(NASA-CB-156157) CALCULATION OF N78-22345 EVAPOTRANSPIBATION USING COLOF-INFRAFED PHOTOGRAPHY (Geological Survey) 40 F MF A01; SOD HC CSCL 14E Unclass G3/35 14297

Calculation of Evapotranspiration

Using Color-Infrared Photography

By JOHN EDWIN JONES

GILA RIVER PHREATOPHYTE PROJECT

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY PROFESSIONAL PAPER 655-0

Work done in cooperation with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE, WASHINGTON 1977

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

CECIL D. ANDRUS, Secretary

.

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

V. E. McKelvey, Durettor

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

> For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office Washington, D.C. 20402 Stock Number 024-001-02985-5

CONTENTS -------

	P
Symbols	Ī
Glossary of ternas	١.
Abstract	0
Introduction	1
Purpose and scope of the investigation	1
Location and extent of the study areas	5
Acknowledgments	3
Aerial abotography	-
Versitation	
Color infrared photography as a tool for variation analysis	2
Color infrared film	
Lasf and channe selectores	
Viewa) opalwie	
Date collection	
Destaurable data collection	
Provograpnic data collection	
Rydrologic data collection	
vegetation data conjection	
Identification and measurement of vegetation parameters	н
Evapotranspiration	10
Depth to water-soil moisture	14
Vegetation	19
Signature discrimination	-19
Time-independent signature	2
Time-dependent signature	24

67	1	Page
V	Identification and measurement of vegetation parameters-	
V	Continued	
)1	Vegetation - Continued	
1	Signature discrimination—Continued	
1	Spatial computer analysis	O26
2	Volume of canopy foliage	28
2	Foliar cover	31
2	Techniques for analysis of color-infrared photography	33
3	Cost of photographic analysis	35
4	Basic photographic concepts	35
4	Spectral sensitivity	35
5	Film density versus exposure	35
6	Base plus fog density	35
7	Gemma	35
7	Data standardization and sources of variability	35
0	Analytic optical density	36
0	Film-type correction	36
0	Flight-altitude correction	38
0	Filter correction	- 38
8	Solar angle correction	40
9	Standard correction	40
9	Statistical analysis of variables	42
21	Summary	42
24	References cited	- 44

ILLUSTRATIONS

-

F			Page
FIGURE	1.	Map of study area	03
	Z.	Graph showing spectral sensitivity versus wavelength for the three dye layers of color-infrared him	4
	3	Diagram showing color formation on color-infrared film and its relation to plant reflectance	5
	4.	Graph showing analytic optical density versus log exposure for three dye layers of color-infrared film	6
	5.	Graph showing average leaf reflectance and absorption as a function of wavelength	7
	6.	Photographs showing vegetation in the Gila River flood plain	8
	7-23.	Graphs showing:	
		7. Transmittance versus wavelength for the densitometer filters used in film analysis	9
		8. General percentages of irradiance for different earth-surface characteristics	11
		9. Remote sensing and water-budget values of evapotranspiration versus time. Gila River Phreatophyte Project	12
		ar:a 10. Regression relations between measured remote sensing and water-budget values of evapotranspiration, reaches 1 and 2, 1968, Gila River Phreatophyte Project	13
		 Monthly consumptive-use coefficients for area of indicated percent of foliar cover of phreatophytes, and average monthly consumptive-use factor 	15
		12. Monthly consumptive-use coefficient versus relative near-infrared irradiance	16
		13. Consumptive use of water and modified relative near-infrared irradiance versus time for grain sorghum during 1968 growing season	17
		14. Regression relation between consumptive use of water and modified relative near-infrared irradiance for grain sorghum during the 1968 growing season	17
		15 Depth to water versus relative near-infrared irradiance, reach 2 of the Gila River Phreatophyte Project	18
		16 Relative near-infrared irradiance, relative red irradiance, evaporation, and water in the capillary zone for a dense 40-acre (16-ha) solicedar site, 1968	19
		17. Relative near-infrared irradiance, relative red irradiance, cumulative soil moisture, and depth to water, 1968 and 1969	20
		18. Regression relations between relative near-infrared irradiance and relative red irradiance among vegetation types in and adjacent to the Gila River Phreatophyte Project, 1968 and 1969 III	22

FIGURES	7-23.	Traphs showingContinued Page	ge
		19. Regression relations between relative near-infrared irradiance and relative red irradiance among vegetation types in and adjacent to the Cibecue Ridge area, summer 1971	23
		20. Relative near-infrared irradiance versus relative red irradiance for five vegetation types in and adjacent to the Gila River Phrestophyte Project, summer 1968 and 1969	4
		21. Relative near-infrared irradiance, relative red irradiance, and model curves, reach 2 of the Gila River Phreatophyte Project, 1968 and 1969	15
		22. Regression relation between relative near-infrared irradiance determined from film and from a time- dependent model equation, reach 2 of the Gila River Phreatophyte Project, 1968-69	16
		23. Relative near-infrared irradiance and relative red irradiance versus time for five vegetation types in and adjacent to the Gila River Phreatophyte Project area, 1968 and 1969 2	17
	24.	Diagram showing computer printout codes used in spatial analysis of relative near-infrared, relative red, and relative green irradiance 2	28
	25.	Color-infrared mosiac showing spatial computer analysis by spectral signature for 3.67-acre (1.49-ha) plots in reach 2, Gila River Phreatophyte Project, June 27, 1968 2	29
2	6-33.	Graphs showing:	
		26. Volume of canopy foliage versus relative near-infrared irradiance for photographic flights during 1968, reach	30
		27. Relative near-infrared irradiance versus time for three saltcedar volumes of canopy foliage classes, reach 2, Gila River Phreatophyte Project 3	31
		28. Relative near-infrared urradiance versus time for three mesquite volumes of canopy foliage classes, reach 2, Gila River Phreatophyte Project	12
		29. Foliar cover versus relative near-infrared irradiance for 50 3.67-acre (1.49-ha) plots, subreach 2b, Gila River Phreatonhyte Project 3	33
		30. Adjusted and unadjusted relative near-infrared irradiance, subreach 2b of the Gila River Phreatophyte Proj- ect. 1967-71	34
		31 Major versus the two minor optical densities for the three due layers of film type 8443 3	37
		32 Filter transmittance versus wavelength or the standard filter next used in serial hotography in the study	
		areas	39
		33. Relative near-infrared irradiance and relative red irradiance versus time of day for bare ground and dense saltcedar4	\$1

TABLES

TABLE	1. Time-independent analysis using color-infrared film for vegetation in the Gila River Phreatophyte Project and adjoin				
	2. Time-independent analysis using color-infrared film for vegetation in the Cibecue Ridge area and adjacent areas	23			
	3. Atmospheric transmittance at different flight altitudes for the peak wavelengths of dye-layer sensitivity of color- infrared film	38			
	4. Atmo-pheric transmittance for the peak sensitivity of dye layers of color-infrared film at summer and winter solstice	40			
	5. Statistical index of variables in equations used in this report	43			

SYMBOLS

A (A)	Altitude correction factor Matrix A	D	Calendar-year day for the end of dormancy, determined by the low relative near-infrared irradiance
(A ¹)	The inversion of matrix A	D'	Calend ar-year day for the end of dormancy, determined by the
AOD	Analytic optical density		high relative red irradiance
a	Subscript-data from an evergreen species of saltcedar	E	Exposure, defined as $E = (I)(I)$
	(Tamarıx aphylla)	Ê	Evaporation from bare ground
al	Subscript—analytic transmittance	$E(\gamma)$	Energy in ergs/cm ² .
B	Integral optical density-blue color	END	Equivalent neutral density
B	Relative green irradiance, in percent	ET	Evapotranspiration, measurement based on water or energy
С	Analytic optical density-cyan dye layer	•	budget da ta
Ċ	Calendar year day, January 1, $\hat{C} = 1$; December 31, $\hat{C} = 365$ or 366 (leap year)	ÉŤ	Evapotranspiration, estimated by relative near-infrared ir- radiance
c	Sub-cript cyan dye layer	exp	Exponential function of, as in exp (x) for e ^x
<i>c:</i>	Foliar cover, in percent	F	Filter correction factor
D,	Base plus fog density	F	F test (statistical test)

	- N
- 2	

CONTENTS

r	Monthly consumptive-use factor, defined as $f = \frac{(t)(p)}{p}$	8
_	100	
Ģ	Integral optical density-green color	sec
G	Relative red irradiance, in percent	sin
G' H	Value of the model curve for relative red irradiance Amplitude of the sine waves, for relative near-infrared ir- radiance	S _{y 2} S(λ)
H'	Amplitude of the sine wave, for relative red irradiance	T
Δh	Difference in altitude	T '
۵h.	Camera altitude	
Δh_{π}	Ground surface elevation	1
1	Illumination	1
1	Modified relative near-infrared irradiance, in percent	t
<i>IOD</i>	Integral optical density	i
J	Bridge correction factor	ť
k	Monthly empirical consumptive-use coefficient	u
<i>k'</i>	Monthly empirical consumptive-use coefficient, defined as being independent of soil evaporation	e
L	Duration, in days for the period of plant vigor, determined by the change in relative near-infrared irradiance	
Ľ	Duration, in days, for the period of plant vigor, determined by the change in relative red irradiance	W Y
ln	Natural logarithm	у
M	Analytic optical density-magenta dye layer	ŷ
m	Subscript-magenta dye layer	Z
<i>m</i>	Subscript-month	Z'
N	Function of time, defined as $N = \left[(\hat{C} - D) \left(\frac{180^\circ}{L} \right) \right]$	3
N'	Function of time, defined as $N' = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ C - D' \end{pmatrix} \left(\frac{180^\circ}{L} \right) \right]$	3 ⁻¹ μm
n	Number of samples	P

- OD **Optical density**
- Subscript-optical density od
- Mean relative near-infrared irradiance during dormancy P
- P Mean relative red irradiance during dormancy
- P Percent of daylight hours in the month
- Subscript-bridge reading for any photographic mission
- Integral optical density-red color
- Relative near-infrared irradiance, in percent Ŕ
- R Value of the model curve for relative near-infrared irradiance
- **Coefficient of correlation**
- Subscript-reach
- SD Standard deviation

Secant (of) Sine (of) Standard error of estimate; the standard deviation of residuals from a regression line **S(λ)** Spectral sensitivity, defined as $S(\lambda) = 1/E(\lambda)$ Transmittance Atmospheric transmittance of radiation at a particular wavelength, through the total atmosphere or between the ground surface elevation and the camera altitude Transpiration Mean monthly temperature, in degrees Fahrenheit Time Student / test Monthly evapotranspiration, in inches

Subscript-standard bridge reading (June 27, 1968, photog-

- Subscript-This subscript indicates that the relative irradiance data (R, G, or B) was from a nonstandard flight altitude or filter combination and that the data are corrected to standard values by the equation
- Depth to water (table) level, in feet Analytic optical density-yellow dye layer
- Subscript-yellow dye layer
- Subscript-vear

Ŧ

¢

11

2

raphy)

- The Fisher Z transformation
- The maximum and minimum values for Z_r or Z_p as determined by the t' distribution

material and the duration of time through which this illumination

- 2 The Fisher Z transformation of a function
- **Z**-1 The inverse of the Fisher Z transformation of a function
- μm Micrometers
- Value of the null hypothesis Σ Summation
 - Extinction optical thickness
 - Solar angle
 - Function (of)
 - Matrix notation
 - Gamma
- Subscript-reach 1
- Subscript-reach 2
- 2a Subscript-subreach 2a
- Subscript-subreach 2b 2Ъ
- 8443 Film type 8443
- 2443 Film type 2443
- **GLOSSARY OF TERMS**
- Aerosol scattering coefficient. A coefficient of particulate scattering acts, in erg«'cm2. in the atmosphere, particle size may approximate or exceed the mean wavelength of light. Extinction optical thickness. The mean value of the sum of the Analytic optical density. The optical density of the individual film Rayleigh scattering coefficient, acrosol scattering coefficient, and layers of a multidye layer film. the ozone absorption coefficient. Base plus fog density. Film density resulting from film base optical Foliar cover. The amount of ground covered or shaded by a vertical density and chemical fog, the optical density resulting from any projection of plant foliage, usually expressed in percent. change in exposure is greater than gross fog density. Gamma. Slope of the linear portion of the characteristic curve. Ground scene. Earth surface characteristics perceived both spa-Densitometer. A device used to measure the optical density of the dye deposit in a photographic image. tially and spectrally. Ground-truth data. Data obtained independent of a remote sensing Equivalent neutral density. The visual density of dye layer that a multidye layer film would have if it were converted to a neutral system that describes the object or phenomenon sensed by the sysgray by superimposing the minimum necessary amounts of dye in tem. the other dye layers Integral optical density. The total optical density of a multidye layer Evapotranspiration. Water withdrawn from soil by evaporation and film. Irradiance. A measure of radiant power per unit area that flows plant transpiration Exposure. The product of the illumination of a unit area of sensitive across or into a surface. The specific definition of the term as used

CONTENTS

- in this paper is defined as the energy recorded on the film. Additional clarification of this term is presented in the section "Purpose and Scope of the Investigation."
- Light. The visible portion of the spectrum, located approximately between 0.40 and 0.70 micrometers
- Optical density. The negative logarithm to the base 10 of the transmittance. Optical density in a multidye layer film can be described in two ways, analytic optical density and integral optical density.
- Ozone absorption coefficient. The coefficient of ozone absorption in the atmosphere. Phrestophytes. A plant that habitually obtains its water supply
- from the zone of saturation (water table). Radiance. The radiant flux per unit solid angle per unit of projected
- area of the source Rayleigh scattering coefficient. A coefficient of gaseous scattering in the atmosphere, the gaseous molecules (primarily nitrogen and
- oxygen) are smaller than the mean wavelength of light.

- Reflectance. The ratio of the reflected to the incident radiation. Sensitivity. The reciprocal of exposure (ergs/cm²) to produce an equivalent neutral density, usually of 1.0.
- Spectral signature. The spectral signature is traditionally defined as the reflectance characteristics (curve of percent reflectance) that have been determined for an object. But, because of the nature of this study, the spectral signature will be defined in this report as the relationships between the relative irradiances (R, G, and B) for a particular object. These relationsips are variable with time and changing conditions.
- Step tablet. A strip of film or a glase plate whose optical density diminishes in incremental steps of density used to calibrate film density.
- Transmittance. The ratio of the transmitted to the incident radiation.

VI

CALCULATION OF EVAPOTRANSPIRATION USING COLOR-INFRARED PHOTOGRAPHY

By JOHN EDWIN JONES

ABSTRACT

In the 5-year period 1967-71, 38 color-infrared photographic missions were flown over the Gila River Phreatophyte Project in southeastern Arizona. Data from these missions were analyzed to determine the possibility of identifying and measuring vegetative parameters and their associated hydrologic variables by spectral analysis of the photography During the summer of 1971, additional data from six color-infrared photographic missions flown over the Cibecue Ridge Watershed Study in central Arizona were used to test the validity of some of the techniques developed in this study.

