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# ANALYSIS AND TESTING OF HIGH ENTRAINMENT <br> SINGLE-NOZZLE JET PUMPS WITH VARIABLE-AREA MIXING TUBES 

By Kenneth E. Hickman, Philip G. Hill, and Gerald B. Gilbert

## SUMMARY

The use of jet pumps is of increasing interest for boundary layer control or control force augmentation in V/STOL aircraft. In typical applications, a small mass flow of primary air at pressures up to 400 psia can be used to entrain a much larger mass flow of secondary air at ambient conditions. The primary nozzle flow is supersonic while the secondary flow is subsonic. The jet pump system design objectives may be maximum entrainment, maximum thrust augmentation, or some combination of the two. Little information is available in the literature to guide the designer of jet pumps for such applications.

In this investigation, an analytical model was developed to predict the performance characteristics of axisymmetric single-nozzle jet pumps with variable area mixing tubes. The primary flow may be subsonic or supersonic. In the region upstream of the section where the central jet reaches the wall, the analysis is based upon the hypothesis that the mixing phenomenon is fundamentally similar to the mixing of a free turbulent jet with the surrounding fluid. The eddy viscosity values used in the analysis are adjusted to allow for the effect of the duct walls on the mixing process. Integral techniques are employed in a computer program to solve the continuity, momentum, and energy equations to determine the variation of flow properties along the mixing tube. Wall boundary layer effects are included in the analysis.

Downstream of the section where the jet reaches the wall, the velocity profile is assumed to approach asymptotically the profile for fully developed turbulent flow in a pipe. Viscous forces are present throughout the flow so no distinct boundary layer analysis is employed. The eddy viscosity is assumed to approach the fullydeveloped flow value asymptotically. Wall friction forces are calculated from the fully-developed pipe flow friction coefficient. Integral techniques are employed as before to determine the variation of flow properties along the mixing tube.

An experimental program was conducted to measure mixing tube wall static pressure variations, velocity profiles, and temperature profiles in a variable area mixing tube with a supersonic ( $M=2.72$ ) primary jet. Static pressure variations were measured at four different secondary flow rates. These test results were used to evaluate the analytical model.

The analytical model yields good predictions of wall static pressure distributions, velocity profiles, and temperature profiles along the mixing tube. Therefore, the analysis is believed to be ready for use to relate jet pump performance characteristics to mixing tube design.

## Section 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

A number of STOL aircraft boundary layer control systems now under consideration employ jet pumps to entrain large flows of secondary air and direct them over deflected flaps to achieve lift augmentation. Some proposed VTOL aircraft systems also employ jet pumps for direct lift or control force augmentation. The primary, high-pressure flow for the jet pumps can be provided either by bleed from main engine compressors or by an auxiliary power unit.

The use of jet pumps as primary components of V/STOL aircraft systems makes necessary the development of new design techniques for these devices. In aircraft applications, it is essential to be able to minimize the size of jet pumps for particular primary and secondary flow conditions. Jet pumps for boundary layer control systems generally must have high entrainment ratios-the secondary flow often must be over 10 times larger than the primary flow--but pressure rises of only a few psf are needed. The primary flow may be highly supersonic. Thus, design procedures which have been developed in the past for the more conventional low-entrainment, high-pressure rise industrial jet pumps are not suitable for $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{STOL}$ aircraft jet pump design.

### 1.2 Previous Work

In an earlier program, Dynatech R/D Company carried out an analytical and experimental investigation of high-entrainment ratio air-to-air jet pumps for the Ames Research Center of NASA (reference 1). This investigation was limited to jet pumps with constant-diameter mixing tubes. An analytical procedure and a computer program were developed to predict the performance of such a jet pump over a range of operating conditions. The accuracy of the analysis was confirmed by comparing predicted performance to test results for a number
of multiple-nozzle jet pump configurations at different primary flow pressure and temperature levels. Procedures were demonstrated for matching a jet pump to its duct system for maximum entrainment or thrust augmentation.

The selection of the constant-diameter mixing tube configuration allowed considerable simplification of the analysis, design, and construction of the jet pump. However, it is unlikely that constant-area jet pumps give the best performance for all applications. Almost no information is available to indicate the extent of performance improvements which can be achieved with other mixing tube configurations.

A method for predicting the flow behavior in jet pumps with arbitrary mixing tube shapes and incompressible flows was reported by P. G. Hill (reference 2). The method is based upon the hypothesis that the mixing phenomenon in a jet pump has a fundamental similarity to the mixing of a free turbulent jet with the surrounding fluid. Therefore, as in a free jet, the turbulent Reynolds number--

$$
\operatorname{Re}_{\mathrm{T}} \Rightarrow \frac{\text { jet velocity } \times \text { duct radius }}{\text { eddy viscosity }}
$$

--will remain constant with distance as mixing occurs. This is a rather gross simplifying assumption but the resulting flow predictions are good. Static pressure variations and velocity profiles computed on this basis agreed well with test data for Helmbold's converging-diverging mixing tube. Once the static pressure distribution is known, the jet pump performance can be predicted without further difficulty.

The analytical methods of reference 2 are limited in application to incompressible flow in axisymmetric jet pumps having a single primary jet. These analytical methods must be modified to include compressible flow effects if the methods are to be useful for the designer of V/STOL aircraft jet pump systems.

The specific objectives of this investigation are as follows:

- to develop an analytical procedure for predicting the performance of high-entrainment-ratio compressible flow jet pumps with arbitrary mixing tube geometry.
- to obtain test results with jet pumps having variable-area mixing tubes so that the analytical methods can be checked.

The analytical procedure is formulated to allow prediction of the performance of a particular jet pump nozzle and mixing tube combination over a range of primary and secondary flow conditions. To select the best jet pump design for a particular application, the analysis can be used to predict the performance for a number of different mixing tube shapes. Comparison of the performance characteristics will show which geometry is best. The off-design performance of the jet pump can be determined by using the same analytical procedures.

## Section 2

SYMBOLS

A
b
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}}$
E
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{O}}$
$\mathrm{f}_{2}(\eta)$
go
$\mathrm{g}_{2}(\eta)$
gg ( $\eta$ )
H
k
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}}$
m
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{S}}$
p
P
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o} 2}$

R
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}$
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}$
area, $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$
diameter of jet at which $U=U_{o}+\frac{U_{j}}{2}, f t$ wall friction coefficient
nozzle flow coefficient
dimensionless eddy viscosity $=\frac{\epsilon}{\mathrm{UR}}$
free jet profile value $=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}(\eta)$; equation (1)
velocity profile at the end of Part 1, equation (7)
dimensional constant $=32.2, \mathrm{lbm}-\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{lbf}-\mathrm{sec}^{2}$
auxilary velocity profile, equation (7)
velocity profile for fully-developed flow in a pipe
boundary layer shape factor
specific heat ratio
suction duct loss coefficient
entrainment ratio $=W_{0} / W_{1}$
number of equal-radial-increment annuli used in integral analyses, equation (36)
static pressure, lbf/ $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$
stagnation pressure, lbf/ft ${ }^{2}$
secondary flow stagnation pressure after correction for suction duct losses, $\mathrm{lbf} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$
tube radius, ft
radius at nozzle exit section, ft
gas constant $\mathrm{x} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{o}}, \mathrm{ft}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}^{2} \mathbf{-}^{\circ} \mathrm{R}$

| $\mathrm{Re}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | Reynolds number based on mean velocity; equation (50) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Re}_{\mathrm{T}}$ | turbulent Reynolds number |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\Theta}$ | momentum thickness Reynolds number |
| $\mathrm{S}_{00}, \mathrm{~S}_{20}$ | parameters defined by equations (29) and (30) |
| To | stagnation temperature at any radius in mixing zone, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{R}$ |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{oj}}$ | relative stagnation temperature at centerline of jet, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{R}$ |
| Too | stagnation temperature of flow adjacent to the duct, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{R}$ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}$ | difference between stagnation temperature at any radius in the jet and the stagnation temperature of the surrounding flow, ${ }^{\circ}$ R |
| J | temperature ratio $=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{oj}} / \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{oo}}$ |
| U | velocity, ft/sec |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | velocity at centerline of jet, $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | velocity at centerline of jet relative to $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{O}}, \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{jo}}$ | relative velocity at centerline of jet at end of transition section, ft/sec |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\text {joo }}$ | relative velocity at centerline of jet at beginning of transition section, $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| $\mathrm{U}_{0}$ | velocity of outer stream, $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Ur | velocity ratio for transition zone $=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{jo}} / \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{joo}}$ |
| V(J) | terms in equation (37) |
| $\mathrm{w}_{0}$ | mass flow rate, secondary flow, $\mathrm{lbm} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| $\mathrm{W}_{1}$ | mass flow rate, primary flow, $\mathrm{lbm} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| W(J, K) | coefficient matrix; equation (37) |
| x | axial position along mixing tube, ft |
| $\mathrm{x}_{\text {core }}$ | length of the transition zone, ft |

y
$\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{K})$
$\gamma$
$\delta$
$\delta^{*}$
$\epsilon$
$\eta$
$\theta$
$\lambda$
$\rho$
$\tau$
$\nu$

Subscripts
oo
1
core
eff
m
noz
SD
radius, ft
derivatives in equation (37)
velocity profile shape parameter
width of shear layer, ft
boundary layer displacement thickness, ft
eddy kinematic viscosity, $\mathrm{ft}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$
dimensionless radius $=y / \delta$ or $y / R$
boundary layer momentum thickness, ft
velocity ratio $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{j}}$
density, $\mathrm{lbm} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$
shear stress, lbf/ft ${ }^{2}$
kinematic viscosity, $\mathrm{ft}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$
value at top-hat section
primary flow
dimension at end of transition zone
effective radius or area of mixing tube value at mean area of transition zone
primary nozzle exit area
suction duct upstream of mixing tube

The purpose of the analysis developed in this section is to predict the performance characteristics of compressible flow jet pumps with variable-area mixing tubes. The jet pumps may have supersonic or subsonic primary flow issuing from a single nozzle located along the axis of an axisymmetric cylindrical mixing tube. The secondary flow and the mixed flow downstream must remain subsonic. The primary and secondary flows are taken to be the same perfect gas.

A particular objective of the analysis is to predict the variation in static pressure along the length of the mixing tube. Knowledge of this pressure variation allows calculation of the thrust augmentation of the jet pump, an essential parameter for jet pump application studies.

### 3.2 General Description of the Analytical Model

The analysis is based upon the incompressible flow jet pump analytical model developed by Dr. P. G. Hill (reference 2). This analytical model, with its associated computer program, was modified in the present study to account for compressible flow effects. The formulation of the analytical model is described in this section. The computer program which is based upon the compressible flow model is described in Appendix B of this report.

The following initial assumptions are made for the analysis:

1. The primary and secondary flows are the same perfect gas.
2. No heat is transferred across the wall of the jet pump.
3. The jet pump consists of an axisymmetric, cylindrical, variable-area mixing tube with a single primary nozzle located along the axis.
4. The primary and secondary flow conditions and the nozzle geometry are assumed to be such that no normal shocks or moisture condensation shocks occur in the primary flow.
5. The secondary flow and the combined flows after mixing are assumed to remain subsonic throughout the mixing tube.
6. The velocity of the primary jet at the nozzle exit is greater than the velocity of the secondary flow.
7. The static pressure is constant across any section perpendicular to the axis of the jet pump.

Dr. Hill's analysis identifies three distinct flow regimes in a jet pump.
These regimes are shown in figure 1; they may be described as follows:
Part 1 - A region in which the jet is approximately selfpreserving and is immersed in a potential outer stream which may be accelerating or decelerating, depending on the shape of the duct and the rate of entrainment of mass into the jet.

Recirculation Zone-A possible region in which recirculation occurs, following a deceleration of the outer stream. At the beginning of this zone the "edge" of the jet has not yet diffused to the wall and the secondary fluid recirculates through the jet. The pressure gradient is generally observed to be negligible in this zone.

Part 2 - The region downstream of the point (fairly distinct in many cases) at which the jet attaches to the wall. An adverse pressure gradient is generally established but the relatively high shearing forces near the wall tend to accelerate the fluid against the pressure gradient. If there is a zone of recirculation, it is terminated in a short axial distance by these high shearing forces.

In addition to these three regions, there is a relatively short transition zone between the nozzle exit and the section at which a subsequently self-preserving velocity profile is attained.

In Part (1) the jet velocity profile can be approximated well by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{j}}}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\delta}\right) \text { at any } \mathrm{x} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Velocity Profile at Station $x$

The functional relationship $f_{0}(y / \delta)$ is determined quantitatively from velocity profiles measured in axisymmetric jets discharging into free space.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{o}}(\eta)= & 1.0004-0.0175 \eta-8.3821 \eta^{2}+16.5806 \eta^{3} \\
& -12.7877 \eta^{4}+3.608 \eta^{5} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\quad \eta=\mathrm{y} / \delta \quad$ (Part 1)
The same relationship holds in the recirculation zone but the axial pressure gradient in this region is assumed to be zero.

The relationship above is used to describe the velocity profile at a particular axial station in Part 1 of the mixing tube flow. The continuity, momentum, moment-of-momentum, energy, and boundary layer equations are used to determine the changes in $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{o}}, \delta$, temperature, and pressure which occur from station to station along the mixing tube. To solve these equations, the temperature profile must be known so that the density variations across the section can be determined. Following Abramovich (reference 3), the stagnation temperature profile is taken to be the square-root of the velocity profile.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{oO}}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\delta}\right)}{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Oj}}}  \tag{3}\\
\text { where } \quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{O}}= & \begin{array}{l}
\text { stagnation temperature at } \\
\text { any radius in the mixing zone }
\end{array} \\
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{OO}}= & \begin{array}{l}
\text { stagnation temperature of sur- } \\
\text { rounding secondary flow }
\end{array} \\
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{oj}}= & \begin{array}{l}
\text { relative stagnation temperature at } \\
\text { center of jet }
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution of the moment-of-momentum equation requires shear stress values to be known as a function of radius. These values are obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\epsilon \rho \partial \mathrm{U} / \partial \mathrm{y} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

```
where \(\quad \tau=\) shear stress
\(\epsilon=\) eddy kinematic viscosity,
\(\rho=\) density
```

The value of the turbulent Reynolds number is assumed to remain constant across the flow at any axial station in Part 1. This allows calculation of the eddy viscosity from the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon=U_{j} \delta / R e_{T} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad \mathrm{Re}_{\mathrm{T}}=$ turbulent Reynolds number

At the beginning of Part 1 , the jet mixing process is not significantly affected by the presence of the mixing tube walls. Therefore, the value $\operatorname{Re}_{\mathrm{TF}}=147$, from incompressible free jet mixing tests, can be used. Further downstream in Part 1, as the jet approaches the walls, the mixing process is altered from a free jet to a free wake type of mixing. The change in the mixing process is accounted for by using the following equation to determine the eddy viscosity at any station in Part 1:
where $\quad \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{O}}}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{j}}}$

$$
\epsilon=\frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{j}} \delta}{\operatorname{Re} \mathrm{TF}} \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1+\frac{3}{2} & \left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-1.1} \lambda_{\mathrm{j}}\right. \tag{6}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Boundary layer growth must be taken into account in order to predict wall static pressure variations with accuracy. Boundary layer displacement thickness variations are obtained in the analysis by using the methods of Moses (reference 4). The equations used are described in Section 3.4 in this report.

In Part 2, the jet has reached the wall. The free jet mixing velocity profile is no longer appropriate. Instead, the velocity profile is assumed to follow the relationship:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{f}_{2}(\eta)+\gamma \mathrm{g}_{2}(\eta) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad U_{c}=$ jet velocity at centerline
$\mathbf{f}_{2}(\eta)=$ velocity profile at the end of Part 1
$\eta=\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{R}$
$\mathrm{R}=$ mixing tube radius at the axial position considered
$\gamma=\gamma(\mathrm{x})$ adjustable shape parameter
$\mathrm{g}_{2}(\eta)=$ auxilary velocity profile
At the beginning of Part $2, \gamma$ is set equal to zero and the velocity profile matches the velocity profile at the end of Part 1 . The auxilary profile $g_{2}(\eta)$ is chosen so that, as $\gamma$ approaches 1.0 , the $U / U_{c}$ velocity profile approaches the profile for fully-developed flow in a pipe.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{g}_{2}(\eta)=\operatorname{gg}(\eta)-\mathrm{f}_{2}(\eta) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad \operatorname{gg}(\eta)=$ velocity profile for fully-developed flow in a pipe
No boundary layer calculations are made in Part 2. Viscous forces are present throughout the flow so no distinct boundary layer exists. Wall friction forces are calculated from turbulent pipe flow correlations.

