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Abstract

A modified Hughes SIT-5 system is being tested for durability at the Lewis Research Center. As of October 1, 1972, the thruster subsystem has logged over 8000 hours of operation. The initial 2023 hours were run with a translating screen thrust vector grid. The thruster is currently operating with an electrostatic type vector grid. Profiles and maps taken at widely separated intervals show that performance and operating characteristics have remained essentially constant. Overall efficiency is about 32 percent and power to thrust ratio is 170 watts per millipound at a specific impulse of 2500 seconds. Telescopic examination of the vector grid shows some sputtering erosion due to charge exchange and direct implantation ions. An independent test of the propellant storage and cathode-isolator-vaporizer subsystem has demonstrated good reliability under simulated thruster operating conditions.

Introduction

The growing interest in long orbital life satellites for a variety of applications has increased the potential usefulness of electric thrusters for attitude control and stationkeeping.(4,5) In particular, electrostatic ion thrusters are suitable for low thrust/high total impulse missions. The major propulsion system requirements for such missions are precision, reliability, and lifetime.(4)

An ion thruster technology program for small mercury bombardment ion thrusters has been in progress at the Lewis Research Center for several years.(5,6) A 5-cm diameter thrust vectorable structurally integrated ion thruster system was designed and developed by the Hughes Research Laboratories under Contracts NAS3-14129 and NAS3-14058. The Hughes SIT-5 thruster system has been tested to a limited extent within the scope of the contracts to evaluate performance and operational characteristics.(9,10) To determine the ultimate life of the system and to pinpoint potential problem areas not discernible in short-term tests, a program of durability testing has been undertaken at the Lewis Research Center.

This paper presents results of a continuing durability test on the Hughes SIT-5 thruster modified for specific tests at Lewis.

Thruster operation (as of Oct. 1, 1972) exceeding 8000 hours is described. Comparisons are made with operating characteristics obtained at 4250 and 6130 hours. An abridged time history of the durability test to date is given. The results of the initial 2000 hours of testing performed with a translating screen vector grid are also included. An independent test conducted concurrently on the SIT-5 propellant feed system is described.

Finally, an assessment is made of the total testing program with a discussion of trends indicated by the tests thus far and possible problem areas for extended operation in space.

Apparatus and Procedure

Hughes SIT-5 System

An engineering drawing of the first generation Hughes SIT-5 (Structurally Integrated Thruster, 5-cm diam.) as delivered is shown in figure 1. Design details and performance of this system and of a second generation design are described in reference 7. A single gas pressurized propellant reservoir feeds mercury to the porous tungsten vaporizers of the main cathode and the neutralizer. A propellant isolator allows the feed system to operate at neutralizer potential. All the propellant to the discharge chamber is introduced through the main cathode which utilizes an enclosed keeper design. The cathode pole piece is vented to allow post-cathode diversion of the propellant into the discharge chamber. Permanent magnets provide the magnetic field. The figure shows a single grid ion extraction system. The durability test, however, was conducted with a translating screen vector grid(8) for the initial 2000 hours. The test is being run presently with a two-axis deflection electrostatic vector grid which has a screen electrode having smaller holes at the center than at the edge. Performance characteristics of this type of grid is described in reference 8. The neutralizer is also an enclosed keeper type. By proper sizing of the keeper hole, the neutralizer can operate at mercury flow rates as low as 1.5 mA equivalent Hg².

Thruster Test

The SIT-5 system was modified to meet specific requirements of the durability test. A photograph of the thruster fitted with an electrostatic vector grid is shown in figure 2. The propellant reservoir was removed and the vaporizers were connected to capillary flow tubes. The neutralizer was mounted on an isolated support and oriented to point downstream parallel to the thruster axis. The two boxes contain contaminant collectors for an adjunct experiment.

Figure 3 shows an interior view of the vertical vacuum tank before the test. The tank is 1.37 m in diameter and 1.83 m tall. A frozen mercury target was used. The mercury was contained in a stainless steel pan and cooled by radial copper struts attached to the bottom of the pan to which copper cooling coils were brazed. A cylindrical cooling coil brazed to vertical copper strips formed the cryowall which extended along the vertical wall of the tank. Liquid nitrogen was used for both the frozen mercury target and cryowall cooling.

