March 1979



Satellite Power Systems (SPS) **Concept Definition Study**

FINAL REPORT (EXHIBIT C) VOLUME II

SYSTEM ENGINEERING

PART 1



Rockwell International Satellite Systems Division

Space Systems Group 12214 Lakewood Boulevard Downey, CA 90241



SSD 79-0010-2-1

Satellite Power Systems (SPS) Concept Definition Study

FINAL REPORT (EXHIBIT C) VOLUME II

SYSTEM ENGINEERING

PART 1

CONTRACT NAS8-32475 DPD 558 MA-04

March 1979

Submitted by HANLEY Program Manage

? Suttman

C.H. GUTTMAN SPS Study Team Manager, NASA/MSFC

Prepared for:

National Aeronautics and Space Administration George C. Marshall Space Flight Center

> Marshall Space Flight Center Alabama 35812



Rockwell International

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group 12214 Lakewood Boulevard Downey, CA 90241



FOREWORD

Volume II, System Engineering, is presented in two parts. Part 1 encompasses SPS system engineering aspects. Part 2 consists of a volume on SPS cost and programmatics; an appendix is included in Part 2 to cover the SPS WBS and cost estimates. Volume II of the SPS Concept Definition Study final report is submitted by Rockwell International through the Satellite Systems Division. All work was completed in response to NASA/MSFC Contract NAS8-32475, Exhibit C, dated March 28, 1978.

The SPS final report will provide the NASA with additional information on the selection of a viable SPS concept, and will furnish a basis for subsequent technology advancement and verification activities. Other volumes of the final report are listed as follows:

Volume	Title
I	Executive Summary
III	Experimentation/Verification Element Definition
IV	Transportation Analyses
v	Special-Emphasis Studies
VI	In-Depth Element Investigations
VII	Systems/Subsystems Requirements Data Book

The SPS Program Manager, G. M. Hanley, may be contacted on any of the technical or management aspects of this report. He can be reached at 213/594-3911, Seal Beach, California.

.



Page

CONTENTS

Section

							-
1.0	SPS	ONCEPTS			•	• •	1-1
	1.1	INITIAL CONCEPTS	• •	• •	•		1-1
		1.1.1 Solid State Replac	ement of K	lystrons	•		1-2
		1.1.2 Flat Plate Concent	rator with	Concent	ration	n	
		Ratio up to 2.7	• •	• . •	•	• •	1-4
		1.1.3 Composites versus	Aluminum St	tructure		• •	1-5
		1.1.4 Satellite System U	pdate .	• •	•		1-7
		1.1.5 Reference Concept		• •	•	• •	1-8
		1.1.6 Concept Variations		• •	•	• •	1-10
	1.2	PREFERRED CONCEPTS		• •	•		1-16
		1.2.1 Recommended Concep	t Alternat:	ives .	•		1-16
		1.2.2 Basic Controlling	Characteris	stics	•	• •	1-18
		1.2.3 Current Overall Sy	stem Descr:	iption			1-18
		1.2.4 Subsystem Descript	ions .		•		1 - 21
		1.2.5 Rockwell Point Des	ign Mass St	tatement			1-22
2.0	TRAD	SUMMARY					2-1
	2.1	SOLAR ARRAY		· · ·			2-2
		2.1.1 Solar Cells Perfor	mance and (Cost .	-		2-2
		2.1.2 Seasonal Variation	s and Beoin	oning of	Life	• •	
		Excess Power					2-6
		2.1.3 Reflector Slant An	ole .	•••	•	•••	2-8
		2.1.4 Reflector Degradat	ion Allowar	••••	•	•••	2-8
		2.1.5 Solar Array Config	uration On	tione	•	• •	2_11
		2.1.6 Reflectivity Panel	Test Analy	veie	•	• •	2 - 16
		2.1.7 Electric Orbit Tra	nefer Vehi)313 . 214 (FOT	• v)	• •	
		Solar Array	Harer venty	ere (nor	• /		· 2_17
	22	POWER DISTRIBUTION	• •	• •	•	• •	2-1
	~ , ~	2 2 1 Efficiency Chain	• •	• •	•	• •	2-41
		2.2.1 Gillency Ghain . 2.2.2 Conductor Modelt	• •	• •	*	• •	2-22
		2.2.2 Conductor weight .	• •	• •	•	• •	2-24
		2.2.5 Fower Distribution	- Decimorogy	y •	•	• •	2-45
		2.2.4 Solid State Antenn	a Power Dis	STRIBUTI	on TT	• •	2-30
		2.2.5 Electric Urbit Tra	nsier venie	cie (EOL	v)		0 00
	0 0	Power Distributi	on	• •	٠	• •	2-32
	2.3	STRUCTURES	• •	• •	٠	• •	2-37
		2.3.1 SPS Microwave Ante	nna Tensio	a Web -			
		Compression Fram	e Cable Con	icept	٠	• •	2-3/
	~ `	2.3.2 NASTRAN Structural	Analysis	• •	٠	• •	2-41
	2.4	THERMAL CONTROL	• • .	• •	٠	• •	2-58
		2.4.1 Temperature Profil	es	• •	٠	• •	2–58
		2.4.2 Klystron Heat Reje	ction Optic	ons .	•	• •	2-60
		2.4.3 Solid State Altern	ative Conce	epts .	• *	• •	2-62
	2.5	ATTITUDE CONTROL AND STAT	IONKEEPING	• •	•	• •	2-64
		2.5.1 Solar Pressure Mod	el	• •	•	• •	2-64
		2.5.2 RCS Requirements .	• •	• •	•		2–65
		2.5.3 ACSS Baseline Desi	gn.	• •	•		2-67

