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Radar backscatter and the rocket probe measurements of electron and ion temperatures and electron concentration are used to solve the thermal energy equation for the $O^+$ ions. By equating the heat gained by the $O^+$ ions from the hotter thermal electrons, to the heat lost to the cooler neutrals, the concentration of the oxygen atoms, $n(0)$, is deduced. Significant seasonal changes in $n(0)$ are identified. The calculated values of oxygen concentration are found to be consistently smaller than the CIRA (1965) values throughout the latest rising phase (1964-1967) of solar activity. This disagreement is greatest at low solar activity and reduces with the increase in activity. There is, however, general agreement on the time of diurnal maximum of $n(0)$ between the calculated and model values.
1. INTRODUCTION

At present there are two major techniques for the direct measurement of atomic oxygen in the thermosphere. One is based upon the mass spectrometer measurements (Nier et al. 1964; Reber, 1964; Schaefer and Nichols, 1964; Reber and Nicolet, 1965; Hedin and Nier, 1966; Mauersberger et al, 1968; Schaefer, 1968; Gross et al, 1968; Kasprazk et al, 1968; Krankowsky et al, 1968) and the other upon the solar EUV extinction observations (Hall et al, 1963, 1965, 1967; Hinteregger and Hall, 1969). In this paper we shall estimate the concentration of atomic oxygen \( n(0) \), by another method which makes use of the measurements of the concentrations and temperatures of the electrons and the ions. It is shown that fairly reliable estimates of \( n(0) \) can be made if one concentrates on the regions where there is significant difference between the electron and ion temperatures and the ion and neutral temperatures. Since data from various rocket soundings and radar backscatter measurements is now available through the latest rising phase of solar cycle (1964-1967), the solar activity changes in \( n(0) \) are also examined. Monthly mean values of electron and ion temperatures and electron concentration published by Evans (1967) for low solar activity are used to identify seasonal changes in \( n(0) \).
2. THE METHOD

The relations between the electron, ion and neutral temperatures have been developed following Hanson and Johnson (1961), by Hanson (1963); Dalgarno et al., (1963, 1967); Geisler and Bowhill (1965); Banks (1966, 1967) and more recently by Herman and Chandra (1969). The high energy photo-electrons, created during the photo-ionization of the neutral atmosphere by the solar EUV, form the major heat source. These photo-electrons heat the thermal electrons which in turn lose their energy to the ions and the neutrals. The relevant equations describing these processes, in the ionospheric region where O\(^+\) is the predominant ion, are (Banks, 1967):

\[ Q_{ei} = 4.8 \times 10^{-7} \times N_e^2 (T_e - T_i) T_e^{-3/2} \text{ eV cm}^{-3} \text{ sec}^{-1} \]  

\[ Qin = 2.1 \times 10^{-15} \times N_e n(0)(T_i - T_n)(T_i + T_n)^{+1/2} \text{ eV cm}^{-3} \text{ sec}^{-1} \]

where \( T_n \) = Neutral temperature,
\( T_e \) = Electron temperature,
\( T_i \) = Ion temperature,
\( N_e \) = Electron concentration

\( Q_{ei} \) is the heat gained by the ions from the thermal electrons and \( Qin \) the heat lost by the ions to the neutral gas. Heat losses to atomic oxygen alone have been considered, as the
losses are negligibly small for the $O_2$ and $N_2$ molecules. Since the heat transfer in the ions by bulk transport or by conduction can be neglected (Nisbet, 1967; Banks, 1967) one could equate $Q_{ei}$ and $Q_{in}$ to get:

$$n(0) = 2.29 \times 10^8 \times N_e \frac{(T_e-T_i)}{(T_i-T_n)} (T_i+T_n)^{-1/2} T_e^{-3/2}$$  (3)

Equation (3) is the basic relation to obtain atomic oxygen concentration from charged particle measurements. As the quantity $n(0)$ is dependent on the ratio $(T_e-T_i)/(T_i-T_n)$, one has to restrict to heights where both the quantities, $(T_e-T_i)$ and $(T_i-T_n)$, are appreciable. At altitudes below 300 km, for example, $T_i-T_n$ will only be a few degrees while $T_e-T_i$ may be hundreds of degrees. At altitudes well above 700 km, while $T_i-T_n$ will be large, $T_e-T_i$ may be very small. Thus one has to leave out such heights, because the accuracy of $n(0)$ calculated this way, will be greatly influenced by the accuracy of $T_i-T_n$ and $T_e-T_i$ measurements. The ideal height range is around 500 km where $T_i$ is midway between $T_e$ and $T_n$ (see also Bowhill, 1967).

