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In this note we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the Gateaux differentiability of the probability of misclassification as a function of a feature selection matrix $B$, assuming a maximum likelihood classifier and normally distributed populations. It is also shown that if the probability of error has a local minimum at $B$ then it is differentiable at $B$.

On Differentiating the Probability of Error in the Multipopulation Feature Selection Problem, II.

1. Introduction.

Let $\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{m}$ be populations in $R^{n}$ with a priori probabilities $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}$ and multivariate normal conditional density functions,

$$
P_{i}(x)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2}\left|\sum_{i}\right|^{1 / 2}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(x-\mu_{i}\right)^{T_{\Sigma_{i}}^{-1}}\left(x-\mu_{i}\right)\right]
$$

$i=1, \ldots, m$. If $B$ is a $k \times n$ matrix of rank $k$ then the transformed conditional densities are, for $y \in R^{k}$,

$$
P_{i}(y, B)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{k / 2}\left|B \Sigma_{i} B^{T}\right|^{1 / 2}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(y-B \mu_{i}\right)^{T}\left(B \Sigma_{i} B^{T}\right)^{-1}\left(y-B \mu_{i}\right)\right]
$$

Let $g(B)$ denote the probability of misclassifying an observation $x \in R^{n}$ using the Bayes optimal classifier: classify $x$ in $\pi_{i}$ if $\alpha_{i} P_{i}(B x, B) \geq \alpha_{j} P_{j}(B x, B)$ for each $j=1, \ldots, m$. Then $g(B)=1-h(B)$, where

$$
h(B)=\int_{R^{k}} \max _{1 \leq i \leq m} \alpha_{i} P_{i}(y, B) d y
$$

is the probability of correct classification.
If the transformed probability of error is to be used as a feature selection criterion we require a method for obtaining a $k \times n$ matrix $B_{o}$ of rank $k$ which minimizes $g(B)$. If $B_{o}$ minimizes $g(B)$ then the Gateaux differential, [2,p.178],

$$
\delta g\left(B_{j} ; C\right)=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g\left(B_{o}+s C\right)-g\left(B_{o}\right)}{s}
$$

vanishes for all $k \times n$ matrices $C$ for which it exists. If $\delta g\left(B_{0}: C\right)$ exists for all $k \times n$ matrices $C$, then $g$ is sald to be Gateaux differentiable at $B_{0}$. Thus it is desireable to have necessary and sufficient conditions for Gateaux differentiability of $g$ as well as a formula for $\delta g(B ; C)$.
2. Main Results.

For a given $k \times n$ matrix $B$ partition the set $\left\{\alpha_{i} P_{i}(x)\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ into disjoint sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}=\left\{\alpha_{11} P_{11}(x), \alpha_{12} p_{12}(x), \ldots, \alpha_{\ln _{1}} P_{1 n_{1}}(x)\right\} \\
& \cdot \\
& \cdot \\
& S_{r}=\left\{\alpha_{r 1} p_{r 1}(x), \alpha_{r 2} P_{r 2}(x), \ldots, \alpha_{r n_{r}} P_{r n}(x)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $S_{q}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{q j} P_{q j}(y, B) \equiv \alpha_{q i} P_{q i}(y, B) & 1 \leq i, j \leq n_{q} \\
\alpha_{q j} P_{q j}(y, B) \not \equiv \alpha_{\ell i} P_{\ell i}(y, B) & q \neq \ell
\end{array}
$$

For $\ell=1, \ldots, r$ let

$$
R_{\ell}=\left\{y \in R^{k} \mid \alpha_{\ell 1} P_{\ell 1}(y, B)>\alpha_{k 1} P_{k 1}(y, B) \quad, \quad k \neq \ell\right\} .
$$

The $R_{\ell}$ are disjoint open sets which cover $R^{k}$ except for a set $M$ of measure zero.

For a given $k x_{n}$ matrix $C$ write $P_{i j}(y, s)$ for $P_{i j}(y, B+s C)$ and $h(s)$ for $h(B+s C)$. That is, $h(s)=\int_{R} \max _{1, j} \alpha_{i j} P_{i j}(y, s) d y$.

Theorem 1: $h$ is Gateaux differentiable at $B$ if and only if for each $\ell$ such that $R_{\ell} \neq \emptyset, \quad \mu_{\ell i}=\mu_{\ell j}$ and $\Sigma_{\ell i} B^{T}=\Sigma_{\ell j} B^{T}$ for each $i, j \leq n_{\ell}$.