-



Page

Section

		2.5.4	Bankin	g for	Solar	: Poi	ntir	lg	•	•		•	•	2-69
	2.6	MICROW	AVE POW	ER TRA	NSMIS	SION	ſ	•	•	•		•	•	2-71
		2.6.1	Beam F	ormati	on	•		•			•	•		2-71
		2.6.2	Microw	ave Po	wer A	Mpli	fier	s	•	•	•	•	•	2-83
		2.6.3	Solid	State/	Waves	ruide	Cor	icept	s	•				2-99
		2.6.4	Phase 3	Error	Cont	ol	•	•						2-107
		2.6.5	Antenn	a Arra	v Sid	lelob	e Va	riat	ions	and	Pha	ise	-	
			Erro	rs.					•	•	•	•		2-109
	2.7	GROUND	RECEIV	ING ST	ATION	Į.			•	•				2-112
		2.7.1	Introd	uction								_		2-112
		2.7.2	Recten	na .										2-112
3.0	POTN	r DESTGI	V											3-1
2.4	3.1	TNTRODI	TCTTON	-					•	•			•	3-1
	3 2	SACAL	DESCRI	• Pጥፐ በእ፣	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3_2
	J. 1	2 2 1	Capara	1	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3-2
		2.2.1	Genera.	- • • • •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	22
		J. 4. 4 2 9 2	Cuerry d	Dece	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	2 10
		2.4.3	Ground	Recei	vrug	Stat	1011	•	•	٠	•	•	•	2-10
		3.4.4	Operation	lons	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3-10
	~ ~	3.2.5	Mass P	ropert	les	٠	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	3-18
	3.3	SUBSYS:	TEMS .	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	3-19
		3.3.1	Satel1:	ite	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3-19
		3.3.2	Ground	Recei	ving	Stat	ion	٠	٠	•	•	٠	•	3-82



ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure

1.1-1	Preliminary Baseline Satellite Concept	1-1
1.1-2	Solid State Antenna Power Distribution Concept	1-3
1.1-3	Solar Array Mounted Solid State Power Modules	1-4
1.1-4	Reflector Shape	1-5
1.1-5	SPS Computer Program Structural Model	1-7
1.1-6	NASA/DOE Reference Satellite Concepts	1-9
1.1-7	Rockwell Reference Satellite Concept	1-10
1.1-8	Antenna Design Concepts	1-13
1.1-9	RF Heat Rejection Options with Space Frame Antenna	1-13
1.1-10	Satellite Configuration Options	1-14
1.2-1	Recommended Satellite Concept for Point Design Study	1-16
1.2-2	Recommended Changes to NASA/DOE Reference System -	
	Overall GaAs Satellite Concept	1-17
1.2-3	Alternative Rectenna Concepts	1-22
2.1-1	Solar Cell Configurations	2-2
2.1-2	Solar Array Cost Comparisons	2-5
2.1-3	Seasonal Variations in Available Power	2-6
2.1-4	Cost of Ground Storage	2-7
2.1-5	SPS Structural Cross-Section - Three Trough	2-9
2.1-6	Aluminum Spectral Reflectance Data	2-11
2.1-7	Radiation Dose Rates in 24 Hour - Geosynchronous Orbit	2-12
2.1-8	Efficiency Chain Solar Cells	2-13
2.1-9	Solar Cell Damage Equivalent 1 May Flectron Fluence	
	Versus Shield Density	2-14
2.1-10	Normalized Maximum Power Versus 1-Mey Electron Eluence	2-14
2.1-11	Solar Panel Bay Dimensions ~ Voltage Consideration	2-1-
2.1-12	EOTV Solar Array Comparison (CaAs Versus Si Solar Cells)	2-18
2.1-13	Equivalent 1 Mey Electrons for Voc and Pray (Infinite	2-10
	Back Shielding)	2-19
2 1-14	Solar Array Power Factor OTV Versus Dave	2-20
2, 2-1	Efficiency Chain - Rotating Antenna and Ground Receiption	2_20
2 2 - 2	3-Trough Conlanar Configurations	2-21
2.2-3	Current de Converter Technology Status	2-26
2.2-4	Dc-de Converter Specific Weight Projections	2-20
2.2-5	Cross Field Discharge Tube Operation	2-27
2 2 - 6	Sustam Efficiency Chain - Photovoltaic (CP-2)	2-25
2.2-3	Solid State Antenna Power Distribution Concept	2-31
2 2 - 8	FOTU Dever Distribution and Control Meight	2-34
2.2-0	FORV Power Distribution Simulified Plack Discover	2-24
2.2-3	Anterna Plana View Schematic	2-20
2.3-1	Antenna Flane view Schematic	2-20
2. 2-2	PCD interne Depai Installation	2-20
2.2	Three Mombrane Negetive Long Content	2-39
4.3~4	Antonno Structure Mass Comparison	2-39
4.J-J 2 2.6	SPE Computer Discourse Structure Materia	2-42
2.J-0	oro computer rrogram Structural Model	ムー4 ゴ



