Document No. <u>QTR-72-1039</u> Revision Release Date <u>DEC 5 1977</u>

NASA CR-140

Ś

QUALIFICATION TEST REPORT

BUMP PROTECTION HAT

(SUBASSEMBLY OF

TO20/M509 HEAD PROTECTIVE ASSEMBLY)

CONTRACT NAS 9-11905

TYPE II DOCUMENT

DRL T-706, LINE ITEM 61

(NASA-CE-140347) QUALIFICATION TEST FEPORT BUMP PROTECTION HAT (SUBASSEMBLY OF T020/M509 HEAD PROTECTIVE ASSEMBLY) (General Electric Co.) 39 p HC \$3.75 CSCL 060 G3/54

PREPARED BY:

D. B. Willis Product Engineer

APPROVED BY: Wood G.E. Project Manager



N75-12618

Unclas

04254

GENERAL ELECTRIC APOLLO AND GROUND SYSTEMS HOUSTON PROGRAMS Houston, Texas

ABSTRACT

The BPH (Bump Protection Hat), P/N 793-102, S/N 1001, was subjected to impact testing in accordance with QTP-72-1037, Qualification Test Procedure, and GE-TPS-C-010. The BPH underwent three impacts at 35 foot-pounds of energy. The impacts generated stress cracks, but no penetration. All impacts resulted in deflections of less than one-half inch.

The BPH successfully passed the testing and is considered to be qualified for Skylab and the Rescue Vehicle.

Document No. <u>QTR-72-1039</u> Revision Release Date <u>DEC 5-1972</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		1									
•	Paragraph			Title							Page
	1.0	INTRODUCTION	•••	• •	• •	• •	• • •		••	• • • *	, 1
	2.0	TEST APPARATUS		• •	•••	• •	• • •	• • •	• •	•	· I.
•	3.0	PROCEDURE	• •			• . •	• • •			• • •	1
	4.0	<u>RESULTS</u>	•••	• . •	•••	•••		• • •		• •	1
	5.0	CONCLUSIONS			•	• •	• • •	• • •			2
			• •								
	. *	APPENDIX A						• •	· · · ·		
		APPENDIX B	·	•							

APPENDIX C

Document No.	QTR-72	-1039
Revision		-
Release Date) J n e	5 1972
Page 1 of 2	-020-	

1.0 INTRODUCTION

In accordance with QTP-72-1026, Qualification Test Plan for Skylab TO20/M509 Head Protective Assembly, the only test required to qualify the assembly is to perform impact testing on the BPH (Bump Protection Hat). This report documents the performance of the required impact test.

Testing was performed in accordance with QTP-72-1037, Impact Test Qualification Test Procedure for the BPH (see Appendix A). Testing was performed on GE-TPS-C-OlO (Appendix B). One deviation was made to the test procedure. Figure 3 of the test procedure called for impacts to be performed on one side, the front, and the back of the helmet. The actual impacts were performed on one side, the front, and the top of the helmet. The top was impacted instead of the back for two reasons: (1) It was felt that a top impact would be more realistic than a back impact. (2) The test facility would have to be modified to perform a back impact.

Testing was performed on the side and top of the helmet on October 30, 1972. The pendulum then had to be modified in order to perform the front impact. Front impact was performed November 16, 1972.

TEST APPARATUS

The test equipment is shown in Figures 1 and 2 of the test procedure (Appendix A). Photographs number 1, 4, and 8 of Appendix C show the test setup for the three impacts performed. The stop watch used during testing was not calibrated, as indicated on the Test Summary Sheet (Attachment A of QTP-72-1037).

3.0 PROCEDURE

2.0

Test procedures were according to QTP-72-1037 (Appendix A) and GE-TPS-C-010 (Appendix B).

4.0 RESULTS

All three impacts caused stress cracks on the outside of the BPH. The side impact also caused stress cracks on the inside of the BPH for the BPH. There were no stress cracks on the inside of the BPH for the top and front impacts. Analysis of the side impact cracks showed that the outside stress cracks were not the same as the inside cracks, hence, there was no penetration of the helmet. All cracks were acceptable according to the pass-fail criteria of QTP-72-1026.

