NASA Conference Publication 2065 Part II



NASA CP 2065pt.2

Recent Advances in Structures for Hypersonic Flight

Proceedings of a symposium held at Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia September 6-8, 1978

漢字にしていていたがなり



NASA



ĽŻ.

NASA Conference Publication 2065 Part II

Recent Advances in Structures for Hypersonic Flight

Proceedings of a symposium held at Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia September 6-8, 1978



and Space Administration

Scientific and Technical Information Office

1978

PREFACE

The proceedings of the NASA Symposium - Recent Advances in Structures for Hypersonic Flight held at Langley Research Center on September 6-8, 1978, are reported in this NASA Conference Proceedings. The papers at this Symposium were presented by 24 speakers representing airframe, missile, and engine manufacturers, the U.S. Air Force, and two NASA Research Centers.

The Symposium was organized in six sessions as follows:

I. Overviews

- II. Engine Structures
- III. Cooled Airframe Structures
- IV. Hot Structures and TPS
- V. Tankage and Insulation
- VI. Analysis Methods

Papers and the authors thereof are grouped by session and identified in the CONTENTS. The order of papers is the actual order of speaker appearance at the Symposium.

The papers contained in this compilation were submitted as camera-ready copy and have been edited only for clarity and format. Technical contents and views expressed are the responsibility and opinions of the individual authors. The size of the compilation necessitated publication in two parts (Parts I and II). A list of attendees, by organizational affiliation, is included at the back of Part II.

We would like to express appreciation to session chairmen and speakers whose efforts contributed to the technical excellence of the Symposium.

Certain commercial materials are identified in this paper in order to specify adequately which materials were investigated in the research effort. In no case does such identification imply recommendation or endorsement of the product by NASA, nor does it imply that the materials are necessarily the only ones or the best ones available for the purpose. In many cases equivalent materials are available and would probably produce equivalent results.

S. C. Dixon Symposium Chairman

C. P. Shore Symposium Coordinator

iii

.

.

CONTENTS

'{

Part I*

PRE	SFACE	•	•	•	•	•	iii
WEL F	LCOME	•	•	•	•	•	1
	SESSION I - OVERVIEWS Chairman: A. J. Henderson						
1.	HYPERSONIC STRUCTURES: AN AERODYNAMICIST'S PERSPECTIVE (OR ONE MAN'S DREAM IS ANOTHER MAN'S NIGHTMARE) J. D. Watts, L. R. Jackson, and J. L. Hunt	•	•	•	•	•	5
2.	STRUCTURAL REQUIREMENTS FOR MILITARY HYPERSONIC SYSTEMS (Paper not available for publication) P. Lane						
3.	AIRFRAME-INTEGRATED PROPULSION SYSTEM FOR HYPERSONIC CRUISE VEHICLES	•	•	•	•	•	39
4.	RECENT ADVANCES IN CONVECTIVELY COOLED ENGINE AND AIRFRAME STRUCTURES FOR HYPERSONIC FLIGHT	•	•	•	•	•	47
	SESSION II - ENGINE STRUCTURES Chairman: H. N. Kelly						
5.	DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF A SCRAMJET ENGINE	•	•	•	•	•	65
6.	THERMOSTRUCTURAL ANALYSIS OF A SCRAMJET FUEL-INJECTION STRUT Allan R. Wieting and Earl A. Thornton	•	•	•	•	•	119
7.	ADVANCED FABRICATION TECHNIQUES FOR COOLED ENGINE STRUCTURES . O. A. Buchmann	•	•	•	•	•	145
8 .	HYDROGEN EMBRITTLEMENT AND ITS CONTROL IN HYDROGEN-FUELED ENGINE SYSTEMS	•	-	•	•	•	195

*Papers 1 to 14 are presented under separate cover.

9.	CARBON-CARBON COMPOSIT	E APPLICATION FOR INTEGRAL ROCKET RAMJET
	COMBUSTORS (Paper no	t available for publication)
	H. A. Holman	

SESSION III - COOLED AIRFRAME STRUCTURES Chairman: C. P. Shore _

ł

10.	DESIGN AND FABRICATION OF A SKIN STRINGER DISCRETE TUBE ACTIVELY COOLED STRUCTURAL PANEL
11.	DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF A PLATE-FIN SANDWICH ACTIVELY COOLED STRUCTURAL PANEL
12.	FLUXLESS BRAZING AND HEAT TREATMENT OF A PLATE-FIN SANDWICH ACTIVELY COOLED PANEL
13.	DESIGN AND FABRICATION OF A RADIATIVE ACTIVELY COOLED HONEYCOMB SANDWICH PANEL
14.	RADIATIVE-ACTIVELY COOLED PANEL TESTS RESULTS

Part II

SESSION IV - HOT STRUCTURES AND TPS Chairman: H. L. Bohon

15.	TESTS OF BEADED AND TUBULAR STRUCTURAL PANELS
16.	STRUCTURES FOR HYPERSONIC AIRBREATHING TACTICAL MISSILES
17.	STRUCTURES AND TPS FOR THE NHFRF/HYTID
18.	DESIGN AND FABRICATION OF A SUPER ALLOY THERMAL PROTECTION SYSTEM
19.	MULTIWALL TPS

Roger A. Fields

SESSION V - TANKAGE AND INSULATION Chairman: R. D. Witcofski

21.	LIQUID HYDROGEN TANKAGE DESIGN	9
22.	EXTERNAL INSULATION FOR LIQUID HYDROGEN TANKS	7
	SESSION VI - ANALYSIS METHODS Chairman: W. C. Walton, Jr.	
23.	RECENT ADVANCES IN THERMOSTRUCTURAL FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS 85 Earl A. Thornton and Allan R. Wieting	1
24.	RECENT ADVANCES IN THERMAL-STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS AND DESIGN 89 Howard M. Adelman and James C. Robinson	7
ATTE	ENDEES	3

••••••••••••••••••••••

vii

. 1

·

SESSION IV - HOT STRUCTURES AND TPS



- ----

TESTS OF BEADED AND TUBULAR STRUCTURAL PANELS

John L. Shideler NASA Langley Research Center Roger A. Fields and Lawrence F. Reardon NASA Dryden Flight Research Center

INTRODUCTION

(Figure 1)

When hot structure is used in the design of hypersonic vehicles, special design considerations such as accommodating thermal growth have to be considered. A study conducted by Lockheed a number structure from excessive temperature and provide a smoother aerodynamic surface. The leading edge of years ago (reference 1) determined that the optimum design for a hot wing structure consists of ribs and spars with corrugated webs covered by spanwise stiffened beaded panels. In these panels, The structure is René 41, except for the heat shields near the wing leading edge which are TD-Ni-20Cr. chordwise thermal growth results in an increased bead depth. Thus, panel thermal stresses in the is segmented to reduce thermal stress, and insulation is used between the exposed surface and the chordwise direction become of small concern. Corrugated heat shields protect the load carrying primary structure where the heat shield alone isn't enough to protect the primary structure.

The two most efficient panel concepts identified by this study were the beaded panel, shown in the figure, and a tubular panel. Since no data base for these panels existed, studies to determine their structural performance began at Dryden and Langley Research Centers.



MASS COMPARISON OF ALUMINUM BEADED AND TUBULAR PANELS

(Figure 2)

The calculated mass of several 1 m by 1 m (40 inch by 40 inch) aluminum panels are shown as a function of compressive end load, N_X. The curves are based on buckling and are for configurations optimized under combined compression, shear equal to 1/3 of the compression load, and bending due to a 6.9 kN/m² (1 psi) lateral pressure. The curve for a z-stiffened skin is shown for comparison with conventional concepts.

mass efficiency was to be realized, all failure modes needed to be identified and properly accounted for in panel design. Several types of test models were fabricated and tested. The types of models structural performance of panels constructed from curved elements (reference 2). If this potential The potential mass savings shown for the beaded and tubular panels led to the starting of a contractual program in 1971 to develop the design technology required to reliably predict the are shown on the next figure. l



- 63

TEST MODELS

(Figure 3)

imbedded in a potting material to stabilize the ends and to facilitate attachment to a loading fixture. Three basic types of test models (end closure, local buckling, and 1 m by 1 m (40 inch by 40 inch) End closures were tested to verify the capability to carry specified design loads, but no attempt was ment was independent of material characteristics. The end closure and local buckling specimens were from 7075-T6 aluminum to reduce fabrication costs inasmuch as the initial design technology develop-The test panels were fabricated agreement with test results. The large optimized panels were then designed and tested to determine buckling characteristics for comparison with theory. The method for testing these large panels is made to optimize the end closure designs. Local buckling specimens were tested to identify local buckling failure loads, and analytical methods were modified, where necessary, to achieve better panels) were tested under combined compression, bending and shear. shown on the next figure.



3.

Figure 3

TEST TECHNIQUE

(Figure 4)

large panels. Compression was applied by the actuators on each side of the panel, shear was applied load into the test panel. A stiff truss system with pivoting attachments behind the panels was used The test fixture on the left was used to apply combined compression, shear and bending to the behind the panel. The test panel is in the center, and buffer panels were used to distribute the to prevent general instability of the three-panel system. Edge members prevented local buckling by the horizontal actuator to the left of the test panel, and bending was applied by an air bag along the panel sides.

boundary, and strain measurements were made which were used to predict panel buckling loads using a nondestructive test method called the "force-stiffness method." (See reference 3.) The panel was tested predictions were made at 60%, 80%, and 90%. As the applied load is increased, better force-stiffness the theoretical interaction boundary for combined compression, bending and shear, and the point shows predictions are obtained. Most of the test data were obtained between the 80 to 90% load conditions. a typical panel design condition. Ten load conditions were selected, and the arrows show part of the load sequence for two load conditions. Load was increased to 60% of the theoretical interaction The figure on the right illustrates the test load sequence; R_{C} , R_{B} , and R_{S} are ratios of the applied load to the failure load in pure compression, bending and shear respectively. The curve is in a second load condition, and again, a force-stiffness prediction was made. Force-stiffness

Results are shown for the tubular panel on the next figure.





Figure 4

547

al la

CIRCULAR TUBULAR RESULTS COMPARED TO MODIFIED THEORY

(Figure 5)

instability which was determined by assuming the panel to be a simply supported wide column. The open lines identify bead crippling, the local mode of failure, and the dashed lines identify general panel The three sets of curves show the theoretical interaction between compression, shear and bending failure points which were used to verify the force-stiffness predictions. The test data are shown for three levels of lateral pressures of 0, 6.9 kN/m² (1 psi), and 13.8 kN/m² (2 psi). The solid circles indicate the force-stiffness predictions for bead-crippling failure and the open squares indicate force-stiffness predictions for panel instability. The solid symbols show actual panel for 10 loading conditions, and were obtained from three essentially identical panels.

local and general instability occur at the same load. The slightly unconservative data points, shown General instability failures were detected only in pure compression where theory indicates that used to predict the behavior of the circular tubular panel is acceptable for design purposes. These for pure compression, are believed to result from a deficient end closure which was only marginally satisfactory in pure compression. The agreement is consistent, and it is believed that the theory data are reported in reference 4. In addition to these relatively closely controlled tests, tests of beaded and tubular panels are being conducted in a realistic built-up structure. The next several figures show the structure which we call the Hypersonic Wing Test Structure.





HYPERSONIC WING TEST STRUCTURE

(Figure 6)

a Mach 8, hot structure, hypersonic research airplane about one-third the size of the cruise vehicle was studied. The condition that designed the wing was a 2.5g pullup at Mach 8. A 7.9 m² (85 ft.²) section of the wing of this research airplane was designed and fabricated (reference 5) and is being Based on the structural concepts defined in the hypersonic cruise vehicle study (reference 1), used at Dryden Research Center to evaluate the hot structure concept.



対理的行行

|. .

HYPERSONIC WING TEST STRUCTURE

(Figure 7)

(1450⁰F) in the area of highest heating. In addition to limiting the temperature to a value acceptable The for the material, the insulation also served to reduce in-plane thermal gradients and thereby reduce used to support the heat shields. The forward part of the windward surface is covered with 96 kg/m^3 (6 lbm/ft³) Dyna-Flex insulation to keep the structural temperature below a limiting value of 1061 K loading and heating of the HWTS. The structure has 6 spars and 5 cover panels along the root chord. windward side of the wing is the top surface because the wing is mounted upside down to facilitate These cover panels are the single-sheet beaded concept, and the brackets on the cover panels are This figure shows the Hypersonic Wing Test Structure (HWTS) with the heat shields removed. thermal stress.

HYPERSONIC WING TEST STRUCTURE



Figure 7

54

DFRC HYPERSONIC WING TEST STRUCTURE

(Figure 8)

the panels is required and burn-through welding is used for the web-to-cap attachment, the fabrication The structure is made of beaded cover This figure shows some of the detail of the fabrication. The structure is made of beaded cove panels, corrugated ribs and spars, and is fabricated from René 41. While multiple-pass forming of methods are considered to be state-of-the-art.





HYPERSONIC WING TEST STRUCTURE WITH HEAT SHIELDS

(Figure 9)

This view of the HWTS shows the heat shields attached. The heat shields are also made from Rene 41 except for those along the leading edge which are TD Ni-20Cr. The structure is cantilevered from a support structure which is rigidly attached to the floor. The loading rods hanging beneath the structure are used to apply wing bending loads.

HYPERSONIC WING TEST STRUCTURE WITH HEAT SHIELDS A NUMBER OF STREET, ST

「日本の人間の」と

HWTS--APPLIED MECHANICAL LOADS

(Figure 10)

This figure shows how some of the mechanical loads were applied. The whiffle tree arrangement was used to apply vertical loads, and other actuators, which can't be seen in this view, were used to apply horizontal loads at the wing edges. A pressure load was applied to the five root-chord cover panels by using Inconel foil air bags inside the wing. L



X 12

|___



559

HWTS--APPLIED THERMAL LOADS

(Figure 11)

cooled reflectors were mounted so that they could be rolled underneath and over the structure. Loading quartz cloth curtains that are rolled up in this view prevent convective air currents from interfering This figure shows how the thermal loads were applied. Banks of quartz lamps supported by water rods from the structure project through holes in the lower array of lamps. During heating tests, with the tests.

and water a the twitten water and the



Ι.

Figure 11

HWTS--THERMAL TEST

(Figure 12)

on the leeward surface. The heat shield temperatures are about 222 K (400⁰F) hotter than the structure. flight. The structural temperatures reach 1061 K (1450^OF) on the windward surface and 1005 K (1350^OF) This figure shows the structure being heated to a realistic temperature distribution for Mach 8 and the facilities at Dryden Research Center (references 6 and 7) lend themselves very well to these and thermal loads were applied simultaneously. These are very complex tests for a research program, In this test, the thermal loads are being applied alone, although in subsequent tests, mechanical types of tests.

The Finite element models are being used to obtain analytical data for comparison with test data. models are shown on the next figure.



Figure 12
FINITE ELEMENT MODELS

(Figure 13)

a wing cover panel. Consequently, in order to study the wing panels in more detail, wing panel finite Finite element analyses have been used to study the structural behavior of the research airplane, wing test structure, and wing cover panels. The finite element analysis of the research airplane was pullup design condition. These internal loads were then used as applied loads for the model of the element models were constructed for the beaded and tubular panels, and internal loads from the test Hypersonic Wing Test Structure (HWTS). In the test structure model, a single element represented used to obtain internal loads in the wing during various flight conditions, including the 2.5g structure were used as applied loads to the wing panel models. Comparison of analytically predicted wing panel stresses with measured stresses at room temperature are shown in the next figure.



Part States

Figure 13

SPANWISE STRESS FOR BEADED PANELS IN HWTS AT ROOM TEMPERATURE (PRELIMINARY)

(Figure 14)

open symbols identify measured stress, and the solid symbols identify stresses predicted by the finite element analysis. The circles show stresses on the flats and the squares show stresses on the beads. The The lines connect the stresses measured on an adjacent up-bead, flat, and down-bead at the center of The upper part of the figure shows a cross section of the 5 root-chord panels in the HWTS. each panel.

(3/4 psi) on each panel. The test data show a general level of compression of about 82.7 MPa (12 ksi), has been detected, and agreement between test data and theory may improve when this error is corrected. calculated stresses at the flats are not centered between the stresses at adjacent beads, as they are The applied mechanical loads represent a 2½g maneuver, which includes a pressure load of 5.2 kPa but the calculated stresses are about 34.5 MPa (5 ksi) greater than the measured stresses. Also, the Comparison of the test data with the calculated data indicates that the trends are in good agreement, for the measured stresses. An error in the method for applying loads to the finite element models and the lines indicate the general level of bending that's occurring at the center of the panels.

The next slide shows typical measured data for the same panels but at elevated temperatures.



STREET, STREET

5

567_.

MEASURED SPANWISE BENDING STRESS FOR BEADED PANELS AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURE (PRELIMINARY)

(Figure 15)

the triangles are for a temperature of 1006 K (1350⁰F) where the structure has been exposed to a Mach 8 only for strain gages which were located on the beads. Also, only four strain gages, located on panels were located on the flats were not designed to withstand elevated temperatures, so data were obtained temperature of 561 K (550⁰F) where the whole wing structure is soaked at a uniform 561 K (550⁰F), and These are a type of capacitance strain gage, neating history and has thermal gradients representative of actual flight. The strain gages which The squares repeat the room temperature data from the previous slide, the diamonds are for a and they are relatively expensive. The mechanical loads are again those for the 2.5g maneuver. 2 and 4, were capable of withstanding 1006 K (1350^{OF}).

exist except those due to effects from boundary conditions. The 1006 K (1350⁰F) test data differ quite is as would be expected. If the wing structure were at a uniform temperature, no thermal stress would a bit from the other data, which is also expected because thermal stress should exist since there are At many points, the data at 651 K (550⁰F) are nearly the same as the room temperature data which thermal gradients for this load condition. Calculated data for comparison are not yet available. After testing of the beaded panels was completed, the five compression panels along the root-chord reference 8. The next figure shows test results for the tubular panels in the HWTS at room temperature were replaced with tubular panels which were designed based on the previously discussed data base for tubular panels (reference 4). The design and fabrication of these five René 41 panels is reported in and for the same applied loads associated with the 2.5g maneuver.



569

.5

22022000 SPANWISE STRESS FOR TUBULAR PANELS IN HWTS AT ROOM TEMPERATURE (PRELIMINARY)	(Figure 16)	Each panel has four tubes which are oval in cross-section rather than circular because minimum gage constrained the design. The tubular panels have the same equivalent thickness as the single-sheet beaded panels which they replaced. The circular symbols show stresses on the flats between the tubes. The average stress here is less than what it was for the beaded panels which implies that the tubes are carrying more load than the beads did.	The squares show stresses on the outer surface of the tubes, and the squares with tick marks show stresses on the inner surface or opposite side of the tubes. The lines connect stresses on opposite sides of the tubes for the two center tubes of each panel indicating bending stresses due to the lateral pressure. The bending stresses in the tubes next to the spars are not known because strain gages are located on only one side of the tube; but the difference in stress levels indicate that the spars are preventing these tubes from bending. Again, analytical data to compare with these test data are not yet available.	However, the force-stiffness method is being used to predict buckling for the tubular panels. This is illustrated on the next figure.		
---	-------------	--	--	--	--	--

ł

MEASURED SPANWISE STRESS FOR TUBULAR PANELS IN HWTS AT ROOM TEMPERATURE (PRELIMINARY)



571

h=

Figure 16

COMPRESSION-SHEAR INTERACTION FOR RENE 41 TUBULAR PANELS IN HWTS

(Figure 17)

circles are preliminary force-stiffness estimates of panel buckling. These data, except for the single The data point near pure shear, indicate that the tubular panels will carry more load than that predicted stiffness predictions, but load levels are currently limited to those shown in the figure to minimize which are nominally 109 cm. (43 in.) long and 49 cm. (20 in.) wide. The curve shows the analytically by the analytical methods given in reference 8. Higher applied loads would give more accurate forcepredicted buckling curve for compression and shear for a lateral pressure of 5.2 kPa (0.75 psi). This figure shows the room temperature compression-shear interaction for the tubular panels solid circles indicate the maximum combined loads which were applied to the panels, and the open the risk of failing other components of the HWTS.

The room temperature tests for the tubular panels have been completed. Elevated temperature tests on the HWTS with the tubular panels along the root-chord are ready to begin.



. + 573

4no._

SUMMARY

(Figure 18)

and the data base for these panels indicate that their performance can be predicted. The concepts hot tests have been made with no structural failures, although all of these tests were not at the data base for tubular panels has been developed. The tubular panel failure modes are understood our analyses of beaded and tubular panels, and to compare results with test data to establish an are currently being tested in a realistic built-up structure; 157 room temperature tests and 67 In summary, two efficient concepts built trom curved elements have been identified, and a design load of the structure. Our future work is to complete the tests at Dryden, to complete understanding of the behavior of beaded and tubular wing cover panels.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- EFFICIENT CONCEPTS IDENTIFIED
- DATA BASE FOR TUBULAR PANELS HAS BEEN DEVELOPED
- TUBULAR PANEL FAILURE MODES ARE UNDERSTOOD AND PERFORMANCE CAN BE PREDICTED

STATUS

- TESTING PANELS IN REALISTIC BUILT-UP STRUCTURE
- 157 RT AND 67 HOT TESTS WITH NO STRUCTURAL FAILURES

FUTURE

COMPLETE TESTS AT DRYDEN

ANALYZE AND COMPARE WITH TEST DATA

Figure 18

576

• •••

_

STRUCTURES FOR HYPERSONIC AIRBREATHING TACTICAL MISSILES

William C. Caywood and Robert M. Rivello Applied Physics Laboratory/Johns Hopkins University

INTRODUCTION

missiles designed for the U.S. Navy's fleet defense when: the speed of the attacking weapon is high, When threats that are anticipated to be technically feasible in the 1980's are considered the requirement for long range hypersonic defensive missiles becomes apparent. Studies also indicate the Studies have shown the tactical advantages of hypersonic speed coupled with long range in weight and volumetric advantages that a supersonic combustion ramjet (scramjet) missile holds its release range is large, and ships in addition to the launching vessel must be defended. over a rocket-powered missile when both operate at hypersonic speeds over long ranges.

in the throat section of rocket motors, but are more damaging because the flow is oxidizing rather peratures of leading edges and scramjet engine components exceed the maximum temperatures in airits propulsive performance advantages. As a result of these considerations, many of the problems Heating conditions in the scramjet engine approach those than reducing. The scramjet also requires sharp leading edges and a stable geometry to maintain The design of hypersonic tactical missiles, whether scramjet or rocket powered, poses many of the scramjet tactical missile are unique and technologies that are being developed for air-The temcraft, rocket-powered missiles, and reentry vehicles are frequently not applicable to their severe structural problems whose solutions are beyond the current state-of-the-art. craft jet engines by more van 1000°F. solution. In this report, highlights of the exploratory development work on hypersonic tactical missile structures conducted at the Applied Physics Laboratory of The Johns Hopkins University (APL/JHU) are reviewed. The baseline missile study configuration is described and analytical and expericoncludes with a listing of candidate materials for some of the critical structural components. mental work relating to some of the critical structural components is discussed. The report

The exploratory development work on hypersonic tactical missile structures has been supported by the Materials and Mechanics Division of the Naval Sea Systems Command (NAVSEA-035), SUPERSONIC COMBUSTION RAMJET MISSILE (SCRAM)

(Figure 1)

Research on structures for hypersonic missiles can provide misleading results if it is not that is reported upon has made use of the results from the Supersonic Combustion RAmjet Missile (SCRAM) program at APL/JHU. SCRAM is a surface-to-air missile for wide area fleet defense and based upon realistic vehicle configurations and design conditions. For this reason, the work operates at speeds up to Mach 5 at sea level and Mach 8 at high altitudes. Initial thrust is obtained from a solid propellant booster that separates from the missile at the completion of the boost phase.

a "crown-inlet" with sharp swept leading edges, four separate inlet ducts and combustion chambers, Some of the features of the SCRAM configuration include a radome, interferometer antennas, and a single exhaust nozzle. Combustion takes place supersonically in the combustion chambers.



HYPERSONIC TACTICAL MISSILE (HYTAM) BASELINE CONFIGURATION

(Figure 2)

To avoid the day-to-day perturbations that occur in the configuration and performance estimates of SCRAM and (when it is desirable from a structures research viewpoint) to provide independence from the SCRAM propulsion program, idealizations have been made in the trajectories, performance requirement, weights, etc. To this end a new acronym, HYTAM, has been coined for the <u>HY</u>personic TActical Missile concept that has been used in the Hypersonic Structures research program

surface-to-air tactical missile capable of hypersonic speeds at both sea level and high altitudes. The HYTAM baseline configuration is shown in Figure 2. Like SCRAM, it is an airbreathing 4.01 m (158 in.) and a base diameter of 0.66 m (26 in.). The total length of the missile and It is intended to be launched from a box-type launcher. The missile has an overall length of booster is 6.30 m (248 in.). Other features of the missile are:

RF (radio frequency) and interferometer guidance systems

Main fuel tank located within the centerbody and auxiliary tanks located between the thru Four (4) separate engine thru ducts and combustors with common inlet and nozzle sections ducts Aerodynamic control surfaces foldable to fit within a rectangular launching box (not shown in Fig. 2)

Outer structure of missile is primary load bearing structure for both shear and bending moments HYPERSONIC TACTICAL MISSILE BASELINE CONFIGURATION



Figure 2

7.

HYTAM DESIGN CRITERIA

||||

(Figure 3)

One of the initial tasks undertaken was a definition of the criteria for use in the structural design of the HYTAM configuration. Some of the requirements are given in Figure 3.

Cruise speeds up to Mach 8 at high altitudes are attained. Ranges up to 740 km (400 n. mi.) are achieved E HYTAM is launched from a vertical box launcher with inside dimensions of 1.04 m x 0.91 m x 6.35 (41" x 36" x 250"). The aerodynamic control surfaces must fold to fit within this box. The launch weight is 2585 kg (5700 lb) and the missile weight after booster separation is 1088 kg (2400 lb).

1.1111

11

Some of the dimensional constraints are also indicated in Figure 3. The radii on the tips, crotches and swept leading edges of the inlet shall be kept to a minimum consistent with design and structural requirements. For preliminary studies radii less than 0.125 cm (0.050 in.) need not be considered. Material loss within the engine ducts and combustor due to ablation, shear and erosion shall be such that the cross sectional area does not increase by more than 10%.

The radome is restricted to a conical shape having a 0.166 rad (9.5°) half angle, a maximum nose radius of 0.254 cm (0.1 in.) and an outside base diameter of 17.8 cm (7 in.). To facilitate flight with temperature. This change should be small (a maximum change of about 10% for a 1650 K (3000°R) zero. The dielectric constant should be in the range of 3 to 9 but more important is the change through rain at the hypersonic speeds, a metal nosetip will be required. Since boresight error slope change must be kept to about 0.01%, the allowable erosion of the radome wall will be near change in temperature). Also, the loss tangent of the radome material should be less than 0.01 and its change with temperature should be small.

For the interferometer antennas the tolerances on the angular and radial displacements during is acceptable and a 0.035 rad (2°) angular rotation from their initial orientation is permissible. flight are relatively generous. A 1% change in spacing between diametrically opposite antennas The ablation of the antenna nosetip is restricted to about 0.254 cm (0.1 in.).

SIZE CONSTRAINTS	
STOWAGE WITHIN BOX LAUNCHER	1.04m X 0.91m X 6.35m (41" X 36" X 250")
MISSILE & BOOSTER MISSILE (FULLY FUELED)	2585 kg (5700 LB) 1088 kg (2400 LB)
SPEED SEA LEVEL	UP TO M5 UP TO M8
RANGE HIGH ALTITUDE CRUISE	UP TO 740 km (400 n.mi.
DIMENSIONAL CONSTRAINTS LEADING EDGE RADII ENGINE DUCTS & COMBUSTOR	0.125 cm (.050'')
Figure 3	

•

「「「「「「「「」」」」

HYTAM DESIGN CRITERIA

I

FLIGHT LIMITATIONS OF RANDOME MATERIALS

(Figure 4)

culates the boresight error slope, thermal stress, and mechanical stress limits of missile radomes of the more common radome materials. The flight limitations are imposed by either thermal stress for any prescribed flight trajectory. In Figure 4 are presented the performance limits for some code has been developed to calculate the flight limitations of radome materials. This code calor boresight error considerations which are based on aerodynamic heating. No consideration has As part of the APL/JHU Structures research and exploratory development effort, a computer been given to damage due to rain or dust environments. A proposal has been made to NAVSEA to expand our computer program to include this mode of radome failure design.

ever, during the coming year we plan to investigate the limits of several silicon nitride materials. For the HYTAM, slip cast fused silica appears to be the most promising radome material; how-

MACH NO. AT LOW ALTITUDE	2	3 – 3.5	3.5	4.5 - 5.5	6 - 7	
MATERIAL	REINFORCED EPOXY	ALUMINA	REINFORCED POLYIMIDE	PYROCERAM 9606	SLIP CAST FUSED SILICA	Figure 4

FLIGHT LIMITATIONS OF RADOME MATERIALS (BASED ON AERODYNAMIC HEATING EFFECTS)

585

CRITICAL TEMPERATURE REGIONS OF SCRAMJET INLET

(Figure 5)

At Mach 8 at altitude, At the tips and crotches of a scramjet inlet the driving temperature due to aerodynamic heating (400-600°R) below stagnation. The swept leading edges will be another 110-220 K (200-400°R) lower For HYTAM, temperatures of the air inlet tips and crotches may reach the 2085-2250 K (3750-4050°R) Temperatures along the body of the missile will be between 1/2 to 2/3 the stagnation temperature. range. Small leading edge radii preclude the use of ablative coatings for thermal protection and the stagnation temperature will be about 2500 K (4500°R). Depending upon the structural material and the inlet geometry, the material in the stagnation regions will reach temperatures 220-335 K from the standpoints of reliability and cost, a passive hot structure in these stagnation regions will be the stagnation temperature. These regions are indicated in Figure 5. is preferable to an active cooling system. CRITICAL TEMPERATURE REGIONS OF SCRAMJET INLET

I

「「「「「「「」」」」



587

LEADING EDGE TEST RESULTS

(Figure 6)

and covered wedge angles from 0.26 to 1.57 rad (15 to 90°) and leading edge radii Ro from 0 to 0.15 cm Accommodating the high temperatures of the HYTAM inlet in a hot structure requires an advance Tests were made on both coated and uncoated specimens Laboratory (PRL) arc jet capable of simulating flight conditions of Mach 7.5 at 11.6 km (38,000 erosion resistance in addition to mechanical strength and thermal shock resistance. An experifrom 1965 to 1972. A two phase process consisting of material characterization tests followed in the state-of-the-art in refractory materials and coatings which must possess oxidation and by freejet testing was used to examine promising materials. Materials that gave satisfactory results in creep and oxidation tests in static air were tested in the APL Propulsion Research ft). Materials tested included carbides, diborides, silicones, graphites, and the refractory mental program to test candidate materials for hypersonic leading edges was conducted by APL metals tungsten, tantalum, and columbium. (0 to 0.06 in)

A summary of the test results is given in Figure 6. Of the materials tested, specimens of However, without damage. The tantalum T222 with a hafnia coating is considered the most promising facility limitations restricted the test duration to about 11 s. When protected against moisture absorption, the HD-0092 boron nitride specimens also withstood the arc-jet test tantalum alloy T222 with a hafnia coating survived the arc-jet test without damage. candidate material for leading edge applications.

HEATING	REMARKS cm/s (IN/S)	MELTING, FLOWING, 0.11 (0.042)	MELTING, FLOWING 0.11 (0.044)	SOFTENING, EXCESSIVE WARPING				COATING ERODED THROUGH IN 2s, EROSION AT 0.64 (0.250)	
POINT I	TIME (SEC)	7.5	7.8	- 0.6	t Į			1	
S STAGNATION- km (38 KFT)	R _o cm (IN)	0.13 (0.05)	0.03 (0.01)	0.03 (0.01)	0.13 (0.05)	0.13 (0.05	0.08 (0.03)	0.08 (0.03)	Figure 6
ISURE SIMULATE IR M 7.7 AT 11.6	WEDGE RAD (DEG)	0.52 (30)	0.26 (15)	0.52 (30)	0.52 (30)	1.57 (90)	0.26 (15)	0.26 (15)	
EXPO	MATERIAL	JTA GRAPHITE	TUNGSTEN-IMPREG 10% Ag	GE TUNGSTEN-30% Si	ATJ GRAPHITE	HD-0092 BORON NITRIDE	Ta-T222/HfO2 COATING	Ta-T222/Hf 20% Ta COATING	

「「「「「「「「」」」」

LEADING EDGE TEST RESULTS

+

HYTAM FRONT END AND DUCT CROSS SECTION

(Figure 7)

circular to a circular cross section over a length of 45.7 cm (18 in.). Because of this unusual non-circular shape (Fig. 7) and the high internal pressures and temperatures that this component A design investigation involving thermal and stress analyses has been made to identify materials The forward segment of the engine thru duct is a transition piece that changes from a non-These candidate materials were then screened to determine is subjected to, it was chosen as one of the critical structural design areas requiring study. which alloys should result in the lightest weight or lowest volume structure. that are suitable for the thru duct.

level trajectory, the high altitudes result in low duct pressures. During dive and intercept, high-altitude long-range-cruise trajectories. The terminal phase of the long-range trajectory The thermal and stress analyses were performed for both the sea-level short-time and the moderately high duct pressures and temperatures occur simultaneously. It is not possible to consists of a dive to a lower altitude and Mach number followed by a powered intercept "run-The pressure and temperature histories of the two trajectories are very different and should bracket the environmental conditions experienced by the HYTAM thru duct. While the Mach number and temperature during the long-range trajectory are greater than for the seasay which of these trajectories is critical in the design without analyzing both cases. in".