The continuity, momentum, moment-of-momentum, and energy equations are used to determine the changes in $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}, \gamma$, temperature, and pressure which occur with distance along the mixing tube in Part 2. The solution of the moment-ofmomentum equation requires determination of the eddy viscosity as a function of radius and axial position. Because the flow in Part 2 becomes asymptotic to fullydeveloped pipe flow, the eddy viscosity must be asymptotic to the fully-developed flow value.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau / \tau \text { wall }=\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{R}=\eta \text { as } \gamma(\mathrm{x}) \text { approaches } 1.0  \tag{9}\\
& \mathrm{E}_{2 \mathrm{f}}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{fd}} \eta}{\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \mathrm{gg}(\eta)} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\quad E_{2 f}=\epsilon_{2 f} / U_{c} R=$ dimensionless eddy viscosity distribution
$\epsilon_{2 f}=$ eddy kinematic viscosity for fully-developed pipe flow
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{fd}}=\frac{\tau \text { wall }}{\frac{1}{2} \rho \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}}=$ wall friction coefficient
An arbitrary function is used to make the eddy viscosity distribution in Part 2 continuous with that at the end of Part 1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{2}=\mathrm{E}_{1}\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)+\gamma^{2} \mathrm{E}_{2 \mathrm{f}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad E_{1}=$ dimensionless eddy viscosity at the end of Part 1 calculated from equation (6)

The paragraphs above have described the basic approaches used for the analysis of flow behavior in the variable-area compressible flow jet pump. The fundamental assumptions for the analysis have been identified. The sections which follow present the sets of equations which must be solved in each of the three regions of the flow; the transition zone, the region upstream of jet attachment to the wall (Part 1), and the region downstream of the point of attachment (Part 2).

### 3.3 Transition Zone Analysis

The transition zone begins at the primary nozzle exit plane and has a length of approximately 20 jet nozzle diameters. At the nozzle exit plane, the static pressure in the supersonic primary flow may be different from the static pressure in the surrounding secondary flow. We assume that before mixing of the two flows begins, the primary jet expands or contracts isentropically until its static pressure matches that of the secondary flow. At the station where this accommodation is complete, the velocity profile is assumed to resemble a "tophat" as shown in figure 2. Then mixing of the primary and secondary flows begins.

The transition zone continues downstream to the section where the potential core in the jet ends. At this point, the $f_{o}(y / \delta)$ profile has been attained and the stagnation pressure at the center of the jet begins to fall because of mixing with the secondary flow.

The flow conditions at the end of the transition zone are determined by solving three simultaneous non-linear algebraic equations which are developed from the continuity and momentum equations written for the transition zone as a control volume, and from the condition that the stagnation pressure remains constant along the centerline of the primary jet.

The length of the transition zone is measured from the primary nozzle exit section to the point where the $f_{o}(y / \delta)$ profile is attained. This length is designated as $\mathrm{x}_{\text {core }}$ and must be specified as input data for the analysis. For incompressible flow, equation (12) may be used (reference 3 ).

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\text {core }}=4.08 \delta_{\mathrm{o}}\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{oO}}}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{joo}}}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad \delta_{0}=$ radius of primary jet at top-hat section
$\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{OO}}=$ secondary flow velocity at top-hat section
$\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{joo}}=$ primary jet relative velocity
For compressible flow with a supersonic primary jet, the value of $\mathrm{x}_{\text {core }}$ will depend on whether the jet is under- or over-expanded as it leaves the nozzle. A suitable replacement for equation (12) is not known to be available, so $\mathrm{x}_{\text {core }}$ was arbitrarily chosen to be equal to the mixing tube inlet diameter. This length is equivalent to about 18 primary nozzle diameters.

The transition from the top-hat profile to the $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}(\mathrm{y} / \delta)$ profile is assumed to occur in a control volume of essentially constant area. The effective mixing tube radius at $\mathrm{x}_{\text {core }}$ is calculated by taking the boundary layer thickness into account.

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\text {eff }}=R_{\text {core }}-\theta H_{o} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta=\theta_{o}+0.001 x_{c o r e}  \tag{14}\\
& R_{\text {eff }}=\text { effective radius of mixing tube at } x_{c o r e} \\
& R_{\text {core }}=\text { radius of mixing tube at } x_{c o r e} \\
& \theta=\text { boundary layer momentum thickness at } x_{\text {core }} \\
& \theta_{0}=\text { inlet boundary layer momentum thickness } \\
& H_{0}=\text { inlet boundary layer shape factor }=1.4 \text { assumed }
\end{align*}
$$

The flow area available for the secondary flow at the top-hat section is given by equation (15).

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\text {eff }}=\pi R_{\text {eff }}^{2}-A_{\text {noz }} \quad A_{\text {noz }} \cong A_{\text {primary flow }} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad \mathrm{A}_{\text {eff }}=$ secondary flow area at top-hat section
$\mathrm{A}_{\text {noz }}=$ area of primary nozzle exit section

The velocity of the secondary flow at the top-hat section is calculated from equation (16).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{oo}}=\frac{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{O}}}{\rho_{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{eff}}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad U_{00}=$ secondary flow velocity at top-hat section

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{o}}=\text { mass flow rate of secondary flow } \\
& \rho_{\mathrm{o}}=\text { density of secondary flow }
\end{aligned}
$$

The value of $\rho_{\mathrm{o}}$ in equation (16) is the density corresponding to the local static pressure and temperature. It is computed by an iterative process using the known values of inlet stagnation pressure and temperature and the appropriate perfect gas relationships. The same calculation yields the value of the local static pressure.

The primary flow conditions at the top-hat section are calculated as
follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{1}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o} 1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{p}_{1}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o} 1}}\right)^{\frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{k}-1}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ static temperature in primary flow at top-hat section
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o} 1}=$ specified primary flow stagnation temperature
$P_{o 1}=$ specified primary flow stagnation pressure
$p_{1}=$ static pressure from secondary flow calculations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{1}=\sqrt{2 \frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{k}-1} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{o} 1}-\mathrm{T}_{1}\right)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad U_{1}=$ primary flow velocity at top-hat section

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{joo}}=\mathrm{U}_{1}-\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{oo}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad \mathrm{U}_{\text {joo }}=$ primary jet relative velocity
The flow conditions at the end of the transition zone are computed by using the continuity and momentum relationships and the assumption that the stagnation pressure is unchanged at the center of the jet. The stagnation pressure of the secondary flow outside the mixing region is assumed to remain constant during transition. The stagnation temperature of the secondary flow outside the mixing region, and the stagnation temperature at the center of the primary jet, are assumed to remain constant.

The values of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{oo}}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{joo}}, \mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{O}}$, and $\mathrm{W}_{1}$ are known to begin the analysis which determines the velocity profile at the end of the transition zone. The continuity, momentum, and constant centerline pressure equations at the end of the zone may be written as follows on the next page.

Continuity: $2 \pi \int_{0}^{\mathrm{R}_{\text {eff }}} \rho \mathrm{Uydy}=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{W}_{1}$

Momentum: $2 \pi \int_{0}^{R_{\text {eff }}} \frac{\rho U^{2}}{g_{o}} y d y+\left(p-P_{o o}\right) A_{m}=\left(p_{1}-P_{o o}\right) A_{m}+\frac{W_{1} U_{1}}{g_{o}}+\frac{W_{o} U_{o o}}{g_{o}}$

Constant Stagnation Pressure Along Centerline: $P_{o 1}=$ constant
where $\quad A_{m}=\pi R^{2}{ }_{\text {eff }}$
The velocity profile at the end of the transition zone is given by equations (1) and (2). The temperature profile is given by equation (3).

To permit equations (20), (21), and (22) to be solved simultaneously using standard computer subroutines, these equations were rewritten in terms of the following dependent parameters:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{r}} & =\frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{jo}}}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{joo}}}  \tag{23}\\
\lambda & =\frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{jo}}}  \tag{24}\\
\frac{\delta}{\mathrm{R}_{\text {eff }}} & =\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\pi}}} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

The continuity, momentum, and constant centerline stagnation pressure equations in final form are as follows:
Continuity:
Let $\quad C_{\text {mass }}=\frac{W_{o}+W_{1}}{\pi R_{\text {eff }}{ }^{2}{ }_{o \mathrm{oO}} U_{\text {joo }}}$

It can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\text {mass }}=\frac{p}{p_{00}}\left[U_{r}\left(\frac{\delta}{R}\right)^{2}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}-\mathrm{S}_{20}\right)+\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~S}_{20}\right] \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{oO}}}=\left(1-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{OO}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2} \lambda^{2}\right)^{\frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{k}-1}}  \tag{28}\\
& \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{OO}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}-1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{U}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{joO}}}{\mathrm{kR}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{oO}}} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{20}=\frac{\lambda}{1-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{oo}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2} \lambda^{2}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}_{1}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(\lambda+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}\right) 2 \eta \mathrm{~d} \eta}{1+\pi \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}^{1 / 2}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{oo}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}\left(\lambda+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\pi=\frac{T_{o j}}{T_{o o}}
$$

Momentum:
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{mom}}=\frac{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{o}}\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{oo}}\right) \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{W}_{1} \mathrm{U}_{1}+\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\pi \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{2} \rho_{\mathrm{oo}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{joo}}^{2}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be shown that

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{\text {mom }}= & \left(\frac{p}{P_{o o}}-1\right) \frac{R_{g} T_{o o}}{U_{j o o}^{2}}  \tag{33}\\
& +\left(\frac{p}{P_{o o}}\right) \quad\left[U_{r}^{2}\left(\frac{\delta}{R}\right)^{2}\left(Z_{2}-\lambda S_{20}\right)+U_{r}^{2} \lambda S_{20}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}_{2}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(\lambda+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2} 2 \eta \mathrm{~d} \eta}{1+\pi \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}} 1 / 2-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{oo}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}\left(\lambda+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Constant Stagnation Pressure:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{OO}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2} \lambda^{2}}{1-\frac{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{OO}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{OO}}}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{ol}}} U_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}(1+\lambda)^{2}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ol}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{OO}}-\Delta \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SD}}}\right)^{\frac{\mathrm{k}-1}{\mathrm{k}}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad \Delta \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SD}}=$ suction duct losses (see section 4.2.3)

The Z integrals are evaluated by using the following summation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{S}}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)_{\mathrm{k}} 2 \eta_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad n_{s}=$ number of summation strips, each of the same $\Delta \eta$

$$
N_{i}, D_{i} \text { are defined as follows: }
$$

Equation
Continuity
Momentum


$$
\lambda+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{oi}}
$$

$$
1+\pi \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{oi}}^{1 / 2}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{oo}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}\left(\lambda+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{oi}}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\left(\lambda+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{oi}}\right)^{2}
$$

same

Equations (27), (33), and (35) are solved simultaneously to yield values of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{r}}, \lambda$, and $\delta / R$ at the end of the transition zone. The value of the static pressure at the end of the zone is then determined from equation (28).

In Part 1, the zone between the end of the transition zone and the section where the jet reaches the wall, seven variables are determined by integral techniques. These dependent variables are $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{j}}, \lambda=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{j}}$, $\delta$, the static pressure $p$, the relative stagnation temperature at the jet centerline $T_{o j}$, the boundary layer momentum thickness $\theta$, and the boundary layer shape factor H .

The values of these variables are obtained by solving seven simultaneous equations of the following general form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathrm{K}=1}^{7} \mathrm{~W}(\mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{~K}) \quad \mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{~K})=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{~J}) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W(J, K)=$ a coefficient matrix
$\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{K})=$ the derivatives of the dependent variables with respect to $x / R_{o}$
$V(J)=a$ set of terms not containing any of the dependent
variables, evaluated at the $x / R_{o}$ station of interest

The $Y(K)$ values are listed below:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Y(1)=\frac{\partial\left(\frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}}\right)}{\partial\left(\frac{x}{R_{o}}\right)} & Y(5)=\frac{\partial\left(\frac{T_{o j}}{T_{o o}}\right)}{\partial\left(\frac{x}{R_{o}}\right)} \\
Y(2)=\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial\left(\frac{X}{R_{o}}\right)} & Y(6)=\frac{\partial\left(\frac{\theta}{R_{o}}\right)}{\partial\left(\frac{x}{R_{o}}\right)} \\
Y(3)=\frac{\partial\left(\frac{\delta}{R_{o}}\right)}{\partial\left(\frac{x}{R_{o}}\right)} & Y(7)=\frac{\partial H}{\partial\left(\frac{x}{R_{o}}\right)} \\
Y(4)=\frac{\partial\left(\frac{p}{P_{o o}}\right)}{\partial\left(\frac{X}{R_{o}}\right)} \tag{38}
\end{array}
$$

The J simultaneous equations used to evaluate these derivatives are as follows:
$J=1: P_{o O}=$ stagnation pressure in the flow outside the jet $=$ constant
2 : momentum equation for the complete flow
3 : continuity equation
4 : energy equation
5 : moment-of-momentum equation
6 : boundary layer momentum equation
7 : boundary layer moment-of-momentum equation
These equations and the $W(J, K)$ coefficients are given in detail in Appendix A.

The velocity profile for the jet in Part 1 is given by equations (1) and (2), with the distribution function $f_{0}$ taken from free jet data (reference 5). The jet temperature profile is given by equation (3). In the jet ( $0 \leq r \leq \delta$ ) the shear is obtained from equation (4) with the eddy viscosity given by equation (6).

Outside the jet $(\delta<y<R)$, wall shear forces are assumed to be negligible in the momentum equation for the complete flow. The boundary layer momentum thickness $\theta$ and the shape factor $H$ are calculated from the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d \Theta}{d x}+(2+H) \frac{\Theta}{U_{o}} \frac{d U_{o}}{d x}=\frac{C_{f}}{2}  \tag{40}\\
\frac{d H}{d x}=\frac{-H(H+1)\left(H^{2}-1\right)}{2} \frac{1}{U_{o}} \frac{d U_{o}}{d x}+\frac{H^{2}-1}{\theta}\left[\frac{H C_{f}}{2}-\frac{0.06(H-1)}{(H+3) R_{\ominus}^{0.1}}\right] \tag{41}
\end{gather*}
$$

The friction coefficient in these equations is taken from the Ludwieg-Tillman skin friction equation (reference 6):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.246 \mathrm{R}_{\ominus}^{-0.268} 10^{-.678 \mathrm{H}} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad R_{\Theta}=$ Reynolds number based on momentum thickness
These equations are based on the assumption that the outer (potential) flow at velocity $U_{o}$ is incompressible, and use has been made of the relation between the boundary layer and jet mixing parameters given in equation (43):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{U_{o}} \frac{d U_{o}}{d x}=\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{d \lambda}{d x}+\frac{1}{U_{j}} \frac{d U_{j}}{d x} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations above allow the boundary layer development to be calculated simultaneously with the main flow mixing. Thus, the boundary layer displacement thickness is taken into account when the momentum, continuity, and energy equations are integrated across the mixing tube cross section.

The seven equations (39) are solved simultaneously to yield the values of the derivatives (38). Then the derivatives are integrated using Runge-KuttaMerson techniques. This integration yields the desired values of $U_{j}, U_{o}, \delta, p$, $T_{o j}, \theta$, and $H$ at selected values of $x / R_{o}$ along the mixing tube.

If a region of recirculation is present, the value of $U_{0}$ becomes negative. The development of an analysis for the flow behavior in a recirculation zone was not included in this investigation.

### 3.5 Flow Analysis Downstream of Jet Attachment (Part 2)

After the jet reaches the wall, the jet velocity profile is assumed to follow the relationship given in equation (7). At the beginning of Part 2, the value of the shape parameter $\gamma(x)$ is set equal to zero and the velocity profile is given by $f_{2}(\eta)$. The functional relationship $f_{2}$ is defined so as to be identical to the final velocity distribution in Part 1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{2}(\eta)=\frac{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}(\eta)+\lambda \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{bl}}(\eta)}{1+\lambda} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this equation, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{b} \ell}(\eta)$ is the boundary layer profile at the end of Part 1 , estimated by using a power law for the boundary layer:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=U_{o}\left(\frac{y}{\delta_{b l}}\right)^{n} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exponent $n$ must satisfy the values of momentum and displacement thickness calculated for the boundary layer at the end of Part 1. The resulting equation for $f_{b \ell}$ follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{bl} \ell}=\frac{\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}-1\right)}{\Theta_{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{l}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}+\mathrm{l}\right)}[1-\eta] \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\theta_{1}=$ boundary layer momentum thickness at the end of Part 1
$\mathrm{H}_{1}=$ boundary layer shape factor at the end of Part 1
The velocity profile in Part 2 includes the auxilary profile $g_{2}(\eta)$. This profile is defined by equation (8) so that, as $\gamma$ approaches 1.0 , the Part 2 velocity profile asympototically approaches the profile for fully-developed turbulent flow in a pipe.

In Part 2, the values of five variables are determined by integral techniques. These dependent variables are the velocity profile values $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\gamma$, the static pressure $p$, the temperature ratio $\pi$, and the temperature of the flow at the outer radius of the mixing tube, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{OO}}$. The values of these variables are obtained by solving six simultaneous equations of the general form given by equation (37). The dependent $Y(K)$ variables are listed below:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y(1)=\frac{\partial\left(\frac{\mathrm{Uc}}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{jo}}}\right)}{\partial\left(\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{Ro}}\right)} \\
& Y(4)=\frac{\partial\left(\frac{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{Poo}_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)}{\partial\left(\frac{\mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{Ro}}\right)} \\
& Y(2)=\frac{\partial\left(\frac{\mathrm{Uo}}{\mathrm{Uc}}\right)}{\partial\left(\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{Ro}}\right)} \text { (not used) }  \tag{47}\\
& Y(5)=\frac{\partial\left(\frac{T_{0 j}}{T_{0 O}}\right)}{\partial\left(\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{Ro}}\right)} \\
& Y(3)=\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial\left(\frac{X}{R o}\right)} \\
& Y(6)=\frac{\partial\left(\frac{\mathrm{ToO}}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{OO} \mathrm{i}}}\right)}{\partial\left(-\frac{\mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{Ro}}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{o o i}$ and $T_{o o i}$ are the constant values of $P_{00}$ and $T_{o o}$ in Part 1. The variable $\mathrm{Y}(2)$ above remains zero throughout the Part 2 analysis; this variable is a redundant parameter which remains from an earlier version of the computer program.

