The goal of automatic documentation of computer programs is to establish procedures, called documentation programs, that can be implemented by computer programs. These documentation programs may be divided into two categories: postmortem and developmental documentation programs. In the former case, a computer program is presented as input for documentation without any preparation; in the latter case, the program to be documented must be developed so that it contains information necessary for the documentation.

This paper is concerned only with the development documentation programs. A document tree is defined as the syntactic representation of a document when it is divided into subdivisions such as chapters and sections. A developmental tree is defined as a tree of information obtained during the course of the development of a computer program. The task of documenting a computer program is then made equivalent to a transformation of its developmental tree into a document tree. When this transformation is performed by a computer program, the documentation can be achieved automatically.

There is no attempt made in this paper to define the document tree more precisely. Only its tree structure is assumed. Efforts are concentrated on the developmental tree, specifically a subtree of it; the subprogram tree is illustrated in more detail.

GENERAL APPROACH

In the development of documentation programs, two objectives are paramount. Pieces of information about the program to be documented should be kept in a computer file during the development of the program, and this information should not be duplicated in the file. The importance of the first objective is obvious; the information should be in a computer-readable form for documentation. The importance of the second objective can be seen whenever a change is made during or after the development of the program to be documented. One can easily make the mistake of changing information in one place and forgetting about it in the other place. On the other hand, a change of information at a certain place may require changes in other information.

The goal of this project is to structure the developmental file of information in a tree structure (fig. 1) so that the nodes represent pieces of information. Any change in the
contents of a node may require changes in the subtree rooted in that node. In certain cases when the semantic structure is more complex, i.e., it may represent a directed graph, pointers may be used semantically.

The final documentation of a program is produced from its developmental tree of information. A special tree-traversing program, possibly interactive, selects out the contents of nodes or subtrees, invokes certain documentation programs to transform these data into special format, and stacks this information sequentially. The sequentially stacked information is processed by a listing program to produce the final printed document.

Obviously the main problem is the establishment of the developmental tree structure. At this time, a complete tree structure cannot be proposed. The definition of certain types of subtrees, however, has been accomplished. One of these, a source program subtree, is described in detail.

FLOWCHARTING AND PROGRAM LISTINGS

Any large computer program should be segmented into subprograms, subroutines, and procedures. The size of a subprogram may depend on its complexity and on its source language. Documentation of a subprogram is usually done in three different forms: textual description, flowchart, and source language listing.

The information should be structured as a tree. A source program is compiled (assembled), which generates a relocatable program. Figure 2 then defines the tree.

Certain information such as size, entry points, and external references can be obtained from the compiler-generated relocatable program. The rest of the information should be put into the source program. Textual information can easily be placed into the source program by grouped comment lines. Thus the source program may be defined as a tree, as seen in figure 3.

To combine the flowchart with the source program creates some problems. A special
form called a sequence chart is used. This is not a complete flowchart in the standard sense, but it forces a tree on the otherwise graph-structured flowchart. Then there is no problem in listing a tree structure sequentially. The missing links of the graph structure, which appear as transfer statements in the source program, can be implemented by semantic comments. A special computer program for a source language can automatically flag these places.

Appendixes A, B, and C show the final printed forms of three different subprograms. The right side of the lists contains the actual program statements; the left side is stored internally as coded comments. The listing program takes care of this separation, but the actual sequential form is kept in the vertical direction. Those flow lines that represent the spanning tree of the program are shown with special characters, colons, periods, and asterisks. The groups of textual descriptions are separated by horizontal lines of asterisks. Both the names of the groups and the characters used for line drawing are made flexible by changing an internal table in the printing program. Special print programs are available: A “level” print gives only those lines that are not indented more than a certain input parameter. A “selective” print gives only a subtree; i.e., a defined group or a subtree of the body. The output of these print routines, formatted for a document processor, can be kept in the computer.

This form of documentation has been very helpful in the project from which these three examples were taken. During the debugging stage, it was easy to follow the sequence chart to locate a specific segment of a subprogram without turning pages back and forth.

Obviously, to get these forms, a good editing program capable of performing insertions and changes is needed. Appendixes D and E show appendix A in a developmental stage. In appendix D the initial sequence chart is defined. In appendix E an update procedure is shown. First the sequence chart is shown in a coding sheet geometrically; then its code is placed in front of it. The code for a line is composed by two fields. The first field defines either the depth of the text, 0 to 9, and blanks for program statements or contains special instructions, like group heading, change, and insert commands. The second field contains subcodes, such as line drawing codes for sequence charts and line numbers for updating commands. The text appears in the third field. In the actual input, the text field gets left adjusted. The lines will not be represented because they are already defined by codes.

