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Abstract

Jet noise shielding benefits for CTOL engine-over-the-wing configurations were obtained with model-scale multtube and lobb ed mixer nozzles and various shielding surface geometries. Spectral data were obtained with jet velocities from 585 to 1110 ft/sec. Correlation equations for predicting jet noise shielding benefits with single conical nozzle installations were modified to correlate the mixer nozzle data. The modification included consideration of the number of nozzle elements and the peak axial velocity decay in the flow field adjacent to the shielding surface. The effect of forward velocity on jet noise attenuation by a shielding surface is discussed.

Introduction

In order to reduce jet noise to ground observers, future conventional takeoff and landing (CTOL) aircraft are being considered with engine exhaust nozzles located over the wing (OTW). With such a nozzle-wing configuration, the wing can shield an observer on the ground from significant amounts of jet noise. Jet-noise shielding accomplished by a wing is similar to that observed on the ground by the ejection of a barrier between a noise source and an observer. The main differences between the two applications of barrier shielding are the nature and generation mechanisms of the noise source and the close proximity of the noise source to the shielding surface for aircraft compared with ground barrier application.

The acoustic shielding benefits derivable from CTOL wing shielding of jet noise with unattached flow appear to be functions of shielding surface length, nozzle type, nozzle diameter, jet velocity, jet relative velocity, and flap deflection. The effect of the location above the wing and the importance of shielding surface length to the acoustic characteristics of CTOL-OTW configurations have been reported in reference 1 for single conical nozzles. (Other data on jet noise shielding by a wing for the CTOL engine-over-the-wing concept with single nozzles are included in reference 2 to 4.) The jet noise shielding benefits with a multtube mixer nozzle in a CTOL-OTW orientation are described briefly in reference 5. These data showed that greater jet noise shielding was obtained using a mixer nozzle than with a single conical nozzle for the same total equivalent diameter. A possible reason for this greater shielding is the flow field differences between the mixed flow of a multi-element nozzle and that for a single nozzle of equal flow area. These flow field differences cause an alteration of the noise sources. This alteration is frequently most pronounced in the vicinity of the shielding surface. As a first approximation, the noise sources for multi-element nozzle flows are assumed, herein, to be related to the peak axial velocity decay in the flow field. The pertinent peak velocity is further assumed to be that associated with the downstream edge of the shielding surface.

In the present paper, the flow field and acoustic characteristics of multi-element nozzle CTOL-OTW configurations are first discussed separately. The data include that obtained with small-scale 6- and 8-tube mixer nozzles (nominal equivalent diameter from 1 to 2 in.) and an 8-lobe orifice-type mixer nozzle (nominal equivalent diameter, 2 in.). In addition, data for a large-scale 7-lobe nozzle (nominal equivalent diameter of 15.75 in.) is also included. 6 The acoustic shielding data for multi-element nozzle CTOL-OTW configurations are then correlated with that obtained with single conical nozzle CTOL-OTW configurations.

Acoustic results are presented in terms of spectral data. The data were obtained over a range of nominal jet exhaust velocities from 585 to 1110 ft/sec, depending on the specific nozzle-wing configuration.

Facilities

Aerodynamic. For the small-scale models, jet velocity decay measurements were obtained using the static test stand and associated equipment described in reference 7. For the large-scale model, jet velocity decay measurements were obtained at the acoustic test stand as described in reference 6. Nozzle pressure ratios from 1.15 to 2.1 were used. The total temperature of the jet flow was a nominal 520° R.

Acoustic. All the acoustic data herein were obtained using cold-flow (ambient temperature) rigs. The small-scale model data were obtained using the acoustic arenas described in references 1, 5, and 8. The effect of variations in the nozzle-to-shielding surface geometry on the acoustic attenuation were obtained using the courtyard rig described in reference 1. The acoustic data for the large-scale model were obtained using the rig described in reference 6.

The acoustic data herein are presented in terms of sound pressure level spectra in decibels referenced to 2×10⁻⁵ N/m². No corrections are made to the acoustic data for ground reflections. Further details regarding acoustic measurement techniques and procedures are given in references 1 to 8.