The equations used to evaluate these derivatives are as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
J=1 & = & \text { continuity equation } \\
J=2 & = & \text { energy equation } \\
J=3 & = & \text { momentum equation for the complete flow } \\
J=4 & = & \text { moment-of-momentum integral equation }  \tag{48}\\
J=5 & = & \text { centerline velocity-temperature relationship } \\
J=6 \quad & =\text { wall velocity }=0
\end{array}
$$

These equations and the $W(J, K)$ coefficients are given in detail in Appendix $A$.

The form of the stagnation temperature profile must be known in order to solve the first four equations (48). For simplicity, the temperature profile was assumed to be the same as in a free jet.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{OO}}}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{Oj}}}=\sqrt{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}(\eta)} \quad \text { in Part } 2 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

This approximation is justified by the test results in Section 4.3 of this report.

Wall shear stresses are included in the momentum equation for the complete flow. The wall friction coefficient used in the analysis is based upon pipe flow correlations which yield equation (50).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{fd}}^{\mathrm{f}}, ~=\frac{\tau_{\mathrm{wall}}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}}=0.048\left(\frac{\overline{\mathrm{U}}}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{Re}_{\mathrm{m}}^{-.20} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad \begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{Re}_{\mathrm{m}} & =\frac{\overline{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{D}}{\nu} \text { Reynold's number based on mean velocity } \\ \overline{\mathrm{U}} & =\text { mass-average mean velocity } \\ \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}} & =\text { centerline velocity } \\ \nu & =\text { kinematic viscosity }\end{array}$

This wall friction coefficient is only an approximatinn to the actual value because the velocity profile near the wall in Part 2 of the jet pump mixing tube is generally not identical to the fully-deveioped pipe flow velocity profile. Comparison of analytical predictions to measured wall static pressure values indicated that equation (50) gave values of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{fd}}$ which were too high. Therefore, the analysis now employs an arbitrarily reduced friction factor.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{fd}}=1 / 2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{fd}}^{\mathrm{f}} \text { } \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The moment-of-momentum integral equation includes a term which represents axial shear forces between adjacent stream tubes. These shear forces are determined from the eddy viscosity relationship given in equation (11).

The fifth equation in the set, the centerline velocity-temperature relationship, is based upon the test results obtained during this investigation. As shown in Section 4.3 and Figure 16, the following equation may be used to supplement the energy equation in Part 2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{T_{j}} \frac{\mathrm{dT}_{j}}{\mathrm{dx}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}} \frac{\mathrm{dU}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{dx}} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sixth equation (48) sets Uo, the velocity of the flow along the mixing tube surface, equal to zero. This equation was added to eliminate $Y(2)$, the redundant variable in equation (47), during the solution of the six simultaneous equations (48). The solution of these equations yields the values of the derivatives (47). The derivatives then are integrated using Runge-Kutta-Merson techniques. This integration yields the desired values of $U_{c}, \gamma, p, T_{o j}$, and $T_{o o}$ at selected values of $x / R_{0}$ along the mixing tube in the region after the jet reaches the wall.

## Section 4

## TEST PROGRAM

The objective of the test program was to provide data which could be used to evaluate the analytical model. The test conditions are summarized below:

## Primary Flow

```
stagnation pressure: }348\mathrm{ psia
stagnation temperature: }80\mp@subsup{7}{}{\circ}\textrm{F
nozzle throat area: }\quad1.587\times1\mp@subsup{0}{}{-4}\mp@subsup{\textrm{ft}}{}{2
nozzle geometry: see figure 3
mass flow rate: }\quad6.76\textrm{lbm}/\textrm{min
```

Secondary Flow
inlet stagnation pressure: laboratory ambient ( $30.06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Hg}$ )
inlet stagnation temperature: laboratory ambient ( $92^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ )
mixing tube geometry: see figure 4
pressure rise: regulated by discharge
throttling device

This section of the report describes the jet pump test arrangement, instrumentation and data reduction procedures, and the results which were obtained.

### 4.1 Test Arrangement

The jet pump test arrangement is shown in figure 5. The primary flow was supplied by a 2 -stage reciprocating compressor. Electrical heaters were used to increase the temperature of the flow up to about $800^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The primary flow was delivered to a single nozzle directed along the axis of the mixing tube.

The momentum of the primary flow entrains a secondary air flow from the room into the bellmouth inlet and then into the mixing tube. Here, the
two streams mix together and the stagnation pressure of the secondary stream is increased. The flow from the mixing tube passes through a conical diffuser and exhausts to the atmosphere through an adjustable tattling cone.

The individual components of the experimental jet pump are described below:

1. Calibrated bellmouth inlet section

This component consists of a wooden bellmouth, metal connecting tube, and fiberglass primary flow inlet section. The bellmouth differential pressure was calibrated in terms of flow rate by using an orifice and blower available in the laboratory. The calibrated bellmouth permitted direct measurement of secondary mass flow rate for all jet pump tests.
2. Mixing tube

The mixing tube geometry was chosen rather arbitrarily before the computer program became available as a design guide. The basic Helmbold mixing tube geometry (reference 7) was selected because this geometry has been tested thoroughly in the incompressible flow regime. The incompressible results provide a guide to the flow behavior which may be expected in the compressible flow regime.

The Helmbold mixing tube was scaled down so that all dimensions were 0.892 times their original values. This scale was selected so that the mixing tube would match an existing discharge diffuser and the mixing tube throat velocity would remain subsonic for all flow rates expected in the test program. The smooth curving
profile of the Helmbold tube was approximated with cones and cylinders as shown in figure 4 for ease of fabrication.
3. Discharge diffuser

A conical diffuser with an area ratio of about 2.8 and a total included angle of $7.1^{\circ}$ was added at the end of the mixing tube to maximize static pressure recovery and allow high entrainment ratios to be achieved. Changes in the axial positioning of the throttle cone in the diffuser exit produced a variable system resistance so that the jet pump could be tested over a range of secondary flow rates.

## 4. Nozzle geometry

Figure 3 shows the geometry of the converging-diverging primary flow nozzle. The area ratio from throat to exit section is 3.24 , the area ratio corresponding to onedimensional isentropic expansion from 350 psia to 14.7 psia. When the jet pump was assembled, the exit plane of the nozzle was at $x=0$ where $x$ is defined on the mixing tube drawing, figure 4. The mixing tube diameter at the nozzle exit plane is 5.341 in . The nozzle flow coefficient, according to the definition below, was measured to be 0.929 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{w}=\frac{W_{1}}{W_{1}} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{1}=$ measured nozzle flow rate at design pressure and temperature $\mathrm{W}_{1_{\text {ideal }}}=\begin{aligned} & \text { isentropic flow rate through nozzle throat }\end{aligned}$ at design pressure and temperature; based upon one-dimensional flow assumption

### 4.2 Instrumentation and Data Reduction Procedures

### 4.2.1 Instrumentation

The instrumentation used to determine the performance of the experimental jet pump is shown on figure 6 and described in table 1.

The jet pump inlet bellmouth was calibrated for use as a flowmeter. The calibration was accomplished by connecting the bellmouth and the suction duct to the inlet of a blower by means of an orifice run and throttling arrangement. The bellmouth flow equation follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{o}}=229.5 \sqrt{\rho_{\mathrm{b}} \Delta \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{b}}} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta h_{b}=p_{b}$ differential pressure, in. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gage
$\rho_{\mathrm{b}}=\quad$ inlet density, $\mathrm{lbm} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$
The mixing tube was provided with 21 static pressure taps along its length. Four additional static pressure taps were located in the discharge diffuser. Provision was made for traverse probe measurements at five of the static pressure tap sections. The location of all of these taps is given in table 2. The exact dimensions were measured at several stations in the mixing tube after its construction; these dimensions are also given in table 2.

The Kiel-temperature probe which was traversed to measure the velocity and temperature profiles had a stem diameter of $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$. The probe was small enough so that probe blockage effects were negligible during the traversing.

### 4.2.2 Data Reduction Procedures

The measured data were used to calculate the following jet pump parameters:
$m=\frac{W_{o}}{W_{1}} \quad-$ jet pump entrainment ratio

U vs $\left(\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{R}}\right)$ - velocity profiles
$T_{0}$ vs $\left(\frac{y}{R}\right)$ - temperature profiles
p vs $\left(\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}}\right)$ - mixing tube static pressure variations

The stagnation pressure and temperature profiles were measured at all traverse locations in a plane perpendicular to the axis of the primary flow feed pipe (see figure 6). At the station in the mixing tube throat ( $\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}=9.25$ ), a traverse also was made in the plane of the feed pipe to confirm that the flow was axisymmetric as desired.

The wall static pressure and the traverse probe stagnation pressure and temperature measurements were used with the appropriate compressible flow equations to allow calculation of the velocity profiles at traverse stations 2 through 6. As a result of a thermocouple failure during the test runs, no temperature data were obtained at traverse station 1. Because the temperature profile is required in order to calculate the velocity profile, it was necessary to prepare an approximate temperature profile for this station. The procedure used is described under Test Results in Section 4.3 of this report.

### 4.2.3 Suction Duct Losses

Results from previous tests of the bellmouth and suction duct assembly (reference 1) and static pressure data from the present test program indicate that stagnation pressure losses in the suction duct upstream of the mixing tube are of the order of $2 \mathrm{in} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for the tested secondary flow rates. These losses may be
accounted for in the jet pump analysis by using equation (55) to calculate the secondary flow stagnation pressure at the primary nozzle exit section in the mixing tube:

$$
P_{o 2}=P_{o o}-K_{L} \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{oo}} U_{\mathrm{SD}}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{o}}}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{o 2}=P_{o o}-K_{L} \frac{W_{o}^{2}}{2 g_{o} \rho_{o o} A_{S D}^{2}} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o} 2}=$ stagnation pressure at primary nozzle exit plane
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{oO}}=\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { stagnation pressure at suction duct inlet (laboratory } \\ & \text { ambient) }\end{aligned}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}}=$ suction duct loss coefficient
$\rho_{\mathrm{oo}}=\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { density corresponding to suction duct inlet } \\ & \text { stagnation state }\end{aligned}$
$\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{SD}}=$ suction duct velocity (assumed uniform)
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{SD}}=$ suction duct cross-sectional area

For the suction duct in the experimental jet pump, the value of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is 0.33 .

### 4.3 Test Results

The jet pump was tested at four values of entrainment ratio, 17.0, $19.4,21.0$, and 23.6. The corresponding values of primary and secondary mass flow rates are given in table 3. The inlet pressures and temperatures were constant throughout the test and were as listed at the beginning of this Section 4.

Wall static pressure values measured along the mixing tube are listed for all four entrainment ratios on table 3. These values are plotted in figure 7.

The operation of the jet pump was reasonably steady (i.e., wall pressure fluctuations were small) when the entrainment ratio was 21.0. Therefore, this condition was selected for the velocity traverse measurements which require long periods of steady operation. The velocity profiles for traverse stations 2 through 6 are shown in figure 8. The associated temperature profiles are shown in figure 9.

Traverses 4 and 5 were taken at the same station in the constant-area throat section of the mixing tube. The axes of the traverse were $90^{\circ}$ apart so that any departures from axial symmetry in the flow could be detected. The slight departures which were observed are due to heating of the secondary flow as it passes over the primary nozzle feed pipe upstream of the mixing tube inlet. These departures have a negligible effect on jet pump performance and will not interfere with our comparison of measured and predicted flow behavior through the jet pump.

Because of a thermocouple failure during testing, no temperature data were obtained at traverse station 1. The stagnation pressure measurements at this section cannot be used to determine the velocity profile unless the temperature profile is available. An approximate velocity profile for traverse station 1 was developed by using the analytically-predicted temperature profile together with the measured stagnation pressure values. The resulting velocity profile is given at the end of the next section of this report.

The mass flow rate through the jet pump as determined by the calibrated inlet bellmouth was compared to the mass flow rate obtained by integration of the velocity profiles for stations 4 and 5 . Agreement was within $1 \%(149.8 \mathrm{lbm} / \mathrm{min}$. from integration vs. $148.8 \mathrm{lbm} / \mathrm{min}$. from the bellmouth). The measured velocity profile at station 6 was used for a similar comparison. Integration of this profile gave a mass flow rate of $158.8 \mathrm{lbm} / \mathrm{min}$, about $7 \%$ greater than the bellmouth measurement.

The values of $\frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}}$ and $\frac{T_{j}}{T_{j o}}$ calculated from the measured velocity and temperature profiles are plotted in figure 10 to show how the centerline velocities and temperatures vary with distance along the mixing tube. The velocity and temperature ratios are nearly identical over most of the mixing tube length.

## Section 5

## COMPARISON OF ANALYTICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

### 5.1 Mixing Tube Wall Static Pressure Variations

The mixing tube wall static pressure measurements are the most valuable results for evaluating the accuracy of the analytical model for use in jet pump design. The prediction of this pressure variation is the primary purpose of this investigation because knowledge of this variation permits calculation of the pressure force on the mixing tube wall. This force must ba known in order to solve the momentum equation during jet pump system optimization studies.

The analytical predictions of mixing tube static pressure variations are compared to test results for four entrainment ratios in figures 11, 12, and 13. The analyses were carried out with two different values assumed for $x_{\text {core }}$, the length of the transition region at the primary nozzle exit, and for two values of the secondary flow rates for each test; the values determined from the test results using the bellmouth calibration equation (54), and values $2 \%$ lower. A key to the three figures follows:

| Figure No. | $\frac{x_{\text {core }} / R_{0}}{2.5}$ | $\frac{\text { Secondary Flow Rates }}{}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 2.5 | from (54), reduced by $2 \%$ |
| 12 | 2.0 | from (54) |
| 13 |  | from (54), reduced by $2 \%$ |

The mass flow rates given by equation (54) and used to prepare figure 12 cause the analytical predictions of static pressure to fall below the measured values in the throat section of the mixing tube ( $\frac{x}{R}$ from 7.34 to 10.7 ). The assumption that the secondary flow rates are $2 \%$ lower yields better agreement as shown in figures 11 and 13. The choice of $x_{\text {core }}$ to be $2.5 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}$ rather than $2.0 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}$ causes only a small difference in the predicted static pressure levels. The differences are largest in the diffuser sections downstream of the mixing tube throat.

From these results, it was concluded that further comparisons of analytical and experimental results should be based on the assumption that the true secondary flow rates are $2 \%$ lower than the flow rates given by equation (54). An uncertainty of $\pm 2 \%$ in flow rate is not unreasonable for the bellmouth calibration. The $2 \%$ flow correction brings the analytical predictions very close to the experimental results except for the static pressures downstream of the mixing tube throat.

### 5.2 Velocity and Temperature Profiles

The variation of predicted centerline velocity with distance along the mixing tube is shown in figure 14 for three alternative values of $\mathrm{k}_{\text {core }} ; 1.0,2.0$, and 2.5. The measured values of centerline velocity at traverse stations 2-6 are also plotted in the figure. A value of $x_{\text {core }} / R_{o}$ between 2.0 and 2.5 appears to make the analytical prediction fit the test data most accurately.

The variation of predicted centerline stagnation temperature with distance along the mixing tube also is shown in figure 14. A value of $x_{c o r e} / R_{o}$ between 2.0 and 2.5 will make the temperature predictions fit the test data upstream of the throat section of the mixing tube. At traverse stations 4,5 , and 6 , the measured temperature levels fall about $30^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ below the predicted centerline stagnation temperatures.

The analytical results $\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{o}}, \frac{\delta}{\mathrm{R}_{0}}, \mathrm{f}_{2}, \mathrm{~g}_{2}\right.$, and $\left.v\right)$, together with the known free jet profile $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}(\eta)$, allow direct comparison of the velocity and temperature profiles predicted by the analysis to the velocity and temperature profiles measured during the test program. The velocity profiles are compared in figure 15 , and the temperature profiles are compared in figure 16. The predicted velocity profiles agree reasonably well with the measured profiles. The measured and predicted temperature profiles agree well for traverse stations 2 and 3 , but the predicted temperatures near the centerline for stations 4,5 , and 6 are somewhat higher than they should be.

Stagnation pressure measurements only were obtained at traverse station 1. These measurements, coupled with the analytical temperature profiles predicted for this station, can be used to develop an approximate velocity profile.