The photographic missions were flown at altitudes between 1,500 and 60,000 feet (460 and 18,000 meters) above land surface during many different climatic conditions using a variety of cameras, films, and filter combinations

A transmittance densitometer was used to obtain density readings in each of three primary colors of the positive transparencies. The irradiance (defined in this report as energy recorded on the film) sensed from the dye concentration in each of these primary colors was determined and related to the total energy sensed by the film, achieving three parameters of relative irradiance (near-infrared, red, and green) which were functionally related to spectral regions indicative of plant activity. These parameters were then corrected to a "standard photo flight" by adjusting the densitometric data for flight altitude, filter combination, film type, and a standard correction based on the spectral signature of a bridge located on the project.

Calculations of evapotranspiration based on remote sensing from 13 photographic missions flown during 1968 were related to waterbudget measurements for a 1,700-acre (690-hectare) area cleared of vegetation and a 2,200-acre (890-hectare) phreatophyte-covered area of the Gila River flood plain

The coefficients of correlation between the water-budget measurements and the remote sensing calculations were 0.88 for the cleared area and 0.86 for the phreatophyte-covered area. Evapotranspiration calculated from seven photographic missions flown during 1968 over a spatially homogeneous grain sorghum field gave a coefficient of correlation of 0.93 when related to evapotranspiration computed by the Blaney-Criddle equation

Spatial variability of vegetation on the flood plain was defined by the discrimination between eight different plant communities including both deciduous and parennial species. A spectral evaluation of near-infrared versus red irradiance of these plant communities showed that for each community the standard error of the irradiance terms was less than 10 percent. Determining variations in the depth to ground water spectrally was not practical, although estimates of depths to water of less than 30 feet 19 meters) had a relatively small standard error of 5.4 feet (1.6 meters). Moisture content of the soil could not be determined spectrally either, but plants under stress from moisture deficiencies were detectable.

Temporal changes in vegetation were evaluated by computer impas showing the distribution of spectral signatures of the Gila River flood plain for each photographic mission flown. A mathematical model of the change of spectral signature with change in season for the flood plain gave a coefficient of correlation of 0.98 between modeled and observed densitometric data. When an increase in volume of canopy for both mesquite and saltcedar was noted in the field, there was a corresponding increase of near-infrared irradiance, but the measurement errors of both irradiance and canopy volume were vely large. The pooled standard deviations of irradiance for all canopy classes of mesquite and saltcedar were 7 percent and 14 percent, respectively. The pooled standard deviations of the 1968 irradiance data for the largest canopy classes were 13 percent for mesquite and 12 percent for saltcedar. Foliar cover versus irradiance had a high coefficient of correlation, 0.85.

During this study it was determined that a color-infrared photographic mission and a computer analysis of the photographic data for the Gila River Phreatophyte Project area cost about a tenth of the amount of conventional species classification and canopymeasurement techniques.

A short discussion of the derived spectral equations and a table of 24 statistical parameters describing the spectral and hydrologic variables is included.

INTRODUCTION

This report is the result of the study of color-infrared photography as a quantitative vegetative and hydrologic monitor in the Gila River Phreatophyte Projeot area and the Cibecue Ridge area. The study is a part of the comprehensive Gila River Phreatophyte Project, which was undertaken by the U.S. Geological Survey to evaluate evapotranspiration from an analysis of all the significant components that compose the hydrologic system. The work was done under the general supervision of R. C. Culler, project chief.

PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THE INVESTIGATION

The purpose of this investigation was to develop and evaluate color-infrared photographic methods and techniques as a remote sensing tool for the identification and spacial measurement of vegetation cover. Its condition, and temporal variability, and to functionally relate these measurements to quantitative estimates of hydrologic parameters, such as evapotranspiration,

01

depth to ground water, and soil moisture. Nearinfrared photographic sensing involves the detection of the spectral radiance that is reflected from the photographed surface and is particularly well adapted to hydrologic analysis. The sensitivity of two of the three dye layers of the film corresponds to ranges in wavelengths that can be used to measure plant status, and the photographic format lends itself to a visual evaluation of ground-truth data.

At this point clarification concerning the terminology used in the paper is in order. The photographic data used was in general standardized to a specific flight altitude (8,500 feet or 2600 meters) above land surface using a "standard filter pack." The analysis in the paper was, therefore, based on the effect that irradiance had on the film as a result of radiance from a ground scene and atmospheric "stray light" being collected and focused through the filte s and lenses upon the film. This "at a distance" and "filtered" approach required the unconventional use of the word irradiance as a descriptor for the ground scene throughout the report to distinguish this data from data which are standardized to actual "ground-scene radiance" at surface elevation. The term "irradiance" as used in this report is, therefore, defined as the energy recorded on the film. It includes the reflected solar energy, modified by the atmosphere, and stray light that reaches the film, exposes the film, and is processed as the energy recorded on the film. A more general definition of the term is given in the section "Glossary."

The author feels that the nature of the data in this report is such that if presented as "radiance" the reader might try to compare the results in this paper directly with other data which are actual "radiance," and this would yield spurious relationships.

LOCATION AND EXTENT OF THE STUDY AREAS

The Gila River Phreatophyte Project area covers a 6.000-acre (2,430-ha) 15-mi (24-km) span of the Gila River valley immediately above the San Carlos Reservoir in south-central Arizona, and the Cibecue Ridge Watershed Study area includes two small 60-acre (24ha) watersheds in the Fort Apache Indian Reservation in east-central Arizona (fig. 1). For this study, the 15-mi (24-km) span of the Gila River valley was divided into two reaches-1 and 2; reach 2 was further divided into two subreaches--2a and 2b (fig. 1). The photographic- and hydrologic-measurement sites in the reaches of the Gila River Phreatophyte Project area analyzed in this report are located by a three-number coordinate system. The reaches are divided by 2,000-ft (610 m)-square grids, which are numbered 1-28 downriver and 1-5 cross river, and the grid unit is divided into 25 plots, each of which has an area of 3.67 acres (1.49 ha). Therefore, each 3.67-acre (1.49 ha) plot is located by a three-number coordinate system (for example, 14-2-25), in which the first number of the coordinate indicates the downriver grid, the second the cross-river grid, and the third the plot. The location of the photographic- and hydrologic-measurement sites in the two small watersheds in the Cibecue Ridge area are described only by dominant vegetation type.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The interest shown in this study by many people in the fields of photogrammetry and the optical sciences is gratefully acknowledged by the writer. Of special help and value were the suggestions and contributions of Dr. P. N. Slater, Associate director of the Optical Sciences Center, University of Arizona; Mr. N. L. Fritz, research associate of the Photographic Research Division of Eastman Kodak Co.; and Mr. W. E. Evans, senior research engineer of the Electronics and Radio Sciences Division of Stanford Research Institute. Dr. Ran Gerson of the Department of Geography of the Hebrew University in Jerusalem, Israel, reviewed the manuscript and offered many helpful suggestions. The writer also gratefully acknowledges the generous contributions to this study by the following personnel of the U.S. Geological Survey: R. M. Myrick furnished data for the Cibecue Ridge area and aided greatly in the computer analyses; R. M. Turner furnished the descriptions of the vegetation and made many helpful suggestions during the study; D. R. Dawdy aided in the statistical analyses; and L. B. Leopold made many helpful suggestions for the improvement of the paper. R. C. Culler conceived, initiated, and guided the study.

This study would not have been possible without the data from the aerial photographic missions flown by the U.S. Geological Survey and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The Survey missions were flown under the direction of H. E. Skibitzke; the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (Johnson Space Center) furnished the high-altitude photography.

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

Data from 36 color-infrared photographic missions flown by the U.S. Geological Survey over the Gila River Phreatophyte Project area were analyzed for this study. In addition, data from two NASA (National Aeronautics and Space Administration) photographic missions flown over the area were analyzed. The U.S. Geological Survey missions over the project area were flown at altitudes of 1,500, 3,600, and 8,500 ft (460, 1,100, and 2,600 m). Trial missions were flown at altitudes of 500 and 1,000 ft (150 and 300 m) on April 5, 1969, and at an altitude of 11,000 ft (3,300 m) on



0 1 2 3 4 5 6 / 8KILOMETEN

FIGURE 1.-Map of study area.

March 22, 1968; hourly missions from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. were flown at an altitude of 3,600 ft (1,100 m) on May 31, 1968. The NASA missions were flown at an altitude of 60,000 ft (18,000 m) on September 30,1969, and September 11, 1970.

From July 21 to September 28, 1971, six missions were flown over the Cibecue Ridge area by the U.S. Geological Survey. The missions were flown at altitudes of 1,500 and 4,000 ft (460 and 1,200 m). An analysis of the data obtained during these flights is included in this report.

Kodak Ektachrome Infrared Aero Film 8443¹ was used in 1967-69; after 1969, Kodak Aerochrome Infrared Film 2443 v.as used.

VEGETATION

In 1967 the Gila River flood plain was covered by a dense growth of phreatophytes—mainly saltcedar (*Tamarix pentandra*). The vegetation in reach 1 was cleared before April 1967 as part of the comprehensive

plan as outlined by Culler and others (1970, p. 3). Vegetation clearing in reach 2a was started in January 1969 and completed in December 1969, and clearing in reach 2b was started in November 1970 and completed in March 1971.

The terraces adjacent to the flood plain support small open forests of mesquite (Prosopis juliflora) and plant communities of xerophytes, such as creosote bush (Larrea tridentata), whitethorn (Acacia constricta), and various cacti. Interspersed within these plant communities are small ephemeral plants that grow in response to winter and summer rains; the species that respond to winter rains are different than the species that respond to summer rains (Shreve, 1964, p. 127-142). Cultivated crops, such as tall wheat grass and grain sorghum, are grown adjacent to the project area. A small community of the evergreen species of saltcedar (Tamarix aphylla) is located in an adjacent area to reach 1 of the project area. An extensive description of the vegetation of this area was prepared by Turner (1973).

The main types of vegetation indigenous to the Cibecue Ridge area are juniper (Juniperus osteos-

The use of name products in this report is for identification only and dois not imply endorsement by the U.S. (ecological Survey

perma), pinyon pine (Pinus edulis), ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa), and native grasses. In 1967, one watershed was cleared of native trees and seeded with natural and exotic grasses.

COLOR-INFRARED PHOTOGRAPHY AS A TOOL FOR VEGETATION ANALYSIS

An economic method of obtaining frequent descriptions of ground-surface conditions and changes, particularly that of vegetation, is needed for watershed management and hydrologic analyses, especially in large areas. Traditionally, black and white and color aerial photography have been used to inventory vegetation, but recent studies show that color-infrared photography generally is superior for species identification, plant-vigor measurements, and vegetation mapping (Hunter and Bird, 1970, table 1).

COLOR-INFRARED FILM

The advantage of color-infrared film for vegetation analysis is due to the high reflectivity of active vegetation in the 0.70-0.90 μ m (micrometer) near-infrared wavelength range. The tripack color infrared film has three dye layers, cyan, magenta, and yellow, that mainly are sensitive to near-infrared (0.70-0.90 μ m), red (0.60-0.70 μ m), and green (0.50-0.60 μ m) wavelength bands, respectively. In order to eliminate

the sensitivities of the three dye layers in wavelengths of less than 0.50 μ m, a yellow Wratten 12 or 15 filter is used (fig. 2). After film processing, the combination of the three dye layers exposed to their sensitivity ranges yields false colors—near-infrared radiance generally appears red, red may appear green, and green may appear blue—in the multilayered film (fig. 3). The term "false color photography" originates from this "incorrect to the eye" rendition.

Figure 4 shows the generalized characteristic curves for color-infrared photography. A knowledge of the relationship between optical density and log exposure is essential for any quantitative photographic analysis. Analytic optical density, which is shown as the scale on the ordinate of figure 4, is defined as the optical density within the individual dye layer of a multidye layer film.

The two parameters, optical density (OD) and exposure (E) are defined below:

$$OD = -\log (T) \tag{1}$$

$$E = (l)(\tilde{i})$$
(2)
where

T = transmittance,

$$I =$$
illumination, and

$$t = time.$$

red (0.60-0.70 μ m), and green (0.50-0.60 μ m) Any characteristic curve such as figure 4 has three wavelength bands, respectively. In order to eliminate basic parts: the shoulder, the linear portion, and the



FIGURE 2 .- Spectral sensitivity versus wavelength for the three dye layers of color-infrared film.

CALCULATION OF EVAPOTRANSPIRATION USING COLOR-INFRARED PHOTOGRAPHY



FIGURE 3.-Color formation on color-infrared film and its relation to plant reflectance.

toe. The shoulder is the part where increasing exposure produces an increasing rate of density change, on the straight-line part the rate of change of density with log exposure is constant, and on the toe the rate is decreasing. It must be noted that figure 4 is for a positive film; the characteristic curves would be reversed for a negative film.

LEAF AND CANOPY REFLECTANCE

When radiation comes in contact with a leaf or a plant canopy, the energy either is reflected, absorbed, or transmitted (fig. 5). In the near-infrared spectral (0.70-0.90 μ m)-sensing range of the cyan dye layer, individual leaf reflectance is relatively high—as great as 50 percent; this relatively high reflectance of near-infrared radiation occurs because of the difference in

the refractive index when the radiance that enters the inner part of the leaf is refracted and reflected at the interface between the water film covering the mesophyll cell wall and the adjacent air cavity (Knipling, 1969, p. 19). In the red-color (0.60–0.70 mm) sensing range of the magenta dye layer, individual leaf reflectance is very low—about 10 percent—because the amount of absorption by leaf pigments (generally chlorophylls) is high. Leaf reflectance is these two bands is influenced by two distinct plant systems, one involving pigment chemistry, the other mesophyll anatomy. Both of these systems are indicators of the volume of foliage and can be remotely sensed by colorinfrared photography.

The spectral reflectance of a typical vegetation

ORIGINAL PAGE IB OF POOR QUALITY



color-infrared film.

canopy is considerably different from that of an individual leaf, because of angular relationships, ...hadowi, and background surfaces. The visible and nearinfrared reflectances of the total canopy are of the order of 5 and 35 percent of incident radiation, respectively. The disproportionate reduction of s - ctral ranges for an individual leaf versus a canopy, which enhances the near-infrared radiance range, is due to greater reflection of near-infrared illuminance by multiple leaf layers within the canopy (Knipling, 1970, p. 157).

The difference between the spectral reflectances of these two radiance ranges is compensated somewhat by the decreased incident solar energy in the near infrared versus the red. The energy received at the earth surface in the near-infrared irradiance range is approximately 0.1 langley per minute, which is about 75 percent of that received in the red region (Reifsnyder and Lull, 1965, fig. 12).

VISUAL ANALYSIS

Visual color-infrared photographic interpretation is useful for the identification of the shape and color of objects and is of value for studies of a reconnaissance nature. The interpretation of subtle temporal changes, however, requires a rigorous classification of color. In such a classification, the amount of spectral irradiance absorbed by individual dye layers of the film may be measured and then related to the total irradiance, sensed by the film, which gives a set of parameters of relative irradiance that may be functionally related to plant activity. Figure 6 shows two color-infrared aerial photographs taken over the Gila River flood plain at times of general vegetation dormancy (fig. 6A) and of vigorous plant activity (fig. 6B). Photograph A is visually interpreted as showing leafless saltcedar and mesquite and some spring grass on the south-facing ter-





FIGURE 5.-Average leaf reflectance and absorption as a function of wavelength.

races. Photograph B is visually interpreted as showing increased foliation and viger of the saltcedar and mesquite, particularly by the saltcedar adjacent to the river, and dormant spring grass; this is a major vegetation change that occurred in less than a month---March 22, 1968, to April 19, 1968. Healthy growing vegetation is shown as a red tone on figure 6B, which is principally due to high valu s of near-infrared irradiance. Differences in soil types and the amount of soil moisture probably account for the braided patterns that are shown in figure 6.