The accelerator grid of the thruster was approximately 75 cm above the frozen mercury target.
Provisions for taking in situ telescopic pictures of the accelerator grid were made by having a floodlight and a sputter shielded window mounted on the side of the vacuum facility. Details of the electrical system and test procedures are described in reference II. An automatic digital data acquisition system obtained thruster data at clocked intervals. A protective control system designed to shut down the thruster in the event of abnormal thruster or test facility conditions allowed unattended operation around the clock. The thruster was operated on nominally fixed conditions except for minor day-to-day adjustments. Periodically, performance maps of thruster operating characteristics were obtained by varying a thruster parameter while holding all other variables constant. The ion chamber and neutralizer propellant flow rates were held at about 34 mA and 2.2 mA, respectively, throughout the test.

Propellant Feed System Test

Because the propellant reservoir was separated from the SIT-5 system for the thruster durability test, it was desirable to perform an independent durability test of the propellant reservoir including an identical cathode, isolator, and vaporizer assembly. A schematic drawing of the propellant feed system test installation in a vacuum bell jar is shown in Figure 4. An electrical wiring schematic is included in the drawing. The propellant reservoir was mounted on electrically isolated supports and floated from the ground. A voltage clamping circuit normally used in thruster test was incorporated. A simulated thruster shell without magnets was mounted on the CIV (cathode-isolator-vaporizer) assembly. The discharge current or emission current normally drawn to the thruster anode was drawn to a simulated anode connected to the cathode via a discharge power supply. The positive high voltage was applied to the simulated anode, as in a thruster, to provide a realistic test of the isolator.

It was necessary to enclose the entire assembly except the discharge end of the simulated thruster shell with a grounded screen. This enclosure eliminated stray ion currents which gave erroneous readings of low microampere level current through the isolator leakage detection circuit.

Throughout the test, the cathode keeper current was maintained between 0.25 and 0.30 A. Discharge current was held at 0.040 A. Both of these current levels are typical of thruster operation. Propellant flow rate was held constant by maintaining the vaporizer temperature as near as possible to 340±2°C with minor day-to-day adjustments of a feedback power supply. Periodic measurement of the pressure transducer resistance was made with a Rubicon potentiometer. Operating hours were logged on a digital hour meter which was wired to stop when the positive high voltage to the anode tripped out due to a breakdown overcurrent or bell jar over-pressure condition.

Results and Discussion

This section will first present the latest thruster performance profile after 8002 hours into the test compared with two earlier points. An abridged history from the start of test will show beam outage events and any long term variation in several thruster parameters. Thruster operating characteristics at widely separated points in the test will be compared. In situ photographs of the thrust vector grid in test will be shown and grid operating characteristics will be examined. Finally a brief resume of the propellant feed system test will be made to show its reliability.

Performance Profile

A performance profile of the thruster taken at the time of writing is shown in Figure 1 compared with others taken earlier in the test. The third column shows values obtained with a translating screen vector grid near the end of that test. The design beam current maintained throughout the test was 25 mA. Beam power was higher when the electrostatic vector grid was used because of the higher net accelerating potential required for proper optic characteristics. Discharge power was higher because of lower screen electrode transparency, 29.3 percent compared with 42.6 percent in the translating screen grid. Component power requirements were essentially constant throughout the test except for a slight increase in the neutralizer keeper power.

Propellant utilization efficiency varied slightly because of the difficulty in setting the propellant flow rate at exactly the same value at all times. Power and hence overall efficiency and thrust tended to be higher at the higher specific impulse used with the electrostatic vector grid. Similarly, power to thrust ratio was also higher because power increases directly with net accelerating potential whereas thrust increases as the square root of net accelerating potential.

