General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.

- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.

- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.

- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.

- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

NASA TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

NASA TM X-71561

(NASA-TM-X-71561)   STATE OF TECHNOLOGY ON   N74-26253
HYDROGEN FUELED GAS TURBINE ENGINES
(NASA)   13 p HC $3.00   CSCL 21E

                                                  Unclas
                                          G3/28   40700

STATE OF TECHNOLOGY ON HYDROGEN
FUELED GAS TURBINE ENGINES

by Jack B. Esgar
Lewis Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio 44135
May 1974

ABSTRACT

A series of investigations was conducted episodically from the 1950's to the early 1970's to investigate the feasibility and potential problem areas in the use of hydrogen fuel for gas turbine engines. This report provides a brief summary and bibliography of the research that has been conducted by NASA, its predecessor NACA, and by industry under U. S. Air Force sponsorship. Although development efforts would be required to provide hydrogen fueled gas turbine engines for aircraft, past research has shown that hydrogen fueled engines are feasible and except for flight weight liquid hydrogen pumps there are no problem areas relating to engines requiring significant research.

STATE OF TECHNOLOGY ON HYDROGEN FUELED

GAS TURBINE ENGINES

by Jack B. Esgar

Lewis Research Center

SUMMARY

A series of investigations was conducted episodically from the 1950's to the early 1970's to investigate the feasibility and potential problem areas in the use of hydrogen fuel for gas turbine engines. This report provides a brief summary and bibliography of the research that has been conducted by NASA, its predecessor NACA, and by industry under U. S. Air Force sponsorship. Although development efforts would be required to provide hydrogen fueled gas turbine engines for aircraft, past research has shown that hydrogen fueled engines are feasible and except for flight weight liquid hydrogen pumps there are no problem areas relating to engines requiring significant research.

INTRODUCTION

This report presents a survey of research that has been conducted by NACA, NASA and industry on evaluating the feasibility of using hydrogen as a fuel in gas turbine engines for aircraft. The first research that considered use of hydrogen as a possible fuel for gas turbine engines began in 1954 on combustors using gaseous hydrogen, ref. 1. In the following year a mission analysis report, ref. 2, indicated that some long range military subsonic and supersonic missions could be accomplished with hydrogen fueled vehicles that would not be possible with conventional kerosene fueled vehicles. Following the report of ref. 2, a series of NACA and NASA investigations was conducted on combustion, fuel pumps, controls, and engine demonstrations in both ground tests and in flight using liquid hydrogen as a fuel, refs. 3 to 25. During 1956 an experimental hydrogen fueled engine with an air-cooled turbine utilized heat exchangers to refrigerate the cooling air and at the same time vaporize the hydrogen fuel. This engine was operated at turbine inlet temperatures up to 1640 K (2935°R), ref. 16. At about that same time, Pratt & Whitney Aircraft was given an Air Force contract to convert a J57 engine to operate on liquid hydrogen, and satisfactory engine operation was obtained, ref. 26. In 1963, the General Electric Company operated a modified YJ85-5 engine with liquid hydrogen as a fuel, ref. 27.

During the 1960's a large amount of experience was gained in the handling and pumping of liquid hydrogen for space launch vehicles, and in the early 1970's consideration was given to providing hydrogen fueled gas turbine engines in the space shuttle to provide additional

1

cross-range and ferrying capability. To provide verification of the feasibility of this approach, a NASA contract was let to General Electric Company in 1971 to modify a J85-13 to provide the most complete liquid hydrogen fuel system yet investigated. This engine had all of the components that would be required in flight operation. The results of this investigation are presented in ref. 28.

The purpose of the present report is to summarize and evaluate the results obtained in all of the above listed references (ref. 1 through 28), and to discuss the research or development required to provide hydrogen fueled gas turbine engines for flight applications. There are many other factors that must be evaluated when considering the use of liquid hydrogen in aircraft, such as hydrogen cost and availability, logistics of the fuel supply, safety, method of loading cryogenic fuel in aircraft, fuel storage within the aircraft, and the effects of large cryogenic fuel volumes on aircraft design and aerodynamics. The discussion in the present report will be limited to the effects of hydrogen fuel on the aircraft engine, and it will not discuss the other factors listed above.