Page

Figure

2.3-7	SPS Structural Cross-Sections	2-44
2.3-8	Tribeam Structure Composition	. 2-45
2.3-9	Basic Module Temperatures	. 2-48
2.3-10	Antenna and Rotating Ring Temperatures	. 2-49
2.3-11	Mechanical Loading	. 2-49
2.3-12	Nomenclature of Bar Element Forces and Fixed End Actions .	. 2-51
2.3-13	Deflections - SPS Unit	. 2-52
2.3-14	Deflections - End Mounted	. 2-53
2.3-15	Deflections - Center Structure	. 2-54
2.3-16	Deflections - Antenna and Rotating Ring	. 2-55
2.3 - 17	Results - Stress	. 2-56
2.4-1	Photovoltaic Structural Configuration Temperatures -	
2 · · · L	Beginning of Life	2-58
2 1-2	Photowoltaic Structural Configuration Temperatures ~	
2.4-2	Intermediate Life	2-59
2 4 2	Thetemoltaic Structural Configuration Temperatures -	
2.4-3	PROTOVOITAIC Structural configuration remperatures -	2-59
~ / /	End of Life	2-59
2.4-4	Power Distribution Component Inermal Control	· 2-00
2.4-5	Klystron Design Concepts	. 2-61
2.5-1	ACSS Equipment Locations	. 2-64
2,5-2	Solar Pressure Force Model	. 2-65
2.5-3	Attitude Control RCS Requirements	. 2-66
2.5-4	Solar Pressure Stationkeeping Perturbation and	
	Correction Policies	. 2-67
2.5-5	RCS Requirements for Alternative Control Approaches .	. 2-68
2.5-6	Maximum Bank Angle	. 2-70
2.6-1	Pattern Efficiency for Uniform Illumination (0 dB Taper)	. 2-72
2.6-2	Pattern Efficiency for Uniform Illumination (5 dB Taper)	. 2-72
2.6-3	Pattern Efficiency for Uniform Illumination (10 dB Taper)	. 2-73
2.6-4	Pattern Efficiency for Uniform Illumination (15 dB Taper)	. 2-73
2.6-5	First Sidelobe Level Versus Truncated Gaussian Taper .	. 2-74
2.6-6	Antenna Sizes for Truncated Gaussian Tapers	. 2-74
2.6-7	Rectenna Collection Efficiency for Baseline Configuration	. 2-75
2 6-8	Distribution Function - 35 dB	. 2-76
2.6-9	Distribution Function = 30 dB	. 2-77
2 6-10	Distribution Function = 25 dB	. 2-77
2.6-11	3 Trough Coplanar Configurations	. 2-78
2.0 - 12	Problem Connetry	2-79
2.0-12	Accumptions and Simplifications	2-80
2.0-13	Assumptions and Simplifications	· 200
2.0-14	Rectenna Power Fattern - Major Axis	· 2-02 2_83
2.0~15	Rectenna rower Pattern - Minor Axis	· 2-05
2.6→16	Transistor Collector Breakdown Voltage (BVc) .	. 2-00
2.6-1/	GaAs Bipolar SS Amplifier Projections on SI Bipolar SS	2 00
	Amplifier Outputs and Efficiencies	. 2-90
2.6-18	GaAs FET SS Amplifier Projections Based on Current GaAs	<u> </u>
	FET Amplifier Outputs and Efficiencies	. 2-91
2.6-19	Transistor Chip Schematic	. 2-92
2.6-20	Transistor Chip Layout	. 2-93
2.6-21	Basic 500 W, 1 kW or 2.6 kW Solid State Power Module	
	Amplifier Configuration	. 2-95

