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1.0 SUMMARY

This report describes work carried out on NASA Grant NSG-2050 during the period May 1, 1975, to October 31, 1975. Our laser modeling activity has involved addition of an option to the current program, COED 2, allowing N₂ as a second diatomic gas. This option is now operational and a few test cases involving N₂/CO mixtures have been run. Results from these initial test cases are summarized in this report.

In the laboratory, a new CW double-discharge test facility has been constructed and tested. New features include: water-cooled removable electrodes, O-ring construction to facilitate cleaning and design modifications, increased discharge length, and addition of a post-discharge observation section. Preliminary tests with this new facility using N₂ have already yielded higher power loadings than obtained in our first-generation facility. At present, power loading is limited by the power supply available, but a larger supply is on order and will be installed shortly. Another test-section modification, recently made and as yet untested, will permit injection of secondary gases into the cathode boundary layer. The objective here will be to vary and hopefully enhance the uv emission spectrum from the auxiliary discharge, thereby influencing the level of photoionization in the main discharge region.
2.0 LASER MODELING

2.1 Probabilities for V-V Exchange in CO-N\textsubscript{2} and \textsubscript{N\textsubscript{2}}-N\textsubscript{2} Systems

2.1.1 CO-N\textsubscript{2} V-V Probabilities

Calculations of vibrational-vibrational energy exchange probabilities in pure CO are usually based on the assumption of independent contributions from short range and long range forces. The long range contribution is due to multipole-multipole interactions [1], of which the dipole-dipole term is dominant. The short range contribution arises from coulombic repulsive forces.

For molecular systems involving N\textsubscript{2}, which has no permanent dipole moment, long range contributions are usually neglected in calculating V-V exchange probabilities. In models of vibrational kinetic processes in CO lasers, the CO-N\textsubscript{2} V-V probabilities are typically calculated with the short-range expression used for the pure CO calculation [2,3] with some modification to obtain agreement with experimental data. An expression of this type, based on the Schwartz-Slawsky-Herzfeld theory, was discussed in an earlier progress report.* Attempts to use this expression in calculating CO-N\textsubscript{2} probabilities, however, led to poor agreement with experimental results in either the vibrational quantum number dependence or the temperature dependence of the V-V probabilities. Much better agreement was obtained by abandoning the SSH theory and using in its place an expression obtained by an extension of the theory of H. K. Shin [4].

remainder of this section is a brief discussion of Shin's theory and its extension, with comparisons with experimental data.

The theory is a semiclassical treatment, assuming harmonic oscillator wave functions and a three-dimensional classical collision trajectory. The overall interaction potential is assumed to be the sum of four atom-atom interaction potentials, which are represented by Morse functions. The interaction thus includes both attractive and repulsive terms. The molecules are assumed to be rotationless. A result is obtained only for the vibrational transitions involving the ground level and the first excited level, i.e., in the case of $N_2$ and CO, for the processes

$$N_2(v=1) + CO(v=0) \rightarrow N_2(v=0) + CO(v=1).$$

For the forward process, Shin's result may be expressed in the form

$$P_{CO-N_2}^{0,1} = \left(1.79 \times 10^{-2}\right) \left(\frac{\chi}{kT}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left[-\frac{3\chi}{kT} + \frac{6(D\chi)^{1/2}}{\pi kT} g + \frac{16D}{3\pi^2 kT} g^2 + \frac{\pi \omega}{2kT}\right]. \quad (1)$$

In this expression $\omega_N - \omega_{CO}$ is the difference in vibrational frequencies of the two molecules, $D$ is the well depth of the atom-atom interaction potentials, and $g$ is a factor which arises in the particular formulation of the overall interaction potential. For the system of $N_2$ and CO, Shin's value for $g$ is 0.712. The quantity $\chi$ in equation (1) is related to the difference in vibrational frequencies, as

$$\chi = \left[\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2} \pi \omega \right]^{2/3} \left(\frac{\pi \omega a}{kT}\right)^{2/3} \quad (2)$$

where $\mu$ is the reduced mass for the collision system and $a$ is the range parameter in the interaction potentials. Shin's expression for the transition probability, equation (1), yields values which are in good agreement
with experimental data at both low and high temperatures [5].