HYTAM FRONT END & DUCT CROSS SECTION AT STATION 46

MAR IN





Figure 7

MATERIAL SELECTION STUDY DESIGN CURVES FOR 0.051 m (0.2 in.) F-85 COLUMBIUM

l

(Figure 8)

data is that shown by the typical curves of Figure 8. The curves are for 0.51 cm (0.2 in.) thick F-85 columbium alloy insulated by a 0.191 cm (0.075 in.) layer of ZrO2. Two types of curves are of the actual design ultimate stress due to both internal pressure and thermal gradients against the average wall temperature, $\overline{\Gamma}$, through the thickness of the wall. In these curves, time is of the material as a function of temperature. The second type of curve is a plot of the history After considering alternatives it was decided that the most informative way to present the shown in this figure. The hatched curve is a plot of the allowable ultimate tensile strength a parameter that increases along the curve. Curves are plotted for both the sea-level and high-altitude cruise trajectories.

curve of the material. For the 0.51 cm (0.2 in.) thick F-85 columbium alloy insulated with ZrO2, In a plot of the form of Figure 8, the design has adequate strength if all points on the dive and during intercept in the long-range-cruise trajectory. In other cases of thicknesses actual-design-stress curves for both trajectories remain below the hatched allowable-strength the design is satisfactory for the sea-level condition but is overstressed at the end of the and materials the sea-level rather than the long-range-cruise trajectory can be critical.

the lightest weight material, uninsulated F-85 columbium, is only 0.10 cm (0.04 in.) thicker. weight. Two materials investigated, uninsulated Tantalum T-222 and uninsulated arc cast and extruded tungsten alloy W-HfC, were found to result in the smallest wall thickness; however, The results of the study showed that uninsulated materials provided both the lightest weight and thinnest wall structures. Uninsulated F-85 columbium results in the lightest





REENTRY NOSE TIP AND HYTAM COMBUSTOR ENVIRONMENT COMPARISON

(Figure 9)

subjected to total temperatures greater than 2220 K (4000°R) and static pressures of about 6.9 MPa The HYTAM combustor presents one of the most critical design problems. The combustor is static pressures during the long-range-cruise trajectories. In terms of pressure and temperature this environment is similar to that of a rocket motor, the major difference being (1000 psi) during sea level trajectories and temperatures as high as 3160 K (5700°R) at low the HYTAM environment is oxidizing whereas the rocket motor environment is reducing

This implies that the combustor material used in the HYTAM combustor. This comparison is presented in Figure 9. The static pressure, must not ablate by more than about 0.0076 cm/s (0.003 in/s). This rate is considerably less Therefore, materials acceptable for reentry nose enthalpy, gas velocity, and cold wall heat flux environments given for the reentry nose tip minimum ablation rate for the best of these materials was about 0.38 cm/s (0.15 in/s). The The HYTAM combustor environment has been compared with the environment associated with sea level HYTAM combustor environment is seen to be quite similar to that of the nose tip. þe The measured However, to maintain satisfactory engine performance, the cross sectional area change of reentry vehicles in hopes that materials developed for reentry vehicle nose tips could are conditions to which representative nose tip materials have been tested. tip applications are not suitable for the HYTAM combustor. the combustor ducts should be held to less than 10%. than that measured on nose tip materials.

REENTRY NOSE TIP & HYTAM COMBUSTOR ENVIRONMENT COMPARISON

• -

- ---

HYTAM COMBUSTOR	8	4.9 (2100)	915 (3000)	44.3 (3900)	0.008 (0.003) (DESIRED)
REENTRY NOSE TIP	100	4.7 (2000)	SUBSONIC	34.0 (3000)	0.38 (0.15) (MEASURED)
CONDITION	STATIC PRESSURE – atm	GAS TO WALL ENTHALPY DIFFERENCE - MJ/kg (Btu/lb)	GAS VELOCITY – m/s (FT/S)	COLD WALL HEAT FLUX - MW/m ² (Btu/FT ² .S)	MINIMUM ABLATION – cm/s (IN/S)

Figure 9

}

PG/SiC-COATED ATJ GRAPHITE COMBUSTOR TEST SECTION

(Figure 10)

carbide and pyrolytic graphite (PG/SiC) will hold the HYTAM combustor ablation rate to acceptable in all test specimens has been ATJ graphite. These tests have been conducted in various environ-Tests on materials, which date back to 1968, indicate that a codeposited coating of silicon ments with gas flow from arc heaters, potassium perchlorate solid propellants, gel motors, and limits. The optimum amount of SiC was found to be about 30% by weight and the substrate used in a connected pipe SCRAM combustor test rig.

static pressure in the test section was 16.1 MPa (2330 psi). The coating experienced an ablation shown in Figure 10 was tested in the closed pipe SCRAM propulsion test rig at the APL Propulsion In 1974, a small diameter PG/SiC coated ATJ graphite specimen was tested in a subsonic flow rate as low as 0.008 cm/s (0.003 in/s) for 30% SiC content. In 1978 the PG/SiC coated specimen total pressure = 20.7 MPa (3000 psia) and a total temperature = 2890 K (5200°R). The resulting injectors, the specimen was subjected to the maximum static pressure and heat transfer. Some regions of the specimen were exposed to a total temperature of about 3050 K (5500° R) and static pressure of about 3.45 MPa (500 psia) for 25 sec. The results of the test indicated an average produced by a potassium perchlorate solid propellant. The nominal chamber conditions were a Research Laboratory (PRL). By placing the test section immediately downstream of the fuel ablation rate of 0.0025 cm/s (0.001 in/s) at the most critical section of the specimen.

While the tests on codeposited PG/SiC coating on an ATJ substrate have produced encouraging carbon (C/C) materials and as a result the ATJ is inefficient with regard to weight and volume. Unfortunately, while C/C materials have high strength they do not have the excellent oxidation results, the combination is not optimum. The strength of ATJ is low relative to woven carbon/ compatible so that the PG/SiC cannot be used as a coating for the C/C. Pyrolytic graphite is resistance of PG/SiC. Furthermore, the coefficients of expansion of PG/SiC and C/C are not compatible with C/C, however, and it may be possible to start by depositing pure PG and increasing the percent of SiC in the deposition until it reaches 30% at the inner surface of the combustor.

Another approach being pursued is the incorporation of metallic additives into threedimensional C/C materials.



|_



597

CONCLUDING REMARKS SUMMARY OF CANDIDATE MATERIALS (Figure 11)

In conclusion, it should be stated that much remains to be done in developing materials be considered. The studies to date, however, are encouraging and do indicate that materials of the more promising materials for the critical components are indicated. This information The tests are available or can be developed to satisfy the scramjet requirements. In Figure 11 some that have been run are too few to provide a sufficient data base for design purposes and reducing analytical results and component test data to flight-weight hardware remain to in some cases have not fully simulated the critical design environments. Problems of and design concepts that are representative of flight-weight missile structures. can be summarized as follows:

Rad ome	Slip cast fused silica is the current candidate, but others are being investigated. One shortcoming of slip cast fused silica is its susceptability to rain damage.
Inlet Leading Edges	A refractory metal with a good oxidation protective coating will be required. Tantalum T222 with a Hafnia coating looks promising.
Inlet Ducts	An uninsulated refractory alloy will be required. Columbium F-85 was the best of those considered for the non-circular ducts.
External Body	The external body temperatures are sufficiently low to permit the use of super alloys. The choice of alloy will depend upon the specific application.
Combustor and Nozzle	The pyrolytic graphite/silicon carbide (PG/SiC) coating is very attractive for use in the combustor and nozzle areas. The application of this coating to a high strength substrate still needs development. An attractive substrate is 3D carbon/carbon, but problems associated with thermal expansion mismatch need resolution. An alternate solution is the impregnation of oxidation suppressants into carbon/carbon.

SUMMARY OF CANDIDATE MATERIALS

.

|.

RADOME

SLIP CAST FUSED SILICA

TANTALUM T222 WITH HF02 COATING INLET LEADING EDGES

INLET DUCTS

UNINSULATED REFRACTORY; e.g., F-85 COLUMBIUM

EXTERNAL BODY

SUPER ALLOYS

COMBUSTOR & NOZZLE PG/Sic COATED 3D C/C

Figure 11

-

-

STRUCTURES AND TPS FOR THE NHFRF/HYTID

I.C.

Τ.

Harvey J. Hoge

Rockwell International, Los Angeles Division, California

INTRODUCTION

(Figure 1)

Demonstrator (HYTID), formerly known as NHFRF, to accelerate the development and demonstration of technology for future military systems designed to operate within the atmosphere at speeds The Air Force was engaged in the development of the Hypersonic Technology Integration between Mach 4 and 8.

The primary objective of HYTID was to conduct experimental research in the hypersonic test environconfigured to provide the inlet precompression properties required, while the afterbody must conform to into HYTID so that it could accommodate the aerodynamic drag, thermal interference heating, and flight scramjets. However, they cause a major configuration impact, as the forebody of the fuselage must be higher than rockets, their ultimate use in hypersonic vehicles is an attractive goal. To provide the test-bed capability to assure the development of these high-performance propulsion systems, HYTID was configured to the requirements of these systems. The most promising of these concepts today are the pulsion tests. As the specific impulse of airbreathing propulsion systems is an order of magnitude Those experiments that have a major vehicle design impact are associated with the airbreathing procontrol perturbations brought about by the installation and operation of all candidate experiments. Sufficient design flexibility was built ment available within its flight capability envêlope. the engine exhaust expansion requirements.

most promising rocket engine combinations, and to develop a total system that provides a broad experidetermine the most cost-effective construction method of all the leading candidates, to determine the selected structural concepts with alternate propulsion options. The objective of this study was to Recent hypersonic research airplane studies (References 1 through 7) have investigated a few mental research capability.





REQUIREMENTS
ß
CONSTRAINTS
DESIGN
INITIAL

(Figure 2)

This table presents the minimum requirements which were formulated to insure that the vehicle when These goals are: finally built would satisfy the basic goals of the program.

- Develop a flight research vehicle capable of advancing and demonstrating technology in the Mach 4 to 8 region . .-.
- Airbreathing Propulsion Flight verification of advanced airbreathing propulsion systems, their performance inlets, and their integration with the airframe
- Flight demonstration of reliable, reusable, and lightweight critical structural ī Structures components •
- Aerodynamics Flight verification of existing hypersonic aerodynamic and aerothermodynamic prediction techniques
- Systems Evaluation of the influence of hypersonic flight on a variety of operational and mission oriented systems and subsystems
- Develop a flight research vehicle which is also flexible to allow for additional capability in terms of experimental test conditions and performance 2.
- 3. Minimize program and annual expenditure

INITIAL DESIGN CONSTRAINTS & REQUIREMENTS

II.

「「「「「「「「「「」」」」

- M6 CRUISE 40 SECONDS
- MAXIMUM DYNAMIC PRESSURE = 47.9 $\frac{\text{kN}}{\text{m}^2}$ (1000 PSF)
- INTEGRATED SCRAMJET PROVISIONS
- B-52G UNDERWING CARRY / AIR LAUNCH
- 45, 350 kg (100, 000 LB) MAXIMUM LAUNCH WEIGHT
- MANNED VEHICLE
- 3.05 m (10 FT) LONG DEDICATED PAYLOAD BAY
- STATE-OF-ART/EXISTING EQUIPMENT
- EXISTING BOOST ENGINE (LR-105 OR YLR-99)
- EXISTING ROCKET CRUISE ENGINE (LR-101 OR XLR-11)
- LAND ON CONVENTIONAL RUNWAY

Figure 2

HYTID FLIGHT ENVELOPE

(Figure 3)

Additional different missions, and an unpowered descent to landing concludes the mission. The maximum Mach mission thrust and level of external drag. The profile consists of launch from the carrier vehicle, followed by propulsion system ignition and a short acceleration to climb speed. An optimum climb (minimum time) to is similar except that no payload or external propulsion is carried, and all fuel is used in the boost cruise conditions precedes boost propulsion shutdown and cruise propulsion ignition. A constant Mach rocket cruise and scramjet cruise profiles are equivalent with the exception of the source of cruise number constant altitude cruise is conducted using either rocket power or scramjet power for the two The This figure encompasses the HYTID design mission profiles. The mission definitions used for speed is gained through deletion of the experiment package carried on the rocket cruise mission. engine to provide a higher Mach and altitude condition than attainable for the cruise mission. performance calculations consist of two cruise mission profiles and one maximum Mach mission.





TID I C C A B I C C S C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	TRADE STUDY VEHICLE DEFINITIONS (Figure 4)	le trade study, conducted during the initial effort, considered a total of 24 vehicle concepts. es that were incorporated include:	Integral and nonintegral propellant tanks	Six alternate material/construction methods	Two alternate rocket boost engines (each with compatible cruise engines)	. Seven parametric sensitivities (q, n _z , cruise time, payload size, etc)	ch of the 24 concepts, estimates were made that included:	. Launch and empty weight	. Procurement cost of 1 and 2 vehicles	. Evaluation of research capability	as the major criterion in making the final selection. The YLR-99 rocket engine was selected for ost engine from a safety and low initial cost standpoint. With uprating of the engine at a later, the procurement cost could be minimized without jeopardizing the ultimate capability of attain- seconds of Mach 6 cruise. Other features that were selected included the integral propellant superplastic forming/diffusion bonding (SPF/DB) truss core sandwich for TPS, and wing and tail
		The trade of the the trade of the the trade of the the the the the the the the the trade of the the trade of	L. Integr	2. Six al	3. Two al	4. Seven	ach of the	l. Launch	2. Procur	3. Evalua	was the ma post engin the procu 0 seconds , superpla

.

TRADE STUDY VEHICLE DEFINITIONS 24 ALTERNATE CONCEPTS

, -|

Dynamic pres. Mac (kN/m ²) No	h Cruíse . propulsion	time (sec)	Load factor, n _z	Payload (kg wt / drag)	Bay length (L/D)
47.9 6	Rocket	1 0†	<u>ہ</u> ۔	1,587 / 567	2.0
			·		
				•	
24.0					
71.9					
47.9 4.	<u>ک</u>	>			
	0	-			
و. ا		20			
		80	-		
	SCRAMJET	20	-		
	Rocket	0+0	4		
			ഹ		
			Ś	907 / 272	->
				2,268 / 907	• '
				1,587 / 567	
				-	2.0
-	-				2
\sim	pres. mac kN/m ²) No 47.9 6 71.9 4. 771.9 4.	pres. mach kN/m ²) No. propulsion 47.9 6 Rocket 71.9 4.5 71.9 4.5 6.0 6.0 6.0	pres. mach cruise clime kN/m ²) No. propulsion (sec) 47.9 6 Rocket 40 71.9 4.5 71.9 4.5 6.0 6.0 8	kN/m ²) No. propulsion (sec) n _z 47.9 6 Rocket 40 3 24.0 71.9 4.5 47.9 5.0 6.0 SCRAMJET 20 Rocket 40 4	Pres. mach cruise time ractor, proposition kN/m2) No. propulsion (sec) nz wt / drag) k17.9 6 Rocket 40 3 1,587 / 567 19 4 5 9 5 6 19 4.5 24.2 2 1,587 / 567 19 4.5 2 2 2 19 4.5 2 2 2 17:9 4.5 20 80 2 6.0 80 20 2 2 6.0 80 2 2 2 80 90 2 2 2 80 5 4 2 2 80 5 4 2 2 80 5 5 5 5 907 / 272 3 2,568 / 907 567

Figure 4

SELECTED VEHICLE CHARACTERISTICS

(Figure 5)

Propellant and ancillary expendable fluid usage sequences were defined for trajectory analpellant tanks, and subsystems. Detailed mass distributions were defined for the concept which evolved gears, pro-Several preliminary weight and balance iterations were then performed to Sizing computations were performed to derive the desired vehicle size and from this configuration development process to implement external loads, flutter, and structural Results of the trade studies of 24 separate vehicles served as the basis for selecting a optimize the arrangement and size of the lifting and control surfaces, fuselage, landing ysis of the rocket cruise, maximum Mach, and scramjet cruise missions. basepoint configuration. volumetric requirements. analyses.

However, this analyzed and studied during the conceptual design phase of the study. The concept is similar to the The initial 10 basic vehicle requirements were adhered to accept where it would be possible to NASA-generated L16 configuration, but with wing tip installed vertical tails to provide directional restriction imposes a 25,850 kg limit for a launch weight, and made the HYTID design somewhat more difficult. Even with these restrictions, a concept definition has been developed, and was further reduce costs, such as the elimination of the B-52G as a launch vehicle. By continuing to use the A four-scramjet module with depth $H_c = .56 \text{ m can}$ B-52B-008 as a launch vehicle, the high cost of modifying a B-52G could be eliminated. be installed at the lower aft extremity of the fuselage. stability throughout the entire Mach range.

CD .
X
\mathbf{C}
\mathbf{i}
20
<u> </u>
()
$\mathbf{\nabla}$
2
C D
()
\leq
>
-
\frown
1.1
لللل
()
$\mathbf{\nabla}$
CD .

- INITIAL BOOST ROCKET ENGINE: YLR-99
- GROWTH BOOST ROCKET ENGINE: YLR-99 (MAXIMUM UPRATING)
- CRUISE ROCKET ENGINE: (2) XLR-11 (CRUISE ONLY)
- BOUST PROPELLANT TANK SIZING: MAX LAUNCH WEIGHT = 25,850 kg (57,000 LB) WITH YLR-99 (MAXIMUM UPRATING)
- LAUNCH VEHICLE B-52B-008
- $q = 47.9 \frac{kN}{m^2}$ (1000 PSF) 40 SEC CRUISE THERMAL / STRUCTURE DESIGN: M6, (ROCKET CRUISE MISSION)
- MAXIMUM STRUCTURAL LOAD FACTOR: 46
- PAYLOAD WEIGHT: 1587 kg (3500 LB)
- PAYLOAD M6 DRAG: 567 kg (1250 LB)
- SCRAMJET PROVISIONS: 2 TO 4 SCRAMJET MODULES, H_{c} = .56 m (22 IN) 3.68 m³ (130 FT³) LH₂ IN P/L BAY
- INTEGRAL ALUMINUM PROPELLANT TANKS
- SPF/DB TITANIUM TRUSS CORE SANDWICH: TPS, WING AND TAIL SKINS

GENERAL ARRANGEMENT

(Figure 6)

This figure presents the general geometric features of the HYTID.

The configuration development was initiated with the Langley-derived X24C-L16 wing-body arrangement. propulsion system size required for hypersonic cruise and to improve the low-speed landing, lift-drag This vehicle was an outgrowth of the X24C-10C/X24C-12I lifting body configuration, and evolved from design efforts directed at increasing the vehicle slenderness in order to decrease the airbreathing ratio

corresponds to about 60 percent of the original lifting body. Further reduction in base area was sought H further decreased the body closure angles. An 11-percent reduction in maximum area was realized, and To incorporate integral fuel tankage efficiently, aerodynamic studies were made to minimize the order to provide the required propellant volume, the fuselage length was increased 16 percent, which by more tightly wrapping the boost and cruise rocket nozzles and eliminating the wing blunt trailing edge. A 25-percent decrease was realized, and corresponds to about one-fourth the base area of the maximum cross-sectional area of the fuselage and to increase the fore- and afterbody slenderness. lifting body. Wing-mounted tip fins were incorporated early in the configuration evolution to provide directional effective. Directional control was incorporated into these surfaces to design around the transonic stability at high angle of attack where test results indicate a centerline vertical tail become incenterline vertical rudder control reversal found experimentally.



à. ____

国連問題が行う



Figure 6

CANDIDATE METALLIC MATERIALS

(Figure 7)

eratures, depending on type of structure and material employed, will be experienced on the airframe due Mach 6 for a duration of up to 40 seconds. Hence, the cumulative time at maximum temperature for this Design criteria established for the HYTID indicate that the facility be capable of 100 flights at a range of temparea expected. In addition, temperatures up to $1,260^{\circ}$ K will be encountered in the aft fuselage ramp to aerodynamic heating. Leading edge temperatures in the 922° to 1,033° K temperature range are structure could be 4,000 seconds (1.11 hours). Thermodynamic analyses indicate that due to exhaust plume heating.

materials and fabrication techniques to the extent necessary to maximize structural efficiency, balanced Selection of materials and processing methods for HYTID was made with the view of applying advanced against the need for maintaining risk at acceptable levels. Low risk in the application of materials materials and processing methods selected for the program were based on the criterion that they be at or near state-of-the-art status; i.e., the material/process has gone through laboratory development, and processes implies the maintenance of high vehicle reliability and the reduction of restrictions which might otherwise limit available flight research time. In order to maintain low risk, the reduction to manufacturing practice, and application to flight hardware. Aluminum alloys will be a major structural material for the HYTID. The 2000 series aluminum alloys will be used where temperatures up to 450° K are encountered. Welded components, such as tankage, will use artificially aged 2219. Where service temperatures permit, advantage will be taken of the higher strength 7075 alloy. For the majority of these applications, the stress corrosion-resistant overaged tempers will be selected, T73, or T76, depending on the particular design and usage.

resistance at temperatures up to 811° K; whereas, a significant decrease in these properties occurs in thermal protection system structure on the HYTID. Ti-6A1-2Sn-4Zr-2Mo retains both strength and creep Ti-6Al-4V at temperarures above 700° K. In general, Ti-6Al-2Sn-4Zr-2Mo extends the temperature cap-Two titanium alloys, 6Al-4V and 6Al-2Sn-4Zr-2Mo, were selected for the heat sink and radiative ability of titanium alloys by 1110 K to 1390 K and is therefore a primary material for the HYTID.



SPF/DB TITANIUM TRUSS CORE PANEL THERMAL IMPULSE TEST

(Figure 8)

producing titanium sandwich (resistance welding, brazing, roll diffusion bonding, organic bonding, etc), the method selected for the HYTID program is superplastic forming/concurrent diffusion bonding (SPF/DB). SPF/DB expanded sandwich process again exhibits weight and cost savings over other methods of sandprotection and for forward fuselage and wing primary skin structure. While several methods exist for The basic titanium design of the HYTID employs titanium truss core sandwich for fuselage thermal wich fabrication. The

Results show that hot-side skin temperatures of $579^{\rm O}\,,~700^{\rm O}\,,$ and $811^{\rm O}~{\rm K}$ were attained in 100 seconds, corresponding to the temperatures that occur during a 40-second, Mach 6, The performance of SPF/DB titanium truss core sandwich in a simulated hypersonic environment has additional supports at midedge locations in another. The panel was instrumented on both hot and cold sides to measure temperature gradients and thermal stresses developed, as well as in-plane expansion been demonstrated by a radiant heating test. A Ti-6A1-4V truss core sandwich panel was subjected to quartz lamp heating on one side while simply supported at the panel corners in one test, and with and normal bowing deflections.

 $q = 47.9 \frac{kN}{2}$ flight at several representative locations on the vehicle. Incident heating rates are also m

resulting in hot-side temperatures in excess of 1,370° K for the B-1 program. Except for loss of paint and slight surface contamination on the exposed side of the outer skin, no structural damage occurred. The parels were .15 x .15 x .0127m with .0025m core and .005m face sheets. Two coats of polyurethane Several addi-The results indicate that even with a ΔT = 4430 K through the panel thickness, no structural damage occurred. Panel deflections were less than predicted, deformation was entirely elastic, and paint over one coat of epoxy primer were applied to the outer skin surface as a topcoat treatment. tional SPF/DB Ti-6-4 truss core samples were subjected to extremely short-time thermal impulses, thermal stresses measured were within structural allowables for temperatures attained. shown.



Figure 8

₹₹}

PRIMARY FUSELAGE STRUCTURE

I

(Figure 9)

The HYTID structural concept uses the heat-sink philosophy and is tailored to produce a maximum surface temperature of 922° K on those areas subjected to stagnation temperatures (these areas to be fabricated from Inconel), 811° K on the titanium truss core skins, and 450° K on the exposed upper center-line aluminum structure.

ture is the high-pressure helium supply contained with a torroidal titanium tank. In the lower quadrant For the exposed portions of both tanks, the skin thickness is tailored to produce a maximum surface temperature of 450⁰ K. The starting temperinstalled above the wheels to protect the tires from the extreme cold of the LOX, while the doors have structure that consists of SPF/DB titanium truss core sandwich skins mounted on SPF/DB titanium frames a slip joint to accommodate these changes in length, yet permit torsional and shear loads to be transof the same area, provisions have been made to stow the wheels of the main gear. An insulated pan is fastened to a short intertank structure also made of aluminum. Enclosed within this intertank strucmitted. The lower portion of the aluminum tank is protected from the higher heating with a secondary The primary centerbody fuselage structure consists of 2219 aluminum monocoque construction that ature is 233° K for the NH₂ tank and 89° K for the LOX tank. The temperature extremes from ambient forms the integral tankage for both the NH3 and the LOX propellants. Both tanks are mechanically first produce a .013-m shortening and then a .019-m growth that require the mechanization of are attached with clevis-type pins to accommodate the differential thermal growth. an insulated layer to protect the tires from the hot structure. that

will be employed as the principal method of assembly, resulting in a considerable cost and weight sax-Wherever practical, mechanical fasteners will be eliminated and either TIG or plasma arc welding Welding is compatible with the use of titanium SPF/DB components, as they are used in the "asformed" or annealed condition. ings.



作り出く

Figure 9

SECONDARY FUSELAGE STRUCTURE

(Figure 10)

external frame are transferred to the inner ring frame by means of axial load struts. This concept has the frame. The clevis pin mounting and frame bowing permit thermal expansion and contraction to take where the wing attaches to an internal tank ring frame by means of two external lugs. The lower portion of the frame attaches to the wing at the top and to similar fing frame lugs at the lower part of This figure shows typical sections in the centerbody of the fuselage. A typical frame is shown place, as required. The main gear trunnion loads are split between two frames. The loads from each also been used on the Space Shuttle and provides a unique method to transfer loads and still permit thermal expansion to take place.

SECONDARY FUSELAGE STRUCTURE

PRIMARY INTERFACE



WING STRUCTURE

(Figure 11)

Inconel leading The leading edge is removable edge, all skin panels will be SPF/DB titanium truss core. The multiple spars will be SPF/DB titanium servicing of the actuators. All other skin panels will be TIG or plasma arc welded to the spar caps. and will employ a sine wave web to minimize thermal stresses. Intercostal-type ribs are employed in back to the front spar and employs a segmented sintered Inconel extreme leading edge to provide the the area of the elevon actuators, and the skin panels are removable to accommodate installation and With the exception of the sintered The wing is a multispar design employing a root rib and a tip rib. stagnation temperatures. sink required for the heat

Wing panel attachment to the fuselage is accomplished through the use of SPF/DB cantilever fittings. ferential between the attach structure and the wing, with the ability to transfer both shear and moment. structure. The remaining four cantilever fittings are designed to accommodate the thermal growth dif-Two main spars in the area of the elevon actuators are hard mounted to the afterbody carry-through

imize differential thermal stresses, the AT across the skin panels has been limited to 332° K, although in preliminary testing of a truss core panel fabricated from Ti-6-4 it was subjected to a surface temp-To min-No permanent damage was observed as a result The principal structural design criteria are the thermal stresses to which the aircraft will be subjected. This results in extremely low stress levels from the applied aerodynamic loads. erature of 867° K, with the resulting ΔT being 500° K. of these extremes.



Ų

WING STRUCTURE

GROWTH POTENTIAL/FLEXIBILITY

(Figure 12)

engine compartment will enable alternate engines or uprated versions of the YLR-99 to be easily incorporcan easily be modified to incorporate nose- and wingtip-mounted reaction jets that will permit very-highaltitude ballistic trajectories to be flown. The large base area and internal space provided in the aft ated without affecting the external lines of the vehicle. External tanks can be provided to improve the boost performance so that a Mach 8 mission can be achieved with the capability of maintaining 20 seconds The selected structural approach for constructing HYTID should permit an excellent growth potential Because of the selected method of construction, HYTID with Since most of the external skin of HYTID is aluminum plate or SPF/DB titanium truss core sandwich, it will make an ideal mounting surface for any of the proposed insulative TPS materials considered. The payload bay, wing panels, and vertical tails are of modular construction and can be readily replaced cruise duration. The external skin surfaces can be protected from this hotter thermal mission various choices of externally added insulative TPS such as the Martin 220M, ESA-3560, or ESA-5500 with alternate concepts to validate new approaches under actual flight environmental conditions. capability and a broad experimental flexibility. of



CONCLUDING REMARKS

the-art systems and structure with sufficient margins to assure no vehicle flight development problems, The goal of HYTID is to provide a cost-effective hypersonic vehicle constructed of near-state-ofand to permit concentration of flight operations on hypersonic research with a broad series of experiments carried in a dedicated payload bay or on the exposed surface of the lower aft fuselage. · -- -

S
r. 7
щ
C 3
Ξ.
~
Ē-1
pro-
F+1
ĽL.
6-1
<u> </u>
~

- Kirkham, F. S., Jones, R. A., Buck, M. L., and Zima, R. P., "Joint USAF/NASA Hypersonic Research Aircraft Study," AIAA Paper, 1975 ...
- Hearth, D. P. and Preyss, Col. A. E., "Hypersonic Technology Approach to an Expanded Program," Astronautics and Aeronautics, December 1976 2.
- Williams, E. T. and Coakley, R. C., "Costing Methodology for High Speed Research Airplanes Including Parametric Cost Trend Evaluations," NASA CR-132658, January 1975 . س
- I Combs, H. G., et al, "Configuration Development Study of the X-24C Hypersonic Research Airplane Phase I," NASA CR-145032, September 1976 4.
- I Combs, H. G., et al, "Configuration Development Study of the X-24C Hypersonic Research Airplane Phase III," NASA CR-145103, January 1977 <u>د</u>.
- I Combs, H. G., et al, "Configuration Development Study of the X-24C Hypersonic Research Airplane Phase II," NASA CR-145074, January 1977 .9
- Weidner, J. P., Small, W. J., and Penland, J. A., "Scramjet Integration on Hypersonic Research Airplane Concepts," Journal of Aircraft, May 1977 7.

U

DESIGN AND FABRICATION OF A SUPER ALLOY THERMAL DROTECTION SYSTEM

I

THERMAL PROTECTION SYSTEM

A. Varisco, W. Wolter, and P. Bell Grumman Aerospace Corp.

INTRODUCTION

A program was undertaken to develop a lightweight, efficient, metallic thermal protection system cruise vehicles. Technical requirements and criteria were derived generally from the space shuttle. (TPS) applicable to future shuttle-type reentry vehicles, advanced space transports, and hypersonic

was updated and modified to incorporate the latest technology developments and design criteria emphasizing Grumman's corrugation-stiffened TPS design was used as the baseline starting point. The system minimum weight for the overall system.

operation at two different temperatures using two different materials: Rene⁴¹, a nickel-base alloy for use One basic design concept was developed during the program, and this concept was optimized for to 1144 K (1600⁰F), and Haynes 188, a cobalt-base alloy for use to 1255 K (1800⁰F). Significant weight reductions were achieved over the baseline system.

delivered to NASA/Langley for evaluation of cyclic life characteristics in the Langley Thermal Protection Two extensively instrumented, full-scale test panels were fabricated, one from each material. Each panel represented one and one-half bays and included an expansion joint. Both test articles were System Test Facility, which is capable of test conditions representative of entry flight.

The results of this program are reported in "Design and Fabrication of Metallic Thermal Protection Systems for Aerospace Vehicles," NASA CR 145313.

TYPICAL TPS WEIGHT (Figure 1)

The design trajectory is for a high cross range shuttle orbiter vehicle. The insulation is sized to prevent a 0.5-cm (0.2-inch) thick aluminum substructure from exceeding 450 K (350⁰ F) including soak back Baseline metallic TPS weight for corrugation-stiffened beaded skin design using low density fiber insulation is shown in the graph. These weights include all panel hardware and the insulation system. after landing. The temperature at the start of entry was assumed to be 311 K (100⁰ F).

Also shown is the nominal weight for a reusable surface insulation (RSI) TPS of the type used on the present shuttle orbiter. The objective of this program was to optimize the design of the metallic TPS in the range of 811 K (1000⁰F) to 1255 K (1800⁰F). A typical area of application is the lower flat surfaces of a shuttle orbiter as shown in the figure.





I



Figure 1.- Concluded

DESIGN CRITERIA AND GOALS (Figure 2)

> In addition to the loading and thermal criteria listed in the accompanying table, the test specimen was designed to meet the following goals:

- Reuse capability of 100 missions
- Minimum leakage at expansion joints
- Simple removal of panels
- Surface emittance of 0.80 or higher
- exposure to direct water impingement and high humidity conditions by ground support equipment. assumed that during ground storage, prelaunch, and ferrying the vehicle will be protected from ture because the residual heat stored in it during entry is sufficient to dry the insulation. This Moisture absorption - In contrast to the current orbiter design, no special requirements were Immediately after entry and up to one hour after landing, the insulation will not absorb moisrelation to water absorption for fibrous insulation is the associated increase in mass. It was included in this design to control TPS moisture absorption. The most significant concern in built-in protection would be effective in situations short of heavy rainstorms. If the vehicle is inadvertently exposed to rain or high-humidity condensing cycles, a drying cycle will be required before vehicle launch.
- Surface contour The allowable panel surface normal permanent deflection between supports requirement will limit the total amount of creep deformation over 100 mission cycles. was y = 0.254 + 0.01L, where y is maximum deflection in cm and L is panel span.
- the panel expansion joints, all such potential gaps were aft facing in relation to the general flow direction. Also, the height of surface steps, beads, and protruding fasteners will be Surface roughness - To avoid uncontrolled ingestion of high-energy boundary-layer air in such that local interference-heating effects will not be excessive.

