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Abstract

The rms magnitude, spectra, and cross-correlations for the fluctuations in the beam current, the neutralizer keeper current, and the discharge current and voltage were measured for an 8-cm diameter dished grid ion thruster for a beam current of 72 milliamps. The effects on the fluctuations of varying several neutralizer parameters, including the hole diameter, the keeper current, and the flow rate were studied. Varying the neutralizer hole diameter resulted in a variation in the ratio of the rms magnitude of the fluctuations to the time-mean of the beam current from 0.02 to 0.67. The ratio of the rms magnitude of the fluctuations to the time-mean neutralizer keeper current was found to depend significantly on the neutralizer time-mean keeper current, the flow rate, and keeper hole diameter. The maxima of the spectra of the beam current fluctuations did not depend on the discharge fluctuations. From the spectral and cross-correlation results, the discharge current fluctuations did not directly contribute to the beam current fluctuations. The rms magnitudes, spectral and cross-correlation results of the neutralizer keeper current fluctuations indicate that the neutralizer contributions to the beam fluctuations could be small (for good neutralizer-to-beam coupling) but not negligible and appear mostly in the higher frequency range measured.

Introduction

The 8-cm diameter mercury electron bombardment ion thruster is designed to provide stationkeeping and attitude control of geosynchronous spacecraft. All flight tests to date have not indicated any electromagnetic interference caused by thruster fluctuations and noise. However, because of the importance of this area, further study of thruster fluctuations and noise is warranted. A mercury ion thruster can produce fluctuations about the mean ion beam current, in the discharge plasma, and possibly within the power conditioner itself. These fluctuations can occur over a broad frequency spectrum.

This paper gives the initial and first-known results of measurements of fluctuations on an 8-cm mercury ion thruster. The investigation included observing the effects on the fluctuations of varying several neutralizer parameters, particularly the neutralizer keeper hole size, the keeper current, and flow rate. The 8-cm mercury ion thruster utilizes a dished grid ion extraction system. It was designed to operate at 3000 seconds specific impulse at thrust levels of 4.4 to 8.9 mN (1 to 2 mI). New measurements were obtained of the fluctuations of the ion beam current, the neutralizer keeper current, and the voltage and current of the discharge. Several aspects of these fluctuations were recorded. They included (1) the overall magnitude or intensity given as the rms (root-mean-square) magnitude, (2) the frequency spectral distribution, and (3) cross-correlations of the fluctuations.

Apparatus and Experimental Techniques

Thruster

A photograph of the 8-cm diameter mercury ion thruster mounted on the vacuum port cover flange is shown in Fig. 1. The thruster is designed to operate at a specific impulse near 3000 seconds and thrust levels of 4.4 to 8.9 mN (1 to 2 mI). The thruster design incorporates high perveance ion extraction grids, permanent magnets, and hollow cathodes with rolled tantalum foil inserts for both the discharge chamber and neutralizer. The neutralizer keeper is of the enclosed type whose hole size was incrementally increased from 0.081 to 0.257 cm in this investigation.

Thruster Power Supply

A block diagram and details of the laboratory test power console for the thruster are given in Ref. 1. Only those specifications believed to be pertinent to the thruster current and voltage fluctuation measurements will be summarized here. A line regulator was provided to eliminate line transients from the primary power bus. The net accelerating potential was provided by a voltage and current regulated supply with automatic crossover. Regulation was better than 0.01% of full scale. The output impedance was approximately 4 μF.

The discharge chamber was driven by a 100-volt dc, 0 to 1.5-amp supply with a 0 to 1.5-amp current regulator in its output circuit. The output circuit of the current regulator had only stray capacitance associated with it and therefore was capable of delivering constant...
current to the discharge well into the kilohertz frequency range.

The neutralizer keeper supply was a dc current regulated supply adjustable from 0 to 0.5 amp at a nominal 14 volts. The neutralizer supply common was isolated from facility ground, and a clamp circuit consisting of back-to-back gas discharge tubes was a safety provision to maintain the neutralizer floating potential to within 150 volts of ground potential. Measured impedances between the neutralizer common and facility ground were 0.6 \mu F without the power console and 0.52 \mu F in parallel with about 100K ohms with the power console connected to the thruster.

**Thruster Operation**

The thruster was operated in a 1.5-m diameter by 4-m long vacuum chamber at an environmental pressure of about 3x10^-6 Torr. Horizontally translatable tracks were used to project the thruster past a 30.5-cm gate valve opening and into the chamber, thus minimizing possible wall effects upon the ion beam and beam neutralization.

