General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

Aeroubern TN 200

DOE/JPL 954862-78/4

Distribution Category UC-63

(NASA-CR-15803)DEVELOPMENT OF A MODEL ANDN79-14555COMPUTER CODE TO DESCRIBE SOLAR GRADESLICON PRODUCTION PROCESSES QuarterlyN79-14555Report (AeroChem Research Labs., Inc.)13 pUnclasHC A02/MF A01CSCL 10A G3/4441957

DEVELOPMENT OF A MODEL AND COMPUTER CODE TO DESCRIBE SOLAR GRADE SILICON PRODUCTION PROCESSES

FOURTH QUARTERLY REPORT

R. K. GOULD

NOVEMBER 1978

The JPL Low-Cost Silicon Solar Array Project is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy and forms part of the Solar Photovoltaic Conversion Program to initiate a major effort toward the development of low-cost solar arrays. This work was performed for the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology by agreement between NASA and DoE.



AroChem Research Laboratories, Inc.

Princeton, New Jersey

FOREWORD AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This report covers the period 1 July 1978 to 30 September 1978. During this period D.B. Olson and R. Srivastava contributed to the program.

ABSTRACT

This program aims at developing a mathematical model, and a computer code based on this model, which will allow prediction of the product distribution in chemical reactors in which gaseous silicon compounds are converted to condensedphase silicon. The reactors to be modeled are flow reactors in which silane or one of the halogenated silanes is thermally decomposed or reacted with an alkali metal, H₂ or H atoms. Because the product of interest is particulate silicon, processes which must be modeled, in addition to mixing and reaction of gas-phase reactants, include the nucleation and growth of condensed Si via coagulation, condensation, and heterogeneous reaction.

During this report period mechanisms for the SiCl₄/Na and SiF₄/Na reaction systems were examined. Reaction schemes which include 25 elementary reactions were formulated for each system and run to test the sensitivity of the computed concentration and temperature profiles to the values given estimated rate coefficients. It was found that, for SiCl₄/Na, the rate of production of free Si is largely mixing-limited for reasonable rate coefficient estimates. For the SiF₄/Na system the results indicate that the endothermicities of many of the reactions involved in producing Si from SiF₄/Na cause this system to be chemistrylimited rather than mixing-limited.

Work is continuing on the problems of inserting particle nucleation and coagulation models into the code and is started on obtaining a suitable boundary layer code.

PRICEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FLMED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|-----|--|------|
| | ABSTRACT | |
| 1. | INTRODUCTION | 111 |
| 11. | TECHNICAL DISCUSSION | 1 |
| | A. Test Calculations on SiF4/N4 and SiCl /N- | 1 |
| | 1. SiF ₄ /Na | 1 |
| | 2. SiCl ₄ /Na | 2 |
| | 3. Simplified Reaction Schemes | 3 |
| | B. Code Development | 3 |
| 11. | CONCLUSIONS | 4 |
| IV. | PLANS | 4 |
| v. | REFERENCES | 5 |
| | | 5 |

PREEDED G PAGE BLANK NOT FULSTED

I. INTRODUCTION

This program aims at developing a mathematical model, and a computer code based on this model, which will allow prediction of the product distribution, as a function of time, in chemical reactors in which gaseous silicon compounds are converted to condensed-phase silicon. The reactors to be modeled are flow reactors in which silane or one of the halogenated silanes is thermally decomposed or reacted with an alkali metal, H_2 or H atoms. Because the product of major interest is condensed-phase silicon, the model is being augmented to allow calculation of particle formation (via chemical reaction followed by nucleation), and the growth rate of the particulate Si via coagulation, condensation, and heterogeneous reaction. Since the efficiency of the particulate Si collection process will depend on the particle size distribution of the Si, this must also be computed.

The basic model and computer code used as a starting point in developing this model is an axisymmetric, nonequilibrium, parallel stream mixing code which has been used for a number of years to treat systems in which parallel laminar or turbulent flows mix and undergo chemical reactions. This code, the Low Altitude Plume Program¹ (the LAPP code), treats only gas-phase chemistry; one of the major tasks is therefore the inclusion of "particle chemistry", i.e., nucleation and particle growth.²,³ The code, as is implied by its name, treats the fluid dynamics of an open system. Thus modifications will also be required to account for the presence of reactor walls. We have obtained a version of a boundary layer code,⁴ similar to LAPP in many of its details, and will be examining this code carefully in the next report period to see if it can be made to include particles so that it can be either coupled to the LAPP code or used by itself to compute phenomena occurring near reactor walls.