Document No. <u>QTR-72-1039</u> Revision Release Date <u>DFC 5 1977</u> Page 2 of 2

4.0 RESULTS (Continued)

Analysis of the high-speed film for shell deflection showed the following results for the three impacts:

a. Side Impact - Less than 3/8" deflection, though the exact amount could not be determined.

b. Top Impact - Approximately 3/8" deflection.

c. Front Impact - Less than $\frac{1}{2}$ " deflection, though the exact amount could not be determined because the impact was a glancing blow.

Maximum allowable deflection was $\frac{1}{2}$ ". All three deflections were within the allowable amount.

One DR was generated at post-test on the stress cracks (Appendix B). No FIAR's were generated. The DR is closed.

5.0 CONCLUSIONS

All three impacts successfully passed the test from the standpoint of both cracks and deflection.

It was agreed by the Test Conductor, Technical Monitor, and NASA Quality Control that the stop watch not being calibrated was no problem. Stop watches are normally not calibrated for such tests. Human reflex error is a much more significant factor in timing the period of oscillation.

The top of the helmet being impacted instead of the back is considered valid for the following reasons:

1. A top impact is much more likely than a back impact.

2. It was originally not required to impact the top. It was agreed by the test parties that a top impact is desirable.

3. The back area of the helmet is similar to the front area, which was impacted.

4. Side impact is the most severe since there is no liner in the impacted area to absorb part of the energy.

The BPH successfully completed qualification testing for Skylab and Rescue Vehicle.

APPENDIX A

TEST PROCEDURE TEST SUMMARY SHEET TEST DATA SHEETS

Document No.	QTP-72-1037
Revision	
Release Date	OCT 1 9 1972

IMPACT TEST

QUALIFICATION TEST PROCEDURE

FOR SKYLAB

BUMP PROTECTION HAT

P/N 793-102

CONTRACT NAS 9-11905

Type I Document DRL T-706, Line Item 63

ORIGINAL PACE IS OF THE

D. B. Willis Product 7 PREPARED BY:

Product Engineer

10/19/20 APPROVED: Wood

Project Manager

lacate 10/19/11-Technical Monitor

aure 10-19-12 APPROVED APPROVED:

Chie ew Systems Division

APPROVED:

GENERAL ELECTRIC APOLLO & GROUND SYSTEMS HOUSTON PROGRAMS Houston, Texas

10/19/72 le 670 ity Engineering

DOCUMENT CHANGE/REVISION LOG

Page i of ii **967** 1 9 1672 Dote

Chg No	Change Authority	Pages Affected	Change Description	CEI S/N

Docume:	nt No.	QTP-7	72-1037	
Revisi	on			
Date	10	T19	1077	
Page _	ii	of	11	-

TABLE OF CONTENTS

÷	Paragraph	Title
۰.	1.0	INTRODUCTION
	2.0	<u>PURPOSE</u>
	3.0	<u>APPLICATION</u>
	4.0	REQUIREMENTS AND TEST PREPARATIONS
	4.1	TEST EQUIPMENT AND FACILITY REQUIREMENTS
	4.2	TEST EQUIPMENT VALIDATION
	4.3	SAFETY PRECAUTIONS AND SPECIAL HANDLING
	4.4	TEST SETUP
	4.5	TEST SETUP VALIDATION
	5.0	TEST PROCEDURE

Document No. QTP-72-1037 Revision

Date	NCT 1 0 1072
Page	2 of 7 8

1.0INTRODUCTION

REPRODUCI

ORIGINAL PAGE IS POOR

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

This document details the procedure for subjecting the BPH (Bump Protection Hat) to impact testing according to QTP-72-1026, Qualification Test Plan for Skylab TO20/M509 Head Protective Assembly.

PURPOSE

2:0

3.0

The purpose of the test is to determine the shock absorbing characteristics of the BPH when impacted with a fixed hemispherically shaped anvil. The impact shall be at 12 ft./sec. with a mass of 0.48 slugs, according to QTP-72-1026, or an equivalent energy of 35 foot pounds. High speed photography shall be used to determine the shell deflection during impact.