This procedure for writing a program has the following advantages:

1. It provides an up-to-date documentation of the program in the developmental stage.
2. It forces a programmer to lay out his program so that it provides an automatic documentation at any level.
3. It provides a form for a project leader to define subprograms without details that can be inserted by other programmers.
4. It may be used for the present-day coded flowcharting programs.

Its main disadvantage is that it needs more work and discipline in the beginning.

SUMMARY

Printed documents have syntactic tree structures, such as titles, chapters, and sections. The semantic contents of the document may have more complex graph structures, but these
structures are implemented by semantic references. A computer program has a graph structure also, but a spanning tree on this graph can be defined with semantic references to the missing links. This developmental tree of a program may have a different arrangement from a document tree. If the necessary information is contained in the developmental tree for the document tree, a transformation program can produce a document tree from the developmental tree. If the structures of the two trees are standardized, then this transformation can be achieved automatically. Otherwise, an interactive transformation routine can achieve a semiautomatic documentation.
APPENDIX A—PRINTED SUBPROGRAM: EXAMPLE 1

*****************************************************************************
TITLE
EXPRESSION TRANSLATOR, INFIX TO PREFIX
*****************************************************************************
ABSTRACT
*** AUTHOR: C.K. MESTENYI
*** DATE: JULY 31, 1970
*** LANGUAGE: FORTRAN 5
*** PROJECT: FORMAL - SUBROUTINE
*** SEARCH KEY: NONE
*****************************************************************************
DATA STRUCTURE.

FORMAL, CMMN

FORMAL, PWORD

*** ARGUMENT: * ERROR RETURN
ISW INPUT ARGUMENT

*****************************************************************************
SPECIFICATION

THIS IS A GENERALIZED EXPRESSION TRANSLATION ROUTINE FROM
INFIX TO PREFIX FORM. IT ASSUMES THAT THE CALLING ROUTINE
INITIALIZED THE SCANNER, THIS GSCANNER GIVE THE CONSECUTIVE
LOGICAL SYMBOLS, THE ROUTINE MAY BE CALLED FROM 4 DIFFERENT
PLACES DEPENDING ON ISW:
ISW = 0 PROCESS AN ASSIGN STATEMENT: VARIABLE = EXPRESSION
   = 1 TRANSLATE THE EXPRESSION PART FROM A READ-IN DATA
      WHICH MAY BE IN THE FORM: EXPRESSION OR
      VARIABLE = EXPRESSION
   = 2 PROCESS SUBSCRIPT EXPRESSION IN THE FORM:
      EXPRESSION
   = 3 PROCESS AN EXPRESSION IN THE FORM:
      EXPRESSION

IN THE FIRST CASE, THE INFORMATIONS FOR THE VARIABLE ARE
STORED IN N1, N2, N3. IN THE SECOND CASE, ONLY THE EXPRESSION
PART IS RETAINED UPON RETURN. IN ALL CASES, THE TRANSLATED
AND SIMPLIFIED EXPRESSION IS PLACED ABOVE THE PUSH-DOWN
STACK WITH THE PUSH-DOWN STACK CONTAINING ONLY ONE ENTRY:
A COMMA WITH A COUNT CORRESPONDING THE NUMBER OF
EXPRESSIONS TO ACCOMODATE LISTS.

*****************************************************************************
METHOD

AFTER INITIALIZATION, THE LOGICAL BCD SYMBOLS ARE OBTAINED
BY GSCANNER AND PROCESSED ONE-BY-ONE IN A LOOP. PROCESSING A
SYMBOL IS DONE AS FOLLOWS:
FIRST, IT IS CHECKED IF THE SYMBOL IS IN CORRECT TEXT;
THEN
CONSTANTS ARE LINKED IN ABOVE THE PUSH-DOWN STACK;
VARIABLES - THEIR VALUES ARE OBTAINED FROM THE SYMBOL
TABLE AND LINKED ABOVE THE PUSH-DOWN STACK; IF
THE VARIABLE IS SUBSCRIPTED, OR IT IS A
FUNCTION IDENTIFIER, THEN THE NAME IS LINKED
IN ABOVE THE PUSH-DOWN STACK; AND A LEFT
PARENTHESIS IS PLACED IN THE PUSH-DOWN STACK WITH
COUNT = 1.
LEFT PARENTH. - IS PLACED IN THE PUSH-DOWN
STACK WITH COUNT=0.
OPERATORS - THE PUSH-DOWN STACK IS EMPTIED OUT BY STKOUT
UNTIL ITS TOP ELEMENT HAS PRECEDENCE NUMBER
EQUAL TO OR LESS THAN THE PRECEDENCE NUMBER
OF THE OPERATOR. THEN THE OPERATOR IS PLACED
IN THE PUSH-DOWN STACK. SIMPLIFICATION IS
PERFORMED BY STKOUT.
RIGHT PARENTH., RIGHT BRACKET - THE PUSH-DOWN STACK IS
EMPTIED OUT BY STKOUT UNTIL THE MATCHING LEFT
PARENTH. IS FOUND, IF THAT HAS A COUNT=0,
IT IS DISCARDED TOGETHER WITH THE RIGHT
PARENTH. IF IT HAS A NON-ZERO COUNT, THEN IT
INDICATES AN END OF SUBSCRIPTS (PAR.) OR END OF
FUNCTION ARGUMENTS (BRACKET). IN CASE OF END OF
SUBSCRIPTS, THE SUBSCRIPTS ARE COLLECTED AND
THE VALUE OF THE SUBSCRIPTED VARIABLE IS
OBTAINED FROM THE SYMBOL TABLE, WHICH IS
LINKED IN. IN CASE OF END OF ARGUMENT LIST,
THE FUNCTION IDENTIFIER IS OBTAINED AND LINKED
IN
SEMICOLON - INDICATES THE END OF EXPRESSION, THE PUSH-DOWN
STACK IS EMPTIED OUT BY STKOUT.