Configurations

The multi-element nozzles used in the present study consisted of: a 6-tube mixer nozzle, an 8-tube mixer nozzle, an 8-lobe orifice nozzle, and a 7-lobe mixer nozzle. Sketches of these
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Jet Noise Reduction by Surface Shielding

For a CTOL-OTW aircraft, the exhaust jet is located relatively close to the wing surface and is a distributed noise source. The noise obtained at the various frequencies of such an acoustic source is therefore generated at different distances from the surface and at different locations relative to the edge of the barrier (wing or flap trailing edge). An analytical model of the jet noise-source distribution, therefore, would have to include a complex integration to sum up the contributions of all the jet noise sources with their local surface shielding lengths.

The present approach employs empirical correlations of existing data to arrive at a prediction method for the shielding of jet noise by a wing-flap system. The analysis leading to the data correlation is given in terms of the SPL difference between nozzle-plus-shielding-surface and the nozzle-only, SPL-SPLN, or ASPL.

A schematic plot of ASPL as a function of frequency for a CTOL-OTW configuration is shown in figure 9. Positive ASPL values indicate that jet-surface interaction noise sources are dominant over the nozzle-alone jet noise while negative ASPL values indicate jet noise shielding by the wing-flap system. Four basic noise regions, denoted by A, B, C, and D are indicated in figure 9. Region A is characterized by noise amplification over that caused by nozzle-alone jet noise and is attributed to jet-surface interaction noise sources. Region B is a transition region into the shielding regime that is a function of the interplay between the regions of interaction noise and the noise shielding. When the interaction jet-surface noise sources are strong (large positive ASPL values) the slope of this transition region is steep; whereas when they are weak, the slope of this transition region is shallow and blends rapidly into the jet noise shielding portion of the curve shown. Region C typifies a "barrier" shielding curve. The region C data are used herein to correlate jet noise shielding ASPL values. Region D frequently shows a reduced jet noise shielding capability at high frequencies inconsistent with barrier shielding analyses. The exact reasons for reduced jet noise shielding are not understood; however, it is believed that the reduced attenuation is primarily an aerodynamic interaction (possibly a surface-edge effect) associated with a specific nozzle-wing configuration and reflects the presence of a high frequency noise floor. For jet noise shielding correlation purposes, only the data in region C are directly applicable; the data in region B, however, have been retained in the data plots in order to indicate the magnitude of its deviation from the correlation for region C. The data in region B have been deleted in the correlation plots in order to avoid confusing the data trends and correlation.
Application of Single-Nozzle Surface Shielding Results

Single Nozzle Correlation

The acoustic shielding provided by a surface for the noise associated with jet flow from a single conical nozzle was correlated over the same absolute scalar range of variables as those included herein by the following flow and geometry parameters:

\[ \Delta SPL - \frac{f_1(D)}{10^6} \cdot [f_2(D)] f_2(\theta) = Z \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where

\[ f_1(D) = \frac{a_0^2}{g_0^2} \left[ 1 + 4.5 \times 10^{-5} \left( \frac{a_0^2}{a_0^2} \right)^2 \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

\[ f_2(\theta) = \frac{1}{1 + 0.033 \left( \frac{180 - \theta}{6} \right)^4} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

(All symbols are defined in Nomenclature.) The correlation equation in reference 1 for nozzle-airfoil configuration having zero flap deflection is given by

\[ \Delta SPL = 10 \log[1 + 0.6(\theta)] \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

With the flaps deflected, the correlation is given in reference 1 by

\[ \Delta SPL = 10 \log[1 + 1.4(\theta)^{0.85}] \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

It should be noted that the acoustic shielding benefits for unattached flow over a surface, such as conical nozzle C1DOL-OTW configurations, were substantially independent of nozzle height above the shielding surface.1

Also, it was established in reference 1 that for single conical nozzles the effect on jet noise attenuation of whether a simple board or an airfoil was used as the shielding surface was insignificant. Tests were also made herein with the 6- and 8-tube mixer nozzles using both a board and an airfoil and indicated similar results to those in reference 1.