II. TECHNICAL DISCUSSION

A. TEST CALCULATIONS ON SIF4/NA AND SICL4/NA

Some of the major uncertainties in computing the rate of formation of free silicon $(Si(g), \ldots, Si(\ell))$ are the values of rate coefficients for the

primary stripping reactions, e.g.,

 $SiX_m + Na + SiX_{m-1} + NaX$

These reactions, some of which are endothermic, will largely determine whether the diffusion flame reactions being used to produce silicon will be rate limited by mixing or chemical kinetics. To test (i) the importance of accuracy in the values given for these rate coefficients (which must be estimated) and (ii) to see if the computed results agree at all with qualitative observation of these flames, a number of calculations have been made in which the values given these rate coefficients have been varied. It has been found that, for the configuration used (which corresponds roughly to the Westinghouse geometry⁵ and is similar to, but about an order of magnitude larger than the AeroChem experiments now being conducted⁶) the SiF₄/Na reaction system is quite clow and chemistry-limited; the SiCl₄/Na is very fast and largely mixing-limited.

In Table I the mechanisms investigated are shown. The sets of rate coefficients listed for the first four reactions have been used in order to test for sensitivity to rate coefficient inaccuracies.

1. SiF₄/Na

In Table II concentration profiles are listed for NaF(g) and Si(g) which were obtained using the two sets of rate coefficients for Reactions 1 through 4 of Table I. In these cases a jet of Na-containing gas at 1400 K (initial Na mole fraction = 0.5) mixes with gas at 2000 K containing SiF4 (initial SiF4 mole fraction = 0.5). In both flows the balance of the input gas is an H2/Ar mixture at a volume (molar) ratio of 4/1. In the case listed "fast chemistry" in Table II, the pre-exponential factor for the Reactions 1-4 was 2 x 10⁻¹¹; for "slow chemistry" these factors were 2 x 10⁻¹³. The amount of free Si being formed is down a factor ≥ 100 in the slow chemistry case. Thus, for this case, the production of the major product of interest is chemistrylimited. The buildup of the product NaF is different by a factor ≈ 10 in these cases. (For the slow chemistry case our examination of SiF, profiles shows this radical to be present in large amounts. The entire chain of reactions is held up by the highly endothermic Reaction 3.) The pre-exponentials used in the fast chemistry case are about as large as they can reasonably be. Thus in practice this system should (i) form free Si rather late, after considerable mixing has occurred, and (ii) release heat slowly since only those reactions occurring late in the scheme are exothermic. In regard to this last point, it

2

is noteworthy that in the fast chemistry case, temperatures are actually reduced at X/R = 10. At this stage in the reaction process endothermic reactions are dominant; temperature increases due to exothermic reactions will occur later in the flow, once condensation of Si(&) is well under way.

2. SiCl₄/Na

In Table III similar information is shown for the SiCl₄/Na system. In this case the rate coefficients for Reactions 1-4 has been varied a factor of 5 (rather than the factor of 100 used in the SiF₄/Na cases). It is clear that in this system the reactions forming NaCl(g) and Si(g) are mixing limited. The concentrations of these product species agree to within a factor of two. In fact the fast chemistry system has produced slightly less Si(g) and NaCl(g) because of higher temperatures which slow mixing a bit.*

Thus in this case, as one expects from observations of these flames, mixing-limited chemistry and large heat release are encountered.

3. Simplified Reaction Schemes

A small amount of effort has been expended in performing calculations with simplified reaction schemes to cut computer run time. It has been found that excluding Reactions 16-22

 SiX_{m} + SiX_{n} \neq SiX_{r} + SiX_{a}

has little (less than a factor of 2) effect on the rate of NaX and free Si production. Also, temperature and velocity are not affected appreciably. Thus these reactions (and probably the stripping reactions involving H-atoms, Reactions 5-8) can generally be left out.

^{*} Calculations in which rate coefficients were decreased by a factor of 100 from the values used in the slow chemistry case show that even unrealistically low rate coefficients result in less than a factor of 2 decrease in NaCl(g) concentration. A factor of 10 to 50 drop is observed in the Si(^c₂) concentration in this case as the SiCl₂ and SiCl₃ concentrations increase as Reactions 3 and 4 become somewhat limiting.

IV. PLANS

During the next quarter we plan to (i) formulate a mechanism for SiH₄ decomposition and run test cases, (ii) continue efforts to obtain working particle chemistry routines in LAPP, (iii) debug the GENMIX⁴ and elliptic⁷ codes so that boundary layer and recirculating flows can be examined and (iv) continue our examination of the SiF₄/Na and SiCl₄/Na systems to determine which of the reactions in Table I can be ignored in cases of interest to this program.

