URBAN AND REGIONAL LAND USE ANALYSIS:
CARETS AND CENSUS CITIES EXPERIMENT PACKAGE

SKYLAB/EREP INVESTIGATION NO. 469
NASA Order No. T-5290 B

MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT

Robert W. Pease
and Harry F. Lins, Jr.
U.S. Geological Survey
Geography Program
Reston, Virginia 22092
January 22, 1975

Principal Investigator   NASA Technical Monitor
Robert Alexander   John T. Wheeler
U.S. Geological Survey   Technology Utilization Officer
Reston, Virginia 22092   NASA-Manned Spacecraft Center
                                          Houston, Texas 77058

Monthly Progress Report: January 22, 1975
Investifation No. 469

a.1.   CARETS--Land use analysis: No change.

a.2.   CARETS--Land use climatology: Our first S-192 computer tapes
arrived during the period of this report.   We have made considerable
progress in the reading and manipulation of the S-192 type data, with
the assistance and advice of Mr. Robert McNelly of the USGS Computer
Center.   We first ran print-out maps from channel 21 (thermal band,
low sampling rate) of the Baltimore-Washington area where our prime
test site for the climatology project is located.   The first few print-
outs were block smoothed through a 10 x 10 filter.   This facilitated
the printing of the entire scan width on a single print-out sheet.
More recently we have received an unsmoothed print-out of the first
one-hundred scan-line pixels along a track form Liberty Reservoir on
the west to the Chesapeake Bay on the east.   No analysis of this
scene, however, has taken place.

A preliminary list of data manipulation and output requirements for
S-192 tapes has been compiled.   The primary constituents are:

1)   The computation of range, mean standard deviation and
coefficient of skewness for all pixels within a single
spectral band and specific area of interest.

2)   Histogram plots of pixel values in PCM count intervals
versus number of occurences in each interval, within a
single spectral band, within a specific area of interest.

3)   Application of calibration factor, according to a specified
function, to each data element pixel within a specific
area of interest.

4)   Production of digital map print-outs with and without block
smoothing.   In the case of smoothed versions a variety of
matrix operator sizes, e.g. 4 x 4, 5 x 5, 10 x 10, etc.,
would be desirable.

In the analysis thus far, we have assumed that the internal scanner
calibration has already been performed (by NASA) and that each pixel
value that we are reading on the tape has already been corrected as
a result of that calibration.   We also assume that no corrections
have been made for atmospheric water vapor.

a.3.   Census cities: No change.

b.   Recommendations concerning decision and/or actions required to
ensure attainment of the experiment's scientific objectives: With
respect to the S055-4 data PCM count interval change requested in the
last report the new intervals, as recommended, may not be as precise
as desired.   During the coming weeks a more exact determination of
these intervals should be made and subsequently forwarded in the
February monthly report.

c. Expected accomplishments during the next report periods: Conversion
of raw PCM counts to radiance values and then to temperature in °C
should be completed by the end of January. When this is accomplished
these temperature values will then be compared to the results of
the Outcalt urban climate simulator, probably here on the USGS computer.

d. Significant results and their relationship to practical applications
   or operational problems:

1. Maps originally created under USGS Contract 14-08-0001-11914
   at U.C. Riverside have been refined and a paper has been prepared
   which describes their making for presentation at the forthcoming
   ASP annual convention in Washington, D.C. These maps, which
   merge electro-optical scanner technology with automated cartography,
   are isarithmic displays showing for Baltimore the distribution of
   such complex phenomena as surface albedos, energy absorbed and
   emitted by the surface and the distribution of net radiation, often
   considered the injection of energy in a terrestrial thermal system.
   The project comprises an advance in extraction of data from calibrated
   electro-optical scanners as well as providing synoptic displays
   of the diversity of energy – related phenomena which can be used
   as analytic tools for investigating surface energy states.

2. Early in January, 1975, the Geography Program received tapes of
   thermal Skylab data made over the CARETS test site on August 5, 1973.
   The problem was presented to (1) format and interpret the values
   for pixels of the satellite thermal scan and to (2) correct the
   values recorded for the gray-window effects of the atmosphere and
   thus achieve true surface values. The gray-window in effect damps
   the signal so that a 27°C target records in this case only as about
   2° to 4°C.

   Formatting and deciphering of the hexadecimal tape code was accomp-
   lished by Robert McNelly of the computer center. His results were
   made according to NASA/SKYLAB directions and were verified by the
   fact that rational energy values were achieved which could be
   calibrated to known surface values by the following procedures.

   The gray window physical model, upon which surface to sensor
   calibration was finally accomplished, was originally created as a
   part of USGS/U.C. Riverside participation in the Barbados (BOMEX)
   experiment. In it, a surface signal is modified in two ways by air
   through which it is transmitted; (1) the air, by its water vapor
   and aerosol content, alternates or weakens the signal, but (2) this
   loss is to an extent compensated by the addition of upward atmospheric
   longwave emission. Only the attenuated surface signals can produce
   a thermal image, the air addition acts as unwanted, contrast-reducing
   noise. In its original form, the model was handled as a single equation.

   For purposes of calibrating Skylab thermal pixel data, the gray-
   window model was broken into its two parts which were considered
   separately. This arises from the fact that the satellite is in space
   and the air emission is actually simply atmospheric longwave radiation
   leaking into space. On the other hand, the signal attenuation is

2

taking place in the lowest atmospheric layers. Of course, both factors are eventually brought together.