Evaluation of Mixer Nozzles

It is reasonable to expect that when the shielding surface extends only over the jet core region associated with the individual tube or lobe elements of a mixer nozzle, the jet noise shielding can be estimated directly from correlations of acoustic data obtained with a single nozzle of similar size and shape to that of the mixer nozzle elements. In figure 10(a) the \( \Delta SPL \) obtained with the 6-tube mixer nozzle is plotted as a function of frequency for a shielding surface length of 5.9 inches. The jet core length for a single tube of this mixer nozzle is about 4.7 inches \((X/D ~ 5)\). Calculations of the jet spreading angle, indicate that the flows from adjacent jets begin to merge between 4.7 and 6 inches downstream of the exhaust plane. Also shown in the figure are curves for region C representing the calculated \( \Delta SPL \) values (eq. (4)) for the diameter of an element nozzle, \( D_x \), of 0.93 inch and for the equivalent total nozzle diameter, \( D_x \), of 2.28 inches. Good agreement between the measured and calculated values of \( \Delta SPL \) is apparent when the element nozzle diameter, \( D_x \), is used in the \( \Delta SPL \) prediction equation for region C.

When the shielding surface length is sufficient to include the coalescing or mixed jet flow region which has a large effective diameter, it is, perhaps, reasonable to expect that the \( \Delta SPL \) values for a mixer nozzle could be estimated on the basis of the equivalent nozzle diameter, \( D_x \). The measured \( \Delta SPL \) obtained with the 6-tube mixer nozzle is plotted as a function of frequency for a shielding length of 21.4 inches in figure 10(b). This shielding length includes much of the coalescing jet flow region as shown in figure 4. Also shown in the figure are curves representing calculated \( \Delta SPL \) values based on \( D_x \) and \( D_y \), respectively. It is apparent that neither calculated curve represents the measured data in region C, although the curve based on \( D_x \) is closer to the data.

From the data in figure 10 it would be concluded that the use of \( D_x \) in calculating \( \Delta SPL \) by use of equation (4) for a mixer nozzle is more correct that the use of \( D_y \). However, if a significant change in scale is considered, such as between the 7- and 8-lobed mixer nozzle configurations, a different conclusion emerges. The shielding surfaces cover significant portions of the mixed jet flow region for both configurations (see figs. 6 and 7). The \( \Delta SPL \) for the small-scale 8-lobed orifice mixer nozzle (see also appendix A) and the large-scale 7-lobed mixer nozzle configurations are shown in figure 11 as a function of the Z-parameter based on \( D_x \) (fig. 11(a)) and \( D_y \) (fig. 11(b)). It is apparent that the use of \( D_y \) in the Z-parameter correlates the difference in scale whereas the use of \( D_x \) fails.

The data, so far, have shown that when substantially only the unmixed core flow of a mixer nozzle is shielded by a surface, the term \( f_1(D) \) is based on \( D_x \). For such a case, the single nozzle correlation (eq. (4) for zero flap deflection and eq. (5) for flaps deflected) can be used to predict the \( \Delta SPL \) for mixer nozzles. However, for cases in which the mixed jet flow is shielded by a surface, \( f_1(D) \) is better expressed by using \( D_y \) based on scaling criteria. However, the \( \Delta SPL \) is not predicted from the single nozzle correlation given by equations (4) or (5) in either case.

Correlation of Mixer Nozzle-Wing Noise Shielding Results

Development of Correlation

The data obtained with the multtube mixer nozzles (see fig. 10) indicated increased jet noise shielding benefits with longer surface lengths even though the Z-parameter already includes a shielding surface length term that correlated the length effect for single conical nozzles. This additional effect on jet noise shielding by increases in shielding surface length is shown in figure 12 in which the \( \Delta SPL \) is plotted as a function of the product of frequency and length for the 6-tube mixer nozzle configurations. It is apparent that
a systematic variation with surface shielding length occurs when the mixed flow region is
shielded, resulting in larger $\Delta$SPL values being obtained with increasing surface shielding lengths. Similar results were obtained with the 8-tube mixer nozzle configurations.