DATA COLLECTION

The evaluation of color-infrared photography as a means of evaluating hydrologic variables required the collection and tabulation of photographic data, which, in turn, was related to hydrologic data.

PHOTOGRAPHIC DATA COLLECTION

Optical density data were obtained from the positive transparencies using a Macbeth Model No. TD 402 transmittance densitometer with a 3-millimeter aperature using Wratten filters numbers 106, 92, 93, and 94. These filters transmit, respectively, the entire light (0.40-0.70 μ m) spectrum (corrected to the visual response), the red (0.60 0.70 μ m), green (0.50-0.60 μ m), and blue (0.40-0.50 μ m) light (fig. 7). The resulting data from each filter is expressed in terms of the optical density of the multilayered film in each respective wavelength range.

The instrumentation and calibration limitations and the nature of the study prohibited photogrammetric data from being analyzed as a precise spectral radiometric pheonomenon. The need for quantitative data for computer analysis did, however, require an em-

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POCR QUALITY



 $\label{eq:Figure 6} Figure 6 = Vegetation in the Gila River flood plan, A, General dormancy, B, Vigorous plant growth$





FIGURE 7.-Transmittance versus wavelength for the densitometer filters used in film analysis

pirical approach, which could be used to monitor the . ground-scene changes and would allow the necessary corrections. It was decided to view each dye layer of the film as a radiometer, which was sensitive to a particular wavelength range, and then to relate the irradiance seecennsed in each dye layer to the sum of the irradiance sensed by the three layers (fig. 3). This procedure allowed the film to be utilized as a relative radiometer.

Using such an approach required converting the integral optical density, R, G, and B of the film to the analytic optical density of each dye layer of the film. This technique is explained in the section "Analytic **Optical** Density": these analytic optical densities C. M. and Y, respectively, were then converted to analytic trasmittance (C_{ui}, M_{ui}, Y_{ui}) for each dye layer.

$$T = 10^{-00} \tag{3}$$

Where

- R = integral optical density-red color,
- G = integral optical density---green color,
- B = integral optical density—blue color.
- C = analytic optical density—cyan dye layer. M = analytic optical density—magenta dye layer. and

Y = analytic optical density-yellow dye layer.

The analytic transmittances for each dye layer were then divided by the sum of the dye layer transmittances, thus achieving a set of parameters of relative irradiance which could be used in monitor the vegetative and hydrologic variables. The three equations for converting to relative irradiance are shown below:

$$R = \text{ relative near-infrared irradiance, in percent} = [C_{ar}^{-1}(C_{ar} + M_{ar} + Y_{ar})] \times 100, \quad (4)$$

$$\hat{G} = \text{ relative red irradiance, in percent} = [M_{ar}^{-1}(C_{ar} + M_{at} + Y_{ar})] \times 100, \quad (5)$$

\hat{B} = relative green irradiance, in percent= $[Y_{at} (C_{at} + M_{at} + Y_{at})] \times 100.$

Thus, by definition

 $\hat{R} + \hat{G} + \hat{B} = 100$ percent.

(7)

(6)

Two characteristics of these trichromatic parameters (Wright, 1969, p. 83) are of particular impo- ance to the descenter. \hat{R} and \hat{G} are generally inversely proportional and linearly related, because of the three following effects.

1. The spurious correlation due to the 'closed system" (Chayes, 1971) interrelationship between the variables as shown in equation 7. For example, an increase in \hat{R} dictates an equal decrease in the sum $\hat{G} + \hat{B}$. This effect is discussed in the section "Statistical Analysis of Variables."

2. The high reflectance in the near informed and high absorption in the red by active vegetation as shown in figure 5.

3. The radiance from vegetation affecting the yellow dye layer (0.50–0.60 μ m) is not significantly related to plant vigor.

Ideally, photographic step tablets and spectral sensitivity curves should be generated for each roll of film to calibrate precisely the energy received by the film and to compensate for processing and aging effects. This was not done because of the limitations of the study, which have already been discussed. The actual area sampled by the 3-millimeter aperature on the 8,500-foot (2,600-m) photography is 0.50 acre (0.20 ha) per plot. This was a 14 percent area sample and was considered representative owing to the large sampling populations

Densitometric data which were not on the linear parts of the characteristic curves were rejected. The difficulty of handling data from the toe or shoulder of a characteristic curve is obvious from figure 4.

HYDROLOGIC DATA COLLECTION

Hydrologic data sampling on the Gila River Phreatophyte Project was done using the plot system already described. The hydrologic variables were monitored by a network of ground-water observation wells, soil-moisture access tubes, rain gages, and river and tributary gaging stations. The sampling techniques and methods of evaluation have been discussed by Hanson, Kipple, and Culler (1972, p. 315).

VEGETATION DATA COLLECTION

The plant type and spatial distribution of vegetation on the project area was described by a combination of photographic reconnaissance and field checking.

Black and white aerial photographs with a scale of 1:7,200 were viewed through a dissecting binocular

microscope, which had usen fitted with an appropriate reticle, and were used to measure plant-crown coverage (Culler and others, 1972; Turner, 1971). Tentative boundaries defining areas of homogeneous vegetation were first drawn on the photographs. A field check was then made to confirm these loundaries and determine the dominant species within each area. Finally, the pustographs were examined under magnification. The squares on the reticle, when viewed through the microscope, were considered to be plots projected onto the photographs. Plant coverage within each plot was estimated according to a size-class system. Averaged data, taken from an examination of each plot, provided numerical values of percentage of crown coverage. Comparison of the photogrammetric method with one of the standard field measures of crown coverage was made (Turner, 1973), and agreement between the methods was close.

IDENTIFICATION AND MEASUREMENT OF VEGETATION PARAMETERS

Conventional hydrologic instrumentation is usually limited to point samples, but photographic remote sensing offers a method of obtaining a record of both the spatial and temporal variability of many vegetative parameters.

Definitive radiometric identification of gross earthsurface characteristics, such as bare ground, water, and vegetation type by photographic remote sensing, is prerequisite to the quantitative monitoring of many hydrologic variables. Figure 8 illustrates the relative irradiance characteristics $(\hat{R}, \hat{G}, \text{ and } \hat{B})$ of eight ground-scene conditions viewed with color-infrared photography. Automatic computer identification of earth-surface characteristics based on the spectral irradiance appears possible and should be a productive research field, particularly with the advent of satellite imagery.

EVAPOTRANSPIRATION

The feasibility of estimating evapotranspiration by photographic remote sensing has been studied by the personnel of the Gila River Phreatophyte Project for several years. A primary objective of this project is the study and measurement of evapotranspiration.

Evapotranspiration is water withdrawn from a land area by evaporation from water surfaces, from moist soil, and by plant transpiration (Langbein and Iseri, 1960, p. 9). The vaporization process has three basic requirements: (1) heat for the phase change from liquid to vapor; (2) unsaturated air to remove the vapor; and (3) liquid water available at an air-water interface. Any of these factors can control the rate of vaporization, and the quantity of water vaporized can be meas-

> ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY.

CALCULATION OF EVAPOTRANSPIRATION USING COLOR-INFRARED PHOTOGRAPHY



FIGURE 8.—General percentages of urradiance for different earth-surface characteristics. Photographed using color-infrared film 2443 and filters numbered W12, CC20B, and CC30M.

ured by a rigorous budget of any of the three factors. The definition of the spatial variability of these factors is one of the major problems in estimating evapotranspiration. Heat and unsaturated air can be measured by thermal and other meteorological instruments, although conventional instrumentation limits these observations to point samples. The availability of liquid water is dependent on the type of surface and, for most types of land area, the condition of the surface. As an example, the area of air-water interface for a lake can be defined simply as the surface of the lake. For bare soils or for vegetated areas, however, the air-water interface may be in the pores of unsaturated soils or within the mesophyll tissue of leaves. Thus, for a remote sensing technique to be practical, these gross surface types must be observed and determined. The feasibility of discriminating earth-surface conditions by the relative radiometer technique is demonstrated in figure 8 and has been discussed in the section "Identification and Measurement of Vegetation Parameters

Remote sensing offers a method of obtaining a record of spatial variability of these surface conditions within the detection capabilities of the sensing equipment.

This method of observation can be applied to the estimation of evapotranspiration by detecting and monitoring surface types or conditions which are functions of, or are functionally related to, the factors controlling evapotranspiration. Spatial mapping of spectral signatures will be discussed in the section "Spatial Computer Analysis."

Temporal variability also presents a problem in estignating evapotranspiration. The seasonal change in deciduous vegetation, ranging from bare limbs in winter to complete foliation in summer, is an example. Disease and moisture stress can also affect transpiration. Shallow soil moisture resulting from rains affects both evaporation from bare soils and the distribution of annual vegetation. In addition to these natural temporal changes, the activities of man can dramatically alter surface conditions in a relatively short time. Thus, spatial variability is not uniform in time. Repetitive remote sensing is an efficient means of monitoring and analyzing this variability and is discussed at length in the section "Time-Dependent Signature."

The usual method of estimating evapotranspiration is to use one of a number of empirical equations, which express the relations between measured evapotranspi-

ration and climatic conditions as described by Veih-, sumed that the factor f describes the thermal and vapor meyer (1964, table 11-2).

Remote sensing in the form of color-infrared photography has been applied to the widely used equation developed by Blaney and Criddle (1962). Studies by Cruff and Thompson (1967, p. 22) indicate that this equation is the most reliable for estimating potential evapotranspiration in and and subhumid climates yielding variability ranging from -44 to +22 percent of adjusted evaporation data from Weather Bureau Class A pans. The equation is

where

- u = calculated monthly evapotranspiration, in inches, derived from the Blaney-Criddle equation:
- k =empirical consumptive-use coefficient, which can be defined for a vegetative area by remote sensing (the coefficient is dependent on the species, composition, and quantity of vegetation as well as the background signature which may be soil or water), and

$$f = \text{consumptive-use}$$
 factor, defined as $\sum \frac{pt}{100}$

summed for the number of months of analvsis, in which

- p = monthly percentage of annual daytime hours and
- t = mean monthly temperature, in degrees Fahrenheit.

In metric units,

$$u = kp\left(-\frac{45.7t + 813}{100}\right) = \text{monthly consumptive}$$

use, in millimeters and

t = mean monthly temperature, in degrees centigrade.

The k value is assumed to represent a gross measure of the air-water interface. It therefore represents the spatially variable factor defined by the surface conditions, including such botanical parameters as vegetation type and aerial extent, plant density, and physiologic conditions. For the purposes of this study, k was defined in two ways. The vegetation description of the project area was used to define a k which was used in the water-budget computations of evapotranspiration, and a second k was defined by remote sensing which was dependent on relative near-infrared irradiance. Values of p are tabulated in Blaney and Criddle (1962), and t is generally available in published U.S. National Weather Service (issued annually) summaries. It is as-

conveyance requirements of evapotranspiration.

The assumption that the relative near-infrared irradiance can be used as a measure of the Blaney-Criddle k for determining evapotranspiration was tested on two large reaches of the Gila River Phreatophyte Project area using the photographic data for 1968 The two test sites were reach 1, which is a 1,732-acre (701-ha) area cleared of phreatophytes but partially covered by grasses, and reach 2, a 2,268-acre (918-ha) area covered by phreatophytes. The evapotranspiration was computed as a residual in the water budget. Median monthly values of evapotranspiration were calculated for reach 1 after clearing and for reach 2 prior to clearing (Hanson and others, 1972, figure 5). These median monthly values were then compared to calculated evapotranspiration based on relative nearinfrared irradiance of 13 separate photographic flights of both reach 1 and 2 of the project area during 1968². The mean deviation between the evapotranspiration computed from the water budget and the calculation derived from the photography was 32 percent. Figure 9 shows the evapotranspiration values derived by both methods for both reach 1 and 2; also shown is the percent deviation of the spectral calculation from the evapotranspiration computed from the water budget.

The equation used to calculate evapotranspiration by relative near-infrared irradiance for both reaches 1 and 2 is in a form comparable to the Blaney-Criddle equation (8), u = kf. The general remote sensing form is shown below:

$$ET - [f(R)][f(f)].$$

(9)

where

- ET = evapotranspiration, calculated by relative near-infrared irradiance,
- $f(\hat{R}) = 0.37 + 8.25 \left[\sum (\hat{R}/100)^2 n\right]^{2.45}$ (this term is considered to be equivalent to k for this test site).

R = relative near-infrared irradiance, and

$$f(f) = f(\frac{10^3}{12})$$

The k term was developed by optimization procedures using the water-budget data and the remote sensing data. For convenience, equation 9 has been expanded and is shown below:

Using data from 13 of the 15.0° flag flown during 1968 were used because of the 15 m dignature from the expected symmetric challenge on the Miss 3.1 ms and August 25.1968 plot or spin. The Way 11 ms plot as a structure were dependent on the Miss 3.1 ms and August 25.1968 plot or spin during the dependent all systems with with \pm 4 ms of the ground The August 25.1968 plot or spin demonstrate during the dependent of the during the dependent of the 10 ms of the during t were not used in the computers on presented in figures (10,21 , and 22

CALCULATION OF EVAPOTRANSPIRATION USING COLOR-INFRARED PHOTOGRAPHY



FIGURE 9.--Remote sensing and water-budget values of evapotranspiration versus time. Gila River Phreatophyte Project area.

$ET = \{0.37 + 8.25 [\sum (\hat{R}/100)^2/n]^{2.45} \} [(f)(10^3/12)]. (10)$

Several items will be noted by scrutiny of figure 9. 1. The evapotranspiration calculated spectrally is consistently high for reach 1 and consistently low for reach 2. To be an effective tool over large areas of landscape, the remote sensing estimate of evapotranspiration should be independent of any knowledge of ground condition, and for this reason the same spectral equation (equation 10) was used for both the phreatophytecovered reach 2 and reach 1, which was cleared.

2. The nature of the different covers in the two reaches shown in figure 9 must also be considered to gain an understanding of the departure noted in item 1. Reach 1 was cleared of phreatophytes prior to the 1968 photographic flights, and the bare areas produced by the clearing have been reoccupied to varying degrees by perennial and ephemeral herbaceous plants (Culler and others, 1972). The primary source of water for this replacement vegetation is from shallow soil moisture. The water availability for these plants is considerably less than for the phreatophytes on reach 2, which predominately obtain their water from the water table. The relative near-infrared irradiance of ration and the remote sensing approach.

these replacement grasses is probably disproportionately higher than phreatophytes for a given amount of water use because they are short, yet dense, and reflect greatly in the near infrared. Therefore, evapotranspiration calculated by relative near-infrared irradiance on reach 1 is assumed too high. This would also account for the underestimation of evapotranspiration on reach 2 because of the same equation being used simultaneously for both sets of data.