HYDROGEN COMBUSTION

Experimental investigations on the combustion of hydrogen in gas turbine combustors are reported in refs. 1 and 3 through 13. Hydrogen is extremely easy to burn. It has low ignition energy, a heating value approximately 2 1/2 times that of kerosene fuels, and a wider range of flammable limits (from 13 to 250 percent of stoichiometric) than other fuels that have been used in aircraft engines. As a result there are no significant combustion problems. To capitalize on the burning properties of hydrogen, the combustors can be designed with shorter and leaner burning zones than for kerosene fuels.

The pollutants that are emitted from hydrogen combustors are significantly less than for combustors burning kerosene-type fuels. The idle emissions of carbon monoxide and unburned hydrocarbons normally experienced are completely eliminated by using hydrogen. Smoke is also eliminated. References 11 and 12 present results on the oxides of nitrogen that are generated. It was found that NO_x emission levels obtained with hydrogen and hydrocarbon fuels are similar on a volumetric basis for an equivalent enthalpy rise of combustion gases. Because lean combustion is possible with hydrogen, flame temperatures in future combustors can be reduced to approximately the combustor outlet temperature. As a result, further reduction in NO_x seems probable, but it is not clear that the NO_x emissions will be lower than for advanced low emission hydrocarbon fueled combustors.

To summarize: Combustion problems with hydrogen are minimal. New combustor designs could be developed to capitalize on the lean burning characteristics of hydrogen to reduce NO_x formation. All other pollutants are eliminated by using hydrogen as a fuel.

TURBINE COOLING

Hydrogen provides an ideal heat sink for cooling engine components. Liquid hydrogen should be vaporized prior to injecting it into the combustor. Hydrogen temperatures up to at least 811 K (1000°F) at the combustor fuel manifold are acceptable and desirable. This large heat sink for vaporization and heating is at least an order of magnitude greater than for liquid hydrocarbon fuels and it can be used profitably for refrigerating the cooling air used for turbine cooling. There will still be a large heat sink remaining for other cooling requirements, such as oil cooling, cabin air conditioning, etc. Refrigerated turbine cooling air can reduce the required amount of compressor bleed air by more than 50 percent in some cases as discussed in ref. 29. An illustration of just how effective reducing cooling air temperature can be, when carried to an extreme, is reported in refs. 15 and 16. In those tests the first air-cooled turbine engine was run with hydrogen as a fuel. The cooled turbine blades were of a nonstrategic steel alloy with a maximum temperature capability of approximately 920 K (1660°R). The cooling configuration was a crude, hand-built convection design. It was possible, however, to operate that engine at turbine inlet temperatures up to 1630 K (2935°R) by refrigerating the turbine blade cooling air to 217 K (390°R) at the blade base.

To summarize: Using part of the heat sink capacity of liquid hydrogen to reduce the temperature of turbine cooling air bled from the compressor could substantially reduce the coolant flow requirements with a resultant improvement in engine performance. This advantage must be balanced against the added complexity, and probably a reduction in reliability, of the fuel system containing hydrogen-to-air heat exchangers.

HEAT EXCHANGERS

Heat exchangers would be used in a hydrogen fueled engine to both vaporize the liquid hydrogen prior to injecting it into the combustors and to remove heat from engine oil and from the air used for cabin conditioning and/or turbine cooling. Because of the extremely low temperature of liquid hydrogen, special heat exchanger designs are required to insure that oil doesn't congeal or moisture from the air freeze within the heat exchangers. A novel and effective heat exchanger approach is reported in ref. 28. The heat resistance between the hydrogen and the

air (or oil) is increased enough so that the outside (air or oil side) of the heat exchanger tubes is always in excess of 273 K (32°F). This high heat resistance is obtained by use of heat exchanger fuel tube assemblies consisting of two concentric tubes containing a stagnant layer of gaseous hydrogen in the annulus between the tubes. Such an arrangement was tested in ref. 28 on the air-to-hydrogen heat exchanger and it worked completely satisfactorily. The heat exchangers were light weight, compact, and in a configuration that could be wrapped around the engine. A similar type of concentric tube heat exchanger design has not been tested for cooling oil.