APPLICATION

Any discrepancies, difficulties, replacement of components, deviations from procedures, etc., experienced during the test shall be documented on the Test Summary Sheet (Attachment A). The Test Conductor and the MSC Inspector shall sign the Test Summary Sheet. Any DR's or FIAR's generated shall be written in accordance with paragraph 5.6.2 of QTP-72-1026. Deviations from procedure shall be indicated on the Test Data Sheet (Attachment B).

4.Ö REQUIREMENTS AND TEST PREPARATIONS

4.1 TEST EQUIPMENT AND FACILITY REQUIREMENTS

The test will be conducted by the special projects laboratory of the Crew Systems Division's Material Development Section. The test apparatus shall be a pendulum and wooden mannequin head assembly as shown in photograph 1.

The test article shall be one Bump Protection Hat, P/N 793-102, S/N 1001.

Support equipment shall include the following:

Item	· · ·	Part No.		Specification
Communications Ca	arrier	165366-03 or	-0 ¹ 4	Class III
Camera	•			400 frames/sec.
Stop Watch				Calibrated
Grid	- ⁻	•••••	·	$\frac{1}{2}$ squares or less

Document	No.	QTP-7	2-103	7
Revision				
Date	<u> </u>	119	1972	
Page	3	of.	- 8	

TEST EQUIPMENT VALIDATION

Prior to start of the test, a receiving inspection shall be performed on the BPH to assure no damage. The camera and stop watch shall be verified to be calibrated and functioning properly.

4.3 SAFETY PRECAUTIONS AND SPECIAL HANDLING

There are no special safety precautions involved in this test. Caution should be exercised while handling the BPH, camera, and stop watch to prevent damage to the hardware.

TEST SETUP

4.2

44

The test equipment shall be set up as shown in Figures 1 and 2. The Communications Carrier shall be placed on the mannequin head and the BPH strapped over the Communications Carrier.

NOTE: Adjust the BPH suspension system to the mannequin head size.

4.5 TEST SETUP VALIDATION

The MSC Inspector shall verify proper test setup and stamp the Test Data Sheet accordingly, prior to commencing test.

5.0 TEST PROCEDURE

5.1 Prepare pendulum and wooden head assembly per Figures 1 and 2.

Suspend the impact head with the BPH and Communications Carrier mounted as a pendulum, and determine the period of oscillation. Determine period by swinging the pendulum through an arc of $\leq 6^{\circ}$ and timing the period through 10 oscillations, using the stop watch to time.

5.3

5.2

Calculate the center of percussion of the impact assembly by the following formula: $L = gt^2/(2\pi)^2$ where L is the length from the pivot point to center of percussion, g is acceleration due to gravity, and t is the period of oscillation of the pendulum. (See Figure 1.)

	Document No. QTP-72-1037
	Date ICI 1 9 1977 .
	Page 4 of 8
eight of the impact asser	whith the DDN and

	7.4	Weigh and record weight of the impact assembly with the BPH and Communications Carrier mounted on the head.
		Weight
	5.5	Calculate the release angle required for a 35 foot-pound impact by the following formulas:
· · ·		a. $E = mgh$ where m is the mass of the impact assembly, g is the acceleration due to gravity, and h is the vertical height when the center of percussion is raised.
		b. $-\Theta_{-} = \cos - (L-h)$ where L and h are defined in 5.3 and 5.5 respectively.
	.5.6	Orient the impact assembly such that region 1 of Figure 3 will be impacted.
	5.7	Pull impact assembly back to the desired release angle as calculated in step 5.5.
	5.8	Begin countdown of 5-4-3-2-1 - Release. Turn camera on at count of 2.
	5.9	Impact helmet. Do not let helmet strike the anvil more than once. Stop camera.
•	5.10	Orient the impact assembly such that region 2 of Figure 3 will be impacted.
	5.11	Repeat steps 5.7 through 5.9.
	5.12	Orient the impact assembly such that region 3 of Figure 3 will be impacted.
-	5.13	Repeat steps 5.7 through 5.9.
	5,14	Remove the BPH and inspect for damage. Pass-fail criteria for the BPH is defined in paragraph 6.3.2.2.3 of QTP-72-1026.
	•	



REPRODUCIBILITY OF THE ORIGINAL PAGE IS FOOR



.