The nominal operating conditions of the thruster for a beam current of 72 milliamperes at 1250 volts net accelerating potential and -500 volts accelerator potential were: discharge voltage, 35 volts; discharge current, 0.70 amp; keeper discharge, 0.26 amp at 10 volts. The cathode flow rate was held at about 90 milliamperes Hg^+ equivalent.

The neutralizer keeper discharge was set for 0.45 amp (except when varied as a test variable) at 13 to 20 volts depending upon the keeper hole size and flow rate. The neutralizer flow rate was varied over a range from 1.75 to 10.0 equivalent milliamperes.

**Transducers**

Two current probes (0.64-cm diameter molybdenum planar) were used to sense ion beam current fluctuations (see Figs. 1 and 2). One probe was mounted on the flange supporting the thruster and it could be rotated about its support arm to allow measurements to be made at up to 30 cm radially from the centerline of the thruster. The other probe was mounted on the tank wall and was moved vertically through the beam at an axial distance of 12.7 cm from the accelerator grid of the thruster. Both probes were moved through the centerline of the thruster. Both probes were biased at -20 volts by means of shielded variable-voltage bias supplies to permit operation of the probes in the ion-collection mode. The probe current was passed through a 1000 \Omega resistor connected to ground. The voltage drop across the resistor was then used to measure current. The time-mean voltages were monitored on dc digital voltmeters and the time-varying voltages were fed into cathode followers having a high input impedance. An ac coupled amplifier provided a suitable output of the time-varying signal. It was possible to inspect portions of the frequency content of the broadband data by the use of high-pass band or low-pass band frequency filtering.

Current loop probes were used to sense emission current, total ion beam current, and neutralizer keeper current fluctuations. These probes were placed around the appropriate current-carrying conductors from the power supply to the thruster. The discharge voltage fluctuations were sensed by current loop about a lead having a 1003 \Omega noninductive resistor across the discharge supply leads before being connected to the thruster. The sensitivity of the current loop was 2 milliamps per millivolt, respectively.

The overall gain and frequency response of the transducers used was checked and measured. For the same input signal the phase difference (of importance in the correlation studies as described in Ref. 2) between the output signals for the two probe systems was negligibly small over the frequency range of interest. For further details of the calibration of the data system refer to Ref. 2.

**Analysis of Time-Varying Data**

The magnitudes of the time-varying output signals from the ion beam probe and current-loop probe systems were measured on meters which gave the true root-mean-square magnitude. The amplitude as a function of frequency was measured by the use of three spectrum analyzers over ranges such as 0 to 3.5 MHz, 0 to 2 MHz, 0 to 1 MHz, 0 to 100 kHz, and 0 to 10 kHz. The signals from the spectrum analyzers were fed to x-y recorders. The final type of processing of the time-varying output signals, was the measurement of the space-time or cross-correlations as well as the auto-correlations of fluctuations in the beam. A brief description of this technique and its implications are given in appendix B of Ref. 2. Also, measurements of the cross and auto-correlations between fluctuations sensed by the current loop probes were obtained.

**Results and Discussion**

This section will present the results on rms magnitude, spectral data and the correlations in that order.

**rms Magnitudes**

Figure 3 shows a radial variation of the time-mean 1/2 and root-mean square magnitude \( \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2} \) of the ion beam probe current fluctuations sensed at a fixed axial distance from the grid. (All symbols are also defined in the appendix.) In this and all other figures, the functional dependence on time, \( t \), will be omitted in the
These points are for neutralizer keeper hole diameters, magnitudes of the discharge current and voltage would range from 0.10 to 0.15 cm. Thus, the points which extend from values of JNK equal to or greater than 0.157 cm or greater. Although the neutralizer not change significantly over the series of tests. In this particular case, the effect of varying mN is comparable for both the beam and neutralizer keeper current fluctuations. At low neutralizer flow rates, however, the neutralizer fluctuations begin to increase more rapidly than the beam fluctuations. The particular shape of each curve was found to be dependent on the value of JNK and the neutralizer keeper hole diameter.

In Fig. 5 is presented the ratio of the rms magnitudes of the fluctuations to the time-mean currents for both the neutralizer keeper current and beam current as a function of the neutralizer flow rate, mN, in milliamps for JNK of 0.45 amp and dN of 0.193 cm. In this particular case, the effect of varying mN is comparable for both the beam and neutralizer keeper current fluctuations. At low neutralizer flow rates, however, the neutralizer fluctuations begin to increase more rapidly than the beam fluctuations. The particular shape of each curve was found to be dependent on the value of JNK and the neutralizer keeper hole diameter.