For temperatures above about 238 K (0°F) hydrogen embrittlement of the fuel system may be of concern. Some materials are much more susceptible to embrittlement than others. Among the materials least susceptible are the stable austenitic stainless steels. These materials would surely be satisfactory for reasonably short-time operation (hundreds of hours). Data are lacking, however on long time hydrogen embrittlement effects (in excess of 10,000 hours).

Other factors that must be considered with hydrogen fueled engines are the safety and reliability of hydrogen heat exchangers. There are many brazed joints, and in military engines, there will be a larger vulnerable area subject to battle damage. Hydrogen leaks could, in many cases, be disasterous.

To summarize: Technology is presently available for satisfactory thermal design of heat exchangers required for hydrogen fuel systems. Additional research is probably required on the long time effects of hydrogen embrittlement.

HIGH PRESSURE FUEL PUMPS

Suitable cryogenic fuel pumps have not, as yet, been developed for gas turbine engines. There is some evidence in references 23 through 26 that satisfactory engine operation can be obtained at fuel pressures less than the supercritical pressure of hydrogen (1.296 x 10⁶ N/M² or 188.1 pounds per square inch absolute). In the investigation of reference 28, however, a supercritical pressure fuel system was utilized in order to avoid any possibilities of pressure oscillations in the fuel system resulting from two-phase flow in the heat exchangers. A pump was required that would supply supercritical pressure over a range of flows of at least a ratio of 20 to 1 between the highest and lowest flow. In a liquid hydrogen fuel system it is not possible to recirculate the fuel by means of a by-pass arrangement. The pump work adds heat and causes the recirculated fuel to become partially vaporized at the pump inlet pressures. Significant vapor at the liquid hydrogen pump inlet cannot be tolerated. The supercritical pressure and large turn-down ratio for the investigation of ref. 28 required the use of a positive displacement pump having either

variable displacement or variable speed. Different pump configurations may be possible for other applications, particularly if the turn-down ratios are smaller or if subcritical pressures can be tolerated. In such cases a centrifugal pump may be satisfactory. Some considerations have also been given to a dual fuel pumping system that would utilize both a centrifugal pump and a positive displacement pump in parallel to obtain low flow rates with the positive displacement pump and high flow rates with the centrifugal pump. Experimental research has not been conducted to evaluate the suitability of systems containing centrifugal pumps for hydrogen fueled gas turbine engines with the requirement of a high turn-down ratio.

References 18 and 19 report on early research on a piston-type pump for liquid hydrogen for gas turbines. The mechanically driven pump was a "Gatling gun" type with 5 barrels or cylinders. The bore and stroke were 7.62 and 3.81 cm (3 and 1.5 inches). The pistons were driven from a mechanically driven wobble plate. The maximum speed was 240 rpm at a discharge pressure of 8.96×10^5 N/M^2 (130 pounds per square inch). Pump operation was considered satisfactory at these conditions. Some piston scuffing and ball joint problems were encountered. A successful flight test of this pump is reported in reference 25.

In the investigation of reference 28 an attempt was made to obtain a flight-weight liquid hydrogen pump with a discharge pressure of 2.76×10^6 N/M^2 (400 pounds per square inch) and a turn-down ratio of 20:1. The first pump to be investigated was a close-clearance vane pump driven by a variable speed hydraulic motor. Because of internal leakage, head rise and volumetric efficiency were inadequate to meet the pumping requirements. The pump that was utilized in the engine tests of reference 28 was an hydraulically driven, five piston "Gatling gun" pump. The bore and stroke were 2.54 and 2.82 cm (1.0 and 1.1 inches). Each piston was a long rod extending to a cylinder on each end. One end of the rod was the piston for the hydraulic drive (hydraulic motor) and the other end was the piston for the hydrogen pump. The five rods were attached to a nutating plate that synchronized the pistons and controlled the sequential oil flow to drive each piston. The maximum speed of the apparatus was equivalent to 1600 rpm. Such a pump probably cannot be considered to be a flight-type pump. For the J85 engine, the pump weighed approximately 14 kilograms and was approximately 1/3 meter in diameter and 2/3 meter long.

