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## 1. Introduction

A complete description of the structure of the two dimensional near wake behind a body in mution depends on knowledge of the whole flow field. In particular one has to know, or derive, the way the boundary layer separates at the base and becomes a shear layer. This shear layer is driven by the inviscid free stream and in turn drives the recirculating flow confined inside the near-wake cavity.

Experiments at low to moderate ( $\mathrm{Re}<200$ ) Reynolds numbers [l] indicate that the recirculating flow field in the cavity is composed of three parts: The flow very near the base, the central region, and the flow field near the rear stagnation point where the cavity closes on itself and where the flow, driven by the shear layers, turns around towards the base. The data show that in the central region the velocity on the stagnation streamline (connecting the 1 ear stagnation point to the center of the base) is constant, independent. of the Reynoids namber and is about $1 / 5$ the free stream value.
flumerical studies for supersonic wake and Rez500 [2] indicate that in the near wake the viscous and pressure terms dominate while a short distance downst.eall of the rear stagnation point the two merged shear ajers have boundary-layer-like st notre.

The abnere observations suggest that one nay be able to model the flow in the : intral and rear stagnation regions of the recirculating flow field by considering a slow viscous fluid bounded by converging streamlines which "represent" the bounding shear layers. Away from the apex, i.e. the rear stagnation point, the velocities alang the outer and center streamlines are to be constant. Nearer the apex the velocities will depend linearly on the distance from the stagnation point and very close to it the variation will be parabolic - as suggested by Heimenz (See for example Batchlor [3]).

In section 2 the Stokes' equation will be solved for the sentral region, and it will be found that the returning velocity alcag the center stream line cannot be assigned arbitrarily but that. it is dictated by the constraint that on the center line the pressure gradient normal to it must vanish.

In section 3 the linear stagnation region is considered. It is found that in it the pressure gradient must be radial. Therefore, the solution in the constant velacity region found in section 2 and the inlution for this stagnation region can be matched without changing the wedye anyle.

In section 4 we examine the final parabolic approach to stagnation. It. is found that, as in the central (constant velocity) region, there is a constraint on the returring velocity along the centerline. This condition, however matches the one found in section 2 only for 7 imiting small apex angles. This in generd this region wili produce a discontinuity in the slopes of the streamines. However, because our model problem possesses no natural reference length, this find stagnation region can be made as small a. desired and will not. affect the solution elsewhere. Ar interesting result in thit, finm diatw aetghortiond of che stagnation apex is that the boundary "A enmines cannot forni an apex ariglo of $2 G_{0}=\pi$ rations tionse the returning
 dive.ges os is, orforaches $n / \mathrm{c}$.
2. The corstant (radial) veiocity region

In this region the geometry is as follows:


FIGURE 1

The boundary conditions are $u_{r}=-u_{0}$ on the streamlines $\theta=0, \theta=2 \theta_{0}$ and $u_{\theta}=0$ on the same streamlines. Since we assumed the inertia forces to be nugatory the equation to be solved is Stokes', which is bi-harmonic for the streamfunction,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{4} \psi=0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the above boundary conditions, however, we shall get a sink-type flow. We must stipulate that along the center ine the fluid is moving away from the apex (which is not in our region of consideration now). Therefore we consider the following problem:


FIGURE ?
it is clea that in polar coordinates the solution to (2.1) with its attendant bou. te y conditions must be of the form

$$
\psi=r f(\theta)
$$

from which

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{r}=\frac{1}{r} \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta}=f^{\prime}(\theta), \\
& u_{\theta}=-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}=-f(\theta),
\end{aligned}
$$

and equation (2.1) for the stream function becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{3} \nabla^{4} \psi=f^{(i v)}+2 f^{\prime \prime}+f=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary conditions for the half-wedge (see figure 2) are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f(0)=0 & f\left(\theta_{0}\right)=0 \\
f^{\prime}(0)=-j_{0} & f^{\prime}\left(\theta_{0}\right)=V_{0} \tag{2.3}
\end{array}
$$

The solution of (2.2) subject to (2.3) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\theta)=A_{0}(\sin \theta-\theta \cos \theta)+B_{0} \theta \sin \theta-U_{0} \theta \cos \theta \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0}=\frac{U_{0}}{\theta_{0}^{2}-\sin ^{2} \theta_{0}}\left\{\left(\frac{V_{0}}{U_{0}}\right)_{0} \sin \theta_{0}-\theta_{0}^{2}\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0}=\frac{U_{0}}{0_{0}^{2}-\sin ^{2} \theta_{0}}\left\{\left(\frac{v_{0}}{U_{0}}\right)\left(\theta_{0} \cos \theta_{0}-\sin \theta_{0}\right)+\theta_{0}-\sin \theta_{0} \cos \theta_{0}\right\} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we wish the center line $\theta=\theta_{0}$ to be a true line of symmetry for the complete wedge it is not sufficient to have $u_{\theta}\left(\theta_{0}\right)=-f\left(\theta_{0}\right)=0$. We must also require that the pressure gradient normal to that streamline vanish; i.e. $(\partial p / \partial \theta)_{\theta=\theta_{0}}=0$. Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta}=\frac{\mu}{r}\left(f^{\prime \prime}+f\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