An unknown parameter which exists in Equation (3) is the neutral temperature. It should be permissible to use $T_i$ at 250 km as the temperature of the neutrals, since $T_i-T_n$ is only a few degrees (about $10^0K$) at this height (Nisbet, 1967).
An error of a few degrees will only have minor effect in the quantity $T_i - T_n$ at higher altitudes, because $T_i - T_n$ is several hundred degrees for heights above 400 km. This is seen in Fig.1 where observed values of $T_e$ and $T_i$ at Arecibo are shown for one of the days. The $T_n$ profile is an exponential extrapolation of the $T_i$ value observed at 250 km and is of the form given by Jacchia (1965):

$$T = T_\infty - (T_\infty - T_{120}) \exp \left[-s(z - 120)\right]$$

where $T_{120}$ is the temperature at 120 km, $T_\infty$ the asymptotic (exospheric) temperature, $z$ the height in kilometers and $s$ a constant, known as the shape parameter. For constructing the $T_n$ profile in Fig.1, $T_{120}$ was taken as 350°C from CIRA (1965) and $s$ as 0.02, a value very close to the daytime CIRA model.

The method is suitable for day hours alone when appreciable differences exist between $T_e$ and $T_i$ and $T_i$ and $T_n$. During the night $T_e$, $T_i$ and $T_n$ are nearly equal and so this method cannot be applied for obtaining $n(0)$ during the night hours.

A modified form of this method has recently been used by Bauer et al. (1970) for a simultaneous determination of the exospheric temperature, $T_\infty$, the atomic oxygen concentration, $n(0)$ and the shape parameter, $s$. The measured values of $T_e$ and $N_e$ were used in Equations (1) and (2) to
Fig. 1 Sample electron and ion temperature profiles at Arecibo during sunspot minimum. The vertical bars indicate the pulse length used for collecting the spectral information.
find a set of self consistent values of $T_\infty$, $n(0)$ and $s$. This self consistency was achieved by calculating a $T_i$ profile from Equations (1) and (2), which fitted best to the experimentally observed ion temperature profile.

3. THE RESULTS

we have used the above method to examine the diurnal, seasonal and solar activity changes in the atomic oxygen concentration by employing $T_e$, $T_i$ and $N_e$ measurements obtained from various sources. Mostly radar-back scatter data from Arecibo (18.4°N, 66.8°W) have been used, although data from Millstone Hill (42.6°N, 71.5°W) published by Evans (1967) and St. Santin (44.6°N, 2.2°E) published by Petit (1968) have also been used. Probe measurements of $T_e$, $T_i$ and $N_e$ reported by Maier (1969) and Hanson et al (1969) have also been employed.

Diurnal Variations:

Figure 2 shows $n(0)$ values calculated for one of the days during a period of low solar activity. The error bars have been calculated by assigning ±50°K accuracy in $T_i$ and ±0.1 accuracy in $T_e/T_i$ measurements (Perkins and Wand, 1965). It can be noted that there is a sharp increase in the $n(0)$ values from morning to noon and then a steady decrease towards the evening. There is some evidence of a maximum around 1400 hours. This behaviour seems consistent with the diurnal maximum evolved from the satellite drag measurements of atmospheric
Fig. 3 Diurnal variation of the calculated atomic oxygen concentration at 475 km for a day during high solar activity. The hour-to-hour fluctuations may not be real and are probably due to large error bars in the calculated \( n(O) \).
density (see e.g. Priester et al, 1967 for a complete review). We have also compared the calculated n(0) values with CIRA (1965). The model values correspond to a 10.7 cm solar radio flux of 75 units (Model No.2) and have been scaled down by a factor of 4 to force the agreement near the time of diurnal maximum. It is clear from Figure 2 that the model values are larger by a factor of 4 for the noontime and by almost an order of magnitude for the forenoon hours.