V. REFERENCES

- Mikatarian, R.R., Kau, C.J., and Pergament, H.S., "A Fast Computer Program for Nonequilibrium Rocket Plume Predictions," Final Report, AeroChem TP-282, AFRPL-TR-72-94, NTIS AD 751 984, August 1972.
- Gould, R.K., "Development of a Model and Computer Code to Describe Solar Grade Silicon Production Processes," Second Quarterly Report, AeroChem TN-190, ERDA/JPL 954862-78/2, May 1978.
- Gould, R.K., "Development of a Model and Computer Code to Describe Solar Grade Silicon Production Processes," First Quarterly Report, AeroChem TN-184, February 1978.
- 4. Patankar, S.V. and Spalding, D.B., <u>Heat and Mass Transfer in Boundary</u> Layers (Intertext Books, Ltd., London, 1 70).
- Fey, M.B., "Development of a Process for High Capacity Arc Heating Production of Silicon for Solar Arrays," Westinghouse Electric Corp., Quarterly Report, ERDA/JPL 954589-77/2, April-June 1977.
- Olson, D.B. and Miller, W.J., "Silicon Halide Alkali Metal Flames as a Source of Solar Grade Silicon," Fourth Quarterly Report, AeroChem TN-192, DOE/JPL 954777-78/4, June 1978.
- Gosman, A.D., Pun, W.M., Runchal, A.K., Spalding, D.B., and Wolfshtein, M., <u>Heat and Mass Transfer in Recirculating Flows</u> (Academic Press, New York, 1969) Chapter 6.

TABLE I

MECHANISM AND REACTION RATES USED FOR SIC1, /Na AND SIF, /Na CALCULATIONS

| | | | | Rate Coefficient ^{a, c} | | | | | |
|-----|------------------------|------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--------|----|--|
| | Reaction | | | - | <u>X</u> | • C1 | | | X = F |
| 1. | Na + SiX₄ ≠ | NaX + SiX ₃ | 3 1.5 | ×× | 10^{-13} 10^{-12} | | 22 | ×× | 10 ⁻¹³ exp(-31400/RT) 10 ⁻¹¹ exp(-31400/RT) |
| 2. | Na + SiX₃ Ż | $NaX + SiX_2$ | 7 3.5 | ×× | 10^{-13} 10^{-12} | | 2 2 | ×× | 10 ⁻¹³ exp(-23900/ET) 10 ⁻¹³ exp(-23900/RT) |
| 3. | Na + SiX₂ Ż | NaX + SiX | 7 3.5 | ×× | 10^{-13} 10^{-12} | exp(-19000/RT) exp(-19000/RT) | 2 2 | ×× | 10 ⁻¹³ exp(-40600/RT) 10 ⁻¹¹ exp(-40600/RT) |
| 4. | Na + SiX ⊄ | NaX + Si | 7 3.5 | ×× | 10 ⁻¹³ 10 ⁻¹² | | 2 2 | ×× | 10 ⁻¹³ exp(-17400/RT) 10 ⁻¹¹ exp(-17400/RT) |
| 5. | H + SiX4 ₹ | $HX + SiX_3$ | 8 | × | 10-12 | | 2 | × | 10-**exp(-9300/RT) |
| 6. | H + SiX ₃ ‡ | HX + SiX ₂ | 8 | x | 10-12 | | 2 | x | 10 ⁻¹³ exp(-1800/RT) |
| 7. | $H + SiX_2 \neq$ | HX + SIX | 8 | x | 10-12 | exp(-14000/RT) | 2 | x | 10 ⁻¹³ exp(-19000/RT) |
| 8. | H + SiX ≠ | HX + S1 | 8 | × | 10-12 | | 2 | x | 10-13 |
| 9. | Si + Si + M ₹ | $Si_2 + M$ | 1 | × | 10-32 | | 1 | × | 10-32 |
| 10. | Si2 + Si + M # | Si3 + M | 1 | x | 10-32 | | 1 | × | 10-32 |
| 11. | Na + HX Z | NaX + H | 4 | × | 10-13 | exp(-6000/RT) | 4 | × | 10 ⁻¹³ exp(-22000/%T) |
| 12. | X + X + M ₹ | X ₂ + M | 4 | x | 10-33 | | 3 | x | 10-35 |
| 13. | X₂ + H ₹ | HX + X | 3 | × | 10-10 | exp(-4800/RT) | 2 | x | $10^{-10} \exp(-2400/RT)$ |
| 14. | Na + X_2 \ddagger | NaX + X | 2 | × | 10-10 | | 8 | x | 10-10 |
| 15. | H + X + M ₹ | HX + M | 5 | × | 10-33 | | 1 | x | $10^{-32} \exp(-3000/RT)$ |
| 16. | $SiX_2 + SiX_4 \neq$ | 2SiX ₃ | 1 | x | 10-13 | b | 1 | x | 10-13 |
| 17. | SiX₄ + SiX ≠ | $SiX_3 + SiX_2$ | 1 | × | 10-13 | | 1 | x | 10-13 |
| 18. | 2SiX ₂ ‡ | SiX ₃ + SiX | 1 | x | 10-13 | b | 1 | x | 10-13 |
| 19. | SiX₄ + Si ₹ | SiX ₃ + SiX | 1 | × | 10-13 | | 1 | x | 10-13 |
| 20. | SiX₄ + Si ≠ | 2SiX ₂ | 1 | x | 10-13 | | 1 | x | 10-13 |
| 21. | SiX₂ + SiX ₹ | SiX ₃ + Si | 1 | x | 10-13 | | 1 | x | 10-13 |
| 22. | 2SiX ∓ | $SiX_2 + Si$ | 1 | × | 10-13 | | 1 | × | 10-13 |
| 23. | Si ₂ + X Ż | SiX + Si | 1 | × | 10-13 | | 1 | × | 10-13 |
| 24. | H + H + M Z | $H_2 + M$ | 3 | x | 10-33 | | 3 | × | 10-33 |
| 25. | $X + H_2 \neq$ | HX + H | 7 | × | 10-11 | exp(-4500/RT) | 2 | × | 10 ⁻¹¹ exp(-3800/RT) |