In order to evaluate the relation of shielding length to the flow field in the region between the jet core flow and the coalescing of mixed flow region, the preceding acoustic data obtained with the 6-tube mixer nozzle were used to establish correlation relationships.

The data shown in figure 12 suggest that the noise source alterations associated with the mixed flow region of mixer nozzles influences the jet noise attenuation afforded by a shielding surface. With an increased amount of the mixed flow field being shielded by increased lengths of shielding surface, better acoustic attenuation is provided over a wide range of frequencies. It is postulated that it is possible to characterize the noise source alterations associated with the flow from mixer nozzles by the peak velocity decay in the flow field. Thus, the value of $f_j(D)$ when only the jet core flow is shielded and for mixed flow approaches $f_j(D_e)$ with increasing shielding surface length. It is also postulated that the number of elements of the mixer nozzle contributed to an increase in $\Delta$SPL. On the basis of the present limited data available with mixer-nozzle/wing configurations the following modifications to correlation equations (1) and (2) were developed in order to provide gross $\Delta$SPL predictions for CTOL-OTW configurations using mixer nozzles.

A new parameter, $n'$, which includes the peak velocity decay characteristics in the flow field and gives consideration to the number of nozzle elements, has been empirically evolved on the basis of the present data, as follows:

$$n' = 1 + \frac{n - 1}{[1 + 0.1\left(\frac{U_j}{U} - 1\right)]^3} \quad (6)$$

The $U$-term in equation (6) is the peak axial velocity at the location of the trailing edge of the shielding surface. For the present work, the values of $U$ were obtained from the Mach number curves given in figures 4 to 7 at the tick-mark locations for the various shielding surface lengths noted in the figure.

The $n'$-parameter is used to modify the $f_j(D)$ term (eq. (2)) yielding a new term $f_j(D_e)$, where

$$f_j(D_e) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{D} n' \left[1 + 4.5 \times 10^{-9} \left(\frac{\rho D n'}{\rho_0}\right)^2\right]} \quad (7)$$

The $Z$-parameter (eq. (1)) is modified to include the $f_j(D_e)$ terms as follows:

$$Z' = 10^{-6} \frac{f_j(D_e)}{f_j(0)} \quad (8)$$

Consequently, equation (4) can now be generalized for single conical and mixer nozzles of the type studied by the following relationship:

$$\Delta S P L = 10 \log\left[1 + 0.6(Z'n')\right] \quad (9)$$

and equation (5) by

$$\Delta S P L = 10 \log\left[1 + 1.4(Z'n')^{0.85}\right] \quad (10)$$

Use of the $n'$-parameter does not alter the correlation of the single conical nozzle data of reference 1.

The $n'$-parameter utilizes the jet velocity, $U_j$, as a baseline velocity term in equation (6). However, it is felt that a better baseline velocity term would be to use the local peak jet velocity when the core jet from one element begins to merge with adjacent core jets. The data used for the present study preclude such an evaluation because the merging point of adjacent jets did not vary sufficiently for the present nozzle configurations. Until such data are available, the use of $U_j$ as the baseline velocity for the $n'$-parameter is recommended for practical mixer nozzle designs.

Comparison of Measured and Calculated Shielding Data

The measured $\Delta$SPL data are compared with calculated values (solid curves) for the four mixer nozzles used herein in figures 13 to 16. Generally good agreement of the shielding benefits has been achieved with both the board and airfoil surfaces. Because the 6-tube mixer nozzle was used to establish the $n'$-parameter, the best agreement exists for this nozzle (fig. 13). With the 8-tube mixer nozzle configuration (fig. 14), the data points fall slightly below the predicted values based on equation (9), generally about 1 dB in the region for which the correlation was developed; namely, region C in figure 9.