The procedures used were as follows:

1. The stagnation pressure data from the traverse probe, together with the local static pressure tap reading, were used to determine the Mach number and $T / T_{o}$ ratio at each $y / R$ position in the mixing tube cross-section. This data is given in table 4.
2. From the analytical solution for $x_{\text {core }}=2.5 R_{0}$, the predicted value of $\frac{\delta}{R_{0}}$ at the traverse station $\left(\frac{x}{R}=2.5\right)$ was found to be 0.2118 . The local value of $\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}}$ is 0.889 . These results allow the $y / R$ positions of the traverse probe near the duct centerline to be interpreted in terms of the $\mathrm{y} / \delta$ values for the free jet velocity profile of equations (1) and (2). Using the analytically predicted values $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}=3019 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{o}}=268 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{oc}}=1267^{\circ} \mathrm{R}$, and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{oo}}=552^{\circ} \mathrm{R}$, the free jet velocity and temperature profiles can be used, through equations (1), (2), and (3), to determine the predicted values of velocity and stagnation temperature for each $y / R$ position within the jet mixing region. The corresponding static temperatures can be determined from the $T / T_{0}$ ratios in table 4. The speed of sound is calculated from the static temperature. The predicted flow velocities and speed of sound values are used to calculate Mach numbers for each $y / R$ position within the jet mixing region. These predicted Mach numbers are compared to the "measured" Mach numbers in table 4. If the predicted and measured numbers agree, the associated velocity and temperature profiles afford a good approximation to the true profiles.
3. The same calculation procedure was followed using the analytical solution for $x_{\text {core }}=2.0 R_{0}$. The predicted value of $\frac{\delta}{R_{0}}$ at the traverse station was 0.287 . The other predicted values employed in the analysis were as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}=2227 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec} . & \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{oc}}=1041^{\circ} \mathrm{R} \\
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{o}}=261 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec} . & \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{oo}}=552^{\circ} \mathrm{R}
\end{array}
$$

The predicted and "measured" Mach number profiles for traverse station 1 are compared in figure 17. The predicted profile based upon the assumption that $x_{\text {core }}=2.0 R_{o}$ is closer to the measured profile than the $x_{\text {core }}=2.5 R_{o}$ profile although the predicted centerline velocity is too high. The predicted velocity and temperature profiles for both $\mathrm{x}_{\text {core }}$ assumptions are given in table 4. The predicted values were obtained using a secondary flow rate which was $2 \%$ less than the value given by equation (54).

An analytical method has been developed to predict the performance characteristics of axisymmetric single-nozzle compressible flow jet pumps with variable area mixing tubes. The primary flow may be either subsonic or supersonic. The analysis is divided into two parts. In part 1, the region between the primary nozzle exit and the point where the jet reaches the wall, the analysis is based upon the hypothesis that the mixing phenomena in the jet pump is fundamentally similar to the mixing of a free turbulent jet with the surrounding fluid. The eddy viscosity is adjusted to account for the influence of the duct walls as the jet approaches the walls. In part 2, downstream of the point where the jet reaches the wall, the velocity profile is allowed to vary from the free jet profile at the end of part 1 to a profile which asymptotically approaches the fully-developed turbulent flow profile in a pipe. Integral techniques are employed in both part 1 and part 2 to solve the continuity, momentum, moment-of-momentum, and energy equations to determine the variations of flow properties along the mixing tube.

An experimental program was conducted to measure mixing tube wall static pressure variations, velocity profiles, and temperature profiles in a variable area mixing tube with a supersonic ( $\mathrm{M}=2.72$ ) primary jet. Static pressure variations were measured at four different secondary flow rates. These test results were used to evaluate the analytical model.

Analytical predictions of wall static pressure distributions along the mixing tube generally agreed well with the test results for all four entrainment ratios. The predicted wall static pressure values differed slightly from the measured pressures downstream of the constant-area throat section. The velocity profiles along the mixing tube were predicted accurately by the analysis. The analytical temperature profiles were not as accurate; the predicted centerline temperatures downstream of the throat were too high. These discrepancies are considered to be minor in view of the comparatively extreme mixing tube geometry used for the test case. Thus, the analysis is ready for use to calculate the presgure force on the wall of a variable area mixing tube. This permits the momentum equation to be solved accurately in jet pump-duct system optimization and design studies.

The analysis in part 2 of the jet pump makes the assumption that the temperature profiles are similar to free jet temperature profiles. A very simple and approximate form of the energy equation is employed. A more accurate energy equation, perhaps augmented by assumption of a different form for the temperature profile, might lead to greater accuracy in the prediction of wall static pressures and temperature profiles in this region.

## APPENDIX A

## Equations for the Flow

A1 - Part 1 - Upstream of Jet Attachment

The general form of the flow equations, as described in Section 3.4, is as follows:

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{7} \mathrm{~W}(\mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{~K}) * \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{~K})=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{~J})
$$

The 7 variables are tabulated below, using the convention that the superscript (') represents $\frac{\partial}{\partial\left(\frac{X}{R}\right)}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
K & =1
\end{aligned} \begin{array}{ccccc}
2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
Y(K) & =\left(\frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}}\right)^{\prime} & \lambda^{\prime} & \left(\frac{\delta}{R_{o}}\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{p}{P_{o o}}\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{T_{o j}}{T_{o o}}\right)^{\prime} & \left(\frac{\theta}{R_{o}}\right)^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

The $W(J, K)$ coefficients and $V(J)$ terms are determined in this section.
A1-1 Equation for $J=1$; Constant stagnation pressure in the flow outside the jet.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
d p=-\rho \frac{U d U}{g_{o}} \\
R_{g} T \frac{d p}{p}+\lambda U_{j} d\left(\lambda U_{j}\right)=0
\end{array}
$$

Normalizing:

$$
\frac{R_{g^{T}}}{U_{j o}^{2}} \frac{d p}{p}+\lambda \frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}}\left(\lambda \frac{d U_{j}}{U_{j o}}+\frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}} d \lambda\right)=0
$$

Let $\quad B P=\frac{R_{g} T_{o o}}{U_{j o}}=\frac{k-1}{2 k_{o}}$

$$
S_{o}=\frac{U_{j o}^{2}}{2 \frac{k}{k-1} R_{g} T_{o o}}
$$

Then $T_{o}=T_{o o}\left[1-S_{o} \frac{U_{j}^{2}}{U_{j o}{ }^{2}} \lambda^{2}\right]=\begin{aligned} & \text { Static temperature in the flow } \\ & \text { outside the jet }\end{aligned}$
The final values follow:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
W(1,1)=\lambda^{2} \frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}} & W(1,5)=0 \\
W(1,2)=\lambda\left(\frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}}\right)^{2} & W(1,6)=0 \\
W(1,3)=0 & W(1,7)=0 \\
W(1,4)=\left(1-S_{o} \frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}^{2}} \lambda^{2}\right) \frac{B P}{p} & W(1)=0
\end{array}
$$

A1-2 Equation for $J=2$; Momentum equation for the flow

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\pi R^{2} \frac{d p}{d x}=\frac{d}{d x} \int_{0}^{R} \rho U^{2} 2 \pi y d y \\
& -R^{2} \frac{d p}{d x}=\frac{d}{d x}\left\{\frac { p } { R _ { g } ^ { T } o o } U _ { j } ^ { 2 } \left[\delta^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(\lambda+f_{o}\right)^{2} 2 \eta d \eta}{1+\pi f_{o}} 1 / 2-S_{o} \frac{U_{j}{ }_{U_{j o}}^{2}}{2}\left(\lambda+f_{o}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.1-\frac{\left(R^{2}-\delta^{2}\right) \lambda^{2}}{U_{j}^{2}} \frac{U_{j o}^{2}}{U_{j o}^{2}} \lambda^{2}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda+f_{o}=\frac{U}{U_{j}} \\
& 1+\Pi f_{o} 1 / 2-S_{o} \frac{U_{j}{ }^{2}}{U_{j o}^{2}}\left(\lambda+f_{o}\right)^{2}=\frac{T_{\eta}}{T_{o o}}=\frac{\text { Static Temperature @ } \eta}{\text { Stagnation Temperature } @ \eta=1.0} \\
& 1-S_{o} \frac{U_{j}{ }^{2}}{U_{j o}{ }^{2}} \lambda^{2}=\frac{T_{o}}{T_{o o}}=\frac{\text { Static Temperature }}{\text { Stagnation Temperature }} \quad \text { at } \eta=1.0
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
Z_{12}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(\lambda+f_{o}\right)^{2} 2 \eta d \eta}{1+\pi f_{o}{ }^{1 / 2}-S_{o} \frac{U_{j}^{2}}{U_{j o}^{2}}\left(\lambda+f_{o}\right)^{2}}
$$

In the computer analysis, this integration is approximated by a summation across the jet:

Let $\quad Z_{1 J}=\frac{2}{n_{S}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{s}} \frac{N_{i J}}{D_{i J}} \eta_{i}$
In this equation $N_{i}$ and $D_{i}$ are average values of the numerator and denominator across the $i^{\text {th }}$ equal-radius annular segment of the jet. The following additional definitions will be used:

$$
\mathrm{z}_{2 \mathrm{~J}}=\frac{2}{n_{\mathrm{s}}} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}}\left(\frac{-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i} J}}{D_{\mathrm{i} J}^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i} J}}{\partial\left(\frac{U_{j}}{U_{\mathrm{jo}}}\right)^{\eta}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{3 J}=\frac{2}{n_{s}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{s}} \frac{1}{D_{i J}} \frac{\partial N_{i J}}{\partial \lambda} \eta_{i} \\
& \mathrm{Z}_{4 \mathrm{~J}}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}} \sum\left(\frac{-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i} J}}{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{iJ} J}^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i} J}}{\partial \lambda} \eta_{\mathrm{i}} \\
& \mathrm{Z}_{5 \mathrm{~J}}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{S}}} \sum \frac{1}{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i} J}} \frac{\partial \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{i} J}}{\partial \mathrm{~T}} \eta_{\mathrm{i}} \\
& \mathrm{Z}_{6 \mathrm{~J}}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}} \sum \frac{-\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{i} \mathrm{J}}}{\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{i} J}{ }^{2}} \frac{\partial \mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{i} J}}{\partial \mathrm{~T}} \eta_{\mathbf{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the relations below may be used:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial Z_{1 J}}{\partial\left(\frac{U_{j}}{U_{j 0}}\right)}=Z_{2 J} \\
& \frac{\partial Z_{1 J}}{\partial \lambda}=Z_{3 J}+Z_{4 J} \\
& \frac{\partial Z_{1 J}}{\partial T}=Z_{5 J}+Z_{6 J}
\end{aligned}
$$

Additional parameters which simplify the equations are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{2}=\frac{\lambda}{1-S_{o} \frac{U_{j}^{2}}{U_{j o}^{2}} \lambda^{2}} \\
& \frac{R}{R_{o}}=\frac{R_{\text {tube }}}{R_{o}}-\frac{\theta}{R_{o}} H
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{\delta}{\mathrm{R}}=\frac{\delta}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}} \frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{R}}
$$

Employing these definitions in the momentum equation, the following expression is obtained after reorganizing, normalizing, and differentiating:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{d p}{d x} \frac{R_{g} T_{o o}}{p U_{j}^{2}}= & {\left[\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}+2 \frac{U_{j}^{\prime}}{U_{j}}\right]\left[\frac{\delta^{2}}{R^{2}} Z_{12}+\left(1-\frac{\delta^{2}}{R^{2}}\right) \lambda S_{2}\right]+2 \frac{\delta}{R} \frac{\delta^{\prime}}{R} Z_{12} } \\
& +\frac{\delta^{2}}{R^{2}}\left[Z_{22} \frac{U_{j}^{\prime}}{U_{j o}}+\left(Z_{32}+Z_{42}\right) \lambda^{\prime}+\left(Z_{52}+Z_{62}\right) \tau^{\prime}\right] \\
& +\left[\frac{2}{R}\left(R_{\text {tube }}^{\prime}-\theta^{\prime} H-H^{\prime} \theta\right)-2 \frac{\delta}{R} \frac{\delta^{\prime}}{R}\right] \lambda S_{2} \\
& +\left(1-\frac{\delta^{2}}{R^{2}}\right)\left(S_{2} \lambda^{\prime}+\lambda \frac{\partial S_{2}}{\partial \lambda} \lambda^{\prime}+\lambda \frac{\partial S_{2}}{\partial U_{j}} U_{j}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The final values follow:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W(2,1)=\left(\frac{\delta}{R}\right)^{2}\left[\frac{2 Z_{12}}{\left(\frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}}\right)}+Z_{22}\right]+\left[1-\frac{\delta^{2}}{R^{2}}\right]\left[\frac{2 \lambda S_{2}}{\left(\frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}}\right)}+\lambda \frac{\partial S_{2}}{\left(\frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}}\right)}\right] \\
& W(2,2)=\left(\frac{\delta}{R}\right)^{2}\left(Z_{32}+Z_{42}\right)+\left(1-\frac{\delta^{2}}{R^{2}}\right)\left(S_{2}+\lambda \frac{\partial S_{2}}{\partial \lambda}\right) \\
& W(2,3)=2 \frac{\delta}{R}\left(Z_{12}-\lambda S_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W(2,4)=\frac{P_{o o}}{p}\left[\frac{\delta^{2}}{R^{2}} Z_{12}+\left(1-\frac{\delta^{2}}{R^{2}}\right) \lambda S_{2}\right]+\frac{B P^{*} P_{o o}}{p\left(\frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}}\right)^{2}} \\
& W(2,5)=\frac{\delta^{2}}{R^{2}}\left(Z_{52}+Z_{62}\right) \\
& W(2,6)=-2 \frac{H}{R} S_{2} \lambda \\
& W(2,7)=-2 \frac{\theta}{R} S_{2} \lambda \\
& V(2)=-2 \frac{R_{\text {tube }}^{\prime}}{R} S_{2} \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

Table A1 lists the values of $N_{i}, D_{i}$, and their derivatives which are required to evaluate the $Z$ parameters in the previous equations.

A1.3 Equation for $J=3$; Continuity equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{o}+W_{1}=2 \pi \int_{0}^{\mathrm{R}} \rho \text { Uydy where } \mathrm{R}=(\text { Local Duct Radius }-\theta \mathrm{H}) \\
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{W}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{p} \pi}{R_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{oo}}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{j}}\left[\delta^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(\lambda+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}\right) \mathrm{d} \eta^{2}}{1+\pi \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}} 1 / 2-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{o}}\left(\frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}}\right)^{2}\left(\lambda+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\left(R^{2}-\delta^{2}\right) \lambda}{1-S_{o}\left(\frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}}\right)^{2} \lambda^{\varepsilon}}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

or

$$
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{W}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{p} \pi}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{oo}}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{j}}\left[\delta^{2} \mathrm{Z}_{13}+\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}-\delta^{2}\right) \mathrm{S}_{2}\right]
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{13}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(\lambda+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}\right) 2 \eta \mathrm{~d} \eta}{1+\mathrm{Uf}_{\mathrm{o}} 1 / 2-\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{o}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{j}}}{U_{\mathrm{jo}}}\right)^{2}\left(\lambda+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2}}
$$



Collecting terms and dividing each by $\left(\frac{R}{R_{o}}\right)^{2} \quad \frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}} \quad \frac{p}{P_{o o}}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
W(3,1)= & \frac{U_{j o}}{U_{j}} \frac{R_{o}^{2}}{R^{2}}\left(\frac{\delta}{R_{o}}\right)^{2} Z_{13}+\left(1-\frac{R_{o}^{2}}{R^{2}} \frac{\delta^{2}}{R_{o}^{2}}\right) S_{2} \frac{U_{j o}}{U_{j}}+Z_{23} \frac{R_{o}^{2}}{R^{2}} \frac{\delta^{2}}{R_{o}^{2}} \\
& +\left(\frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}}\right) 2 \lambda S_{2}^{2} S_{o}\left(1-\frac{R_{o}^{2}}{R^{2}} \frac{\delta^{2}}{R_{o}^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
W(3,2)=\frac{R_{o}^{2}}{R^{2}} \frac{\delta^{2}}{R_{o}^{2}}\left(Z_{33}+Z_{43}\right)+\left(1-\frac{R_{o}^{2}}{R^{2}} \frac{\delta^{2}}{R_{o}^{2}}\right) \frac{1+S_{o} \frac{U_{j}^{2}}{U_{j o}^{2}} \lambda^{2}}{\left(1-S_{o} \frac{U_{j}^{2}}{U_{j o}^{2}} \lambda^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

$$
W(3,3)=2 \frac{\delta}{R_{o}}\left(Z_{13}-S_{2}\right) \frac{R_{o}^{2}}{R^{2}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{W}(3,4)=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{oo}}}{\mathrm{p}}\left[\frac{\delta^{2}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}}{\mathrm{R}^{2}} \mathrm{Z}_{13}+\left(1-\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}}{\mathrm{R}^{2}} \frac{\delta^{2}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}}\right) \mathrm{S}_{2}\right]
$$

$$
\mathrm{W}(3,5)=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}}{\mathrm{R}^{2}} \frac{\delta^{2}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{53}+\mathrm{Z}_{63}\right)
$$

$$
W(3,6)=-2 S_{2} \frac{R_{o}}{R} H
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W(3,7)=-2 S_{2} \frac{R_{o}}{R} \frac{\theta}{R_{o}} \\
& V(3)=-2 \frac{R_{o}}{R}\left(\frac{R}{R_{o}}\right)^{\prime} S_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table A1 lists the values of $N_{i}, D_{i}$, and their derivatives which are required to evaluate the $Z$ parameters in the equations above.