3. Figure 9 indicates that the relation between k and relative near-infrared irradiance is closer for dense vegetation than for sparse cover. The evapotranspiration calculated by irradiance has a closer correlation on the phreatophyte-covered reach 2 (25 percent versus 39 percent). This assumption is also shown in later examples.

4. An error source, which should be considered in analyzing figure 9, is the inherent deviation of the evapotranspiration derived from the water budget. These median evapotranspiration values have a deviation of as much as 40 percent (Hanson and others, 1972, p. 326). This fact may also explain a portion of the deviation between the water-budget evapotranspi-

The data represented in figure 9 may also be viewed as two discrete tests for evaluating evapotranspiration; this is done in figure 10. Figure 10 shows the functional relationships for remote sensing versus water-budget calculations (derived from equation 10) of evapotranspiration for both reach 1 (fig. 10B) and reach 2 (fig. 10A). The regression equations and coefficients of correlation are given below:

$$ET_1 = 0.64(ET) + 20.5; \tag{11}$$



FIGURE 10 -- Regression relations between remote sensing and water budget values of evapotranspiration, Gila River Phreatophyte Project.

the coefficient of correlation for this equation is 0.88; and

$$ET_2 = 0.88(ET) + 108.2;$$
 (12)

the coefficient of correlation for the equation is 0.86; where

ET, = evapotranspiration, reach 1, calculated as a residual by the water-budget method and $ET_2 = evapotranspiration$, reach 2, calculated as a

residual by the water-budget method.

Figure 10 is a refinement of the data presented in figure 9, and although figure 10 should be viewed with caution (for the reasons presented in the discussion of fig. 9), the correlation within each reach is good, and more research of this type would appear justified.

Hanson, Kipple, and Culler (1972) described an analysis in which the measured evapotranspiration for each month of record on each reach of the Gila River Phreatophyte Project is related to foliar cover for the respective reach by solving the following transformation of equation 8:

$$k_{imj} = \frac{u_{imj}}{f_{nj}} \tag{13}$$

where subscripts \hat{r} , \hat{m} , and \hat{y} represent the reach, month, and year, respectively. The months of available evapotranspiration data were used to compute the monthly k coefficients for various values of foliar cover seasonally as shown on figure 11.

The foregoing discussion has been directed toward an analysis of evapotranspiration based on the varia-

bare soil on color-infrared film is dark green; thus, the dominant irradiance is in the green and red (fig. 3). The interpretation of this condition will require multiband analysis, which is not possible with the available photography. Most logically the comparison should be between near-infrared irradiance and that component of evapotranspiration directly related to plant volume-that is, transpiration. Because evapotranspiration includes both transpiration from plants and evaporation from the soil, transpiration can be calculated by subtracting evaporation from total evapotranspiration. A comparison has been made, although direct soilevaporation data are not presently available for the Gila River site. Data for this area can be estimated by using published values from evapotranspirometers operated near Yuma, Ariz. (McDonald and Hughes, 1968, table 6).

In order to define the relationship between transpiration (T) and k, it was necessary to subtract the evaporation (E) calculated for the Yuma site from the evapotranspiration computed from the water budget on the Gila River:

$$\hat{T} = ET - \hat{E}. \tag{14}$$

A consumptive-use coefficient (k') independent of evaporation may then be defined by modification of equation 8:

$$k' = \frac{T}{f}.$$
 (15)

Figure 12 shows the relationship between k' and bility of near-infrared irradiance. The image of wet relative near-infrared irradiance for the Gila River



FIGURE 11 -- Monthly consumptive-use coefficients for areas of indicated percent of foliar cover of phreatophytes, and average monthly consumptive-use factor.



FIGURE 12. - Monthly consumptive-use coefficient versus relative near-infrared irradiance

Phreatophyte Project. The equations shown on figure the relation between k' and irradiance is closer for 12 are shown below: dense vegetation than for sparse cover.

$$k'_{2a} = 0.046(\hat{R}) - 1.35$$
 (16)

(the coefficient of correlation is 0.80, and the standard error is 0.33) and

$$k'_2 = 0.041(\hat{R}) - 1.14$$
 (17)

(the coefficient of correlation is 0.77, and the standard error is 0.32) where

- k'20 = monthly empirical consumptive-use coefficient (independent of soil evaporation) for subreach 2a;
- k'₂ = monthly empirical consumptive-use coefficient (independent of soil evaporation) of all of reach 2; and
- \hat{R} = relative near-infrared irradiance.

The data $(k' \text{ versus } \hat{R})$ for subreaches 2b (cleared of phreatophytes with a partial cover of annual vegetation) and 2a (phreatophytes undisturbed) and one data point computed from an energy-budget station located on the project are plotted on figure 12. Equation 16 is based on data from reach 2a and the energy-budget station. Equation 17 is based on data from subreaches 2a and 2b and the energy-budget data; this was developed to test the effect on the regression of the sparsely vegetated subreach 2a. The standard error is less for equation 17 than for equation 16 because of the greater number of data points. However, the coefficient of correlation is higher for equation 16, indicating that

It must be emphasized that the statistical significance of equations 16 and 17 are not great because of the small number of data points. This illustration is not meant to show the precise relationship between k'and \hat{R} , but rather to show the development of an approach to evaluating evapotranspiration.

A different approach to correlating spectral signature to evapotranspiration was made using a Blaney-Criddle consumptive-use curve modified from Erie, French, and Harris (1965, fig. 10). The consumptiveuse factors (f) were evaluated for the project area. The tests were performed using a grain sorghum crop adjacent to the Gila River Phrentophyte Project during its growing season. The regression equation describing the relationship between consumptive use and \hat{R} is

$$\iota = 0.0075 \, (R) - 0.29 \tag{18}$$

(the coefficient of correlation is 0.84, and the standard error is 0.09).

A refinement using a modified \hat{R} parameter was made of the surghum crop photography (figs. 13, 14). The spectral signatures was modified by the equation

$$I = \frac{\hat{R} - \hat{R}_q}{\hat{R}_q - \hat{R}_q} , \qquad (19)$$

where

1 = modified relative near-infrared irradiance,

q = subscript, bridge reading for any photographic mission,





FIGURE 13.—Consumptive use of water and modified relative near-infrared irradiance versus time for grain sorghum during 1968 growing season.



MODIFIED RELATIVE NEAR-INFRARED IRRADIANCE IN PERCENT FIGURE 14.-- Regression relation between consumptive use of water and modified relative near-infrared irradiance for grain sorghum during the 1969 growing season

(20)

a = subscript, data from a sampled plot of an evergreen species of saltcedar (*Tamarix aphylla*) located on the project area.

I was then related to the consumptive use; the regression equation is

$$u = 0.0049 (l) - 0.14$$

(the coefficient of correlation is 0.93, and the standard error is 0.06).

The parameter l_{i} resents the amount of radiance of the grain sorghum crop as a function of two presumed constant radiating surfaces, and although this assumption is not exactly true, particularly with regard to the saltcedar, this empirical ratio does show promise. The increase in the coefficient of correlation and the decrease of the standard error of estimate between equations 18 and 20 seem to verify this. Equation 19 represents a method of calibrating for the change of chroma

(and to a lesser extent the hue) as sensed by the film. Ideally this should be done by using a series of large panels with precise reflectance characteristics, which could be photographed and used to calibrate the spectral data.

DEPTH TO WATER-SOIL MOISTURE

Vegetation is dependent upon water for growth. This water may be obtained from the water table, from soil moisture, or from both of these sources. Photographic remote sensing is a means of approximating a canopy measurement, but this technique does not allow one to determine the source of the available water.

One of the principal objectives of this study was to determine if a photographic remote sensing technique could be developed for measuring depth to ground water. This was found impractical. Figure 15 shows the depth to water versus relative near-infrared irradiance for the 15-acre (6-ha) vegetation areas surrounding 14

observation wells located in reach 2 of the Gila River Phreatophyte Project. The regression equation for this relationship is

$$W = -0.64 (\hat{R}) + 45.4$$
 (21)

where

W = depth to water (table level, in feet).

The coefficient of correlation is 0.67. The coefficient of correlation associated with equation 21 is not high, but an inverse relationship between depth to water and irradiance is apparent for depths of less than 30 ft (9 m).

The relationship between soil-moisture depletion, which results in plant stress, and the resulting change in spectral signature is implied by figure 16, which shows the \hat{R} and \hat{G} signature curves for 1968 for the dense saltcedar growth located in grid 24-2 (see fig. 1). Below the \hat{R} curve is a plot of a quantity of water in the capillary zone (defined for this analysis as the quantity of water, in inches, from the water table to 3 ft (0.9 m)







FIGURE 16.—Relative near-infrared irradiance, relative red irradiance, evaporation, and water in the capillary zone for a dense 40-acre (16-ha) saltcedar site, 1968

above the water table). The effect of soil-moisture depletion is very evident on both the August 13, 1968, and August 28, 1968, flights. Another interesting feature of figure 16 is that the May 3, 1968, flight was not significantly affected by the April 20, 1968, frost. The dense saltcedar at this site has a mean height of 13 ft (4 m), whereas the frost only affected vegetation less than 8 ft (2.4 m). Also shown in figure 16 is the pan evaporation (Class A, Weather Bureau), in inches per day, for part of the growing season during 1968. The various effects (temperature, radiation, and so forth) which contribute to pan evaporation are probably also contributing factors for the anomalous August signature. The confusion as to the relative effects of different hydrologic parameters on spectral signature emphasizes the need for more research, particularly research of a quantitative nature.

Figure 17 shows the respective \hat{R} and \hat{G} signatures for two 15-acre (6-ha) sites of different types of vegeta tion during 1968 and 1969. The lower diagram shows a mesquite site which has an approximate 50 percent foliar cover, the corresponding accumulated soil moisture above the water table, and the water-table elevation. The upper diagram depicts some data for a dense (nearly 100 percent foliar cover) saltcedar area. The respective signature for the two sites show that during the summer months the \hat{R} is greater than the \hat{G} at the saltcedar site, while the \hat{R} is generally less than the \hat{G} at the mesquite site. A correlation between soil moisture or water table is not immediately apparent from the \hat{R} and \hat{G} signatures.

VEGETATION

The two spectral parameters of leaf reflectance, relative near-infrared irradiance (\hat{R}) and relative red irradiance (\hat{G}) , can be used as a tool for the timeindependent discrimination of plant species and as a means of monitoring the change in vegetation status with time.

SIGNATURE DISCRIMINATION

The spectral signature is defined in this report as the relationships between the relative irradiances $(\hat{R}, \hat{G}, and \hat{B})$ for a particular object. These relationships are variable with time and changing conditions. The more traditional definition is given in the "Glossary." Discrimination of vegetation by spectral signature can be achieved using the two spectral bands, \hat{R} and \hat{G} , which are functionally dependent on vegetation status.



FIGURE 17 -- Relative near-infrared irradiance, relative red irradiance, cumulative soil moisture, and depth to water 1968 and 1969

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

020

GILA RIVER PHREATOPHYTE PROJECT

CALCULATION OF EVAPOTRANSPIRATION USING COLOR-INFRARED PHOTOGRAPHY

TIME-INDEPENDENT SIGNATURE

A series of time-independent linear relations for \hat{R} versus \hat{G} was found for all of reach 2 of the Gila River Phreatophyte Project and five vegetation types located in the adjacent to reach 2 for all photographic missions flown in 1968 and 1969. The regression equations, coefficients of correlation, and standard errors of estimate for each vegetation type are shown in table 1. The relationships between \hat{R} and \hat{G} are graphically illustrated in figure 18.

The same type of analysis was performed for the vegetation in and adjacent to the Cibecue Ridge area and is presented in table 2 and figure 19. Several conclusions can be postulated from the linear relations shown on figures 18 and 19.

1. The sparse upland vegetation—creosote bush and whitethorn—differs from the other vegetation shown in figure 18. The slope of the regression equations and the coefficients of correlation (table 1) distinguish this type of ground cover. The nonuniformity of spectral signature between the upland vegetation and the other vegetation types shown in figure 18 mainly is because the creosote bush and whitethorn have a foliar cover of 10-25 percent and a low moisture availability. The predominant signature that the film records is actually a combination of bare ground, cacti, litter, and shortlived annuals that form the understory.

2. The dense saltcedar, cultivated grass, and all saltcedar and mesquite in reach 2 show a general trend of diminishing \hat{R} spectral signatures in that order. The trend from dense saltcedar to mesquite is the expected tendency; the more open mesquite community has a

Mar 22 1968 Mar 6 1964

6 June 17 1969 - 17 Nov 20 1963 lower \hat{R} signature than the saltcedar community. The regression equation for the vegetation in reach 2, which is a combination of mesquite and saltceda., is between the regression lines of the two tree types. The cultivated grass has a higher \hat{R} than any vegetation type shown except that for dense saltcedar; the g ass has a foliar cover of about 50 percent and is subj.ct to little or no stress owing to irrigation. The dense saltcedar community is adjacent to the river, where the average depth to water is 10 feet (3.0 m) below the land surface, and has the highest \hat{R} signature because of the high water availability.

021

3. The slope of the regression lines for the denser vegetation types is roughly parallel, indic ting that the rate of \hat{R} versus \hat{G} change for vegetation with high moisture availability is fairly constant. Low moisture availability, as shown by the creosote bush and whitethorn signature, tends to decrease the slope.

4. The vegetation types of the Cibecue Ridge area (fig. 19) show the same trends as the vegetation in and adjacent to the Gila River Phreatophyte Project, with an increase of \hat{R} signature from bare ground to nonhomogeneous grass sites to the juniper-pinyon stands and, finally, to the denser ponderosa pine.

5. The very low coefficient of correlation of the grass sites in figure 19 is due to the nonhomogeneity of sampling; the six grass sites used have a foliar cover of between 5 and 20 percent. An added signature error is encountered because the large percentage of mulch, which in many cases obscures the grass. This is also true to a lesser extent of the bare-ground signature. 6. The ponderosa pine and juniper-pinyon regres-

 TABLE 1.—Time independent analysis using color-infrared film for vegetation in the Gila Kiver Phreatophyte Project and adjoining areas

 (Data used in analysis are from flights made in 1968-69 except as indicated, for a more complete statistical analysis are table 5)

Vege storn	Area (an acres)	Number of flights	Equation number	Regression equetion	Coefficient of currelation	Standard error of estimate
	Reach 2 of the	Gila River P	hrestophyte Pro	oject arcs		
All salteeder (Tamoria je nhindra) Ali mengune Principia juliji iru) Total	(') (') '2,600	25 25 25	22 23 24	R = 091 (G) = 73 9 R = 91 (G) = 69 9 R = 84 (G) = 71 0	- 90 - 89 - 91	79 76 79
		Adjacen	area			
Crease(+ bush (Larren tradentata) Whitethern (Acnus (condea ta) Grass (Igroppene dongatam) Dense salle (ad (> 75 percent foliar cover)	66 92 27 . 37	24 24 15 •15	25 26 27 24	$\begin{array}{rcl} R = & 0 A1(G) + 63.9 \\ R = & 71(G) + 60.7 \\ R = -1.01(G) + 82.3 \\ R = & 1.02(G) + 96.2 \end{array}$	- 0 A6 81 - 91 - 99	68 77 94 24
'Owing to clearing of reach 2 during 1969, the sample 40nly 1968 data used	area changes. The	anipie areas and	their corresponderg	fights are given by low		
Datro .4 Richtea		Number of Richta		Acresse of mbcodar sampled	An prage of mempeste namalect	

960 3%) 190

GILA RIVER PHREATOPHYTE PROJECT



FIGURE 18 .- Regression relations between relative near-infrared irradiance and relative red irradiance among vegetation types in and adjacent to the Gila River Phreatophyte Project, 1968 and 1969.

nature for ponderosa pine is more nearly constant and has less variation than juniper-pinyon.