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group



Rockwell	
nternational	

Page

2.6-22	Bipolar Solid State SPS Option	2-97
2.6-23	Dc/RF Solid State/Wave Guide SPS Concepts	2-100
2.6-24	Solid State Satellite Power System	2-101
2.6-25	Rockwell dc/RF Solid-State/Wave Guide Concept Details .	2-102
2.6-26	Geometry	2-104
2.6-27	Parabolic Antenna Details	2-105
2.6-28	RF Wave Guide Orientation Options	2-106
2.6-29	Microwave Power Distribution Subsystem	2-106
2.6-30	Effective Increase in Array Spacing by Surface	
	Perturbation	2 - 1.09
2.6-31	MPTS Array RF Phase Error	2-111
2.7-1	Operational Ground Receiving Facility (Rectenna) - Typical	2-113
2.7-2	Rectenna Panel Assembly and Installation	2-113
2.7-3	Rectifier Operating Proficiency	2 116
2.7-4	Power Density - Restance	2-115
2.7-5	Rectange Power Density Pattorn (3/° N Latitude)	2-115
2 7-6	Papel Alternative	2-117
2.1-0	Pillboord Food Christian	2-11/
2.1-1	Diliboard Feed - Striptine	2-119
2.7-0	wave Guide Fed Billboard	2-119
2.7-9		2-120
2.7-10	Polarization considerations	2-121
2.7-11	Rectenna Array Support Structure	2-122
2.7-12	Receiving Station Power Distribution Schematic -	
	Preliminary	2–123
3.1-1	SPS System Relationships	3-2
3.2-1	5 GW Photovoltaic (CR-2)	3-3
3.2-2	Solar Photovoltaic (CR-2) Efficiency Chain	3-5
3.2-3	Satellite Subsystems	3-6
3.2-4	Subsystem IMCS Relationships	3-6
3.2-5	Power Generation Subsystem	3-7
3.2-6	Power Distribution Subsystem	3-7
3.2-7	Microwave Transmission Subsystem	3-8
3.2-8	Eclipse Periods for Geosynchronous Circular Orbits	3-9
3.2-9	Power Distribution - Nonrotating (Preliminary) Typical Bay	3-11
3.2-10	Power Distribution - Microwave Antenna (Preliminary) .	3-12
3.2-11	Rectenna Installation	3-12
3.3-1	Total System	3-19
3.3-2	Simplified Integrated Block Diagram - Photovoltaic (CR-2).	3-20
3.3-3	Assembly Tree - Power Generation	3 - 20
3.3-4	Solar Cell Design	3-21
3-3-5	GaAlAs Solar Cell Voltage and Current Characteristics	3-21
3.3-6	Solar Papel Power Output	3-22
3 3-7	Gallac Solar Coll Blanket Cross Section	3-23
3 3-8	Vartas Bulai Cerr Branket Cross Section	0-20 0.05
2.2-0		5-25
5.5-5	Par - Each Wine	2 10
2 2 10		3-28
2 2 1 1		3-29
2.2-LL	LN2 27mbrilled RTOCK Mrsdau	3-31
3.3-12	Microwave Antenna - Power Distribution	3-32
J.J~13	Kadlating Face of Fower Module	3-35

Figure



Page

3-36

3-36

3-37

3-37

3 - 39

3-39

3-40 3-41

3-42

3-43

3-44

3 - 45

3-45

3-47

3-48

3-49

3-50

3-51

3 - 52

3 - 54

3-57

3-58

3-61

3-62

3-63

3-64

3-65

3-66

3-67

3-68

3-68

3-69

3-70

3-71

3-72

3-73

3-73

3-74

3-75

3-75

3-76

3-77

3-77

3-78

3-79

3-80

Standard Subarray Size - Block 1 . 3.3-14 Standard Subarray Size - Block 2 . 3.3-15 Standard Subarray Size - Block 3 . 3.3-16 3.3 - 17Gaussian Beam Microwave Antenna . . Pattern Efficiency for Uniform Illumination (10 dB Taper). 3.3-18 RCR Formed from Nested Trays 3.3-19 3.3-20 Klystron Power Module Guide Assembly . . 3.3-21 Collector Radiator Microwave Antenna - Beam Generation and Control . 3.3-22 Phase Conjugating Electronics 3.3-23 • • 3.3-24 Monopulse Receiver . . . 3.3-25 Array Reference Signal Distribution System 3.3-26 Reference Phase Distribution Servo 3.3-27 Electronic Servo Loop to Hold Feeder at Resonance 3.3-28 Pilot Signal Regeneration Circuit 3.3-29 SPS IMCS Top-Level Block Diagram . . 3.3-30 IMCS - MW Antenna IMCS - Attitude Control . 3.3-31 IMCS - Power Distribution . . . 3.3-32 • 3.3-33 Assembly Tree - IMCS 3.3-34 RCS Thruster Configuration Maximum Bank Angle 3.3-35 Quasi-Linear Thruster Control Policy . . . 3.3-36 3.3-37 Photovoltaic Wing Structure Tiering . . . 3.3-38 Microwave Antenna Structure Selected Design Concept . 3.3-39 Microwave Antenna Structure Design Condition . . . 3.3-40 Space Frame Antenna Configuration • • • • . 3.3 - 41Rotary Joint Structural Concept (Center Mount) 3.3-42 Rotary Joint Preliminary Dimensions . . . Rotary Joint Structural Concept (End Mount) . . . Photovoltaic Structure Model 3.3-43 3.3-44 3.3-45 Photovoltaic Structural Configuration Temperatures Photovoltaic Structural Configuration Temperatures 3.3-46 (Graphite Composite) 3.3-47 Power Distribution Component Thermal Control - Switch Gear 3.3-48 Antenna Frame/Web Model 3.3-49 Frame Temperature Variation with Solar Orientation (BOL) . 3.3-50 Frame Temperature Variation with Solar Orientation (EOL) . 3.3-51 Space Frame Configuration Limitations of Low Temperature Composites 3.3-52 Klystron/RCR Configurations . . . 3.3-53 Klystron Radiator Configuration (Poke Through) 3.3-54 Klystron Radiator Configuration (Rear Mounted) . • . 3.3-55 Related Design Configurations • . 3.3-56 Collector. Radiator Collector Radiator Analysis 3.3-57 3.3-58 Rear Surface Cavity Radiator Thermal Response