A1-4 Equation for $J=4$; Energy Equation

$$
\left.W_{o} C_{p} T_{o o}+W_{1} C_{p} T_{o j}=2 \pi \int_{0}^{R} \rho U_{p} T_{o} \text { ydy where } R=\underset{\sim}{\text { (local duct radius }}-\theta H\right)
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{o} T_{o o}+W_{1} T_{o j}=2 \pi \int_{0}^{R} \rho U_{o} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{ydy} \\
& W_{o} T_{o o}+W_{1} T_{o j}=\pi \frac{p}{R_{g}} U_{j}\left[\delta^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(\lambda+f_{o}\right)\left(1+\mathbb{T}_{o}{ }_{o}^{1 / 2}\right) 2 \eta d \eta}{1+\mathbb{T f}_{o} 1 / 2-S_{o}\left(\frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}}\right)^{2}\left(\lambda+f_{o}\right)^{2}}+\left(R^{2}-\delta^{2}\right) S_{2}\right. \\
& -2 \mathrm{~S}_{2} \theta \mathrm{HR} \\
& \text { Let } \quad Z_{14}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(\lambda+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)\left(1+\mathbb{f _ { o }}{ }^{1 / 2}\right) 2 \eta d \eta}{1+\Pi \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{1 / 2}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{o}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{j}}}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{jo}}}\right)^{2}\left(\lambda+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, the normalized energy equation may be written as follows:

$$
\frac{\left(W_{o} T_{o o}+W_{1} T_{o j}\right)}{\pi R_{o}^{2} U_{j o}} \frac{R_{g}}{P_{o o}}=\frac{p}{P_{o 0}} \frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}}\left[\frac{\delta^{2}}{R_{o}^{2}} Z_{14}+\left(\frac{R^{2}}{R_{o}^{2}}-\frac{\delta^{2}}{R_{o}^{2}}\right) S_{2}-2 S_{2} \frac{\theta}{R_{o}} \frac{R}{R_{o}} H\right]
$$

If this equation is compared to the normalized continuity equation in Section A1-3. it is seen that the right-hand sides are identical except for the substitution of $\mathrm{Z}_{14}$ for $\mathrm{Z}_{13}$. This means that all of the $\mathrm{W}(4, \mathrm{~K})$ coefficients are identical to the $W(3, K)$ coefficients except for the substitution of $Z_{i 4}$ for $Z_{i 3}$ in all expressions. Table A1 lists the values of $N_{i}, D_{i}$, and their derivatives which are required to evaluate the $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{i} 4}$ parameters.

A1-5 Equation for $\mathrm{J}=5$; Moment-of-Momentum Integral Equation
The momentum equation for an annular section of the jet can be derived as follows.

$\tau 2 \pi d y d x-\frac{d p}{d x} d x(2 \pi y d y)+2 \pi y \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial y} d y d x=\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} d x u \cdot 2 \pi y d y$
$+\rho v 2 \pi y d x \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} d y$
or

$$
\tau-\frac{d p}{d x} y+y \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial y}=\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} u y+\rho v y \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}
$$

To derive the moment-of momentum integral equation, this momentum equation is multiplied by ydy and integrated across the jet:

$$
\int_{0}^{\delta} \rho u y \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} y d y+\int_{0}^{\delta} \rho v y \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} y d y=\int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{\partial(\tau y)}{\partial y} y d y-\int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{d p}{d x} y^{2} d y
$$

Noting that $u=U_{j}\left(\lambda+f_{o}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\frac{1}{R_{o}} U_{j}^{\prime}\left(\lambda+f_{o}\right)+\frac{U_{j}}{R_{o}}\left[\lambda^{\prime}+\frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial \eta}\left(-\frac{\eta \delta^{\prime}}{\delta}\right)\right] \\
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=\frac{U_{j}}{\delta} \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial \eta}
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\delta} \rho u y \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} y d y=\int_{0}^{l} \frac{p}{R_{g} T_{o o}} \frac{U_{j}\left(\lambda+f_{0}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}}{1+J f_{o}^{1 / 2}-S_{o} \frac{U_{j}^{2}}{U_{j o}^{2}}\left(\lambda+f_{o}\right)^{2}} \delta^{3} \eta^{2} d \eta \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{pU}_{j}^{2} \delta^{2}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{T}}{ }_{\infty}} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{l}} \frac{\mathrm{l}}{\mathrm{U}}\left(\lambda+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}\right) \frac{\partial \mathrm{u}}{\partial \mathrm{x}} \eta^{2} \mathrm{~d} \eta \mathrm{l} \mathrm{U}^{2} \\
& I+\pi f_{o}^{1 / 2}-S_{o} \frac{U_{j}^{2}}{U_{j o}^{2}}\left(\lambda+f_{o}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{pU}_{j}^{2} \delta^{3}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{T}}{ }_{o o} \mathrm{R}_{o}}\left[\frac{\mathrm{U}_{j}^{\prime}}{U_{j}} Q_{1}+\lambda^{\prime} Q_{2}+\frac{\delta^{\prime}}{\delta} Q_{3}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

in which

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{1}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(\lambda+f_{0}\right)^{2} \eta^{2} d \eta}{D} \\
& Q_{2}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(\lambda+f_{o}\right) \eta^{2} d \eta}{D} \\
& Q_{3}=-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial \eta}\left(\lambda+f_{o}\right) \eta^{3} d \eta}{D} \\
& D=1+\nabla f_{o}^{l / 2}-S_{o} \frac{U_{d}^{2}}{U_{j o}^{2}}\left(\lambda+f_{o}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to evaluate the radial velocity, $v$, it is necessary to use the continuity relation. Employing a control volume of radius $y$ and length $d x$, the continuity equation may be written as follows:
so

$$
\rho v 2 \pi y d x=-\int_{0}^{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\rho u 2 \pi y d y) d x
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho v y & =-\int_{0}^{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\rho u y) d y \\
& =-\int_{0}^{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[\frac{p}{R_{g} T_{\infty}} \frac{U_{j}\left(\lambda+f_{o}\right.}{D}\right] y d y
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\rho v y=-\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{\delta^{2}}{R_{o} R_{g} T_{o o}}\left\{\left[\frac{p^{\prime} U_{j}\left(\lambda+f_{0}\right)}{D}+\frac{p U_{j}^{\prime}\left(\lambda+f_{0}\right)}{D}+\frac{p U_{j}}{D}\left(\lambda^{\prime}-\frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial \eta} \eta \frac{\delta^{\prime}}{\delta}\right)\right]\right.
$$

$$
\left.-\frac{\mathrm{pU}_{j}\left(\lambda+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)}{\mathrm{D}^{2}}\left[\nabla^{\prime} \frac{\partial \mathrm{D}}{\partial \nabla}+\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime} \frac{\partial \mathrm{D}}{\partial \mathrm{U}_{j}}+\lambda \cdot \frac{\partial \mathrm{D}}{\partial \lambda}-\eta \frac{\delta^{\prime}}{\delta} \frac{\partial \mathrm{D}}{\partial \eta}\right]\right\} \eta d \eta
$$

in which $V_{1}=\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{\left(\lambda+f_{0}\right)}{D} \eta d \eta \quad V_{5}=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{2}=\int_{0}^{\eta}-\frac{\left(\lambda+f_{o}\right)}{D^{2}} \frac{\partial D}{\partial\left(\frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}}\right)} \eta d \eta \quad V_{6}=\int_{0}^{\eta}-\frac{\left(\lambda+f_{o}\right)}{D^{2}} \frac{\partial D}{\partial \pi} \eta d \eta \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{3}=\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{1}{\mathrm{D}} \eta \mathrm{~d} \eta \quad \mathrm{~V}_{10}=\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\partial \eta} \eta^{2} \mathrm{~d} \eta \\
& v_{4}=\int_{0}^{\eta}-\frac{\left(\lambda+f_{0}\right)}{D^{2}} \frac{\partial D}{\partial \lambda} \eta d \eta \quad v_{11}=\int_{0}^{\eta}-\frac{\left(\lambda+f_{0}\right)}{D^{2}} \frac{\partial D}{\partial \eta} \eta^{2} \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

With these definitions, the integral

$$
\int_{0}^{\delta} \rho v y \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} y d y
$$

may be evaluated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{\rho v y}{\frac{p U_{j}}{R_{g} T_{o \infty}}} \frac{\frac{\delta}{R_{o}}}{}\right] \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial \eta} \eta d \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{U_{j}^{\prime}}{U_{j}}\left[-\int_{0}^{1} V_{1} \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial \eta} \eta d \eta-\frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}} \int_{0}^{1} v_{2} \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial \eta} \eta d \eta\right]+\lambda^{\prime}\left[-\int_{0}^{1} V_{3} \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial \eta} \eta d \eta-\int_{0}^{1} v_{4} \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial \eta} \eta d \eta\right] \\
& +\frac{\delta^{\prime}}{\delta}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{10}+\mathrm{V}_{11}\right) \frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\partial \eta} \eta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right]+\frac{\mathrm{p}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{p}}\left[-\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1} \frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\partial \eta} \eta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right]+\mathbb{T}\left[-\int_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{5}+\mathrm{V}_{6}\right) \frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\partial \eta} \eta \mathrm{~d} \eta\right] \\
& =\frac{U_{j}^{\prime}}{U_{j}}\left[R_{1}+\frac{U_{j}}{U_{j 0}} R_{2}\right]+\lambda^{\prime} R_{34}+\frac{\delta^{\prime}}{\delta}\left[R_{10}+R_{11}\right]+\frac{p^{\prime}}{p} R_{1}+T^{\prime} R_{56} \\
& \text { in which } R_{1}=-\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1} \frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\partial \eta} \eta \mathrm{~d} \eta \quad \mathrm{R}_{10}=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~V}_{10} \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial \eta} \eta d \eta \\
& \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~V}_{2} \frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\partial \eta} \eta \mathrm{~d} \eta \\
& R_{34}=-\int_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{3}+\mathrm{V}_{4}\right) \frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\partial \eta} \eta \mathrm{~d} \eta \quad \mathrm{R}_{56}=-\int_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{5}+\mathrm{V}_{6}\right) \frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\partial \eta} \eta \mathrm{~d} \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

The pressure gradient term may be rewritten as follows:

$$
\int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{d p}{d x} y^{2} d y=\frac{p U_{j}^{2} \delta^{3}}{R_{g}{ }^{T} o o R_{o}}\left[\frac{p^{\prime}}{p} \frac{1}{3} \frac{B P}{\frac{U_{j}^{2}}{U_{j o}^{2}}}\right]
$$

The shear stress term may be evaluated as follows:

$$
\int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{\partial(\tau y)}{\partial \mathrm{y}} \mathrm{ydy}=\left.\tau \mathrm{y}^{2}\right|_{0} ^{\delta}-\int_{0}^{\delta} \tau \mathrm{ydy}
$$

$$
\tau=\rho_{\epsilon} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=\rho_{\epsilon} \frac{U_{j}}{\delta} \frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\partial \eta} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { where } \epsilon \text { is the eddy kinematic } \\
& \text { viscosity }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{\partial(\tau y)}{\partial y} y d y}{\left(\frac{p_{j}^{2} \delta^{3}}{R_{g}{ }^{T}{ }_{o o} R_{o}}\right)} & =-\int_{0}^{1} \rho \epsilon \frac{1}{U_{j}{ }^{2}} \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial \eta} \eta d \eta \frac{R_{g} T_{o o} R_{o}}{p} \\
& =-\int_{o}^{1} \frac{\epsilon}{U_{j} \delta} \frac{R_{o}}{\delta} \frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial \eta} \eta d \eta \\
& =-\left(\frac{\epsilon}{U_{j} \delta}\right) \text { avg }\left(\frac{R_{o}}{\delta}\right) \int_{o}^{1} \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial \eta} \eta d \eta \\
& =\frac{E A_{\tau}}{\left(\delta / R_{o}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{\tau}=-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon}$ avg $\frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial \eta} \eta d \eta \cong 0.377$ as in incompressible jet mixing

$$
\mathrm{E}=\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{j}} \delta}\right)_{\text {avg }} \text { is the inverse of the local turbulent Reynolds number. }
$$

Assembling all the terms, the final moment-of-momentum integral equation is as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{U_{j}^{\prime}}{U_{j}}\left(Q_{1}+R_{1}+R_{2} \frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}}\right)+\lambda^{\prime}\left(Q_{2}+R_{34}\right)+\frac{\delta^{\prime}}{\delta}\left(Q_{3}+R_{10}+R_{11}\right)+\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}\left(R_{1}+\frac{B P}{3 \frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}^{2}}}\right) \\
+T^{\prime} R_{56}=\frac{E A}{\left(\delta / R_{o}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

The values of the coefficients follow:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
W(5,1)=Q_{1}+R_{1}+R_{2} \frac{U_{j}}{U_{\text {jo }}} & W(5,5)=R_{56} \\
W(5,2)=Q_{2}+R_{34} & W(5,6)=0 \\
W(5,3)=Q_{3}+R_{10}+R_{11} & W(5,7)=0 \\
W(5,4)=R_{1}+\frac{B P}{3 \frac{U_{j}}{2}} & \mathrm{~V}(5)=\frac{E A \tau}{\left(\delta / R_{o}\right)} \\
&
\end{array}
$$

A1-6 Equation for $J=6$; Boundary Layer Momentum Equation
The boundary layer momentum equation, equation (40), is discussed in Section 3.4 of this report.

A1-7 Equation for $J=7$; Boundary Layer Moment-of-Momentum Equation

The boundary layer moment-of-momentum equation was used to derive the shape factor equation (41). This equation is discussed in Section 3.4 of this report.

A1-8 Initial Conditions for the Part 1 Analysis
The initial conditions for the Part 1 analysis are established from the transition zone analysis described in Section 3.3. The initial values were set as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}}=1 \quad \frac{T_{0 J}}{T_{00}}=\frac{T_{o 1}-T_{00}}{T_{o o}} \underset{\text { zone Analysis }}{\text { From transition }} \\
& \lambda=\lambda \begin{array}{cc}
\text { From transition } \\
\text { zone Analysis }
\end{array} \quad \frac{\theta}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}}=\frac{\theta}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Calculated from } \\
\text { Equation (14) }
\end{array} \\
& \frac{\delta}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}}=\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}}\left(\frac{\delta}{\mathrm{R}}\right) \underset{\text { transition }}{\text { From }} \quad \mathrm{H}=1.4 \text { Input value to program } \\
& \text { zone analysis } \\
& \frac{p}{P_{o 0}}=\left[1-S_{o} \frac{k}{k-1} \lambda^{2}\right]\left[1-\frac{\Delta P_{s D}}{P_{o 0}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

A2 - Part 2 - Downstream of Jet Attachment
The general form of the flow equations, as described in Section 3.4, is as follows:

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{K}=1}^{6} \mathrm{~W}(\mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{~K}) * \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{~K})=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{~J})
$$

The 6 variables employed in Part 2 are tabulated below. The superscript (') represents the derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial\left(\frac{x}{R_{o}}\right)}$.

$$
\begin{array}{rccccc}
K & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
Y(K) & =\left(\frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}}\right)^{\prime} & \left(\frac{U_{o}}{U_{c}}\right)^{\prime} & \gamma^{\prime} & \left(\frac{p}{P_{o o i}}\right)^{\prime} & \mathbb{T}^{\prime} \\
& \left(\frac{T_{o o}}{T_{o o i}}\right)^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

where $P_{\text {ooi }}$ and $T_{\text {ooi }}$ are the stagnation pressure and stagnation temperature for the wall streamline at the end of Part 1 just as the jet reaches the duct wall.

The variable $\mathrm{Y}(2)$ remains zero throughout the Part 2 analysis; this variable is a edundant parameter which remains from an earlier version of the computer program.

The $W(J, K)$ coefficients and $V(J)$ terms are determined in this section of the appendix.

A2-1 Equation for $J=1$; Continuity Equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{W}_{1}=\int_{\mathrm{o}}^{\mathrm{R}} \rho_{\mathrm{u}} \cdot 2 \pi y d y \\
& \frac{\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{W}_{1}}{\pi \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{o}}}=\frac{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{oo}}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{R}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{T_{o o}}{T} \frac{u}{U_{c}} 2 \eta \mathrm{~d} \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $\quad \frac{\mathrm{u}}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}}=\mathrm{f}_{2}(\eta)+\gamma \mathrm{g}_{2}(\eta)$

$$
\frac{T}{T_{o o}}=1+\pi \sqrt{f_{o}(\eta)}-S_{o}\left(\frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}}\right)^{2}\left[f_{2}(\eta)+\gamma g_{2}(\eta)\right]^{2}
$$

where $\quad \frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{T}_{00}}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{oJ}}}=\sqrt{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}(\eta)} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { (Free jet temperature profile) is assumed to } \\ & \text { hold in Part } 2 \text { as a simplification of the }\end{aligned}$ analysis.

The value of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{oO}}$ used in the definitions of BP and $\mathrm{S}_{0}$, and throughout the Part 2 analysis, is the stagnation temperature for the wall streamline at the axial position selected. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{oo}}$ varies with x in Part 2.

Let $\quad D=\frac{T}{T_{o O}}$

$$
B P=\frac{R_{g} T_{o o}}{U_{j o}^{2}}
$$

The continuity equation may be rewritten as follows:

$$
\frac{T_{o o i}}{P_{o o i}} \frac{R_{g}}{R_{o}^{2}} \frac{W_{o}+W}{\pi g_{o} U_{j o}}=\frac{\frac{p}{P_{o o i}}}{\left(\frac{T_{o o}}{T_{o o i}}\right)} \frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}} \frac{R^{2}}{R_{o}^{2}} Z_{11}
$$

where $\quad Z_{11}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(f_{2}+\gamma g_{2}\right) 2 \eta d \eta}{D}$
In the computer analysis, the integration is approximated by a summation across the flow:

$$
\mathrm{z}_{1 \mathrm{~J}}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}} \frac{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{i} J}}{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i} J}} \eta_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

In this equation, $N_{i}$ and $D_{i}$ are average values of the numerator and denominator across the $i^{\text {th }}$ equal-radius annular segment of the flow.