Time History of Test

The thruster durability test was essentially a steady-state test at fixed operating conditions. The thruster parameters held constant throughout the test are shown in Table II and will not be presented in the time history. The cathode vaporizer temperature was adjusted to obtain the required beam current with the ion chamber discharge current held constant at nominally 0.4 and 0.29 A for the electrostatic and translating grids, respectively. The discharge voltage was very sensitive to cathode propellant flow, increasing with decreasing flow rate. Adjustment to the nominal value of 32-34 mA equivalent flow rate maintained the discharge voltage between 37 and 40 volts.

The cathode keeper current was varied from 0.25 to 0.35 A at different periods in the test for exploratory purposes. For most of the test, however, the keeper discharge was current-controlled at 0.30 A.

Isolator leakage current was monitored only during the first 2000 hours of the test when the
translating screen optics were operated at 1000 volts. No leakage current was detectable on a 20 microampere full scale meter which indicated that the current was always less than 0.1 microampere. The STI-5 isolator was contractually designed for 1000 volts. At the start of the electrostatic vector grid portion of the test, sufficient data from the propellant feed system test was not available to risk operation at 1300 volts. The vaporizer end of the CIV was, therefore, floated after the 2000 hour point.

The neutralizer flow rate was held between 2 to 2.3 mA equivalent throughout. Neutralizer keeper current ranging from 0.3 to 0.45 A was tried during the early portion of the test. From the 1000 hour point on, the neutralizer keeper discharge was current-controlled at 0.45 A.

An abridged time history of the test is shown in figure 5. Because of the highly compressed scale, fine details cannot be shown here. Details of the first 2000 hours of test with the translating screen grid are reported in reference 11.

In addition to the four parameters charted, various beam outage events are marked. Three neutralizer outages occurred in the early hours of the test. These were attributed to high current surges from the output filter capacitors of the high voltage power supplies during grid arcs. Addition of suitable series inductive ballasts alleviated this condition. Later neutralizer outages were due to a vector grid arc at 2650 hours and a low temperature excursion of the neutralizer vaporizer at 4125 hours.

Four complete shutdowns of the thruster occurred because of tank pressure rising above 5x10^-5 torr. These conditions were test facility generated and would not occur in space flight. Three beam outages were caused by one or more transistors failing in the current control section of the discharge power supply. Protective diodes and clips have been installed, but occasional failure still occurs. Thus far, three temporary grid shorts have occurred. They are temporary in that sustained application of sufficient current cleared the short (possibly a flake of sputtered metal) to permit continuation of the test. Thruster shutdown during a short is caused by a protective circuit which guards against high accelerator drain current.

During normal thruster operation, the accelerator drain current was less than one-half percent of the beam current as shown in figure 5(a). As will be shown later, the optics were operating in a region where drain current is not particularly sensitive to applied voltages. Noticeable increases in drain current could be observed with increase in propellant flow rate. This indicates that most of the observed drain current was due to charge exchange ions.

The cathode keeper voltage shown in figure 5(b) exhibited a rise in the early part of the test, but remained at essentially a constant value thereafter until the end of the translating grid test. Exposure to atmosphere while installing the electrostatic vector grid apparently did not degrade cathode operation. Restart after exposure presented no problem. One thousand hours after the exposure, the keeper voltage actually decreased and at the time of this writing is operating at 12 volts. Except for the starting periods, the cathode has been operated with no heater power.

The neutralizer keeper voltage and the thruster floating potential (figs. 5(c) and (d)) are somewhat inter-related and will be examined jointly. The thruster floating potential is strongly influenced by electron emission from the neutralizer. Neutralizer outage precludes thruster operation because of highly negative thruster floating potential. The long-term rise in neutralizer keeper voltage heater powers maintained at 4500 and again at 70000 hours of operation. It is believed that some minimum cathode temperature is necessary to preserve the low work function surface which enhances electron emission.

Thruster Operating Characteristics

Data obtained with the electrostatic vector grid configuration are presented in this section. The test hours indicate the total hours of operation on all thruster components except the vector grid which was installed at 2023 hours. Actual grid hours thus equal the test hours minus 2023.

