = 1.53^{\circ}$.

Figure 23.- Continued.

(d) Model F, $M_{\infty} \approx 1.08$, $\alpha = 0.32^{\circ}$.

Figure 23.- Concluded.

(a) Low Reynolds number $(0.07 \times 10^6 < \text{Re} < 0.12 \times 10^6)$.

Figure 24.- Aerodynamic characteristics of model C (d = 2.03 cm) in Aero facility.

(b) High Reynolds number $(0.18 \times 10^6 < \text{Re} \le 0.26 \times 10^6)$.

Figure 24.- Concluded.

Figure 25.- Aerodynamic characteristics of model C (d = 5.08 cm) in Aero facility.

Figure 26.- Aerodynamic characteristics of model C (d = 2.03 cm) in P.B.R.

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20546

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE \$300 FIRST CLASS MAIL

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AN SPACE ADMINISTRATION

015 001 C1 U 01 710820 S00903DS DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE AF SYSTEMS COMMAND AF WEAPONS LAB (WLOL) ATTN: E LOU BOWMAN, CHIEF TECH LIBRARY KIRTLAND AFB NM 87117

1.12.01

POSTMASTER:

If Undeliverable (Section 158 Postal Manual) Do Not Return

"The aeronautical and space activities of the United States shall be conducted so as to contribute . . . to the expansion of human knowledge of phenomena in the atmosphere and space. The Administration shall provide for the widest practicable and appropriate dissemination of information concerning its activities and the results thereof."

· · . · · .

- NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ACT OF 1958

NASA SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

TECHNICAL REPORTS: Scientific and technical information considered important, complete, and a lasting contribution to existing knowledge.

TECHNICAL NOTES: Information less broad in scope but nevertheless of importance as a contribution to existing knowledge.

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUMS: Information receiving limited distribution because of preliminary data, security classification, or other reasons.

CONTRACTOR REPORTS: Scientific and technical information generated under a NASA contract or grant and considered an important contribution to existing knowledge. TECHNICAL TRANSLATIONS: Information published in a foreign language considered to merit NASA distribution in English.

SPECIAL PUBLICATIONS: Information derived from or of value to NASA activities. Publications include conference proceedings, monographs, data compilations, handbooks, sourcebooks, and special bibliographies.

TECHNOLOGY UTILIZATION PUBLICATIONS: Information on technology used by NASA that may be of particular interest in commercial and other non-aerospace applications. Publications include Tech Briefs, Technology Utilization Reports and Technology Surveys.

Details on the availability of these publications may be obtained from:

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION OFFICE

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION Washington, D.C. 20546