The data points in Fig. 6 includes values of JNK from 0.2 to 0.45 amp and neutralizer cathode flow rates from 1.75 to 10 milliamps.

The desirable conditions of operation obviously should include those where the ratio of the rms magnitude to the time-mean beam current is a minimum. Thus, the points which extend from values of JNK of 0.10 to 0.67, are not desirable. As these values get larger, the beam becomes more unstable, which results in the production of "beam noise." As is seen in Fig. 4, there is a considerable set of points which have values of JNK less than 0.10 and these points lie near the vertical portion of the curve. With one exception all these points are for neutralizer keeper hole diameters, dN, of 0.157 cm or greater. Although the neutralizer keeper current fluctuations cover a range greater than a factor of 2, the ratio JNK remains under 0.07. For this set of values of JNK equal to or greater than 0.157 cm, the values of JNK and the neutralizer flow rate were 0.45 amp and 7.0 milliamps, respectively.

To examine the time-mean neutralizer-beam coupling the rms magnitude of the neutralizer keeper current fluctuations is presented as a function of the neutralizer floating potential, Vf, in Fig. 5. A correspondence is noted between the neutralizer floating potential and the rms values in Fig. 5 and the JNK values in Fig. 4. Where the coupling is good (|J| equal to 12.0 V or less), the values of JNK are less than 0.07.

Where the coupling to the beam current is not as good (|J| > 12.0 V), the values of JNK increase correspondingly. In other words, where the time-mean or steady-state coupling of the neutralizer with beam current is good, a minimum fluctuation in the beam current is observed or the time-varying coupling is also good.

In Fig. 5 is presented the ratio of the rms magnitudes of the fluctuations to the time-mean currents for both the neutralizer keeper current and beam current as a function of the neutralizer flow rate, mN, in milliamps for JNK of 0.45 amp and dN of 0.193 cm. In this particular case, the effect of varying mN is comparable for both the beam and neutralizer keeper current fluctuations. At low neutralizer flow rates, however, the neutralizer fluctuations begin to increase more rapidly than the beam fluctuations. The particular shape of each curve was found to be dependent on the value of JNK and the neutralizer keeper hole diameter.
varied or the consequent variation in the neutralizer-beam coupling. Although the variation in the rms magnitudes of the discharge voltage and current was small, its source or reasons for being are unexplained.

Knowledge of $V_g$ fluctuations may be significant to determine the import, if any, of thruster operation on the spacecraft interface in relation to system operations, spacecraft charging, etc. The quantity $V_g(t)$ is the potential between the neutralizer common and facility ground, and is indicative of the degree of coupling between the neutralizer and the beam plasma. In space, this coupling potential represents the potential between the spacecraft and the space plasma surrounding it. Perturbations or oscillations on this coupling potential, if they were to exist, could be significant for mission operation.

A limited investigation of the fluctuations or time-varying property of the neutralizer floating potential $(-V_g)$ was done near the end of the series of tests. These initial measurements of the $\sqrt{\Delta V_g^2}$, the rms magnitude of the neutralizer floating potential, were made for neutralizer keeper hole diameters, $d_N$, of 0.193 and 0.257 cm. The neutralizer keeper current, $J_{NK}$, and flow rate, $m_N$, were 0.45 amp and 7.8 milliamperes, respectively. For the $d_N$ of 0.193 cm, the value of $\sqrt{\Delta V_g^2}$ was 0.275 and the value of $\sqrt{\Delta V_g^2}/|V_g|$ was 0.031. For the $d_N$ of 0.257 cm, the value of $\sqrt{\Delta V_g^2}$ was 0.179 and the value of $\sqrt{\Delta V_g^2}/|V_g|$ was 0.021.

These results for the $V_g$ fluctuations are similar in their values to those for the neutralizer keeper and beam current fluctuations. In addition, these values of $\sqrt{\Delta V_g^2}/|V_g|$ appear to be sufficiently small as to not appreciably affect the behavior of the spacecraft potentials.

Spectral Data

The spectra of the fluctuations in terms of the relative amplitude in decibels as a function of frequency are given in Fig. 8 for a typical operating condition of the thruster with a $J_{NK}$ of 0.45 amp, $m_N$ of 7.0 milliamperes and $d_N$ of 0.193 cm. Figure 8(a) presents this type of spectrum for the fluctuations of the discharge current and for the fluctuations of the discharge voltage; Fig. 8(b) for the fluctuations of the neutralizer keeper and ion beam currents. The spectra of the discharge voltage fluctuations in Fig. 8(a) is mainly characterized by a highest peak at about 78 kHz and on both sides of this peak there is a fall-off in amplitude. The fall-off in amplitude as the frequencies decrease below the peak frequency is comparable to that found in Ref. 2 for the discharge fluctuations. If the portion of the spectrum between $10^5$ and $10^6$ Hz is examined, the dependence on frequency is $\gamma^2$. Thus, on the basis of the discharge current fluctuation spectrum alone there is some resemblance here to the spectrum observed in plasma turbulence experiments which exhibit a $\gamma^2$ dependence.