Models of CO vibrational kinetics require a method of estimating the probabilities for single-quantum vibrational energy exchange involving any vibrational level. A simple means of extending Shin's result to the general V-V exchange reaction,

\[
\text{CO}(v=r-1) + \text{N}_2(v=s) \rightarrow \text{CO}(v=r) + \text{N}_2(v=s-1),
\]

is to assume harmonic oscillator scaling of the V-V probabilities, i.e.,

\[
P_{r-1,r} = r_s P_{0,1} \\
\quad s,s-1 \\
\quad 1,0
\]  

Equation (3) which follows from an assumption that the interaction Hamiltonian is independent of the initial states, is exact to second order in \( P_{0,1} \).

A further modification is to introduce anharmonicity effects by replacing \( \hbar \omega \) in equation (1) with the actual energy nonresonance, \( |\Delta E|^* \), and to correct the vibrational frequencies with anharmonicity factors:

\[
\omega_{\text{CO}} = \omega_0^{(\text{CO})}[1 - r \delta_{\text{CO}}] \\
\omega_{\text{N}_2} = \omega_0^{(\text{N}_2)}[1 - s \delta_{\text{N}_2}]
\]  

These substitutions are ad hoc, and they are justified only by the better agreement with experimental data which is obtained.

*Note, however, that if this substitution is made in the pre-exponential term in equation (1), the probability for an exactly resonant V-V exchange would be zero. Although there are no exact resonances for purely vibrational transitions in CO and \( \text{N}_2 \), this perhaps academic difficulty is avoided by not making the substitution in the pre-exponential term.
A comparison of the probabilities calculated by the above method with the room-temperature data of Hancock and Smith [7] shows good agreement, although the calculated probabilities are generally larger by about a factor of two. Better agreement is obtained if the constant in the preexponential is chosen to fit the room-temperature data for $P_{1,0}$.

The final expression for the probability for the single-quantum $V-V$ reaction

$$\text{CO}(v=r-1) + N_2(v=s) + \text{CO}(v=r) + N_2(v=s-1)$$

is then

$$\nu'_{(CO-N_2)} = (3.52 \times 10^{-7}) \left[ \frac{r}{1-r\delta_{CO}} \right] \left[ \frac{s}{1-s\delta_{N_2}} \right] G(X;T) \exp \left( \frac{\Delta E}{2kT} \right). \quad (6)$$

The function $G(X;T)$ in this expression is defined as

$$G(X;T) = \left( \frac{X}{kT} \right)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{1}{|\Delta E|^{1/3}} \exp \left( \frac{1}{kT} \left[ -3X + 4 \frac{\sqrt{3X}}{\pi} \frac{g}{g} + \frac{16D}{3\pi^2} \frac{g^2}{g^2} \right] \right) \quad (7)$$

where

$$X = \left( \frac{(2\mu)^{1/2}}{2} \frac{a \pi^2}{\hbar} \frac{kT}{|\Delta E|} \right)^{2/3} \quad (8)$$

and the energy nonresonance is given by

$$\Delta E = \left( E_s^{(N_2)} - E_{s-1}^{(N_2)} \right) - \left( E_r^{(CO)} - E_{r-1}^{(CO)} \right). \quad (9)$$

Equation (6) is the expression used to calculate the probabilities for CO-$N_2$ $V-V$ exchange in COED2. Following Shin, the values for the potential parameters used in these calculations are
Figures 1 and 2 are a comparison of the available low-temperature data [6-10] with the probabilities calculated from equation (6). Also plotted in these figures are the probabilities which would be obtained from the short-range SSH expression, with the constant in the pre-exponential chosen to match the room-temperature data for $P_{0,1}^{(CO-N_2)}$.

2.1.2 $N_2-N_2$ V-V Probabilities

A similar approach is taken to calculate the probabilities for V-V exchange in pure $N_2$. Shin's approach leads to a value of zero for exact resonance, so that in this case the process

$$N_2(v=0) + N_2(v=2) \rightarrow 2N_2(v=1)$$

is used as a basic process to which the vibrational quantum number scaling is applied. The calculation of the probability for this reference V-V process is the same as Shin's development for CO and $N_2$, although an additional factor of $\sqrt{2}$ appears in the evaluation of the scattering matrix element due to the choice of initial states. The extension to the general single-quantum V-V process,

$$N_2(v=r-1) + N_2(v=s) + N_2(v=r) + N_2(v=s-1),$$

is made in exactly the same fashion as for the CO-$N_2$ probabilities.