LOWER SURFACE (MID-FUSELAGE) DESIGN CONDITIONS

CONDITION		
CONTINUOUS SURFACE PRESS. AT T _{MAX} (ENTRY)	862-2490 Pa	18-52 PSF
MAX MANEUVER SURFACE PRESS. AT T _{MAX} (ENTRY)	8618 Pa	180 PSF
MAX TEMP LEVEL DURING ENTRY - HAYNES	1255 K	1800°F
MAX TEMP LEVEL DURING ENTRY - RENE	1144 K	1600° F
MAX DYNAMIC PRESS. – ENTRY	11 490 Pa	240 PSF
MAX DYNAMIC PRESS. – BOOST	33 516 Pa	700 PSF
MAX SURFACE PRESS. DIFFERENTIAL – BOOST	+13 885 Pa	+290 PSF
	-20 588 Pa	-430 PSF
MAX SURFACE PRESS. DIFFERENTIAL – POSTENTRY (SUBSONIC FLIGHT)	+16 758 Pa -12 448 Pa	+350 PSF -260 PSF
ACOUSTIC ENVIRONMENT		
LIFTOFF		
 OVERALL SOUND PRESS. LEVEL CRITICAL 1/3-OCTAVE BAND LEVEL 	161 db 150 db	
MAX qo (ASCENT)		
 OVERALL SOUND PRESS. LEVEL CRITICAL 1/3 OCTAVE BAND LEVEL 	158 db 146 db	
ALLOWABLE PERMANENT DEFLECTION BETWEEN PANEL SUPPORTS	δ = 0.254 (δ = 0.1 +	+ .01L = CM .01L = INCHES)
FACTORS OF SAFETY		
MECHANICAL LOADS	1.0 LIMIT 1.15 VIEL	9
THERMAL EFFECTS	1.4 ULTIN 1.0 CREE 1.0 LIMIT 1.4 ULTIN	P DEFLECTION
MAX PRIMARY STRUCTURE TEMP RISE ^{(T} MAX ^T INITIAL = 350° F 120 + 230° F)	230°F 128°C	
EI IITTER	AFFDL-TI	R-67-140

Figure 2

reusability goal. The space shuttle orbiter entry trajectory was used as a design requirement for this The primary thermal requirement for the TPS is entry heating from orbit, with a 100-mission The specific area of concern for the test specimen is the 1144-1255 K (1600 to 1800⁰F) temperature range. The surface-temperature history is shown in the accompanying figure. program。

mission, which is a launch into orbit and return to the launch site within a single revolution, creates this condition. The temperature on the lower surface structure at the start of entry for this mission is The thermal condition which determines the insulating requirement for the TPS is that in which the maximum TPS/primary structure temperature exists at the beginning of entry. The space shuttle 322 K (120⁰F). The insulation was sized to limit the temperature of the primary structure to a maximum of 450 K (350[°]F) during entry and subsequent postlanding soak-out. The primary structure had the equivalent thermal heat-sink capacity of a 0.51-cm (0.2-in.) thick aluminum plate with an adiabatic back face. Another thermal condition of significance is that which produces the maximum temperature gradients in the TPS/structure. Studies have shown that this condition is one in which the minimum TPS/structure temperature exists at the start of entry. The minimum starting temperature assumed is 202.6 K (-95[°] F).

DIFFERENTIAL PRESSURE LOADING

Two levels of static pressure loadings were considered in the design of the TPS. The first is maximum maneuver-load conditions, which are intermittent and of short duration. The static strength maneuver until about 1200 sec after the start of entry, which is near the end of maximum heating. The maximum maneuver line on the graph represents the maximum transient pressure differential on the of the panel must be sufficient to withstand these loads. The maximum maneuver load factor is 2.5g during entry and subsonic flight. However, there is insufficient aerodynamic force to produce 2.5g lower surface.

temperature, which was used to determine the amount of creep that occurs in the panel. This is the The second type of static pressure loading considered is the continuous-loading level at high equilibrium flight pressure loading line shown on the graph.





Figure 3

635
DESIGN CONCEPT (Figure 4)

 can be tolerated. Thus, the intensity of heating which can be accommodated is limited by the temperature The TPS considered in this program is a shingled, radiative system. Heat-rejection rate, therefore, depends on the fourth power of the surface temperature, and becomes large if high temperatures capability of the panel material.

was selected as a baseline design in the program. The concept, shown in the accompanying figure, consists on 51-cm (20.0-in.) centers, with an expansion joint every 102 cm (40.0 in.) to permit longitudinal growth An existing Grumman-developed TPS, using Haynes 25, designed for operation at 1255 K (1800°F) surface-pressure loading is transferred by beam action to the rib supports. The supports are located support so that a 51-cm (20.0-in.) span expands in each direction. The center support rib includes a drag support to react longitudinal (drag) loads. The panel lateral expansion is absorbed by flexing of welded to the beaded skin to form an efficient panel with high longitudinal bending stiffness. Applied of the panel. Although the panel is considered to be 102 cm (40.0 in.) long, it is fixed at the center of a corrugation-stiffened beaded skin, insulation, and beaded support ribs. The corrugations are the beads in the skin. The corrugations have little effective stiffness in the lateral direction.

The advantage of this concept is that the panels are not size-limited in the lateral direction, and an expansion joint is required only in the longitudinal direction. The design also eliminates forwardfacing steps and incorporates a simple splice of adjacent panels, thus facilitating panel removal and inspection.



CANDIDATE MATERIALS (Figure 5)

ture metal alloys appear most attractive for use in the surface panel and support structure. Consideration Ni-20Cr; and Cb 752 coated with R512C. A conceptual panel design was used as the focal point of a design Grumman has performed studies to determine which of the commercially available high-temperaanalysis to determine comparative weights of metal panels utilizing the candidate alloys over a tempera-6A1-2Sn-4Zn-2Mo, duplex annealed; Rene⁽⁴¹ solution heat treated and aged at 1172 K (1650⁰F); Haynes 25 or 188, solution heat treated at 1422 K (2100⁰F); Inconel 718, heat treated to 1228 K (1750⁰F); TD and availability of sufficient mechanical properties data at temperature. The candidate alloys were Tiwas given to the availability, fabricability, oxidation resistance, thermal stability at peak temperature, ture range from 589 K (600⁰F) to 1588 K (2400⁰F). The pressure load during entry at the maximum temperature was varied as a parameter.

The results of this comparison are shown in the accompanying figure where the temperature and loading regime of each least weight panel are shown. The following material application temperature ranges have been chosen;

811 to 1144 K (1000 to 1600 ⁰ F)	1144 to 1255 K (1600 to 1800 ⁰ F)	
Rene' 41	HS 25 or 188	
-	•	

Inconel 718 was eliminated because the ranges of applicability and weight advantage were too small.

1255 to 1477 K (1800 to 2200⁰F)

TD Ni Cr



「「「「「「「「」」」



S

MATERIAL PROPERTIES (Figure 6)

HAYNES 188

resistance to 1367 K (2000⁰F). Its excellent oxidation resistance results from minute additions of lanthanum to the alloy system. The lanthanum modifies the protective oxide scale in such a manner that the Haynes 188 alloy is a cobalt-base alloy possessing excellent high-temperature strength and oxidation 1367 K (2000⁰F). All properties which follow for Haynes 188 are for the solution-heat-treated condition -heating to 1450 K (2150⁰F) followed by either a rapid air-cool or water quench. oxide becomes extremely tenacious and impervious to diffusion when exposed to temperatures through

RENE⁴¹

Rene⁴¹ is a vacuum-melted, nickel-base alloy possessing exceptionally high strength in the temperdeveloped by various solutioning and aging heat treatments. All properties which follow for Rene⁴¹ are for forging at 1450 K (2150⁰F), age hardening at 1172 K (1650⁰F) for 4 hr, and air cooling. ature range of 920-1255 K (1200-1800⁰F). It is a precipitation-hardening alloy, and its strength is

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

HAYNES 188

	ALLOWA	ABLE STRESS AT TEA	APERATURE	
PROPERTY	294 K	70° F	1255 K	1800° F
F _{tu} *	896 MPa	130 ksi	145 MPa	21 ksi
F _{ty} *	379 MPa	55 ksi	76 MPa	11 ksi
F _{cy} *	379 MPa	55 ksi	76 MPa	11 ksi
* Ш	234 GPa	34 000 ksi	94.4 GPa	13 700 ksi

RENE[′] 41

	ALLOWA	BLE STRESS AT TEN	APERATURE	
PROPERTY	249 K	70° F	1144 K	1600° F
F _{tu} *	1158 MPA	168 ksi	603 MPa	87.4 ksi
F _{ty} *	876 MPa	127 ksi	524 MPa	76.0 ksi
Р су *	931 MPa	135 ksi	400 MPa	58.0 ksi
*ш	218 GPa	31 600 ksi	122 GPa	17 700 ksi
*F _{tu} , ultimate	tensile strength; F _{ty}	,, 0.2- percent tensile	yield stress;	

 $F_{cy'}$ 0.2- percent compression yield strength; E, Young's modulus

Figure 6

ESIGN ALLOWANCE FOR OXIDATION LOSS	(Figure 7)
DESIGN ALLOW	

ALLOWANCE REQUIRED FOR EMITTANCE TREATMENT

The emittance requirements were to be fulfilled by a preoxidation treatment during final stages of component fabrication. An oxide film thickness of 0.00025 cm (.0001 in.) was sufficient to achieve the required value.

ALLOWANCE REQUIRED FOR OXIDATION LOSSES

atmospheric pressure at peak temperature, and airflow rate. Two experimental oxidation studies have been conducted on HS-188 under conditions that simulate space shuttle entry conditions. Oxidation under entry conditions is dependent on peak temperature, number of exposure cycles,

The first of these activities, at NASA Lewis, involved the cyclic self-resistance heating of sheet (2200^oF), holding for 30 min, and then cooling to room temperature. The specimens underwent 100 thermal cycles. The test atmosphere, air, was maintained at a pressure of 1333 Pa (10 torr). The specimens in a reduced-pressure air environment. The thermal cycle involved heating to 1477 test specimens underwent a metal thickness loss of 0.00089 cm (0.00035 in.) per side.

torr). After 50 30-min cycles, the test specimens had lost 0.0019 cm (0.00075 in.) of thickness per side. space shuttle entry conditions. Sheet specimens were inserted into a Mach 6 test stream for 30 min and then allowed to cool. The test temperature was 1378 K (2020⁰F), surface pressure was 1013 Pa (7.6 The second effort in this area, at NASA Lewis and NASA Ames, utilized an arc-jet to simulate

An oxidation loss of 0.0010 cm (0.0004 in.) was used for the external surfaces of the **TPS** panel. **OXIDATION RESISTANCE IN DRY AIR, 100-HR TEST**



~

. .

TOTAL ALLOWANCE REQUIRED FOR EMITTANCE & OXIDATION

• EXTERNAL AIR-PASSAGE SURFACE (BEADED SKIN)

- EMITTANCE ALLOWANCE (0.00025 cm/SIDE):	0.00051 cm	0.0002 in.
OXIDATION ALLOWANCE (0.0010 cm, EXTERIOR):	0.00100	0.0004
- TOTAL ALLOWANCE:	0.00151 cm	0.0006 in.
INTERNAL SURFACES (CORRUGATION)		
 EMITTANCE ALLOWANCE (0.00025 cm/SIDE): 	0.00051 cm	0.0002 in.

Figure 7

SURFACE PANEL CROSS SECTION SELECTION (Figure 8)

corrugation-stiffened skin, double-faced corrugation, integrally stiffened plate, and honeycomb sandwich. which have flat skins is the requirement for expansion joints at four edges. The semicircular corrugawere eliminated because this approach is not mass-competitive. Another disadvantage of those designs design indicated that the corrugation sidewalls were operating at low stress levels. This resulted from induced by the temperature gradient from outer to inner face sheets. Integrally stiffened plate designs tion was eliminated because it is not as mass-efficient as the trapezoid. Examination of the baseline Several surface panel configurations were considered, including trapezoidal and semicircular Double-faced corrugations and honeycomb sandwich designs were eliminated due to thermal stresses the use of one material thickness for the entire corrugation.

as before but with the addition of lighting holes; and second, the use of chem-milling. A weight estimate To minimize corrugation mass, two approaches were considered: first, the use of one thickness showed the holes would not significantly reduce mass. Moreover, punching holes in thin-gage material and the subsequent deburring would be very costly. Chem-milling, however, permitted the maximum maximum bending moment at the span center, additional weight could be saved by profiling the chemmill at the span edges. Additionally, with the use of chem-milling, the thickness of each element of the cross section could be permitted to vary for maximum efficiency. It was decided, therefore, to elimination of unnecessary material. Moreover, since the skin/corrugations are sized to meet the chem-mill the test specimen.



I



17. T.

PANEL OPTIMIZATION CONSIDERATIONS (Figure 9)

design is obtained when the applied stress in each element is equal to the allowable stress for as many of buckling-critical under maximum pressure, creep-critical under maximum temperature, flutter-critical under the design dynamic pressure, and yield-critical under conditions of lateral thermal expansion. It It is generally accepted that for a nonredundant structure such as these panels, the least-mass the design conditions as possible. For example, element 1 of the section definition shown should be is, however, usually not possible to satisfy all conditions. Additionally, design constraints, such as minimum-gage considerations, may constrain the optimum standpoint than the previous design, but when the design constraints are considered, the acceptable section axis location to 55% of the total section height (central curve), the section is less efficient from a strength straints, however, increases the mass by a significant amount. For example, by modifying the neutralcreep-critical, as well as buckling-critical, for the appropriate conditions. Addition of the design conneutral axis is at the midheight of the section. In this case, both the upper and lower fibers would be design even further. The accompanying figure illustrates such a situation. It also shows that if the thickness and flat-width design constraints were neglected, the least-mass section occurs when the s lighter than its companion in the first case. PANEL OPTIMIZATION CONSIDERATIONS



Figure 9

COMPROMISE HAYNES/RENE OPTIMUM SECTION (Figure 10)

geometry would be selected for the skin panel so that the Haynes and Rene'systems could be used as adjacent optimized and fabricated from different metals. It was decided, therefore, that a compromise section panels. Moreover, the use of one skin geometry could significantly lower fabrication and tooling costs One objective of the program was to address the problem of "interface" between metallic TPS for a flight vehicle.

optimum Rene'41 pitch of 2.39 cm (0.94 in.). From a cost and mass standpoint, it is desirable to increase mise pitch, a simplified study was conducted; it included the effects of pitch on panel mass, and accounted section pitch to reduce the number of clips and attaching rivets on the rib support. To identify a comprofor upper and lower clip mass for both the center and end support ribs. Items not included in the study Since only the skin of each system interfaces at the expansion joint, the corrugation of each conliguration can still be optimized independently. The pitch of the Haynes section is somewhat above the because their mass remains relatively constant with respect to pitch include support rib webs, drag brackets, miscellaneous fasteners, and insulation.

Rene⁷41 panel mix. The minimum composite mass occurs at a pitch of 3.58 cm (1.41 in.). The dashed line panel plus clips) is minimized at a pitch of 3,91 cm (1.54 in.). The minimum-mass Rene⁴¹ panel occurs at a pitch of 2.39 cm (0.94 in.). The middle curve shows a mass-pitch curve for a 50% Haynes 188/50% connects the three calculated points and is an estimated relationship between optimum pitch and surface panel mass. Based on these curves, the greater density of Haynes 188, and the desire to space an even number of corrugations across a 61-cm (24-in.) span, it was decided to use a common pitch of 3.81 cm The results of the study are shown in the figure. It can be seen that the Haynes 188 total mass (1.50 in.) for Haynes 188 and Rene⁴¹. **MASS STUDY RESULTS**

.



Figure 10

SELECTION OF OPTIMUM PRODUCTION SECTIONS (Figure 11)

OPTIMUM HAYNES 188 SECTION

face panel with a mass of 4.27 kg/m² (0.875 lbm/ft²). This section, however, was modified to accommodate surface emittance treatment and material oxidation losses during the 100-mission life. Additionally, selected section, which define the midspan cross section, are also shown. This section produced a sur-A panel with a pitch of 3.81 cm (1.50 in.) was selected for the final design. Dimensions of the The including doublers, attachment rivets, and mass reduction resulting from sculpturing, is 4.536 kg/m^2 (0.929 lbm/ft²). This new design indicated a 22% reduction in mass from the baseline panel. The results of the optimization program for the minimum-mass section are illustrated in the the corrugation lower cap pad was sculptured to minimize mass and provide uniformity of stress. modified section, which was the section that was fabricated, is shown. The mass of this section, figure.

OPTIMUM RENE'41 SECTION

The principal differences between René 41 and Haynes 188 are that René 41 has superior mechanical properties at room temperature and suffers less degradation in mechanical properties at elevated temperassociated dimensions) decreased, and the width-to-thickness ratio for the various elements decreased. ature because its service temperature is lower - 1144 K (1600⁰F) vs 1255 [°]K (1800⁰F). Although the moduli of elasticity are similar, the creep strength of Rene⁴¹ at service temperature is typically 69 MPa (10,000 psi) vs 27.6 MPa (4000 psi) for Haynes 188. The increased creep strength produced two effects on the optimum Rene⁴1 section relative to the Haynes section: the overall section height (and The latter effect resulted from satisfying buckling criteria.











FULLY ASSEMBLED HAYNES 188 SURFACE PANEL



Figure 11

SKIN BEAD FLUTTER AND THERMAL CONSTRAINTS (Figure 12)

SKIN BEAD FLUTTER

skin thickness. The minimum required face-sheet thickness to prevent local flutter of the skin bead was Previous experience with similar designs indicated that flutter requirements could determine the determined using the analysis procedure given in AFFDL-TR-67-140. The procedure is summarized as shown.

LATERAL THERMAL EXPANSION

and the support ribs, which prevent normal displacements. Thermal strains are absorbed by the face sheet beads in bending. The value B/10 is sufficiently large to avoid thermal buckling of the circular arc. The lateral thermal expansion is constrained by the adjacent panel, which prohibits lateral growth,

(The The maximum fiber stress was limited to yield (0.2% permanent deformation) at peak tempera-ture, resulting in an allowable total strain, ϵ_T , and commensurate allowable elastic stress Fallowfactor of safety was taken as 1.0.) The allowable skin thickness lies between the solid line and the appropriate dashed line. HAYNES 188 FLUTTER AND THERMAL CONSTRAINTS



SELECTION OF CRITICAL CONDITIONS

thermal stresses occur. Only thermal stresses resulting from gradients within the surface panel were parallel to the corrugations. The panel was also free to bow up between end supports without incurring any significant bending moments at the end supports. Thermal stresses, therefore, are produced only stresses produced are in a direction parallel to the corrugations. Therefore, they are coincident with when the temperature gradient through the depth of the panel cross section is nonlinear. The thermal considered. The thermal stress analysis assumed that the panel was free to expand in the direction An analysis was performed to determine at which times during the trajectories the maximum the bending stresses produced by surface pressure on the panel. Significant gradients exist only during the following time intervals:

- Boost phase 90 through 160 sec
- Entry phase 60 through 170 sec
- Postentry phase 1700 through 2100 sec

DETERMINATION OF ELEMENT STRESSES

and The thermal-stress model consisted of a simple finite-element representation of the panel cross section, as shown in the insert. The appropriate coefficient of expansion, Young's modulus, areas, temperatures were inputted to a transient-temperature structural analysis computer program which determined the stress level in each element. Examination of the results indicates a fluctuation of stress as the transient temperature gradients ture, the thermal gradients in the panel are very small because almost constant heating conditions exist, combine with the stresses due to aerodynamic pressure loadings were selected. At maximum temperachange with time. From each figure, times which produced the largest thermal stress and which would to small values. The margin of safety was 0.14 for the worst combination of aerodynamic and thermal and the strong radiant heat interchange between panel elements reduces temperature differences stresses.





Figure 13

START OF ENTRY



TIME FROM START OF ENTRY, SEC

Figure 13.- Continued



POSTENTRY



SUPPORT RIB DESIGN (Figure 14) The support rib must transfer aerodynamic pressure and panel inertial loads to the vehicle primary structure while causing a minimum heat short. Two types of supports are used: a flexible one at the expansion joint, and a fixed type where two adjacent panels butt.

Several support rib concepts were considered. To simplify mass comparisons between these designs, the following parameters were fixed: standoff height, 9.22 cm (3.63 in.); web thickness, 0.25 cm (0.010 in.); and upper and lower clip thickness, 0.111 cm (0.044 in.). Full web and truss concepts were also considered.

adequate radii so that flange cracking is eliminated. The beads serve to eliminate thermal stresses and provide vertical stiffness. Heat shorting is reduced from that of the baseline design since lower attach-The selected configuration is something between a full web and a truss. The lower arches have shorting, 0.32-cm (0.125-in.) thick insulating washers, fabricated from a glass-reinforced silicone ments occur at a 7.62-cm (3.0-in.) pitch instead of 3.81 cm (1.50 in.). To further minimize heat laminate, insulate the lower clip from the aluminum primary structure. With a mass of 0.657 kg/m^2 (0.135 lbm/ft²), this design provides a 25% weight reduction from the baseline design.



|·__

Figure 14

DRAG SUPPORT DESIGN (Figure 15)

surface-panel screws in their normal location. The drag load is transferred to the primary structure by four screws at the bottom of the channels. Insulating washers are used under the lower clip to minimize riveted to each side of the center support rib which stabilizes the channels. The channels pick up the Because the support-rib standoffs cannot react loads parallel to the skin corrugations (in the longitudinal or drag direction), a drag support is employed at 30.48-cm (12-in.) intervals laterally along the center support to react these loads. The drag support consists of two bent-up channels heat shorting.

CENTER SUPPORT (FIXED) RIB



Figure 15

THERMAL INSULATION SYSTEM DESIGN & ANALYSIS (Figure 16) The insulation system provides the main barrier to radiative heat transfer from the hot surface panel to the vehicle primary structure. The objective of the program was to obtain the lowest-mass system which would withstand the thermal, cold-soak, and vibration environments.

Only commercially available nonexotic materials were considered. The insulation for the baseline system used for comparison in this study is a homogeneous blanket of 56-kg/m³ (3.5-lbm/ft³) Microquartz enclosed in a bag of resistance-welded Inconel foil. The purpose of the bag was to protect the blanket from excessive moisture absorption and damage during handling. However, since the foil bags must be vented, their effectiveness seems questionable. The bags are costly to fabricate and add 1.56kg/m³ (0.32 lbm/ ft³) to the total TPS mass. For these reasons, protective foil bags were not included in this insulation system design. Further modifications to the baseline system which were considered are:

- The use of lower-density high-temperature insulation: 17.6kg/m³ (1.1-lbm/ft³) Astroquartz
- A composite of low-density insulation (TG 15000) and Microquartz
- The use of metal foil radiation barriers in fibrous insulation

INSULATION SYSTEM COMPARISONS

The oxidizing environment to which the TPS insulation would be exposed results in nickel foil having an unacceptable must be kept low (≈ 0.05) to effect a significant reduction in ρ k, and are advantageous only above 644 K (700°F). density-conductivity (ρ k) product. The ρ k product for Microquartz and Astroquartz with 0.006 cm (0.00025 in.) metal foils inserted as radiation barriers was examined. The results reveal that the emissivity of the foils emissitivity of 0.5 or higher. Aluminum foil has a maximum temperature capability of only 700 K (768°F). The initial comparison of the efficiencies of the insulation candidates was made by comparing the Platinum foils appear effective, but are considered too exotic and expensive.

COMPOSITE SYSTEM SELECTED

Comparison shows that the composite system of Microquartz and TG15000 is the lightest. The mass of the system is 0.29 kg/m² (0.06 lbm/ft²)less than the baseline system which represents a 10% mass reduction. This system was, therefore, selected for use on the test specimens.







HAYNES 188 PANEL INSULATION SYSTEM DIMENSIONS



Figure 16

CONCEPT MASS BREAKDOWN (Figure 17)

obtained by eliminating foil bagging and support hardware, and the use of low-density TG 15000 insulation. The unit mass breakdown of the original baseline design and the new Haynes 188 design is given in the figure. The first column gives the estimated mass of the original system. The second column gives mass of the new design are 25% for the surface panel, 50% for the support structure, and 40% for the insignificant reductions appear for the skin, where the thickness decreased from 0.025 cm (0.010 in.) to the unit mass breakdown of the new design based on nominal material thicknesses. The reductions in 0.0145 cm (0.0057 in.); the support structure, where mass reductions were achieved by reducing the number of lower clips and attaching hardware; and in the insulation system, where reductions were sulation. This results in an overall 35.4% reduction in mass from the baseline design. The most

MASS (ESTIMATED NOMINAL WEIGHTS) COMPARISON OF ORIGINAL BASE LINE AND NEW DESIGN

	ORIGINAL I HAYN	BASELINE IES 25	NEW DE HAYNE	ESIGN S 188
COMPONENT	kg/m ²	LBM/FT ²	kg/m ²	LBM/FT2
	SURFACE PANEL			
SKIN	2.554	0.523	1.3994	0.2866
CORRUGATION	3.242	0.664	2.8749	0.5888
DOUBLERS	- 7 096 0		0.1172 0.1172	0.0299
		+00.0		0.0270
SUBTOTAL % CHANGE	6.060	1.241	4.b3/5 -25	.9293
	SUPPORTS			
	001 0		0 7627	00100
WEBS	0.439	0.030	0 5195	9201 0
LOWER CLIPS	0.801	0.164	0.2671	0.0547
DRAG BRACKET	0.151	0.031	0.0771	0.0158
ATTACH HARDWARE	0.635	0.130	0.1475	0.0302
SUBTOTAL	2.563	.525	1.2744	0.2610
% CHANGE			-50	.3
	INSULATION			
MICROOUARTZ	3.223	0.660	2.7055	0.5541 c
	60/.1	0.350 2	0.2441	0.0500
SUBTOTAL % CHANGE	4.932	1.010	2.9496	0.6041
	2 2 A			4
CHANGE % CHANGE		2.776	8./015 -35.	4 1.7944
^a 8-32 SCREWS AND NUTS USED ^b INCONEL BAGGING AND SUPF	ORTS			
CTG 15000 INSULATION				

Figure 17

TEST SPECIMEN INSTRUMENTATION (Figure 18)

type, spotwelded to the test panel. The Haynes 188 and Rene 41 test articles were instrumented identically. couples (T/C) were installed in the locations indicated to monitor test specimen temperatures. The eight insulated 30-gage wire, and attached with a high-temperature adhesive. All other T/Cs are the ceramo T/Cs, which monitored heat-sink temperatures, were fabricated using chromel/alumel fiberglass-The test specimen instrumentation configuration is shown in the figure. Fifty-three thermo-

PANEL DEFLECTION MEASUREMENTS

Skin-panel deflections were measured at the center of the 51-cm (20.0-in.) test panel. Measurements were made by a cable-type linear-displacement transducer capable of operation in a 477 K (400^oF) environment, with a resolution of 0.003 cm (0.001 in.).

INSULATION SYSTEM TEMPERATURES

1.27 cm (0.5 in.) apart on a support plate. Two such arrangements were employed. One is located at To evaluate temperature gradients through the insulation thickness, four T/Cs were placed the panel center, and one near the flexing rib.

EXPANSION JOINT LEAKAGE

To evaluate expansion joint leakage, three T/Cs were placed in line under the skin, in the expansion joint area. If leakage were to occur, it was expected that the center T/C would record a higher temperature. This arrangement was employed at three locations in the expansion joint area.



Figure 18

667

SUMMARY (Figure 19)

material not needed, the mass of the baseline surface panel was reduced 25%, and the mass of the support structure was reduced 50%. The insulation system mass was reduced 40% by using two types of insulation, and an insulation system. By optimizing the structure for the design loads and by chem-milling to remove 188 and one from Rene⁴¹. A baseline TPS concept, selected at the beginning of the program, consisted A lightweight metallic TPS was designed, and two test articles were fabricated, one from Haynes panel from the baseline Haynes 25 design. Similar reductions were achieved with the Rene⁴¹ system. of a Haynes 25 corrugation-stiffened beaded skin surface panel, a specially designed support system, insulation system. These reductions resulted in an overall 35% reduction in mass of the Haynes 188 each suited to its temperature range, and by eliminating a foil bag which encapsulated the baseline

The overall program led to the following conclusions:

- Rene⁴¹ and Haynes 188 heat shields appear to be viable approaches for a thermal protection system for vehicles sustaining temperatures up to 1255 K (1800⁰F)
- A Rene⁽⁴¹⁾ TPS with a mass of 7.08 kg/m² (1.45 lbm/ft²) and a Haynes 188 TPS with a mass of 8.7615 kg/m² (1.794 lbm/ft²) can be fabricated using state-ot-the-art production techniques
- Two thermal protection systems, optimized for different materials and operating temperatures, can be used as adjacent compatible systems, with only a small decrease in mass efficiency resulting from the compromise

In view of these results, it is concluded that the basic technology for flat metallic TPS is available.

SUMMARY

L

- RENE' 41 AND HAYNES 188 HEAT SHIELDS APPEAR TO BE VIABLE APPROACHES FOR A THERMAL PROTECTION SYSTEM FOR VEHICLES SUSTAINING TEMPERATURES UP TO 1255 K (1800°F)
- A RENE' 41 TPS WITH A MASS OF 7.08 KG/M² (1.45 LBM/FT²) AND A HAVNES 188 TPS WITH A MASS OF 8.7615 KG/M² (1.794 LBM/FT²) CAN BE FABRICATED USING STATE-**OF-THE-ART PRODUCTION**
- TWO THERMAL PROTECTION SYSTEMS, OPTIMIZED FOR DIFFERENT MATERIALS AND OPERATING TEMPERATURES, CAN BE USED AS ADJACENT COMPATIBLE SYSTEMS, WITH ONLY A SMALL DECREASE IN MASS EFFICIENCY RESULTING FROM THE COMPROMISE.

Figure 19



MULTIWALL TPS

,

-

L. Robert Jackson

NASA Langley Research Center

-
INTRODUCTION

(Figure 1)

That is, the load transfer method must have low weight, result in low thermal stresses, In design of thermal protection systems (TPS) for aerospace vehicles, an effective means of transmitting aerodynamic loads (shear and in some cases pressure difference) through the TPS is and not transfer excessive heat to the underlying structure. essential.

This approach requires many small pieces forming a complex installation. The other approach (fig. 1(b)) is to use a load-bearing insulation attached to the primary structure. Since most load-bearing insulastress. One load-bearing insulation developed to date includes sintered quartz-fiber tiles (reusable Two approaches have been developed to satisfy these load-bearing and thermal isolation functions surface insulation of the space shuttle, ref. 2). Generally, the nonmetallic insulations are simple slender metal stand-off supports that penetrate a nonload-bearing insulation (ref. 1). The flexible tions have a high modulus of elasticity, they are usually segmented with small gaps for low thermal stand-offs bend as the shields expand on heating, thus imposing little restraint or thermal stress. materials, consequently, surface frayings, erosion, cracking or breakage rates may be high and may to attach to the structure, but they have a common potential disadvantage. That is, they are weak at low thermal stresses. One approach (figure 1(a)) is to use metal heat shields supported by increase refurbishment requirements.

A need exists for an efficient thermal protection system that has the toughness of the metal shield systems and the simplicity of the load-bearing insulation systems.



THERMAL PROTECTION SYSTEMS

Figure 1

MULTIWALL THERMAL PROTECTION SYSTEM

(Figure 2)

This paper describes a lightweight metallic-load-bearing insulation, fabricated as panels that Thermal stresses are minimized by using Each panel consists of multiple layers of dimpled and plain foils joined simple support that is also slip jointed. With scarfed edge closures, flow seals, and mechanical 5 attachments, the panel installation is designated multiwall TPS and shown in figure dimple crests to form a strong load-bearing insulation. are installed as tiles. at

effort the multiwall TPS was a continuous shell welded to the integral tank, also of multiwall construc-In this Current effort is focused on separate multiwall TPS tiles mechanically attached to the vehicle Initial development of multiwall TPS consisted of analyses and tests of structural and thermal Additional development of a conical multiwall structure, for representative of hydrogen-fueled hypersonic aircraft construction, is reported in reference 4. The current approach facilitates installation of preassembled panels and removal inspection of the structure or repair of the TPS. characteristics reported in reference 3. structure. tion.

addition to a description of multiwall TPS, the theory (which shows how a metallic insulation applications such as the space shuttle and an advanced space transport are reported, and planned wind can be an efficient insulation) is discussed, analyses of multiwall TPS performance for specific tunnel tests are described followed by some concluding remarks. In



Figure 2

Typically, A final factor is the relatively long conduction A second factor is the percentage of through metal which is governed by the thickness 팅 Radiation conductivity is governed primarily by the number of radiation barriers in a given thickness. Also, the emittance of each side of the foils is important since the lower the emittances, the lower This fact has been exploited in the super insulations (ref. 5), which consist of up to 29 foils per the metal selected. For instance, titanium alloys have much lower conductivities than Evacuated superinsulations have the lowest known conductivities. Insulating properties of multiwall TPS panels are best described with the aid of the equations Gaseous Conduction. The gaseous conduction mode is governed principally by the conductivity Metallic Conduction.- The through-metal mode of heat transfer is partly governed by the con-Radiation Conduction. - The third mode of heat transfer through multiwall TPS is radiation. the dimple sheets, dimple contact size, and contact number per unit area of panel surface. given in figure 3, which were derived for reference 3 to approximate the thermal conductivity The radiation component is very important at high temperatures. CONDUCTIVITY OF MULTIWALL TPS through-metal conduction area is less than 0.2 percent. 3 (Figure the gas in the voids of the multiwall panel. (75 foils per inch) of thickness. the radiation transfer. aluminum alloys. ductivity of path length. Ч of

1 1

CONDUCTIVITY OF MULTIWALL TPS





Figure 3

MULTIWALL CONDUCTIVITY AND COMPONENT MODES

(Figure 4)

Gaseous conduction is greater than all other modes at temperatures below 811 K (1000°F). Furthermode offers the least heat transfer through the panel for the selected dimple pitch and foil thickness. Figure 4 shows the apparent conductivity calculated for titanium alloy multiwall TPS and the A surprising result is the metallic conduction contribution of each of the heat transfer modes.

more, if the cell size within the multiwall TPS is too large, free convection will occur, greatly ре р However, a feature of multiwall TPS is that the cell size may reduced to avoid free convection. increasing the heat transfer.