In the investigation of reference 28, engine tests were terminated at 85 percent speed because of inadequate pump performance, presumably from piston seal ring leakage, and eventual pump failure. Additional pump research and development will be required for aircraft use. A vane pump would be desirable if one can be developed with adequate volumetric efficiency for all required turn-down ratios.

To summarize: A satisfactory liquid hydrogen pump has not been developed
that is suitable for aircraft gas turbine use. Positive displacement
pumps capable of a large turn-down ratio are believed required, but there
is some indication that centrifugal pumps could also be utilized.

HYDROGEN FUEL CONTROL SYSTEM

Experimental hydrogen fuel control systems are described in refer-
ences 17, 24, 25, 27 and 28. The most sophisticated and complete fuel
control system is reported in reference 28 and is illustrated in figure
1. In this system hydrogen was pumped in the liquid state; the hydro-
gen was vaporized as it passed through a heat exchanger that simulated
one that could be used to refrigerate turbine cooling air; the hydrogen
vapor then passed through a pressure regulator and into a vapor control
valve that controlled the amount of hydrogen necessary for proper com-
bustion. A three-way valve (shut-off, pass-through and dump) was placed
between the control valve and the combustors to provide positive shut-
off, system chill down, and hydrogen dump after engine shut-down or for
emergency shut-down from over-speed or over-temperature.

The fuel pump was operated at a speed that resulted in a constant
hydrogen pressure at the pump discharge. Integral with the pump was a
variable speed motor as described in the previous section. Motor speed
was determined by oil flow rate, which was obtained from an engine driven
oil pump and metered by the engine controller. The pressure regulator
downstream of the heat exchanger maintained a constant discharge pres-
sure above the supercritical pressure. The temperature at the pressure
regulator discharge was sensed (to determine hydrogen density) and fed
into the engine controller. This input, along with throttle angle,
engine speed, and turbine discharge temperature was used by the con-
troller to set the vapor control valve area to provide the proper fuel
flow to the engine.

Experience obtained with the fuel control system described above
and in reference 28 showed that the gaseous metering used can be made
acceptably stable and responsive for operation of a turbojet engine using
liquid hydrogen fuel.

To summarize: The technology needed for a liquid hydrogen fuel control
system has been demonstrated.

FULL SCALE ENGINE EXPERIENCE WITH HYDROGEN FUEL

Experimental engines have been tested with hydrogen as the fuel in
sea level static stands, altitude test chambers, and in flight. Results

of these tests are reported in references 7, 14, 15, 16 and 20 through
28. Tests were conducted on J47, J57, J65, J71 and J85 engine modi-
fied to operate with hydrogen fuel. The sea level static and altitude
chamber tests of these engines (refs. 14 to 16, 20 to 23, and 26 to 28)
showed that there were no significant problems with the engine operation
or the fuel systems, and it was shown that there was a significant in-
crease in the high altitude operational capability of hydrogen fueled
engines. Reference 22, for example, showed satisfactory operation with
JP-4 fuel at altitudes to 65,000 feet and operation with marginal com-
bustion to 80,000 feet. With hydrogen fuel, the facility limit of
approximately 89,000 feet was reached and the engine was still operating
satisfactorily.

Flight tests were conducted at approximately 50,000 feet (refs. 7,
24 and 25). In all cases the J65 engines were modified for dual fuel
systems to permit take-off and climb on JP-4 fuel and a short run at
altitude on hydrogen fuel. This type of operation was necessary
because of the limited fuel storage capacity for hydrogen in the air-
craft. Initial tests were made with a pressure fed hydrogen system
but later tests (ref. 25) were made with a pump fed system using the
pump reported in reference 19. All flight tests were satisfactory and
demonstrated the feasibility of an airborne hydrogen fuel system for gas
turbine engines.