We have also plotted the peak electron concentration of the F2-layer (N_m) corresponding to the time of n(0) values in Figure 2. There is a good deal of similarity between the diurnal variations of n(0) and N_m, although there is a time delay of about 1.5 hour between the two. This time delay seems to be due to the sluggishness of the ionosphere and is consistent with the electron loss coefficient values for heights around the F2 peak.

The calculated n(0) values for a day during high solar activity are shown in Figure 3. There is apparently a large hour-to-hour fluctuation in the n(0) values. We, however, think that such fluctuations are not real. During high solar activity as N_e increases, the quantities (T_i-T_n) and (T_e-T_i) decrease, thus introducing large errors in the calculated n(0) values. The CIRA (1965) values corresponding to the same solar activity (average of Models 5 and 6) are also plotted in Fig.3,
**Fig. 2** Diurnal variation of the calculated atomic oxygen concentration at 475 km for a day during low solar activity. CIRA (1965) values for Model 2 (Flux = 75 units) are also plotted for comparison and have been scaled down by a factor of 4.
for comparison. The disagreement between the model and the calculated values exists at the high activity also, although it is not as large as during low solar activity.

We have analysed diurnal variation of n(0) on several other days during the period Oct. 1965 through March 1967. The n(0) values have generally shown a diurnal maximum between 13.00 and 15.00 L.T. for cases when electron concentration values were low. Due to low Ne, the Te and Ti distributions were of the type shown in Figure 1, and (T_e-T_i)/(T_i-T_n) could be estimated relatively more accurately. For days with high Ne, the accuracy of n(0) determination was very poor and thus it was not possible to identify the time of diurnal maximum. It is to be noted that this is not a short coming of the method, but simply the accuracy of Te and Ti measurements, which determines the accuracy of n(0).

Seasonal Variations:

Evans (1967) has published monthly mean hourly values of T_e, T_i and N_e for Millstone Hill for the year 1964, a period at the minimum in the sunspot cycle. These means are derived from the 30-hour period observations taken every two weeks. We have used the average daytime (0900 to 1500 hours) values for the electron and ion temperatures and the noontime (1200 hrs) values for the electron density (Figures 15a, 16a and 13c respectively of Evans, 1967). The electron and ion temperature
profiles at Millstone Hill behave differently from those at Arecibo, at least in the sense, that $T_i$ does not fall middle of $T_e$ and $T_n$ until a height of 600 km. Thus, for better accuracy, we have selected a height of 600 km for studying seasonal changes in $n(0)$ at Millstone Hill.

Figure 4 is a plot of calculated $n(0)$ at 600 km. We have also plotted the observed values of $N_m$ and $N_e$ at 600 km in the figure for comparison. A semi-annual effect in $n(0)$, as well as in $N_e$ at 600 km, can be identified. A semi-annual effect in $n(0)/n(0_p)$ has also been observed at a height of 120 km by Mayr and Mahajan (1971) from various neutral mass spectrometer measurements. This would imply a semi-annual effect in $n(0)$ at 120 km, provided $T_o$ did not show any seasonal change. While this semi-annual effect satisfactorily explains the semi-annual variations seen in the F2 peak at all low latitudes and at all levels of solar activity (Mayr and Mahajan, 1971), this fails to explain the winter anomaly in $N_m$ at Millstone.