The following additional definitions will be used:

$$
Z_{2 J}=\frac{\partial Z_{1 J}}{\partial\left(\frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}}\right)}=\frac{2}{n_{s}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{S}}-\frac{N_{i J}}{D_{i J}{ }^{2}} \frac{\partial D_{i J}}{\partial\left(\frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}}\right)} \eta_{i}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{5 J}+Z_{6 J}=\frac{\partial Z_{i J}}{\partial \mathbb{J}}=\frac{2}{n_{s}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{s}} \frac{1}{D_{i J}} \frac{\partial N_{i J}}{\partial \widetilde{J}} \eta_{i}+\frac{2}{n_{s}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{s}}-\frac{N_{i J}}{D_{i J}^{2}} \frac{\partial D_{i J}}{\partial \vec{V}} \eta_{i} \\
& Z_{7 J}+Z_{9 J}=\frac{\partial Z_{1 J}}{\partial \gamma}=\frac{2}{n_{s}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{s}} \frac{1}{D_{i J J}} \frac{\partial N_{i J}}{\partial \gamma} \eta_{i}+\frac{2}{n_{s}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{s}}-\frac{N_{i J}}{D_{i J J}{ }^{2}} \frac{\partial D_{i J}}{\partial \gamma} \eta_{i} \\
& z_{8 J}=\frac{\partial Z_{1 J}}{\partial\left(\frac{T_{00}}{T_{00 i}}\right)}=\frac{2}{n_{s}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{s}}-\frac{N_{i J}}{D_{i J}{ }^{2}} \frac{\partial D_{i J}}{\partial\left(\frac{T_{00}}{T_{00 i}}\right)}{ }^{\eta_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Employing these definitions in the continuity equation, the following equation is obtained after differentiating:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left(\frac{T_{00}}{T_{00 i}}\right)^{\prime} \frac{\frac{p}{P_{001}}}{\left(\frac{T_{00}}{T_{001}}\right)^{2}} \frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}} \frac{R^{2}}{R_{o}{ }^{2}} Z_{11}+\frac{\frac{p}{P_{001}}}{\left(\frac{T_{00}}{T_{001}}\right)} \frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}} \frac{R^{2}}{R_{o}{ }^{2}}\left[Z_{21} \frac{U_{c}{ }^{\prime}}{U_{j o}}+\left(Z_{51}+Z_{61}\right) J^{\prime}\right] \\
& +\frac{\frac{p}{P_{o o i}}}{\left(\frac{T_{o o}}{T_{o o i}}\right)} \frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}} \frac{R^{2}}{R_{o}{ }^{2}}\left[\left(Z_{71}+Z_{91}\right) \gamma^{\prime}+Z_{81}\left(\frac{T_{o o}}{T_{o o i}}\right)^{\prime}\right] \\
& \text { Collecting terms and dividing each by } \frac{\left(\frac{p}{P_{00 i}}\right)}{\left(\frac{T_{00}}{T_{00 i}}\right)} \frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}} \frac{R^{2}}{R_{0}{ }^{2}} \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
W(1,1)=\frac{Z_{11}}{\left(\frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}}\right)}+Z_{21} & W(1,5)=Z_{51}+Z_{61} \\
W(1,2)=0 & W(1,6)=Z_{81}-\frac{Z_{11}}{\left(\frac{T_{o o}}{T_{o o i}}\right)} \\
W(1,3)=Z_{71}+Z_{91} & V(1)=-2 \frac{\left(\frac{R}{R_{o}}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(\frac{R}{R_{o}}\right)^{\prime}} Z_{11} \\
W(1,4)=\frac{Z_{11}}{\left(\frac{\mathrm{p}}{P_{o o i}}\right)} &
\end{array}
$$

Table A2 lists the values of $N_{i}, D_{i}$, and their derivatives which are required to evaluate the $Z$ parameters in the previous equations.

A2. 2 Equation for J = 2; Energy Equation

$$
\text { constant }=\int_{\mathrm{o}}^{\mathrm{R}} \rho_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{o}} \cdot 2 \pi \mathrm{ydy}
$$

assuming constant specific heat throughout the flow

Using the substitutions for $\rho$, the velocity profile functions, and $\eta$ as in Section A2.1, the energy equation may be rewritten as follows:

$$
\text { constant }=\frac{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{oo}}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{R}^{2} \int_{\mathrm{o}}^{1} \frac{\left[\mathrm{f}_{2}(\eta)+\gamma \mathrm{g}_{2}(\eta)\right]}{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{o}} 2 \eta \mathrm{~d} \eta
$$

As in Section A2.1, the free-jet temperature profile is assumed to hold:

$$
\frac{T_{o}}{T_{o o}}=1+\mathbb{I} \sqrt{f_{o}(\eta)}
$$

With this, the energy equation becomes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { constant }=\frac{p}{R_{g}} U_{c} R^{2} \int_{o}^{1} \frac{\left(f_{2}+\gamma g_{2}\right)\left(1+\mathbb{T} f_{o}^{1 / 2}\right.}{D} 2 \eta d \eta \\
& \text { constant }=\frac{p}{P_{o o i}} \frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}} \frac{R^{2}}{R_{o}^{2}} Z_{12} \\
& Z_{12}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(f_{2}+\gamma g_{2}\right)\left(1+\pi f_{o}^{1 / 2}\right) 2 \eta d \eta}{D}
\end{aligned}
$$

After differentiating with respect to $\frac{x}{R_{o}}$, the energy equation takes the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\left(\frac{p}{P_{o o i}}\right)^{\prime} \frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}} \frac{R^{2}}{R_{o}^{2}} Z_{12}+\left(\frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}}\right)^{\prime} \frac{p}{P_{o o i}} \frac{R^{2}}{R_{o}^{2}} Z_{12}+\left(\frac{R^{\prime}}{R_{o}}\right) 2 \frac{p}{P_{o o i}} \frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}} \frac{R}{R_{o}} Z_{12} \\
& +\frac{p}{P_{o o i}} \frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}} \frac{R^{2}}{R_{o}{ }^{2}}\left[Z_{22}\left(\frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}}\right)^{\prime}+\left(Z_{52}+Z_{62}\right) \pi^{\prime}+\left(Z_{72}+Z_{92}\right) \gamma^{\prime}+Z_{82}\left(\frac{T_{o o}}{T_{o o i}}\right)^{\prime}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Collecting terms and dividing each by $\frac{p}{P_{o o i}} \frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}} \frac{R^{2}}{R_{o}^{2}}$;
$W(2,1)=\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{12}}{\left(\frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\overline{U_{\mathrm{jo}}}}\right)}+\mathrm{Z}_{22}$

$$
\mathrm{W}(2,5)=\mathrm{Z}_{52}+\mathrm{Z}_{62}
$$

$W(2,2)=0$
$\mathrm{W}(2,3)=\mathrm{Z}_{72}+\mathrm{Z}_{92}$
$W(2,6)=Z_{82}$
$V(2)=-2 Z_{12} \frac{\left(R 1 / R_{o}\right)}{\left(R / R_{o}\right)}$
$W(2,4)=\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{12}}{\left(\underset{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ooi}}}{\mathrm{p}}\right)}$

Table A2 lists the values of $N_{i}, D_{i}$, and their derivatives which are required to evaluate the $Z$ parameters in the equations above.

A2.3 Equation for $J=3$; Momentum Equation
$-\pi R^{2} \frac{d p}{d x}-2 \pi R \tau_{w}=\frac{d}{d x} \int_{0}^{R} \rho u^{2} 2 \pi y d y$
Using the previously-developed substitution for $\rho$, the velocity profile functions, and $\eta$ :
$-R^{2} \frac{d p}{d x}-2 R \tau_{w}=\frac{d}{d x}\left\{\frac{p}{R_{g} T_{o o}} U_{c}^{2} R^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{2\left[f_{2}(\eta)+\gamma g_{2}(\eta)\right] 2}{D} \eta d \eta\right\}$
Let $\quad \tau_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{fd}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}}{\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{o}}} \quad$ where $\quad \frac{\rho}{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{o}}} \cong \frac{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{oo}}}$

The momentum equation may be rewritten as follows:

$$
-R^{2} \frac{d p}{d x}-R C_{f d} U_{c}^{2} \frac{p}{R_{g} T_{o o}}=\frac{d}{d x}\left\{\frac{p}{R_{g} T_{o o}} \quad U_{c}^{2} \quad R^{2} Z_{13}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{13}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}+\gamma \mathrm{g}_{2}\right)^{2} 2 \eta \mathrm{~d} \eta}{\mathrm{D}}
$$

Normalizing:

$$
-\left(\frac{R}{R_{o}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{p}{P_{o o i}}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{R}{R_{o}} C_{f d}\left(\frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}}\right)^{2} \frac{p}{P_{o o i}} \frac{1}{B P}=\frac{d}{d\left(\frac{x}{R_{0}}\right)}\left\{\frac{p}{P_{o o i}} \frac{U^{2}}{R_{g o} T_{o o}} \frac{U_{c}^{2}}{U_{j o}^{2}} \frac{R^{2}}{R_{o}^{2}} Z_{13}\right\}
$$

Differentiating:
$\begin{aligned} {\left[-\left(\frac{R}{R_{o}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{p}{P_{o o i}}\right)^{\prime}-\right.} & \left.\frac{R}{R_{o}} C_{f d}\left(\frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}}\right)^{2} \frac{p}{P_{o o i}} \frac{1}{B P}\right]=\frac{Z_{13}}{B P}\left[\left(\frac{p}{P_{o o i}}\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}}\right)^{2} \frac{R^{2}}{R_{o}^{2}}\right. \\ & \left.+\left(\frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}}\right)^{\prime}{ }^{2} \frac{p}{P_{o o i}} \frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}} \frac{R^{2}}{R_{o}^{2}}\right] \quad+\text { (see next page) }\end{aligned}$

Collecting terms and dividing each by $\frac{p}{P_{o o i}} \frac{U_{c}{ }^{2}}{U_{j o}{ }^{2}} \frac{R^{2}}{R_{o}{ }^{2}} \frac{1}{B P}$;

$$
\mathrm{W}(3,1)=\frac{2 \mathrm{Z}_{13}}{\left(\frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{jo}}}\right)}+\mathrm{Z}_{23} \quad \mathrm{~W}(3,5)=\mathrm{Z}_{53}+\mathrm{Z}_{63}
$$

$$
\mathrm{W}(3,2)=0
$$

$$
\mathrm{W}(3,6)=\frac{-\mathrm{Z}_{13}}{\left(\frac{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{OO}}}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{ooi}}}\right)}+\mathrm{Z}_{83}
$$

$$
\mathrm{W}(3,3)=\mathrm{Z}_{73}+\mathrm{Z}_{93}
$$

$$
\mathrm{W}(3,4)=\frac{\mathrm{BP}}{\frac{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ooi}}} \frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}}{\frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{jo}}^{2}}{2}}}+\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{13}}{\left(\frac{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ooi}}}\right)}
$$

$$
V(3)=-\frac{C_{f d}}{\frac{R}{R_{o}}}-2 Z_{13} \frac{\left(\frac{R^{\prime}}{R_{o}}\right)}{\left(\frac{R}{R_{o}}\right)}
$$

Table A2 lists the values of $N_{i}, D_{i}$, and their derivatives which are required to evaluate the Z parameters in the equations above.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{13}}{\mathrm{BP}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{R}}{R_{o}}\right)^{\prime} 2 \frac{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ooi}}} \frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{jo}}{ }^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}} \\
& -\frac{T_{o o}}{T_{00 i}} \frac{p^{\prime}}{P_{o o i}} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{T_{00}}{T_{\infty O i}}\right)} \frac{U_{c}^{2}}{U_{j o}^{2}} \frac{R^{2}}{R_{o}^{2}} \frac{Z_{13}}{B P} \\
& +\frac{p}{P_{00 i}} \frac{\frac{U_{c}^{2}}{U_{j o}^{2}}}{B P} \frac{R^{2}}{R_{o}^{2}}\left[Z_{23}\left(\frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}}\right)^{\prime}+\left(Z_{53}+Z_{63}\right) \pi^{\prime}+\left(Z_{73}+Z_{93}\right) \gamma^{\prime}+Z_{83} \frac{T_{o o}}{T_{00 i}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## A2.4 Equation for $\mathrm{J}=4$; Moment-of-Momentum Integral Equation

The moment-of-momentum integral equation is taken from Section A1. 5 of this appendix:

$$
\int_{0}^{R} \rho u y \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} y d y+\int_{0}^{R} \rho v y \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} y d y=\int_{0}^{R} \frac{\partial(\tau y)}{\partial y} y d y-\int_{0}^{R} \frac{d p}{d x} y^{2} d y
$$

Noting that, in Part 2, $u=U_{c}\left(f_{2}+\gamma g_{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\frac{1}{R_{o}} U_{c}^{\prime}\left(f_{2}+\gamma g_{2}\right)-\frac{U_{c}}{R_{o}}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \eta}+\gamma \frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right) \eta \frac{\left(\frac{R^{\prime}}{R_{o}}\right)}{\left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{o}}\right)}+\frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}} g_{2}}{R_{o}} \gamma^{\prime} \\
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=\frac{U_{c}}{R}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \eta}+\gamma \frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

then $\int_{0}^{R} \rho u y \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} y d y=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{p}{R_{g} T_{o o}} \quad \frac{U_{c}\left(f_{2}+\gamma g_{2}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \eta^{2} d \eta}{D} R^{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{oo}}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2} \mathrm{R}^{3} \int_{\mathrm{o}}^{1} \frac{\frac{1}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}+\gamma \mathrm{g}_{2}\right) \frac{\partial \mathrm{u}}{\partial \mathrm{x}} \eta^{2} \mathrm{~d} \eta}{\mathrm{D}} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{pU}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2} \mathrm{R}^{3}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}^{T}{ }_{o o} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}}}\left[\frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}} \mathrm{Q}_{1}+\frac{\left(\frac{\mathrm{R}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}}\right)}{\left(\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}}\right)} \mathrm{Q}_{3}+\gamma^{\prime} \mathrm{Q}_{4}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

In which

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{1}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(f_{2}+\gamma g_{2}\right)^{2} \eta^{2} d \eta}{D} \\
& Q_{3}=-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right)+\gamma\left(\frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right)}{D}\left(f_{2}+\gamma g_{2}\right) \eta^{3} d \eta \\
& Q_{4}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(f_{2}+\gamma g_{2}\right)}{D} g_{2} \eta^{2} d \eta \\
& D=1+T \sqrt{f_{o}(\eta)}-S_{o}\left(\frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}}\right)^{2}\left(f_{2}+\gamma g_{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Following the analysis in Section A1.5, the second integral is evaluated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho v y=-\int_{0}^{y_{0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[\frac{p}{R_{g} T_{o o}} \frac{U_{c}\left(f_{2}+\gamma g_{2}\right)}{D}\right] y d y \\
& \rho v y=-\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{R^{2}}{R_{o} R_{g} T_{o o}}\left\{\frac{p^{\prime} U_{c}\left(f_{2}+\gamma g_{2}\right)}{D}+\frac{\mathrm{pU}_{c}^{\prime}\left(f_{2}+\gamma g_{2}\right)}{D}\right. \\
&+\frac{p U_{c}}{D}\left[-\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \eta}+\gamma \frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right) \eta \frac{\left(\frac{R^{\prime}}{R_{o}}\right)}{\left(\frac{R}{R_{o}}\right)}+g_{2} \gamma^{\prime}\right] \\
&\left.-\frac{p U_{c}\left(f_{2}+\gamma g_{2}\right)}{D^{2}}\left[\mathbb{T}^{\prime} \frac{\partial D}{\partial \mathbb{U}}+U_{c}^{\prime} \frac{\partial D}{\partial U_{c}}+\gamma^{\prime} \frac{\partial D}{\partial \gamma}-\eta \frac{\left(\frac{R^{\prime}}{R_{o}}\right)}{\left(\frac{R}{R_{o}}\right)} \frac{\partial D}{\partial \eta}\right]\right\} \eta d \eta
\end{aligned}
$$


in which $\quad \mathrm{v}_{1}=\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}+\gamma \mathrm{g}_{2}\right)}{D} \eta \mathrm{~d} \eta \quad \mathrm{v}_{7}=\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{\mathrm{g}_{2}}{\mathrm{D}} \eta \mathrm{d} \mathrm{\eta}$

$$
\mathrm{v}_{2}=\int_{0}^{\eta}-\frac{\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}+\gamma \mathrm{g}_{2}\right)}{\mathrm{D}^{2}} \frac{\partial \mathrm{D}}{\partial \frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{j}}}}} \eta \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{\eta} \quad \mathrm{v}_{9}=\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{-\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}+\gamma \mathrm{g}_{2}\right)}{\mathrm{D}^{2}} \frac{\partial \mathrm{D}}{\partial \gamma} \eta \mathrm{~d} \eta
$$

$\mathrm{v}_{5}=0$
$\mathrm{v}_{10}=\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{2}}{\partial \eta}+\gamma \frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right)}{D} \eta^{2} \mathrm{~d} \eta$

$$
\mathrm{V}_{6}=\int_{0}^{\eta}-\frac{\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}+\gamma \mathrm{g}_{2}\right)}{\mathrm{D}^{2}} \frac{\partial \mathrm{D}}{\partial \mathrm{~T}} \eta \mathrm{~d} \eta \quad \mathrm{~V}_{11}=\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{-\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}+\gamma \mathrm{g}_{2}\right)}{\mathrm{D}^{2}} \frac{\partial \mathrm{D}}{\partial \eta} \eta^{2} \mathrm{~d} \eta
$$