Cathode keeper current. The effects of varying the cathode keeper current while holding all other parameters at nominal conditions are shown in figure 6. Figure 6(a) shows that the volt-ampere characteristics of the cathode were identical at 4250 and 6130 hours. The time history given in figure 5 shows that at 4250 hours the keeper voltage had already been near its stabilized value for about 1000 hours. The volt-amp curve had a positive slope at the nominal operating point of 0.3 A with a reversal at a keeper current of 0.35 A. The ion chamber discharge current and voltage shown in figures 6(b) and (c) also underwent trend reversals; at keeper currents greater than 0.3 A. An alternate variation of changing the cathode keeper current without adjusting the ion chamber discharge showed the beam current to peak at or near a keeper current of 0.3 A. The spread in data of the discharge parameters is believed to be due to slight differences in propellant flow rates. Other data shown in later figures tend to support this explanation.

Discharge current. The effects of varying the ion chamber discharge current while holding the cathode keeper current at 0.3 A is shown in figure 7. The beam current (fig. 7(a)) showed similar trends at both points in the test. The 4250 hour data were slightly above the later data indicating slightly higher propellant flow. This is consistent with the trends in accelerator drain current and discharge voltage shown in figures 7(b) and (c). As noted earlier, drain currents increase with charge exchange ion chemistry and discharge voltage decreases with increased propellant flow.

Neutralizer keeper current. The effects of varying neutralizer keeper current is shown in figure 8. Two sets of data were taken at the 6130 hour point with tip heater powers at the normal 3 watts and also at zero. With minor diff.
ferences, the keeper voltage (fig. 8(a)) and thruster floating potential (fig. 8(b)) exhibited similar trends at both points in the test. Reducing tip heater power tended to raise the keeper voltage and floating potential. A set of data points was taken at 7100 hours with the tip heater power increased to 10 watts. As shown earlier in the time history (fig. 5(c) and (d)), both the keeper voltage and the thruster floating potential decreased. In all cases, the thruster floating potential rose steeply as keeper current was reduced. A keeper current of 0.45 A appears to be sufficient for most conditions thus far in the test.

Operating maps such as those shown here are taken at discrete points in the test. They reflect propellant flow rate or possibly neutralizer operating conditions at a particular time. As the time history has shown, there were minor ups and downs in the neutralizer related parameters as well as gradual long-term effects. In durability testing, the long-term effects bear watching, especially if they are unidirectional. The neutralizer characteristics that appear to possess such long-term trends are the keeper voltage and the thruster floating potential.

**Thrust Vectoring Subsystem**

Two types of thrust vectoring grids have been used in the durability test. The translating grids have been reported extensively in references 8 and 10. In the present test, after 2023 hours, grid erosion was minimal, giving an extrapolated life of over 20 000 hours. The discussion will, therefore, be confined to the electrostatic vector grid because of its many hours of continued testing.

Since the start of the electrostatic vector test at 2023 hours, the grid has not been exposed to the atmosphere. In situ examinations with a telescope have been made at periodic intervals. To assist in the interpretation of the highly magnified photo, a cutaway section of the electrostatic vector grid is shown in figure 9. Each beamlet hole is surrounded by accelerator grid elements on four sides. The grid elements are 0.5 mm thick and 2.5 mm wide and notched at the intersection to avoid electrical contact. The intersection region is covered by a bent tab attached to one of the grid elements. These tabs protect the grid elements from charge exchange ions which focus into the intersections. Because of the oblique sighting angle, the grid elements will appear as a stack of plates and the beamlet holes will not be visible in the photograph.

A photograph of a grid region approximately 1.6 by 1.8 cm is shown in figure 10. This photo was taken after 4000 hours of grid operation. Note the charge exchange pits forming on the protective tab over the intersections. The inside surfaces of the grid elements show some curved sputter erosion patterns. It is believed that these are caused by highly divergent ions emanating from a circular beam hole to form a conic section where they intersect the grid element plane.

The vector grid has been operated in the beam deflection mode for a total of 2000 hours to date in four directions. About 120 hours have been at a maximum deflection of 5 degrees based on a deflection voltage of 250 volts. The remaining hours have been at deflection angles of 2 to 4 degrees.