A further consideration related to gas conduction is the use of evacuated multiwall TPS panels. By evacuating to a pressure of 0.001 mm Hg the gas conduction is eliminated. At the low temperature range, evacuation has a significant effect on apparent thermal conductivity.

Figure 4 shows the effect of temperature on radiation transfer, which increases by the cube of the absolute temperature. However, the number of foils has a strong effect on radiation, since doubling the number of foils halves the radiation transfer through multiwall insulation.



MULTIWALL CONDUCTIVITY AND COMPONENT MODES

Ì

MULTIWALL THEORY VS. EXPERIMENT

(Figure 5)

The theory has shown metallic insulation to be thermally and structurally efficient. Experiments have been performed to verify both thermal and structural theories; and, with the exception of some of the structural tests, results were reported in reference 3. Although not exhaustive, the tests did include conductivity determination, resistance to sonic fatigue, thermal cycling, panel buckling, specimen crippling and core crushing. Briefly, these tests and results are discussed in the following sections:

of welded stainless steel with oxidized surfaces and air in the voids at sea-level pressure. Results are shown in figure 5. It is seen that the theory predicts the apparent thermal conductivity through Conductivity. - Apparent thermal conductivity was determined experimentally for two panels, each the test range of average temperatures of from 505K (450°F) to about 1256 K (1800°F).

stainless steel foil with welded edge closures was tested under random noise of 162 dB for 90 minutes. Sonic Fatigue.- A multiwall sandwich panel ((1.27 x 50.8 x 50.8 cm) (0.5 x 20 x 20 in.)) of The panel successfully sustained the sonic environment.

of welded stainless steel foil. After 25 cycles, the hot face sheet, which had the greatest thermal on a multiwall panel. The panel ((2.54 x 30.5 x 30.5 cm) (1.0 x 12 x 12 in.)) was unrestrained and 1242 K (1775°F) in two minutes followed by cooling for two minutes to 450 K (350°F), was performed Thermal Cycling. - Thermal cycling, consisting of heating the hot face from 450 K (350°F) to load, remained attached to the core.



MULTIWALL TPS AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO LRSI

(Figure 6)

This material peak temperature ranging from 589 (600) to 922 K (1200°F). The HRSI is used from 922 K (1200°F) to Consequently the tiles are thin and hence somewhat fragile. Multiwall TPS is being studied The LRSI, as indicated, is used for upper surfaces, which have relatively low heat The primary difference between them is the surface coating for control of emittance and about 1589 K (2400°F), and reinforced carbon-carbon is used for higher temperature areas such as is sintered quartz-fiber tiles, known as LRSI on upper surfaces of the shuttle and HRSI on lower đ LRSI is exposed to An efficient load-bearing insulation was baselined for the space shuttle orbiter. in the NASA base R&T program to determine if it is a viable alternative to LRSI. Figure 6 shows the location of LRSI tiles on the orbiter. leading edges. absorptance. surfaces. loads.

The first body point experiences The first two points are the highest heating rate and surface temperature, therefore results for only this point are given **с** The trajectory used near the nose and the last point is near the midchord of the wing root. Three body point locations, shown in figure 6, have been analyzed. calculate heating rates at all body points is shown in figure 7. in this brief paper. MULTIWALL TPS AS AN ALTERNATIVE FOR LRSI



SHUTTLE TPS DESIGN TRAJECTORY

(Figure 7)

This figure shows the space shuttle trajectory used for design of the TPS. Critical parameters are altitude, velocity, and angle of attack. Each is shown as a function of time. Entry time is about half an hour. -



Altitude (100,000 ft.), velocity (10,000 ft/sec), and angle of attack (100)

SHUTTLE TPS DESIGN TRAJECTORY

enables use of fewer and deeper layers to maintain the structural temperature limit. Figure 8 also about twice that of vented multiwall is used to reduce the density to 80.1 kg/m 3 (5 lbm/ft^3), which To reduce weight, evacuated titanium layers were analyzed. The resulting reduction in conductivity 0.4 represents the condition after cyclic exposure. A further consideration is that volds between equal to that of the LRSI system, and the thickness is about 70 percent greater than that required shows the structure temperature history for evacuated titanium multiwall TPS. A dimple depth of Figure 8 shows temperature histories for the aerodynamic surface and the aluminum structure The availability of this increased thickness to satisfy LRSI thermal requirements. The increased thickness is necessary to fair from areas at the first body point. At this location, the peak temperature of the aerodynamic surface is alloy and the outer layers are nickel alloy. Two emittance values were selected for analysis. The thickness is Ч is principally responsible for vented multiwall satisfying the structural temperature limit. The inner layers are titanium An emittance of 0.2 represents the initial condition with polished foils and an emittance As seen in the figure, the structure temperature is less than the 450 K (350°F) allowable temperature. all layers are vented in the initial study of multiwall TPS. Therefore, a bimetal multiwall TPS is used. (Figure 8) of higher heat load to areas of lower heat load. 903 K (1166°F).

is half that of vented multiwall TPS.

TEMPERATURE HISTORY OF SHUTTLE



TPS
MULTIWALL
THROUGH
DISTRIBUTION
EMPERATURE

(Figure 9)

As indicated, the temperature distribution is nearly linear; consequently, the thermal The temperature distribution through the multiwall TPS at the time of peak heating is shown stresses are small, which may be approximated by: in figure 9.

 $\sigma = E \alpha \Delta T$

In early studies of the space shuttle which considered metal shields, a permanent shield deflection The maximum thermal stress is 68.95 MN/m^2 (10,000 psi) 30.5 cm (12 in.) square panels. This deflection is elastic and is removed as the panel is cooled. where the temperature difference is the difference between the actual temperature distribution and compression. Tensile thermal stress is very low - about 27.6 ${
m MN/m}^2$ (4,000 psi), thus fatigue and Therefore, the thermal bowing of multiwall TPS panels is within acceptable surface roughness for trajectory, since the rate of change of heating rate is low throughout the 30 minute entry time. of 1.27 cm (0.5 in.) in a 50.8 cm (20 in.) span was permitted before replacement of the shield. With simple support of the TPS panels, a center deflection of 0.254 cm (0.10 in.) occurs for crack propagation are not likely modes of failure. This is true for other times during the the linear distribution shown in figure 9. entry vehicles.

previous multiwall development. The thinner gages were selected for current development to reduce The original thicknesses are those used in Two foil thicknesses are indicated in the figure.

the restore of monthly 13, as seen in the next floure.



MASS COMPARISON OF MULTIWALL TPS AND LRSI-FIRST BODY POINT

(Figure 10)

figure 6. Vented multiwall TPS made of super alloy and titanium alloy has a mass of $6.78~\mathrm{kg/m}^2$ This figure lists unit masses for multiwall TPS and LRSI for the first body point shown in (1.39 lbm/ft^2) ; whereas, LRSI has a mass of 5.12 kg/m² (1.05 lbm/ft²) at this location.

thickness by about 25 percent appears to be the simpler way to reduce multiwall TPS mass. However, As indicated, multiwall TPS may be made as light as LRSI by either using thinner foils than fabricated in past developments or evacuating the titanium portion of the panels. Reducing foil life and strength tests are needed to determine the least thickness of foils for a particular application. Should developments lead to reliable evacuated panels, then the thinner foils with evacuation could save mass.

LRSI
AND
TPS
MULTIWALL
Ч
COMPARISON
MASS

(FIRST BODY POINT)

-

は小川の

	i MULI	'IWALL TPS,	LRSI,	MASS DIFFERENCE,
PARAMETER	kg/m	² (Ibm/ft ²)	kg/m ² (Ibm/ft ²)	kg/m ² (Ibm/ft ²)
VENTED BIMETAL	6. 78	(1. 39)	5.12 (1.05)	+ 1.66 (0.34)
VENTED BIMETAL WITH THINNER FOILS	5.12	(1. 05)	5.12 (1.05)	0.0 (0.0)
EVACUATED	5.12	(1. 05)	5. 12 (1. 05)	0.0 (0.0)
EVACUATED AND WITH THINNER FOILS	4. 29	(0, 88)	5.12 (1.05)	- 0.83 (0.17)

Figure 10

ADVANCED SPACE TRANSPORT CONCEPT

(Figure 11)

In future space transportation systems multiwall TPS may find more extensive use than for the The next few figures describe the application of multiwall TPS to an advanced space transport concept. space shuttle.

Consequently, the surface temperatures would be lower, ൻ Advanced space transportation systems may offer versatility through horizontal takeoff and economy through full reuse of all components. One concept employing near-art turbojet-powered To further assist in reusability, future transports may have lower wing loading than the space shuttle. allowing greater use of metallic TPS. boosters is shown in figure 11.

Since every pound saved in the thermal protection system is a pound of payload to orbit, it is evacuated or vented multiwall TPS, thus performance analyses for the future space transport are for essential to have a low mass TPS. However, current development of multiwall is focused on nonvented multiwall using the thinner gages.



I

(SPACE JET)



Figure 11

-

ENTRY TRAJECTORY FOR SPACEJET

(Figure 12)

Increased entry time indicates increased heat load, which combined with onboard LH₂ tanks complicates These foams are limited to aboue 353 K (175°F), which is difficult to meet without a severe TPS mass penalty. The use of multiwall TPS to protect the foam is proposed for the advanced space transport. orbiter of reference 6, a trajectory similar to that of reference 6, given in figure 12, was used to calculate entry heating rates. Thermal analyses of multiwall TPS have been performed for the duration of entry flight. The time is over one hour, more than twice that of the space shuttle. Presently available cryogenic insulations that have long life are polyurethane foams. Since the entry wing loading for the orbiter of figure 11 is about the same as the Boeing advanced space transport shown in figure 11. Of significance to the entry heating is the long the TPS.





ENTRY TRAJECTORY FOR SPACEJET

SPACEJET LH₂ TANK WALL

(Figure 13)

prevents cryopumping of air behind the multiwall TPS panels. Figure 13 shows the wall construction. Bonded to the outside of the tank skin is the closed-cell foam, covered by a Mylar-Aluminum-Mylar The sealed foam filled multiwall pads are bonded to the tank wall. A clip is mounted on each multiwall pad for attaching the TPS panels. Nomex tape under panel edges prevents flow of air between the panels An integrally zee-stiffened skin with ring stabilization serves as the integral tank-structure. and the foam. The TPS thickness shown in this figure results in the temperatures shown in the Foam-Since the location selected for analysis is the liquid hydrogen tank wall, a layer of vapor barrier. The multiwall TPS panels protect the foam from the aerodynamic heating. closed-cell foam is applied to the outer surface of the aluminum integral tank. next figure.



W.



TEMPERATURE HISTORY FOR SPACEJET

(Figure 14)

930 K (1215°F), much lower than the lower surface of the shuttle. This lower temperature is attributable reduction of about 4.88 kg/m² (1.0 $1bm/ft^2$) could result through the development of a closed-cell foam onboard LH₂ tanks. Thus, the combination of high cycle-life foams (ref. 7) and multiwall TPS enable to the lower wing loading of the Spacejet. At a weight of 17.1 kg/m 2 (3.5 $1 bm/ft^2$) for integral tank initial tank wall temperature of 144 K (-200°F). Also, the aerodynamic surface temperature is only Temperature history during entry for the lower surface of the Spacejet is shown in figure 14. (7.5 lbm/ft^2) . This mass is about 4.88 kg/m² (1.0 lbm/ft²) greater than the shuttle which has no structure, and at a total TPS weight of 19.5 $m kg/m^2$ (4.0 $m lbm/ft^2$), the wall weight is 36.6 $m kg/m^2$ use of a rather conventional aluminum structure for future space transports. However, a mass As indicated, the hot face of the foam is near the 353 K (175°F) allowable temperature for an that has long life at a reuse temperature of $478~{
m K}$ ($400^{
m OF}$).

TEMPERATURE HISTORY FOR SPACEJET

101107-1-



PLANNED MULTIWALL TPS TESTS

(Figure 15)

are essential. Moreover, flight demonstration on the space shuttle would increase confidence in Although basic development has been performed on multiwall TPS, newer fabrication processes and foil thicknesses warrant further effort. Tests for strength of multiwall TPS panels are required, and true-temperature wind tunnel tests for determination of joint sealing effectiveness multiwall TPS for future applications.

Figure 15 lists planned tests for multiwall TPS. Presently a contractual effort is underway Figure 15 lists planned tests for multiwall TPS. Presently a contractual effort is underway for fabrication and tests of multiwall TPS tiles. These tests include material characterization and basic thermo-structural data determination of multiwall TPS.

between two panels. Moreover, their testing will include rain impingement for water retention. determination. An array of multiwall TPS panels will be tested in the Langley 8-foot HTST for Langley Research Center tests include sonic and thermal fatigue and cyclic exposure life The Johnson Space Center plans to perform radiant heating tests with a centerline joint The next figure joint effectiveness prior to a flight experiment proposed for the shuttle.

describes the 8-foot HTST test.

PLANNED MULTIWALL TPS TESTS

CONTRACTOR

Strength of diffusion bonds Strength of thin-gage multiwall tiles Emittance of polished and unpolished foils Creep rate to 811 K (1000° F) of titanium alloy Thermal conductivity vs. temperature of multiwall TPS

JOHNSON SPACE CENTER

Radiant heating of panels with a joint Rain impingement (water retention)

LANGLEY RESEARCH CENTER

Sonic environment Cyclic heating (thermal fatigue and oxidation life) Mach 7 tunnel tests of a multiwall TPS tile array OEX flight experiment on the shuttle

Figure 15

MULTIWALL TPS PANEL ARRAY FOR MACH 7 TUNNEL TEST

(Figure 16)

thinner foils are mounted in a cavity flush with the aerodynamic surface. The panels are mechanically The proposed Mach 7 tunnel test of an array of multiwall TPS panels is described in figure 16. the holder. Nine 30.5 x 30.5 cm (12 x 12 in.) panels about 2.0 cm (0.8 in.) thick and made of the Temperature Structures Tunnel at the Langley Research Center. Figure 16 shows the panel array in 811 K (1000°F). Before and after aerothermal testing in the stream, the panels will be heated by attached to a simulated shuttle structure of aluminum. Panel edges are skewed to the stream and deemed sufficient to test the flow seals to determine the effectiveness of the TPS panel joints staggered. The panel holder will have an angle of attack sufficient to heat the TPS panels to temperature history of an entry vehicle may be simulated. Moreover, the time in the stream is The multiwall TPS panels will be mounted in a panel holder used for testing in the 8-Foot High a bank of quartz lamps in the pit of the tunnel. With controlled radiant heating, the entire in a simulated flight environment. MULTIWALL TPS PANEL ARRAY FOR MACH 7 TUNNEL TESTS

王明小学がおと



CONCLUDING REMARKS

This review indicates that the tough efficient TPS provided by multiwall insulation is suited The status of a metallic thermal protection system using load-bearing multiwall insulation is to future aerospace vehicles. given.

A study of multiwall TPS as an alternative to LRSI for the space shuttle indicated that vented multiwall, with foil thicknesses limited to those used in multiwall fabricated to date, is somewhat heavier than LRSI and with reduced foil thicknesses multiwall TPS may equal the weight of LRSI. Metallic conduction through multiwall TPS transfers less heat than gas conduction or radiation. can significantly reduce radiation. At low-to-intermediate temperatures, gas conduction transfers Radiation heat transfer is greatest at high temperatures; however, the number of layers selected Gas conduction may be eliminated by evacuation of panels. Oxidation races will require a trade of foil thickness against life. the most heat through multiwall TPS.

However, one critically needed development is successful testing of an array of TPS Multiwall TPS offers the ductile properties of tough metallic TPS and the simplicity of nonpanels in a hypervelocity environment. metallic TPS.

SYMBOLS

L

Ţ

- A fractional area of metallic conduction m
- E modulus of elasticity
- k thermal conductivity
- k_m thermal conductivity of the metal
- L thickness of multiwall insulation
- n . total number of foils
- P conduction path length
- T temperature
- ΔT temperature difference
- t time
- α coefficient of thermal expansion
- E emittance
- d stress



ROGER A. FIELDS

NASA DRYDEN FLIGHT RESEARCH CENTER
DRYDI	IN FLIGHT RESEARCH CENTER HOT STRUCTURES RESEARCH OBJECTIVES (FIGURE 1)
DRYDEN'	CURRENT HYPERSONIC VEHICLE HOT STRUCTURES RESEARCH PROGRAM DATES
васк то 1969	OUR ORIGINAL OBJECTIVE WAS TO ESTABLISH A RESEARCH PROGRAM TO
STUDY FLIGHT	LOADS MEASUREMENT ON STATE-OF-THE-ART HOT STRUCTURAL CONCEPTS.
THE NATURAL	ALLOUTS OF SUCH A PROGRAM ARE:
1.	TO DEVELOP AND UTILIZE THE LATEST ADVANCEMENTS IN HIGH TEMPERATURE
	STRAIN GAGE TECHNOLOGY
2.	TO GAIN EXPERIENCE IN DESIGNING AND FABRICATING REALISTIC FLIGHT
	HARDWARE FOR LABORATORY TESTING
3.	TO EVALUATE LIGHTWEIGHT HOT STRUCTURE CONCEPTS
4.	TO PROVIDE EXPERIMENTAL DATA FOR EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL
	ANALYSIS AND DESIGN METHODS
5.	TO ADVANCE TESTING TECHNIQUES AND CAPABILITIES.
OUR PROGRAM	EFFORT HAS BEEN ONE OF BOTH EXPERIMENTAL AND THEORETICAL WORK;
THE PRIMARY	EMPHASIS, HOWEVER, HAS BEEN ON THE EXPERIMENTAL PORTION BECAUSE OF
THE UNIQUE C	APABILITIES THAT EXIST AT DRYDEN. AN OVERVIEW OF DRYDEN'S HOT
STRUCTURES P	ROGRAM AND A SUMMARY OF THE STRUCTURAL TEST CAPABILITIES WILL
BE PRESENTED	

DRYDEN FLIGHT RESEARCH CENTER HOT STRUCTURES RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

Party and a state of the state

- FLIGHT LOADS MEASUREMENT RESEARCH
- UTILIZE HIGH TEMPERATURE STRAIN GAGE TECHNOLOGY
- DESIGN AND FABRICATION OF REALISTIC FLIGHT HARDWARE
- EVALUATE LIGHTWEIGHT STRUCTURE CONCEPTS
- EVALUATE STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS AND DESIGN METHODS
- ADVANCE TEST TECHNIQUES AND CAPABILITIES

DFRC FLIGHT LOADS RESEARCH FACILITY (FIGURE 2)

THE CAPABILITY OF TESTING BOTH STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS AND COMPLETE VEHICLES UNDER THE COMBINED EFFECTS OF LOADS AND TEMPERATURES, AND CALIBRATING AND EVALUATING REQUIREMENT OF MEASURING FLIGHT LOADS ON AIRCRAFT AT SUPERSONIC AND HYPERSONIC THE FLIGHT LOADS RESEARCH FACILITY WAS CONSTRUCTED IN 1966 TO FULFILL A AND LOAD AIRCRAFT UNDER SIMULATED FLIGHT CONDITIONS. THE FACILITY DOES HAVE RESULTING ELEVATED TEMPERATURE ENVIRONMENTS REQUIRED THE CAPABILITY TO HEAT SPEEDS. THE PROBLEMS INVOLVED IN MEASURING LOADS WITH STRAIN GAGES IN THE FLIGHT LOADS INSTRUMENTATION UNDER CONDITIONS EXPECTED IN FLIGHT.





and on a communication terminal TV screen. When conducting heating tests, the normal TIME OR POST-TEST) BY THE SYSTEM ON STRIPCHARTS, A CRT, XY-PLOTTERS, A LINE PRINTER, THE TOTAL SYSTEM CAN, THEREFORE, HANDLE 1200 CHANNELS OF DATA. TRANSDUCER DATA CAN CRT DISPLAY MAY BE REPLACED BY A DISPLAY OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE MEASURED AND EACH CHANNEL QUISITION SYSTEM HAS 12 SITES, EACH OF WHICH CAN ACCOMMODATE UP TO 100 TRANSDUCERS. BE RECORDED IN RANGES FROM ±5 MV TO ±4 V. THE TRANSDUCER SIGNAL FROM THE SITES IS RELAYED TO THE CENTRAL CONTROL SYSTEM AND A HIGH-SPEED DIGITAL COMPUTER. THE COM-UNITS. THERE ARE 92 POSSIBLE CHANNELS OF DATA THAT MAY BE DISPLAYED (EITHER REAL-PROGRAMMED TEMPERATURES AT ALL CONTROL LOCATIONS. THIS ENABLES THE TEST CONDUCTOR PUTER FORMATS THE INCOMING DIGITAL DATA FOR RECORDING ON ONE OF TWO MAGNETIC TAPE system has the capability of controlling up to 512 independent control zone areas with a total of 20 megawatts of electrical power for a single test. The data ac-ONE UTILIZING THE FACILITY'S DATA ACQUISITION AND CONTROL SYSTEM. THIS HEATING SAFE PROVISIONS ARE INCORPORATED INTO THE LOADING SYSTEM TO INSURE THE SAFETY OF THE ELECTROHYDRAULIC HAS A POWER CAPABILITY OF 100 KILOWATTS. THE SECOND HEATING SYSTEM IS A DIGITAL LOADING SYSTEM CONSISTS OF 24 CHANNELS OF CLOSED-LOOP CONTROL. NUMEROUS FAIL-FLIGHT VEHICLES. STRUCTURAL HEATING TESTS USING INFRARED QUARTZ LAMPS CAN BE CONDUCTED WITH EITHER OF TWO HEATING CONTROL SYSTEMS. THE FIRST IS AN ANALOG SYSTEM WITH A CAPABILITY OF PROVIDING 24 CHANNELS OF HEATING CONTROL. TO ASSESS THE STATUS OF A LARGE SCALE HEATING TEST WITH ONE GLANCE. THE CAPABILITIES OF THE FACILITY ARE SUMMARIZED HERE.

DFRC FLIGHT LOADS RESEARCH FACILITY CAPABILITIES (FIGURE 3)

LOAD I NG

24 CHANNEL CLOSED-LOOP CONTROL ELECTROHYDRAULIC SYSTEM

HEATING

24 CHANNEL ANALOG SYSTEM / 100 KILOWATTS PER CHANNEL

512 CHANNEL DIGITAL SYSTEM / 20 MEGAWATTS TOTAL

DATA ACQUISITION

> 4 12 DATA ACQUISITION SITES / 100 CHANNELS EACH MEASUREMENT RANGES FROM \pm 5 mV TO \pm DATA RECORDING / 2 MAGNETIC TAPE UNITS

DATA DISPLAY

DISPLAY DEVICES / STRIPCHARTS, CRT, XY-PLOTTERS, LINE PRINTER, COMMUNICATION TERMINAL 92 CHANNELS OF DISPLAYED DATA

DRYDEN FLIGHT RESEARCH CENTER HOT STRUCTURES PROGRAM (FIGURE 4)

LOADED BEADED PANELS WERE REPLACED ON THE WING STRUCTURE WITH ADVANCED TUBULAR PANELS LANGLEY CONTRACT TO LOCKHEED. PROMISING HOT STRUCTURE WING CONCEPTS WERE IDENTIFIED 8 RESEARCH VEHICLE. THIS TEST ARTICLE WAS ALSO TO INCORPORATE THE BEADED PANEL CON-CONSTRUCT A SINGLE-CELL BOX UTILIZING THE BEADED SKIN CONCEPT FOR EXPERIMENTAL TEST-IN THAT WORK. AS A RESULT, DRYDEN AWARDED A CONTRACT TO NORTH AMERICAN ROCKWELL TO ING AT DRYDEN. DURING THE COURSE OF THIS TEST PROGRAM, ANOTHER CONTRACT WAS LET TO THE CONCLUSION OF SUCCESSFUL TESTS OF THE BEADED PANEL CONCEPT, THE MOST CRITICALLY CEPT AND WOULD UNDERGO AN EXTENSIVE HEATING AND LOADING TEST PROGRAM AT DRYDEN. AT THAT HAD BEEN DESIGNED AND CONSTRUCTED BY THE BOEING COMPANY UNDER A CONTRACT FROM MARTIN MARIETTA TO DESIGN AND CONSTRUCT A REALISTIC PORTION OF THE WING ON A MACH DRYDEN'S HOT STRUCTURES PROGRAM FOR HYPERSONIC VEHICLES WAS A FALLOUT OF A LANGLEY.

TEMPERATURE CAPACITIVE STRAIN GAGE SYSTEM. THIS SYSTEM PROVIDED MEASUREMENTS OF THE OTHER IMPORTANT INGREDIENT TO OUR PROGRAM WAS THE DEVELOPMENT OF A HIGH strain at temperatures up to 1089 K (1500 $^{0}{
m F}$). The system was developed under A NASA CONTRACT TO THE BOEING CO.





DESIGN DATA FOR DELTA WING X-15 AND HYPERSONIC WING BOX (FIGURE 5)

THE DESIGN OF THE WING BOX OF THE ROCKWELL CONTRACT WAS BASED ON AVAILABLE AN AREA OF THE WING ROOT NEAR THE AFT PORTION OF THE WING WAS IDENTIFIED AS THE dynamic pressure of 105 kN/m^2 (2200 lb/ft²), and a normal load factor of 3 g's. PARAMETERS FOR THE WING BOX, BASED ON A THERMO-STRUCTURAL MISSION, WERE A PEAK VELOCITY OF 2320 M/SEC (7600 FT/SEC) AT AN ALTITUDE OF 25,300 M (83,000 FT), A MOST CRITICALLY LOADED. THE DESIGN OF THE WING BOX WAS, THEREFORE, BASED ON design data for the proposed delta-wing X-15 airplane. The critical design THE LOADS AND TEMPERATURES FOR THAT LOCATION.

DESIGN DATA FOR DELTA WING X-15 AND HYPERSONIC WING BOX



Launch weight = 247 kn (55,500 LB) Landing weight = 79 kn (17,800 LB) max velocity = 2,460 m/sec (8,060 ft/sec) wing design

VELOCITY = 2,320 M/SEC (7,600 FT/SEC) ALTITUDE = 25,300 m (83,000 FT) DYNAMIC PRESSURE = 105 kn/m^2 (2,200 LB/FT²) NORMAL ACCELERATION = 3 G

ALSO PROVIDED UNDER THE CONTRACT FOR INDEPENDENT LOADING TESTS AT ROOM TEMPERATURE. THE HARDWARE DELIVERED TO DRYDEN CONSISTED OF THIS SINGLE-CELL BOX EMPLOYING FABRICATED WITH RENÉ 41. THREE ADDITIONAL BEADED PANELS OF STAINLESS STEEL WERE THE BEADED PANEL CONCEPT AND CORRUGATED SPARS AND WEBS. THIS STRUCTURE WAS HYPERSONIC WING-BOX HARDWARE (FIGURE 6)

HYPERSONIC WING-BOX HARDWARE



Figure 6

ROOM TEMPERATURE BEADED PANEL TEST RESULTS (FIGURE 7)

THE RESULTS OF INPLANE SHEAR, COMPRESSION, AND NORMAL PRESSURE LOAD TESTS ON THE BEADED PANELS ARE SHOWN HERE. IN EACH CASE, THE FAILURE LOADS EXCEEDED THE DESIGN ULTIMATE LOADS.



ROOM TEMPERATURE BEADED PANEL TEST RESULTS

2360 N/CM (1350 LB/IN.)/DIAGONAL

1930 N/CM (1100 LB/IN.)

COMPRESSION

SIGNIFICANT HYPERSONIC BOX TEST RESULTS (FIGURE 8)

A TOTAL OF 36 TESTS WERE CONDUCTED ON THE BOX STRUCTURE. THIRTEEN OF THOSE THE PANEL AT THAT TEMPERATURE. CAPACITANCE STRAIN GAGES THAT HAD BEEN INSTALLED TESTS WERE CARRIED OUT TO INDICATED FAILURES USING A REAL TIME FORCE STIFFNESS (550⁰ F) AT A LOAD APPROXIMATELY 20 PERCENT ABOVE THE DESIGN ULTIMATE LOAD FOR ON THE TEST PANEL WERE UTILIZED IN TESTS TO 922 K (1200⁰ F). IN GENERAL, THE GAGES OPERATED SATISFACTORILY, BUT SOME PROBLEMS WITH THE STRAIN GAGE WIRING rechnique. The beaded test panel of the box failed during a test to 560 K WERE IDENTIFIED SIGNIFICANT HYPERSONIC BOX TEST RESULTS

- A TOTAL OF 36 TESTS WERE CONDUCTED ON THE BOX
- FAILURES USING A REAL TIME FORCE-STIFFNESS TECHNIQUE 13 OF THE TESTS WERE CARRIED OUT TO INDICATED
- PANEL FAILURE EXCEEDED DESIGN ULTIMATE
- HIGH TEMPERATURE CAPACITANCE STRAIN GAGES USED AT 922 K (1200 ^oF)

of 2.5 g's and a dynamic pressure of 83.8 kN/m² (1750 LB/FT²). The test setup was TROLLED TEMPERATURE ZONES TO PROVIDE THE REQUIRED MACH & TEMPERATURE PROFILES WITH PURPOSES OF STUDYING FLIGHT LOADS MEASUREMENT, FOR STRAIN, DEFLECTION AND TEMPERA-LOADED PANELS ALONG THE WING ROOT HAVE BEEN REPLACED WITH ADVANCED TUBULAR PANELS TURE MEASUREMENTS TO COMPARE WITH ANALYSIS AND DESIGN DATA, AND FOR TEST MONITOR-ING AND CONTROL. THE TOTAL NUMBER OF DATA CHANNELS WAS 824. THE TESTING PROGRAM INCLUDED LOAD CALIBRATIONS AT ROOM TEMPERATURE, AND DESIGN ULTIMATE LOADS AT ROOM STRUCTURE. THE WING WAS DESIGNED FOR CRUISE AT MACH 8 WITH A NORMAL ACCELERATION THE NEXT STRUCTURE TO BE TESTED IN OUR PROGRAM WAS THE HYPERSONIC WING TEST DESIGNED SO THAT TWO LARGE INFRARED HEATERS COULD BE ROLLED IN PLACE OR REMOVED BEEN SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETED WITH THE BEADED PANEL CONCEPT. THE MOST CRITICALLY LOADING OF THE STRUCTURE IS ACCOMPLISHED THROUGH THE USE OF 20 HYDRAULIC JACKS. TEMPERATURE AND MACH 8 PROFILE TEMPERATURE. TESTING OF THE WING STRUCTURE HAS EASILY FROM THE WING STRUCTURE. THE HEATING SYSTEM USES 89 INDEPENDENTLY CON-Maximum heat shield temperatures of 1310 K (1900 ^of), horizontal and vertical THE UNISTRUT FRAMEWORK, SHOWN ABOVE THE WING, WAS USED TO SUPPORT DISPLACEMENT FRANSDUCERS DURING ROOM TEMPERATURE TESTS. THE WING WAS INSTRUMENTED FOR THE AND TESTING IS CURRENTLY IN PROGRESS.

TEST SETUP FOR HWTS (FIGURE 9)



TEST SETUP FOR HWTS

ł





BEADED PANEL COMPRESSION TEST (Figure 10)

WAS TESTED AT ROOM TEMPERATURE UNDER A COMPRESSIVE LOAD TO FAILURE; THE FAILURE DURING TESTING, A MOIRÉ FRINGE TECHNIQUE WAS USED TO DEFINE OUT-OF-PLANE PANEL MODE AND LOAD WERE COMPARED WITH DESIGN PREDICTIONS AND TO A NASTRAN ANALYSIS. UNDER A GRANT TO THE UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS, A STUDY WAS CONDUCTED ON AN INDIVIDUAL BEADED PANEL FROM THE HYPERSONIC WING TEST STRUCTURE. THE PANEL DEFORMATIONS. BEADED PANEL COMPRESSION TEST



OF THE PANEL. INTERFERENCE FRINGES ARE PRUDUCED ON THE PANEL SURFACE; PRODEEDING IATELY IN FRONT OF THE PANEL AND A LIGHT SOURCE WAS POSITIONED ABOVE AND IN FRONT PANEL, SUCH AS THE PEAK OF A BEAD, ARE A CONSTANT DISTANCE FROM THE GRID GLASS. CONDITION IS SHOWN. A GLASS WITH A GRID OF PARALLEL LINES IS SUPPORTED IMMED-DISTANCE OF 1.22 MM (0.048 IN.). ALL POINTS ALONG A PARTICULAR FRINGE ON THE THE MOIRÉ FRINGE PATTERN THAT WAS ESTABLISHED ON THE PANEL AT A NO-LOAD FROM ONE FRINGE TO AN ADJACENT FRINGE REPRESENTS A CHANGE OF OUT-OF-PLANE

MOIRÉ FRINGE PHOTOGRAPH (NO LOAD) (Figure 11) MOIRÉ FRINGE PHOTOGRAPH (NO LOAD)



THE PANEL HAD AN ELASTIC BUCKLING FAILURE PRIOR TO THIS PHOTOGRAPH. THE MEASURED PLANE DEFORMATION IS APPARENT ON THE CENTER BEAD, BASED ON THE NUMEROUS FRINGES ALONG THE BEAD PEAK. THE PHOTOGRAPH ALSO SHOWS LATERAL INPLANE DEFORMATION OF THE CENTER BEAD. POST-TEST STRAIN GAGE DATA SHOWED THAT THE CENTER PORTION OF OUT-OF-PLANE DEFORMATION AT THE CENTER OF THE PANEL WAS ABOUT 10 MM (0.4 IN.). PANEL TO MEASURE OUT-OF-PLANE DISPLACEMENTS AT A FEW DISCRETE LOCATIONS. THE POTENTIOMETRIC DISPLACEMENT TRANSDUCERS WERE INSTALLED ON THE BACKSIDE OF THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE TRANSDUCER DATA AND THE MOIRE GRID DATA WAS EXCELLENT. THE PANEL IS SHOWN JUST PRIOR TO ULTIMATE FAILURE. SIGNIFICANT OUT-OF-

MOIRÉ FRINGE PHOTOGRAPH (PRIOR TO ULTIMATE FAILURE (FIGURE 12)

MOIRÉ FRINGE PHOTOGRAPH (PRIOR TO ULTIMATE FAILURE)

関い



Figure 12

MOIRÉ FRINGE PHOTOGRAPH (AFTER FAILURE) (Figure 13) THE FAILED PANEL WITH LOCAL BUCKLES AT THE CENTER CROSS-SECTION IS SHOWN.