Proof: By repeating some of the members of the $S_{q}$ 's if necessary, we can assume $n_{1}=n_{2}=\ldots=n_{r}=n_{o}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(s)= & \int_{R} \max _{1 \leq j \leq n_{o}} \max _{1 \leq i \leq r} \alpha_{i j} P_{i j}(y, s) d y \\
& \int_{R^{k}} \max _{1 \leq j \leq n_{o}} f_{j}(y, s) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{f}_{j}(y, s)=\max _{l \leq i \leq r} \alpha_{i j} P_{i j}(y, s)$

The $f_{j}(y, s)$ have the properties:

1) $f_{1}(y, 0) \equiv f_{2}(y, 0) \equiv \ldots \equiv f_{n}(y, 0)$
and
2) $\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial s}(y, 0)$ is defined for all $y \notin M, j=1, \ldots n_{0}$. By an argument in [3], it can be shown that for sufficiently small $|s|$, the difference quotients

$$
\frac{f_{j}(y, s)-f_{j}(y, o)}{s}
$$

are bounded by an integrable function $\beta(y)$ for $y \notin M$. Hence, for $s>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{h(s)-h(o)}{s} & \left.=\int_{R_{k}} \frac{1}{s} \max _{j \leq n_{o}} f_{j}(y, s)-\max _{j \leq n_{o}} f_{j}(y, o)\right] d y \\
& \left.=\int_{R_{k}} \frac{1}{s} \max _{j \leq n_{o}} f_{j}(y, s)-f_{j}(y, o)\right] d y \\
& =\int_{R^{k}} \max _{j \leq n_{o}} \frac{f_{j}(y, s)-f_{j}(y, o)}{s} d y \\
& \rightarrow \int_{R_{k}} \max _{j \leq n_{o}}^{\partial s} \quad
\end{aligned}
$$

as $s \rightarrow 0+$. On the other hand, for $s<0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{h(s)-h(o)}{s} & =\int_{R_{k} \min _{j \leq n_{o}} \frac{f_{j}(y, s)-f_{j}(y, o)}{s}} d y \\
& \rightarrow \int_{R_{k}} \min _{j \leq n_{o}} \frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial s}(y, o) d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

as $s \rightarrow 0-$. Thus the Gateaux differential $h^{\prime}(0)$ exists if and only if

$$
\max _{j \leq n_{0}} \frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial s}(y, o)=\min _{j \leq n_{0}} \frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial s}(y, o) \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

That is, if and only if

$$
\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial s}(y, o)=\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial s}(y, o) \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

for all $i, j \leq n_{0}$. For $y \in R^{\ell}$ it is readily verified that

$$
\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial s}(y, 0)=\alpha_{\ell i} \frac{\partial P_{\ell i}}{\partial s}(y, 0) .
$$

Hence, $h^{\prime}(0)$ exists if and only if

$$
\alpha_{\ell i} \frac{\partial P_{\ell i}}{\partial s}(y, o)=\alpha_{\ell j} \frac{\partial P_{\ell j}}{\partial s}(y, o)
$$

for $i, j \leq n_{o}$, almost all $y \varepsilon R^{\ell}, \ell=1, \ldots, r$.
It is shown in [1], that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{\ell j} \frac{\partial P_{\ell j}}{\partial s}(y, o)=\alpha_{\ell j} P_{\ell j}(y, o)\left\{\left(y-B \mu_{\ell j}\right)^{T}\left(B \Sigma_{\ell j} B^{T}\right)^{-1}\right. \\
& {\left[C \mu_{\ell j}+C \Sigma_{\ell j} B^{T}\left(B \Sigma_{\ell j} B^{T}\right)^{-1}\left(y-B \mu_{\ell j}\right)\right]} \\
& \left.\quad-\operatorname{tr}\left[C \Sigma_{\ell j} B^{T}\left(B \Sigma_{\ell j} B^{T}\right)^{-1}\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Since } \quad B \mu_{\ell j}=B \mu_{\ell i}, \quad B \Sigma_{\ell j} B^{T}=B \Sigma_{\ell i} B^{T}, \quad \alpha_{\ell j}=\alpha_{\ell i}, \\
& \alpha_{\ell j} \frac{\partial P_{\ell j}}{\partial s}(y, o)=\alpha_{\ell i} P_{\ell i}(y, o)\left\{\left(y-B \mu_{\ell i}\right)^{T}\left(B \Sigma_{\ell i} B^{T}\right)^{-1}\right. \\
& {\left[C \mu_{\ell j}+C \Sigma_{\ell j} B^{T}\left(B \Sigma_{\ell i} B^{T}\right)^{-1}\left(y-B \mu_{\ell i}\right)\right]} \\
& \left.\quad-\operatorname{tr}\left[C \Sigma_{\ell j} B^{T}\left(B \Sigma_{\ell i} B^{T}\right)^{-1}\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $R_{\ell} \neq \emptyset$, then $R_{\ell}$ has positive measure. Thus it is easily seen that if $R_{\ell} \neq \emptyset$,