Figure

3.3-59

Power Distribution Component Thermal Control -

.

HV Converters .

SSD 79-0010-2-1

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group



Rockwell International

Page

Figure .

3.3-60	Rotary Joint Installation	•	•	3-80
3.3-61	Slip Ring Temperature Variation with Efficiency .			3-81
3.3-62	Rotary Joint Temperature Gradients	•	•	3-81
3.3-63	Ground Receiving Station Subassembly Relationships			3-82
3.3-64	Operational Ground Receiving Facility (Rectenna) -			
				3-83
3.3-65	Assembly Tree - Rectenna		•	3-84
3.3-66	Rectenna Incident Power Density		•	3-84
3.3-67	Rectenna Power Density Pattern (34° N Latitude) .			3-85
3.3-68	Rectenna Systems Major Assembly/Component		•	3-85
3.3-69	Rectenna Panel Assembly and Installation			3-86
3.3-70	Simplified Schematic - Rectenna		•	3–86
3.3-71	Panel Installation Operations			3-87
3.3-72	Rectenna Array Support Structure	•	•	3-88
3.3-73	Assembly Tree - Power Distribution and Control .		•	3-89
3.3-74	Ground Receiving Station Schematic Block Diagram -			
	Preliminary , , , , , , , , , ,			3-90
3.3-75	Simplified Block Diagram - Converter Station	-		3-92
3.3-76	Rectenna Construction - Thermal Model			3-93
3.3-77	Rectenna Panel Temperatures, High-Density Microwave	•	-	
	Region			3-94
3.3-78	TMCS Hierarchy - Ground Receiving Station			3-96
		•	•	2 20