MOIRÉ FRINGE PHOTOGRAPH (AFTER FAILURE)



PANEL	
SKIN	
BEADED	
HYPERSONIC	(†)
THE	RE J
FOR	FIGU
CURVES	<u> </u>
INTERACTION	
STRENGTH	

THE PANEL EXCEEDED THE EXPECTATIONS BASED ON THE DESIGN DATA FOR THIS PARTICULAR BEADED PANEL STRENGTH INTERACTION CURVES THAT WERE CALCULATED FOR ELEVATED TEMPERATURE WITH A NORMAL PRESSURE LOAD AND ROOM TEMPERATURE WITH NO PRESSURE ARE SHOWN. PANEL COMPRESSION IS PLOTTED AS A FUNCTION OF PANEL SHEAR. ALSO ON THIS FIGURE, IS THE POINT AT WHICH THE TEST DATA SHOWED THE PANEL TO FAIL. LOAD CONDITION BY 20 PERCENT.



「「「「「「「「」」」」

LOAD MEASUREMENT CALIBRATION POINTS AND REFERENCE AXES FOR HWTS (FIGURE 15)

THE LOCATIONS WHERE VERTICAL LOADS WERE APPLIED, ONE AT A TIME, TO CALIBRATE THE WING STRUCTURE WAS INSTRUMENTED WITH BOTH SHEAR AND BENDING STRAIN GAGE BRIDGES MEASUREMENT RESEARCH ON A HYPERSONIC VEHICLE HOT STRUCTURAL WING CONCEPT. THE STRAIN GAGE BRIDGES. DATA FROM THESE CALIBRATION LOADINGS WERE USED TO DERIVE AT THE LOCATIONS SHOWN BY THE SQUARE SYMBOLS. THE SOLID CIRCULAR SYMBOLS ARE LOAD EQUATIONS FOR THE MEASUREMENT OF WING SHEAR, BENDING MOMENT, AND TORQUE. ONE OF THE IMPORTANT ASPECTS OF OUR PROGRAM WAS TO PERFORM FLIGHT LOADS



DISTRIBUTED LOADING USED TO COMPUTE PERFORMANCE OF LOAD EQUATIONS (FIGURE 16)

THIS FIGURE SHOWS THREE DISTRIBUTED LOAD CONDITIONS THAT WERE APPLIED TO THE WING STRUCTURE TO PROVIDE A CHECK ON THE LOAD EQUATIONS THAT WERE DERIVED. THE DIRECTION AND LENGTH OF THE ARROWS REPRESENT THE DIRECTION AND MAGNITUDE OF THE LOADS THAT WERE APPLIED TO EACH LOCATION. ALL OF THE LOADS OF EACH CONDITION (A, B, AND C) WERE APPLIED SIMULTANEOUSLY. DISTRIBUTED LOADING USED TO COMPUTE PERFORMANCE OF LOAD EQUATIONS



Figure 16

LOADING C

COMPARISON OF CALCULATED AND APPLIED LOADS (FIGURE 17)

EQUATIONS AND THE ACTUAL APPLIED LOADS IS SHOWN. THE EQUATIONS, WITH ONE EXCEPTION, IN THIS AREA INCLUDES THE USE OF NASTRAN AS A TOOL TO GENERATE STRAINS FOR DERIVING ITION. THESE DATA ARE THE RESULT OF WORK AT ROOM TEMPERATURE ONLY; SIMILAR WORK THE PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE OR ERROR BETWEEN LOADS CALCULATED WITH STRAIN GAGE PARTICULARLY DIFFICULT ONE, SINCE HORIZONTAL LOADS WERE INTRODUCED IN THAT COND-IS IN PROGRESS FOR ELEVATED TEMPERATURE CONDITIONS. OTHER WORK THAT IS ONGOING IMENTAL STRAIN GAGE CALIBRATION IS AN ESSENTIAL PART OF A FLIGHT LOADS MEASURE-**EXPER-**4 CONDITION A, FOR WHICH THE BENDING MOMENT EQUATION DID NOT DO TOO WELL, WAS CALCULATED THE LOADS FROM THE THREE CONDITIONS TO WITHIN 5 PERCENT. LOAD LOAD EQUATIONS ANALYTICALLY. IN GENERAL, HOWEVER, WE'VE FOUND THAT AN MENT PROGRAM. COMPARISON OF CALCULATED AND APPLIED LOADS

,



THERMAL STRESS EXPERIMENT (FIGURE 18)

THE ANOTHER ONGOING PROGRAM AT DRYDEN, CONCERNING HYPERSONIC STRUCTURAL CONCEPTS, PRIMARY OBJECTIVE OF THIS PROGRAM IS TO ASSESS HOW WELL THERMAL STRESSES CAN BE AND THE DETERMINATION OF THERMAL STRESS TIME HISTORIES AND THERMAL STRESS DIS-PREDICTED USING NASTRAN. THIS INVOLVES NASTRAN MODELING OF THE TEST STRUCTURE TRIBUTION AT SPECIFIC TIME SLICES. THIS PROGRAM EVOLVED DURING RECENT STUDIES IS A THERMAL STRESS EXPERIMENT USING A HEAT-SINK OR LOCKALLOY TEST STRUCTURE. OF A HEAT-SINK STRUCTURAL CONCEPT FOR THE NATIONAL HYPERSONIC FLIGHT RESEARCH FACILITY. THERMAL STRESS EXPERIMENT

l

(USING LOCKALLOY TEST STRUCTURE)

OBJECTIVE: ASSESS HOW WELL THERMAL STRESSES CAN BE PREDICTED USING NASTRAN

- NASTRAN MODELING
- THERMAL STRESS TIME HISTORIES
- THERMAL STRESS DISTRIBUTION
LOCATION OF LOCKALLOY TEST SPECIMEN ON VEHICLE (FIGURE 19)

PORTION OF THE LOWER FUSELAGE AS SHOWN IN THIS FIGURE. THE COMPONENTS ARE BEING INSTRUMENTED WITH STRAIN GAGES AND THERMOCOUPLES TO PROVIDE DATA FOR LABORATORY TEST SPECIMENS WERE FABRICATED TO REPRESENT A RECTANGULAR COMPARISON WITH ANALYSIS. LABORATORY TESTS OF THE COMPONENTS CONSIST OF SUBJECTING THE SKINS TO SUPERSONIC AND HYPERSONIC HEATING PROFILES.



Ĺ



TEST SPECIMENS (FIGURE 20)

SHOWN WITH THE LOCKALLOY SKINS ATTACHED. THREE DIFFERENT BACKUP STRUCTURES WERE SPECIMENS BOTH HAVE BEAMS WITH SOLID WEBS; HOWEVER, ONE IS FABRICATED WITH TI-CONSTRUCTED. A TRUSS STRUCTURE IS SHOWN IN THE FOREGROUND; THE AFT TWO TEST THE THREE TEST SPECIMENS ARE SHOWN; ONLY THE ONE IN THE BACKGROUND IS TANIUM AND THE OTHER WITH STAINLESS STEEL.



御服が

TEST SPECIMEN

NASTRAN STRUCTURAL MODEL (FIGURE 21) THE NASTRAN STRUCTURAL MODEL CONSISTS OF ONE QUARTER OF THE TEST STRUCTURE, PANEL, AND ROD ELEMENTS AND 100 GRID POINTS. TEMPERATURE INPUTS TO THE MODEL TAKING ADVANTAGE OF SYMMETRY CONDITIONS. THE MODEL CONTAINS 236 BAR, SHEAR WERE THOSE MEASURED DURING TESTS.



749

1_

TRUSS STRUCTURE THERMAL STRESS PROFILES (FIGURE 22)

(85,000 FT). THE SKIN TEMPERATURES REACHED 560 K (550° F). MAXIMUM STRESSES OF TIME AT FOUR LOCATIONS ARE SHOWN. THE HEATING PROFILE FOR THIS CASE WAS FOR A 344 kN/m^Z (50,000 psi) were obtained on the lower caps nearest the skin. The MEASURED AND NASTRAN PREDICTED THERMAL STRESSES AS A FUNCTION OF PROFILE HYPERSONIC FLIGHT TO A MACH NUMBER OF 6.0 AT AN ALTITUDE OF ABOUT 25,000 M DATA SHOWN ARE FOR THE TRUSS STRUCTURE. CORRELATION OF THE MEASURED AND PREDICTED DATA IS VERY GOOD. TRUSS STRUCTURE THERMAL STRESS PROFILES

L

State of the second

HYPERSONIC PROFILE





Figure 22

4

TRUSS STRUCTURE THERMAL STRESSES AT MAXIMUM TEMPERATURE (FIGURE 23)

ARE SHOWN FOR A TIME SLICE AT THE MAXIMUM TEMPERATURE. THE MAXIMUM STRESS LEVELS MEASURED AND PREDICTED STRESSES FOR THE BEAM CROSS-SECTIONS AND THE SKIN ARE AT THE LOWER CAPS AND THE DATA CORRELATION IS QUITE GOOD. SIMILAR TESTS ARE CURRENTLY BEING CONDUCTED ON THE SOLID WEB STRUCTURAL CONFIGURATIONS.



TRUSS STRUCTURE THERMAL STRESSES AT MAXIMUM TEMPERATURE

開始

SHUTTLE ELEVON SEALS SYSTEM TESTS (FIGURE 24)

THE END OF THIS YEAR, IS THE SHUTTLE ELEVON SEALS SYSTEM TESTS. THE SPACE SHUTTLE STRUCTURE OR SYSTEMS IN THAT AREA. IT IS THEREFORE NECESSARY TO TEST THE ELEVON AND DEMONSTRATE THE SYSTEM STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY AND COMPATIBILITY WITH THE WING PREVENT HOT GASES FROM ENTERING THE CAVITY BETWEEN THE WING AND ELEVON. DURING WING BOX STRUCTURE TO FORM A REPRESENTATIVE TEST ARTICLE APPROXIMATELY 3.7 M BY ELEVON DESIGN. THE ELEVON SEALS WILL BE ASSEMBLED WITH AN OUTBOARD ELEVON AND PRESSURE. THE TESTS WILL VERIFY THE FUNCTIONAL CAPABILITY OF THE SEALS SYSTEM A PROJECT THAT IS SCHEDULED TO BE TESTED IN OUR FACILITY, BEGINNING NEAR ELEVONS CONTAIN A SEAL SYSTEM CONSISTING OF PRIMARY AND REDUNDANT SEALS WHICH SEALS SYSTEM UNDER THE COMBINED EFFECTS OF HEATING, LOADING, AND DIFFERENTIAL REENTRY, EXCESSIVE LEAKAGE OF THE ELEVON SEALS CAN RESULT IN OVERHEATING THE 3.7 M (12 FT BY 12 FT).

SHUTTLE ELEVON SEALS SYSTEM TESTS

- --



- OBJECTIVES :
- VERIFY FUNCTIONAL CAPABILITY OF THE ELEVON SEALS SYSTEM 0
 - O DEMONSTRATE SYSTEM STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY
- DEMONSTRATE SYSTEM COMPATIBILITY WITH WING/ELEVON DESIGN 0
 - Figure 24

CONCLUDING REMARKS

CONCEPTS WE HAVE LOOKED AT AND THE METHODS OF FLIGH' LOADS MEASUREMENTS ON THESE HYPERSONIC VEHICLES HAS BEEN DONE AT DRYDEN. ALL OF OUR WORK IS NOT COMPLETE AT RESULTS OF OUR PROGRAMS HAVE BEEN A POSITIVE STEP IN VALIDATING THE USE OF THE A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF EXPERIMENTAL WORK ON HOT STRUCTURE CONCEPTS FOR THIS POINT AND THERE ARE STILL PROBLEM AREAS TO BE RESOLVED. HOWEVER, THE CONCEPTS. SESSION V - TANKAGE AND INSULATION

- --- ·

.

LIQUID HYDROGEN TANKAGE DESIGN* by George W. Davis Lockheed-California Company, Burbank, Calif.

INTRODUCTION

ducted by the Lockheed-California Company was directed at exploring the design problems presented by using liquid hydrogen (LH₂) for fuel in advanced commercial transport aircraft. A recent study con-Concern for the potential short supply of petroleum-base fuels has led to a series of studies sponsored by NASA which have explored the technological aspects and established the potential of the fuel system of a representative ${
m LH}_2-$ fueled transport.

incorporates a preferred design of fuel system. That aircraft was then compared with a conventionally candidate designs of tank structure and cryogenic insulation systems were evaluated. Designs of all fueled subsonic transport aircraft. Several engine concepts were examined to determine a preferred major elements of the aircraft fuel system including pumps, lines, valves, regulators, and heat ex-This study was performed to define the characteristics of an efficient fuel system for a LH $_{\sigma}^{-}$ efficient containment of the liquid hydrogen fuel in aircraft tanks received major emphasis. Many design which most effectively exploits the characteristics of hydrogen. The problems related to changers received attention. A final design ${
m LH}_2$ -fueled transport aircraft was established which fueled counterpart designed to equivalent technology standards. This paper will present a summary of the results of the structural evaluation associated with the design of the liquid hydrogen tank.

* This study was funded by NASA/LaRC under contract NAS1-14614 with Mr. Robert D. Witcofski as technical monitor.

21

BASELINE LH2-FUELED SUBSONIC TRANSPORT (Figure 1)

in the 1990s. The alrcraft was sized to carry 400 passengers 10 200 km (5500 n.mi.) at a cruise speed of Mach 0.85. The takeoff gross weight (TOGW) is 177 700 kg (391 700 lbm) with 27 900 kg (61 600 lbm) This baseline LH,-fueled aircraft was conceptually designed in a previous study (Reference 1) in wing span 53.0 m (174 ft), fuselage length 66.9 m (219.4 ft) and the overall height 18.6 m (61.1 ft). which advanced technology features were incorporated representing an initial operational capability of LH_2 fuel. In addition, some basic airplane dimensions are shown in this figure and include the

The fuel tanks are located in the fuselage as indicated in this figure. In order to focus design and analysis attention as much as possible on constructive aspects, the aft tank of this aircraft was selected and used as the model for evaluation of candidate structure and insulation concepts.

ł



TANK DESIGN STUDY (Figure 2)	An investigation to determine a preferred concept for the fuel-tank design was conducted in parallel with that of the insulation study. This figure displays the study elements included in the	structural investigation. Design criteria and loads were established, structural concepts for both	integral and nonintegral type tanks were screened, and the most promising shapes selected. Para- metric studies were conducted to determine:	• A preferred shape for the fuel-tank domes	 The viability of using pressure stabilized structure 	 The effects of designing the tank for different pressure levels 	• The effect on economics of specifying a reduced design life for the tank structure	 A suspension system for each basic tank type 	As a result of the insulation-system and structural-concepts screening studies, four preferred fuel	containment systems were selected and subjected to a further evaluation to determine which is best	for application in a commercial transport aircraft.
 An investigation to determine a preferred concept for the fuel-tank design was conducted in parallel with that of the insulation study. This figure displays the study elements included in the structural investigation. Design criteria and loads were established, structural concepts for both integral and nonintegral type tanks were screened, and the most promising shapes selected. Parametric studies were conducted to determine: A preferred shape for the fuel-tank domes The viability of using pressure stabilized structure The viability of using pressure stabilized structure The effects of designing the tank for different pressure levels The effect on economics of specifying a reduced design life for the tank structure A suspension system for each basic tank type A suspension system and structural-concepts screening studies, four preferred fuel containment systems were selected to a further evaluation to determine which is best for application in a commercial transport aircraft. 	 structural investigation. Design criteria and loads were established, structural concepts for both integral and nonintegral type tanks were screened, and the most promising shapes selected. Parametric studies were conducted to determine: A preferred shape for the fuel-tank domes A preferred shape for the fuel-tank domes The viability of using pressure stabilized structure The viability of using pressure stabilized structure The effects of designing the tank for different pressure levels The effect on economics of specifying a reduced design life for the tank structure A suspension system for each basic tank type A suspension system and structural-concepts screening studies, four preferred fuel containment systems were selected and subjected to a further evaluation to determine which is best for application in a commercial transport aircraft. 	 Integral and nonintegral type tanks were screened, and the most promising shapes selected. Farametric studies were conducted to determine: A preferred shape for the fuel-tank domes The viability of using pressure stabilized structure The viability of using pressure stabilized structure The effects of designing the tank for different pressure levels The effect on economics of specifying a reduced design life for the tank structure A suspension system for each basic tank type A suspension system and structural-concepts screening studies, four preferred fuel containment systems were selected and subjected to a further evaluation to determine which is best for application in a commercial transport aircraft. 	 A preferred shape for the fuel-tank domes The viability of using pressure stabilized structure The effects of designing the tank for different pressure levels The effect on economics of specifying a reduced design life for the tank structure A suspension system for each basic tank type A suspension system and structural-concepts screening studies, four preferred fuel containment systems were selected and subjected to a further evaluation to determine which is best for application in a commercial transport aircraft. 	 The viability of using pressure stabilized structure The effects of designing the tank for different pressure levels The effect on economics of specifying a reduced design life for the tank structure A suspension system for each basic tank type A suspension system and structural-concepts screening studies, four preferred fuel containment systems were selected and subjected to a further evaluation to determine which is best for application in a commercial transport aircraft. 	 The effects of designing the tank for different pressure levels The effect on economics of specifying a reduced design life for the tank structure A suspension system for each basic tank type 	 The effect on economics of specifying a reduced design life for the tank structure A suspension system for each basic tank type A suspension system for each basic tank type As a result of the insulation-system and structural-concepts screening studies, four preferred fuel containment systems were selected and subjected to a further evaluation to determine which is best for application in a commercial transport aircraft. 	• A suspension system for each basic tank type As a result of the insulation-system and structural-concepts screening studies, four preferred fuel containment systems were selected and subjected to a further evaluation to determine which is best for application in a commercial transport aircraft.	As a result of the insulation-system and structural-concepts screening studies, four preferred fuel containment systems were selected and subjected to a further evaluation to determine which is best for application in a commercial transport aircraft.	containment systems were selected and subjected to a further evaluation to determine which is best for application in a commercial transport aircraft.	for application in a commercial transport aircraft.	
 An investigation to determine a preferred concept for the fuel-tank design was conducted in parallel with that of the insulation study. This figure displays the study elements included in the structural investigation. Design criteria and loads were established, structural concepts for both integral and nonintegral type tanks were screened, and the most promising shapes selected. Parametric studies were conducted to determine: A preferred shape for the fuel-tank domes The vlability of using pressure stabilized structure The vlability of using pressure stabilized structure The effect on economics of specifying a reduced design life for the tank structure A suspension system for each basic tank type A suspension system and structural-concepts screening studies, four preferred fuel containment systems were selected and subjected to a further evaluation to determine which is best for application in a commercial transport aircraft. The results of each of these study elements will be presented in the following figures with the exception of the last four items of the parametric studies, which due to time, cannot be discussed. 	<pre>structural investigation. Design criteria and loads were established, structural concepts for both integral and nonintegral type tanks were screened, and the most promising shapes selected. Para- metric studies were conducted to determine:</pre>	 Integral and nonintegral type tanks were screened, and the most promising snapes selected. Farametric studies were conducted to determine: A preferred shape for the fuel-tank domes The viability of using pressure stabilized structure The viability of using pressure stabilized structure The effects of designing the tank for different pressure levels The effect on economics of specifying a reduced design life for the tank structure A suspension system for each basic tank type A suspension system for each basic tank type As result of the insulation-system and structural-concepts screening studies, four preferred fuel containment systems were selected and subjected to a further evaluation to determine which is best for application in a commercial transport aircraft. The results of each of these study elements will be presented in the following figures with the exception of the last four items of the parametric studies, which due to time, cannot be discussed. 	 A preferred shape for the fuel-tank domes The viability of using pressure stabilized structure The effects of designing the tank for different pressure levels The effect on economics of specifying a reduced design life for the tank structure A suspension system for each basic tank type A suspension system for each basic tank type A suspension system and structural-concepts screening studies, four preferred fuel containment systems were selected and subjected to a further evaluation to determine which is best for application in a commercial transport aircraft. The results of each of these study elements will be presented in the following figures with the exception of the last four items of the parametric studies, which due to time, cannot be discussed. 	 The viability of using pressure stabilized structure The effects of designing the tank for different pressure levels The effect on economics of specifying a reduced design life for the tank structure A suspension system for each basic tank type A suspension system for each basic tank type A suspension system and structural-concepts screening studies, four preferred fuel containment systems were selected and subjected to a further evaluation to determine which is best for application in a commercial transport aircraft. The results of each of these study elements will be presented in the following figures with the exception of the last four items of the parametric studies, which due to time, cannot be discussed. 	 The effects of designing the tank for different pressure levels The effect on economics of specifying a reduced design life for the tank structure A suspension system for each basic tank type A suspension system of the insulation-concepts screening studies, four preferred fuel containment systems were selected and subjected to a further evaluation to determine which is best for application in a commercial transport aircraft. The results of each of these study elements will be presented in the following figures with the exception of the last four items of the parametric studies, which due to time, cannot be discussed. 	 The effect on economics of specifying a reduced design life for the tank structure A suspension system for each basic tank type A suspension system for each basic tank type A suspension system for each basic tank type As a result of the insulation-system and structural-concepts screening studies, four preferred fuel containment systems were selected and subjected to a further evaluation to determine which is best for application in a commercial transport aircraft. The results of each of these study elements will be presented in the following figures with the exception of the last four items of the parametric studies, which due to time, cannot be discussed. 	 A suspension system for each basic tank type As a result of the insulation-system and structural-concepts screening studies, four preferred fuel containment systems were selected and subjected to a further evaluation to determine which is best for application in a commercial transport aircraft. The results of each of these study elements will be presented in the following figures with the exception of the last four items of the parametric studies, which due to time, cannot be discussed. 	As a result of the insulation-system and structural-concepts screening studies, four preferred fuel containment systems were selected and subjected to a further evaluation to determine which is best for application in a commercial transport aircraft. The results of each of these study elements will be presented in the following figures with the exception of the last four items of the parametric studies, which due to time, cannot be discussed.	containment systems were selected and subjected to a further evaluation to determine which is best for application in a commercial transport aircraft. The results of each of these study elements will be presented in the following figures with the exception of the last four items of the parametric studies, which due to time, cannot be discussed.	for application in a commercial transport aircraft. The results of each of these study elements will be presented in the following figures with the exception of the last four ftems of the parametric studies, which due to time, cannot be discussed.	The results of each of these study elements will be presented in the following figures with the exception of the last four items of the parametric studies, which due to time, cannot be discussed.

、

762

TANK DESIGN STUDY

- STRUCTURAL DESIGN CRITERIA
- CONCEPT SCREENING
- PARAMETRIC STUDIES

DOME SHAPE PRESSURE STABILIZATION PRESSURE LEVEL DESIGN LIFE TANK SUSPENSION

FINAL-TANK DESIGN

Figure 2

	STRUCTURAL DESIGN CRITERIA (Figure 3)
Tr the car structu	le structural design criteria was defined to provide the basis for the structural evaluation of ididate tank configurations and a level of safety equivalent to current transports for assessin iral mass trends resulting from application of these criteria.
L1 tratior	n general, the criteria are based on the structural requirements of the Federal Aviation Adminis. N FAR 25 with specific criteria being the same as that used for the L-1011 aircraft.
•	Design Loads Loads were defined using the weight and dimensions of the previously shown baseline airplane. The forward cg limit was assumed to be at the 20 percent Mean Aerodynamic Chord (MAC) with a structural reserve fuel of 7 percent of total fuel. The design speed variation with altitude and the maneuver envelopes were defined. Five flight conditions were investigated for this study and are defined more fully in a later figure.
•	<u>Pressure Schedule</u> LH2 tanks for the baseline aircraft were designed to operate at a nomina pressure of 145 kPa (21 psia). Factors required for cabin pressure (FAR 25) are assumed applicable to the LH2 tank design.
	The differential pressure (Δp) acting on the LH2 tanks is the nominal pressure minus the pressure at the altitude under consideration. The operating pressure is defined by differential pressure multiplied by a factor of 1.1 to account for relief value tolerance and inertia effects.
	Limit, ultimate, proof, and burst pressures are defined by multiplying the operating pressure by 1.00, 1.50, 1.33 and 2.00, respectively. For example, the ultimate pressure at the cruise altitude 10.7 km (35 000 ft) is: $p = 1.1 (144 \text{ kPA} - 23.8 \text{ kPa}) \times 1.5 = 199.3 \text{ kPa} (28.9 \text{ psi}).$
•	Factors of Safety and Combined Loads Criteria The factors of safety for the individual loading and the method of combining these loads were specified in the design criteria.
•	Fatigue and Damage Tolerance Criteria These requirements were specified to ensure that flight safety is maintained in the event of structural damage. This criteria, because of its extreme importance, is discussed on the following chart.

STRUCTURAL DESIGN CRITERIA

- DESIGN LOAD CONDITIONS
- PRESSURE SCHEDULE
- FACTORS OF SAFETY AND COMBINED LOADS CRITERIA
- FATIGUE AND DAMAGE TOLERANCE CRITERIA

Figure 3

FATIGUE AND DAMAGE TOLERANCE CRITERIA (Figure 4)
Fatigue design requirements are met by limiting the permissible design tension stress levels for
the ultimate design and operating conditions. 50 000 hours of service with an average flight time
of approximately 5 hr/flight are used for this ${ m LH}_2-$ fueled transport. For the pressurized tanks, the
skin hoop tension allowables are based on one internal pressure cycle per flight (5 hr/flight) and a
life reduction factor of 4, i.e., $N = 4 \times (50 \ 000/5) = 40 \ 000$ cycles.
The maximum circumferential stress is:
F ₀ = 234 MPa (34 ksi) ultimate 2219 aluminum alloy
$F_{\theta} = 158.6 MPa (23 ksi) operating K_{t} = 5.0T = 20 K (-423^{0}F)$
For fuselage bending structure (unpressurized), the design allowables are based on a spectrum
loading which includes both symmetrical flight and ground conditions. A life reduction factor of 2 is
used in this calculation.
$F_x = 310.3$ MPa (45 ksi) ultimate 2024 Aluminum Alloy at RT
The damage tolerance (fail-safe) criteria is divided into accidental damage and damage accumulated
during normal usage.
For the accidental damage condition, the tank structure must be capable of supporting the appro-
priate pressure and flight loads with a 30.5-cm (12.0 in.) through-the-thickness crack, including one
attachment member. Gracks in both the longitudinal and circumferential direction are investigated in
the design studies.
For the normal usage condition, the operating stress level and material shall be chosen to ensure
through-the-thickness flaw remains subcritical for a sufficiently long period.

FATIGUE AND DAMAGE TOLERANCE CRITERIA

FATIGUE DESIGN

SERVICE LIFE - 50 000 HR

AVERAGE FLIGHT TIME - 5 HR/FLIGHT

DESIGN ALLOWABLE

- SPECTRUM LOADING (50 000 X 2)
- CONSTANT AMPLITUDE (50 000 X 4)
- DAMAGE TOLERANCE (FAIL-SAFE)

ACCIDENTAL DAMAGE

NORMAL USAGE (LEAK-BEFORE-BREAK)

Figure 4

CONCEPT SCREENING (Figure 5)

from the definition of the aft tank configuration and candidate concepts to the selection of the most on the basis of weight and cost, the candidate tank and fuselage-wall concepts. To provide an overall promising concepts. The following figures display or summarize the results obtained in each step of The objective of this task is to perform a structural evaluation of sufficient depth to screen, picture of the depth of the screening effort, the contents are summarized in this figure and cover this screening process.

- AFT TANK CONFIGURATION
- CANDIDATE CONCEPTS
- INTERNAL LOADS/STABILITY COMPUTER RUNS (BOSOR)
- LOAD/TEMPERATURE ENVIRONMENT
- SIZE CANDIDATE CONCEPTS AND DEFINE MINIMUM-WEIGHT **PROPORTIONS**
- SELECT MOST PROMISING CONCEPTS

GENERAL CONFIGURATION OF AFT TANK (Figure 6)

screening phases. The general configuration of the tank and its geometric relationships, which were Two basic types of tank The aft tank of the aircraft was used as a basis for both the structural and insulation assumed for preliminary analysis purposes, are illustrated in this figure. design were considered; they are:

- Integral, where the tank serves both as the container of the fuel and also carries the fuselage loads.
- Nonintegral, in which the tank is simply a fuel container and doesn't actively participate in the support of the body loads which are carried by an external fuselage structure. •

dimensions is shown in the lower part of this figure. A constant volume tank of 219.3 m³ (7746 ft³) Solution to the relationship between internal volume, insulation thickness, and the basic tank (6.0 in) of insulation and were approximately 12.2 m (40.0 ft) long with tank diameters of approxiwas postulated for a representative foam insulation system. Both baseline tanks contained 15.2 cm mately 5.79 m (19.0 ft) and 3.65 m (12.0 ft) at the large and small ends, respectively.



TANK STRUCTURAL CANDIDATES (Figure 7)

Promising structural design concepts were evaluated for each of the basic types of tank design This figure illustrates these structural candidates. (i.e., nonintegral and integral).

In addition, an unstiffened wall design was included in the candi-The wall concepts considered for the nonintegral tank design were the conventional construction zee- and hat-stiffened concepts for the fuselage shell and the blade-stiffened, zee-stiffened, and tee-stiffened designs for the tank. date concepts for the tank design.

tank was used for this investigation. All tank wall concepts, both integral and nonintegral, were For the integral tank design, the same one-piece wall design as described for the nonintegral restricted to one-piece configurations to minimize potential sources of leaks. Conventional aluminum alloys (2024 and 7075) were used for the materials for the fuselage shell of the nonintegral tank design; whereas, the aluminum alloy 2219 was selected for the tank material cryongenic temperatures, as well as its weldability, formability, stress corrosion resistance, and for both basic types of tanks. The 2219 aluminum alloy was selected because of its ductility at its high fracture toughness and resistance to flow growth. TANK STRUCTURAL CANDIDATES

	TANK DESIGN	
STRUCTURAL COMPONENT	NONINTEGRAL	INTEGRAL
FUSELAGE	ZEE-STIFFENED	
	HAT-STIFFENED	AFFLIDABLE
TANK	BLADE-ST	IFFENED
	ZEE-STIFI	FENED
	TEE-STIFF	ENED
		NED

Figure 7

SHELL ANALYSIS (Figure 8)

The computerized shell analysis program BOSOR4 (Reference 2) was used to define the deflections and internal loads and to conduct the stability analyses. This computerized shell analysis program a finite-difference solution method based on an energy formulation. uses

tions (axial and radial) and rotational degrees of freedom; whereas, only compatible radial deflection of the forward and aft tank closures. At the aft support, the tank and shell have compatible deflecsome of the model data used for the nonintegral tank design. The tank was supported at the equators integral and nonintegral tank designs. The figure on the right illustrates the tank dimensions and Structural models were established from the baseline aft tank geometry established for the permitted at the forward support. was

stiffened wall concept used for the corresponding tank. The materials as described previously were For the nonintegral tank model, a zee-stiffened panel concept was selected for the fuselage with a blade-Representative structural/material arrangements were selected for both models. used for these components.

Results of the static solution defined the displacement components and the stress and moment resultants of the The flight loads, tank internal pressure, and temperature distributions were coded using the "BOSOR4" code for input into the structural models to define the overall internal loads. tank design.

10
Vi
-
1
and an
10
VJ

I

State of the second sec

- BOSOR4 COMPUTERIZED SHELL PROGRAM
- REPRESENTATIVE
 STRUCTURAL/MATERIAL
 CONCEPTS
- APPLIED LOADS -PRESSURE, TEMPERATURES AND BODY LOADS
- RESULTS DISPLACEMENTS, INPLANE LOADS AND BENDING MOMENTS



FWD

Figure 8

DESIGN LOAD CONDITIONS

- POSITIVE LOW ANGLE OF ATTACK (2.5g)
- ABRUPT PITCHING MANEUVER (1.0g)
- START OF CRUISE (1.0g)
- VERTICAL GUST
- NEGATIVE MANEUVER (-1.0g)
- EMERGENCY LANDING COND. (FAR 25)

Figure 9

POINT DESIGN LOAD ENVIRONMENT (Figure 10)

Point design regions were selected for the structural-concept screening analysis. These regions correspond to the one-quarter and three-quarter lengths between the equators of the forward and aft tank closures. The load/temperature environments were defined at these locations using the results of the BOSOR4 internal load runs These loads. The bending and torsional moments were included in the detail structural analysis but are not forces occur during the PLA flight condition and reflect only the membrane portion of the internal This figure depicts a typical point design environment at the tank quarter-length region. shown for clarity.

a corresponding 30 kN/m (170 1b/in) in the hoop direction. The tank for this design is predominately a biaxial stress field, 2:1 ratio of hoop-to-meridional stress, caused by the internal pressurization. The meridional force (N₁) has approximately 470 kN/m (2700 lb/in) on the extreme fibers of the shell and A typical bending distribution is indicated on the fuselage of the nonintegral tank design. Slight alterations of this ratio are noted due to the tank inertia loading.

noted on the upper fibers with a compressive load of 200 kN/m (1100 1b/in) shown on the lower fiber. For the integral tank design, a maximum tensile load of approximately 700 kN/m (4000 lb/in) is The hoop force is constant at approximately 420 kN/m (2400 lb/in). POINT DESIGN LOAD ENVIRONMENT

		MEMBR/	ANE FORCE	⟨N/m (lbf/in	(1)(2)	
STRUCTURAL	NON	INTEGRAL TANK		IN I	EGRAL TANK	
COMPONENT	NI N	N2	N ₁₂	N	N2	N ₁₂
FUSELAGE						
UPPER	467 (2669)	30 (173)	(0) 0			
MID	0 (0)	0 (0)	74 (423)			
LOWER	-468 (-2670)	-30 (-174)	(0) 0			
TANK						
ljøbER	207 (1184)	446 (2545)	(0) 0	698 (3983)	415 (2370)	(0) 0
MID	230 (1313)	467 (2667)	6 (33)	250 (1429)	416 (2378)	61 (347)
LOWER	252 (1442)	488 (2789)	0 (0)	-198 (-1129)	418 (2386)	(0) 0

Figure 10

PLA FLIGHT CONDITION, ULTIMATE LOADS
 TANK QUARTER-LENGTH LOCATION FROM EQUATOR OF FORWARD HEAD
FATIGUE DESIGN (Figure 11)

A description of the fatigue criteria was presented previously in the design criteria section. The intent of this figure is to describe the application of these criteria during the structural analysis of the candidate wall concepts.

tank was restricted to a stress level of 172 MPa (25 ksi) which corresponds to a fatigue quality index 2219-T851 aluminum alloy were established and are shown in this figure. For the operating condition, levels used for design. Design allowables for both the operating and ultimate design conditions for the limit loads for the cruise condition were used and the circumferential skin stress of the fuel The fatigue design requirements are met by restricting the permissible design tension stress The variation of this design allowable with K_t is shown by the lower curve in this figure. of 5.