The final result for the $N_2-N_2$ V-V probability is expressed by

$$P_{r-1,r}^{(N_2-N_2)} = \langle N_2 \rangle \langle N_2 \rangle \left[ \frac{r}{1-rN_2} \right] \left[ \frac{s}{1-sN_2} \right] G(X;T) \exp \left( \frac{\Delta E}{2kT} \right). \quad (10)$$
Figure 1. Room-temperature probabilities for the CO-N₂ V-V process 
CO(v) + N₂(v=0) + CO(v-1) + N₂(v=1). The calculated 
probabilities are fit to a value of $1.9 \times 10^{-5}$ for $P_{1,0}$ 
0,1
Figure 2. Comparison of the calculated probabilities with the low-temperature experimental results of Stephenson and Mosburg for the V-V process. $CO(v=1) + N_2(v=0) \rightarrow CO(v=0) + N_2(v=1)$. 

○ Stephenson and Mosburg (1974)

- Extension of Shin's theory
- SSH expression

$P_{2,1}$ vs. $T$, K

$50 \leq T, K \leq 300$
The definitions of the terms in this equation are the same as in equation (6) for the CO-N₂ case. The constant \( g \) has a value of 0.731 for N₂-N₂, and the values used for the potential parameters are

\[
\begin{align*}
a &= 0.2 \AA \\
D &= 1.263 \times 10^{-14} \text{ ergs}.
\end{align*}
\]

Calculated probabilities for the V-V excitation of ground-level N₂ are plotted in Figure 3. The value calculated by Berend and Benson [11] for \( P^{(N₂-N₂)}_{0,1} \) at \( T = 300 \text{ K} \) is also shown on this plot. Their calculation is based on a rigorous two-dimensional classical model, which includes the effects of molecular rotation and anharmonicity.

2.2 Calculations of CO/N₂ Laser Kinetics Using C⁰ED₂

With the inclusion of N₂ in the model of CO vibrational kinetics, C⁰ED₂ has become a useful tool in studying the relative importance of direct electron pumping and CO-CO and CO-N₂ V-V transfer processes in shaping the CO vibrational distribution in fast-flow continuous electric discharge systems. Several calculations have been made for mixtures of N₂ and CO to assess the effects of the relative N₂/CO concentrations on the CO vibrational kinetics and small-signal gain. These calculations assume that the discharge parameters, \( n_e \) and \( T_e \), are not affected by changes in the relative concentrations of the two molecular species and thus isolate, perhaps artificially, the vibrational kinetics from the overall discharge kinetics. Such predictions are useful, however, in that they can help identify phenomena in the vibrational energy transfer processes which could be used to help meet particular objectives in a laser
Figure 3. Calculated probabilities for the $N_2-N_2$ V-V exchange $N_2(v) + N_2(v=0) + N_2(v=1) + N_2(v=1)$. 
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design, e.g. high gain in certain vibrational bands, changes in the delay time to maximum gain, etc.

Calculations for two mixtures of CO and N\textsubscript{2} are discussed in this section. The geometry and flow conditions for these calculations correspond to the small supersonic double-discharge system at Stanford. The flow channel in this system has a two-dimensional nozzle with an area ratio of 17.4. The total length of the test section is 30 cm, including the 20-cm discharge section and a 10-cm section downstream of the discharge. The sidewalls of the test section diverge uniformly to allow for boundary layer growth, with entrance and exit widths of 1.9 cm and 2.86 cm, respectively. The top and bottom walls of the discharge channel are formed by the flushed-mounted main discharge electrodes, which have a constant separation of 1.2 cm. Continuous supersonic flow in the test section is maintained by a diffuser and pump system with a volumetric capacity of 94 liters/sec.

For the calculations reported here stagnation conditions of 300 K and 4.06 atm were assumed. The calculated temperature and pressure in the test section for these conditions are approximately 65 K and 14 torr. The pressure calculation, which reflects boundary layer corrections to the one-dimensional isentropic flow equations, compares favorably with measured static pressures in the test section. Gas heating effects due to the discharge are included in the calculations.

Discharge conditions were assumed on the basis of an average current density of 10 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2} and an estimated E/N of 2 \times 10^{-16} V \cdot cm\textsuperscript{2} for the main discharge. Solutions of the electron Boltzmann equation by Morgan and Fisher [12] and Nighan [13] were used to estimate the electron drift
velocity and average electron energy. The discharge parameters used in the calculations, based on these considerations, were \( n_e = 2 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) and \( T_e = 7000 \text{ K} \). If nearly all of the discharge energy is assumed to go into vibrational excitation, these values of electron number density and temperature lead to an energy loading \( \lesssim 10^{-2} \text{ eV/molecule} \). This energy loading is consistent with the discharge performance attained in initial supersonic experiments with Pen.