In Fig. 8(a) the spectrum for the fluctuations in discharge voltage exhibits the same maximum or peak of greatest amplitude at 78 kHz as the spectrum for the discharge current fluctuations. However, elsewhere in frequency the two spectra are dissimilar. In the case of the discharge voltage, for frequencies less than the peak frequency, the fall-off is small as the frequency decreases, only 12 dB from the peak frequency to 200 Hz. Above the peak frequencies there is a fall-off in amplitude as the frequencies increase, only 10 dB from the peak frequency to 200 Hz. Thus, on the basis of the discharge current fluctuation spectrum alone there is some resemblance here to the spectrum observed in plasma turbulence experiments which exhibit a $\gamma^2$ dependence.

The frequency at which the peak occurs in Fig. 8(a) is so dominant that its physical origin should be considered. Quick calculation reveals that of the plasma resonances or oscillations observed in similar plasmas, there are at least three possibilities. Without a more complete knowledge of the plasma it will not be possible to compare quantitatively the observed peak with calculated values. However, by making some appropriate assumptions on the values expected for the plasma parameters, at least a qualitative comparison can be presented.

The ion-acoustic resonance can be calculated by using $V_{gh} = \sqrt{kT_e/M_i}$ for the characteristic velocity where $T_e$ is the electron temperature and $M_i$ is the mass of the mercury ion. A characteristic frequency can be obtained by setting $V_{gh}/\ell$ where $\ell$ is a characteristic length in the discharge. If a $T_e$ of 10 eV and an $\ell$ of 3 cm is assumed, then the characteristic frequency obtained is 73 kHz which is quite close to the observed 78 kHz. However, this was intentionally done by choice of the $T_e$ and $\ell$ values. The only questions that remain to be resolved are obviously the correctness of the assumptions. Certainly, they are reasonable ones.

The $E \times B$ particle drift resonance can be calculated by using $v_d = N_e B/E$ for the characteristic velocity where $E$ is in volts/cm and is assumed to be perpendicular to $B$ given in gauss. This characteristic frequency can be also obtained by setting $v_d/\ell$ where $\ell$ is a characteristic length in the discharge. If it is assumed that $E$ has a value of 0.1 volts/cm, $B$ has a value of 30 gauss and $\ell$ is 3 cm, then characteristic frequency is obtained as 110 kHz. It is difficult to justify lowering the value of $E$ or increasing the value of $\ell$ to
obtain better agreement with the observed frequency. Consequently, the possibility of the $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ particle drift resonance being the cause of the observed peak in Fig. 8(a) is remote.

A calculation of the plasma resonance resulting from anomalous diffusion\(^{(6)}\) will not be attempted because of the complete lack of the needed plasma parameters such as the ion temperature and the critical magnetic field at which there is an onset of the anomalous diffusion. There may be factors other than those mentioned above which affect the resonance in the plasma such as geometry and interaction of the thruster plasma with the power processor, but these are not considered here.

In Fig. 8(b) which gives the spectrum of the neutralizer keeper current fluctuations the maximum amplitude occurs at about 1.65 MHz. There are lesser peaks, not as sharp, at 175 and 800 kHz. Further discussion of the neutralizer spectrum will be deferred until additional data on the neutralizer fluctuations has been presented.

Figure 8(b) also gives the spectrum of the beam current fluctuations which has a broad maximum at the very low frequencies, about 0.8 to 1.0 kHz. Then, as the frequencies increase above this point, there is a gradual fall-off in amplitude with several smaller peaks being indicated. There is a peak with an amplitude of -28 dB at a frequency of 780 kHz. Another peak that can be identified is the one with an amplitude of -26.5 dB at a frequency of 1.65 MHz which corresponds to the frequency of the spectrum of the neutralizer keeper current fluctuations. This is the result of neutralizer-beam interaction. The essential point about the beam current fluctuation spectrum is that it is not dominated by the resonance of the discharge chamber fluctuations (frequency of 78 kHz) as was the case for the results of the study on the 30-cm thruster.\(^{(2)}\)