The tions are shown by the two upper curves on this figure. The application is similar to the operating design allowable to be applied to the fuel tank circumferential stress and reflect a biaxial loading The design allowables for the skin and substructure of the tank for the ultimate design condiupper curve reflects the design stress level applicable to fuel-tank substructure other than skin, conditions, with the exception that the applied loads reflect the maximum ultimate design loads. such as frames, which are uniaxially loaded. The second curve, and the lower curve, present the condition. FATIGUE DESIGN



Figure 11

DAMAGE TOLERANCE (Figure 12)

normal and accidental damages were considered. The accidental damage condition is summarized in this Both The objective of the fail-safe analysis is to ensure that the structure in the presence of an assumed damage condition is capable of supporting the design load of 100-percent limit load. figure.

A 30.5 cm (12 in.) crack was assumed for both damage cases. The residual strength or allowable stress Both circumferential and longitudinal damages were assumed for the accidental damage condition. of the damaged structure must be capable of supporting limit loads normal to the crack. The circumferential crack condition is pictured in the upper right-hand sketch, while the longitudinal damage condition is shown in the lower figures.

requirements for longitudinal straps; whereas, the longitudinal crack case is used to assess both the The circumferential crack case dictates the sufficiency of cross-sectional area and/or the frame and hoop strap requirements. In general, for all tank wall concepts which have separately attached stiffeners (spot welded or riveted), the stiffeners reinforce the skin and provide crack-arresting capability; conversely, for one-plece wall designs, no crack-arresting capability is provided by the stiffener.

DAMAGE TOLERANCE

1



Figure 12

DAMAGE TOLERANCE (Figure 13)

ciently long period. Calculations were made to define the number of cycles from leak to final failure geometry parameter (2c/a) equal to 3, and a ratio of minimum stress to maximum stress equal to 0.45. For the normal usage condition, the skin stress level for 2219 aluminum alloy was based on the leak-before-break criteria, i.e., a through-the-thickness flaw will remain subcritical for a suffifor the baseline aluminum alloy. The flaw shape is shown in this figure and corresponds to a crack The figure to the left illustrates these results, the variation in skin stress with loading cycles for different skin thickness.

(limit) of 255 MPa (37 ksi) and 234 MPa (34 ksi) are indicated for 0.203-cm (0.080 in.) and 0.254-cm aspect in the design of the tank and in most cases this criteria was merely used as a check on the (0.10 in.) wall thicknesses, respectively. This normal usage damage criteria was not a decisive 10 000 cycles. These results are illustrated by the right-hand figure. Allowable skin stresses This data was replotted as a function of maximum stress versus thickness for the required final design.

DAMAGE TOLERANCE

{



Figure 13

NONINTEGRAL TANK DESIGN-UNIT WEIGHT COMPARISON (Figure 14)

The candidate wall concepts identified for the tank and fuselage were subjected to point design these analyses to define the minimum-weight designs. This analysis was conducted at the tank one-quarter locations a unit structure, incorporating each of the candidate concepts, was assumed and is illus-At length and three-quarter length locations using the internal loads defined by BOSOR runs. trated in the left-hand sketch.

The structural investigation included basic strength, stability and damage tolerance analyses. The fuselage shell candidates (zee- and hat-stiffened concepts) were sized independently to define the minimum-weight concept which was then used with each of the candidate tank wall concepts to define a total unit weight.

design at the tank quarter-length region. Structural analyses were conducted at three circumferential The figure to the right illustrates the total unit weight (fuselage and tank) of the nonintegral noncritical for buckling, the structural candidates were designed by applying the fatigue and damage tolerance criteria. Hence, the abscissa of the illustrated figure is presented in terms of the hoop the nonintegral design experiences only minor thermal loadings and flight inertia loads; therefore, the predominate loading was internal pressurization. Since the tank wall is tension designed and locations and then averaged to define the unit weight for that point design region. The tank of fall-safe strap spacing.

The average circumferential unit weight and component unit weight at the upper, mid, and lower The average unit weight for all candidate concepts, which includes both the fuselage and tank, is fibers indicate an insignificant difference in weight between any of the tank wall concepts. approximately 22.4 kg/m² (4.60 lbm/sq ft).



INTEGRAL TANK DESIGN-UNIT WEIGHT COMPARISON (Figure 15)

factor (NOF), fail-safe straps. This analysis included basic strength, stability, and damage tolerance was defined by the BOSOR4 runs and a unit structure was analyzed using each candidate wall configura-Point design regions were selected on each tank for conducting the detail structural analysis. The two regions selected were the tank quarter and three-quarter length stations with three circumferential regions at each station. At these point design regions, the load/temperature environment tion. For example, the integral tank unit structure consisted of the tank wall, frame, nonoptimum analyses.

weights at each station. An example of these results are shown in this figure for the integral tank spacing for both the zee- and tee-stiffened designs and at approximately 1.02 m (40 in.) spacing for Unit weights were calculated at each circumferential location and averaged to define the unit design at the quarter-length station. Minimum-weight designs are noted at 1.27 m (50 in.) frame the blade-stiffened concept. Corresponding weights of 18.1 kg/m^2 (3.7 lbm/ft^2) and 19.0 kg/m^2 (3.9 lbm/ft^2) are noted for these respective designs.



I

AFT TANK WEIGHT (Figure 16)

weight for the basic types of tank and excludes any common structure and insulation, e.g., for the extrapolated using the results of the point design analysis. This figure summarizes the tank The tank weight for each candidate concept for the integral and nonintegral tanks were nonintegral tank design only the basic tank and fuselage are included.

The unstiffened wall The For the nonintegral tank design, all tank wall concepts (blade, zee, tee and unstiffened) had minimum-weight fuselage shell used for all the nonintegral designs was the hat-stiffened concept. concept was selected not only because of its low weight, but more importantly, its lower cost. approximately the same weight because they were predominately tension designed.

The zee-stiffened concept was selected over the tee-stiffened concept as the more promising All blade-stiffened wall concept, i.e., approximately 91 kg (200 1bm). This weight difference is mainly For the integral tank design the zee- and tee-stiffened concepts were lighter weight than the attributed to the higher compression efficiences of these designs at several of the point design concept mainly because it would be slightly less complicated to manufacture, i.e., less costly. concepts used the unstiffened wall concept at the side panels. regions.

AFT TANK WEIGHT

I

		VEIGHT kg (Ibm)	
	NONINTEGRAL	INTEGR	AL
ITEM	ALL CONCEPTS	ZEE AND TEE	BLADE
TANK	2253 (4968)	2942 (6485)	3041 (6704)
CYLINDRICAL SECTION	1746 (3850)	2401 (5293)	2500 (5512)
DOMES	337 (743)	371 (817)	371 (817)
DIVIDER DOME	170 (375)	170 (375)	170 (375)
BODY SHELL	1516 (3342)	1	1
TOTAL	3769 (8310)	2942 (6485)	3041 (6704)

Figure 16

	DOME SHAPE STUDY (Figure 17)
Promising dome configurations were ev	were evaluated in compliance with the stated objective. The
candidate configurations included in this definitions of the second structure of the second s	n this study are a hemispherical dome and the general families of s hemispherical dome configuration shown on the left is.
characterized by constant radii of curvatu	curvature in both the meridional and hoop direction; whereas, the
ellipsoidal dome has varying hoop and meri posed of a spherical cap and a torus. The	nd meridional radii of curvature. The toroidal dome is com- s. The torus is defined by the knuckle angle Ø and has a
constant meridional radius of curvature an	ture and a varying hoop curvature.
The baseline tank diameter correspond	responded to the large diameter of the nonintegral tank design and
only internal pressurization was considere	nsidered for the analysis. The basic analysis was conducted in
two stages; they are:	
 A preliminary analysis which anal heads and define their weight, int applied with the von Mises failure this data, total tank weights were This data was input into the ASSET stant payload range mission. The operating cost (DOC). The final analysis consisted of c and conducting BOSOR static solutimembrane and bending stresses are investigation. The best dome shap all design studies. 	ch analyzed the complete range of ellipsoidal and toroidal (ht, internal volume, and surface area. Only membrane theory was failure criteria used for combining the applied stresses. From its were calculated for a constant volume tank, 219.3 m ³ (7746 ft ³). (a) ASET Program to assess the effect on aircraft (L/D) for a con- it. The optimum dome proportions were defined for a minimum direct ed of constructing structural models of the minimum DOC designs is solutions to define the added weight increment involved if both is are considered. The von Mises failure was also used for this me shape was selected from these results and used hereafter for

DOME SHAPE STUDY

A STATE

DEFINE THE PROPER DOME SHAPE CONSIDERING WEIGHT AND VOLUMETRIC EFFICIENCY **OBJECTIVE - CONDUCT TRADE STUDIES TO**





Figure 17

DOME SHAPE STUDY RESULTS (Figure 18)

ന For The first column in this figure reflects the results of the preliminary analysis and presents percent over the minimum-weight toroidal design and approximately 20 percent over the hemispherical this analysis, the ellipsoidal dome design has the lowest weight and indicates a weight savings of the optimum design weight and associated dome parameter when only the unit dome is considered. design.

When DOC is the object function and the tank weights using the two designs are compared, the percent lighter than the ellipsoidal dome. Both designs have a DOC of 0.985 ¢/seat-km (1.825 ¢/ toroidal design is the lightest design weighting 234 kg (516 lbm), which is approximately 4. seat-n.mi.) when a total airplane weight is considered. Based on these results, neither design afforded a decisive advantage when DOC is the object function; whereas, when head weight is the driver the ellipsoidal design reflects the best dome shape. The ellipsoidal dome was selected as the baseline configuration for any further studies. DOME SHAPE STUDY RESULTS

I

	EVALUATION	FUNCTION
CONCEPT	MINIMUM WT.	WINIM DOC*
ELLIPSOIDAL DESIGN		
a/b	1.30	1.60
WEIGHT, kg(lbm)	215 (474)	240 (530)
TORISPHERICAL DESIGN		
φ, radians	0.95	0.36
WEIGHT, kg(1bm)	222 (489)	234 (516)

* 0.985 ¢/SEAT-km (1.825 ¢/SEAT-n.mi.)

Figure 18

The structural concepts represent the results of the concept screening analysis. The fuselage structure is a hat-stiffened shell with frames at 1.27 m (50 in.) spacing. A clearance of 1.91 cm (0.75 in.) is allowed between fuselage and insulation for clearance.

The aircraft using this candidate FCS weighed 1.75 900 kg (387 800 lbm) and cost \$39.1 million

based on a fleet size of 350 aircraft.



VACUUM	
SHELL	~
HARD	re 20
TANK	(Figu
NONINTEGRAL	

in event of external leakage into the vacuum space. Aluminized Mylar is bonded to the interior surclosed-cell foam 1.27 cm (0.50 in.) thick was located at the tank wall to prevent air liquification The second candidate was the nonintegral fuel tank with a hard shell vacuum jacket. Rigid face of the jacket and exterior surface of the foam to reduce radiation heat transfer. The fuselage structure is a 7.62-cm (3 in.) deep aluminum-honeycomb sandwich structure capable between the honeycomb structure and foam for evacuated air space. The tank is the unstiffened wall A clearance of 6.35 cm (2.50 in.) is allowed configuration resulting from the concepts screening analysis. of withstanding the body loads and vacuum pressure.

The aircraft design using this candidate FCS weighed 179 400 kg (395 600 lbm) and cost approximately \$40 million per aircraft.



INTEGRAL TANK EXTERNAL FOAM INSULATION (Figure 21)

accommodate dimensional changes and to support the exterior fairing. MAAMF vapor barriers are proconfiguration with internal frames. A rigid closed-cell foam 6.83 cm (2.69 in.) deep is used for primary insulation. An open-cell flexible foam, exterior to the primary insulation, is used to vided as shown. In addition, a composite fairing is provided for aerodynamic smoothness and This candidate FCS is an integral tank design which incorporates a zee-stiffened wall protection.

The alrcraft incorporating this FCS was one of the lighter weight designs. A gross weight of 172 100 kg (379 500 lbm) and a cost of approximately \$38.3 million were indicated for this design.



INTEGRAL TANK MICROSPHERE INSULATION (Figure 22)

external evacuated microsphere insulation with a flexible metal vacuum jacket is provided as the pri-An The cross section of the last preferred fuel containment systems is shown on this chart. This A flexible opensystem is for an integral fuel-tank design and incorporates the zee-stiffened tank wall concept. mary insulation. A thickness of 3.89 cm (1.53 in.) is noted for this insulation. cell foam and an aerodynamic fairing, similar to the previous FCS, are provided.

A gross weight of 171 400 kg (377 800 1bm) and a cost \$38.1 million was calculated for this candidate. The aircraft sized using this FCS was the lightest weight and least costly of all the candidates.



CONCLUSIONS (Figure 23)

study, the tankage experienced only minor thermal loadings and flight loads, hence, the predominate loading was the tank pressurization. Since the tanks were basically tension designed, the fatigue The application of a realistic fatigue and damage tolerance criteria must be accounted for in definition of the loading spectrum, type and size of possible damage and valid analytical methods damage tolerance criteria played the most important part in the overall design of the tank. For this the design of liquid hydrogen tankage. These criteria should include, among other things, a to ensure that flight safety is maintained over the life expectancy of the airframe. and

example, parametric studies involving considerations of such items as: dome shape, pressure stabilization, pressure level, design life and tank suspension methods were conducted on this program to For appraise various design aspects related to the design of the entire LH $_{
m 2}$ -fuel containment tanks. Special investigations are required to define the most efficient overall tank design.

insulation systems and tank structural concepts offer trade-offs of the tankage offset distance (dis-Sensitivity factors were generated for the reference ${
m LH}_{\eta}$ -fueled airplane to provide a basis for and hence the sensitivity on the aircraft DOC could be assessed. Using this technique, the results For example, the various candidate of the various designs and parametric investigations could be defined in terms of airplane weight tance between exterior surface to tank) and weight. As the offset varies, the aircraft fuselage length must change to provide the required fuel volume within the fixed fuselage cross-section and DOC, hence, these factors were used in the evaluation procedure to assist in screening evaluation of the effects of changes from the baseline design. attractive candidates.

CONCLUSIONS

- IMPORTANCE OF FATIGUE AND DAMAGE TOLERANCE CRITERIA IN TANK DESIGN
- REQUIREMENT FOR SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS TO SELECT MAJOR TANK COMPONENTS
- IMPORTANCE OF 'DOC' MERIT FUNCTION IN THE DESIGN PROCESS

Figure 23

REFERENCES

- 1. Brewer, G. D.; Morris, R. E.; Lange, R. H.; and Moore, J. W.: Volume II Final Report: Study of the Application of Hydrogen Fuel to Long-Range Subsonic Transport Aircraft. NASA CR-132559, 1975.
- 2. Bushnell, David: Stress, Stability, and Vibration of Complex Branched Shells of Revolution:

Users Manual for BOSOR4, Lockheed Missiles and Space Co., LMSC-D457038, May 1975.

TANKS
HYDROGEN
OR LIQUID
INSULATION F
IXTERNAL

Ellsworth L. Sharpe NASA Langley Research Center

INTRODUCTION

Before hydrogen can be considered as the fuel for future hypersonic aircraft, several problems associated with the storage of liquid hydrogen must be solved. These problems include:

- Cryopumping of air because of the low temperature of hydrogen (21 K(-423^oF)). large quantities of heat which must be absorbed by evaporation of the fuel; This continual condensation at the tank wall results in the release of 0
- between the environment and the fuel tank. During cruise conditions this temperature difference can be as high as 1120 K ($\widetilde{2000^0F}$) since the outer Excessive fuel boil off as a result of the large temperature difference surface temperature of the vehicle can be 1144 K (1600⁰F). 0

In addition to solving these problems, the thermal protection system (TPS) must also be lightweight, retain its structural integrity under all environmental conditions, require minimum preflight preparation, and offer dependable reusability.

specimens to verify their multicycle capability. Insulation specimens were scaled in size in order to study of the environmental conditions to which the tankage is exposed, purge gas cryopumping analysis, or prevent the cryodeposition of purge gas. The analytical support of the investigation included a layer insulation materials for permeability, temperature capability, thermal conductivity, density, carbon dioxide as a purge gas, would use the layer of insulation next to the tank wall to restrict and heat transfer analysis. The experimental investigation consisted of screening candidate inner This report presents the results of an analytical and experimental investigation of a purged Textron, Buffalo, New York, under NASA contract. This system, which would use either nitrogen or duplicate the stress conditions which will be imposed on the insulation applied to a large tank. and strength; modifying candidate materials to improve their properties; and testing insulation multilayer insulation system for liquid hydrogen tanks which was conducted at Bell Aerospace

Certain commercial materials are identified in this paper in order to specify adequately which In many cases equivalent recommendation or endorsement of the product by NASA, nor does it imply that the materials are materials were investigated in the research effort. In no case does such identification imply necessarily the only ones or the best ones available for the purpose. naterials are available and would probably produce equivalent results. A LIQUID HYDROGEN TANK THERMAL PROBLEM

l

CRYOGENIC PUMPING

(Figure 1)

the liquid hydrogen tanks will be at 20 K (-423°F), a temperature difference of over 1100 K (2000°F). cryodeposited air increases the mass of the aircraft and selective liquification of oxygen (the gas Another problem is that the extremely low temperatures associated with liquid hydrogen cause air to the air is revaporized, only to condense again when it comes in contact with the cold tank. Thus a of additional air. If unimpeded, the liquid air will flow down the tank wall (leaving a cold bare surface for further liquification) and drip from the tank onto the hot exterior surface. There atmosphere will cause the surface of the vehicle to reach a temperature above 1144 K (1600°) while phase change (condensation and vaporization) of the air, causes rapid fuel boil off. In addition, condense on the tank surface, thereby reducing the pressure (cryopumping) and producing an inflow continuous flow is established which, because of the large quantities of heat associated with the The use of liquid hydrogen fuel at a temperature of 20 K (-423°F) presents several problems in fuel tankage area design. The high temperatures associated with hypersonic speeds in the with the higher boiling temperature) introduces a potential safety hazard. A LIQUID HYDROGEN TANK THERMAL PROBLEM

CRYOGENIC PUMPING



Figure l

POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS

(Figure 2)

In an attempt to find solutions to these problems, a variety of thermal protection systems including internal, sealed, and purged systems have previously been investigated.

resulting in high thermal conductivity. (refs. 1, 2, and 3). Lightweight sealed systems have proven Internal insulation systems become inefficient because hydrogen gas permeates the insulation, to be unreliable because they are susceptible to leaks (refs. 4 and 5). Helium purge gas systems to be relatively inefficient and expensive due to the high thermal conductivity and cost of this (helium is the only gas which does not condense at liquid hydrogen temperatures) have been found system but requires significant preflight servicing. In addition, expensive helium gas is used to supply the purge gas (refs. 7, 8, 9, and 10) offers weight advantages over the helium purge rare gas (ref. 6). A CO_2 frost insulation system which relies on the sublimation of the frost during frost deposition to control the frost density. This report will discuss a purged, condensation restricting, multilayer insulation system for liquid hydrogen tanks which uses the layer of insulation next to the tank wall to restrict or prevent the cryodeposition of purge gas.

POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS

State State State

- INTERNAL INSULATION
- SEALED VACUUM JACKET
- NON-CONDENSABLE PURGE GAS (He)
- CO₂ FROST
- CONDENSATION RESTRICTING INSULATION

Figure 2

TPS FOR LH2 TANKS OF HYPERSONIC AIRCRAFT

(Figure 3)

This figure shows a sketch of a hypersonic aircraft and a cutaway view of an upper section an of the tankage area. The outer surface of the aircraft could be either the heat shields of integral tank configuration or the structure within which a nonintegral tank is suspended. A purge space, where a nonflammable gas is supplied, at a pressure slightly elevated above ambient, is located between the outer aircraft surface and the insulated tank

Because the performance of the outer high temperature insulation is already known, the study concentrated on the insulation layer next to the tank wall (closed organic foam materials); the other with low but finite permeability, much higher density, identified: one with zero permeability and low density, but relatively low service temperature permeability in order to restrict or eliminate cryodeposition. Two general material types were The thermal protection system considered in this study uses multilayered insulation. The cryodeposition would occur but the low permeability would limit and contain the deposition. where cryopumping of the purge gas is a problem. This layer of insulation has to have low and a high service temperature (inorganic materials). If the latter materials were used, outer layer is composed of an efficient high temperature insulation (a fibrous quartz insulation such as Dynaflex or Thermoflex).



INITIAL GROUND RULES

(Figure 4)

The initial ground rules for this study were to:

- minimizing the sum of the insulation mass and the mass of the fuel boiled off during flight. Provide a minimum mass system. Optimize the systems being considered by 0
- Minimum system mass will be Use either nitrogen or carbon dioxide purge gas. the selection criteria. 0
- o Minimize preflight preparation.
- localized breach of the foam would not affect the performance of the entire Avoid sealed systems. The foam systems are not considered sealed since a insulation as in the case of a vacuum jacket. 0
- allowing empty tank temperatures to rise to this maximum allowable temperature. Permit tank temperatures to 811 K (1000^oF). Mass savings may be realized by 0

INITIAL GROUND RULES

- PROVIDE RELIABLE MINIMUM MASS SYSTEM (INSULATION PLUS FUEL BOIL OFF)
- PURGE WITH N₂ OR CO₂
- MINIMIZE PREFLIGHT PREPARATION
- AVOID SEALED SYSTEMS
- PERMIT TANK TEMPERATURES TO 811 K (1000⁰ F)

Figure 4
ANALYTICAL RESULTS

(Figure 5)

With optimum insulation thickness, tank temperatures were always below 311 K (100°F), even when This temperature indicates aluminum tanks may be a tank was emptied at the start of a flight. used with these thermal protection systems.

the diffusion coefficient of carbon dioxide is lower and the heats of vaporization and condensation are higher, the higher condensation temperature makes purge systems using carbon dioxide heavier than those using nitrogen regardless of flight trajectory, ground hold time, or location on the Nitrogen purged systems were always lighter than carbon dioxide purged systems. Although aircraft.

permeability coefficients low enough to control deposition in the inner layer were high and systems using these insulations were heavier than those using closed cell foams even though the latter Closed cell foam systems were lighter. Densities of high temperature insulations with required additional high temperature fibrous insulation in the outer layer.

朝鮮に大

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

- WITH OPTIMIZED INSULATION THICKNESS, TANK TEMPERATURES WERE ALWAYS BELOW 311 K (100[°] F)
- N₂ PURGED SYSTEMS WERE ALWAYS LIGHTER THAN CO₂ PURGED SYSTEMS
- CLOSED CELL FOAM SYSTEMS WERE LIGHTEST

Figure 5

EFFECT OF MAXIMUM FOAM TEMPERATURE ON TPS MASS

(Figure 6)

mass (insulation plus fuel boil off mass) configuration for each maximum temperature. As shown in the figure, the results indicate that if the maximum allowable foam temperature was increased from 316 K (110°F) to 450 K (350°F), a mass saving of 25 percent could be realized. The effects of maximum allowable foam temperature on TPS mass were investigated analytically. included the variation of these properties with temperature. The analysis identified the minimum The analysis was based on properties of an efficient foam insulation (polymethacrylimide) and



••••

「「「「「「「「」」」」



.

Figure 6

1999) 1910) CRYOGENIC INSULATION FOAMS

(Figure 7)

for this application. The polymethacrylimide (made by Rohacell) was picked as the prime insulation received condition, after two hours at 450 K (350°F), and after two hours at 477 K (400°F). Note originally the same size. The only materials which did not change color (indicative of chemical that there is no significance in the sample sizes because all insulation samples shown were not polymethacrylimide materials. The PBI was found to be an open cell material and not suitable insulations were heated in an oven. The photograph shows five of these insulations in the as In order to determine the effect of maximum temperature on several foam insulations, the change) and show obvious evidence of distortion were the polybenzimidazole (PBI) and the for this application.





Figure 7

Ċ

PERFORMANCE TESTS OF CRYOGENIC INSULATION

Hypersonic Transport

(Figure 8)

gas vessel and heater allow both the sample exterior temperature and the pressure in the purge space vessel, a 76 cm (30 in.) diameter insulation sample (shown here lying free under the liquid hydrogen slightly above the projected pressure at altitude. (In an actual application, purge gas pressure would be maintained slightly above ambient to prevent air leakage into the purge space.) to be varied to simulate typical flight cycles. The pressure in the purge space was maintained vessel to which it will be bonded), and a purge gas vessel which contains a heater. The purge The apparatus for the cryogenic insulation performance test consists of a liquid hydrogen

hypersonic flight cycles (insulation external temperatures from 78 K (-320°F) to 450 K (350°F) when This high A polymethacrylimide insulation sample (Rohacell 31) successfully survived eight simulated a malfunction of the heater resulted in external foam temperatures above 506 K (450°F). temperature caused foam damage and the tests were terminated (ref. 11).

PERFORMANCE TESTS OF CRYOGENIC INSULATION (HYPERSONIC TRANSPORT)

APPARATUS

RESULTS



SPECIMEN SURVIVED 8 SIMULATED HYPERSONIC FLIGHT CYCLES 78 K (-320⁰ F) TO 450 K (350⁰ F)

Figure 8

TEST SPECIMEN ASSEMBLY

(Figure 9)

mass (insulation plus boil off) of a 317 K (1100F) system would be less than 8.5 kg/m² (1.75 lb/ft²). although a system with a 450 K (350^oF) foam temperature limit would be 25 percent lighter, the total was only 317 K (110°F), the tests were relevant to hypersonic aircraft. Figure 6 indicates that, Recent interest in hydrogen as an alternate fuel for subsonic aircraft (ref. 12) has led to more extensive cyclic testing of foam materials. Although the maximum temperature of the cycles

was selected to produce thermal stresses representative of 15.2 cm (6 in.) of insulation (the optimum specimens at the same time. The specimens, which were 0.3 m (1 ft) by 0.6 m (2 ft) by 5.1 cm (2 in.) thick, were bonded to a compartmented tank as shown in the figure. The 5.1 cm (2 in.) thickness thickness for a subsonic transport) on a large tank; otherwise, edge effects would have significantly reduced stresses in the smaller samples. The tank, which was 1.8 m (6 ft) by 0.6 m (2 ft) by 3.8 cm The apparatus used for the subsonic study (ref. 13) was designed for thermally cycling six (1.53 in.) thick was fabricated from an extruded aluminum, web core sandwich.

The tank was compartmentalized so that the boil off rate of the fuel in the tank behind each and center of the center cell of each specimen compartment. The tank also had guard compartments located between specimen compartments to reduce the flow of heat from one specimen compartment to specimen could be measured by monitoring liquid level thermocouples located at the bottom, top, the other.



I

COMPARTMENTED ALUMINUM TANK

Edge View

(Figure 10)

to joints. A two part polyurethane adhesive (Crest 7410) was used to bond specimens to the tank and sections (triangular prisms) are used between specimens to provide good bond contact at specimen Specimens of the same type of insulation are bonded to each side of the tank. Wedge shaped insulation This figure is an edge view of a central portion of the web core sandwich tank. each other.

However, bottom of the tank, thus forming the test compartment. The guard compartments, each composed of because of drastic differences in boil off rates in adjacent compartments for some insulations, The four central cells in the center of the test specimens are connected together at the three cells between test compartments, serve to reduce the interaction between specimens. analytical corrections for heat flow between compartments were required. COMPARTMENTED ALUMINUM TANK EDGE VIEW



TEST TEMPERATURE HISTORIES

(Figure 11)

cryogenic testing, allowing the tank to reach ambient temperature, and then resuming cryogenic testing. time the tanks and insulation will be cycled from cryogenic temperatures, to ambient temperature, back to cryogenic temperatures. The overhaul cycle was simulated in the present test by simply suspending temperatures, the primary effect of the typical flight cycle is to impose a temperature perturbation removed from service for periodic maintenance or overhaul and then returned to service. During this on the external surface of the insulation. This perturbation can be simulated by a relatively short external temperature of the insulation is reached. (The figure shows the temperature histories of Therefore, if the aircraft is refueled immediately after each flight and the tank is maintained at cryogenic (10 minutes or less) thermal cycle such as the typical test temperature history presented in the Earlier studies (ref. 11) had indicated that the LH₂ tank should be maintained at cryogenic temperatures at all times (except during overhaul periods) in order to minimize the probability less frequent but more severe thermal stress variation will be encountered when the aircraft is figure since the maximum stresses on the insulation are encountered shortly after the maximum both the insulation outer surface and the temperature of the air blowing over this surface.) of fatigue failures of both the cryogenic fuel tank and the thermal protection system.

thermocouples strategically distributed over the insulation surfaces indicated the temperatures of the the application for which the tank would be filled and emptied once per flight, the external temperature During a test period the tank was filled with liquid hydrogen and the temperature history of outer surface, and the exact cycle time was controlled by the thermocouple which last reached the desired temperature. The tank was refilled when the lowest liquid level thermocouples indicated that all tank compartments emptied to 2.54 cm (1 in.) or less. Thus, in contrast to an aircraft exterior of the insulation was cycled repeatedly as the hydrogen was allowed to boil off. Five and hydrogen level cycled independently during the tests.

would be overhauled and the tank would be allowed to warm up. While the tank was warm, decisions were Tests were conducted on a three shift basis so that once a test series began, it ran for twenty-The shutdown periods represented the time an aircraft The criteria for sample replacement were poor thermal performance and/or extensive made pertaining to sample replacement or continuation of cyclic thermal loading on the individual four hours a day, five days per week or until deteriorating performance indicated that the tests should stop and specimens should be examined. structural damage to the insulation. specimens. test



TEST APPARATUS

(Figure 12)

flowing from the blower is directed by the diverter valve through the hot or cold heat exchanger, depending upon which portion of the flight cycle is being simulated. The air is then manifolded connected to the incoming air supply ducts (all ducts and ports are 20.3 cm (8 in.) in diameter) chamber through three ports in the bottom of the test chamber. Ducts from the three ports merge into the test chamber. The manifold consists of a tee section in the duct which allows flow to Both sides of the test chamber have three ports which are flow over the insulation. After passing over the insulation specimens, the air leaves the test The test apparatus illustrated in the schematic drawing is composed of a large centrifugal blower, a diverter valve, hot and cold heat exchangers, a test chamber, and appropriate ducting The ducts, test chamber, and heat exchangers in Air Upon entering the test chamber, air strikes a perforated aluminum plate which diffuses the to provide a closed circuit for flowing heated (or cooled) air over the test specimens. the test apparatus were insulated; the humidity was not controlled. into one duct that returns air to the blower. go to two sides of the test chamber.



ł

INSULATION SPECIMENS

(Figure 13)

A photograph of the first six insulation specimens, installed on the tank, can be seen at the and the other located within the insulation, two-thirds of the distance from the outer surface to Both foam systems had fiberglass reinforcements and two vapor barriers--one on the outer surface different generic foam materials, materials from different manufactureres, and two foam systems. the thirteen insulation specimens tested which included: the tank wall. The fiberglass reinforcement was added to the foam during foam formulation. The chart lists top of the figure.