*****************************************************************************
LOCAL VARIABLES

LOGICAL VARIABLE 'SB' IS TRUE WHENEVER THE SCANNED SYMBOL IS
IN SUBSCRIPT LEVEL. 'SBC' VARIABLE CONTAINS THE DEPTH OF THIS
LEVEL.
LOGICAL VARIABLE 'EOL' IS TRUE WHEN AN '=' HAD BEEN PROCESSED
ALREADY, THUS IT MAY NOT APPEAR AGAIN. '=' MAY ALSO NOT APPEAR
ON SUBSCRIPT LEVEL.
THE SYNTAX OF EXPRESSIONS IS CHECKED AT EVERY SCANNED SYMBOL BY
MASKING 'TEST' WHICH WAS SET BY THE PREVIOUS SYMBOL. IF THE RESULT
IS NOT ZERO THEN THE EXPRESSION HAS SYNTACTIC ERROR. IN THE
FOLLOWING TABLE, 'A' DENOTES AN ALPHANUMERIC NAME, 'N' DENOTES A
NUMERIC CONSTANT, 'I' DENOTES POSITIVE INTEGER;
SYMBOL MASKING BITS (DEC.) RESET TEST (DEC.)
INITIAL ASSIGN --- 100000 (64)
INITIAL OTHERS --- 010000 (32)
A 00011110 (14) 00010000 (8)
A1 00011110 (14) 01000000 (62)
A2 10011110 (78) 01000000 (32)
N 10011110 (78) 00001000 (4)
[I] 10011110 (78) 00001000 (4)
[I] 10011110 (78) 00001000 (4)
UNARY +- 11010101 (117) 00000001 (1)
BINARY +- 11100011 (113) 00000000 (16)
* / ** 11100011 (113) 00000000 (16)
; 11100011 (113) 01000000 (32)
AS SEPARATOR 11100011 (113) 00001000 (4)
) 11100011 (113) 00001000 (4)
AS END OF SUBS. 11100011 (113) 00000000 (2)
1110001 (113) ---

'BRT' AND 'PAR' ARE USED TO COUNT THE BRACKETS AND PARENTHESIS, RESPECTIVELY.
LOGICAL 'NEG' IS SET TO TRUE BY '-' FOR THE NEXT CHARACTER SCANNED ONLY.

********** SEQUENCE CHART **********

INITIALIZE
PUSH-DOWN STACK WITH COMMA

SUBSCRIPT LEVEL

LOGICAL VARIABLES EQL AND END, INITIAL TEST

GO TO SUBSCRIPT START IF ISW=2

LOOP TO PROCESS CONSECUTIVE SYMBOL
* GET SYMBOL
* " "
* BRANCH BY TYPE OF SYMBOL
* : IND = 1,2,3,4 FOR INTEGER, REAL, IDENTIFIER, SPECIAL CHARACTER
* " "
* ... INTEGER
* " "
* ... REAL
* " "
* LINK IN CONSTANT
* " "
* " "
* CHANGE SIGN IF NEG IS TRUE
* " "
* " "
* ... IDENTIFIER
* " "
* CHECK IS -1 FACTOR SHOULD BE LINKED IN
* " "
* " "
* ERROR IF IT HAS MORE THAN 6 CHARACTERS
* " "
* " "
* BRANCH BY TERMINATING CHARACTER

LOGICAL S, E, Q, L, N, E, G

NP = IGTF1($990)
NP0 = NP
C(NP) = 20K10
D(NP) = 1

SB = .FALSE.
BRT = 0
PAR = 0
SBC = 0

EQL = ISW .GE. 2
TEST = 32
IF (ISW .EQ. 0) TEST = 64
NEG = .FALSE.