With these definitions, the integral

$$
\int_{0}^{R} \rho v y \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} y d y
$$

may be evaluated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\int_{0}^{R} \rho v y \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} y d y}{\frac{p}{R_{g^{T}} T_{o O}} \frac{U_{c}^{2} R^{3}}{R_{o}}}=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{\rho v y}{\frac{p}{R_{g} T_{o o}} U_{c} \frac{R^{2}}{R_{o}}}\right]\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \eta}+\gamma \frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right) \eta d \eta \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}}\left[-\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{2}}{\partial \eta}+\gamma \frac{\partial \mathrm{g}_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right) \eta \mathrm{d} \eta-\frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{jo}}} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~V}_{2}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{2}}{\partial \eta}+\gamma \frac{\partial \mathrm{g}_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right) \eta \mathrm{d} \eta\right] \\
& +\gamma^{\dot{\prime}}\left[-\int_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{7}+\mathrm{V}_{9}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{2}}{\partial \eta}+\gamma \frac{\partial \mathrm{g}_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right) \eta \mathrm{d} \eta\right]+\frac{\mathrm{p}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{p}}\left[-\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{2}}{\partial \eta}+\gamma \frac{\partial \mathrm{g}_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right) \eta \mathrm{d} \eta\right] \\
& +\Pi^{\prime}\left[-\int_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{5}+\mathrm{V}_{6}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{2}}{\partial \eta}+\gamma \frac{\partial \mathrm{g}_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right) \eta \mathrm{d} \eta\right]+\frac{\left(\frac{\mathrm{R}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}}\right)}{\left(\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}}\right)}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{10}+\mathrm{V}_{11}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{2}}{\partial \eta}+\gamma \frac{\partial \mathrm{g}_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right) \eta \mathrm{d} \eta\right] \\
& =\frac{U_{c}^{\prime}}{U_{c}}\left[R_{1}+\frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}} R_{2}\right]+\gamma^{\prime}\left[R_{79}\right]+\frac{p^{\prime}}{p} R_{1}+T^{\prime} R_{56}+\frac{\left(\frac{R^{\prime}}{R_{o}}\right)}{\left(\frac{R}{R_{o}}\right)}\left(R_{10}+R_{11}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

in which $\mathrm{R}_{1}=-\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{2}}{\partial \eta}+\gamma \frac{\partial \mathrm{g}_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right) \eta \mathrm{d} \eta \quad \mathrm{R}_{79}=-\int_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{7}+\mathrm{V}_{9}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{2}}{\partial \eta}+\gamma \frac{\partial \mathrm{g}_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right) \eta \mathrm{d} \eta$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{R}_{2}=-\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~V}_{2}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{2}}{\partial \eta}+\gamma \frac{\partial \mathrm{g}_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right) \eta \mathrm{d} \eta & \mathrm{R}_{10}=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~V}_{10}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{2}}{\partial \eta}+\gamma \frac{\partial \mathrm{g}_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right) \eta \mathrm{d} \eta \\
\mathrm{R}_{56}=-\int_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{5}+\mathrm{V}_{6}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{2}}{\partial \eta}+\gamma \frac{\partial \mathrm{g}_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right) \eta \mathrm{d} \eta \mathrm{R}_{11}=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~V}_{11}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{2}}{\partial \eta}+\gamma \frac{\partial \mathrm{g}_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right) \eta \mathrm{d} \eta
\end{array}
$$

The pressure gradient term may be written as follows:

$$
\int_{o}^{R} \frac{d p}{d x} y^{2} d y=\frac{p}{R_{g^{T}} T_{o o}} \frac{U_{c}^{2} R^{3}}{R_{o}}\left[\frac{p^{\prime}}{p} \frac{1}{3} \frac{B P}{\frac{U_{c}^{2}}{U_{j o}^{2}}}\right]
$$

The shear stress term may be evaluated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\mathrm{R}} \frac{\partial(\tau y)}{\partial \mathrm{y}} \mathrm{ydy}=\tau \mathrm{y}^{2} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{R}}-\int_{0}^{\mathrm{R}} \tau \mathrm{ydy} \\
& \tau=\rho \epsilon \frac{\partial \mathrm{u}}{\partial \mathrm{y}}=\rho \epsilon \frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}_{2}}{\partial \eta}+\gamma \frac{\partial \mathrm{g}_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right) \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { where } \epsilon \text { is the eddy kinematic } \\
\text { viscosity }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{\int_{0}^{\mathrm{R}} \frac{\partial(T y)}{\partial \mathrm{y}} \mathrm{ydy}}{\frac{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{T}}{ }_{o o}} \frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2} \mathrm{R}^{3}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}}}=-(1 / 2) \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{fd}} \frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{R}}-\int_{0}^{1} \rho \epsilon \frac{1}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{R}^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{2}}{\partial r_{i}}+\gamma \frac{\partial \mathrm{g}_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right) \frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}_{o o} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}}^{\mathrm{p}} \eta \mathrm{~d} \eta}{}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-(1 / 2) \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{fd}} \frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{R}}-\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{R}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\epsilon}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathbf{c}} \mathrm{R}} \frac{1}{\bar{D}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{2}}{\partial \eta}+\gamma \frac{\partial \mathrm{g}_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right) \eta \mathrm{d} \eta \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{~A}_{\tau}-\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{R}} \frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{fd}}}{2} \\
\text { where } \mathrm{A}_{\tau} & =-\int_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{E}_{2} \frac{\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{2}}{\partial \eta}+\gamma \frac{\partial \mathrm{g}_{2}}{\partial \eta}\right) \eta \mathrm{d} \eta}{\mathrm{D}} \\
\mathrm{E}_{2} & =\frac{\epsilon}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathbf{c}} \mathrm{R}} \text { in Part } 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Assembling all the terms, the final moment-of-momentum integral equation is as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{U_{c}^{\prime}}{U_{c}}\left[Q_{1}+R_{1}+\frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}} R_{2}\right]+\gamma^{\prime}\left[Q_{4}+R_{79}\right]+\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}\left[R_{1}+\frac{1}{3} \frac{B_{P}}{\left(\frac{U_{c}^{2}}{U_{j o}^{2}}\right)}\right]+T^{\prime} R_{56} \\
=-\frac{\left(\frac{R^{\prime}}{R_{o}}\right)}{\left(\frac{R}{R_{o}}\right)}\left[Q_{3}+R_{10}+R_{11}\right]+\frac{R_{o}}{R} A_{\tau}-\frac{C_{f d}}{2} \frac{R_{o}}{R}
\end{gathered}
$$

The values of the coefficients follow:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{W}(4,1)=\mathrm{Q}_{1}+\mathrm{R}_{1}+\frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{U}_{\text {jo }}} \mathrm{R}_{2} & \mathrm{~W}(4,5)=\mathrm{R}_{56} \\
\mathrm{~W}(4,2)=0 & \mathrm{~W}(4,6)=0
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
W(4,3)=Q_{4}+R_{79} \quad \begin{array}{ll}
V(4) & =-\frac{\left(\frac{R^{\prime}}{R_{o}}\right)}{\left(\frac{R}{R_{o}}\right)}\left[Q_{3}+R_{10}+R_{11}\right] \\
& +\frac{R_{o}}{R} A_{\tau}-\frac{C_{f d}}{2} \frac{R_{o}}{R} \\
W(4,4)=R_{1}+\frac{1}{3} \frac{B P}{\left(\frac{U_{c}}{U_{j 0}}\right)^{2}}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

A2. 5 Equation for $J=5$; Centerline Velocity - Temperature Relationship
The experimental measurements made during this investigation have shown, as in figure 10 , that for any value of $\frac{x}{R_{o}}$ in part 2 ,

$$
\frac{T_{j}}{T_{j o}} \cong \frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}} \times \text { const } \quad \text { Note } U_{c}=U_{J} \text { in Part } 2 \text { Because } U_{o}=0 \text { is assumed }
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\frac{T_{j}}{T_{j o}}} \frac{\partial\left(\frac{T_{j}}{T_{j o}}\right)}{\partial\left(\frac{x}{R_{o}}\right)}=\frac{1}{\frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}}} \frac{\partial\left(\frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}}\right)}{\partial\left(\frac{x}{R_{o}}\right)}
$$

now

$$
\frac{T_{j}}{T_{j o}}=\pi \frac{T_{o o i}}{T_{j o}} \quad \frac{T_{o o}}{T_{o o i}}
$$

so $\quad\left(\frac{T_{j}{ }^{\prime}}{T_{j o}}\right)=\frac{T_{o o i}}{T_{j o}}\left[\pi^{\prime} \frac{T_{o o}}{T_{o o i}}+\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{T_{o o}}{T_{o o i}}\right)^{\prime}\right]$
finally,

$$
\frac{\boldsymbol{T}^{\prime}}{\Pi}+\frac{\left(\frac{T_{o o}}{T_{o o i}}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(\frac{T_{o o}}{T_{o o i}}\right)^{\prime}}=\frac{\left(\frac{U_{c}}{U_{j o}}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(\frac{U_{c}}{U_{\mathrm{jo}}}\right)^{\prime}}
$$

The values of the coefficients follow:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
W(5,1)=\frac{1}{\left(\frac{U_{c}}{U_{j 0}}\right)} & W(5,5)=-\frac{1}{T} \\
W(5,2)=0 & W(5,6)=-\frac{1}{\left(\frac{T_{o o}}{T_{o o i}}\right)} \\
W(5,3)=0 & W(5)=0 \\
W(5,4)=0 &
\end{array}
$$

A2. 6 Equation for $J=6$; Wall Velocity $=0$
The value of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{o}}$ is assumed to be zero throughout Part 2. Therefore,

$$
\frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{o}}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}}=0
$$

and $W(6,1)=W(6,3)=W(6,4)=W(6,5)=W(6,6)=V(6)=0$

$$
W(6,2)=1
$$

Table A1
Values Required to Determine Z Parameters in Part 1

|  | $J=2$ <br> Momentum | $J=3$ <br> Continuity | $\mathrm{J}=4$ <br> Energy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ | $\left(\lambda+f_{o i}\right)^{2}$ | $\lambda+\mathrm{f}_{\text {oi }}$ | $\left(\lambda+f_{0 i}\right)\left(1+\pi f_{0 i}^{1 / 2}\right)$ |
| $\frac{\partial N_{i j}}{\partial \lambda}$ | $2\left(\lambda+f_{o i}\right)$ | 1 | $1+\pi f_{\text {oi }}{ }^{1 / 2}$ |
| $\frac{\partial \mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{i j}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{T}}$ | 0 | 0 | $\left(\lambda+f_{o i}\right) f_{o i}^{1 / 2}$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{i j}}$ | $1+\pi f_{o i}{ }^{1 / 2}-S_{o} \frac{U_{j}^{2}}{U_{j o}^{2}}\left(\lambda+f_{o i}\right)^{2}$ |  |  |
| $\frac{\partial D_{i j}}{\partial\left(\frac{U_{j}}{U_{i o}}\right)}$ | $-2 S_{o} \frac{U_{j}}{U_{j o}}(\lambda$ |  |  |
| $\frac{\partial D_{i j}}{\partial \lambda}$ | $-2 S_{o} \frac{U_{j}^{2}}{U_{j o}^{2}}$ |  |  |
| $\frac{\partial D_{i j}}{\partial T}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{oi}}^{1 /}$ |  |  |

Table A2
Values Required to Drtermine $Z$ Parameters in Part 2


## Appendix B

## THE COMPUTER PROGRAM

## B. 1 General Description

The computer program has 10 sections. The general functions of each section are described below.

MAIN: The program begins and ends in MAIN. Input data concerning the jet pump geometry, inlet gas flow properties, free jet velocity profile, and stations along the mixing tube where output values are desired, are all read in by MAIN and by two subroutines called by MAIN--DIFFEQ and SUB. MAIN converts the units of the input parameters into other units which are more convenient for subsequent analysis.

After conversion of units, MAIN computes the primary and secondary flow conditions at the top-hat section as described in Section 3.3 of this report. Then MAIN sets up the initial trial values for the velocity profile after transition and calls VBO4A to perform the iterations required to obtain an accurate solution for the profile.

After the transition zone analysis has been completed, MAIN sets up the initial conditions for the flow analysis upstream of the point of jet attachment to the wall. It also defines the stations along the mixing tube for which data will be printed out. MAIN then calls RUNGE to carry out the solution for the remainder of the flow analysis.

SUB: The first section of SUB, called when $\mathrm{J}=3$, reads in data on the mixing tube geometry--inner diameter vs. length. The diameters are converted to radii and all radii and length values are made non-dimensional by dividing by $R_{o}$. The second section of SUB, called when $J=1$ or 2 , finds the duct radius and slope at any axial position $x$ specified as an input value to the subroutine.

The procedure used is linear interpolation between the nearest upstream and downstream radii which were read as input data by the first section of SUB.

CALXFG: The purpose of CALXFG is to perform the computations required to set up the three transition zone equations (27), (33), and (35) for solution by VBO4A. The three equations and derivatives of each of the three equations with respect to the three variables $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{r}}, \lambda$, and $\delta / \mathrm{R}_{\text {eff }}$ are computed in CALXFG.

VBO4A, VDO2A, and SPNIST: These subroutines are library routines employed to solve the three simultaneous non-linear algebraic equations (27), (33), and (35). A two-page discussion of these subroutines is included at the end of section B. 3 .

DIFFEQ: The DIFFEQ subroutine is divided into two parts. Part I establishes the 7 simultaneous equations (39) which must be solved to determine the flow conditions upstream of jet attachment. The equations used are outlined in section 3.4 and detailed in appendix A.1. When the simultaneous equations are set $u$, DIFFEQ calls subroutine SIMQ to solve the equations for the values of the 7 derivatives in equation (38). Then subroutine RUNGE is called to integrate the derivatives using Runge-Kutta-Merson techniques. This integration yields the values of $U_{j}, U_{o}, \delta, p, T_{o j}, \theta$, and $H$ at stations closely spaced along the duct.

Part 2 of DIFFEQ establishes the 6 simultaneous equations (48) which must be solved to determine the flow conditions in the mixing tube downstream of jet attachment to the wall. The equations used are outlined in section 3.5 and detailed in appendix A. 2. Subroutine SIMQ is called to solve for the 6 derivatives in equation (47). Then subroutine RUNGE integrates the derivatives to find the values of $U_{c}, \gamma, p, T_{o j}$, and $T_{00}$ at stations closely spaced along the duct.

SIMQ: This is a library subroutine which is called by DIFFEQ to solve simultaneous linear equations to find the values of the $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{K})$ derivatives in equations (38) and (47).

RUNGE: The RUNGE subroutine performs a Runge-Kutta-Merson integration procedure to integrate the derivatives of the $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{K})$ quantities which are developed by DIFFEQ and SIMQ as described above. RUNGE also calls the subroutine PRINT to print the desired output values of jet pump flow parameters at each mixing tube station (XOUT) which has been specified by input data and equations in MAIN.

PRINT: This subroutine contains instructions for printing the computer jet pump flow parameters at selected stations along the mixing tube downstream of the transition zone.

## B. 2 Input Data Format

The input data to the program must be prepared according to the following sequence:

| Card No. | Parameters | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | NS | 312 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{GG}(\mathrm{I}), \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{NS}$ | 10F5.4 |
| 3 | SDLOSS, ASD | 2 F10.3 |
| 4 | THETA, SHAPE, VISC, RZERO | 4 F 10.6 |
| 5 | 1-CARD MESSAGE identifying solution desired | 80 H |
| 6 | dElTAX, XTUBE, TURBNO, NSUB, NGAM, XCORE, ANOZ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \mathrm{~F} 10.4,2 \mathrm{I} 5, \\ & 2 \mathrm{~F} 10.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { POO, TOO, PO1, T01, AMASS1, AMASSO, } \\ & \text { AG, RG } \end{aligned}$ | 8F7. 3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8 \text { to } 8+\mathrm{I} \\ & 8+\mathrm{I}+1 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} X(I), A(I) \\ 0.0 \end{array}\right\} \text { omit if NSUB }=2$ | 2F15.4 2F15.4 |

Cards 1 through 7 are required for each solution desired. The cards from 8 on are required to define a new mixing tube geometry for analysis. If the same mixing tube geometry is to be used for additional solutions with altered flow conditions, the cards from 8 on do not have to be included for these additional solutions. The input parameter NSUB tells the computer whether the cards from 8 on are included with a data set, i.e., whether the same mixing tube geometry is to be used for additional solutions.

The input parameters are described below.