Grid shorting noted in the time history has occurred between grid elements as well as between the screen and accelerator electrodes. Thus far, these shorts have been cleared by a sustained application of 200-400 volts at currents ranging from 6 to 70 mA. These shorts are believed to be caused by accumulation of sputtered metal which build up in the intersections then peel off. Interelectrode shorts between the screen and accelerator may also be caused by buildup of sputtered metal or sputtered metal flakes shed from ion chamber surfaces.

**Net accelerating potential variation.** The effects of varying the net accelerating potential with the beam current held at 25 mA are shown in figure 11. These variations were made at 4100 hours of grid operation. The accelerating potential was held at -900 volts. The accelerator drain current (fig. 11(a)) decreased slightly as the net accelerating potential was increased beyond the nominal 1300 volt operating value.

The ion chamber discharge current and voltage (figs. 11(b) and (c)) were essentially constant. A slight decrease in discharge current was observed, indicating better ion extraction at the higher potentials.

**Accelerator potential.** The effects of varying the accelerating potential while holding net accelerating potential at 1300 volts and the beam current at 25 mA is shown in figure 12. The drain current (fig. 12(a)) showed a slightly rising trend with increasing accelerating potential. This trend may be due to increasing beam divergence which is characteristic of high accelerator potentials.

The discharge current and voltage (fig. 12(b) and (c)) both increased slightly with decreasing accelerating potential. This trend is consistent with reduced ion extraction effects seen earlier when net accelerating potential was varied.

**Propellant Feed System Test**

The propellant reservoir and CIV assembly which were separated from the SIT-5 thruster system were tested independently in a vacuum bell jar. The purpose of the test was to evaluate the following factors:

1. Long-term retention capability of the propellant reservoir pressurizing gas.
2. Vaporizer flow control over a long operating period.
3. Propellant feed isolator durability under simulated thruster operation.

By the nature of the test, all four factors were evaluated concurrently over the test duration of 5400 hours. The vaporizer was operated at a constant temperature of 340°C, and 1300 volts was impressed across the isolator.

A plot of the transducer resistance which is proportional to gas pressure is shown in figure 13(a) as a function of test time...
1971, a bench reading was made at room temperature. The reading was adjusted to 40° C, the operating reservoir temperature, and plotted at time zero. Subsequent transducer readings were made at 40° C and plotted directly. Feed system installation was completed, and the test was actually begun on September 30, 1971. The 5400 hours of operation was not obtained until June 20, 1972 because of vacuum facility failures and repair shutdowns which occurred in the interim. A simplified analysis given in Appendix A shows the relationship between the time rate of change in the gas pressure and the volume change which occurs because of propellant outflow under isothermal conditions. The propellant reservoir was found to attain a constant stabilized temperature of about 40° C. Assuming that the propellant flow rate is constant, the volume change should be linear with time.

Based on the analysis, the ratio of the initial transducer resistance to the resistance at a specific test time is plotted in figure 13(b). This method of analysis is convenient in that the value of initial resistance does not change the slope of the line drawn through the data points. The time rate of change of the resistance ratio equals the time rate of change of the volume ratio, \( \frac{V/V_i}{t} \), where \( V \) = gas volume at time \( t \), and \( V_i \) = initial gas volume. The initial gas volume was measured by filling a gas reservoir of identical design with water.

The significant result of the feed system test is that the volume change of the gas, and hence the displacement of mercury propellant, was linear with time. It can thus be concluded that the flow rate was constant over the test period and that the gas reservoir does not leak if the calculated flow rate is of the right magnitude. As shown in Appendix A, the flow rate of 30.4 mA calculated from the pressure data agree favorably with those obtained by other means including mass measurements. In this thruster durability test, the same operating temperature on a vaporizer of identical design is yielding a propellant flow of 33-34 mA.

The isolator was tested primarily at 1300 volts with the cathode operating at conditions representative of thruster operation. Several exploratory periods of operation at 1350 volts across the isolator were tried. The leakage current showed no increase at the higher voltage. Within 1 to 4 hours of unattended operation, however, the power supply over-current breaker would trip, indicating that a breakdown had occurred. No breakdowns occurred at 1300 volts. The leakage current throughout the test remained at less than 0.1 microampere.