: 1

a set and





an an stàite

Attachment A

TEST SUMMARY SHEET

FOR

IMPACT TEST OF BUMP PROTECTION HAT Test Hardware P/N ______ 793-102 1. Test Hardware S/N 1001 Date Test Performed ______ 10/30/72_ Indicate Test Procedure deviations below: . 2. a. Stop watch not calibrated. b. Region three on Fig 3 replaced by a top of helmest impact (see TPS 9 6E - TPS-C-010) Indicate DR's generated during test below: 3. NASA-060 11/16/72 Indicate FIAR's generated during test below: 4. REPRODUCIBILITY OF THE ORIGINAL PAGE IS POOR None 5. Additional test data information: None With the exceptions noted above, the test was successfully performed 6. according to the procedures of QTP-72-1037, Impact Test Qualification Test Procedure for Skylab Bump Protection Hat. Sonductor 11/29/72 Cam

Qual T	'est Procedure	Bump Protection Hot	Attachment B
		PART HO 793-102	10/30/72
DOCUMENT NUM	QTP-72-1037	SERIAL NO	
PARA		PROCEDURE	Page 1 of 1 Pages
4.2	Receiving Ins Condition sat	pection performed on BPH. isfactory.	IECH INSP NA
	BPH P/N BPH S/N	793-102	V
4.2	Support equipm properly.	ment calibrated and function	ting
4.5	Test setup ver	ified proper.	12.35
 	Period of osci 2.48	llation determined. Period seconds.	is
5.3	Center of perce 5.01 f	ussion determined. L is t.	
5.4	Weight of impacincluding BPH,	et assembly is $\frac{15.25}{\text{Communication Carrier}}$	lbs.
5.5	Release Angle =	<u> </u>	
.0 .9	BPH oriented fo	r region 1.	
.10	BPH oriented for	r region 2	
.11	BPH impacted for	region 2. 6E-TPS- C-0	on A
12	BPH oriented for	region 3.	
13	BPH impacted reg	ion 3.	
14	BPH inspected fo generated at Pos	r damage. Record any DR's t-Test here.	
		5A-060 11/16/12	-
	Record any FIAR's	generated at Post-Test her	e.

· · ·						
THE ONE I THE		SAD ITEN NOMENCLATURE		Attachment B		
Qual les	t Frocedure	Bump Protection Hat	ARV	11/16/72		
DOCUMENT NUMBER		793-102				
ΡΑΡΑ	QTP-72-1037	1001		Page 1 of 2 Pages		
l a		PROCEDURE		TECH	INSP	NASA
4.2	Receiving Ins Condition sat	pection performed on BPH. isfactory.				
	BPH P/N BPH S/N	793-102		· · .		No.
4.2	Support_equip properly.	ment calibrated and function:	ing			
4.5	Test setup ve	rified proper.				120
5.2	Period of osc	illation determined. Periodseconds.	is			
5.3	Center of per <u>4.95</u>	cussion determined. L is ft.				
5.4	Weight of imp including BPH	act assembly is $\frac{15.25}{\text{Carrier.}}$	lbs.			TE BIN
5.5	Release Angle	= <u>58</u> degrees.				12014
5.6	BPH oriented	for region 1.				
5.9	BPH impacted	for region 1.				
5.10	BPH oriented 1	for region 2.				
5.11	BPH impacted f	For region 2.				
5.12	BPH oriented f	for region 3. (Resion 2 of				A.L.
5.13	BPH impacted r	region 3. Fig. 3) Nor	<u>م</u>		ĺ	12.8 M
5.14	BPH inspected generated at I	for damage. Record any DR's Post-Test here.				
	DR# NH	ASA-060 11/16/72				
	NONE		· · · · · ·			
	Record any FIA None	R's generated at Post-Test he	ere.			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- [~~