It was the original intention to estimate the upward longwave atmospheric radiation (R↑a) from the amount of water vapor in the air column, as measured in precipitable centimeters as derived from a radiosonde sounding made at Baltimore Washington Internation Airport. The air column was to be examined in its stratification using the following equation for each layer:

$$P_v = \bar{m} (P_1 - P_2) / g$$

Where P_v = Water Vapor in precipitable centimeters, $\bar{m}$ the mean mixing ratio of each layer, $(P_1 - P_2)$ the mean air pressure of the layer in dynes (1 millibar = 1000 dynes), and g the acceleration of gravity = 980 cm/sec^2. Some problem still exists as to how to translate the precipitable water value into R↑a since values must be for radiance in the spectral sensing window only. Determination of water vapor transmissivity by Beers Law (e^{-ku}) may be best.

Since the atmospheric soundings were not yet available, an alternate method was devised to determine both precipitable water and atmospheric energy emission to space. First, synoptic weather conditions for the overflight time were determined from appropriate weather maps which with the imagery defined the depth of the humid layer and its dew point. By the above equation, the water vapor in this layer was given close estimate. Second, a numerical iteration was performed in which various values for R↑a were inserted into appropriate equations (see attachment 1) and by means of the Beer's Law relationship (e^{-ku}), water vapor contents were determined to match each value of atmospheric upward emission. The value that matched the estimated water vapor content was deemed to be close to correct. Thus, values derived by two different approaches must agree.

To enable this iteration process to work, a new refinement was added to the gray-window model. Beer's Law as used applies only to water vapor in the air. A method was therefore devised to measure a complementary turbidity transmissivity (T_t). The overall transmissivity (T) thus became:

$$T = T_t (T_{wv}) \text{ Where } T_{wv} = \text{water vapor transmissivity}$$

This constitutes a worthwhile addition to the model which should make it better fit reality.

It is possible that the numerical solution is an improvement on original plans for a calibration lacking calibration targets. Since the original ground truth target was omitted, data was obtained that enabled Chesapeake Bay to act as a substitute. This one target made it possible to the calibration equations to the surface. An analytic solution for use when one calibration target is available is included as attachment 2. The basic equation for the sensor to surface correction is:

$$R_o = \frac{R_z - R\hat{\uparrow}a}{T}$$ where R_o = spectral radiance at surface

R_z = spectral radiance measured aloft, $R\hat{\uparrow}a$ =

Longwave atmospheric emission as a spectral radiance in the sensing
window.

A successful tentative calibration was achieved by the procedures
described. These permit computer programs to be written to convert
Skylab thermal tapes into line-printed graymaps showing actual surface
radiation temperature distributions at the time of imaging. The
calibrations will be further checked when the atmospheric soundings
for August 5, 1973 are available from Asheville. A procedure was
provided to guide the programmer.

Black/white transparencies for the thermal band of the tape plus
three bands made with reflected solar energy have been received. These
can be made into a sequence of energy - related maps as was done for
Baltimore if desired. Such a set of maps, with the broad geographic
perspective of a satellite field of view would indeed be a first and
should be very useful in the analysis of CARETS land-use climatology.
The map sequence can also be made directly from the original tapes
with proper programming.

Success of Skylab calibration suggests that satellites are feasible
platforms for thermal scanning and provide a much broader geographical
field of view than is possible with airborne platforms.

e. Summary outlook for the remaining effort to be performed: No change.

f. Travel summary and plans: Robert Pease visited the USGS National
Center from January 15-17 to analyze the tape data as described in
section d.

Approved:

Robert H. Alexander
Principal Investigator
Skylab/EREP Investigation No. 469

ATTACHMENT 1

Equations Used to Numerically Iterate for Emission to Space and
Precipitable Water

R_z = spectral radiance measured at
satellite in $w/cm^2/ster/\mu m$

R_o = spectral radiance at surface in
$w/cm^2/ster/\mu m$

1. $\dfrac{R_z - R{\uparrow}a}{R_o} = T$ where

$R{\uparrow}a$ = spectral radiance of atmosphere
into space in $w/cm^2/ster/\mu m$

T = overall transmissivity of air
column

T_t = turbidity transmissivity

2. $T = T_t(T_{wv})$ where

T_{wv} = water vapor transmissivity

3. $T_{wv} = e^{-ku}$

= Beer's law exponential expression
of water vapor transmissivity

k = kondratoyev mass absorption
coefficient for 10-12 μm
spectral sensing window = .10

u = water vapor in precipitable
centimeters

4. $T_t = \dfrac{\text{Silicon cell measured solar input}}{\text{Theoretical solar input (with sun angle and water vapor correction)}}$

ATTACHMENT 2

An Analytical Method for Determining R↑a When One Target is Known

1. Determine precipitable water in air column by equation:

$$P_v = \text{water vapor in precipitable centimeters}$$

$$\overline{m} = \text{mean mixing ratio}$$

$$(P_1 - P_2) = \text{mean pressure of air layers in dynes}$$

$$P_v = \frac{\overline{m}(P_1 - P_2)}{g} \quad \text{where} \quad g = \text{accelleration of gravity} = 980 \text{ cm/sec}^2$$

2. Use $P_v = u$ in Beer's Law (e^{-ku}) exponential expression for water vapor transmissivity (T_{wv}). K = mass absorption coefficient (kondrateyev)= .10 for 10-12 μm. Resolve the exponential to determine the T_{wv} for μ amount of precipitable water.

3. Find overall transmissivity $T = T_t \times T_{nv}$. Determine T_t (turbidity transmissivity) as described.

4. Multiply known target R_o by T and subtract product from R_z to find R↑a.

$$R_z - R_o T = R↑a$$

This becomes a constant for the image.

5. To solve for other image elements:

$$R_o = \frac{R_z - R↑a}{T}$$