wheie $\mu$ is the coefficient of viscosity. The symmetry requirement leads therefore to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{0}+U_{0}\right) \sin \theta_{0}+B_{0} \cos \theta_{0}=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A_{0}$ and $B_{c}$ depend on $\theta_{0}, U_{0}$ and $V_{0} / U_{0}$ we find that for a given driving velocity $U_{0}$ and a given semi-apex angle $\theta_{0}$ equation (2.8) yields a value for $V_{0} / U_{0}$. The variation of $\beta_{0} \equiv V_{0} / U_{0}$ with $\theta_{0}$ is shown in figure 3. Note that for $0 \leq \theta_{0} \leq \pi / 2, \beta_{0}$ varies monotonically between 0.5 and $2 / \pi \cong .637$. Thus for most values of $\theta_{0}$ that one expects to encounter, in experiments for example, the value of $\beta_{0} \equiv V_{0} / U_{0}$ will be confined to fairly narrow bounds. This seems to be in agreement with experiments [1] where at different (low) Reynolds number the same constant value of $u_{r} / U_{\infty}$ was measured along the stagnation streamline. The measured value of $\left|u_{r} / U_{\infty}\right| \cong 0.2$ would give our results the right order of magnitude if $U_{0}$, the velocity characteristic of the "bottom" edge of the driving shear-layer, is taken to be about $1 / 3$ the local free stream value.

## 3. The (linear) stagnation region

We assume that from a certain distance, $r_{0}$, the velocity along the boundirg streamlines begins to decelerate linearly in $r$. This is not an unreasonable assumption and is in line with Heimenz' results [3] for stagnation point flow. Thus we set in this region $\left(r \leq r_{0}\right)$.

$$
\psi=r^{2} f(\theta)
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{r}=r f^{\prime}(\theta) \\
& u_{\theta}=-2 r f(\theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the bi-harmonic equation reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2} \nabla^{4} \psi=f^{(i v)}+4 f^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary conditions are:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
f(0) & =0 & f\left(\theta_{0}\right) & =0  \tag{3.2}\\
f^{\prime}(0) & =-U_{1} & f^{\prime}\left(\theta_{0}\right) & =V_{1} .
\end{array}
$$

Since $r$ has not been scaled yet we shall say that this stagnation region is defined by $r \leq r_{0}=1$. Then in order for the velocity along the bounding stream?ine to be continuous in the two regions $r \geq 1$ and $r \leq 1$ we must set $U_{1}=U_{0}$ and $V_{1}=V_{0}$.

The solution to the system (3.1), (3.2) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=A_{1}(\sin 2 \theta-2 \theta)+B_{1}(\cos 2 \theta-1)-U_{0} \theta \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}=\frac{U_{0}}{4} \cdot \frac{\left(1+\beta_{1}\right) \tan \theta_{0}-2 \theta_{0}}{\theta_{0}-\tan \theta_{0}}  \tag{3.4}\\
& B_{1}=\frac{U_{0}}{4} \cdot \frac{\left(\sin \theta_{0} \cos \theta_{0}\right)\left(1+\beta_{1}+2 \theta_{0} \tan \theta_{0}\right)-\left(1+B_{1}\right) \theta_{0}}{\left(\theta_{0}-\tan \theta_{0}\right)\left(\sin \theta_{0} \cos \theta_{0}\right)}  \tag{3.5}\\
& B_{1}=\frac{V_{1}}{U_{1}}=\frac{V_{0}}{U_{0}} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easily estabiished that for the present case $\partial p / \partial \theta=0$ everywhere and hence there is no constraint on the value of $\beta_{1}$. It follows that it was legitimate to require continuity of the radial velocity on the bounding streamlines at $r=1$; i.e., to set, as we did, $\beta_{1}=\beta_{0}$.