The spectral distribution of neutralizer keeper current fluctuations is shown in Fig. 9 for three values of neutralizer floating potential. The spectra are given as relative amplitude in decibels as a function of frequency in Hertz. Curve A is the spectrum for a "good coupling" of the beam and neutralizer currents with $V_g$ of -8.5 volts. Curve B shows an "intermediate coupling" at a $V_g$ of -18.5 volts and curve C for a "poor coupling" mode with $V_g = -39$ volts. As the coupling of the beam and neutralizer progresses from "good" to "poor" the spectrum of the neutralizer keeper current fluctuations changes. The relative magnitude of the peaks at the various frequencies are different. For curve A there are smaller and broad peaks at frequencies of -9.3 dB at 200 kHz and -5 dB at 620 kHz and a maximum at 1.85 MHz. The "poor coupling" mode shows that the maximum response occurs at 118 kHz. There also are magnitude peaks at the first and second harmonics of the frequency of the maximum peak. These two frequencies are 238 and 375 kHz, respectively. The spectrum for the "intermediate coupling" mode shows a set of peaks at the lower and higher frequencies similar to those discussed above. These peaks are approximately of the same magnitude.

It is evident from the results of Fig. 9 that the characteristic shapes for the spectra of neutralizer keeper current fluctuations are a function of how well the neutralizer is coupling to the beam. The frequencies at which the peaks occur in the three curves of Fig. 9 are not expected to be the same because the neutralizer parameters are not the same. For example it is seen that the lowest frequency in curve B is 75 kHz and in curve C it is 118 kHz. However, the peak occurring at a frequency of about 1.65 MHz is the same for the three curves.

The lower frequencies of curves B and C in Fig. 9 are characterized as having a fundamental frequency plus at least a first harmonic. The pressures in the cathode, at the cathode orifice and just downstream of the orifice are sufficiently large to permit the plasma to be considered to be in the continuum regime. This permits the inclusion of another resonance to be considered and this is a nonplasma or acoustic resonance involving only the neutrals. With the assumption that the neutrals possess a temperature equal to the cathode tip temperature of 700°C, the characteristic or acoustic velocity is $2.59 \times 10^4$ cm/sec. If a characteristic dimension is taken as $2l$ where $l$ is the cathode-to-keeper axial gap and is 0.109 cm for the data of Fig. 9, then a typical acoustic resonance frequency is 112 kHz. This is a value for the frequency that is at or very close for a considerable number of the spectra measured. In addition, for one test the cathode-to-keeper gap was changed to 0.175 cm. This ratio of the two gaps is $l_2/l_1 = 0.109/0.175 = 0.624$. The inverse ratio of the fundamental lower frequency for the two gaps was measured as $f_2/f_1 = 115/168 = 0.685$. Thus, this additional test is a further indication of the possibility that the acoustic resonance is responsible for the peaks at the lower frequencies of spectrum of fluctuations of the neutralizer keeper current. What is inexplicable at this point is the suppression of this mode at "good coupling" conditions if in fact the responsible resonance is the acoustic resonance.

Another possibility responsible for the peaks in the spectra of Fig. 9 is the ion-acoustic resonance considered earlier in the case of the discharge chamber fluctuations. The range of electron temperatures considered for the neutralizer are obtained from Ref. 9. Possible values of electron temperature to be considered here are 0.86 to 2.5 eV. If a $T_e$ of 0.86 eV is con-
sidered first for a characteristic length of 0.109 cm (the cathode-to-keeper gap) an ion-acoustic frequency of 680 kHz is obtained. Using a characteristic length of 1.0 cm (diameter of region between cathode and keeper) yields an ion-acoustic frequency of 75 kHz. Increasing the $T_E$ to 2.5 eV yields frequencies of 1.01 MHz and 270 kHz, respectively, for characteristic lengths of 0.109 and 1.00 cm. Thus, it is seen that the ion-acoustic resonance could possibly account for most of the peaks in the spectra. For peaks occurring from 1.65 to 1.85 MHz (curve C of Fig. 8(b) and Fig. 9), the characteristic length would have to be much smaller, such as the diameter of the cathode orifice of 0.25 cm. Using this assumption and a $T_E$ of 0.86 eV does yield a frequency of about 1.6 MHz. However, because the actual value of $T_E$ for this particular data is not known this matter will not be pursued any further.

The spectral distribution of the neutralizer keeper current fluctuations for two values of time-mean neutralizer keeper current is shown in Fig. 10. Curve A in Fig. 10 is for a $J_{NK}$ of 0.45 amp and $V_g$ = -9 volts at a $d_N$ of 0.157 cm and $n_N$ = 7.0 milliamps. Curve B is the result of changing $J_{NK}$ to 0.3 amp and holding the other neutralizer parameters constant. The resulting change in $V_g$ is to -26 volts. This data in Fig. 10 clearly illustrates with only one neutralizer parameter changing how the shape of the spectra changes significantly in going from a "good coupling" mode to a less desired mode of neutralizer and beam coupling as depicted by curves A and B, respectively, in Fig. 10.