POLYMETRIC ISOCYANATE + CHOPPED FIBERS & VAPOR BARRIERS TOLUENEDI ISOCYANATE + CHOPPED FIBERS & VAPOR BARRIERS SIDE 2: POLYMETRIC ISOCYANATE + CHOPPED FIBERS MATERIAL SIDE I: POLYMETRIC ISOCYANATE MODIFIED POLYISOCYANATE POLYMETHACRYLIMIDE POLYBENZIMIDAZOLE POLYISOCYANURATE POLYURETHANE GENERAL ELECTRIC FOAM STEPAN FOAM ADL SYSTEM (STAFOAM) ADL SYSTEM (UPJOHN) SPECIMEN ROHACELL 4 IS ROHACELL 3 I ROHACELL 5 I **TEXTHANE 333** LAST-A-FOAM MARVACELL COMPOSITE **CPR 488 P**B-

INSULATION SPECIMENS

l

Figure 13

Polyurethane

(Figure 14)

The The time required to boil off the fuel in a test compartment is directly proportional to the boil off times have been normalized by dividing by the initial time value of the best performing thermal performance of the insulation covering that compartment. This figure and the ones that follow are plots of the boil off time as a function of the number of simulated flight cycles. insulation.

the insulation exhibiting the best thermal performance are included in all data plots as a reference. The triangular symbols on the graph indicate inspection or warm up cycles (discussed earlier) while the circular symbols signify reasons for termination of tests for each sample. The data of

(over 4200 thermal cycles or the equivalent of approximately 15 years of airline service), with no Two polyurethane foams (Stepan BX 250A, and General Electric Polyurethane) exhibited the best (See fig. 14.) Both of these insulations survived the entire test series evidence of structural failure. The Stephan foam was used on the Saturn booster while the G.E. overall performance. The thermal performance of these insulations was initially excellent and material is a candidate for LNG tanker insulation. degraded very slowly.

to the tank surface. This was confirmed during sample removal at which time the sample separated along This suggested that the cracks propagated all the way to the tank surface and that air was cryopumping at that time revealed only a few very fine tributary type cracks. When the insulation was examined immediately after the next test period while the insulation was still cold, there was significant frost buildup around these cracks, as well as a stream of white vapor flowing from these cracks. approximately 800 cycles (approximately 3 years of airline service) before experiencing a large significant increase in the hydrogen boil off rate. Visual examination of the warm insulation degradation in thermal performance. The failure of the Last-A-Foam was first detected by Similar failure modes occurred for polyurethane materials in reference ll. The third polyurethane specimen, Last-A-Foam, exhibited good thermal performance for these cracks.

I

ALL REAL REAL

POL YURETHANE

● TERMINATED-STRUCTURAL FAILURE △ INSPECTION





Figure 14

Polymethacrylimide

(Figure 15)

the foam and the anticipated thermal stress, the polymethacrylimide foam insulations (Rohacell 31, 51, and calculations which indicated the highest margin of any of the foams between the ultimate stress of However, the thermal cycle performance as shown in the figure was poorer than that shown for Based on previous experience with cryogenic foams for hypersonic application (mentioned earlier) and 41S) were leading candidates for the subsonic transport application at the onset of the test the best polyurethane foams. program.

hairline surface cracks were observed. However, the Rohacell 31 specimen sustained over 1600 thermal physical performances of polymethacrylimide materials. After the first few warm-up periods, short Rohacell 31, a 30 kg/m² (1.87 lbm/ft^3) density foam, displayed the best combined thermal and cycles with little degradation of the thermal performance.

Although the Rohacell 51 failed structurally, the thermal performance of the insulation degraded slowly. cycles at which time the cracked side was removed and another Rohacell 51 specimen installed (The other side was still unblemished and was retained.) The next warm-up cycle revealed that the new insulation specimen had also cracked, apparently because of voids in the bond under the foam, but was not removed the original insulation, the insulation was cracked badly on both sides and its useful life was over. until it had undergone a total of 1104 cycles. A third piece was bonded to the troublesome side and the cycling resumed. After 1200 cycles on the new piece and a total of 3968 cycles on the side with However, because the thermal properties had not degraded significantly, the specimen was retained until 1296 The Rohacell 51 specimen cracked on one side after (or during) the first 371 cycles.

Rohacell 41S, which contains a flame retardant additive, was badly damaged after 371 thermal cycles and therefore the specimen was removed. The initial thermal performance appeared to be excellent, but the structural failures were extensive.

surface is consistent with the gradual deterioration of the thermal properties of the polymethacrylimide The Rohacell foam insulations all failed in a similar manner. The first indication was a curved hairline surface crack which had a very shallow inclination angle with respect to the surface of the insulation. As the insulation was exposed to more thermal cycles the crack grew in length and depth and began to lift on the concave side of the crack. After repeated cyclic exposure, both ends of the crack met and a circular crack was formed. The lack of an initial through crack to the tank

foams.



「「「「「「」」」」」

Polyisocyanurate

(Figure 16)

The two polyisocyanurate materials examined in this study are currently the prime and back-up insulations for the single-use, throw-away LH2 fuel tank for the boost stage of the space shuttle. These two insulations, Texthane 333 and CPR 488, exhibit good thermal performance. Both foam aircraft standards. However, both materials survived over 900 thermal cycles while maintaining insulations deteriorated structurally and had to be removed after a relatively short time by fairly good thermal performance.

ർ very low abrasive resistance, suggesting a complete disintegration of the foam cells or possibly relatively wide and ragged cracks along the 0.6 m (2 ft) edges of the specimen and other smaller layers. In addition, the insulation that was nearest the tank wall was relatively spongy with insulation separated from the main panel. Upon removal of the specimens from the apparatus, a slight handling load caused the insulations to delaminate at the interfaces between the poured were exposed to repeated cycling, the width and depth of the cracks increased, but no piece of cracks that propagated under the surface of the specimen into the interior. As the specimens Their failure was characterized by These foams were either poured or sprayed in layers. a chemical change.



ł

Polyisocyanate

(Figure 17)

As mentioned earlier, the system had two vapor barriers and chopped fiberglass reinforcement (added during foam This system had fair thermal performance initially but the performance deteriorated The results of the tests of an A. D. Little insulation system (ADL) using polyisocyanate foam rapidly with thermal cycles. (The other foam system (not shown) had similar performance.) (Up.john 452) and tests of the same basic foam (composite) are indicated on the figure. formulation).

appeared relatively smooth. After the first set of cyclic tests, the vapor barrier was drawn tight After the specimen Visual examination of the insulation system after a week of cyclic testing showed that the of high thermal conductivity). Initially, the exterior vapor barrier of the ADL Upjohn system against the outer surface of the foam insulation and had a cratered appearance. This behavior specimen was covered with frost within 7.6 cm (3 in.) of the edges of the sample (indicative was removed from the test apparatus, no cracks were detected; however, the insulation was suggests that the insulation was permeable and some cryopumping was occurring. found to be permeable.

apparently improved the structural integrity since the insulation specimens without a barrier cracked on it and it was concluded that the fiberglass reinforcement degraded both the thermal and structural test compartment (one on each side). One of the specimens had fiberglass reinforcement, but neither performance of the foam. In contrast, vapor barriers, while not improving the thermal performance, thermal performance was better than the ADL Upjohn system. The fiberglass reinforced side cracked had a vapor barrier. Even though the foam in this specimen, the composite specimen, cracked, the much more than the unreinforced side. Furthermore, the reinforced side had a great deal of frost In an effort to determine the effect of chopped fiberglass reinforcement and vapor barriers on foam thermal performance and strength, two specimens of Upjohn 452 were bonded to a single while the insulation systems which had barriers did not crack.



FOAM TEST RESULTS (316 K (110°F) MAXIMUM TEMPERATURE)

(Figure 18)

Significant findings of cyclic testing of foams for a subsonic transport application are summarized in the figure.



FOAM TEST RESULTS (316K (110°F) MAXIMUM TEMPERATURE)

TWO POLYURETHANE FOAM INSULATIONS SURVIVED OVER 4000 THERMAL CYCLES (15 YEARS OF AIRLINE SURVICE) WITH EXCELLENT THERMAL PERFORMANCE

CHOPPED FIBER REINFORCEMENT DEGRADED BOTH THERMAL AND STRUCTURAL PERFORMANCE VAPOR BARRIERS HAD LITTLE INFLUENCE ON THERMAL PERFORMANCE BUT DID ENHANCE STRUCTURAL PERFORMANCE

BOUNDARIES BETWEEN LAYERS FORMED WHEN FOAMS ARE EITHER POURED OR SPRAYED IN LAYERS SERVE AS NUCLEATION SITES FOR CRACK PROPAGATION

Figure 18

CONCLUSIONS

(Figure 19)

- ы With optimized insulation thickness, tank temperatures were always below 311 $(100^{\circ}F)$ - this conclusion indicates that an aluminum tank could be used with an optimized insulation system if desired. 0
- $\rm N_2$ purged systems were always lighter than $\rm CO_2$ purged systems. The lower condensation temperature was the controlling factor. 0
- Closed cell foam systems were lightest Closed cell foams, in spite of their need for protective outer insulation, produced insulation systems which were lighter than systems which allowed cryodeposition. 0
- Foam system durability established for T_{max} = 316 K (110°F) Tests for a subsonic application established this durability; higher temperature limit foam durability is unknown at this time. 0
- An increase in foam \mathbb{T}_{max} from 316 K (110°F) to 450 K (350°F) potentially decreases TPS mass by 25 percent. 0

CONCLUSIONS

- WITH OPTIMIZED INSULATION THICKNESS, TANK TEMPERATURES WERE ALWAYS BELOW 311 K (100⁰ F)
- N₂ PURGED SYSTEMS WERE ALWAYS LIGHTER THAN CO_2 PURGED SYSTEMS
- CLOSED CELL FOAM SYSTEMS WERE LIGHTEST
- FOAM SYSTEM DURABILITY ESTABLISHED FOR T_{MAX} = 316K (110⁰F)
- AN INCREASE IN FOAM T_{MAX} FROM 316 K (110⁰ F) to 450 K (350 0 F) POTENTIALLY DECREASES TPS MASS BY 25 %

Figure 19

	REFERENCES
1.	Hammond, M. B., Jr.: An Analytical Model for Determining the Thermal Conditivity of Closed Cell Foam Insulations; Advances in Cryogenic Engineering, Vol. 15, Plenum Press, 1970, pp 332-342.
2.	Norton, Francis J.: Thermal Conductivity and Life of Polymer Foams; Journal of Cellular Plastics. Vol. 3, No. 1, January 1967, pp 23-37.
з.	Bennett, R. B.: A Structural Plastic Foam Thermal Insulation for Cryogenic Service. Advances in Cryogenic Engineering, Vol. 18, Plenum Press, 1973, pp 393-399.
4.	Heathman, John H., and Kelley, Larry G.: Hydrogen Tankage for Hypersonic Cruise Vehicles. AIAA/ASME Seventh Structures and Materials Conference, April 1966, pp 430–438.
5.	Jackson, L. Robert, Davis, John G., Jr., and Wichorek, Gregory, R.: Structural Concepts for Hydrogen-Fueled Hypersonic Airplanes. NASA TN D-3162, 1966.
6.	Norton, Allan M.: Advanced Structural Concepts, Experimental Program - Project ASCEP. Volume II, AFFDL-TR-67-146, June 1968.
7.	Jackson, L. R., and Anderson, M. S.: A Carbon Dioxide Purge and Thermal Protection System for Liquid Hydrogen Tanks of Hypersonic Airplanes. Advances in Cryogenic Engineering Volume 12, Plenum Press, Inc., 1967, pp 146-156.
8.	Sharpe, Ellsworth L.: The Control of Carbon Dioxide Cryodeposits. NASA TN D-7334, 1973.
•	Clay, John P.: Carbon Dioxide Frost as Insulation for Hypersonic Spacecraft. Insulation- Materials and Processes for Aerospace and Hydrospace Applications. Soc. Aerospace Materials Process Engineering. May 1965.
10.	Sharpe, Ellsworth L., and Jackson, L. Robert: Performance of a Hypersonic Hot Fuselage Structure with a CO ₂ Frost Purged Cryogenic Tank. NASA TN D-7799, March 1975.
11.	Helenbrook, R. G., and Colt, J. Z.: Development and Validation of Purged Thermal Protection Systems for the LH2 Fuel Tanks of Hypersonic Vehicles. NASA CR-2829, June 1977.

- Jourder, M. D., and Moore, J. W.: Study of the Application of Hydrogen Fuel to Long-Range Subsonic Transport Aircraft. NASA CR-132559, January 1975. - 7 -
- Fuel Tanks for Future Subsonic Transports. International Cryogenic Materials Conference; Munich, West Germany, July 10-11, 1978. (To be published in <u>Nonmetallic Materials and</u> Sharpe, Ellsworth L.; and Helenbrook, Robert G.: Durability of Foam Insulation for LH2 Composites at Low Temperatures in Jan. 1979.) 13.

SESSION VI - ANALYSIS METHODS

.

RECENT ADVANCES IN THERMOSTRUCTURAL FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS

Earl A. Thornton Old Dominion University

and

Allan R. Wieting NASA Langley Research Center
RECENT ADVANCES IN THERMOSTRUCTURAL FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS

Earl A. Thornton Old Dominion University and Allan R. Wieting NASA Langley Research Center

INTRODUCTION

(Figure 1)

thermal and structural analyses. Finite element structural analysis capability has reached a state well developed, and the majority of complex thermal analyses are currently performed by the lumped analysis of the scramjet fuel-injection strut (ref. 1) has illustrated this interaction. Thus an routine method of structural analysis. The finite element thermal analysis methodology is not as integrated thermal/structural analysis is desirable. Often combined thermal/structural analyses because the analysis methods differ an efficient interface between the two analyses is difficult An inherent design characteristic for hypersonic vehicles is the strong interaction between thermal and structural analyses. The paper presented at this conference on the thermostructural of mature development, and the finite element method has been almost universally accepted as a to achieve. The finite element method is attractive because it provides capability for both join a lumped parameter thermal analysis and a finite element structural analysis. However, parameter method.

thermal analysis of convectively cooled structures. First, a comparison study of the NASTRAN thermal comparative analyses made to evaluate the convective finite elements will be presented. Finally, analyzer and MITAS, a lumped parameter finite difference program, will be described. Next, some finite elements developed for convectively cooled structures will be described. Then, some The purpose of this paper is to describe recent finite element methodology development for some methodology areas which need development will be identified.

RECENT ADVANCES IN THERMOSTRUCTURAL FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS

MOTIVATION

- STRONGLY INTERACTING THERMAL/STRUCTURAL DESIGN FOR HYPERSONIC VEHICLES
- INTEGRATED THERMAL/STRUCTURAL ANALYSES DESIRABLE
- FINITE ELEMENT METHOD OFFERS THERMAL/STRUCTURAL CAPABILITIES
- STRUCTURAL WELL ESTABLISHED
- THERMAL REQUIRES FURTHER DEVELOPMENT

PURPOSE OF PRESENTATION

 TO DESCRIBE FINITE ELEMENT METHODOLOGY DEVELOPMENT FOR CONVECTIVELY COOLED STRUCTURES

SCOPE

- COMPARISON STUDY OF NASTRAN AND MITAS
- NEW CONVECTIVE FINITE ELEMENTS
- APPLICATIONS AND COMPARATIVE ANALYSES
- METHODOLOGY AREAS FOR FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

COMPARISON STUDY OF NASTRAN AND MITAS

(Figure 2)

coolant temperature distributions. The nonlinearity arose from temperature dependent thermal parameters. đ relatively little user experience with NASTRAN for convectively cooled structures; however, MITAS was A comparison study of NASTRAN and MITAS (ref. 2) was carried out to evaluate the capabilities of well established, finite difference, lumped parameter thermal analyzer. The scramjet fuel-injection Цп Subsequent to the thermal analysis, the NASTRAN finite element model was used to perform a detailed the study, a nonlinear steady-state thermal analysis was made to determine detailed structural and NASTRAN to thermally analyze convectively cooled structures. At the time of the study, there was strut was selected for the comparison study because of its complex thermal/structural behavior. stress analysis (ref. 3).



AERODYNAMIC HEATING DISTRIBUTIONS

(Figure 3)

A cross section of a fuel-injection strut is shown along with the aerodynamic heating distributions. convective heating from hydrogen in the coolant manifolds. As shown, the aerodynamic heating (q) varied combustion. Internally, the coolant at 55 K (100°R) in the forward manifold is injected through a slot, exchanger which is brazed to the primary structure. Flow proceeds along each wall to the trailing Severe thermal gradients arise in the strut because of nonuniform aerodynamic heating and internal considerably along each side because of flow stagnation at the leading edge, shock interaction and impinges on the leading edge and splits unequally to flow through an offset-fin plate-fin heat edge where it is collected in the aft manifold at about 890 K (1600⁰R).



NASTRAN FINITE ELEMENT MODEL

(Figure 4)

analysis the temperature of each of 3000 nodes was unknown, and in the stress analysis two displacements were needed through the primary structure to represent the bending stresses in the wall. In the thermal wall section shown represents the primary structure, a hydrogen coolant passage, and the aerodynamic A common finite element The model was determined primarily by structural requirements. For example, four elements model was used for both thermal and structural analyses. The finite element model of a typical The NASTRAN finite element model of the strut cross section is shown. at each node were unknown. skin.

A basic difficulty in the NASTRAN thermal analysis arose in modeling the convective heat transfer convection. Thus, coolant temperatures could not be computed using NASTRAN. Instead, coolant due to the fluid flow. NASTRAN had no means of modeling heat transfer due to mass transport temperatures were computed in MITAS and input to NASTRAN as a boundary condition.



NASTRAN FINITE ELEMENT MODEL

TEMPERATURES ALONG STARBOARD AERODYNAMIC SKIN

(Figure 5)

These coolant temperatures were computed with MITAS and input to the NASTRAN model as boundary conditions. The lower curve is the hydrogen-coolant temperature distribution. increased heating due to combustion. Agreement between the predicted temperatures was excellent with The open symbols NASTRAN and MITAS calculated temperature distributions along the starboard coolant passage and The increase in coolant temperature at x/L = 0.6 reflects an increase in aerodynamic heating and aerodynamic skin temperatures reflect the nonuniform aerodynamic heating from stagnation to the represent NASTRAN computed temperatures and the solid symbols the MITAS computed values. The The upper two curves are the predicted aerodynamic skin temperatures. the largest difference less than 6 percent. starboard aerodynamic skin are shown. combustion.



RESULTS OF NASTRAN-MITAS COMPARISON STUDY

(Figure 6)

A subsequent literature search revealed a lack of the basic finite element methodology for such analyses. One proprietary computer program could model heat transfer The NASTRAN-MITAS comparison showed that NASTRAN did not have the capability to model heat due to fluid flow in a pipe, but otherwise the methodology needed to analyze the strut was not transfer due to mass transport. available.

analyses were comparable based on the LRC cost algorithm which includes computer storage and run The comparative study demonstrated the finite element capability for performing a complex nonlinear conduction/convection thermal analysis. Computer costs for the NASTRAN and MITAS times.

advantageous for model verification. For example, several months after these results were presented The error would have easily been One significant asset of the finite element method is that finite element graphics are in reference 1 an error in the MITAS model was found accidently. detected by a computer plot of the model.

One justification often given for thermal/structural analysis by finite elements is that the cost-effective since an excessively detailed and expensive thermal analysis was done because the same model can be used by both analyses. In this case, a common model was used but it was not finite element mesh was dictated by the structural model requirements.

Ξ
<u> </u>
S
~
0
S
С́х
느
Σ
Ō
$\widetilde{}$
S
5
Σ
Σ
シーフ
M-N
M-NA
RAN-M
FRAN-M
STRAN-M
STRAN-M
ASTRAN-M
NASTRAN-M
NASTRAN-M
NASTRAN-M
F NASTRAN-M
OF NASTRAN-M
OF NASTRAN-M
S OF NASTRAN-M
IS OF NASTRAN-M
TS OF NASTRAN-M
LTS OF NASTRAN-M
ULTS OF NASTRAN-M
SULTS OF NASTRAN-M
ESULTS OF NASTRAN-M
ESULTS OF NASTRAN-M

LACK OF METHODOLOGY TO PERFORM FINITE ELEMENT THERMAL ANALYSIS OF CONVECTIVELY COOLED STRUCTURES DEMONSTRATION OF FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS CAPABILITY FOR NONLINEAR STEADY-STATE CONDUCTION/CONVECTION

- COMPARABLE COMPUTER COSTS

FINITE ELEMENT GRAPHICS ADVANTAGEOUS FOR MODEL VERIFICATION COMMON FINITE ELEMENT MODEL OF SCRAMJET STRUT DID NOT YIELD EFFICIENT THERMAL ANALYSES

TYPICAL CONVECTIVELY COOLED STRUCTURES

(Figure 7)

The left figure shows a discrete tube configuration in which coolant flows through tubes bonded to a panel, As a result of the NASTRAN-MITAS comparison study a program was undertaken to develop finite and the right figure shows a plate-fin configuration in which the coolant flows through a heat element methodology for convectively cooled structures. An objective in the finite element convectively cooled structures for hypersonic aircraft such as those shown in the figure. methodology development was to have the capability for modeling heat transfer in general exchanger bonded to a structure.

Four new convective elements were developed to analyze such configurations. The new elements will be described in the next four figures. Details of the element derivations are given in reference 4.





MASS TRANSPORT ELEMENT

(Figure 8)

The mass transport element accounts for heat transfer due to energy transported in the direction has two fluid nodes with unknown fluid bulk temperatures. A linear variation of temperature between the element, and bulk temperatures are used to represent the fluid temperature field. The element of the fluid flow. The element is based on a uniform velocity profile over the cross section of the nodes is assumed.

MASS TRANSPORT ELEMENT



SURFACE CONVECTION ELEMENTS

(Figure 9)

a coolant passage surface Both quadrilateral and triangular elements are used. Heat is transferred between nodes The conductance is . д expressed in terms of the area of the convection surface and the convection coefficient Surface convection elements are used to represent heat transfer between and J. on the convection surface, such as L and K, and fluid nodes I and coolant.

In previous finite element heat transfer analyses (such as NASTRAN) convection heat transfer between a surface and a fluid customarily has been represented as a boundary condition since the fluid temperatures were assumed to be known. The quadrilateral and triangular surface convection elements shown have unknown temperatures at both fluid and wall surface nodes. The basic finite elements were combined with conduction elements to give two integrated elements which represent heat transfer in the typical cooling passages shown in Figure 7.

II III

SURFACE CONVECTION ELEMENTS



QUADRILATERAL ELEMENT

TRIANGULAR ELEMENT

TUBE/FLUID ELEMENT

(Figure 10)

The tube/fluid element consists of fluid within a thin tube of arbitrary cross section. The tube wall temperature is constant around the perimeter but may vary in the axial direction. The element has two fluid nodes I and J and two tube nodes L and K.

The following heat transfer modes are represented: (1) Axial conduction in the tube (L to K); (2) Convection between tube inner surface nodes (L and K) and fluid nodes (I and J); (3) Mass transport convection (downstream I to J); and (4) convection between tube outer surface (nodes L and K) and a surrounding medium.

> l ,

TUBE/FLUID ELEMENT

Ļ



PLATE-FIN/FLUID ELEMENT

(Figure 11)

plain fin is shown in this schematic but other fin configurations as well as several fins can be represented two plate-fin/fluid element consists of top and bottom walls (plates) connected by an internal fin. A single within a single element. The flow cross section may vary along the element. The element has 6 nodes: fluid nodes (N and K) and four plate-fin nodes (I,J,L,M). The fluid node locations are arbitrary at The Plate-fin/fluid elements are used to model heat transfer in plate-fin heat exchangers. a given flow section.

The following heat transfer modes are represented in the element: (1) two dimensional conduction in the fin, (2) convection between the top and bottom wall surfaces and the fluid, (3) convection between the fin surfaces and the fluid, (4) mass transport convection (downstream N to K).

PLATE-FIN/FLUID ELEMENT



CONVECTIVE FINITE ELEMENT METHODOLOGY APPLICATION

(Figure 12)

efficient thermal/structural analysis of complex structures, and it is described in further detail In the next six figures applications of the new convective finite elements will be presented. The first applications will be to nonlinear steady-state analysis. The elements were developed The elements are also available in a recent release of SPAR. SPAR is a production program for in reference 6. Two steady-state applications of the elements will be presented here. Other using an exploratory finite element program TAP1 (ref. 5) which is available from COSMIC. examples have been presented previously in reference 4.

The steady-state convection methodology is currently being extended to nonlinear transient recent transient applications will be presented. A comparison with an analytical solution will Some be presented first, and then a preliminary transient analysis of the scramjet fuel-injection problems. An exploratory code TAP2 is being utilized in this methodology development. strut will be described.



NONLINEAR STEADY-STATE

COMPARISONS OF LUMPED PARAMETER AND FINITE ELEMENT TAP1 EXPLORATORY PROGRAM (COSMIC) ELEMENTS BEING INSTALLED IN SPAR

NONLINEAR TRANSIENT

TAP2 EXPLORATORY PROGRAM COMPARISONS WITH ANALYTICAL SCRAMJET RESPONSE

APPLICATION OF TUBE/FLUID ELEMENT TO A CONVECTIVELY HEATED, COOLED PIPE

(Figure 13)

of the coolant is specified; downstream coolant temperatures and the pipe wall temperatures are to be In the first application, tube/fluid elements are used to analyze a convectively heated, cooled heating and is cooled by internal flow at a specified flow rate. The entrance temperature (283 K) A typical tube/fluid element is shown crosshatched. The pipe is subjected to external convective determined. Temperature dependent thermal parameters (k, h, c_p) were used, and the nonlinear equations were solved using the Newton-Raphson iteration method. Shown here is the cross section of the tube with the finite element mesh superimposed. pipe.

Finite element calculated temperatures are compared with temperatures from an equivalent lumped parameter (MITAS) analysis. The lumped parameter results are shown in parenthesis with the finite element results below. Excellent agreement can be seen. ALPLICATION OF TUBE/FLUID ELEMENT TO A CONVECTIVELY HEATED, COOLED PIPE



SCRAMJET FUEL-INJECTION STRUT CROSS SECTION

(Figure 14)

had been analyzed with NASTRAN and MITAS, ref. 2). For convenience a simplified model was used. The model had 122 thermal unknowns in contrast to the 3000 unknowns in the previous study. Some Another application of the convective finite elements was to the scramjet strut (previously details of the finite element model of the forward portion of the strut are shown in the next figure.







Â

FINITE ELEMENT THERMAL METHODOLOGY

(Figure 15)

The lower half of the figure shows the physical model, and the top half shows the mathematical model. The finite element model of the forward portion of the strut is shown.

Mass transport elements are used to represent the flow into the coolant inlet manifold, the flow elements in the primary structure and by rod elements in the aerodynamic skin and interior bulkheads. to the leading edge and the split flow to the plate-fin coolant passages. Convective heat transfer between the coolant and internal surfaces is represented by triangular surface convection elements. represented by plate-fin/fluid elements. Conduction heat transfer is represented by quadrilateral Triangular elements are shown at the leading edge and in the manifold. The coolant passages are



の計算法が



Figure 15

сŤ.

FINITE ELEMENT AND LUMPED PARAMETER COOLANT TEMPERATURES

(Figure 16)

temperature curve to oscillate about the MITAS result. Structural temperatures (not shown) were also Figure 5 in the discussion of the NASTRAN-MITAS comparison. The agreement between the finite element Finite element and lumped parameter calculated coolant temperatures for the starboard coolant and lumped parameter temperatures is good although there is some tendency for the finite element passage are compared. The coolant temperature variation along the starboard coolant passage is shown. The lumped parameter (MITAS) coolant temperature distribution was shown previously in computed and showed good agreement (see ref. 4).



NONLINEAR CONDUCTION/CONVECTION TRANSIENT FLUID TEMPERATURES

(Figure 17)

figure, and the subsequent time variation of the coolant temperatures is to be determined. The exact formulation of the problem is described by a nonlinear partial differential equation (P.D.E.) conzero a portion of the coolant passage has the specified temperature distribution shown in the upper taining terms which represent fluid conduction, convection, and capacitance. The convective term -At time This figure presents a nonlinear transient analysis of fluid flowing in a passage.

T $rac{\partial T}{\partial {f x}}$ represents the nonlinearity. For the specified initial conditions, the equation has an exact

closed-form solution (ref. 7). In the finite element analysis, nodal temperatures were computed as a function of time using an iterative solution at each time step.

The graph shows the time history of temperature at one node (x = 0.3). The exact solution is The agreement is the solid line and the open circles denote the finite element predictions. excellent.



NONLINEAR CONDUCTION/CONVECTION TRANSIENT FLUID TEMPERATURES **▲**



NONLINEAR P.D.E. - EXACT SOLUTION

$$x = 0.3$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x} - T \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}$$

$$= \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} - FINITE ELEMENT$$

$$TIME$$

Figure 17

STRUT TRANSIENT COOLANT TEMPERATURE VARIATION

(Figure 18)

starboard side resulting in the heating distribution shown in the figure. The graph presents the This figure shows some recent preliminary predictions for transient coolant temperatures The problem consisted of the strut operating at a steady-state condition such as presented in Figure 16. At time zero there is a loss of combustion on the initial starboard coolant temperature and computed temperatures (solid and dashed lines) at in the fuel-injection strut. time equal to 5 seconds.

element, based upon the upwind finite element concept (ref. 7), has been utilized in the computer program to remove the spurious coolant temperature oscillations. Coolant temperatures predicted the oscillations was unknown. Since the conference, the oscillation problem has been traced to the manifolds and coolant passages, see reference 4. A new formulation of the mass transport the mathematical formulation of the mass transport element used to model coolant flow between unrealistic oscillations. At the time of the oral presentation of this paper the source of The predicted temperatures indicated by the solid line at 5 seconds shows physically utilizing the upwind element are shown by the dashed line.



ţ
THERMAL/STRUCTURAL FINITE ELEMENT MODEL COMPATABILITY

(Figure 19)

In the NASTRAN study of the scramjet fuel-injection strut (see figure 4), common thermal and structural Some observations of thermal/structural finite element model compatibility will now be presented. finite element models were utilized. To obtain adequate stress detail, the common model required an excessively detailed thermal analysis. Considering Temperatures are then computed at the nodes shown. From the computed nodal temperatures the thermal loads, e.g. the average wall temperature \overline{T} and the wall gradient ΔT , can be found. a typical wall section, the thermal model uses a single quadrilateral element to model the primary This figure shows simplified finite element models of the thermal/structural behavior. structure with convective finite elements for the coolant passages.

primary structure. Typical nodal unknowns are displacement and rotation. The nodes are at different An adequate structural model on the other hand utilizes beam or plate elements to model the locations from the thermal model, namely at the midplane of the primary structure.

compatability between the models because: (1) the thermal analysis does not directly produce the thermal Each finite element model is efficient for the respective analysis. However, there is a lack of need within finite element methodology to improve the compatability between thermal and structural loads required for the structural analysis, and (2) the structural model utilizes different node locations and elements than the thermal model. Thus, this example illustrates that there exists models.



889

A.

FINITE ELEMENT METHODOLOGY NEEDS

(Figure 20)

The previous figure illustrated the need for improved compatability between thermal/structural A second concept is new structurally compatible thermal finite elements. structural analysis, e.g. wall temperature gradients, average wall temperatures and node locations of an insulated panel and then could be used to output nodal quantities required for analyzing the One concept which could be used to improve model compatability is element interpolation schemes. These schemes would allow the thermal analyst to specify the output required for the For example a layered thermal element could be used to do a three dimensional thermal analysis structure utilizing plate bending elements. for the structural model. models.

NEEDS	
106Y	
THODC	
NT ME	
LEME	
NITE	
Ē	

- THERMAL/ STRUCTURAL MODEL COMPATABILITY
- INTERPOLATION SCHEMES



Figure 20

FINITE ELEMENT METHODOLOGY NEEDS

(Figure 21)

Some recent experience compete with the lumped parameter programs for large problems due to high computer costs. There computer costs and make the finite element method more competitive with lumped parameter methods at Langley Research Center has suggested that new algorithms may offer potential to reduce high is a need for critical evaluation of existing finite element algorithms by comparison studies There is some indication that existing finite element production programs cannot Another area of finite element methodology needs concerns efficient nonlinear transient because further practical experience is needed to identify problem areas. for complex, nonlinear analyses. algorithms.

FINITE ELEMENT METHODOLOGY NEEDS

「「「「「」」」

EFFICIENT NONLINEAR TRANSIENT ANALYSIS



- EVALUATION OF EXISTING ALGORITHMS BY COMPARISONS
- DEVELOPMENT OF NEW ALGORITHMS

Figure 21

54. E

CONCLUDING REMARKS

(Figure 22)

has been cited. With further development in the thermal analysis area, the finite element method A comparison study has been described which has indicated that the finite element and lumped Some problems encountered in constructing compatible thermal and structural models have indicated element model is advantageous because of the ease of model verification with computer graphics. The need for more efficient finite element nonlinear transient algorithms parameter methods are comparable for steady-state conduction/convection analyses. The finite the need for further methodology developments to automate the transition between thermal and offers high potential for an integrated thermal/structural analysis capability. structural analyses.

CONCLUDING REMARKS	FINITE ELEMENT - LUMPED PARAMETER COMPARABLE FOR STEADY-STATE CONDUCTION/CONVECTION	FINITE ELEMENT GEOMETRIC MODEL ADVANTAGEOUS FOR MODEL VERIFICATION WITH COMPUTER GRAPHICS	FINITE ELEMENT THERMAL/STRUCTURAL MODEL COMPATABILITY REQUIRES FURTHER DEVELOPMENT	NEED FOR IMPROVED FINITE ELEMENT NONLINEAR TRANSIENT ALGORITHMS	FINITE ELEMENT METHOD DEMONSTRATES HIGH POTENTIAL FOR AN INTEGRATED THERMAL/STRUCTURAL ANALYS IS CAPABILITY	Figure 22
--------------------	--	--	---	---	--	-----------

S
E
Ċ,
z
ы
24
ы
Ē.
E.
24

- Wieting, Allan R.; and Thornton, Earl A.: Thermostructural Analysis of a Scramjet Fuel-Injection Strut. Recent Advances in Structures for Hypersonic Flight. NASA CP-2065, 1978. (Paper no. 6 (Paper no. of this compilation.)
- Analyses of a Convectively Cooled Structure. NASTRAN: User's Experiences. NASA TM X-3278, 1975. Earl A.; and Wieting, Allan R.: Comparison of NASTRAN and MITAS Nonlinear Thermal Thornton, 2.
- NASA CR-146839, Thermal-Structural Analyses of a Scramjet Fuel Injection Strut. Thornton, Earl A.: 1976. ÷.
- Heat Transfer and Thermal Control Systems. Leroy S. Fletcher, Thornton, Earl A.; and Wieting, Allan R.: Finite Element Methodology for Thermal Analysis of Convectively Cooled Structures. ed. AIAA, c.1978. 4.
- TAP1: A Finite Element Program for Steady-State Thermal Analysis of Convectively NASA CR-145069, 1976. Thornton, Earl A.: Cooled Structures. . س
- Adelman, Howard M.; and Robinson, James C.: Recent Advances in Thermal-Structural Analysis and Design. (Paper no. 24 of this compilation.) NASA CP-2065, 1978. . 9
- Chung, T. J.: Finite Element Analysis in Fluid Dynamics, McGraw-Hill, 1978, pp. 217-218. 7.