The 6-lobe orifice nozzle data tend to be somewhat higher than the calculated values based on equation (10) as shown in figure 15. Although the 8-lobe orifice mixer nozzle data appear to indicate a somewhat higher slope in the variation of $\Delta$SPL with the $Z'n'$-parameter than the multitube data, it is believed that this apparent difference was caused by the flow and acoustic characteristics of the particular orifice-type nozzle used in the tests.

The data for the large-scale 7-lobe nozzle configuration was about 1 dB below the calculated values as shown in figure 16. This small difference may be due, in part, to loss in attenuation caused by the $5^\circ$ angle of the airfoil to the jet flow as discussed in appendix B and shown in figure 2(b).

Effect of Forward Velocity

The data and correlations discussed so far apply to static conditions. The effect of jet relative velocity on the noise attenuation of CTOL-OTW configurations with mixer nozzles, as determined by experiments in a free jet, is discussed in references 1 and 5. In general, the spectra given in references 1 and 5 show that with a constant jet velocity the acoustic attenuation due to the effect of the jet relative velocity is grossly related to the 6-power of the jet relative velocity or
In the forward quadrant, the Doppler amplification effect tends to cancel out some of the forward velocity effect. The reverse effect occurs in the rearward quadrant where the convective amplification effect increases the noise attenuation in forward flight.

Examples of the expected effect of aircraft motion on the nozzle-wing noise level based on equation (15) are shown in figure 17. The examples are for jet velocities of 800 and 1600 ft/sec and a forward velocity of 150 ft/sec. The calculated values are shown in terms of $\Delta OASPL$ referenced to static ground OASPL values as a function of directivity angle, $\theta$. Refraction effects on the noise level and directivity are neglected in the example.

With an 800 ft/sec jet velocity (fig. 17(a)), the convective amplification (Doppler) effect would increase the OASPL value obtained in a free jet by about 2.8 dB at $\theta = 40^\circ$, and decrease the OASPL value by a like amount at $\theta = 140^\circ$. At $90^\circ$ the effect of the convective amplification term is zero. With an increase in jet velocity and a constant forward speed, the convective amplification effect in the forward quadrant (0° to 90°) can more than cancel out the attenuation due to relative velocity measured in a free jet or wind tunnel. An example illustrating this case is shown in figure 17(b). Here, with a jet velocity, $U_j$, of 1600 ft/sec and a forward velocity, $U_o$, of 150 ft/sec, the OASPL at a $\theta$ of 40° is greater by 0.3 dB with forward velocity than that measured statically. At the same time, the attenuation in the rearward quadrant (90° to 180°) is increased by the convective amplification term over that obtained by the relative velocity effect only.

The preceding illustrative calculations of convective or Doppler amplification effects are considered only indicative of the expected trends and require more research in order to verify the magnitudes of these effects during actual aircraft flight.

**Concluding Remarks**

The use of mixer nozzles in a CTOL-OTW installation can result in greater jet noise shielding by the wing-flap system than that obtained with a single conical nozzle of equal thrust. When the wing-flap system covers only the unmerged flow region from the individual elements of a mixer nozzle, the jet noise shielding benefits, in terms of OASPL, can be predicted from the single conical nozzle correlation equations of reference 1. In these correlation equations, the diameter term is that of an element nozzle of the mixer nozzle. However, when the wing-flap system includes coverage of the mixed flow region of a mixer nozzle, an additional parameter consisting of the number of elements comprising the mixer nozzle and the jet velocity at the end of the shielding surface must be included. The latter parameter also includes consideration of the peak axial velocity decay for the mixer nozzle.

**Appendix A**

**Acoustic Data for CTOL-OTW Configuration**

**Using 8-Lobed Orifice Mixer Nozzle**

As part of the acoustic program reported in reference 8, SPL data (unpublished) were also obtained with the 8-lobe orifice nozzle without using a deflector to attach the flow to the airfoil surface. These unpublished data are representative...
of a CTOL-OTW configuration with unattached flow and are shown in figure 18 for a directivity angle of 100°. The data are presented in terms of ASPL as a function of frequency normalized for various jet velocities, \( f/U \), and for total flap deflection angles of 20° and 60°. The data for a jet velocity of 744 ft/sec are used in the present paper as an example for comparison with an empirical correlation equation for predicting the shielding benefits when a lobed mixer nozzle is used.