TABLES

Table		Page
1.1-1	Comparison of Reflector Angles	1-6
1.1-2	Subsystem Cost Comparison as a Function of Reflector	76
1 1 3	Angre	1.0
1 1	NASA/DOE Reference Satellite Mass Properties	T=0
⊥•⊥ −4	(Millions of Kilograms)	1-9
1.1-5	Rockwell GaAs CR=2 Reference Satellite Mass Statement .	1-11
1.1-6	Rockwell Reference Satellite Mass Comparison (End Mounted	1 10
1 1 7	and Center Mounted Antenna)	T-TT
1.1-/	Satellite Configuration Option Comparison Data ~ 5 GW	1 15
1 0 1		1-10
1.2-1		1-1/
1.2-2	Efficiency Comparisons	1-18
1.2-3	NASA/DOE Reference Satellite Configuration Characteristics	1-19
1.2 - 4	Solar Photovoltaic Power Conversion Mass Statement -	
	$\sim 10^{\circ}$ kg · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1-23
2.0-1	System Study Areas	2-1
2.1-1	Solar Cell Performance and Cost Models	2-3
2.1-2	Solar Cell Delta Mass Comparisons 🛛	2-4
2.1-3	Solar Cell Cost Summary	2-4
2.1-4	Concentration Ratio Comparison ~ Delta Costs	2-5
2.1-5	Cost of Excess Power , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2-7
2.1-6	Configuration Characteristics	2-10
2.1-7	Comparison of Reflector Angles	2-10
2.1-8	Subsystem Cost Comparison as a Function of Reflector	
	Angle	2-10
2.2-1	Comparison Principal Elements 3×10 Bay versus 2-3×5 Bay	
	Coplanar Configuration	2-24
2.2-2	Companies Surveyed for Power Distribution Technology	
2.12 4	Assessment	2-25
2, 2-3	Technology Weight Impacts (dc-dc Converter)	2-28
2 2 - 4	Satellite Switchcear Requirements	2-28
2.2-4	Hughes Research Laboratories Crossed-Field Interrupter	2 20
2.2.5	Developmente	2-30
2 2 6	Bever Distribution Mass Comparison & Viustron and	2-30
2.2-0	Fower Distribution mass comparison " Riystron and	2.23
0 0 7	Discus Tora Detriveta for Color Annos	2-33
2.2-7	Plasma Loss Estimate for Solar Array	2-35
2.3-1	Sectional Properties	2-40
2.3-2	Material Properties	2-47
2.4-1	Graphite Composite Materials Temperature Limits	2-62
2.4-2	Antenna Temperature/Materials Considerations	2-63
2.5-1	Stationkeeping RCS Requirements	2-67
2.5-2	ACSS Mass Summary	2-69
2.6-1	Comparison of Tube Lifetimes for Various Types of	
		2-84

•



Table		Page
2.6-2	Performance Comparisons of Basic Tube Candidates	2-85
2.0 5	SPS MPTS	2-85
2 6-4	Solid State MPTS Design Drivers	2-87
2.0 4	Solid State Dever Module Transistor and Semiconductor	- ••
2.0-5	Sourd State rower route transistor and Semiconductor	2_89
0 6 6	Material Canaldales	2-05
2.0-0	Solid State Power Module Semiconductor/Substrate Material	2
	Combination Candidates	2-09
2.6-7	Solid State Amplifier Transistor Candidates	2~90
2.6-8	Comparison of GaAs Bipolar and GaAs FET Projected Performance Parameters	2-91
2.6-9	Comparison of GaAs Bipolar and GaAs FET Projected	
	Physical Parameters	2-92
2.6-10	SPS Solid State Power Module Candidates Using Conventional	
210 20	Power Combining Techniques	2-95
2 6-11	Projected Solid State Power Module Efficiency Requirements	
2.0-11	to Achievo Overall Efficiencies of at Least 78%	2-96
2 6 1 2	Dreiested Salid State Power Medule Weights	2_96
2.0 - 12	Projected Borra State rower module weights	2 90
2.0-13	and MPTS Array Configurations	2-99
2.7-1	Billboard Summary	2-116
2.7-2	Rectemps Concepts	2-118
3 2 1	Point Design Solar Array Functional Requirements	3-4
3 2 2	Point Design Solar Array Functional Requirements -	•
J.2-2	Operations	34
3 2 3	Satolitte Mass Properties	3-18
ン~2~ジ つつ1		3-23
2•2~T	Reflectivity	3-24
3.3-2	Radiation Dose Rates	3-24
3.3-3	Solar Photovoltaic Power Conversion Mass	5-20
3.3-4	GAALAS SOLAT CELL AND BLANKET DESIGN AND FELLORMANCE	2 26
~ ~ -		20-20
3.3-5	SPS Reflector Design and Performance Unaracteristics .	3-27
3.3-6	PDS Functional Requirements	3-29
3.3-7	Rotary Joint Design Characteristics	3-33
3.3-8	PDC Subsystem Mass Statement	3-34
3.3-9	Point Design Microwave Power Transmission System (MPTS)	
	Satellite Antenna Characteristics	3-35
3.3-10	Preliminary Data Interface Summary - Photovoltaic (CR-2)	
	Configuration	3-53
3.3-11	Preliminary Control Interface Summary - Photovoltaic (CR-2) Configuration	3-53
3.3-12	Hardware Summary - TMCS	3-55
3 3 13	Mass/Power/Volume Summary - IMCS	3-56
3 3-1%		3-57
2.2 ⁻¹⁴	AUDD Hass Dummary	3-50
2+2-T2	Summary of infusion requirements	3-23
3.3-10	Attitude Control Propellant Requirements	5-59 2 60
3.3-1/	Stationkeeping KUS Propellant Requirements	5-60
3.3-18	Solar Array and Kotary Joint Tribeam Girder	3-62
2 2 10	Unatauteristics	5-02
2+3-12	Properties	3-64