The spectral signature of the total ground cover may well be used as a remotely sensed index of hydrologic activity. The signature is an integrated index of the actual plant morphology and pigment chemistry, which is influenced by many direct and indirect hydrologic parameters such as radiation, temperature, ground-water level, and available soil moisture.

During the period of vigorous plant activity on the Gila River Phreatophyte Project (May through August for most vegetation, April through September for dense saltcedar), the time-independent signature of the vegetation types were related (fig. 20). This illustration demonstrates that discrimination of the primary vegetation types (dense saltcedar, saltcedar, and mesquite)

sion equations (table 2) indicate that the spectral sig- these parameters during this seasonal period. The sparse upland vegetation cannot be distinguished because of the reasons given in conclusion 1.

The signature equations expressed for saltcedar and mesquite in table 1 do not show a large difference in the \hat{R} versus \hat{G} signature between the two plant varieties. However, these signature equations represent very large areas where foliar cover (the amount of ground covered or shaded by the vegetation foliage) varies from 0 to 100 percent and the underlying cover and soil type vary considerably. These variabilities tend to mask the actual \hat{R} versus \hat{G} signature of the specific plants. The plant signature must be isolated from the contribution of underlying vegetation and ground irradiance. Horizontal photography at a distance of approximately 50 feet (15 m) from a densely foliated saltcodar and a similar mesquite was used to on the Gila River Phreatophyte Project is possible with $-\det R$ versus G signature for the two types of

> **CRIGINAL PAGE IS** · POOR QUALITY

CALCULATION OF EVAPOTRANSPIRATION USING COLOR-INFRARED PHOTOGRAPHY TABLE 2 - Time independent analysis using color infrared film for vegetation in the Cibecur Ridge area

Vegetation	Number of Bights	Number of sample punts per flight	Equation number	Brgermann	Carlicovet of correlation	Standard erne of estimate		
Punderuna pene (Pasas proderiva) Junger punjen pine (Jungerus interapering Panas adula) Grans sebes (Blue grann Rivertelina gravalas,	6	3 10	29 30	$ \begin{array}{r} B = -0.856G_1 + 24.3 \\ B = - 544G_1 + 70.1 \\ B = - 425G_1 + 53.4 \\ B = - 425G_1 + 53.4 \\ $	-0.85 94 - 69	29 32 55		
morping love grass Erognatis curtain	8 6	36 15	1) 11	8 30Gi+494	92	22		



FIGURE 19 .- Regression relations between relative near-infrared irradiance and relative red irradiance among vegetation types in and adjacent to the Cibecue Ridge area, summer 1971.

(34)

١

$$\hat{R} = -2.30(\hat{G}) + 109.0,$$
 (33)

and the coefficient of correlation is -0.99. The regression equation for mesquite is

$$\hat{\mathbf{R}} = -0.92 (\hat{\mathbf{G}}) + 90.9$$

with ground-level photography for saltcedar is approximately twice that of mesquite. The given slope of the \hat{R} versus \hat{G} equations for the two vegetation types illustrated cannot be directly equated to aerial photography, because of the increased contrast ratio which is used to compensate for haze in aerial photography. and the coefficient of correlation is -0.94. Discrimina-tion of vegetation type is possible with ground-level photography, because the rate of change of \hat{R} versus \hat{G} vegetation are integrated readings of the total ir-

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

GILA RIVER PHREATOPHYTE PROJECT



FIGURE 20.—Relative near-infrared irradiance versus relative red irradiance for five vegetation types in and adjacent to the Gila River Phre, tophyte Project summer 1968 and 1969

radiance from the photographed surface. For precise discrimination of plant type by aerial photogaphy, the relative contributions of each ground parameter (short-lived ephemeral plants, soil, water, and others) should be evaluated.

TIME-DEPENDENT SIGNATURE

The spectral signature change with time is used to monitor a dynamic phenomenon, and this precludes its use as a predictive tool; however, a model curve can be used to determine the departure of the spectral signature from the expected value, thus enabling the researcher to see trends and anomalies which should be investigated. The variability of the \hat{R} and \hat{G} spectral signature change with time is shown in figure 21; \hat{R}, \hat{G} , and the modeling curves are given for all of reach 2 during 1968 and 1969.

The general model curve used in this study has four distinct segments. First, is a linear segment for the

mean spectral signature during the period of dormancy of the deciduous vegetation, from late fall to early spring (4-1' on the R' curve). Two nonlinear segments, 1-2 and 3-4, on the R' curve (fig. 21) represent the transition from winter dormancy to high summer plant activity and from high summer plant activity to winter dormancy, respectively; these segments are described by equation 35. The last is a linear segment (2-3 of the R' curve) derived by assuming linearity between the two peaks of the bimodal curve from equation 35. These peaks occur on May 19 and August 11. This segment is assumed to represent the average summer maximum plant activity value.

The generalized form for the equations describing the model curves are given below:

$$R' = [H(sin N + 1/5 sin 3N) + P] \times 100,$$
 (35)

where

R' = value of the model curve for relative near-





Model curve for relative near-in frared irradiance number indicates a time of change in the spectral signature of vegetation

Model curve for relative red irradiance



infrared irradiance, in percent,

- H = the amplitude of the sine wave for relative near-infrared irradiance,
- = the mean relative near-infrared irradiance P during dormancy, and
- N = a function of time, expressed as

$$N = [(C - D)(-\frac{180^{\circ}}{L})],$$

where

- \hat{C} = the calendar-year day on which the photographic mission was flown [January 1, C = 1; December 31, C= 365 or 366 (leap year)].
- D = calendar-year day for the end of dormancy determined by the low relative near-infrared | The actual model equations used for figure 21 are given irradiance, and
- L = duration, in days, for the period of plant vigor, determined by the change in relative nearinfrared irradiance,

and

where

- $G' = \{H'[\sin(180^{\circ} + N') +$
 - $1/5 \sin(180^\circ + 3N')] + P' \} \times 100,$ (36)
 - G' = value of the model curve for relative red irradiance, in percent,
 - H' = the amplitude of the sine wave, for relative, where

red irradiance,

- the mean relative red irradiance during dormancy, and
- N' = a function of time, expressed as

$$N = \left[(C - D') \left(\frac{-180^\circ}{L'} \right) \right],$$

where

- D' = calendar-year day for the end of dormancy determined by the high relative red irradiance and
- L' = duration, in days, for the period of plant vigor, determined by the change in relative red irradiance.

below:

$$R' = [0.47(\sin N + 1/5 \sin 3N) + 0.03] \times 100, \quad (37)$$

where

$$N \approx \left[(\hat{C} - 74) \left(\frac{-180^\circ}{214} \right) \right]$$
 and
 $\hat{G}' \approx \{ 0.48 [\sin (180^\circ + N') + 1/5 \sin (180^\circ + N') + 1/5] \}$

$$(180^\circ + 3N')$$
] +0.76} × 100, (38)

O25

$$N' = \left[(C - 60) \left(\frac{180^{\circ}}{245} \right) \right].$$

The accuracy of fit of the model equations to spectral data was checked by relating the data from the 23³ data points presumed to be representative of the change of signature with time to the predicted values from the R' model equation 37 represented in figure 21. The standard error of estimate $(S_{p,s})$ from the line of equality of 0.04 is shown in figure 22.

The time-dependent plant signature $(\hat{R} \text{ and } \hat{G})$ of various types of vegetation are shown on figure 23, and curves similar to those of figure 21 could be developed. Several observations can be made with the aid of the model curve.

1. The time-dependent signature of large areas can be used to recognize and map vegetation types by using the sensing bands of satellite imagery in a similar manner to that proposed here for aerial photography. The 9- or 18-day cycle of LANDSAT is ideal for monitoring these changes.

2. Probably the most useful application of the model curve for aerial photography is to determine a schedule of flights to define the periods of growth at a particular study site. The minimum number of flights during a year to define the growth periods reliably for the Gila River Phreatophyte Project, as indicated by figure 21, is five: one taken during the period of dormancy (November through February), one during the spring transition period (April 1), two taken while the plants are vigorously active (June 1, July 30), and one during the fall transition period (September 30). For a long term investigation where only one flight per year is feasible, it would appear that sometime in June is the best choice for this particular area.

3. The transition period, from dormancy to vigorous activity as sensed by the \hat{G} sensitivity range, was not the same in 1968 as it was in 1969. The transition period started approximately 1 month later and ended 1 month earlier in 1968 than in 1969. The reflectance in the visual spectral range, of which \hat{G} (red color) is functionally related, which responds to photosynthetic activity, is well documented (Knipling, 1970, p. 158; Gates, 1970, p. 226). This time lag in activity between 1968 and 1969 is probably a function of many interrelated contributing variables such as radiation, temperature, rainfall, and others.

4. These modeling techniques were not successful on the Cibecue Ridge area, primarily because the predominant vegetation is perennial and the small signa-



FIGURE 22.—Regression relation between relative near-infrared irradiance determined from film and from a time-dependent model equation, reach 2 of the Gila River Phreatophyte Project, 1968 and 1969.

ture change with time is largely over-shadowed by the inherent error in the photography.

SPATIAL COMPUTER ANALYSIS

The \hat{R} versus \hat{G} signatures can also be used as a means of spatial evaluation. Figure 24 shows the trichromatic coordinates subdivided into 10 percent subgroups. The first digit indicates the percent of \hat{R} , and the second digit the percent of \hat{G} ; for example, the number 72 means 70 percent relative near-infrared irradiance and 20 percent relative red irradiance. The remaining parts out of a hundred, in this case 10 percent, signify the relative green irradiance. Figure 25 shows a computer printout of the \hat{R} and \hat{G} trichromatic

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

[&]quot;Only data from 23 of the 25 photographic missiona flown during 1959 and 1959 were to this computation because of the reasons given in feature 2.

CALCULATION OF EVAPOTRANSPIRATION USING COLOR-INFRARED PHOTOGRAPHY







FIGURE 24 --Computer printout codes used in spatial analysis of relative near-infrared, relative red, and relative green irradiance.

coordinates for each 3.67-acre (1.49-ha) plot in the heavily vegetated reach 2, sampled photographically on June 27, 1968. Areas of near-equal signature can be contoured on the printout and compared with ground truth. Above the printout is a reduction of a composite print mosiac copied from the positive transparencies analyzed. The connecting lines link nine pairs of trichromatic coordinates (\hat{R} and \hat{G}) with their corresponding position on the photograph.

Five photographs were combined for the photomosaic (fig. 25). An important feature of the photomosaic is the inconsistent spectral signatures of the five sequential photographs. The mosaic demonstrates the necessity of quantitative adjustment of the signature data by some technique if a numerical approach to photographic evaluation is required.

Computer printouts of the type shown on figure 25 were run for all reaches in each flight. This aided greatly in the spatial and temporal evaluation of the vegetation. The potential for computer interpretation of large areas by this method appears to merit considerable research.

VOLUME OF CANOPY FOLIAGE

Volume of canopy foliage is a difficult and expensive hydrologic parameter to measure by conventional means; it is conventionally defined in cubic feet per square foot of area. The technique used to determine this parameter on the Gila River Phreatophyte Project has already been outlined in the section "Vegetation Data Collection."

Thomas, Wiegand, and Myers (1967, p. 553) found that an exponential increase in reflectance was achieved by stacking individual leaves on one another. This enhancement of reflectance would imply that canopy volume could be estimated by photographic remote sensing, but the condition of stacked leaves might result in quite different reflectance relations than those of a natural plant canopy.

Figure 26 shows the relationship between nine canopy volume classes of saltcedar and three canopy classes of mesquite versus relative near-infrared irradiance from four photographic missions.

The photographic missions chosen represent the spring period of new leaf production (April 5), the stable summer period of dense foliage (May 31 and June 27), and the fall transition period leading to dormancy (October 10). These periods are demonstrated on figure 21 and discussed in the section "Time-Dependent Signature."

The data from the saltcedar classes (B) indicate that the relationship between canopy volume and \hat{R} is not linearly related. An important point, which must be considered while viewing figure 26, is that the vegetation description of canopy classes was done in 1965, while the photography used in the figure was taken in

O28

٠.

FIGURE 25—Color-infrared mostac showing spatial computer analysis by spectral signature for 3-67 acre (1-49 has plots in reach 2, Gila River Phreatophyte Project, June 27, 1968

CALCULATION OF EVAPOTRANSPIRATION USING COLOR-INFRARED PHOTOGRAPHY





FIGURE 26 -- Volume of canopy foliage versus relative near-infrared irradiance for photographic flights during 1968, reach 2, Gila River Phreatophyte Project.

1968. The effect which this time lag had on the data is unknown. Several conditions and relationships are illustrated by figure 26. Mesquite volume (A) also seems to indicate a nonlinear relationship, although it is difficult to postulate any conclusions based on these data

alone, because of the limited number of canopy classes. It is apparent that although the canopy class versus irradiance curves are nonlinear, they all consistently exhibit the same general shape.

The transition period leading to dormancy, as shown

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

by the October 10 flight, shows that the irradiance is | almost constant and apparently not related to canopy volume. But there is a general trend for greater foliar cover to produce higher irradiance, particularly in the larger volume classes.

A representative standard deviation for each data point for April 5 is graphically shown in figure 26. The canopy foliage. pooled standard deviations (Dixon and Massey, 1957, p. 109) of the irradiance for saltcedar and mesquite are 14 and 7 percent, respectively, which indicates that the error is too large for any precise conclusions.

Figure 27 illustrates the relative near-infrared irradiance of three saltcedar foliage classes versus time. This illustration shows that an increase in irradiance area-visual examination and spectral signature.

accompanies an increase in volume of foliage. The pooled standard deviation of the largest class is 12 percent. Figure 28 illustrates a similar relationship for mesquite; the pooled standard deviation is 13 percent. This large error factor indicates that for the data used, it is not practical to use this technique to measure

FOLIAR COVER

Foliar cover is defined as the ground covered or shaded by a vertical projection of plant foliage. Two techniques of photographic analysis may be used to determine the percent of canopy intercept in an



FIGURE 27.--Relative near-infrared irradiance versus time for three saltcedar volumes of canopy foliage classes, reach 2, Gila River Phreatophyte Project.



FIGURE 28 -- Relative near-infrared arradiance versus time for three mesquite volumes of canopy foliage classes, reach 2, Gila River Phreatophyte Project.

Visual examination generally requires mapping of canopy-intercept classes from aerial photography and field reconnaissance. planimetering, and computing the mean percent of canopy intercept in the area (Griffith and Howe, 1960). Spatial computer evaluation of imagery can aid greatly in the use of this technique, as shown in the recent studies by Denny, Morrison, Worthman, and Lucht (1971).