The data for the $V_g$ fluctuations were obtained near the end of the series of tests. Because of the fundamental character of the floating potential fluctuations with respect to spacecraft interface, the preliminary results are given in Fig. 11. This figure allows a comparison of the spectrum of the neutralizer floating potential fluctuations with those for the neutralizer keeper and beam current fluctuations. Curves A, B, and C in Fig. 11 give the spectra for the fluctuations of neutralizer keeper current, beam current, and neutralizer floating potential, respectively. The neutralizer keeper hole diameter, $d_N$, of 0.258 cm was the largest tested and represents a "good coupling" situation with a $V_g$ of -8.5 volts, $J_{NK}$ of 0.45 ampere and $n_N$ of 7.0 milliamps.

The spectrum given by curve A is representative of that previously presented for a "good coupling" situation with a maximum amplitude peak occurring at 1.1 MHz. The lesser peaks in the spectrum occur at 140 kHz, 1.3 and 3.3 MHz. The spectrum given by curve B for the beam current fluctuations is essentially similar to that given in Fig. 8(b). The spectrum given by curve B has a broad maximum from the lowest measured frequency (200 Hz) up to about 5.5 kHz with a fall-off in amplitude as the frequency increases. There is a lesser peak at a frequency of 80 kHz, corresponding to the discharge chamber resonance. There are still lesser peaks at frequencies of 1.1 and 1.9 MHz. These lesser peaks correspond with frequencies of peaks in the spectrum of the fluctuations of the neutralizer keeper current given by curve A. The spectrum given by curve C for the neutralizer floating potential fluctuations exhibits peaks seen in both the spectra of neutralizer keeper current and beam current fluctuations. A broad maximum in curve C ($V_g$ fluctuations) is from the lowest frequency measured (200 Hz) to about 1 kHz. Again there is a fall-off in amplitude with increasing frequency to about 100 kHz. There are several peaks in this frequency range one of which occurs at the discharge chamber resonance. Between 100 kHz and 1 MHz, the spectrum is nearly flat with a relative amplitude of about -32 dB. Then, as the frequency increases, the level of the spectrum increases such that at peaks which occur at 1.3, 2.0, and 2.8 MHz the relative amplitudes are -11, -5, and -11.5 dB, respectively.

Correlations of the Fluctuations

To determine the geometric size of the beam that is fluctuating as a whole ("in-phase"), cross-correlations or space-time correlations were measured (see appendix B of Ref. 2). As indicated in the Ref. 2, the correlation curves were obtained in terms of $R$, the correlation coefficient, as a function of $\tau$, the time delay between the fluctuations measured at two points in the beam. The cross-correlation curves had a maximum, $R_{\text{max}}$, usually near $\tau = 0$ which implied that for the given probe separation distances and time-resolvability of the correlation analyzer, the fluctuations did not have measurable convective velocities.

Figure 12 gives the variation of $R_{\text{max}}$ of the correlations of the beam fluctuations as a function of radial probe separation distance. Also included in the figure are data given in Fig. 18 of Ref. 2 which were taken in the beam of a 30-cm thruster at a distance from the accelerator grid of 17.8 cm. In the case of both the data from Ref. 2, and that presented herein, one probe remained at $r = 0$ and the other probe varied across the radius. To permit a comparison of the two sets of data, the radial separation, $r_1/r_2$, is divided by $r_1$, the thruster radius. From Fig. 12 it is seen that the values of $R_{\text{max}}$ are quite large (i.e., $\approx 0.5$) throughout the radial extent of the beam. The variation of $R_{\text{max}}$ with $t_1/r_2$ is about the same for both the 8-cm and the 30-cm thrusters. This signifies that the radial scale of the fluctuations is as large as the beam diameter. For the 8-cm it was not possible because of facility limitations to explore the behavior of $R_{\text{max}}$ with axial separation of the two probes. Because of the similarity of the results for the radial probe separation with Ref. 2, there
probably exists a like similarity of results for the axial probe separation.