IF (ISW .EQ. 2) GO TO 180

30 CONTINUE
CALL GSCANR($990, IND, N1, ITC, ICC)

GO TO (100, 110, 40, 60), IND

100 I = 0
GO TO 120

110 I = 3

120 IF (AND(IND78, NE, 0)) CALL FMLERR($990, N1, I, 1)
TEST = 4
J = ILINK1(NP, I, N1)
IF (NEG) D(J) = D(J)
NEG = .FALSE.
GO TO 30

40 INEG = 1
GO TO 500

50 IF (ICC, NE, 0) CALL FMLERR($990, N1, I, 2)
GO TO (L30, L30, L90, L90, L190), JTC + 1

130 IF (AND(TEST,14), .NE., 0) CALL FMLERR($990,N1,1,1)
    TEST=0
    N2=0

140 IF (EQL .OR. SB) GO TO 160

150 IF (N2 ,NE., 0) CALL ILINK1(NP,N2+7,N3)
    J=6
    IF (N2 ,NE., 0) J=7
    CALL ILINK1(NP,J,N1)
    GO TO 30

160 CALL SYMBOL($990,1)
    IF (EPTR ,EQ., 0) GO TO 150

    II=ICOPY0($990,EPTR)
    I=NEST(II)
    J=LASTXX($990,II,1,0)
    IF (H2(II) ,EQ., 1) GO TO 170

    CALL STKOUT($990,II)
    IF (IITY(NP) ,GT., 17) CALL FMLERR($990,N1,1,1)
    D(INP)=D(INP)+H2(II)-1

170 CALL RMOVF1(II)
    CALL ILINKN(NP,J)
    GO TO 30

180 IF (AND(TEST,14), .NE., 0) CALL FMLERR($990,N1,1,1)
    PAR = PAR+1
    TEST=32

    NP=ILINK1(NP,17+1)
    CALL ILINK1(NP,7,N1)
    SBZ .TRUE.,
    SBZ=SBZ+1
    GO TO 30

190 IF (AND(TEST,78), .NE., 0) CALL FMLERR($990,N1,1,1)

    I=IFUNCT(N1)
    IF (I ,EQ., 0) GO TO 210
    BRT = BRT+1
    IF (I ,GT., 1) GO TO 200
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231

... DEFINED FUNCTION
... LINK IN EXPRESSION

... UNDEFINED FUNCTION
... LINK IN COMMA FOR
THE ARGUMENTS FOLLOWING

... SPECIAL CHARACTERS
BRANCH BY THE SPECIAL CHARACTERS

... ILLEGAL SPECIAL CHARACTERS

... LEFT BRACKET OR ID. ENCLOSED IN BRACKETS

... UNDEFINED FUNCTION ARGUMENT AND SUBSCRIPTED
FUNCTION CHECK IF IT IS SUBSCRIPTED
FUNCTION
... YES, GO TO FUNCTION PART
OF DEFINITION
... NO, IT IS A DUMMY ARGUMENT,
THEN IT MUST BE FOLLOWED BY
AN INTEGER AND RIGHT BRACKET

CHECK IF -1 FACTOR IS NEEDED

... RIGHT BRACKET

END OF FUNCTION ARGUMENTS

NP=ILINK1(NP,23,0) 200  
GO TO 240

NP=ILINK1(NP,21,0) 110 NP=I=I   
GO TO 240

210 N230

CALL SYMBOL($990;1) 220 BRT = BRT+1 
IF (EPTR�EQ.0) GO TO 230

I1=ICOPYG($990;EPTR)  
I=NEXT(I)
J=LASTXX($990;II;1;10)
NP=ILINK1(NP,22;0(I))  
CALL ILINK(NP;I;J)
CALL IFREE1(I)  
GO TO 240

230 NP=ILINK1(NP,24;N1)  

240 NP=ILINK1(NP,16;1)  
TEST=32  
GO TO 30

60 GO TO (270,280,290,270,300,340,350,270,440,270,270,390,400, 


CALL FMLERR($990,N1,1,1) 270

IF (AND(TEST,78),NE. 0) CALL FMLERR($990,N1,1,1)

280 IF (TEST,NE. 2) GO TO 220

INEG = 2

GO TO 500  
CALL GSCANR($990,IND,IDT,ITC,ICC)  