Stagnation and discharge conditions for the two calculations are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Discharge and stagnation conditions used in the calculations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( P_o ) , stagnation pressure</td>
<td>4.06 atm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_o ) , stagnation temperature</td>
<td>300 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n_e ) , electron number density</td>
<td>( 2 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_e ) , electron temperature</td>
<td>7000 K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.1 Case 1, \( N_2:CO = 90:10 \)

The first calculation is for a mixture of 90% \( N_2 \), 10% \( CO \). Results for the CO vibrational distribution and the maximum line-center gain coefficients are plotted in Figures 4 and 5. Distance on these graphs is defined from the beginning of the 20-cm discharge section. A temperature increase in the flow direction of approximately 3K is predicted due to gas heating effects. The calculated vibrational energy densities at the end of the discharge region are \( 2.5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ eV/molecule} \) for \( CO \) and \( 5.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV/molecule} \) for \( N_2 \).
Figure 4. Normalized CO vibrational populations for Case 1, at four locations from the beginning of the discharge.
Figure 5. Maximum line-center gain coefficients for the CO laser transitions $V_L + 1 + V_L$, Case 1.
The variation of the vibrational distribution and maximum gain coefficients with distance along the flow indicate that the CO-CO V-V processes dominate the vibrational energy transfer for this calculation. These effects are seen in the increase in gain of the higher-lying laser transitions at the expense of the gain on the lower transitions, representing an upward flow of vibrational energy. The net vibrational energy transfer in the interspecies V-V processes is from \( \text{N}_2 \) to CO, due to the smaller spacing of the CO vibrational energy levels. Most of this transferred energy is pumped into the low-lying CO levels, since the energy spacings for the lower levels are more nearly resonant with the \( \text{N}_2 \) energy spacings. This process is slow due to the small excited-level \( \text{N}_2 \) populations, and the transferred energy is quickly redistributed in CO by the faster CO-CO V-V processes. Although the probabilities for near-resonant V-V transfer in CO-CO and CO-\( \text{N}_2 \) are of similar magnitudes, the rates of transfer are also dependent on populations of vibrational levels which participate in the near-resonant transitions. For CO-CO collisions, these levels are adjacent and are rapidly populated through a "ladder"-climbing process, whereas for CO-\( \text{N}_2 \) collisions the near-resonant exchanges involve weakly-populated high-lying levels in \( \text{N}_2 \).

Total inversions are predicted at intermediate locations in the discharge for the 4 \( \rightarrow \) 3 and 5 \( \rightarrow \) 4 transitions. These inversions lead to significant gain for R-branch transitions. The P-branch and R-branch gain for the 4 \( \rightarrow \) 3 vibrational band is plotted in Figure 6, for a distance of 5.5 cm into the discharge.
Figure 6. P-branch and R-branch gain coefficients at line center for the $v = 4 + v = 3$ vibrational band. The distance from the beginning of the discharge is 5.5 cm.
2.2.2 Case 2, $N_2:CO = 98:2$

The second calculation is for a mixture of 98% $N_2$ and 2% $CO$. The change in $CO$ partial pressure from Case 1 causes a reduction by a factor of 5 in the $CO-CO$ V-V transfer rate. This effect is evident in the calculated $CO$ vibrational distribution, Figure 7, where the increase in population for the highest levels is slower than in the first calculation. The most striking difference in the calculated distributions for the two cases, however, is in the energy content, or average vibrational energy per $CO$ molecule. At the end of the discharge, the vibrational energy densities for Case 2 are $5.8 \times 10^{-2}$ eV/molecule for $CO$ and $6.3 \times 10^{-3}$ eV/molecule for $N_2$. In the 10-cm section downstream of the discharge, the $CO$ vibrational energy increases to $7.7 \times 10^{-2}$ eV/molecule due to V-V transfer from $N_2$.

The higher vibrational energies in Case 2 are due to a greater energy storage capacity of the gas mixture. V-V transfer out of $N_2$ in $CO-N_2$ collisions, per molecule of $N_2$, is decreased due to the factor of 5 reduction in the $CO$ concentration. At the same time, the rate of V-V energy transfer from $N_2$ into $CO$, per molecule of $CO$, is actually increased due to the slight increase in $N_2$ concentration. The result of these two effects is a higher $CO$ vibrational energy, on a per-molecule basis.