The evaluation of cathode durability in this test was not a rigorous in-thruster evaluation because of the differences in the configuration and the discharge plasma. Although cathode discharge currents and voltages were representative of thruster conditions, the plasma environment and ion bombardment of cathode surfaces were probably also different in the test. The confining nature of the enclosed keeper design, however, may shield the cathode sufficiently even in a thruster discharge chamber so that cathode life is prolonged.

Visual inspection after 5400 hours showed no discernible erosion of either the cathode tip or the keeper aperture. All components of the CIV assembly were in functional order, but the test was terminated to make way for a second generation CIV assembly designed to operate at 1600 volts.

**Concluding Remarks**

Continuing durability tests of a structurally integrated 5-cm diameter ion thruster system are being conducted at the Lewis Research Center. The Hughes SIT-5 thruster modified for specific tests is operating at over 8000 hours at the time of this writing.

A time history of the test and performance mapping at widely separated intervals have shown that the cathode, ion chamber discharge, and accelerator drain current characteristics have remained essentially constant throughout the test. The neutralizer keeper voltage and the thruster floating potential have shown a slight rise with time. This may be indicative of a gradual degradation of the emissive surfaces within the neutralizer cathode. Several methods of eliminating or minimizing the degradation process are being investigated in other tests.

A translating screen thrust vector grid showed minimal erosion after 2023 hours. In situ examination of the electrostatic thrust vector grid in current use has shown some erosion due to charge exchange and possibly direct impingement ions. Grid shorting is a potential problem. Methods of clearing these shorts are also being investigated.

An independent test of the SIT-5 propellant feed system conducted for 5400 hours has demonstrated its reliability. The test was terminated to make way for a second generation cathode-isolator-vaporizer assembly designed to operate with 1600 volts across the isolator. Results to date at 2100 hours are encouraging.

All tests thus far have been at steady-state operation except for startups following an occasional shutdown. Restarts have been reliable and easy even after 7000 hours of operation. Flight application to a particular mission may require on-off cyclic mode. Reliability testing under an on-off cyclic mode has been successfully performed to a limited extent by Hughes Research Laboratories as part of a contract effort. Extended reliability testing will be performed at the Lewis Research Center.

**Appendix A**

**Calculation of Propellant Flow Rate from Gas Pressure Measurements**

The time variation of the pressure transducer ohmic resistance is shown in figure 13. Because the measured pressure is linear with the transducer resistance, the equation for pressure is

\[
P = KR
\]

where

- \( P \) = gas pressure
- \( K \) = calibration constant
- \( R \) = transducer resistance

This method of analysis is convenient in that the value of initial resistance does not change the slope of the line drawn through the data points. The time rate of change of the resistance ratio equals the time rate of change of the volume ratio, \( \frac{V/V_i}{t} \), where \( V \) = gas volume at time \( t \), and \( V_i \) = initial gas volume. The initial gas volume was measured by filling a gas reservoir of identical design with water.

The significant result of the feed system test is that the volume change of the gas, and hence the displacement of mercury propellant, was linear with time. It can thus be concluded that the flow rate was constant over the test period and that the gas reservoir does not leak if the calculated flow rate is of the right magnitude. As shown in Appendix A, the flow rate of 30.4 mA calculated from the pressure data agree favorably with those obtained by other means including mass measurements. In this thruster durability test, the same operating temperature on a vaporizer of identical design is yielding a propellant flow of 33-34 mA.

The isolator was tested primarily at 1300 volts with the cathode operating at conditions representative of thruster operation. Several exploratory periods of operation at 1350 volts across the isolator were tried. The leakage current showed no increase at the higher voltage. Within 1 to 4 hours of unattended operation, however, the power supply over-current breaker would trip, indicating that a breakdown had occurred. No breakdowns occurred at 1300 volts. The leakage current throughout the test remained at less than 0.1 microampere.