As in Ref. 2, the relationship between the discharge current fluctuations and the beam fluctuations was studied by measuring the cross-correlations between these two fluctuations. Also measured was the cross-correlation of the neutralizer keeper current fluctuations with the beam fluctuations. In addition the correlation of the fluctuations of the discharge voltage with the discharge current fluctuations was obtained. The results of these correlation measurements are presented in Table I which gives the ranges of values of the maximum correlation coefficients, R_{max}, that were obtained. The first column in Table I gives the range of values of R_{max} for the correlations of \Delta V_{1} fluctuations and \Delta E_{0} fluctuations. The \Delta E_{0} values vary from 0.72 to 0.78 which is essentially no variation over the series of tests since the time-mean discharge chamber parameters were held constant. The rather high value of R_{max} indicates that the fluctuations in current and voltage are a consequence of the same physical phenomena. However, it should be understood that this particular cross-correlation is not only sensitive to the nature of the discharge chamber fluctuations themselves, but could also be sensitive to the nature of impedance of the power supplies as viewed from the thruster. Thus, altering power supply characteristics or their output impedance could produce different results from those in the table.

The second column in Table I gives the range of values of R_{max} for the correlations of the neutralizer keeper current fluctuations, \Delta J_{NK}(t) with the beam fluctuations, \Delta J_{B}(t). The \Delta J_{NK} values vary from 0.09 to 0.18 which is essentially similar to the range of values obtained in Ref. 2 for the 30-cm thruster. This result is not unexpected. In both Ref. 2 and here, the spectra of the beam and neutralizer keeper fluctuations are not similar (i.e., refer to Figs. 8(b) or Figs. 11(a) and (b) of this paper). The lack of similarity of the spectra always results in small cross-correlation coefficients by definition of the cross-correlation of two fluctuations.

The third column in Table I gives the range of values of R_{max} for the correlations of the discharge current fluctuations, \Delta J_{E}(t) with the fluctuations of the beam current, \Delta J_{B}(t). The \Delta J_{E} values vary from 0.08 to 0.23 which is much lower than the results obtained for the 30-cm thruster presented in Ref. 2. There the \Delta J_{E} for the correlations of \Delta J_{E}(t) and \Delta J_{B}(t) varied from 0.7 to 0.9. Again referring to the spectra of the fluctuations yields the explanation for this difference in the values of R_{max}. In Ref. 2, the spectra for the discharge current and beam fluctuations were nearly similar and hence the large values of R_{max} are entirely reasonable for the results of Ref. 2. In the present work, spectra of the discharge current fluctuations and beam current fluctuations are not similar as can be seen by referring to Figs. 8(a) and (b). The maximum amplitudes of the spectra occur at 78 and 0.9 kHz, respectively, for the discharge current and beam current fluctuations.

### Concluding Remarks

An experimental investigation of the fluctuations or time-varying properties of an 8-cm electron-bombardment thruster with a laboratory power supply console has been performed. The steady-state parameters of the thruster that were kept constant were beam current, \Delta J_{B}, of 72 milliamps, discharge voltage, \Delta V_{1}, of 38.6 volts, and discharge current, \Delta E_{0}, of 0.70 amp. Included in the study was a variation of the neutralizer parameters to observe the effect on the time-varying aspects of the coupling of the neutralizer with the beam. The results include the following:

1. Varying the neutralizer parameters including keeper hole diameter resulted in a wide variation of the ratio of the rms magnitude of the fluctuations to the time-mean of the beam current from 0.62 to 0.67.

2. For situations of good or optimum coupling of the neutralizer to the beam, \Delta J_{NK}, the ratio of the rms magnitude of the fluctuations to the time-mean of the beam current was 0.67 or less.

3. A relationship was found between the steady-state (time-mean) and the time-varying coupling of the neutralizer with the beam.

4. The ratio of the rms magnitude of the fluctuations to the time-mean neutralizer keeper current was found to depend strongly on the neutralizer parameters of the neutralizer keeper current, \Delta J_{NK}, the flow rate, \Delta N_{K}, and the keeper hole diameter, \Delta d_{N}.

5. Maxima of the spectra for the fluctuations in discharge current and voltage occurred at about 78 kHz and could possibly be the result of the presence of ion-acoustic waves in the discharge plasma or other plasma resonances.

6. The maxima of the spectra of the beam current fluctuations did not depend on the discharge plasma fluctuations. This fact along with the cross-correlation results indicates that the discharge current fluctuations did not directly contribute to the beam current fluctuations.

7. The cross-correlations of the beam fluctuations indicate that the radial scale of the fluctuations is as large as the beam diameter.