Photographic analysis using the spectral signature technique can probably be best accomplished by nearinfrared photographic sensing. Figure 29 illustrates the relationship between foliar cover and the R signature for the 50 plots within grids 2-24 and 2-25 of the Gila River Phreatophyte Project. The regression equation describing the relationship is

$$CI = 1.54(\hat{R}) - 29.9,$$

where

CI=foliar cover, in percent.

within the individual grids as shown in figure 29 are due primarily to two reasons.

1. Each plot has a different percentage of water showing through the canopy, which greatly affects the spectral signature registered on the film.

2. The actual distribution of vegetation types (saltcedar, mesquite, and masses) is different in each plot, therefore yielding variability in the signatures.

Interception of precipitation by vegetal cover is a difficult hydrologic parameter to measure, but photographic remote sensing can be used to estimate both the spatial and temporal variability of interception. Interception is defined as the amount of rain or snow stored on leaves and branches that eventually evaporates back into the atmosphere. It is equal to the precipitation on the vegetation minus stemflow and (39) throughfall (Langbein and Iseri, 1960, p. 12) and is basically a storage characteristic of the hydrologic cycle; it also is a function of other hydrologic, climato-The coefficient of correlation is 0.85. The variations ¹ logic, and botanical variables, such as vegetation type,

CALCULATION OF EVAPOTRANSPIRATION USING COLOR-INFRARED PHOTOGRAPHY



Fin > '9.—Foliar cover versus relative near-infrared irradiance for 50 3.67-acre (1.49-ha) plots, subreach 2b, Gila River Phreatophyte Project.

ration. As precipitation strikes a vegetative canopy, some is retained and distributed over the exposed surface area. If there is adequate precipitation, a quasiequilibrium is reached, whereby interception storage becomes stable and stemflow plus throughfall equals precipitation. The intercepted precipitation is then retained (stored) on the leaf and stem surface until after the storm or until the vapor-pressure gradient is high enough to initiate evaporation. For 100 percent canopy cover, interception of precipitation from an individual storm may be estimated using the curves of Kittridge (1948, fig. 12) or from the interception data of Molchanov (1960). Photographic remote sensing then can be used to approximate the percent of canopy cover-the macroleaf count-on a watershed, which is then used to determine the interception storage.

TECHNIQUES FOR ANALYSIS OF COLOR-INFRARED PHOTOGRAPHY

In the 5-year period 1967-71, 38 color-infrared photographic missions were flown over the Gila River Phreatophyte Project. During the summer of 1971, six color-infrared photographic missions were also flown over the Cibecue Ridge Watershed Study. The photographic missions were flown at altitudes between 1,500 the adjustments described above are necessary.

leaf area, wind velocity, and rainfall intensity and duration. As precipitation strikes a vegetative canopy, some is retained and distributed over the exposed surface area. If there is adequate precipitation, a quasiis shown in figure 30. The quantitative utilization of equilibrium is reached, whereby interception storage becomes stable and stemflow plus throughfall equals precipitation. The intercepted precipitation is then reard 60,000 feet (460 and 18,000 m) above land surface under many different climatic conditions using a vareity of cameras, films, and filter combinations. This is shown in figure 30. The quantitative utilization of these diverse data required the development of both deterministic and empirical techniques of film standprecipitation.

> Figure 30 shows both the adjusted and unadjusted \hat{R} for subreach 2b from 1967 through 1971. The unadjusted \hat{R} is the parameter described in the section 'Data Standardization and Sources of Variability.' The adjusted R has been adjusted for the variability due to the inconsistency in flying altitude, filter combination, processing techniques, or other contributing tactors. The effect of adjustment is illustrated by the signature difference in unadjusted \hat{R} between the summer of 1968 and 1969. The difference between unadjusted signatures is not related to the vegetation condition because the phreatophytes were equally dense during both periods. The adjusted values for this same period are nearly equal, as would be expected if a correlation exists with ground conditions. Most of the photographic flights during the 5-year period (1967-71) were flown at an altitude of 8,500 ft (2,600 m) above land surface; however, six flights were flown at 3,600 ft (1,100 m), and two at 60,000 ft (18,000 m); therefore,

> > ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

O33





CALCULATION OF EVAPOTRANSPIRATION USING COLOR-INFRARED PLOTOGRAPHY

(40)

COST OF PHOTOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS

The cost in 1968 of using conventional techniques to assess the vegetation on the Gila River Phreatophyte Project (the technique is outlined in the section "Vegetation Data Collection") was approximately \$6,600, while the cost of a color-infrared photographic mission and a complete computer analysis of the photographic data cost approximately \$650.

BASIC PHOTOGRAPHIC CONCEPTS

The analysis of photographic remote sensing data requires a basic knowledge of the fundamentals of photographic science. Spectral sensitivity, density versus log exposure, and analytic optical density are photographic topics necessary for the type of analysis utilized in this report.

FUTRAL SENSITIVITY

Spectral sensity inty, $S(\lambda)$, for color-infrared film is defined as follows:

$$S(\lambda) = 1/E(\lambda),$$

where $E(\lambda)$ is the energy in ergs/cm² of monochromatic radiation required to produce in the individual dye layer an equivalent neutral density, usually 1.0 (N.L. Fritz, 1969, written commun.). Equivalent neutral density (END) is defined as the visual density a dye analyzed by our equipment were: layer would have if it were converted to a neutral gray by superimposing the minimum necessary amounts of dye in the other two dye layers (Todd and Zakia, 1969, p. 215-219).

The relationship between wavelength sensitivity and color formulation of color-infrared film was discussed in the section "Color-Infrared Film" and was demonstrated in figures 2 and 3.

Multigeneration photography such as received from NASA during the study refers to the fact that the color-infrared photography has been duplicated on color film for data analysis. A quantitative analysis of the data must include consideration of the sensitivity of the duplicated and duplicating films.

FILM DENSITY VERSUS ENPOSURE

The relationship between film density and exposure was discussed in the section "Color-Infrared Film" and shown in figure 4.

BASE PLUS FOG DENSILY

Base plus fog density (D_{ν}) may be referred to as the fog level of the film. It is the optical density obtained after processing film which has received no exposure and is the result of chemical reduction by the developer on unexposed grains. For example, the base plus fog

level for the cyan dye layer in figure 4 is an optical density of approximately 3.6. The actual base plus fog densities of the dye layers of film type 8443 analyzed with our equipment are shown below:

Cyan
$$D_0$$
 = 2.76,

 Magenta D_0
 = 1.38, and

 Yellow D_0
 = 2.22.

GAMMA

Gamma (γ) is the slope of the linear portion of the characteristic curve:

$$\gamma = \frac{\Delta OD}{\Delta \log E}$$
 (41)

A perfect tone reproduction of a scene is obtained when the gamma is equal to -1 (for positive film), and a gamma less than -1 means that the scene is reproduced at a higher contrast. (This discussion applies to positive film only; a negative film would have a positive gamma.) Aerial films, such as color-infrared film, which are used for high-altitude photography usually have gainmas of approximately -2.0. The reason for the low gamma of aerial films is because the original scene contrast is greatly reduced by atmospheric attenuation, and the lower gamma compensates for this. The gammas for the dye layers of film type 8443 as

Cyan
$$\gamma = -2.00$$
,
Magenta $\gamma = -0.81$, and
Yellow $\gamma = -2.82$.

DATA STANDARDIZATION AND SOURCES OF VARIABILITY

The use of color-infrared phowgraphy as a tool for the quantitative monitoring of ground-scene changes with time requires the standardization of the data taken from the film. Certain sources of variability from one photographic flight to another and within a roll of film can be controlled by consistent practices and careful planning. Controllable variables are camera, film, filter combinations, flying altitude, and certain procedures in the film processing. Variability in the data from these sources can to some degree be controlled by consistency, or if necessary, by mathematical adjustments. Other sources of variability, such as atmospheric changes, film-emulsion variation, and filmprocessing changes and inconsistency, are less deterministic in nature, and the investigator has very little control over these sources of error. Variability in the data from these sources are very difficult to correct without eleborate instrumentation and calibration and, to a large degree, must be adjusted by an empirical approach if precise calibration is not available.

Theoretically, the standardizations of photographic

data for such variables as atmospheric attenuation, solar altitude, and filter transmittance should be made as exposure adjustments for the film gamma. This was not done for two reasons: (1) the high cost involved in precisely measuring the energy radiated from the ground scene and subsequently sensed by the film and (2) the high error involved in measuring hydrologic phenomenon such as evapotranspiration.

As pointed out previously (in the section "Photographic Data Collection"), each dye layer of the film was viewed as a relative radiometer, and the irradiance sensed in each dye layer was related to the sum of the irradiance sensed by the three dye layers. For clarification the reader should refer back to equations 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7.

ANALY FIG OPTICAL DENSITY

Analytic optical density is defined as the optical density within the individual dye layers of a multidye layer film. The necessity for isolating the individual dye-layer spectral contribution is illustrated in figure 2 by the spectral sensitivity curves for color-infrared film. It should be borne in mind that these curves (fig. 2) are only for the film and do not show the effect of the instrumentation (filters, lenses, densitometer, and so forth) used for analysis. The color density measured by the densitometer in each selected wavelength band (fig. 7) is the sum of the radiation absorbed by all three of the dye layers.

Several techniques are available for isolating the exposure in each of the three dye layers. The process used in the following analysis involves selective exposure yielding a step tablet—in this case a film strip graduated in transparency from one end to the other, for each dye layer of the film. The relative contribution of each dye layer in the three sensing bands was then isolated algebraically through the use of a matrix inversion (Evans and others, 1.502, p. 441-447).

The step tablets were analyzed using the transmittance densitometer (Macbeth TD 402) by recording the three optical densities (R_{od}, G_{od}, B_{od}) transmitted at each c posure step of each step tablet. Those were then plotted graphically with the major optical density (R_c for the cyan dye layer) versus the two minor optical densities $(G_c \text{ and } B_c \text{ for the cyan dye layer})$ for each step tablet (the slope of the major optical density of the dyc layer taken as 1, fig. 31). The slopes of the major versus minor optical densities for each dye layer were then entered into a 3×3 matrix (.1). The inverse (A^{-1}) of the matrix (A) was then taken. (A⁻¹) was then used for the three equations to convert the three integral optical densities (R, G, B) to their respective analytic optical density (C, M, Y). Matrices (A) and (A^{-1}) and the resulting analytic optical density equations for film | therefore,

type 8443 analyzed with our equipment are given be-

Now:

$$(A)_{bees} = \begin{bmatrix} R, R_m R_y \\ G, G_m G_y \\ B, B_m B_y \end{bmatrix}_{bees} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.000 & 0.065 & 0.015 \\ 0.184 & 1.000 & 0.106 \\ 0.046 & 0.192 & 1.000 \end{bmatrix}_{bees} (42)$$
and

$$\begin{bmatrix} C \\ M \\ Y \end{bmatrix}_{bees} = (A^{-1})_{bees} \times \begin{bmatrix} R \\ B \\ B \end{bmatrix}_{bees}$$
where

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1.012 & -0.063 & -0.008 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(A^{-1})_{b+43} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.185 & 1.032 & -0.107 \\ -0.011 & -0.195 & 1.021 \end{bmatrix}_{b+43}$$

Therefore

$$C_{9443} = 1.012(R) - 0.063(G) - 0.008(B), \quad (45)$$

$$M_{9443} = -0.185(R) + 1.032(G) - 0.107(B), \text{ and } \quad (46)$$

$$Y_{8443} = -0.011(R) - 0.195(G) + 1.021(B). \quad (47)$$

FILM TYPE CORRECTION

During the period of study for this report, two colorinfrared films were used. Film type 8443 was used in 1967-69; after 1969, film type 2443 was used. The 2443 film has three advantages over its predecessor: (1) better infrared color balance; (2) increased overall speed; and (3) improved consistency from emulsion to emulsion. The quantitative use of the photographic data required that the data from film type 2443 be corrected to the same spectral response as film type 8443. The technique for accomplishing this was to premultiply the inverse type 8443 [$(A^{-1})_{b+c}$] by a conversion matrix, [$(A)_{5449}$], which was supplied by Kodak (N. L. Fritz, written commun., 1972):

$$(A^{-1})_{2443} = [(A)_{2443}^{8443}] \times [(A^{-1})_{8443}], \qquad (48)$$

where

$$(A)_{2443}^{6443} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.094 & -0.024 & -0.009 \\ 0.000 & 0.997 & 0.003 \\ 0.011 & -0.029 & 1.043 \end{bmatrix}$$
. (49)

It must be emphasized that the technique illustrated by equations 48 and 49 is not precise but is a usable approximation.

The solution for $(A^{-1})_{2443}$ is given below:

$$(\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{\mathbf{2443}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.112 & -0.092 & \cdots & 0.015 \\ -0.184 & 1.028 & -0.104 \\ 0.005 & -0.240 & 1.065 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbf{2443}}$$
(50)

The analytic optical densities (C, M, Y) for film type 2.143 were derived as shown below:

$$\begin{bmatrix} C \\ M \\ Y \end{bmatrix}_{2443} = (A^{-1})_{2443} \times \begin{bmatrix} R \\ G \\ B \end{bmatrix}_{2443}; (51)$$





C2443 M2443 = 1.112(R) - 0.092(G) - 0.015(B),= -0.184(R) + 1.028(G) - 0.104(B), and Y 2443 = 0.005(R) - 0.240(G) + 1.065(B).

(52) relative irradiance by the technique already discussed
(53) in the section "Photographic Data Collection" and by
(54) use of the equations shown in that section—equations These analytic optical densities were then converted to 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7.

FLIGHT-MITTUDE CORRECTION

The atmospheric attenuation of radiation varies as a function of wavelength and altitude. An atmosphericattenuation model based on the U.S. Standard Atmosphere, 1962 (Elterman and Toolin, 1965), can be used to determine the transmittance of the atmosphere as a function of both wavelength and altitude. With vertical photographs an attenuation factor may be described by the following equation (Elterman and Toolin, 1965):

$$\Gamma_{\lambda h} = \exp[-(\tau_{h_1} - \tau_{h_2})],$$
 (55)

- where T_{sk} = atmospheric transmittance of a particular wavelength. between the ground-surface elevation and the camera altitude,
 - τ_{A1} = extinction optical thickness at the camera altitude, and
 - τ_{h2} = extinction optical thickness at the groundsurface elevation; extinction optical thickness is defined as the mean value of the sum of the Rayleigh scattering coefficient, and the ozone absorption coefficient at a particular altitude.

Applying this equation to the peaks of the dye-layer sensitivity curves (fig. 2) at 0.55 μ m, at 0.65 μ m, and 0.72 μ m, the atmospheric transmittances at 3,600, 8,500, and 60,000 ft (1,100, 2,600, and 18,000 m) for these peaks of the sensing ranges are computed. These are shown on table 3. The peaks were used because they are the wavelengths where the greatest exposure results for a given unit of radiant energy. The altitude correction needed to convert 3,600- and 60,000-ft (1,100 and 18,000 m) flights to the standard 8,500-ft (2,600 m) flight is then tabulated in table 3.