$$
\alpha_{\ell i} \frac{\partial P_{\ell i}}{\partial s}(y, 0)=\alpha_{\ell j} \frac{\partial P_{\ell i}}{\partial s}(y, o)
$$

$$
\text { a.e. in } \mathrm{R}_{\ell}
$$

if and only if $C \mu_{\ell j}=C \mu_{\ell i}, C \Sigma_{\ell j} B^{T}=C \Sigma_{\ell i} B^{T}$ for all $i, j \leq n_{o}$. Thus $h$ is Gateaux differentiable at $B$ if and only if $\mu_{\ell 1}=\mu_{\ell j}, \Sigma_{\ell i} B^{T}=\Sigma_{\ell j} B^{T}$ $\forall i, j \leq n_{o}, \forall \ell$ such that $R_{\ell} \neq \emptyset$. This concludes the proof. It is clear that if $h$ is Gateaux differentiable at $B$, then

$$
\delta h(B: C)=\sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{E}} \alpha_{i 1} \int_{R_{i}} \delta P_{i 1}(y, B: C) d y
$$

Thus the Gateaux differential of the probability of error is

$$
\delta g(B: C)=-\sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_{i 1} \int_{R_{i}} \delta P_{i 1}(y, B: C) d y
$$

Theorem 2: If $h$ has a local maximum at $B$, then $h$ is Gateaux differentiable at B.

Proof: It is evident from the proof of Theorem 1 that for any $k \times n$ matrix C,

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\lim \sup _{s \rightarrow 0}}^{\frac{h(B+s C)-h(B)}{s}=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0+} \frac{h(B+s C)-h(B)}{s}} \\
=\int_{R} \max _{j \leq n_{o}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(y, o) d y
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \inf \frac{h(B+s C)-h(B)}{s}=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0-} \frac{h(B+s C)-h(B)}{s} \\
& \quad=\int_{R^{k}} \min _{o} \frac{\partial f}{} \frac{j}{\partial s}(y ; 0) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

If $h$ has a maximum at $B$, then since $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0-} \frac{h(B+s C)-h(B)}{s}$ exists,
$\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(B+s C)-h(B)}{s}=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0-} \frac{h(B+s C)-h(B)}{s}$

$$
=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(B+s C)-h(B)}{s}
$$

Thus $h$ is Gateaux differentiable at B. Q.E.D.
3. Concluding Remarks.

The meaning of the necessary and sufficient condition for differentiability of $g(B)$ becomes a little more obvious when it is applied to the two population problem. Let $\pi_{1}$ aid $\pi_{2}$ be normally distributed populations'in $R^{n}$ with class statistics $\alpha_{1}, \mu_{1}, \Sigma_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}, \mu_{2}, \Sigma_{2}$, respectively.

Case 1: $\quad \alpha_{1} \neq \alpha_{2}$. Then $g(B)$ is differentiable for all B.
Case 2: $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}, \mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}$. Then $g$ is differentiable at $B$ if and only if $B \mu_{1} \neq B \mu_{2}$ or $B \Sigma_{1} B^{T} \neq B \Sigma_{2} B^{T}$.

Case 3: $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}, \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}, \Sigma_{1}-\Sigma_{2}$ is invertible. Then $g$ is differentiable at $B$ if and only if $B \Sigma_{1} B^{T} \neq B \Sigma_{2} B^{T}$.

Case 4: $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}, \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}, \Sigma_{1}-\Sigma_{2}$ is not invertible. Then $g$ is differentiable at $B$ if and only if $B \Sigma_{1} B^{T} \neq B \Sigma_{2} B^{T}$ or $\Sigma_{1} B^{T}=\Sigma_{2} B^{T}$.

As a special case of Case 4 , we have the degenerate case in which the class statistics for $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ are the same. Then $g$ is differentiable for all $B$ and has derivative 0 . Finally, we remark that it is mistakenly asserted in [3] that the condition $\alpha_{i} P_{i}(y, B) \not \equiv \alpha_{j} P_{j}(y, B)$ is necessary as well as sufficient for differentiability of $g(B)$. As the analysis above shows, this is not even true in the two population probelm.
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