8. The rms magnitudes, spectral and cross-correlation results of the neutralizer keeper current fluctuations indicate that the neutralizer contributions to the beam fluctuations could be small (for good neutralizer-to-beam coupling) but not negligible and appear mostly in the higher frequency range measured.
Appendix - Symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>d_N</td>
<td>neutralizer keeper hole diameter, cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>frequency, Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I(t) \rightarrow \overline{I(t)} + i(t) )</td>
<td>sum of time-mean and time-varying beam probe currents, milliamps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i(t)</td>
<td>time-varying probe current, milliamps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J_B(t) \rightarrow \overline{J_B(t)} + j_B(t) )</td>
<td>sum of time-mean and time-varying beam currents, milliamps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j_B(t)</td>
<td>time-varying beam current, milliamps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J_E(t) \rightarrow \overline{J_E(t)} + j_E(t) )</td>
<td>sum of the time-mean and time-varying discharge (emission) currents, amps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j_E(t)</td>
<td>time-varying discharge (emission) current, amps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J_{NK}(t) \rightarrow \overline{J_{NK}(t)} + j_{NK}(t) )</td>
<td>sum of time-mean and time-varying neutralizer keeper currents, amps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j_{NK}(t)</td>
<td>time-varying neutralizer keeper current, amps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \dot{m}_N )</td>
<td>equivalent neutralizer flow rate, milliamps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{max} )</td>
<td>maximum correlation coefficient at a given probe separation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>radial probe distance from grid center, cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_T )</td>
<td>radius of thruster, cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_g(t) \rightarrow \overline{V_g(t)} + \Delta V_g(t) )</td>
<td>sum of time-mean and time-varying neutralizer floating potential, volts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \overline{V_g(t)} )</td>
<td>time-varying neutralizer floating potential, volts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta V_f(t) \rightarrow \overline{\Delta V_f(t)} + \Delta V_f(t) )</td>
<td>sum of time-mean and time-varying discharge voltages, volts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta V_f )</td>
<td>time-varying discharge voltage, volts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>axial probe distance from grid center, cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( z_F )</td>
<td>radial separation distance between two probes, cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table I. - Range of values of the maximum correlation coefficients, \( R_{max} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For correlations of ( \Delta V_f(t) ) and ( i_E(t) )</th>
<th>For correlations of ( J_{NK}(t) ) and ( j_B(t) )</th>
<th>For correlations of ( J_E(t) ) and ( j_B(t) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. - 8-cm Mercury ion thruster mounted on flange.

Figure 2. - Schematic diagram of instrumentation used to obtain cross-correlations and spectra for plasma fluctuations.
Figure 3. - A typical radial variation of the root-mean-square magnitude of the fluctuations and time-mean currents to the ion beam probe. $J_B = 72$ mA; $\Delta V_I = 38$ V; $J_E = 0.70$ A.

Figure 4. - Root-mean-square magnitude of fluctuations of neutralizer keeper current as a function of the ratio of the root-mean-square magnitude of fluctuations to time-mean beam current for a range of neutralizer keeper hole sizes.
Figure 5. - Root-mean-square magnitude of fluctuations of neutralizer keeper current as a function of the neutralizer floating potential, $V_g$, for a range of neutralizer keeper hole sizes.

Figure 6. - Effect of varying the neutralizer flow rate on the ratio of root-mean-square magnitude of fluctuations to time-mean for the neutralizer keeper and ion beam currents. $J_{NK} = 0.45$ A; diameter of neutralizer keeper hole, $d_N = 0.193$ cm.
Figure 7. - Effect of varying the neutralizer keeper current on the ratio of root-mean-square magnitude of fluctuations to time-mean for the neutralizer keeper and ion beam currents. Neutralizer flow rate, $\dot{m}_N = 6.5$ mA; diameter of neutralizer keeper hole, $d_N = 0.193$ cm.

Figure 8. - Relative amplitude in decibels as a function of frequency of the fluctuations for a typical thruster operating condition. $J_B = 72$ mA; $\Delta V_I = 38$ V; $J_E = 0.70$ A; neutralizer keeper hole diameter, $d_N = 0.157$ cm.
Figure 9. - Relationship between the neutralizer floating potential and the relative amplitude in decibels as a function of frequency for the neutralizer keeper current fluctuations.

Figure 10. - Effect of varying the neutralizer keeper current on the relationship between the neutralizer floating potential and the relative amplitude in decibels as a function of frequency for the neutralizer keeper current fluctuations. Neutralizer keeper hole diameter, \( d_N = 0.157 \) cm.
Figure 11. - Comparison of the relative amplitude as a function of frequency for the fluctuations of neutralizer keeper current, the ion beam current, and the neutralizer floating potential. Neutralizer keeper hole diameter, \( d_N = 0.258 \) cm.

Figure 12. - Variation of \( R_{\text{max}} \) of the beam fluctuations as a function of the radial probe separation and comparison with results of Ref. 2 for the 30-cm thruster.