The semi-annual effect in $n(0)$ at 600 km and the winter anomaly at Millstone, could possibly be explained by a combination of two effects - an annual effect in $n(0)$ in the lower thermosphere, with maximum in winter and an annual effect in $T_o$, with maximum in summer. Radar measurements at St. Santin, in fact, give some evidence to this possibility. Waldteufel (1970),
Fig. 4  Seasonal variations in the calculated $n(0)$ at 600 km over Millstone Hill. The radar-backscatter data published by Evans (1967) have been used.
for example, from measurements in the lower thermosphere has inferred an annual effect in \( n(0) \) with a maximum in winter. Carru and Waldteufel (1969) have seen an annual variation in \( T_e \) with an amplitude of \( \pm 60^\circ K \) and with the maximum occurring in summer. The winter anomaly, however, does not occur in the topside, as evident from Millstone Hill data and the Alouette-1 satellite data (King et al, 1967). This could possibly be explained due to low peak heights during winter caused by poleward winds, as suggested by Mayr and Mahajan (1971; see also Vasseur, 1970).

**Solar Activity Variations**

We have plotted the calculated \( n(0) \) values at 475 km against the 27-day average 10.7 cm solar radio flux (\( S_{10.7} \)) in Figure 5. The data used are summarised in Table 1 and mostly correspond to 14.00 Local time. No distinction has been made for the various seasons, because the seasonal changes in \( n(0) \) are much smaller than the solar activity changes at 475 km (see e.g. CIRA, 1965). We have also plotted the \( n(0) \) values from CIRA (1965) model for comparison. It can be noted that the calculated \( n(0) \) values are lower than the CIRA values. The disagreement is greatest at the solar minimum and reduces with increase in solar activity.

The discrepancy between the calculated \( n(0) \) and the model values has also been observed by Bauer et al (1970). They have,
Fig. 5  Solar activity changes in the calculated n(O) for 14:00 hour at 475 km. The data used are summarized in Table 1. CIRA (1965) values are also plotted for comparison.
TABLE 1: Summary of the data used in Fig. 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Date and Time</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arecibo</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feb. 1, Mar. 1, Apr. 2, Aug. 17, Nov. 13, 1966</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>March 4.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average n(0) for 12 to 16 hours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millstone Hill</td>
<td>Monthly averages for 1964.</td>
<td>Evans (1967)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(42.6°N, 71.5°W)</td>
<td>Noontime n(0) averaged for the whole year.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Santin</td>
<td>April 9, 1966 11:00 to 13:20</td>
<td>Petit (1968)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(44.6°N, 2.2°E)</td>
<td>June 30, 1966 11:08 to 12:37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallops Island</td>
<td>Oct. 6, 1966 15:30</td>
<td>Maier (1969)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(37.9°N, 75.5°W)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallops Island</td>
<td>June 2, 1967 14:00</td>
<td>Hanson et al (1969)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(37.9°N, 75.5°W)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
however, found that this disagreement tends to disappear at lower altitudes and have suggested the difference between the model $T_\infty$ and the radar $n_0$ as the cause of the discrepancy. As a matter of fact, the Arecibo $T_1$ values at 250 km (where $T_1 = T_\infty$), published by Mahajan (1967), are found to be systematically lower than the CIRA (1965) values by more than $100^\circ$K, throughout the latest rising phase of solar activity. Thus we also believe that the disagreement at 475 km between the calculated $n(0)$ and the CIRA (1965) values is due to high $T_\infty$ used in the CIRA model.

4. CONCLUSION

We have seen that there is a general agreement on the time of diurnal maximum for $n(0)$ between the calculated and the model values. This, thus helps in resolving some conflict between the satellite drag and the radar measurements (see e.g. Carru et al, 1967; Nisbet, 1967; Mahajan 1969 and McClure, 1969), in the sense that there is some lag between the times of diurnal maximum of the neutral density and the neutral temperature and that it may not be entirely correct to deduce $T_\infty$ from density measurements from the static diffusion models. We have also seen that the calculated values of $n(0)$ are smaller than the CIRA values and that this disagreement is greatest at solar minimum and during the early morning hours when the $T_\infty$ values are low. This disagreement,
however, tends to disappear if one uses $T_o$ values from radar data (the CIRA values are systematically higher than the radar values by more than 100 K at all levels of solar activity). In view of this, the atmospheric density values deduced from satellite drag and the $T_o$ values obtained from radar measurements, should be combined to develop more realistic models of the neutral atmosphere.
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