IF (IND,NE. 1,OR. IDT,NE. 0) CALL FMLERR($990,ITC,1,1)  
I=ILINK1(NP,5;IDT)  
CALL GSCANR($990,IND,ITC,ICC)  
IF (IND,NE. 4,OR. IDT,NE. 3) CALL FMLERR($990,ITC,1,1)

TEST=4
GO TO 30

... RIGHT BRACKET

END OF FUNCTION ARGUMENTS
290  IF (AND(TEST,113), NE, 0) CALL FMLERR($990,ITC,1,1)
     BRT = BRT+1
     IF (BRT .LE. 0) CALL FMLERR($990,ITC,1,4)
     TEST = 4
     CALL STKOUT($990,17)
     IF (ITYP(NP), NE, 16) CALL FMLERR($990,ITC,1,1)
     I=I(NP)
     J=NP
     NP=LAST(NP)
     CALL RMVFF1(J)
     J=ITYP(NP)
     IF (J .LT. 21) CALL FMLERR($990,ITC,1,4)
     IF (J, EQ, 24) ITYP(NP)=I+24
     IF (((J, .EQ, 24), AND, ((I+24), GT, 31))
     CALL FMLERR($990,D(NP),1,3)
     IF (J .LT. 24) H$=NP=I
     CALL STKOUT($990,21)
     GO TO 300
300  IF (AND (TEST,113), NE, 0) CALL FMLERR($990,ITC,1,1)
     PAR = PAR-1
     IF (PAR, .LT, 0) CALL FMLERR($990,ITC,1,4)
     CALL STKOUT($990,18)
     IF (ITYP(NP), NE, 17) CALL FMLERR($990,ITC,1,4)
     IF (D(NP), NE, 0) GO TO 310
     I=NP
     NP=LAST(NP)
     CALL RMVFF1(I)
     TEST = 4
     GO TO 30
310  TEST = 2
     N2=X(D(NP))
     IF (N2, .GT, 4) CALL FMLERR($990,N2,0,5)
     N3=0
     SRC=SRC-1
     IF (SU.C, .EQ, 0) SB=.FALSE
     DO 320 KK=2,1,0=1
     K=K+1(NP)
     IF (ITYP(K), NE, 0) CALL FMLERR($990,D(K),2,13)
     IF (D(K), LT, 0, OR, D(K), GT, 511) CALL FMLERR($990,D(K),0,15)
     FLO(9+K*9, N3) = D(K)
     CALL RMVFF1(K)
320  CHECK IF THIS IS THE END OF TRANSLATION (ISW=2)
     ... NO, GO BACK TO VARIABLE PART TO GET THE VALUE OF THE SUBSCRIPTED VARIABLE
     J=K+1(NP)
     N=I(D(J))
     K=NP
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1. **MINUS**
   - SET *NEG* AND LINK IN +
   - PLUS +
     - IS IT UNARY OR BINARY
     - UNARY PLUS OR MINUS
     - BINARY + -
     - COMMON PART FOR BINARY OPERATORS

2. **MULTIPLICATION**
   - GO TO BINARY OPERATOR

3. **EXPONENTIAL** **
   - GO TO BINARY OPERATOR

4. **DIVISION** /
   - GO TO BINARY OPERATOR
   - SECOND ENTRY

5. **LEFT PARENTHESES** ( 
   - GO TO CHECK FOR -1 FACTOR
   - COMMA
   - EQUAL SIGN =

   - YES, RETURN FOR I5W=2

   - MINUS -
   - SET *NEG* AND LINK IN +
   - PLUS +
     - IS IT UNARY OR BINARY
     - UNARY PLUS OR MINUS
     - BINARY + -
     - COMMON PART FOR BINARY OPERATORS

   - MULTIPLICATION *
     - GO TO BINARY OPERATOR

   - EXPONENTIAL **
     - GO TO BINARY OPERATOR

   - DIVISION /
     - GO TO BINARY OPERATOR
     - SECOND ENTRY

   - LEFT PARENTHESES ( 
     - GO TO CHECK FOR -1 FACTOR
     - COMMA
     - EQUAL SIGN =

   - RETURN 330
   - CALL IFREE0(NP0)

   - RETURN 340
   - NE= .TRUE.