RECENT ADVANCES IN THERMAL-STRUCTURAL

ANALYSIS AND DESIGN

Howard M. Adelman and James C. Robinson NASA Langley Research Center

INTRODUCTION

ł capability for structures subjected to a thermal environment. The paper is divided into two parts This paper describes the results of some recent activity aimed at improved analytical design analysis and design.

particularly improvements in the finite element library and the SPAR thermal analyzer. A recent The analysis portion concentrates on recent improvements in the SPAR computer program application to calculations for the National Transonic Facility is discussed.

temperature constraints and a method for optimally sizing insulated structural panels under transient process. Included is a modification of fully stressed design which accounts for thermal effects structures in which thermal stresses and/or temperatures are major considerations in the design The design portion of the paper presents a digest of recently developed methods for sizing illustrated and initial attempts at extending design capability to large complex structures are more efficiently than ordinary fully stressed design. Also an optimality criterion method for heating are described. A design-oriented approximate transient thermal analysis technique is discussed.

CONFIGURATION OF SPAR

(Figure 1)

and recently extended to thermal analysis (ref. 1). SPAR was developed and is currently maintained under As The program consists of a number of technical modules or processors which perform the basic tasks of finite element analysis. Each processor is programed in a highly efficient manner both from the standpoint of analysis capability, is the fact that once the temperatures are computed by one of the thermal analysis computer program and has had extensive usage. Of particular usefulness, in terms of thermal-structural speed and core usage. Furthermore the processors communicate with each other through the data base. processors, these temperatures are available to the structural analysis processors through the data base. Such an availability saves the effort of having to manually transfer the temperatures from a contract and supported jointly by NASA's Langley Research Center and Marshall Space Flight Center. a result of the above configurational considerations, SPAR is an extremely flexible and efficient SPAR is a general-purpose finite element computer program developed for structural analysis thermal analyzer to a separate structural analyzer.



l

KEY FEATURES OF SPAR

(Figure 2)

a large amount of output may be stored in the data base following execution, but only selected results For example, to the program. The user has the flexibility to call the various processors in any order appropriate As a result of the configuration and efficient programing of each processor of SPAR, there are a number of key features of the program. Fast execution and low minimum field length requirements Also, provision for free-field input eases the task of preparing input SPAR is presently operational on the CDC and UNIVAC computer systems and also on the PRIME and DEC need be printed or plotted initially. Later if necessary, other selected results may be printed. to his solution needs. The data base is designed to allow the user to interface between SPAR and other computer programs. Also a high degree of flexibility is available for output. are particular attributes. minicomputers.

KEY FEATURES OF SPAR

- o FAST EXECUTION
- o LOW MINIMUM CORE REQUIREMENT (≈60 0008)
- o FREE-FIELD INPUT
- o USER-DEFINED EXECUTION STREAM
- o EXCELLENT DATA MANAGEMENT
- o OPERATIONAL ON CDC, UNIVAC, MINI

Figure 2

901

BASIC SPAR STRUCTURAL CAPABILITY

(Figure 3)

calculations (vibration modes and bifurcation buckling) are available as is dynamic response analysis. library is sufficient for most practical structural components. Newly developed elements may be Efficiency of eigenvalue analysis is enhanced by substructuring capability. The finite element SPAR has a rather broad capability for structural analysis. Linear static and eigenvalue evaluated and tested in a large-problem environment by use of the element test capability. BASIC SPAR STRUCTURAL CAPABILITY

I

- O LINEAR STATIC AND EIGENVALUE ANALYSIS
- o DYNAMIC RESPONSE
- o DYNAMIC SUBSTRUCTURING
- o MATRIX MANIPULATION
- o EXTENSIVE FINITE ELEMENT LIBRARY
- o ELEMENT TEST CAPABILITY
- o CONTAINED-FLUID ELEMENT

Figure 3

SPAR THERMAL ANALYZER

(Figure 4)

integrated thermal-structural analysis. The thermal analyzer provides for rather general structural transient and steady-state problems may be solved. Also included is an element test capability heat transfer analysis. Conduction, convection, and radiation to space are included and both A thermal analyzer has been added to SPAR which provides the preliminary capability for which provides a mechanism for evaluating new thermal finite elements in SPAR.

jointly with temperature and time; the capability to model radiant heat transfer between surfaces capability resulted from the fact that certain insulation materials have voids and the pressure of the gas in the voids varies with time during a vehicle trajectory. Consequently the thermal There are three improvements in progress: the addition of a set of finite elements for modelling mass transport effects (see ref. 2); provision for material properties which vary is being added to the thermal analyzer. The need for the time and temperature-dependent properties of the insulation vary with flight time as well as insulation temperature.

SPAR THERMAL ANALYZER

No.

- o THERMAL AND STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS IN SAME PROGRAM
- O CONDUCTION, CONVECTION, AND RADIATION (TO SPACE)
- ONE-, TWO-, THREE-DIMENSIONAL ELEMENTS
- o STEADY-STATE AND TRANSIENT ANALYSES
- o ELEMENT TEST CAPABILITY
- o ONGOING ADDITIONS
- MASS TRANSPORT ELEMENT
- TIME AND TEMPERATURE DEPENDENT MATERIAL PROPERTIES 0
- o RADIATION BETWEEN SURFACES

Figure 4

NATIONAL TRANSONIC FACILITY

•

(Figure 5)

important design considerations. SPAR was used to perform thermal and structural analyses of the the test medium in order to achieve appropriate Reynolds numbers by the reduced viscosity of the fluid. Nitrogen operating at temperatures as low as -184°C is used as the test medium. Because The National Transonic Facility (NTF) is based on the concept of using a cryogenic fluid as of the low temperatures in the containing and supporting structure, thermal stresses become NTF structural components. In particular, the design of the downstream nacelle was greatly influenced by results from the SPAR analysis.



Figure 5

APPLICATION OF SPAR TO DOWNSTREAM NACELLE OF NTF

(Figure 6)

convective boundary elements. The structural model had 13000 degrees of freedom (dof) after boundary The finite element model of the structure had 2300 grid points and the same grid-point layout conditions were applied) and a total of 2200 finite elements. Transient analyses were carried out was used for the thermal and structural analysis. The thermal model had 6100 elements including to assure acceptable thermal and structural behavior for three load cases--the most critical being a sudden drop of -30°C in the temperature of the test medium. -

AL-L-LCA. JON OF SPAR TO DOWNSTREAM NACELLE OF NTF

FINITE ELEMENT MODEL

- THERMAL-2300 dof, 6100 ELEMENTS
- - **3 LOAD CASES**



DESIGN FOR ACCEPTABLE STRUCTURAL AND THERMAL PERFORMANCE DURING TRANSIENT RESPONSE TO STEP DECREASE IN TEMPERATURE

Figure 6

SUMMARY OF SPAR NTF NACELLE ANALYSIS

(Figure 7)

shell of thenacelle was vented to produce more uniform temperatures and lower stresses in the strut. parameter program denoted MITAS (ref. 3). Because of the laborious effort of constructing a lumped parameter model of the entire nacelle, only a portion of the structure was modelled. Temperatures temperatures were found to lead to excessive thermal stresses in the strut of the nacelle and the Model generation using the SPAR thermal analyzer was less tedious and a finite element model of the complete nacelle was generated. The resulting temperatures from SPAR were found to be different from the extrapolated Several important benefits resulted from the SPAR analysis. Before discussing these, some temperatures from the MITAS model. A more complete lumped parameter model was used in lieu of background information is necessary. When the need for a thermal analysis of the nacelle was identified, the SPAR thermal analyzer was not available. A decision was made to use a lumped extrapolation and MITAS then produced the same temperatures as SPAR. Further, the computed were computed and extrapolated from the modeled portion of the structure.

principally from not having to manually transfer temperatures from a separate thermal analyzer to structural analysis in the same program, about 10-15 man days of engineering effort were saved--The thermal stress analysis revealed that some of the support rings were overstressed and these rings were resized. It was estimated that as a result of performing the thermal and the structural analysis. SUMMARY OF SPAR NTF NACELLE ANALYSIS

o BACKGROUND

- INITIAL ANALYSIS USED LUMPED PARAMETER PROGRAM (MITAS) 0
 - USED PARTIAL MODEL TEMPS EXTRAPOLATED

0

o SPAR PERMITTED MORE REFINED AND COMPLETE MODEL

BENEFITS

0

- INACCURACIES REVEALED IN TEMPERATURES OF LUMPED PARAMETER MODEL 0
 - o IMPROPERLY SIZED RINGS REDESIGNED
- o SAVED 10-15 MAN DAYS ENGINEERING EFFORT

Figure 7

•••

THERMAL MODEL OF SHUTTLE ORBITER

(Figure 8)

Center and the shuttle contractor Rockwell International. Langley Research Center personnel are One of the most challenging thermal structural analysis problem faced by aerospace engineers is the space shuttle orbiter. The modelling and analysis is being undertaken by Johnson Space following this work in order to assess analytical needs and capabilities for shuttle and for future vehicles.

Temperatures are computed in each of the models and interpolated between the modeled regions to obtain temperatures in the unmodeled regions. To determine the times of occurrence of the critical combinations of thermal and mechanical internal loads, it is necessary above procedures, while somewhat standard for analysis of such complicated structures, constitute to inspect output temperature time histories from the thermal analyses. It is observed that the The thermal model of the shuttle orbiter consists of 118 three-dimensional lumped parameter a tedious, laborious, and expensive task. models, each having about 200 nodes.



Ť.

ļ

Ŧ

IMPRESSION OF REQUIREMENTS FOR EXTENSION OF ANALYTICAL CAPABILITY AS REFLECTED BY SHUTTLE EXPERIENCE

all and a second se

- o AUTOMATED MODEL GENERATION
- FASTER SOLUTION TECHNIQUES FOR NONLINEAR TRANSIENT HEAT TRANSFER 0
- AUTOMATED DATA TRANSFER BETWEEN THERMAL AND STRUCTURAL MODELS 0
- o CRITICAL TIMES DETERMINATION

Figure 9

ġ,

AUTOMATED MODEL GENERATION

(Figure 10)

necessary lumped parameters such as conduction path lengths, conductances, and capacitance terms and model is available. Such an option is useful for detecting and correcting input data errors and has (ref. 4). In using the program, the user first defines the geometry of the lumped parameter system under contract to automate the more tedious aspects of generating lumped parameter thermal models by executing SPAR. The program uses the geometric information from the SPAR model to compute the formulates the governing equations. The option for plotting the geometry of the lumped parameter A program has recently been developed by Sperry and provided to the Langley Research Center generally not been available in lumped parameter thermal analyzers. AUTOMATED MODEL GENERATION

PROGRAM GENERATES LUMPED PARAMETER THERMAL MODEL



PHYSICAL SYSTEM

GEOMETRY OF LUMPED PARAMETER SYSTEM

LUMPED PARAMETER MODEL

- REDUCES MODEL GENERATION EFFORT
- ALLOWS PLOTTING OF LUMPED PARAMETER MODEL

Figure 10

٦,

THERMAL STRUCTURES - DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS AND CONCEPTS

(Figure 11)

by a supersonic cruise aircraft or a "hot" structure. A second case is one in which structural three classes of design situations as shown in the figure. Work is in progress to develop and temperatures would be excessively high if not controlled and heating takes place over a long cruise time. This situation is typified by the use of active cooling for a hypersonic cruise validate sizing methods appropriate to each situation. In the first case temperatures are at or below acceptable levels, but thermal stresses must be accommodated. This case is typified environment and insulation is used to maintain structural temperatures at acceptable levels. Turning to the topic of automated sizing of flight structures, attention is focused on This last situation is typified by the space shuttle orbiter vehicle but also applies to aircraft. Finally there is the case of a vehicle subjected to a short, intense heating insulated components on hypersonic cruise vehicles.



Figure 11

ACCOMMODATE THERMAL STRESS

(Figure 12)

fully stressed design (TFSD). The development of the algorithm is described in references 5 and 6. slow convergence of FSD for structures with large thermal stresses is associated with the relative independent of size. In an attempt to circumvent the slow convergence of FSD while retaining its insensitivity of thermal stresses to structural sizing. An extreme example of the insensitivity Because of computational efficiency and convenience, fully stressed design (FSD) is widely used to size structures for strength constraints. When applied to structures under mechanical computational conyenience, a modified procedure was implemented and given the name thermal is illustrated by the fixed, heated bar in the figure, which develops a stress completely loads plus prescribed temperatures typified by the wing model, FSD may demonstrate slow convergence when thermal stresses are comparable in magnitude to mechanical stresses.

The TFSD resizing algorithm for uniaxial stress members from reference 5 is

$$A_{i+1} = \frac{O_{Mi}}{(\sigma_{aM} - \sigma_{Ti})} A_i$$
(1)

is the stress due to In equation (1), $\sigma_{
m M}$ is the stress due to mechanical loads acting alone, $\sigma_{
m T}$

depending the thermal loads acting alone, and σ_a is either the tensile or compressive allowable stress, on the sign of σ_M . By separating the mechanical and thermal stresses, TFSD tends to avoid slow convergence exhibited by FSD for thermal problems. on the sign of σ_M .

The The sizing formula for isotropic membranes is obtained by generalizing equation (1). formula derived in reference (6) is



is. م, where V_{M} and V_{T} are the Von Mises stress for mechanical and thermal loads, respectively, and a coupling term containing products of mechanical and thermal stresses.



The second se

● KEY FEATURE - THERMAL STRESS INSENSITIVE TO MEMBER SIZE



Figure 12

CONVERGENCE OF THERMAL FULLY STRESSED DESIGN

(Figure 13)

stress level TFSD required three cycles to converge while FSD required 29 cycles. It is concluded from iterations required for TFSD and FSD to converge to within 5 percent of the final mass is plotted for this and other examples (reference 5, 6) that the TFSD algorithm is worthy of consideration for use model has 85 bars, 10 membranes and 36 grid points. A study of the effect of thermal load level on An illustration of TFSD is the simplified low-aspect-ratio wing shown in the previous figure. increasing levels of thermal loading. The number of cycles for FSD to converge increases sharply with thermal stress level, while TFSD converges in only a few cycles. At the highest thermal Complete details of the finite element model are contained in reference 7. The finite element relative convergence rates of FSD and TFSD for the wing is shown in the figure. The number of by designers concerned with sizing structures with significant thermal stresses.



CONVERGENCE OF THERMAL FULLY STRESSED DESIGN
CONTROLLING TEMPERATURE BY CONDUCTION

(Figure 14)

actively cooling a structural panel, the design problem is one of obtaining a minimum mass design (discussed further on the next figure). The second procedure is based on nonlinear mathematical structural elements. The mathematical programming method is facilitated by the general-purpose When the structural temperatures need to be controlled for acceptable performance, such as programming with constraints on temperatures at points in the structure and on stresses in the implemented for such a situation. The first procedure consists of TFSD or FSD sizing formula together with a sizing formula based on an optimality criterion for temperature constraints subject to constraints on both strength and temperature. Two sizing procedures have been optimizer computer program AESOP (ref. 8).



APPLICATION AND EVALUATION OF THERMAL OPTIMALITY CRITERION	The need for a method to size structures based solely on temperature constraints led to consideration of optimality criteria. Such methods share the convenience with FSD and TFSD of having explicit resizing formulas and are useful when a single type of constraint is involved.	Using Lagrange multipliers the problem is formally posed as follows: minimize	$W^* = W + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k (T_k - T_{a,k})$ (3)	where W is the mass of the structure, T_k is the k-th controlled temperature, $T_{a,k}$ is the allowable temperature at the k-th point, and λ is a Lagrange multiplier. The necessary condition for an optimum design is obtained by equating to zero the first partial derivatives of W* with respect to the design variables, {A}. This process leads to resizing formulas for individual finite elements used to model the structure of the following form	$A_{i+1} = \begin{pmatrix} E_e \\ M \\ e \end{pmatrix}^{Y} A_i \text{ and } \lambda_{k,i+1} = \begin{pmatrix} T_k \\ T_{a,k} \end{pmatrix}^{Y} \lambda_{k,i}$	where M _e and E _e are derivatives of the first and second terms on the right side of <mark>equation (3)</mark> ,	respectively, and γ is chosen as 0.5 for fast convergence. The method has been used to size square and triangular plates and the final designs are verified by designs from a math programing technique. The figure contains a summary of these results and indicates that the method is within 1.5 percent of the optimum mass. Additionally, neither convective heat transfer effects nor multiple temperature constraints affect the accuracy of the final designs, but both have a tendency to slow convergence.
--	--	---	--	---	---	--	---

AND EVALUATION OF THERMAL OPTIMALITY CRITERION	INSULATED CONSTRAINED \dot{Q} TEMPERATURE \dot{Q} T = -18° C	INSULATED	INSULATED CONSTRAINED TEMPERATURE	DIMENSIONLESS OPTIMIZED VOLUME ITERATIONS FOR	LEM OPTIMALITY MATH OPTIMALITY CRITERION PROGRAMING CRITERION	LATE 0. 0474 0. 0479 22 0. 0479 22	LATE ON AND 0. 0343 0. 0342 50 ON	AR 0. 0316 0. 0312 45
PLICATION AND EVALUAT	$f = -18^{\circ} C$ INSULATED	INSULATE	T = -18° C	DIMENSIONLESS OPTI	PROBLEM CRITERION	SQUARE PLATE 0. 0474 CONDUCTION ONLY 0. 0474	SQUARE PLATE CONDUCTION AND 0. 0343 CONVECTION	TRIANGULAR 0. 0316 PLATE

I

_

Figure 15

SIZING A PANEL FOR STRENGTH AND TEMPERATURE

(Figure 16)

The finite element model includes 24 membrane elements, 12 bar elements, and 61 grid points resulting in đ cooled panel. The configuration shown in the figure consists of a titanium panel with aluminum bars. The two coupled thermal-structural sizing procedures are applied to a highly idealized model of This configuration is representative of a class of structures where one material satisfies strength requirements and the other acts as an efficient conductor to transfer incident heat to a heat sink. 36 design variables.

The design is seconds of execution time on a CDC CYBER 175 computer. The mathematical programing procedure required The optimality criterion with FSD yielded an optimum total mass of 4.80 kg while the mathematical governed by both temperature and strength requirements and is shown in the right side of the figure. Convergence to within five percent of final mass was obtained in 16 iterations and required only 22 programing approach yielded essentially the same design and had a total mass of 4.79 kg. about an order of magnitude more computing effort. SIZING A PANEL FOR STRENGTH AND TEMPERATURE z×

١.



Figure 16

● OPTIMALITY CRITERION IS ORDER OF MAGNITUDE FASTER

DESIGN (SYM. ABOUT A-A)

MASS: 4.73 kg (OPTIMALITY CRITERION)
4.72 kg (MATH PROGRAMING)

TEMPERATURE CONTROLLED BY INSULATION

(Figure 17)

calculation of time histories of the appropriate response quantities such as stresses and temperatures. In design for transient loading, it is necessary to size a structure subject to constraints that must be satisfied over a period of time. Moreover, the analysis of each trial design entails the Clearly, optimization of complex structures for transient loading poses a challenge to existing structural optimization techniques.

the loads and heating. A transient temperature T_{eq} typical of a reentry heating trajectory is applied to the outer surface of the insulation layer. One-dimensional heat transfer is assumed and the back accommodation of time-varying constraint equations presents analytical difficulties. An approach being Attention is directed to the problem of determining the minimum-mass design of the insulated panel shown in the figure. The panel is taken to represent a small section of a large structural component laminate with 0^{0} , $\pm 45^{0}$ and 90^{0} plies subjected to a general set of in-plane forces. The quantity to problem is to determine the minimum insulation and structural layer thickness necessary to withstand wall of the panel is adiabatic. The structure is either metallic or a balanced symmetric composite be minimized is the total mass per unit surface area. Constraints are imposed on the structure to for which a finite element analysis is typically used to determine gross loads N_X , N_y , and N_{Xy} . optimization problem is solved by the AESOP program (ref. 8). The transient temperature history prevent excessive temperatures and structural failure over an appropriate period of time. The tried in the present work is to satisfy the constraints at a number of specified times. is obtained by an analytical solution given in reference 9.



Contraction of the second

MASS OF VARIOUS INSULATED PANELS

(Figure 18)

structural materials: aluminum, graphite polyimide (G/PI) and René 41 (uninsulated). These materials The foregoing procedure is demonstrated by sizing the insulation and panel thickness for three are of interest in connection with high temperature structural applications. The outer surface of the insulation (or in the case of Renéthe upper structural surface) is heated by a pulse having a peak value of 816^{0} C. The load N_X varies up to 2.6 MN/M with N_y = N_X and N_{XY} = -2/3 N_X. The symbols along the horizontal axis correspond to approximate load levels for key locations on a vehicle such as the space shuttle orbiter.

aluminum designs, the upper portion of the curve corresponds to strength-critical designs and is nearly G/PI designs are both temperature and strength critical except at the highest and lowest load values. As shown in the figure, the curve for René is linear due to the absence of insulation and the The break in the aluminum curve corresponds to a transition point below which designs are fact that all Rene designs are strength-critical so that mass is proportional to load. For the The temperature-critical only, and at the highest load the designs are strength critical only. both strength and temperature-critical. At the lower end of the curve, the designs are Thus the mass versus load line is curved over the entire load range. linear.

and at low loads, such as control surfaces, René 41 is the most efficient. The accuracy of the actual The figure indicates that for highly loaded structures, G/PI appears to be the most efficient, values in figure 18 of course must be tempered by the simplicity of the mathematical model used.



APPROXIMATE TRANSIENT THERMAL ANALYSIS FOR DESIGN CALCULATIONS

I

(Figure 19)

This One technique to partially alleviate the expense of analysis is the use of approximate of the sizing process will become expensive computationally, especially for transient heat transfer containing the derivative of the temperature with respect to the design variables. The derivatives method, which has been successfully employed for design oriented structural reanalysis (ref. 10), reanalysis. One such approximation technique is Taylor series expansion as shown in the figure. In looking toward sizing complex thermal structures, it is apparent that the analysis phase consists of updating the temperature each time the structure is changed by a correction term are relatively simple and computationally easy to obtain. calculations.

the approximation. The result was a 75 percent reduction in computer time to obtain a design with calculations, the insulated panel sizing program was modified by replacing the exact analysis by To demonstrate the potential benefit of approximate transient thermal reanalysis in design a negligible error in the final (optimum) mass. APPROXIMATE TRANSIENT THERMAL ANALYSIS FOR DESIGN CALCULATIONS





Figure 19

PARS (PROGRAM FOR ANALYSIS AND RESIZING OF STRUCTURES)

(Figure 20)

results are presented in reference 11. An efficient optimizer based on the Sequence of Unconstrained Minimization Technique (SUMT) with an extended interior penalty function and Newton's method is used. (e.g. a structural element thickness) to control a large number of finite elements. The sensitivity that communicate through the use of the SPAR data base. A description of PARS together with sample efficiency of the SPAR analyzer holds promise for achieving the goal of efficient design techniques variable interface processor allows the user the flexibility of assigning a single design variable displacements and stresses are affected by changes in structural sizes. At present PARS is in the PARS is a user-oriented system of programs for the minimum mass design of complex structures early development stage but its configuration which features modularity and flexibility plus the The design processors generate certain derivatives useful for determining how response variables such as modeled by finite elements. The system utilizes SPAR and consists of a series of processors Additional optimization procedures may be easily installed in the optimizer module. for complex structures.



SUMMARY

(Figure 21)

A principal role in the activity is played by the finite element This paper reviews a continuing effort to develop a comprehensive capability for thermal-structural program SPAR which contains both an efficient structural and thermal analysis capability. The benefit of having thermal and structural analyses in the same finite element program is illustrated by the application of SPAR to design calculations for the National Transonic Facility - a cryogenic wind analysis and automated design (sizing). tunnel under construction at Langley.

element model to a dissimilar structural finite element model, and automated techniques to identify the for nonlinear transient heat transfer, automated interpolation of temperature data from a thermal finite shuttle orbiter - has led to the identification of some analysis needs. Those needs include automated Some experience with large-scale thermal structural analysis problems - particularly the space model generation and data output for lumped parameter thermal analysis, faster solution methods times at which the critical conbinations of transient heating and loads occur on a structure.

to a heat sink, and third where temperatures are controlled by insulating the structure from transient development of design capability for large problem built around the SPAR analysis program is outlined. structures: first where temperatures are accepted but thermal stresses must be accommodated; second heating. Additionally a technique for design-oriented transient thermal analysis is discussed and where both temperatures and stresses are controlled and temperatures are controlled by conduction Techniques for automated design of thermal structures are discussed for three classes of

SUMMARY

À

A MARKEN

- DEVELOPING INTEGRATED THERMAL-STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS CAPABILITY 0
- SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS MADE TO DATE IN SPAR DEVELOPMENT 0
- O EFFICIENT STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS
- THERMAL ANALYZER

0

- STRUCTURAL AND THERMAL ANALYSIS IN SAME PROGRAM 0
- O NTF ANALYSIS DEMONSTRATED INTEGRATION BENEFITS
- o EXPERIENCE WITH LARGE PROBLEMS IDENTIFIED NEEDS
- o AUTOMATED MODEL GENERATION
- o SOLUTION TECHNIQUES
- o AUTOMATED DATA TRANSFER BETWEEN MODELS
- o CRITICAL TIMES DETERMINATION
- DEVELOPING ANALYTICAL DESIGN CAPABILITY
- VARIETY OF RESIZING TECHNIQUES DEVELOPED AND APPLIED 0
- LARGE PROBLEM DESIGN CAPABILITY BEING DEVELOPED IN PARS 0

Figure 21

SYMBOLS

ea of a bar	ign variable	sulture and sulture an	m coefficient	uber	cce per unit length	plied heat flux	nperature	Lm temperature	nperature due to change in design variable	mbrane thickness	gmented mass function	efficient of thermal expansion	Ве	
area of	design	Young's	film co	number	force l	applied	tempera	film to	temper	membrai	augmen	coeffi	time	
×	5	pa)	, e	N	NX	¢	٤-1	۲ ⁸	T*	ĻĻ	*11	ಶ	ų	

Subscript:

iteration number

•~

2
61
86
Š
4
ġ
Ą
IAS
~
al
nu
Ma
a
ŭ
Ř
ų,
Å
en
ŝt
Sy
Ľ
118
ដ
ž
ŝ
Z
H. T
21
•••
Э
×
e,
G
เร
Je
×.
•
-1

- 23 (Paper no. Thornton, Earl A.; and Wieting, Allan R.: Recent Advances in Thermostructural Finite Element Recent Advances in Structures for Hypersonic Flight, NASA CP-2065, 1978. of this compilation.) Analysis. 5
- Martin Interactive Thermal Analysis System, Version 1.0, Martin Marietta Corp. MDS-SPLPD-71-FD238 (Rev. 3) 1972. Anon.: . .
- Creation of Lumped Parameter Thermal Model By Use of Finite Elements, NASA CR-158944, 1978. Anon.: 4.
- A Improved Method for Optimum Design of Mechanically and Thermally Loaded Structures, NASA TND-7965, 1975. Adelman, H. M.; Walsh, J. C.; and Narayanaswami, R.: <u>ب</u>
- Adelman, H. M.; and Narayanaswami, R.: Resizing Procedure for Structures Under Combined Mechanical and Thermal Loading. AIAA J. Vol. 14, No. 10, Oct. 1976, pp. 1484-1486. ÷
- Resizing Procedure for Optimum Design of Structures Under NASA TMX-72816, 1976. Combined Mechanical and Thermal Loading. H. M.; and Narayanaswami, R.: Adelman, ~
- Jones, R. T.; and Hague, D. S.: Application of Multivariable Search Techniques to Structural Design NASA CR-2038, 1972. Optimization. **œ**
- Harris, Robert S.; Davidson, John R.: An Analysis of Exact and Approximate Equations for the Temperature Distribution in an Insulated Thick Skin Subjected to Aerodynamic Heating. XASA TN D-519, 1961. م
- Storaasli, Olaf 0.; and Sobieszczanski, Jaroslaw: On the Accuracy of the Taylor Approximation for Structural Resizing. AIAA J., Vol. 12, No. 2, Feb. 1974, pp. 231-233. 10.
- 11. Haftka, R. T., and Prasad, B.: Programs for Analysis and Resizing of Complex Structures. Paper presented at Symposium on Future Trends in Computerized Structural Analysis and Oct. 30 - Nov. 1, 1978. Synthesis. Washington, D.C.

.

.

National Aeronautics & Space Administration Washington, DC

D. A. Gilstad Arthur Henderson

National Aeronautics & Space Administration Dryden Flight Research Center Edwards, CA

R. A. Fields

National Aeronautics & Space Administration Langley Research Center Hampton, VA

H.	Μ.	Adelman	R.	R.	Heldenfels
D.	Ε.	Avery	в.	z.	Henry
H.	L.	Bohon	J.	L.	Hunt
R.	W.	Boswinkle	L.	R.	Hunt
R.	D.	Brown	L.	R.	Jackson
C.	J.	Camarda	P.	J.	Johnston
М.	F.	Card	R.	A.	Jones
L.	М.	Couch	H.	N.	Kelly
s.	C.	Dixon	F.	s.	Kirkham
J.	Μ.	Eggers	Ρ.	J.	Klich
C.	H.	Eldred	J.	Α.	Koegler
R.	₩.	Faison	I.	0.	Macconochie
R.	Gai	rcia	Ε.	A.	Mackley
G.	L.	Giles	D.	C.	Marcum
L.	D.	Guy	J.	A.	Martin
т.	W.	E. Hankinson	н.	G.	McComb
H.	F.	Hardrath	0.	W.	Nicks
R.	٧.	Harris	R.	J.	Nowak
J.	Ε.	Hasel	R.	Α.	Rainey
D.	Ρ.	Hearth	J.	C.	Robinson

AEROSPACE COMPANIES

Aerospace Corporation Los Angeles, CA

E. G. Kendall

AiResearch Manufacturing Company Torrance, CA

0. Buchmann

- J. W. Sawyer E. L. Sharpe J. L. Shideler C. P. Shore W. J. Small J. Sobieski S. J. Stack D. P. Swofford M. G. Torrence R. L. Trimpi G. D. Walberg 0. Macconochie W. C. Walton J. D. Watts G. L. Webb I. Weinstein A. R. Wieting A. W. Wilhite J. W. Wilkey
 - R. D. Witcofski
 - K. E. Wurster

Applied Physics Laboratory The Johns Hopkins University Laurel. MD W. C. Caywood Bell Aerospace Buffalo, NY F. Anthony Boeing Aerospace Company Seattle, WA V. Duriugin A. Hepler Grumman Aerospace Corporation Bethpage, NY P. Bell Lockheed-California Company Burbank, CA G. W. Davis McDonnell Aircraft Company St. Louis, MO L. Koch V. Pagel McDonnell Douglas Corporation St. Louis, MO B. Haeffele M. H. Heinz H. A. Holman ORI, Incorporated Silver Spring, MD E. Harris J. Schneider Rockwell International Corporation Los Angeles Division Los Angeles, CA P. A. Boukidis H. J. Hoge

Rockwell International Corporation Rocketdyne Division Canoga Park, CA

R. Ackerman R. P. Jewett

Rockwell International Corporation Space Division Downey, CA

C. S. Beuyukian L. M. Smith S. Y. Yoshino

Rohr Industries Chula Vista, CA

W. Blair

Vought Corporation Hampton Tech. Center Hampton, VA

C. Breiner R. W. LeMessurier A. L. Taylor

SELF-EMPLOYED

J. V. Becker, Newport News, VA

UNIVERSITIES

Illinois Institute of Technology Chicago, IL

R. Haftka

Old Dominion University Norfolk, VA

E. A. Thornton

New York University New York, NY

A. M. Agnone

USAF

i

Wright-Patterson AFB, OH

- S. E. Kaminski
- P. Lane
- R. N. Mueller
- A. D. Straw

USN

Naval Surface Weapons Center Dahlgren, VA

F. Moore

☆U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1979-635-004/31

1. Report No. NASA CP-2065 Part II	2. Government Acce	ssion No.	3. Rec	ipient's Catalog No.
4. Title and Subtitle		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5. Rep	ort Date December 1978
RECENT ADVANCES IN STR	UCTURES FOR HYPER	SONIC FLI	GHT 6. Per	forming Organization Code
7. Author(s)			8. Peri I	forming Organization Report No. .—12653
9. Performing Organization Name and Addr	ess		10. Wor 5	k Unit No. 05-02-53-01-00
NASA Langley Research (Hampton, VA 23665	Center		11, Cor	tract or Grant No.
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		13. Тур С	e of Report and Period Covered
National Aeronautics an Washington, DC 20546	nd Space Administ	ation	14. Spo	nsoring Agency Code
15. Supplementary Notes				
16. Abstract The proceedings of the sonic Flight held at La in this NASA Conference by 24 speakers represer U.S. Air Force, and two topics including engine thermal protection syst and analysis methods for	NASA Symposium - angley Research Ce Proceedings. Th nting airframe, mi o NASA Research Ce structures, cool cems, cryogenic ta or thermal/structu	Recent A enter on ssile, a enters. .ed airfr inkage st res.	dvances in Str September 6-8, at this Sympo nd engine manu The papers cov ame structures ructures, cryo	uctures for Hyper- 1978, are reported sium were presented facturers, the er a variety of , hot structures, genic insulations,
7. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Hypersonic aircraft Actively cooled structu Thermal/Structures analy Thermal protection Cryogenic tankage	re ysis methods	18. Distribu Unc	tion Statement Lassified - Uni	limited ubject Category 39
9. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of this	page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price*
Unclassified		415	\$13.25	

* For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161