It is interesting to note that the choice of $\psi=r^{2} f(\theta)$ is the only one leading to $\partial p / \partial \theta=0$ everywhere. This is seen by examining the expression for the pressure gradient:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mu r} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta}=\nabla^{2} u_{\theta}+\frac{2}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial \theta}-\frac{u_{\theta}}{r^{2}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u_{\theta}$ and $u_{r}$ are calculated from $\psi=r^{m} f(\theta)$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mu r} \frac{\partial P}{\partial \theta}=r^{m-3}(2-m)\left(f^{\prime \prime}+m^{2} f\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is seen from (3.8) that $\partial p / \partial \theta$ vanishes everywhere for $m=2$. This is fortunate since otherwise we would have $\beta_{1} \neq \beta_{0}$ and would not have been able to match the velocities on the center streamline of the regions at $r=r_{0}=1$, or at any other station. We are now is a position to combine the results of this section with the previous section to specify a flow-field of a slow viscous recirculating fluid in a wedge-like region (with the possible exception of the very near neighborhood of the apex):

$$
\psi=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
r\left[A_{0}(\sin 9-\theta \cos \theta)+B_{0} \theta \sin \theta-U_{0} \theta \cos \theta\right] & r \geq 1  \tag{3.9}\\
& 0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_{0} \\
r^{2}\left[A_{1}(\sin 2 \theta-2 \theta)+B_{1}(\cos 2 \theta-1)-U_{0} \theta\right] & 0 \leq r \leq 1 \\
& 0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $A_{0}, B_{0}, A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ given by (2.5), (2.6), (3.4) and (3.5) respectively. There remains the question of the continuity of $\psi$ and its derivatives, at $r=1$, at all $\theta$. and not just along the bounding streamlines, $\theta=0$ and $\theta=\theta_{0}$, where the boundary conditions were chosen to assure us of continuity. Clearly there is no analytic matching uniformly valid in $\theta$. Numerica:
calculations of $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ as functions of $\theta$ for various $\theta_{0}$ are shown in figures 4 and 5 . It is seen that the matching is quite good.

## 4. Final approach to the stagnation apex

For $0 \leq r \leq r_{2}<r 1$ we might have a parabolic appraoch to stagnancy, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=r^{3} f(\theta) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This small $r_{2}$ is likely to be of the order of the diffusion length proper to this problem, $L_{d} \approx o\left(\mu / \rho U_{0}\right)$, where we assumed tacitiy that $L_{d} \ll 1$ and hence

$$
1 \gg R_{e}=\frac{U_{0}}{v} \gg \frac{U_{0} L_{d}}{v} .
$$

From (4.1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{r}=r^{2} f^{\prime}(\theta) \\
& u_{\theta}=-3 r^{2} f(\theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the bi-harmonic equation for this case becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{3} \nabla^{4} \psi=f^{(i v)}+10 f^{1}+9 f=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f(0)=0 & f\left(\theta_{0}\right)=0  \tag{4.3}\\
f^{\prime}(0)=-U_{2} & f^{\prime}\left(\theta_{0}\right)=V_{2}
\end{array}
$$

where continuity of velocity along the bounding streamline ( $\theta=0$ ) demands that $U_{1}=r_{2} U_{2}$ or $U_{2}=U_{0} / r_{2}$. Solving (4.2) and (4.3) for $f(\theta)$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=A_{2}\left(\sin \theta-\frac{1}{3} \sin 3 \theta\right)+B_{2}(\cos \theta-\cos 3 \theta)-\frac{U_{2}}{3} \sin 3 \theta \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{2}=\frac{3}{4} ل_{2} \frac{\frac{2}{3} \sin ^{2} \theta_{0}+\beta_{2} \cos \theta_{0}-1}{\sin ^{2} \theta_{0}}  \tag{4.5}\\
& B_{2}=\frac{U_{2}}{4} \cdot \frac{2 \cos \theta_{0}-\beta_{2}}{\sin \theta_{0}}  \tag{4.6}\\
& B_{2}=\frac{V_{2}}{U_{2}} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Froir (3.3) it follows that the symnetry requirement ( $\partial p / \partial \theta=0$ at $\theta=\theta_{0}$ ) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}\left(\theta_{0}\right)+9 f\left(\theta_{0}\right)=0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6) we find that $g_{2}$ is constrained to take the value

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \cos \theta_{0}} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evidently $\beta_{2}\left(\theta_{0}\right) \not \equiv \beta_{0}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$; in ract. for the range of interest, $0 \leq \theta_{0} \leq \pi / 2$, $\beta_{2}\left(\theta_{0}\right) \geq \beta_{0}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ with equality taking place only at $\theta_{0}=0$. Thus in the present model there is ro continuous matching possible between the linear and parabolic stagnation regions. Either, if we match the velocities, there is a discontinuity in the slopes of the bounding and other streamlines, or -if we leave the wedge shape undisturbed -- there is a discontinuity in the velocity field. This was to be expected in a relatively crude model as the one empioyed here. However, the extent of the parabolic region is very small and its effect on the rest of the flow field should be small. The main point is that the two major regions -- that of constant velocity and the linear stagnation one -- do match well to yield a flow field with properties characteristic of available low Reynolds numbers data.

It is interesting to note that the expression for $\varepsilon_{2}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ effectively excludes the possibility of a blunted wedge shaped cavity with closure by streamlines perpendicular to the center line, i.e., $\theta_{0}=\pi / 2$. In fact, one would guess that at the apex the closure is cusped.
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