APPENDIX B

TPS'S DR'S

Configuration Change Α 2. TPS No. 115/22 Ť GE-TPS-C-010 Non-Configuration Change ARATION SHEET В 3. S/C Cat. Ē No. 4. Mod. Sheet Number SA - MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER 2 5. Page 6. S/C Na./Model No. 7. Date 8. Time 9. Need Date 10/30/72 11. Contract Number 101 27/72 10. Drawings, Documents, Ocp's, & Part Number(s) 793-102 QTP-72-1037 NAST - 11905 12. Serial Number 165366-03 TPS See 13. System 14. Ref. E. O. Number Skylab Bung Protection Hot 15. TPS Short Title 16. Wt. Reg. Qual Pairforn Impact Test 17. Reason for Work: e Ingect perform poral +254 Skylab 140.5 wind for 21. Insp. 18. DESCRIPTION (Print or Type) Tech Contra QC for MIP's Bung 2 , Release one Protection Hat P/H 793-102 S/Ng trom 1001 65 Bended 1281 3, Bung Proto Hast S/N 1001 Transfer Carrier, $\overline{\mathbf{m}}$ emm ANAN 128M P/N '6 5366 SIN 108 to 03 Blds Øz0/ Bay impact test. 42 procedures torm indicated า่น Document QTP-72-1037, data och (ee) to llowing with the exceptions: Ke R jm of -1037 replaced shall be resion Ocircula consisting of radius avea top cente 19. Prepared By 20. Final Acceptance :00 1284 REFER TO PROCEDURES FOR REQUIRED SIGNATURES REFER TO PROCEDURES FOR REQUIRED SIGNATURES Contract Date Date Otto 27 12 a. an MSC FORM 1225 (JUL 65)

ASC FORM 1725 (INC 65) NASA MSC Coml., Houston, Texas

TPS No. GE-TPS-C-010 TEST PREPARATION SHEET S/C Cat. No. CONTINUATION SHEET NASA - MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER Page Insp. DESCRIPTION (Print or Type) Tech. NASA Cont. 4a. (Continued) point of the Bung Hat Delete the region 3 impact (A of two impacts shall be performed 4-b. fotal MIP instead of the original three QTP-72-1077). the condition of 57 -th А veturn the Bu hop that and Comm Carrier Receiving 6E 40 Bunny Hat 5/20 1001 and Comm 6 GE M awies SIN 100 received at receiving. 11/15/72-Release Bump Hat SIN 1001, PIN 793-102, and Comm Carrier S/N 105, P/N 16536G-03 from GE receiving. 1665 Transfor Burge Host S/AY 1001 and comm 制 拍 沉 Carrier SIN 101 to Blag Bay 120 for imposed tests 0V 16 '72 9 Burg that S/H 1001 and Comm Corrier 5100 108 received at Billo 7 Bay Are Perform the procedures indicated in Decoment ATP-72-1037, dated Oct. 19, 1972, 10. with the following exception: Perform one impact only, being region 2 of Fig. 3 of OATP- 72 - 1037, MSC FORM 1225A (JUL 65) CODV 1

GE-TPS-C-010 TPS No. **TEST PREPARATION SHEET** S/C Cat. No. CONTINUATION SHEET NASA - MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER 3 3 Page loso. DESCRIPTION (Print or Type) Tech. Cont. NASA the completion of testing н. At venove the fluerel liner as require Hat to inspect the impact. Bump vers α inside Record any applicable FIAR's generated DDIC 0V numbers DR#NASA+060 inspection, by entaving, the applicable Shout of 5+ QTP-72 -1037 Data Transfer the Bung Hat S/N 1001 12 and Comm Carrier S/1x 108 40° 6E Receiving Bung Carrier 13. and SIN 1001 Commi Hat M 108 received at GE Receiving SIN Bung Downgrade 14. Hat 51N 2021 Class and π place GE 264 264 in III. ctoves. CLASS 2 MEC FORM 1225A (JUL 65) COPY