The maximum gain curves for Case 2 are plotted in Figure 8. The overall magnitudes of the small-signal gain are generally lower than in Case 1, due primarily to the decreased $CO$ concentration which results in lower population difference densities. The high gain for low-lying transitions in the early stages of the calculation is due to direct electron pumping. The increase in gain for these transitions farther downstream, particularly
Figure 7. Normalized CO vibrational populations for Case 2.

2% CO, 98% N₂
Figure 8. Maximum line-center gain coefficients for the CO laser transitions $V_L + 1 \rightarrow V_L$, Case 2.
beyond the discharge region, reflects the energy transfer from $\text{N}_2$ into the low-lying levels. This effect is of potential interest, because it may produce high gain for the low-lying transitions in a region downstream of the discharge, where the optical quality of the medium is perhaps improved. The phenomenon of delayed low-level gain enhancement due to V-V pumping by $\text{N}_2$ has also been observed in the CO laser kinetics calculations of Fisher [14].
3.0 LABORATORY STUDIES OF DOUBLE DISCHARGE

Experimental work during this six month period has continued to focus on the concept of the CW double discharge. In order to better conduct tests aimed at elucidating the discharge mechanism and increasing the electrical power loading, we have constructed a second-generation experimental facility. Although our available pump capacity limits the supersonic channel to the same cross-sectional dimensions employed in our first facility, the new facility has several improved design features and much greater flexibility. The basic design was described in our previous progress report. During the past six months the system has been assembled and tested for gasdynamic and electrical performance.

In summary, our experimental findings are as follows:

1. The cathode material was found to be an important factor in discharge performance, with copper performing better than aluminum.

2. Water cooling of the electrodes and improved mounting of pins has enabled operation at considerably higher pin currents than possible with the original test section.

3. The maximum electrical power loading (before arcing) was found to scale with the total pin current. At present we are power supply limited and cannot determine the maximum power loading achievable with total pin currents larger than 300 mA. We regard this effort to determine the dependence of maximum power loading with pin current to be a critical element of our study, and we are hopeful that the power loadings required for lasing can be achieved by operating with increased pin currents.
4. Preliminary tests with individually variable ballast resistors in the pin circuitry have confirmed that control of the individual pin currents can be used to improve discharge uniformity. Discharge uniformity and electrical power loading are of course both important in a practical device.

Recently we have ordered a new power supply to extend our operating range to higher power loadings. In the meantime, we have also made a major modification to the test section to allow injection of foreign gases into the cathode and anode boundary layers. This modification is motivated by our recognition of the role of photoionization in stabilizing the main discharge. Varying the gas in the vicinity of the cathode, where the photoionizing photons are created, should enable variation of the emission spectrum and hence of the volume ionization level in the core flow. At present, we are initiating tests to check the gasdynamic performance with injection. Subsequently, when the new power supply is installed, electrical tests will be conducted.
4.0 RESEARCH PLAN FOR NOVEMBER 1, 1975, TO APRIL 30, 1976.

During the forthcoming six-month period we plan to work on the following problems:

A. Laser Modeling

1. Parameter studies of system performance as a function of key variables such as gas composition, temperature, pressure, power loading and kinetics coefficients.

2. Addition of an option to include an optical cavity, if needed.

3. Development of a physical model for double discharge.

B. Laboratory Studies

1. Work to improve system performance, particularly the discharge uniformity and the power loading of the gas. Ideas include:
   a. Individual variable ballasting of pins in auxiliary discharge.
   b. Segmentation of anode for axial control of E/N in the main discharge.
   c. Studies at increased pin and main discharge currents, made possible with a new power supply.
   d. Electrode modifications, including rounding downstream edges of cathode and anode and masking anode sides to reduce breakdown through the warm sidewall boundary layers.
   e. Injection of gases into the cathode boundary layer, to stimulate UV emission or provide better heat dissipation.
   f. Variation in number, location and size of pins.
2. Work to improve understanding of double discharge:

a. Investigate the role of photoionization

b. Investigate the role of electrode materials and their characteristics such as work function, cathode voltage drop and material cleanliness and purity.

c. Develop physical model of double discharge.

During the forthcoming year, we anticipate that our NASA-sponsored research will benefit from funding recently received from the National Science Foundation. These funds will allow us to improve and enlarge our experimental facilities for studies of discharges in supersonic flows. The overlap between the NASA- and NSF-sponsored research should benefit both programs, and in particular the increased size of our next test section, once installed, will enable more practical experiments than presently possible.
5.0 REFERENCES


25