NS number (=10) of equal-radial-increment strips used to approximate the jet mass flow, momentum, and energy integrals across the jet

SDLOSS
ASD average values of $\frac{\mathrm{U}}{\mathrm{U}}(\eta)$ for $\mathrm{I}=$ NS equal-radial-increment strips for the turbulent pipe flow velocity profile suction duct loss coefficient; $K_{L}$ in equation (55) suction duct area, $\mathrm{ft}^{2} ; \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{SD}}$ in equation (55)

THETA boundary layer momentum thickness at $\mathrm{x}=0, \mathrm{ft}$
SHAPE boundary layer shape factor at $x=0$
VISC
RZERO
DELTAX
XTUBE
TURBNO gas kinematic viscosity for secondary flow at inlet, $\mathrm{ft}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$ mixing tube radius at nozzle exit section; $\mathrm{x}=0$, ft steps of $x / R_{o}$ at which data printouts are desired in the mixing tube

NSUB

NGAM

XCORE length of the transition zone divided by $R_{0}$
ANOZ primary nozzle exit flow area, $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$

POO stagnation pressure upstream of the suction duct losses, psia
TOO stagnation temperature of the secondary flow, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{R}$

PO1 stagnation pressure of the primary flow, psia
TO1 stagnation temperature of the primary flow, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{R}$

AMASSI

AMASSO

AG
$R G \quad$ gas constant, ft-lbf $/ \mathrm{lbm}-{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{R}$
$X(I) \quad x$ stations along the mixing tube at which $A(I)$ values are defined, ft
$\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{I}) \quad$ diameter of the mixing tube at the corresponding $\mathrm{x}_{\text {station }}, \mathrm{ft}$
B. 3

Output Data
A complete sample of output data from the computer program is given in section $B .5$ of this appendix. The first section of the output repeats the input data and thus requires no comment. The remainder of the data is summarized below.
$\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{I})^{\dagger} \mathrm{s}: \quad$ values of $\mathrm{f}_{0}(\eta)$ at $\eta=0.05,0.15,0.25, \ldots \ldots, 0.95$
CONDITIONS AT BEGINNING OF THE TRANSITION SECTION
lists values of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{OO}}, \rho_{\mathrm{OO}}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{joO}}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{OO}}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{OO}}\left(\mathrm{psfa}\right.$ and in. $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), \lambda_{\mathrm{OO}}=\frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{OO}}}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{joO}}}$, and primary jet momentum $=W_{2} U_{ \pm}$where $U_{i}$ is the velocity achieved by isentropic expansion of the primary flow to the static pressure at the end of the accomodation process, $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{oO}}$.

The next portion of the printout monitors the solution by VB04A of equations (27), (33), and (35) for the transition zone. Each iteration employing CALXFG is recorded. The VARIABLES are the values of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{r}}, \lambda$, and $\delta / \mathrm{R}_{\text {eff }}$ determined during the particular iteration reported. The FUNCTIONS are the values of the functions:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\text {mass }_{\text {new }}}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {mass }_{\text {old }}}}{\mathrm{C}_{\text {mass }}^{\text {old }}}=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\text {mom }}^{\text {new }}}{}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {mom }}{ }_{\text {old }}, \frac{\mathrm{P}_{\text {const }}{ }_{\text {new }}-P_{\text {const }}{ }_{\text {old }}}{\mathrm{C}_{\text {mom }}}
$$

where $\mathrm{C}_{\text {mass }}$ is defined by equation (27) $\mathrm{C}_{\text {mom }}$ is defined by equation (32)
$P_{\text {const }}{ }^{i s}\left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o} 1}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{oo}}}\right)^{\frac{\mathrm{k}-1}{\mathrm{k}}}$
( ) new is the value for the current iteration.
( ) old is the value for the previous iteration.
If these functions are computed to within ERR times the "old" value of C mass' $C_{\text {mom }}$, or $P_{\text {const }}$, VB04A is judged to have converged satisfactorily. In the present program, ERR is set at $10^{-6}$, an excessively tight tolerance. As a result, the message "VB04A ACCURACY CANNOT BE ACHIEVED" is often printed out. Following this message, the values of the VARIABLES and FUNCTIONS for the current iteration are printed. These values are used as the first values for subsequent calculations.

Four lines of print follow the end of the VB04A material. The first line restates the values of $\mathrm{XX}(1)=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{XX}(2)=\lambda$, and $\mathrm{XX}(3)=\delta \mathrm{R}_{\text {eff }}$ in numerical form. The second line compares the values of EN, a dimensionless jet pump parameter developed in reference 2, before and after transition (EN vs. EN2).

$$
\mathrm{EN}=\frac{\mathrm{W}_{1}+W_{o}}{\sqrt{2 \pi R_{o}^{2} \rho_{\mathrm{oO}}\left[g_{o}\left(p-P_{o O}\right) \pi R^{2}{ }_{\text {eff }}+W_{1} U_{1}+W_{o} U_{o}\right]}}
$$

The two values should be identical; differences which exist provide a measure of the accuracy of the transition analysis. Following the EN values, the values of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{O}}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{P}}$ (see appendix A , section A 1.1 ) are printed. Then the final values of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{jo}}$ and $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{co}}$ are given.

The printing continues with a tabulation of values along the mixing tube given by Part 1 of the analysis. The parameters listed are as follows:

X/RZERO; values of $\frac{x}{R_{o}}$ beginning with $\frac{x_{\text {core }}}{R_{o}}$
AREA; local value of $\frac{\pi \mathrm{R}^{2} \text { tube }}{\pi \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}}$

PH20; wall static pressure, in $\mathrm{H}_{2} 0$ relative to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{oo}}$
U0; value of $U_{0}$, secondary flow velocity
UCENT; value of $U_{c}$, velocity of flow at the centerline
UR; $\quad$ value of $U_{c} / U_{c o}$
LAMBDA; value of $\lambda=\frac{U_{o}}{U_{j}}$
DELTA/R; value of $\delta / R_{o}$
TOCENT; value of stagnation temperature at the duct centerline TOWALL; value of stagnation temperature in the secondary flow outside the mixing region
THETA/RO; value of $\theta / R_{o}$
SHAPE; value of H

When the Part 1 analysis indicates that the jet reaches the wall, the message "DELTA/R = 1 -- DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS CHANGE" is printed. The local value of $U_{j}$, called CL, is also printed. Next, two lines are printed as follows:

F2(I)'s: values of $\mathrm{f}_{2}(\eta)$ at $\eta=0.05,0.15,0.25, \ldots-\cdots, 0.95$ G2(I)'s: values of $\mathrm{g}_{2}(\eta)$ at $\eta=0.05,0.15,0.25, \ldots-\cdots, 0.95$

The printing concludes with the tabulated results obtained from the Part 2 analysis. The parameters listed are as follows:

X/RZERO, AREA, PH20, UCENT, UR, TOCENT, TOWALL; same as in Part 1 TOWALL/TOO; stagnation temperature of wall streamline divided by secondary flow inlet stagnation temperature, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{oo}}$
AUGMENT: the value of the local momentum flux, $\int_{0}^{R} \frac{\rho u^{2}}{g_{0}} 2 \pi y d_{y}$, divided by the primary jet momentum, $\mathrm{W}_{1} \mathrm{U}_{1}$, which is printed out earlier.

GAMMA; local value of $\gamma$

## B. 3 Listing















```
83 ANEYFGG*(1.*Y5*FS)
    D2N=1.+Y5*FS
    D5N=YFGG*FS
    D3N=G(I)*(1.+Y5*FS)
    GO-T0.85
    41 AN=YFGG*YFGG
    D2N=2.*YFGG
    D3N=2.*YFGG*G\I)
    05N=0
    IF (FII|) 720,720.721
720-AN=-AN
    D2N = -D2N
    03N-=-03N
721 CONTINUE
85-0=1.+Y5*FS-SO*YII*YFGG*YFGG
    DIVD=1./D
    DIVDD=DIVD*DIVD
    O10=-SO*Y1*2.*YFGG*YFGG
    D20=-50*Y11*2:*YFGG
    O3D =- SO*Y11*2.*YFGG*G(I)
    05D=FS
    060=-DSOYG*Y11*YFGG*YFGG
    I=ZIFDIVNS*AN*OIVD
        1*CTR
    22=22-0IVNS*AN*OID*OIVDD
    1 *CTR
    23=73+DIVNS*D2N*OIVD
    1 *CTR
    74=7.4=0IVNS*020*OIVDO*AN
    l *CTR
    1 #CTR
    26=Tも-OIVNS*AN*D5D*OIVDD
    l *CTR
    Z7=27*OIVNS*D3N*OIVD
    1 *CTR
    28=28-DIVNS*AN*DGO*DIVDO
    1 *CTR
    29-29-DIVNS*DIVOD*AN*D30
    1 *CTR
        IFIT-NST82,601,601
    601 W(J,1)=21*0IVY1+Z2
        W(J,2)=23+14
        W(J,3) = Z7 + Z9
~W(J,4)=21*DIVY4
        W(J,5)=25+26
——N(t;6)=28
    IF (J-2) 602,603,602
    602*\cdotsW(J,6)=W{J,6)
    6 0 3 ~ C O N T I N U E ~
        V{J,1)=-2.*OR/R*ZI
        IF (J-3) 86,709,709
    T(9- CONTINUE W(3,1)+ II*DIVYI
    AUGI= Z1 ( W (3,4) + BP*DIVY11*DIVY4
    W(3,4)=W(3,4)+BP*DI
    J = 4
    I=0
    21=0
```















## B. 4 Typical Sets of Input and Output Data

## Input Data:

```
10
.9950.9850.9750.9650.9550.9450.9350.9250.9150.9050
                .33
                    . }2
            .000223 1.400000 .000160 .2?3000
                    M= 21.0
                .500 5.2381 147.0 1 1 1 2.50 000446
            14.7 552.0 348.0 1267.0 .113 2.375 1.40 53.?
    0.0 0445
    1.6315 . 3035
    2.3815 .3037
    3.7686 .4462
    4.2148 . 4463
    5.2981 . 5796
    0.000000 0.000000
1 0
.9950.9850.9750.9650.9550.9450.9350.9250.9150.9050
            .33
            . 21
        .000223 1.400000 .000160 . 223000
            M= 17.0 -.02
        .500 5. 5.2981 147.0 2 1 2.00 .00046
    14.7 552.0 348.0 1267.0 .113 1.885 1.40 53.2
```

Output Data


 ITERATION $\quad$ 2NCALLS OF CALKFG
VARIABMES



$$
\text { iteration } 2
$$

3M CALLS OF CALXFG

FUNCT IONK
$0.509621484742 n E-06 \quad 0.79479296050 M T$ TE-06 $\quad-0.23141410545594 E-05$
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TABLE 1
MEASURED PARAMETERS AND INSTRUMENTATION

|  | Flow Parameter | Instrumentation Used to Measure Parameter | How Recorded | Required for Determining | Data Reduction Procedure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary <br> Flow | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o} 1}$ | Bourdon Tube Gage | Manually | Jet Pump Input Conditions | None Needed |
|  | To1 | Thermocouple and Bridge | Manually | Jet Pump Input Conditions | None Needed |
|  | $\mathrm{W}_{1}$ | Orifice Flow Meter and Panel Gage | Manually | Jet Pump Input Conditions | Standard calibration curves provided by flowmeter manufacturer |
| Secondary | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {oo }}$ | Dial Gage in Suction Duct | Manually | Secondary Flow Temperature | None Needed |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{atm}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{oo}}$ | Mercury Barometer | Manually | Atmospheric Pressure | None Needed |
|  | $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | Manometers | Manually and Photographically | Secondary Flow Rate | See Below |
|  | $\mathrm{W}_{0}$ | Calibrated Bellmouth | Manually | Secondary Flow Rate in $1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{min}$ | Equation (48) |
| Mixing Tube | p vs. length | Manometer Board | Photographically | Mixing Tube and Diffuser Static Pressures | None Needed |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{P} \\ & \mathrm{~T}_{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Kiel-Temperature Probe Traverse | Manually | Velocity and Temperature Profiles | See Text |

TABLE 2
PRESSURE TAP LOCATIONS AND FINAL MIXING TUBE DIMENSIONS

| Static <br> Pressure <br> Tap No. | Stagnation Pressure Traverse No. | Pressure Tap Location figure 4 x-inches | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dimensionless } \\ \text { Location } \\ \mathrm{x} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}} \\ \left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{O}}=2.670^{\prime \prime}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Measured Mixing <br> Tube Dimensions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 0.46 | 0.172 |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | 2.21 | 0.828 |  |  |  |
| 3 |  | 4.71 | 1.76 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1 | 6.71 | 2.51 |  |  |  |
| 5 |  | 9.71 | 3.63 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 2 | 12.21 | 4.57 | $x$ (in) | Dia. <br> (in) | $x / R_{0}$ |
| 7 |  | 14.71 | 5.51 | 0 | 5.341 | 0 |
| 8 | 3 | 17.21 | 6.45 | 19.578 | 3.643 | 7.34 |
| 9 |  | 20.46 | 7.66 | 28.578 | 3.645 | 10.7 |
| 10 |  | 22.21 | 8.32 | 45.224 | 5.355 | 16.9 |
| 11 | 4, 5 | 24.71 | 9.25 | 50.578 | 5.356 | 18.95 |
| 12 |  | 27.21 | 10.19 | 63.578 | 6. 956 | 23.8 |
| 13 |  | 29.71 | 11.13 |  |  |  |
| 14 |  | 32.21 | 12.06 |  |  |  |
| 15 |  | 34.71 | 13.0 |  |  |  |
| 16 |  | 37.21 | 13.94 |  |  |  |
| 17 |  | 39.71 | 14.87 |  |  |  |
| 18 |  | 42.21 | 15.81 |  |  |  |
| 19 |  | 44.46 | 16.65 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 6 | 47.21 | 17.68 |  |  |  |
| 21 |  | 49.71 | 18.62 |  |  |  |
| 22 |  | 51.69 | 19.36 |  |  |  |
| 23 |  | 57.69 | 21.60 |  |  |  |
| 24 |  | 63.69 | 23.85 |  |  |  |
| 25 |  | 69.69 | 26.09 |  |  |  |

TABLE 3
STATIC PRESSURE VALUES MEASURED ALONG THE MIXING TUBE

| Entrainment Ratio |  | 17.0 | 19.4 | 21.0 | 23.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary Flow Rate,lbm/min |  | 6.76 | 6.76 | 6.76 | 6.76 |
| Secondary Flow Rate,$\qquad$ $1 \mathrm{bm} / \mathrm{min}$ |  | 115.1 | 131.4 | 142.0 | 160.3 |
| Static Presure Tap No. | $x / R_{0}$ station | all values in inches of water gage with respect to $\mathrm{P}_{00}$ |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.172 | - 7.66 | -10.3 | -11.8 | -15.6 |
| 2 | 0.828 | - 8.25 | -10.6 | -12.4 | -16.2 |
| 3 | 1.76 | - 8.85 | -11.8 | -14.15 | -18.9 |
| 4 | 2.51 | - 9.15 | -13.0 | -15.6 | -20.6 |
| 5 | 3.63 | -10.9 | -15.0 | -18.6 | -25.1 |
| 6 | 4.57 | -12.1 | -17.7 | -21.8 | -30.1 |
| 7 | 5.51 | -14.45 | -21.5 | -26.8 | -37.8 |
| 8 | 6.45 | -17.7 | -27.4 | -34.6 | -49.5 |
| 9 | 7.66 | -23.0 | -36.6 | -46.4 | -67.5 |
| 10 | 8.32 | -22.7 | -36.3 | -46.4 | -68.0 |
| 11 | 9.25 | -22.1 | -36.3 | -46.4 | -68.8 |
| 12 | 10.19 | -21.8 | -36.0 | -46.6 | -69.3 |
| 13 | 11.13 | -17.4 | -30.1 | -39.6 | -62.2 |
| 14 | 12.06 | -10.05 | -20.3 | -28.0 | -44.2 |
| 15 | 13.0 |  | -13.55 | -20.1 | -33.9 |
| 16 | 13.94 |  | -8.85 | -14.45 | -26.8 |
| 17 | 14.87 | 1.4 | - 5.4 | -10.3 | -21.2 |
| 18 | 15.81 | 3.5 | - 2.7 | - 7.2 | -17.4 |
| 19 | 16.65 | 5.0 | - 0.9 | - 5.0 | -14.6 |
| 20 | 17.68 | 5.7 | 0.3 | - 3.6 | -12.7 |
| 21 | 18.62 | 6.0 | 0.7 | - 3.0 | -11.4 |
| 22 | 19.36 | 6.6 | 1.6 | $-2.0$ | -10.2 |
| 23 | 21.60 | 9.0 | 4.6 | 1.5 | - 5.9 |
| 24 | 23.85 | 10.3 | 6.4 | 3.4 | - 3.4 |
| 25 | 26.09 | 11.1 | 7.5 | 4.7 | -1.7 |
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|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Analytical Predictions } \\ & \text { for } \mathrm{X}_{\text {core }}=2.5 \mathrm{R}_{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  <br>  <br>  <br>  |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\substack{\text { c }}}$ |  |


Figure 1 Jet Mixing in a Converging-Diverging Duct


Figure 3 Primary Nozzle Geometry


Figure 4 Mixing Tube Geometry

Jet Pump Test Rig
Figure 5

Figure 6 Jet Pump Test Instrumentation

Figure 7 Mixing Tube Static Pressure Variations


Figure 8 Measured Velocity Profiles in Mixing Tube


Figure 9 Measured Temperature Profiles in Mixing Tube
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Comparizon of Analytical and Experimental Mixing Tube Static
Pressure Variations ( $\mathrm{x}_{\text {core }} \boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{2 . 5}$, entrainment reduced by $2 \%$ )
Figure 11
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i }
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure $12 \quad$ Comparison of Analytical and Experimental Mixing Tube Static
Pressure Variations (x $x_{\text {core }}=2.5$, secondary flow from bellmouth



Figure $13 \quad$ Comparison of Analytical and Experimental Mixing Tube Static Pressure Variations ( $\mathrm{X}_{\text {core }}=2.0$, entrainment reduced by $2 \%$ )




Figure 16 Comparison of Experimental and Analytical Temperature Profiles


Figure 17. Comparison of "Measured" and Predicted Mach Number Profiles at Traverse Station 1, $\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}}=2.51$
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