The evaluation of cathode durability in this test was not a rigorous in-thruster evaluation because of the differences in the configuration and the discharge plasma. Although cathode discharge currents and voltages were representative of thruster conditions, the plasma environment and ion bombardment of cathode surfaces were probably also different in the test. The confining nature of the enclosed keeper design, however, may shield the cathode sufficiently even in a thruster discharge chamber so that cathode life is prolonged.

Visual inspection after 5400 hours showed no discernible erosion of either the cathode tip or the keeper aperture. All components of the CIV assembly were in functional order, but the test was terminated to make way for a second generation CIV assembly designed to operate at 1600 volts.
Assuming isothermal expansion of the confined gas volume, the equation of state is

\[ PV = C = P_1 V_1 \]

where

- \( P_1 \) initial gas pressure
- \( V_1 \) initial gas volume
- \( V \) gas volume at any time, \( t \)

or

\[ \frac{V}{V_1} = \frac{P}{P_1} \]

The time derivatives are:

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{V}{V_1} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{P}{P_1} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{V}{V_1} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{R_1}{R} \right) \]

At initial conditions when the reservoir was loaded, the initial resistance was

\[ R_1 = 3500 \ \Omega \]

and the initial volume was

\[ V_1 = 538 \ \text{cc} \] (measured volume)

A plot of the quantity \( R_1/R \) is shown as a function of time in figure 13(b). The slope of the line through the points gives a value for

\[ \frac{dV}{dt} = 3.15 \times 10^{-5} \times 538 = 1.69 \times 10^{-2} \ \text{cc/hr} \]

Because the time rate of change of the volume of the pressurizing gas equals the change of the mercury propellant volume, assuming mercury density of 13.5 gm/cc at 40° C,

\[ \dot{m} = 228 \ \text{mg/hr} \]

This corresponds to an \( \text{Hg}^+ \) equivalent flow rate of 30.4 mA. Average flow rate calculated from system mass measurements was 32 mA. Inferred flow characteristics of this and similar vaporizers reported in reference 9 were about 30 mA at comparable vaporizer temperatures.
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Table I - Performance profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test hours</th>
<th>Electrostatic</th>
<th>Electrostatic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>4250</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vector grid type</td>
<td>Optics transparency, ( % )</td>
<td>Utilization efficiency, ( % )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrostatic</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net accelerating potential, ( V )</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam power, ( W )</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge power, ( W )</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component power</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerator drain, ( W )</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathode, ( W )</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutralizer, ( W )</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total input power, ( W )</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>68.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power efficiency, ( % )</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilization efficiency, ( % )</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>69.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall efficiency, ( % )</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrust, ( \text{mlb} )</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific impulse, sec</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>2480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/T ratio, ( W/\text{mlb} )</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam deflection grid type</td>
<td>Electrostatic</td>
<td>Translating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Beam current, mA</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Net accelerating potential, V</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Accelerator potential, V</td>
<td>-900</td>
<td>-1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Discharge current, A</td>
<td>0.35-0.4</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Discharge Voltage, V</td>
<td>38-40</td>
<td>37-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Discharge chamber propellant flow rate, mA</td>
<td>32-34</td>
<td>32-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Cathode keeper current, A</td>
<td>0.25-0.3</td>
<td>0.26-0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Isolator leakage current, μA</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Neutralizer flow rate, mA</td>
<td>2-2.3</td>
<td>2-1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Neutralizer keeper current, A</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.3-0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Engineering drawing of the SIT-5 system.
Figure 2. - Test installation of modified SIT-5 thruster.

Figure 3. - Tank interior before test.
Figure 4 - Schematic of propellant feed system test installation.
Figure 6. - Effects of varying cathode keeper current at 25 MA beam current and no cathode heater power.

Figure 5. - Time history of durability test.
Figure 7. - Effects of varying discharge current at 0.3 A cathode keeper current and no cathode heater power.
Figure 8. - Effects of varying neutralizer keeper current. Beam current, 25 MA.
Figure 9. - Cutaway view of electrostatic vector grid assembly.

Figure 10. - Electrostatic vector grids in operation at 4000 hours.
Figure 13. - Time history of propellant reservoir test.