The values obtained from equations 6. 7, and 8 are therefore corrected (for the photographic missions which were flown at altitudes other than 8,500 ft (2,600 m)) by their respective atmospheric correction factors as follows:

$$\hat{R} = R_{\rm v} \mathbf{A}_{\rm c} (R_{\rm v} \mathbf{A}_{\rm c} + G_{\rm v} \mathbf{A}_{\rm m} + B_{\rm v} \mathbf{A}_{\rm y}), \qquad (56)$$

$$G = G_v A_m / (R_v A_c + G_v A_m + B_v A_y), \text{ and}$$
(57)

$$B = B_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{y}} / (R_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}} + G_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{m}} + B_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{y}}), \qquad (58)$$

where

- v = subscript indicating that the relative irradiance data $(\hat{R}, \hat{G}, \text{ or } \hat{B})$ was from a nonstandard flight altitude or filter combination and that the data are corrected to stand ard values by the equation,
- $A_{\rm v}$ altitude correction factor for yellow dye layer,
- A_m = altitude correction factor for magenta dye layer, and
- A_{c} = altitude correction factor for cyan dye layer.

TABLE 3.—Atmospheric transmittance at different flight altitudes for the peak wavelengths of dye-layer sensitivity of color-infrared film

Dve Layer	Prak wavelongth mensilisity im marimeters)	Pi er er transa	ot of atracey mitance at altetude	Correction factors for contersum of non- standard flight attitude to standard flight altitude of 8 500 ft		
		3 600 £	8 140 R	60 000 ft (15 000 m)	3.660/A (1.100)ani	60 040 £
Yellon Magenta Chan	. 0.55 65 72	246-5 440-1 440-9	64.3 86.7 87.8	77 7 82 7 NA 9	0 953 962 386	1 065

This correction as well as the corrections in the following sections could be improved by vectorially multiplying the atmospheric transmittance by the spectral sensitivity at small wavelength increments $(0.01 \ \mu m)$ to achieve a spectral sensitivity at a specific altitude (U.S. Air Force, 1968, p. 91–96). However, this procedure does not compensate for the effect of increased sky luminance with height on aerial photography. Sky luminance affects both the highlights and shadows equally, thus in effect causing a lower contrast ratio and a narrower density range (Smith and Anson, 1968, p. 312). An expansion of the density scale (fig. 4) to compensate for sky luminance was not necessary because the relationship between the illuminance sensed by each dye layer was not affected significantly.

FILTER CORRECTION

The filters chosen as a standard for vegetation differentiation with color-infrared film were the Wratten numbers 12, CC20B, and CC30M (Culler, 1970). Filter combination was chosen as a result of testing procedures suggested by Fritz (1967). The testing procedure required a series of photographs with various combinations of filters and exposures. A cliff looking over a collection of plants typical of the Gila River flood plain was used as a platform to simulate aerial photographic conditions. A Graflex XL camera with a 100 mm Zeiss Tessar lens, aperature to £3.5, shutter speed to 1 500, and 70 mm film type 8443 was used for this photography. Photographic testing equipment was not readily available, and comparisons were made by visual inspection. A filter combination of Wratten numbers 12, CC20B, and CC30M provided the best visual color resolution for identifying flood-plain vegetation. This "standard" filter pack was adapted beginning with the 1968 photography. Figure 32 shows the transmittance curves for the W12, CC20B, and CC30M filters and the product curve for this combination. Optical filters obey the Bouguer-Lambert Law, which states that the transmittance of a series of filters is the product of the transmittance of each filter.

The need for color correction filters to enhance the spectral signature on color-infrared photography was explored by Pease and Bowen (1969). Figure 2 shows

CALCULATION OF EVAPOTRANSPIRATION USING COLOR-INFRARED PHOTOGRAPHY



FIGURE 32.—Filter transmittance versus wavelength for the standard filter pack used in aerial photography in the study areas

lower sensitivity relative to the other layers of the cyan dye layer of color-infrared film. The purpose of the color correction filters used as a standard in this study is to decrease the sensitivity of the magenta and yellow dye layers and therefore proportionally increase the cyan sensitivity, which has the lowest sensitivity as shown in figure 2. In this study the two spectral ranges of interest (because of the physiological and plant chemical relationship--these sensed by the cyan and the magenta dye layer-must be accentuated to yield a signature more useful for the determination of plant condition. Figure 32 shows that the filter pack transmits approximately 65 percent in the cyan sensing range, 45 percent in the magenta, and 30 percent in the sensing region of the yellow dye layer (all percentages refer to the percent radiation transmitted which is incident to the filter pack in each of the three different spectral ranges); the filters thereby compensate for the lower sensitivity of the cyan and increase the magenta dye layer sensitivity with respect to the other two dye layers. This filter pack accentuates the two dye layers used as indices of plant vigor. This can be visualized by noting figure 4; the differential shift resulting from

this filter pack would diminish the yellow dye layer image with respect to the other two.

039

During 1967 only a number 12 filter was used. The two NASA flights (Septendoer 30, 1969, and September 11, 1970) included in this analysis used a number 15 only. Filter corrections were therefore necessary to convert to the standard filter pack used during most of the study.

The transmittances of the two color correction filters (CC20B, CC30M) were multiplied at 0.01 μ m intervals, and these products were summed over the high sensitivity wavelength range of the particular dye layer. The mean transmittance of the filters for each dye layer was then calculated. These filter corrections were then used to adjust the nonstandard photographic flights by the same method used for atmospheric attenuation. No compensations were made for transmittance differences between the filter numbers W12 and W15 because of similar transmittance properties (fig. 2).

The correction equations used for the filters is illustrated below for the near-infrared irradiance:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{R}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{R}}_{\mathbf{v}} \boldsymbol{F}_{\mathbf{c}} / (\hat{\boldsymbol{R}}_{\mathbf{v}} \boldsymbol{F}_{\mathbf{c}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\mathbf{v}} \boldsymbol{F}_{\mathbf{m}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\mathbf{v}} \boldsymbol{F}_{\mathbf{v}}), \qquad (59)$$

where

- filter correction factor for the yellow dye F, = layer,
- filter correction factor for the magenta dye F_ layer, and

F. = filter correction factor for the cyan dye layer. The actual values for the correction factors for the specific filters cited are given below:

$$F_c = 0.73,$$

 $F_m = 0.50,$ and
 $F_u = 0.35.$

This filter correction could be improved by the vectorial multiplication of the filter correction and the spectral sensitivity at small increments of wavelength as proposed for atmospheric attenuation, but it was not done because of the limitations of the study.

SOLAR ANGLE CORRECTION

The effect of solar angle (Φ) should also be considered when interpreting color-infrared photography taken at different times of year or day. The solar angle, the angular departure from the local vertical, can be calculated at solar noon as

 Φ = latitude - solar declination. The effect of solar angle on the amount of radiation reaching a reflecting source can be computed as (Elterman and Toolin, 1965)

where

$T' = \exp(-\tau_{h2} \sec \Phi),$ (61)

- T = atmospheric transmittance of radiation at a atmosphere and
- τ_{h2} = extinction optical thickness at a particular wavelength through the total atmosphere to the ground-surface elevation.

The relationships between object reflectance and solar angle, incidence look angle, and azimuth look angle are treated in Egbert and Ulaby (1972), while solar angle versus exposure is explored in Sprecht. Fritz, and Sorem (1966). The Gila River Phreatophyte Project is at latitude 33°10', and the noon solar angle that occurs during summer and winter solstice (June 21, December 22) are 9.88° and 55.62°, respectively.

A computation of the transmittances at the peak of each dye-layer sensing range for June 21 and December 22 are given in table 4. Table 4 shows that the maximum possible solar angle effect on the three all other effects were constant. During the period of plant activity (April through September) this effect is inexpensive correction technique. not significant at this latitude when the photographic

TABLE 4 -Atmospheric transmittance for the peak sensitivity of dye layers of color-infrared film at summer and winter solstice

	Shah wasalan sh	Perce atm-spheresc	Ratue of		
Due layer		Winter inlation	Summer	to support	
Yellow Magenta Cyan	0 55 65 	65 9 74 0 76 9	786 841 872	0 84 NS 91	

nature parameters of plant activity (\hat{R}, \hat{G}) are largely independent of solar angle. Figure 33A is the bare ground site shown in figure 1, while 33B is a part of the dense saltcedar site in 25-2 also shown in figure 1.

The decrease of \hat{R} and increase of \hat{G} in figure 33B at 1200 hours results primarily from the high sun angle which allows irradiance from the ground between the trees to register on the photographs. The increase in Rat 1400 hours in figure 33A is more difficult to explain, but this is perhaps due to an increase in temperature of the soil which may cause a depletion of the surface soil moisture and a change in signature.

STANDARD CORRECTION

Many photographic variables, such as atmospheric (60) changes, processing inconsistencies, and shifts in film color balance due to aging or improper storage, are very difficult to correct. Elaborate ground instrumentation data and the use of complicated sensitometric methods are necessary to derive such corrections. However, a simple empirical correction for this type of variability was attained by using the spectral signaparticular wave length through the total ture of a highway bridge as a standard calibration. The necessity of a standard correction is indicated by the uncorrected signature of reach 2b during 1968 versus 1969 (fig. 30).

> The basic theoretical assumptions inherent in the bridge correction procedure are given below.

> 1. The bridge is assumed to be a grav surface, such that the film (using the "standard" filter pack) registers equal quantities of energy in the three wavelength regions sensed by the individual dye layers; the photographic mission flown on June 27, 1968, was used as the standard photographic flight for this reason.

> 2. The characteristic curves (fig. 4) are superimposed at the analytic densities of the bridge readings, achieving an equivalent neutral density.

The accuracy of this empirical method is considered adequate for this study, and although it is recognized wavelength regions of interest would be significant if ' that neither of these conditions is precisely not, the effect of error is overshadowed by the need for a usable

Densitometric readings of the highway bridge lodata is analyzed as a ratio. Further evidence of this is trated on the Gila River were taken on each photoshown in figure 33, which shows that the spectral sig- \int graphic flight, converted to R, G, and B by the technique



CALCULATION OF EVAPOTRANSPIRATION USING COLOR-INFRARED PHOTOGRAPHY

FIGURE 33 -Relative near-infrared irradiance and relative red irradiance versus time of day for bare ground and dense salteedar

(62)

illustrated in equations 4, 5, and 6, and corrected for film type, altitude, and filter combination when necessary. All bridge readings were then corrected relative to the bridge reading flown June 27, 1968, which conformed to the assumption (1) given above. The June 27, 1968, irradiance was

 $\hat{R}_{s} = \hat{G}_{s} = \hat{B}_{s} = 0.33$,

where

s= subscript - standard bridge reading June 27, 1968, photography.

The standard correction factors for each photograph run derived from the bridge reflectance are

$$J_c = R_g/R_*, \qquad (63)$$
$$J_m = G_{ab}/G_*, \qquad (64)$$

and

$$J_{\rm v} = B_{\rm u}/B_{\rm v},$$

q = subscript—bridge reading for any photographic mission.

The data for a specific flight was then corrected as demonstrated by the following equation for relative near-infrared irradiance:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{R}} = (\hat{\boldsymbol{R}}_{i}\boldsymbol{J}_{i})^{j}(\hat{\boldsymbol{R}}_{i}\boldsymbol{J}_{i} + \hat{\boldsymbol{G}}_{i}\boldsymbol{J}_{m} + \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{i}\boldsymbol{J}_{N}), \qquad (66)$$

It should be realized that in this specific instance equations 63, 64, and 65 may be simplified of -R. $J_m = 3G_q$, and $J_s = 3B_q$), but the technique is presented

ph here for use if the condition of equation 62 is not met.
This technique is only usable during the summer 63) months (May through August) because the correction 64) factors tend to overcompensate the cyan layer by as

much as a factor of ten during the winter. The case of (65) this high overcompensation is that the skylight con-

tribution to the cyan dye layer is much lower in the winter than in the summer, the vegetation adjacent to the bridge becomes dormant during the winter, and the effect of the scattered near-infrared radiance from this source is greatly reduced, therefore reducing the quantity of near-infrared irradiance received by the film sensing the bridge.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF VARIABLES

Extensive statistical analyses have been performed on the equations presented in this report. For the use of those interested in these evaluations, the statistical parameters are presented in table 5.

To attain significance in a quantitative study of spectral data requires a rigorous statistical approach. This is of particular importance in the study of individual plant signatures, where the two primary parameters used (\hat{R}, \hat{G}) are elements of a closed system, as shown by equation 7 in the text, $\hat{R} + \hat{G} + \hat{B} = 100$ percent. The interrelationship between variables in a closed system such as expressed in equation 7 yields a spurious correlation. For example, an increase in Rdictates an equal decrease in the sum of $\hat{G} + \hat{B}$. In addition, the fact that the sum of the parameters is a constant destroys the independence of both the variance and covariance and induces a bias toward a negative correlation. Therefore, the correlation coefficient of a closed system, such as that used in this report, may not be related to the conventional null hypothesis, $\rho=0$. Chayes (1960, 1971) proposed that the expected value for a null hypothesis with this "closure restraint" may be approximated by $\rho = (1-n)^{-1}$, where n is the number of interrelated variables in the closed system. This value can then be utilized in the Fisher Z transformation (Fisher, 1950, paper 3, p. 125) to determine the distribution of the coefficient of correlation. A pictorial representation of the distribution of this transformation is shown by Natrella (1963, 20-1e).

The normal sampling distribution at a particular confidence level can be approximated by the equation for Z given below; for ease of calculation a table of Z values has been published in Dixon and Massey (1957, table A-30b). The equation used to determine the confidence levels of the coefficient of correlation is

 $Z'_{\tau} = Z_{\tau} \pm (t')(SD),$

where Z', ≠ the maximum and minimum values of Z for the coefficient of correlation as determined by the t' distribution,

$$Z_r = Z[f(r)] = 0.5[\ln \frac{1+r}{1-r}],$$

 $SD = 1/\sqrt{n-3}$, where *n* = number of samples,

t' = "student" t value of a particular significance level, and

r = coefficient of correlation.

This procedure was used in this report to solve for the r_{max} and r_{min} by the inverse transform; tion,

$$r = Z^{-1}(Z'_{r}).$$

Similarly, the corresponding confidence levels for the null hypothesis were computed as

$$Z'_{\rho} = Z_{\rho} \preceq t \gamma(SD),$$

whe**re**

 Z'_{ρ} = the maximum and minimum values of Z for the null hypothesis as determined by the t' distribution,

$$Z_{\rho} = \mathcal{Z}[f(\rho)] = 0.5 \left[\ln\left(\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}\right) \right],$$

 ρ = value of the null hypothesis,

and the values for ρ_{max} and ρ_{max} were derived by the transformation,

$$\rho = Z^{-1}(Z'\rho)$$

This was done for both the null hypothesis and the coefficient of correlation at the 95 percent confidence level and is presented along with the value for ρ and r in table 5.

The percent confidence level of the computed r when related to the null hypothesis was computed by

$$Z_{p}=Z_{r}-(t')(SD),$$

which is then solved for t',

$$t' = \frac{Z_{\rho} - Z_r}{SD}$$

The confidence level for the t' for each computed correlation coefficient as related to the null hypothesis is also given in table 5.

