USE OF HYDROGEN AND HYDROGEN-RICH COMPONENTS AS A MEANS OF

STORING AND TRANSPORTING ENERGY

Walter Hausz

General Electric Company Santa Barbara, California

TEMPO has been interested in advanced energy utility systems, which we call Eco-Energy Systems (fig. 1) where the ecology and economics are kept in balance. Our conclusion has been that using hydrogen for transport and storage can lead to very clean, flexible systems that with improving technology and depletion of natural fuel resources can become competitive. While the primary source of energy is shown here as nuclear, the basic concept can apply for any thermal source, or for wind energy, etc. (fig. 2).

With a nuclear reactor an important reason for using hydrogen storage is to keep efficient full-load operation of the reactor while the customer demands fluctuate. With wind, Sun, and tidal energy, both the supply and the demand fluctuate so some form of storage is even more important. Hydrogen, or hydrogen plus oxygen, is an important candidate, because of its easy transport by pipeline and the flexibility it has for serving all of the energy sectors.

There are many ways of storing energy (fig. 3). The merits for a particular application depend on such things as the energy density, the ease and flexibility of reconversion to a form of energy useful to customers, and the cost per unit of delivered energy. Energy density (fig. 4) and flexibility of conversion are, of course, important ultimately for cost determinations. Hydrogen as a cryogenic liquid and as metal hydrides is more attractive than hydrogen as a gas. Of course, the hydrides of nitrogen (NH₃) and carbon (gasoline) are even more compact.

There is a rough road ahead to get the costs down. The costs of storage vessels can be reasonably determined (fig. 5, curve from the source material of the Synthetic Fuels Panel). But to really determine the cost of storage we have to examine all the energy conversions required, their efficiency, and their capital cost.

To illustrate (fig. 6), I've assumed a l-megawatt wind energy source that operates half the time. Half of its output is used to serve customers directly as electricity; the other half is to be stored. For a system of this size, a cost of electricity at the generator of 10 mills (one cent per kilowatt-hour) may be low, but it is a convenient unit. As conversions of the storage portion are made to hydrogen, to liquid hydrogen, to stored LH₂, and back to electricity, the energy costs and capital costs of the conversions escalate the unit cost per kilowatthour remaining until electricity at 12.9 cents per kilowatt-hour results. Figure 6(a) shows the next level of detail of the assumptions.

Many of the high costs result from scale size and would be less for a 100- or 1000-megawatt system. With adequate research, technology will improve all of these. Such alternative means of storage as $M_{g2}NiH_4$ and FeTiH₂ are being actively explored at Brookhaven National Laboratory both for mobile and utility applications. And for all but the biggest systems they may be less costly than LH₂.

Another possibility, which in the largest systems may be the best, is storing in the form of ammonia (fig. 7). While this concept shows both electricity and fossil fuel used to make the NH₃, either could be used alone.

In conclusion, system configurations that consider the storage alternatives are an important part of the research needed to achieve economic viability.

DISCUSSION

- Q: One of your figures appeared to show a block with heat energy going into something and hydrogen and oxygen coming out. Are you really showing thermal dissociation as a way of making hydrogen and oxygen?
- A: I made that block diagram very general so it could cover everything. But thermal dissociation of hydrogen, particularly things like the Marketti process, Mark 1 process, is one of the things that on a very large scale look best. But this, of course, requires a thermal source and this is a wind energy conference; thus, electrolysis is the means of preference.
- Q: Most people dealing with hydride storage tend to talk about the volume of hydrogen that can be stored in a given volume of storage material, but since the installed cost of that system depends on how much you have to buy, would you care to comment on how many pounds of hydride or whatever is required to store it?
- A: Well, let's deal in terms of per cubic foot, and I said that with liquid hydrogen you get about 4.4 pounds per cubic foot, whereas with ease you can get 6 pounds of hydrogen per cubic foot. Now the density of magnesium - and magnesium nickel is principally magnesium (only about 6 percent nickel) - is, I think, about 2 or 2½, so you've got about 150 pounds of magnesium for your 6 pounds of hydrogen. Iron titanium is, of course, a higher density, about 5 or 6, so you've got more pounds, but it's a cheaper material. For portable use like in a car, you probably want magnesium. For utility use, I think the iron titanium with its lower cost per pound is probably superior.



Figure 1



Figure 2

	、			BTU/FT
MEANS OF STORAGE	PUMPED STORA	GE (100 ft	: head)	- 14
	HOT ROCKS/METAL 60-500°F		8,000-12,000	
MECHANICAL HOT ROCKS/METAL HOT WATER/STEAM	MOLTEN SALTS	60-5 15 psi 130	00°F 212°F 347	10, 000-20, 000 40 340
MOLTEN SALTS		500 .	467	1,270
HYDROGEN • GAS • LIQUID • HYDRIDES AMMONIA METHANOL GASOLINE	WATER HYDROGEN • GAS • LIQUID • HYDRIDE	15 130 500 15 1,000 15 (Mg ₂ N _i or 1	212 347 467 60 60 -425 F _e T _i)	9,000 16,000 21,000 280 18,500 200,000 250,000
BATTERIES	AMMONIA METHANOL GASOLINE BATTERIES			340, 000 430, 000 830, 000 10, 000-80, 000

ENERGY DENSITY

Figure 3

Figure 4

FUEL STORAGE INVESTMENT (1972 BASIS) (FT³) 10³ (14) (5) H2-GAS (6) H2-LIQUID LNG INITIAL COST (\$/10⁶ BTU) 10² MMONIA (4) (2) 10 METHANOL GASOLINE 10² 10³ 10⁵ 104 1 **10** · FUEL QUANTITY STORED (106 BTU)





Figure 6

Figure 6A

FLOWSHEET OF AMMONIA SYNTHESIS



Figure 7