   - IF (AND(TEST,94) .NE. 0) GO TO 360
   - CALL STKOUT($990,18)
   - TEST=16
   - GO TO 30

   - J=16

   - J=19

   - I=2
   - CALL FMLERR($990,ITC,1+1)
     - TEST=16
     - CALL STKOUT($990,J)
     - NP=LINK1(NP,J+1)
     - GO TO 30

   - J=19

   - I=2
   - GO TO 370

   - J=20
   - GO TO 370

   - J=19
   - GO TO 380

   - IF (AND(TEST,78) .NE. 0) CALL FMLERR($990,ITC,1+1)
     - PAR = PAR+1
     - TEST=32
     - INEG = 3
     - GO TO 500
     - NP=LINK1(NP,17+0)
     - GO TO 30

   - IF (AND(TEST,113) .NE. 0) CALL FMLERR($990,ITC,1+1)
     - TEST=32
     - CALL STKOUT($990,18)
     - D(NP)=D(NP)+1
     - GO TO 30

   - IF (AND(TEST,117) .NE. 0) CALL FMLERR($990,ITC,1+1)
     - TEST=1
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IF (EQL .OR. SB) CALL FMLERR($990,ITC,1,1)
EQL = .TRUE.
CALL STKOUT($990,18)

IF ((ITYP(NP),NE,16) .OR. (D(NP),NE,1) .OR. (LAST(NP),NE,1))
1 CALL FMLERR($990,ITC,1,1)

IF (ISW.EQ.1) GO TO 450
IF ((KK.EQ.0) .OR. (ITYP(KK),LT,6) .OR. (ITYP(KK),GT,7))
1 CALL FMLERR($990,ITC,1,1)

NN1=D(KK)
NN2=0
IF (ITYP(KK),EQ,6) GO TO 450
NN2=ITYP(NN3)+7
NN3=D(NN3)
CALL IFREE(KK)
NEXT(NP)=0
GO TO 30

IF (AND(TEST,113),NE,0) CALL FMLERR($990,ITC,1,1)

IF (PAF,NE,0,.OR. BRT,NE,0) CALL FMLERR($990,'() (7),1,4)
CALL STKOUT($990,18)
IF ((ITYP(NP),NE,16) .OR. (LAST(NP),NE,0))
1 CALL FMLERR($990,ITC,1,1)

IF (ISW,NE,0) RETURN
IF (,NOT., EQL) CALL FMLERR($990,ITC,1,1)

N1=NN1
N2=NN2
N3=NN3
RETURN

IF (,NOT., NEG) GO TO 510
NP = 1LINK(NP,19,2)
CALL 1LINK(NP,0,-1)
NEG = ,FALSE,

GO TO (50,285,425) INEG

CALL IFREE(NP)
RETURN 1

END
APPENDIX B—PRINTED SUBPROGRAM: EXAMPLE 2

*******************************************************************************

TITLE
MAIN PROGRAM FOR INTERACTIVE FORMAL SYSTEM

*******************************************************************************

**SEQUENCE CHART**

**INITIALIZE BY CALLING FMLOPT**

**LOOP TO GET NEXT INPUT LINE**

- **READ LINE**
  - **IF IT STARTS WITH 'C' (COMMENT), GO TO NEXT LINE**
  - **IF IT STARTS WITH 'P' (PRINT), GO TO 'P' ENTRY**
- **LOOP TO GET STATEMENT TYPE IN J**
- **END OF LOOP**
  - **IF IT IS AN ASSIGN STATEMENT**
    - **REPRINT ERASE, OPTION, ROLOUT, SAVE AND RESET STATEMENTS**
  - **BRANCH BY TYPE**
    - **... READ STATEMENT**
    - **... PRINT STATEMENT**
    - **... DUMP STATEMENT**
    - **... ERASE STATEMENT**

**PARAMETER IDIM = 10**
**DIMENSION IN(I4), INN(I4), ITAB(IDIM)**
**EQUIVALENCE (I4,12, INN(I))**
**DATA IN(I4) / 10 /**
**DATA ITAB /'READ PRINT DUMP ERASE OPTION '**
**+ 'ROLOUT COUN CSAVE RESET'**

99 CALL FMLOPT (INT, 0)
110 READ 100, END=200, IN
100 FORMAT (13A6, A2)

IF (FLOD(0, 12, IN(I1)) .EQ. 1005K) GO TO 110
IF (FLOD(0, 12, IN(I1)) .EQ. 2505K) GO TO 22
J = 0
111 DO 111 I = 1, IDIM

IF (J) .EQ. 60,
  GO TO (121, 121, 121, 120, 120, 120, 110, 120, 121, 121, 120, 120, 120, 120, 120)
J
120 CONTINUE

PRINT 101, IN
101 FORMAT (X, A6, '!', 13A6)