^a Rate coefficients in cm³, molecule, s, and kcal/mol units.

^b Rate coefficient for backward reaction.

^c For the first four reactions a slow set of rate coefficients (the first coefficient listed) and a fast set (the second one listed) have been examined.

TABLE II

CONCENTRATION PROFILES FOR S1(g) AND NaF(g)

Initial jet velocity = 3960 cm sec⁻¹ Outer flow velocity = 2400 cm sec⁻¹ Outer flow temperature = 2000 K Initial jet temperature = 1400 K

$$X/R = 10$$

Slow Chemistry Fast Chemistry

| | | Si(g) mole | NaF(g) mole | | Si(g) mole | NaF(g) mole |
|-----|------------|---------------|----------------|------------|---------------|----------------|
| Y/R | <u>T,K</u> | fraction | fraction | <u>T,K</u> | fraction | fraction |
| 0.0 | 1400 | 2.0(-10) | 8.8(-7) | 1400 | 6.9(-7) | 3.4(-5) |
| 0.2 | 1400 | 2.2(-10) | 4.9(-6) | 1400 | 2.9(-6) | 1.67(-4) |
| 0.4 | 1403 | 3.4(-10) | 5.6(-5) | 1395 | 5.8(6) | 1.42(-3) |
| 0.6 | 1421 | 5.1(-10) | 5.1(-4) | 1396 | 9.8(-7) | 7.1(-3) |
| 0.8 | 1496 | 1.08(-9) | 3.2(-3) | 1437 | 3.4(-7) | 2.1(-2) |
| 1.0 | 1640 | 2.7(-9) | 1.17(-2) | 1545 | 2.6(-7) | 4.5(-2) |
| 1.2 | 1793 | 8.2(-10) | 1.20(-2) | 1739 | 9.1(-8) | 7.1(-2) |
| 1.4 | 1944 | 3.2(-11) | 1.10(-2) | 1866 | 1.0(-8) | 5.1(-2) |

TABLE III

CONCENTRATION PROFILES FOR S1(g) AND NaCl(g)

Initial jet velocity = 3960 cm sec⁻¹ Unitial jet temperature = 1100 K Outer flow velocity = 2440 cm sec⁻¹ Outer flow temperature = 2000 K

X/R = 7.5

Slow Chemistry Fast Chemistry

. abt onemis

| | | Si(g) mole | NaCl(g) mole | | Si(g) mole | NaCl(g) mole |
|-----|------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|---------------|-----------------|
| Y/R | <u>T,K</u> | fraction | fraction | <u></u> | fraction | fraction |
| 0.0 | 1100 | 2.2(-7) | 1.67(-6) | 1100 | 1.28(-7) | 1.03(-6) |
| 0.2 | 1100 | 1.86(-6) | 1.53(-5) | 1100 | 1.04(-6) | 1.00(-5) |
| 0.4 | 1101 | 2.9(-5) | 3.0(-4) | 1101 | 1.61(-5) | 2.1(-4) |
| 0.6 | 1115 | 2.8(-4) | 4.3(-3) | 1115 | 1.56(-4) | 3.2(-3) |
| 0.8 | 1248 | 1.62(-3) | 4.0(-2) | 1250 | 9.9(-4) | 3.1(-2) |
| 1.0 | 1868 | 1.07(-2) | 2.6(-1) | 1999 | 1.34(-2) | 2.6(-1) |
| 1.2 | 2038 | 6.7(-6) | 2.5(-1) | 2140 | 7.0(-7) | 2.2(-1) |
| 1.4 | 2003 | 1.8(-8) | 1.04(-1) | 2015 | 1.02(-8) | 8.2(-2) |