To summarize: All engine tests, whether in test stands or in flight,
demonstrated the feasibility of hydrogen fueled gas turbine engines.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

From the results of component tests and full-scale hydrogen fueled
engine tests conducted episodically over the last 20 years the following
conclusions can be made:

1. The burning properties of hydrogen can provide improvements in gas
turbine engine performance by providing stable combustion at higher
altitudes and with easier relight than with hydrocarbon fuels. Hydrogen
fuel eliminates all exhaust pollutants except for oxides of nitrogen.

2. The heat sink capacity of hydrogen can be readily utilized for oil
cooling, turbine cooling air refrigeration, and cabin air conditioning.
Oil or air-to-liquid hydrogen heat exchanger technology that eliminates
congealing or frost formation is available. The use of these heat
exchangers to vaporize and heat the hydrogen prior to combustion do not
cause unresolved problems in the fuel control system.

3. It has been demonstrated that hydrogen fuel control systems can be made acceptably stable and responsive for the operation of gas turbine engines.

4. Tests have been conducted in test stands and in flight on engines originally designed for kerosene fuels but modified to burn hydrogen. These tests demonstrated that the design of hydrogen fueled gas turbine engines for aircraft is feasible.

5. Liquid hydrogen has been pumped to suitable pressure levels and with suitable turndown ratios for gas turbine engines using positive displacement piston pumps; however, a completely satisfactory, long life, flight weight pump has not been demonstrated.

6. The main area where additional research is warranted for hydrogen fueled gas turbine aircraft engines is on flight-weight liquid hydrogen pumps that provide the requirements necessary for aircraft gas turbine use. Research on long time (>10,000 hrs.) hydrogen embrittlement of stable austenitic stainless steels is also warranted.

7. Although research conducted on gas turbine engines has indicated hydrogen fueled engines are feasible, similar research is lacking on other potential problem areas relating to the application of hydrogen fuel to aircraft. Some of the more significant areas where adequate research has not been conducted are (1) liquid hydrogen fuel handling, and accommodation of large amount of boiloff during fuel loading in aircraft in crowded airport areas; and (2) fuel storage within the aircraft including problems in long life, light weight insulation; structural problems from extreme temperature gradients within the aircraft structure; and the effects of large fuel storage volumes on aircraft aerodynamics.

REFERENCES

1. Jonash, Edmund R.; Smith, Arthur L.; and Hlavin, Vincent F.: Low Pressure Performance of a Tubular Combustor with Gaseous Hydrogen. NACA RM E54L30a, 1955.

2. Silverstein, Abe; and Hall, Eldon W.: Liquid Hydrogen as a Jet Fuel for High-Altitude Aircraft. NACA RM E55C28a. 1955.

3. Wear, J. D.; and Smith, A. L.: Performance of a Single Fuel-Vaporizing Combustor with Six Injectors Adapted for Gaseous Hydrogen. NACA RM E55I14, 1955.

4. Friedman, Robert; Norgren, Carl T.; and Jones, Robert E.: Performance of a Short Turbojet Combustor with Hydrogen Fuel in a Quarter-Annulus Duct and Comparison with Performance in a Full-Scale Engine. NACA RM E56D16, 1956.

5. Sivo, Joseph N.; and Fenn, David B.: Performance of a Short Combustor at High Altitudes Using Hydrogen Fuel. NACA RM E56D24, 1956.

6. Straight, D. M.; Smith, A. L.; and Christenson, H. H.: Brief Studies of Turbojet Combustor and Fuel-System Operation with Hydrogen Fuel at -400°F. NACA RM E56K27a, 1957.

7. Lewis Laboratory Staff: Hydrogen for Turbojet and Ramjet Powered Flight. NACA RM E57D23, 1957.

8. Rayle, Warren D.; Jones, Robert E.; and Friedman, Robert: Experimental Evaluation of "Swirl-Can" Elements for Hydrogen-Fuel Combustor. NACA RM E57C18, 1957.

9. Jones, Robert E.; and Rayle, Warren D.: Performance of Five Short Multi-Element Turbojet Combustors for Hydrogen Fuel in Quarter-Annulus Duct. NACA RM E58D15, 1958.