Appendix B

Effect of Shielding Surface Angularity on Jet Noise Shielding

The large-scale, 7-lobe mixer nozzle data (fig. 11) was obtained originally as part of the engine under-the-wing externally blown flap study reported in reference 6. For that study the nozzle was canted 5° toward the airfoil chordline and the data reported were for the region under the wing. Microphones, however, were also placed above the wing and these constitute the large-scale model acoustic data source for the present OTW report. Thus, for the OTW orientation, the airfoil was angled 5° into the jet flow. In order to determine the effect of nozzle-airfoil angularity on the acoustic shielding, data were obtained using a 2.14-inch diameter nozzle with a board acting as the shielding surface. Acoustic data obtained with this nozzle and with the board angled away from the jet axis (up to 15°) were reported previously in reference 1. For the present work, the board (L = 10.4 in.) was angled 5° and 10° into the jet flow. The nozzle was located 1.75 inches above the board surface. The results of these board angles on the jet noise shielding are shown in figure 19 for a jet velocity of 672 ft/sec. At a 5° board angle into the jet flow, the ASPL's attained were substantially the same values as at a 0° board angle. Only the initiation of shielding benefits was affected by the 5° angularity. This latter result was obtained because the low frequency noise was increased and extended to higher frequencies compared with that at the 0° board angle. At the 10° board angle, the ASPL were substantially reduced at all frequencies at which jet noise shielding occurred. The results of these board angles, on the jet noise shielding, are given in figure 19 for a jet velocity of 672 ft/sec. At a 5° board angle into the jet flow, the ASPL's attained were substantially the same values as at a 0° board angle. Only the initiation of shielding benefits was affected by the 5° angularity. This latter result was obtained because the low frequency noise was increased and extended to higher frequencies compared with that at the 0° board angle. At the 10° board angle, the ASPL were substantially reduced at all frequencies at which jet noise shielding occurred. On the basis of these results, it is concluded that the ASPL values measured for the present 7-lobe mixer nozzle-airfoil configuration could only have been slightly affected by the 5° angularity of the airfoil relative to the nozzle jet flow.

The correlation of the jet noise shielding benefits for CTOL-OTW configurations with multielement mixer nozzles is based on a limited range of 6 to 8 nozzle elements. Further work using nozzles with fewer and greater numbers of nozzle elements and with various spacings between the elements should be conducted in order to determine the validity of the correlation presented herein over a wide range of mixer nozzle geometries.

Nomenclature

- **D** ambient speed of sound
- **D** nozzle diameter
- **D_e** equivalent total nozzle diameter
- **D_e** effective nozzle diameter
- **D_x** equivalent element nozzle diameter
- **f** 1/3 octave band spectrum frequency
- **f_1, f_2** functional notation
- **g** constant, 32.2 ft/sec²
- **h** nozzle (or nozzle element) height above surface at exhaust plane
- **k<θ>** empirical parameter characterizing directivity angle and interaction noise effects
- **L** shielding surface length downstream of nozzle exhaust plane
- **L_f** shielding surface length upstream of nozzle exhaust plane
- **M** local jet Mach number
- **M_J** jet Mach number at nozzle exhaust plane
- **M_P** peak local jet Mach number
- **n** number of elements in mixer nozzle
- **n^p** source alteration parameter
- **OASPL** overall sound pressure level, dB, re 2x10⁻⁵ N/m²
- **SPL** sound pressure level of nozzle-surface configuration, dB, re 2x10⁻⁵ N/m²
- **SPL_N** sound pressure level of nozzle only, dB, re 2x10⁻⁵ N/m²
- **U** peak local axial velocity
- **U_J** jet velocity at nozzle exhaust plane
- **U_o** forward velocity
- **X** axial distance downstream of nozzle exhaust plane
- **Z, Z'** jet noise shielding correlation parameters
- **a** surface deflection angle
- **θ** directivity angle measured from inlet

Subscripts:

- **D** Doppler
- **FV** forward velocity
- **RV** relative velocity
- **θ** angular location notation for forward velocity equations
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Figure 1. Multitube mixer nozzle CTOL-OTW configurations. All dimensions in inches. Reference 5.