121 GO TO (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 110, 7, 8, 9, 10, J)
1 CALL FMLOP1 (INN, 0)
GO TO 110
2 CALL FMLOP2 (INN, 0)
GO TO 110
22 FLOD(0, 6, IN(I1)) = 0505K
CALL FMLOP2 (INN, 0)
GO TO 110
3 CALL GNDMP
  K = 'P'
  IF (IN(N(I)) .NE. ' ') K = 0
  CALL DUMP(K)
  CALL OFFDMP
  GO TO 110
4 CALL FLKERS (INN, 0)
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GO TO 110
5 CALL FMLOPT (INN, 0)
GO TO 110
7 CALL FMINOUT (INN, 0)
GO TO 110
8 CALL COUNT
GO TO 110
9 CALL FMLSAV (INN)
GO TO 110
10 CALL FMLRES (INN)
GO TO 99
60 PRINT 102, IN
102 FORMAT (X14, A6)
CALL FMLASG (IN, 0)
GO TO 110
200 STOP
END
APPENDIX C—PRINTED SUBPROGRAM: EXAMPLE 3

****************************
TITLE
COMMON DATA STRUCTURE FOR FORMAL SYSTEM
****************************
DATA STOR. RECT.
ARRANGED IN 3 Labeled COMMONS
USED AS PROcedure, INCLUDED IN OTHER SUBprograms

1. LINKED STORAGE AREA
   • THE CORRESPONDING C(I)-D(I) WORDS ARE ALWAYS
   • USED IN PAIRS FOR STORING AN ITEM.
   • THE DIMENSION OF C-D, CDIA, MAY BE CHANGED
   • DURING INSTALLATION.
   • FIELDS IN THE C-D WORDS DEPEND ON THE USAGE.
   • THEY ARE DEFINED BY PROCEDURE PIRORD, GENERALLY
   • THE LAST 15 BITS IN C IS USED FOR LINKAGE OF
   • LINEAR ARRAYS.

2. COMMON BLOCK FOR INDIVIDUAL POINTERS AND SWITCHES

*** FREE (AVAILABLE) STORAGE IN C-D
   • C(INHANA) = FIRST
   • C(LILIL) = LAST LOCATION
   • THE LINEAR ARRAY IS LINKED IN THE
   • C-D WORDS.
   • SYM. TABLE WITH TREE STRUCTURE IN 3 LEVELS
   • STORED IN C-D AREA. FIELDS IN THE C-D WORDS.
   • ITPB = LAST = NEXT
   • NS = FIRST ELEMENT IN C(INHANA)
   • NSB = SUBROUTINE LEVEL POINTER
   • SUBPROGRAMS ARE IN ALPHABETIC ORDER
   • ITPB(NSB) = 0
   • ITPB(NSB + 1) = ALPHABERIC NAME OF THE
   • SUBPROGRAM
   • NSY = LAST(NSB) POINTER TO SYMBOL ENTRY
   • SYMBOLS ARE IN ALPHABETIC ORDER
   • NSY = POINTER TO PRECEDING SYMBOL
   • ENTRY
   • D(NSY) = ALPHABERIC NAME OF THE
   • SYMBOL
   • ITPB(NSY) = TYPE OF SYMBOL
   • SEE TABLE 1.
   • ITPB(NSY) = SEMI-INDIRECT REFERENCE
   • LAST(NSY) POINTS TO ANOTHER
   • SYMBOL
   • ITPB(NSY) = SECOND AND THIRD BIT * II
   • SUBSCRIPTED VARIABLE
*** OPTION SWITCHES

- ITOPT = OPTION ANDF FROM WAIT STATEMENT
- PRODEA = EXPAND POWERS OVER PRODUCT
- INTGSR = EVALUATE INTEGER-VALUED FUNCTIONS
- MATHSR = EVALUATE MATHEMATICAL FUNCTIONS
- EXPDSR = USE DISTRIBUTIVE LAW
- POWER = EXPAND SUMS RAISED TO POS. INTEGERS
- BASE = 0, 1, 2, 3 FOR BASE(1, 2, 3, 10, 11, 12)

*** MISCELLANEOUS

SIMPSR = USE Q BY Stout Routines FOR Recursive SIMPLIFICATION
BITSR = USED BY Stout Routines
IOUNIT = I/O UNIT NUMBER IF I/O STATEMENTS
FTRARG = NUMBER OF FORTRAN TYPE ARGUMENTS
DEFARG = NUMBER OF ARGUMENTS IN A DEFINED FUNCTION
DEFFUN = 1 IF DEFINED FUNCTION, 0 FOR VARIABLE
NK = START OF ARGUENT CHAIN IN C+D FOR LIST OF VARIABLES
CBUF = I/O BUFFER
NP = PUSH-OVER STACK POINTED IN C+D AREA

*******************************************************************************

*** END ***

- N1, N2, N3, N4
- ITOPT, PRODEA, INTGSR, MATHSR, EXPDSR, POWER, BASE
- SIMPSR, BITSR, IOUNIT, FTRARG, DEFARG, DEFFUN, NK, CBUF, NP

LOGICAL INTGSR, MATHSR, POWER, SIMPSR, BITSR, PRODEA

REFERENCES ON
APPENDIX D—DEFINITION OF INITIAL SEQUENCE CHART

Coding Form

The coding form is divided into three fields: Field 1 consists of one character, the general directive for input; field 2 contains special directives for flowchart elements and a label for program statements; field 3 contains the text.