A Configuration			······································	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
T A Change	· .		2. TPS No.	GE-TP	5-C-010M		
P B Non-Configuration Change		ARATION SHEET	3. S/C	Cat.	No.		
Mod. Sneer Number			5. Page	1 .	of		
S/C No./Model No.	7. Date	8. Time	9. Need Do	ote			
Drawings, Documents, Ocp's, &	Part Number(s)	11.00 A.	m. II. Contrar	10/3c/ ·			
		· · ·	NA	19-119	05		
			12. Serial N	12. Serial Number			
System			14. Ref. E.	O. Number			
SKylab B	Sump Protection	~ Hut					
Dup	act and	Test		. 16.	Wt. Req.		
. Reason for Work:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
				- <u>-</u>			
				21	Insp.		
	IB DESCRIPTION (I	rint or Type)	·.	Tecn. 22. CO	DNT. 23 NATA		
1. Contact	ac tor 1	nIP's	1	0/30/22	120		
		-					
2. Dolete	step 46 or	foriginal	TPS. (139h	1201		
		0					
3. Ravin ct.	in 5 of a	VIEL I TRS	40	<u> </u>			
Vead re	followich At	ripha is	1 di	the the			
of textile	for scaring	The comp	Jerron .				
R	Li I al	i ana z	raurn	1.11	115/72-		
- The some	The are the	a tin	(W) www.				
	ceceiving an.	<u>k hold un</u>	<u> </u>				
test tacili	ty is media	fad do per	torn	 			
resim 3	test	· · · ·					
		******		· · · · ·			
		·····	·				
			· · ·				
	an an an the second						
Prepared By D. B.	Ville	20. Final Acceptance	Date 11-15	-72-	ANA ANA		
REFER TO PROCEDURES FOR R	REQUIRED SIGNATURES	REFER TO PROCE	DURES FOR RE		ATURES		
ontractor	NASA)		Date /			
		talat	Chick.	10	bel 2		
		11/1-			1.		
C FORM 1225 (JUL 65)					Copy 1		

MAJA-MJC-Comit, Pousion, 1 exca Set Server

1. Category DISCREPANCY REPORT/MATERIAL REVIEW RECORD 70 NASA - MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER OF PAGE_ Article Nam 3. Drawing Number 4. Serial/Lot Number 5. RECORD NUMBER BUMP ROTECTION HAT 793-102 100 IDR. 6. Contractor's Name 7. Contractor's Drawing Number **B.** Contractor's Serial Number GENERAL LECTRIC DR/MRR NASA-060 Supplier's Name 10. Supplier's Drawing Number 11. Supplier's Serial No. 12. Next Higher Assy. NEAD SIERRA ENG, PROTECTION KIT 13. REF. Document No. 14. Spacecraft 17. Couse/Prigin 15. Fayly 16. Funct. 18. System 19. Disposition GE-TPS-C -010 <u>K] / A</u> DISCREPANCY REFERENCE GE-TPS-C-010. INSPECTION TEP 11 of IMPACT REVEALED STRESS CRACKS AT ALL THREE IMPACT LOCATIONS 20. Initiation's Signature 21. Stamp Number 22. Organization and Location of Initiator 23. Date NASA (V). 26 M 56-745 DISPOSITION 24. MR Action Required? 25. Replacement Part Number 26. Retest Required 27. Retest Accept Date 28. FIAR Number 🛛 Yes X YES - No 29. MRB Decision QC STAMP **INSTRUCTIONS** USE "AS IS" CONT. NASA critevia Oval SCRAP P -T 1 54 accust ave 71 but penetra ct How CIRCYC ment ne. racks **D** 1 6E-TPS-01 n 30. DATE FINAL ACCEPTANCE MRB APPROVAL SIGNATURES System Epg(Beer (Contractor) 31. DATE Par Denco (NASA) DATE 11/21 Colm 24 Control Ropp (Contractor) 33 DATE DATE CORRE 11/2 gram Office Rep. (Office Code) DATE Quali Ber 70 DATE Ŵ

ISC FORM 2176 (Rev Apr 68) NASA-MSC-Coml., Houston, Texas

APPENDIX C

PHOTOGRAPHS

Revision Release Date UEL 5 107

PHOTOGRAPH DESCRIPTIONS BY NUMBER

1. Test Setup for Side Impact

2. Side Impact Viewed from outside helmet

3. Side impact viewed from inside helmet

4. Test setup for top impact

5. Top of helmet viewed prior to impact

6. Top of helmet after impact (magnified 3 times)

7. Top inside of helmet after impact

8. Test setup for front impact

9. Front of helmet viewed prior to impact

10. Front of helmet after impact

11. Front inside of helmet after impact

NOTE: All high-speed film taken to determine deflection is in file at General Electric.





