The statistical parameters shown in table 5 which have not been discussed were evaluated from the definitions given in Ezekiel and Fox (1963).

SUMMARY

In the 5-year period 1967-71, 38 color-infrared photographic missions were flown over the Gila River Phreatophyte Project in southeastern Arizona. Data from these missions were analyzed to determine the possibility of identifying and measuring vegetative parameters and their associated hydrologic variables by spectral analysis of the photography. During the summer of 1971, additional data from six colorinfrared photographic missions flown over the Cibecue Ridge Watershed Study in central Arizona were used to test the validity of some of the techniques developed in this study.

A transmittance densitometer was used to obtain a

Parameters	Equation 33	Equation 12	Equation 18	Equation 20	Equation 21	Equation 22	Equation 23	Equation 24	Equation 25	Equation 28	Equation 27	Equation 28	Equation 29	Equation 30	Equation 31	Equation 32	Equation 33	Equation 74	Equation 39
Sumber of photographic flights	13		·····	7	1	25	25	25	24	24	15	15	6	6	6	6	-		1
Number of sample points per flight	472	727	5	3 75.6	51 1	727 47 5	1375 49 0	1271 48 1	3 M 49 4	25 51 H	8 4×3	5 23 1	44 B	10 60 1	30 54 6	15 53.4	10 13 5	13 169	50 63 8
Variance of independent var-	4,248 7	17 621 6	317 5	BALL O	55 t	411 1	249 1	303 2	1794	2133	36-1 7	830 4	27.8	174 R	144 9	100.5	2183	178	329.8
Sundard deviation of indepen- dont surrible. Mean of dependent variable.	65 2 153 5 2,212 1	132 N 337 7 18,566 7	17 N 23 03	30 5 23 01	73 116 484	20 3 30 7 297 2	15.5 25.4 252.3	17 4 28 6 301 6	13 4 23 N 161 6	14 6 23 2 194 7	191 333 456 0	28 R 72 S 874 R	53 593 267	132 319 MU 1	12 0 32 J 55 8	13 4 29 3 39 2	47 779 1142	42 754 171	1#2 650 1,0#27
Standard deviation of dependent constants Slope of negression line Stige of negression line Stige of negression distige Stight if thest of slope	47 4 2,710 2 84 22 2 9	13,466-2 13,466-2 88 31 211	16 2 4 0075 002 3 5	16 4 6 0049 0008 3 9	70 33 H 64 21 - 3 1	199 3734 9) 08 -114	15 9 217 7 - 91 10 -91	174 - 2710 - 199 - 09 - 197 - 214	12.7 -145.5 	130 - 154 8 - 73 - 11 - 657 - 617	27.4 -369.6 -1.01 13 -7.7 82.3	29 6 - 849 7 - 1 02 - 02 - 45 9 96 2	5 16 - 23 5 - 85 - 25 - 3 4 84 3	89 -1111 - 64 - 07 - 85 791	7 5 - 61 J 42 08 - 5 3 55 4	55 679 38 94 94 444	10.9 - 50.3 - 2 30 - 16 4 - 16 4 199.0	42 -164 -92 10 -89 904	329 3063 154 111 295
Instructure for growness later Standard de valaan ef internept Stadent fotent of internept faetherent of correlation of a freedor of de termination	205 -{14 -65 88 77	45 i 24 88 74	17 3 - 017 84 71	12 9 010 43 86	1+6 3+4 - 67 - 45	4 2 17 7 92	5.0 14.0 89	47 150 - 90	57 113 - 88	87 91 - 81	6 t 13.6 - 91	79 322 4 19	113 78 - #6	67 105 - 44	72 77 88	54 41 92	4 127 4 99	1 N 50 1 - 94	51 -54 -85 72
For test of coefficient of compare tion 9) percent constations togeth of contract of decomparent of contractions is we of contraction	37 1 54 145	.10 3 54, 96	12 2 21 97	34 35 59 99	4 55 - 22, - 84	124 B - 82, - 96	N2 7 - 78. - 95	9.3 8 78, ~ 95	595 64 - 91	43 1 - 80, - 91	1999 74, 98	2,1080 - 97. - 94	1) 1 - 20, - 98	74-4 80, - 48	277 - 44. - 49	87 5 40, 97	264 9 93, 49	80 0 - 84. 98	122 2 75 91
correlation when related to the null hypothesis Satur of the null hypotheses 95 percent is infalmer, his he of null hypothesis	94 1983 55 13	09 08 55	95 (10 75, 75	- 75, 73	80 00 51, 53	99 - 50 - 13 - 75	94 - 50 - 13 75	- 19 - 50 - 13, - 75	449 ~ 50 ~ 12 ~ 75	98 50 - 12 75	94) 50 01, - NO	49 50 - 08, - 80	80 50 53, - 93	99 - 50 - 83	ми - 50 - (9, - 71	99 - 50 - 54 - 58	449 ~ 50 14 - NH	- 50) UT HZ	44 (43 - 28 - 28
Standards arrow of estimate estimatords Standards (row of the standard error of the standard	24 N 20	71 0 20	1149 244	0h 29	5 4 20	79 14	7 6 14	79 14	6 N 15	77 15	84 14	24 19	29 32	3 2 21	5.5 12	22	2 Q 24	15	177

TABLE 5.—Statistical index of variables in equations used in this report

Whing to clearing during the period of analyses the number of sampled points for equation 23 changed from 375 to 218, while those for equation 24 changed from 271 to 52

readings in each of three primary colors of the positive transparencies were taken. The irradiance (defined in this report as energy recorded on the film) sensed from the dye concentration in each of these primary colors was determined and related to the total energy sensed by the film, achieving three parameters of relative irradiance (near-infrared, red, and green) which were functionally related to spectral regions indicative of plant activity. These parameters were then corrected to a "stondard photo flight" by adjusting the densitometric data for flight altitude, filter combination, film type, and a standard correction based on the spectral signature of a highway bridge located in the project area.

Remote sensing calculations of evapotranspiration from 13 photographic missions flown during 1968 were related to water-budget measurements for a 1,700-acre (689-ha) area cleared of vegetation and a 2,200-acre (891-ha) phreatophyte-covered area of the Gila River flood plain. The coefficients of correlation between the water-budget measurements and the remote sensing calculations were 0.88 for the cleared area and 0.86 for the phreatophyte-covered area. Evapotranspiration calculated from seven photographic missions flown during 1968 over a spatially homogeneous grain sorghum field gave a coefficient of correlation of 0.93 when related to evapotranspiration computed by the Blaney-Criddle equation.

Determining depth to the ground-water level spectrally was not practical, although depths to water of less than 30 ft (9 m) had a standard error of 5.4 ft (1.6 m). Moisture content of the soil could not be determined spectrally, but plant stress due to moisture content deficiencies was detectable. Plant-type discrimination was possible between eight different plant communities, which included both deciduous and perennial species. A spectral evaluation of near-infrared versus red irradiance of these plant communities showed that each had a standard error of less than 10 percent. Computer maps of the spectral signature distribution for each photographic mission flown of the Gila River flood plain were found useful for spatial and temporal evaluation of vegetation. The spectral signatures for different types of vegetation were harmonically modeled and used as a means of recognizing seasonal trends and anomalies in the vegetation. When an increase in volume of canopy for both mesquite and saltcedar was noted, there was a corresponding increase of near-infrared irradiance, but the measurement errors of both irradiance and canopy volume were very large. However, foliar cover versus irradiance had a high coefficient of correlation, 0.85.

During this study it was determined that a color- Gates, D. M. 1970, Physical and physical properties of plants, in

14 percent sample of the study area. Optical density , infrared photographic mission and a computer analysis of the photographic data for the Gila River Phreatophyte Project area cost about a tenth of the amount of conventional species classification and canopy-measurement techniques (\$650 versus \$6,600).

A short discussion of the derived spectral equations and a table of 24 statistical parameters describing the spectral and hydrologic variables is included to aid the reader in evaluating the significance of the study.

REFERENCES CITED

- Blaney, H. F., and Criddle, W. D., 1962, Determining consumptive use and irrigation water requirements: U.S. Agr. Research Service Tech Bull 1275, J9 p.
- Chayes, Felix, 1960, On correlation between variables of constant sum: Jour. Geophys. Research, v. 65, no. 12, p. 4185-4193.
- -1971. Ratio correlation --- a manual for students of petrology and geochemistry, Chicago, III, Univ. Chicago Press, 99 p.
- Cruff, R. W., and Thompson, T. H., 1967, A comparison of methods of estimating potential evapotranspiration from climatological data in arid and sub! amid environments. U.S. Survey Water-Supply Paper 1839-M, 28 p.
- Culler, R. C., 1970, Application of infrared color photography to the description of flood plain vegetation, in Workshop on aerial color photography in the plant sciences Gainesville, Florida Dept. Agriculture, Div. Plant Industry, p. 159-164.
- Culler, R. C., Jones, J. E., and Turner, R. M., 1972, Quantitative relationship between reflectance and transpiration of phreatophytes-Gila River Test Site Fourth Ann Earth Resources Program Review, Natl. Aeronautics and Space Adm., v 3, chap. 83, p 1-9.
- Culler, R.C., and others, 1970, Objectives, methods, and environment-Gila River Phreatophyte Project, Graham County, Arizona: U.S. Geol Survey Prof. Paper 655-A, 25 p.
- Denny, C. H., Morrison, E. L., Jr., Worthman, C. D., and Lucht, D. D. 1971, Automated processing of forest imagery, in Applied remote sensing of earth resources in Arizona, Univ. Arizona, Proc. Arizona Regional Ecological Test Site Symposium, 2d, p. 111-125.
- Dixon, W. J., and Massey, F. J., Jr., 1957, Introduction to statistical analysis. New York, McGraw-Hill Book Co., 488 p
- Egbert, D. D., and Ulaby, F. T., 1972, Effect of angles on reflectivity: American Soc Photogrammetry Jour., v 38, no 6, p 556-564 Elterman Louis, and Toolin, R. B., 1965, Atmospheric optics, in Val-
- ley, S L., ed Handbook of geophysics and space environment. Cambridge, Mass., U.S. Air Force, Office Aero-pace Research. Cambridge Research Labs , chap. 7, p. 1-32
- Erie, L. J., French, O. F., and Harris, Karl, 1965, Consumptive use of water by crops in Arizona. Univ. Arizona, Agr. Expt. Sta. Tech. Bull 169, 44 p
- Evans, R. M., Hanson, W. T., Jr., and Brewer, W. L., 1952, Principles of color photography. New York, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 709 p
- Ezekiel, Mordecai, and Fox, K. A., 1963. Methods of correlation and regression analysis. New York, John Wiley and Sons. Inc. 548 p Fisher, R.A., 1950, Contributions to mathematical statistics. New
- York, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 43 papers Fritz, N.L., 1967, Optimum methods for using infrared sensitive
- color film in Workshop infrared color photography in the plant sciences. Winter Haven, Florida Dept. Agriculture, Div. Plant Industry, pt. 3, p. 1, 19

Remote sensing with special reference to agriculture and fores + Pease, R. W., and Bowen, L. W., 1969, Making color intrared film a try, by Committee on Remote Sensing for Aricultural Purposes National Acad. Sci., p. 224-252

- Griffith, S. V., and Howe, R. H. L., 1960, Photo interpretation in hydrology and watershed management, in Colwell, R. N., ed. Manual of photographic interpretation. American Soc. Photogrammetry, p. 539-560
- Hanson, R. L., Kipple, F. P., and Culler, R. C., 1972, Changing the consumptive use of a flood plain, in Age of changing priorities for land and water. Am. Soc. Civil Engineers, Irrigation and Drainage Div. Specialty Conf., p. 309-330
- Hunter, G. T., and Bird, S. J. G., 1970, Critical terrain analysis: Am Soc Photogrammetry Jour, v 36, no 9, p 939-952
- Kittredge, Joseph, 1248, Forest influences, New York, McGraw-Hill Book Co . 394 p
- Knipling, E. B., 1969, Leaf reflectance and image formation on color infrared film, in Johnson P. L., ed. Remote sensing in ecology, Athens, Univ. Georgia Press, p. 17-29
- -1970, Physical and physiological basis for the reflectance of visible and near-infrared radiation from vegetation. Remote: Turnet, R. M., 1971, Measurement of spatial and temporal changes Sensing Environment Jour, v 1, no 3, p 155-159
- Kodak, 1970, Kodak filters for scientific and technical uses: Rochester, New York, Eastman Kodak Company Pub B3, 88 p
- Langbein, W.B., and Iseri, K.T., 1960, General introduction and hydrologic definitions U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 1541-A, 29 p.
- McDonald, C. C., and Hughes, G. H., 1968, Studies of consumptive use of water by phreatophytes and hydrophytes near Yuma. Arizona, U.S. Geol. Survey Prof. Paper 486-F, 24 p.
- Mulchanov, A A., 1960, Gidrologicheskaya rol'esa, Izdatel'stvo Akademii Nauk, SSSR, Moskva, translated from the Russian by Prof. A. Gourevitch (1963): Washington, D. C., U.S. Dept. Commerce, Office Tech Services, 407 p
- Natrella, M.G., 1963, Experimental statistics, U.S. National Bur [U.S.] National Weather Service, issued annually, Climatological Standards Handb 91, 504 p.

- more effective high-altitude remote sensor. Remote Sensin Environment Jour., v. 1, no. 1, p. 23-30
- Reifsynder, W. E., and Lull, H. W., 1965, Radiant energy in relation to forests U.S. Dept Agriculture Tech. Bull. 1344, 111 p.
- Shreve, Forrest, 1964, Vegetation of the Sonoran Desert, in Forrest Shreve and Ira Wiggins. Vegetation and flora of the Sonoran Desett Stanford Univ. Press, Calif. 2 v
- Smith, J. T., and Anson, Abraham, eds., 1968. Manual of color aerial photography: Am. Soc. Photogrammetry, 550 p
- Sprecht, M. R., Fritz, N. L., and Sorem, A. L., 1966, The change of actual comera exposure with solar altitude. Photographic Sci and Eng., v. 10. no. 3, p. 150-155 Thomas, J. R., Weigand, C. L., Myers, V. I., 1967, Reflectance of cot-
- ton leaves and its relation to yield Jour Agronomy, v. 59, p 551 554
- Todd, H. N., and Zakia, R. D., 1969. Photographic sensitometry--the study of tone, reproduction New York, Morgan and Morgan, Inc. 312 p
- in vegetation from color-IR film. Am. Soc. Photogrammetry Proc., San Francisco, ASP Fall Convention, p. 426-441
- -1973. Quantitative and historical evidence of vegetation changes along the upper Gila River, Arizona U.S. Geol. Survey Prof. Paper 655- H, 20 p.
- Veihmeyer, F J, 1964, Evapotranspiration, in Chow, V T, ed., Handbook of applied hydrology. New York, McGraw-Hill Book Co., chap. 11, p. 1-38
- Wright, W. D., 1969, The measurement of colour. New York, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, 340 p
- U.S Air Force Avionics Laboratory. 1968. Color tone reproduction- Part 1, Theory Manual U.S. An Force Avionics Laboratory Tech Rept AFAL-TR-67-164, 65 p
- data, Arizona. U.S. Dept. Commerce

PU. S. (PO 1977-791-786