An initial program is illustrated below:

```
T  EXPRESSION TRANSLATION
S  INITIALIZE
0D LOOP TO PROCESS CONSECUTIVE SYMBOLS
1D  BRANCH BY TYPE OF SYMBOL
  2B   INTEGER
  2B   REAL
  2B  IDENTIFIER
  2BE SPECIAL CHARACTER
0  END OF LOOP
0  END OF TRANSLATION
END
```

Input Form

The actual input does not contain the lines; the text is left adjusted in field 3:

```
T  EXPRESSION TRANSLATION
S  INITIALIZE
0D LOOP TO PROCESS CONSECUTIVE SYMBOLS
1D  BRANCH BY TYPE OF SYMBOL
  2B   INTEGER
  2B   REAL
  2B  IDENTIFIER
  2BE SPECIAL CHARACTERS
0  END OF LOOP
0  END OF TRANSLATION
END
```

Output Form

The initial program can be listed with line numbers as follows:

```
***********************************************
1 = EXPRESSION TRANSLATION
***********************************************
SEQUENCE CHART
2 = INITIALIZE
```
APPENDIX E—EXAMPLE OF AN UPDATING PROCEDURE

Note that the '+' is an insertion directive. The number following + indicates the line where the insertion is to be done. 'R' indicates that the levels of lines following to be inserted are defined relative to the line where the insertion occurs.

DISCUSSION

MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE: I notice that you have many comments noted through there. It seems to be about a two-to-one comment per statement. Is that about correct?

MESZTENYI: It depends on the program. It depends on the language, too. The comments should be semantic, not repeated as an equation.

MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE: Do you think that some of the discussions about what we can get out of the compiler would fall into this?

MESZTENYI: I would like to have the compiler in the subroutine. I would like to do
that, but I would start here from the development point first, because this is where one defines the program first.

**MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE:** It seems that the compiler could give you certain information, and you could add some personal comments and have better descriptive material. Is that true?

**MESZTENYI:** It depends on what standpoint you look at. As I look at it, I want an overall view from the beginning. Before I finish the program, I might want to give the specification a bigger flowchart type of definition that could be used right away.

**MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE:** You are trying to get the flavor of the program that you are working on for a certain purpose. The compiler will only come out with standard words for any program. The compiler does not know what your program is, but you do. With personal comments added to the program, what you have would provide additional information.

**MESZTENYI:** I find it is hard for programmers to add something after they have written the program. When they write, they do not mind writing down their comments.

**MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE:** I am working from the viewpoint that we now have difficulty at times getting any comments in, and if we provided a lead into the comments and they went down the list and it did not make too much sense to them from a general viewpoint, that they could add these rather well.

**MESZTENYI:** I agree that they could, and this is actually what is now done. I added this myself.

The other part I would like to focus on a little bit is the programming part. If you start from the sketch with those lines coming down and write, you make the programmer apply a little discipline to the subject of program placement. For example, I try to avoid any GO TO unless it is some kind of loop structure. I try to avoid going back. I find a loop for each logic curve that I process, but it is not a DO statement, and I jump directly back to the beginning. It probably would have been much nicer documenting it to go to the end of this loop and comment it, which goes back and gets the next one. In this way it forces the programmer to do a documented description because it is very hard to document a graph that points out the actual information. The text or the description of the program is sequential, but semantically it is a graph. A tree, which is sort of in-between, is much easier to represent. You have cross-references, but the form is still a tree, and this is what I tried to simulate.

**MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE:** I think the speaker is trying to get the programmer to write down what is being accomplished and when. Once in the right-hand side, the language does not really matter. He is trying to read narrative text so that you get some concept of when things happen and what really is happening because the specification of the problem is written in a narrative form. He does that rather than deduce what was done from how something is being done. I do not think a programmer is going to do that very well because he is so involved in the mechanics that he cannot get out of them.

**MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE:** It seems to me that here is a case where we can go from the rationale of a subroutine and in an automated way feed in the programming language statements. Is this what you had in mind? I could see how you actually tried to develop your subroutine. I can see how you can start with the rationale of the subroutine
first and then by using the type of coding that you did, you could automatically call for the appropriate programming language statements.

MESZTENYI: Not automatically. I certainly think of more than just the semantic type of description that I want to accomplish. What I want to accomplish eventually is the statements.