10. Smith, Arthur L.; and Grobman, Jack: Exploratory Investigation of Performance of Experimental Fuel-Rich Hydrogen Combustion System. NACA RM E58C19a, 1958.

11. Grobman, Jack; and Norgren, Carl: Turbojet Emissions, Hydrogen Versus JP. NASA TM X-68258, 1973.

12. Norgren, Carl T.; and Ingebo, Robert D.: Emissions of Nitrogen Oxides from an Experimental Hydrogen-Fueled Gas Turbine Combustor. NASA TM X-2997, 1974.

13. Kerslake, W. R.; and Dangle, E. E.: Tests with Hydrogen Fuel in a Simulated Afterburner. NACA RM E56D13a, 1956.

14. Fenn, David B.; Braithwaite, Willis M.; and Ordin, Paul M.: Design and Performance of Flight-Type Liquid-Hydrogen Heat Exchanger. NACA RM E57F14, 1957.

15. Corrington, Lester C.; Thornbury, Kenneth L.; and Hennings, Glen: Some Design and Operational Considerations of a Liquid-Hydrogen Fuel and Heat-Sink System for Turbojet-Engine Tests. NACA RM E56J18a, 1956.

16. Cochran, R. P.; Dengler, R. P.; and Esgar, J. B.: Operation of an Experimental Air-Cooled Turbojet Engine at Turbine-Inlet Temperature from 2200° to 2935°R. NACA RM E56D24a, 1956.

17. Otto, Edward W.; Hiller, Kirby W.; and Ross, Phil S.: Design and Performance of Fuel Control for Aircraft Hydrogen Fuel System. NACA RM E57F19, 1957.

18. Biermann, Arnold E.; and Kohl, Robert C.: Preliminary Study of a Piston Pump for Cryogenic Fluids. NASA MEMO 3-6-59E, 1959.

19. Biermann, Arnold E.; and Shinko, William G.: Performance Study of a Piston-Type Pump for Liquid Hydrogen. NASA TN D-276, 1960.

20. Fleming, W. A.; Kaufman, H. R.; Harp, J. L., Jr.; and Chelko, L. J.: Turbojet Performance and Operation at High Altitudes with Hydrogen and JP-4 Fuels. NACA RM E56E14, 1956.

21. Kaufman, Harold R.: High-Altitude Performance Investigation of J65-B-3 Turbojet Engine with Both JP-4 and Gaseous Hydrogen Fuels. NACA RM E57A11, 1957.

22. Smith, Ivan D.; and Saari, Martin J.: High-Altitude Performance of J71-A-11 Turbojet Engine and Its Components Using JP-4 and Gaseous-Hydrogen Fuels. NACA RM E56L05, 1957.

23. Braithwaite, Willis M.; Fenn, David B.; and Algranti, Joseph S.: Altitude-Chamber Evaluation of an Aircraft Liquid-Hydrogen Fuel System Used with a Turbojet Engine. NACA RM E57F13a, 1957.

24. Mulholland, Donald R.; Acker, Loren W.; Christenson, Harold H.; and Gough, William V.: Flight Investigation of a Liquid-Hydrogen Fuel System. NACA RM E57F19a, 1957.

25. Fenn, David B.; Acker, Loren; and Algranti, Joseph S.: Flight Operation of a Pump-Fed Liquid-Hydrogen Fuel System. NASA TM X-252, 1960.

26. Anonymous: Pratt and Whitney Aircraft Hydrogen Technology, 1964.

27. Lowry, W. C.: Experimental Operation of a Modified YJ85-5 Turbojet Engine on Hydrogen Fuel (1963 Contributing Engineering Program, Item 9, Program 5 - Hydrogen Controls Investigation) General Electric R63FPD380, 1963.

28. Goldsmith, J. S.; and Bennett, G. W.: Hydrogen-Methane Fuel Control Systems for Turbojet Engines. NASA CR-121247, 1973.

29. Esgar, Jack B.; Colladay, Raymond S.; and Kaufman, Albert: An Analysis of the Capabilities and Limitations of Turbine Air Cooling Methods. NASA TN D-5992, 1970.

Figure 1. Schematic Diagram of Hydrogen Fuel System.