(a) 6-TUBE MIXER NOZZLE

(b) 8-TUBE MIXER NOZZLE

Figure 2. Sketch of mixer nozzles with wing. All dimensions in inches.

(b) 7-LOBE NOZZLE, REF. 6.

VIEW A-A
Figure 3. - Summary of shielding surface configurations. All dimensions in inches.

Figure 4. - Flow field and peak axial velocity decay characteristics for 6-tube mixer nozzle only. \( M_j = 0.62; L_f = 2.6 \) in.; data from ref. 9.
Figure 5. - Flow field and peak axial velocity decay characteristics for 8-tube mixer nozzle only. 
$M_j = 0.64$; $L_f = 2.6$ in.; data from ref. 9.

Figure 6. - Peak axial velocity decay characteristics for 8-lobed orifice mixer nozzle only extrapolated from ref. 10. $M_j = 0.6$; $L_f = 2.7$ in.
Figure 7. - Peak axial velocity decay characteristics for 7-lobed mixer nozzle only. $M_j = 0.62$; $L_f$ = 7 in.; data taken from ref. 6.

Figure 8. - Radial profiles of velocity for several axial locations downstream of 6-tube mixer nozzle. $M_j = 0.62$; tube centerline plane.
Figure 9. - Schematic representation of change in sound pressure level of jet noise due to a shielding surface.

Figure 10. - Comparison of measured $\Delta$SPL for 6-tube mixer nozzle with calculated $\Delta$SPL for single conical nozzle. Jet velocity, 665 ft/sec; directivity angle, 90°.
Figure 11. - Correlation of $\Delta SPL$ in terms of $Z$-parameter from ref. 1 for lobed mixer nozzles.
Figure 12. - Effect of shield length on jet noise shielding benefits with a 6-tube mixer nozzle. Jet velocity, 665 ft/sec; directivity angle, 90°.

Figure 13. - Comparison of measured jet noise shielding benefits with calculated values for various shielding surface lengths. 6-Tube mixer nozzle; jet velocity, 665 ft/sec; directivity angle, 90°.
Figure 14. - Comparison of measured jet noise shielding benefits with calculated values for various shielding surface lengths. 8-Tube mixer nozzle; jet velocity, 656 ft/sec; directivity angle, 90°.
Figure 15. - Comparison of measured and calculated jet noise shielding benefits using 8-lobed mixer nozzle and two flap deflection angles. 

(a) FLAP DEFLECTION ANGLE, 20°; L, 13.3 IN.

(b) FLAP DEFLECTION ANGLE, 60°; L, 11.6 IN.

U_j, 744 ft/sec; directivity angle, 100°.
Figure 16. - Comparison of measured and calculated jet noise shielding benefits using 7-lobed mixer nozzle. $U_j$, 670 ft/sec; directivity angle, $90^0$; L, 75 in.

Figure 17. - Illustration of expected effect of forward velocity on OASPL of CTOL-OTW configuration. $U_o$, 150 ft/sec; $a_o$, 1120 ft/sec.
Figure 18. - Normalization of $\Delta$SPL for 8-lobed orifice nozzle with wing for various jet velocities. Flaps deflected; directivity angle, $\theta$, 0°, 100°.

Figure 19. - Effect of jet-to-surface angle on shielding. Nozzle diam, 2.14-in.; surface length, $L$, 10.4-in.; $h$, 1.25-in.; jet velocity, 672 ft/sec; directivity angle, $\theta$, 0°, 90°.