Table	,		Page
3.3-20	Maximum Estimated Temperatures (Graphite Composite) .	•	3-70
3.3-21	50 kW Klystron Radiator Thermal Levels		3-79
3.3-22	GRS Point Design Summary	•	3-83
3.3-23	Rectenna Loss Budget		3-87
. 3.3-24	Design and Performance Characteristics	•	3-92

1.0 SPS CONCEPTS

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group



1.0 SPS CONCEPTS

This volume presents results of studies designed to evaluate the SPS satellite photovoltaic design concept on the basis of new data, to show improvement in the design concept, and to resolve or reduce key areas of uncertainty in the reference concept. These evaluations are an integral part of the narrowing down process for point design definition.

Included in this section are descriptions of the various candidate concepts considered and conclusions and recommendations for a preferred concept. An initial concept resulting from Exhibit A/B of the previous study (SD 78-AP-0023) is utilized as the starting point. This concept is evaluated for solid state dc-RF conversion (to replace klystrons) and configuration trades were performed to update concentration ratio and structural material selections. Based on these initial study results and NASA/DOE configuration decisions (October 1978) a new Rockwell reference concept was developed. Configuration options were evaluated and compared against this reference concept and against the NASA/DOE baseline. Recommendations were then made to define an updated and preferred concept.

1.1 INITIAL CONCEPTS

This study was initiated using a preliminary baseline concept designated by NASA/MSFC at the conclusion of Exhibits A/B of the SPS contract. The initial concept is shown in Figure 1.1-1 and has the following major characteristics:



Figure 1.1-1. Preliminary Baseline Satellite Concept

SSD 79-0010-2-1



- GaAlAs solar cells with reflectors having a geometric concentration ratio of 2:1
- 2 tier cross section
- Aluminum structure
- 5 GW power output at ground utility busbar
- Single, centrally-located microwave antenna with klystron dc-RF converters

Starting from this baseline a number of major system trade studies were conducted. These included: a solid state (transistor) replacement for the klystrons; flat plate concentrators giving a concentration ratio (CR) up to 2.7; and composites versus aluminum structure. All subsystem areas were investigated and, where appropriate, trade studies or analyses conducted to update and further define the satellite concept.

1.1.1 SOLID STATE REPLACEMENT OF KLYSTRONS

The baseline satellite concept utilizes high voltage (HV) klystron dc-RF converters to convert the dc power generated to the 2.45 GHz microwave state. The power for the RF converters is transferred at 40 kV dc (nominal) across the antenna slip rings, converted to five selected HV dc voltages and utilized by the klystrons. Solid state dc-RF converters require an input voltage of probably less than 100 V (present design indicates an input voltage of approximately 40 V dc). If the appropriate voltage (40 V dc) is generated the current carrying capability of the rings would need to be probibitively large (one half of approximately 10 GW @ 40 V \approx 125×10⁶ amps dc). If relatively high voltage is generated (20-40 kV dc) and transferred across the rings, the subsequent dc-dc conversion results in large increases in antenna mass or significantly advanced technology is required for dc converters.

The concept initially studied for application of solid state technology assumed that 50 kW solid state power modules would replace the 50 kW klystrons in an antenna configuration basically the same as that used for the klystrons. In order to provide adequate efficiency, the temperature of the solid state devices must be maintained at $\leq 150^{\circ}$ C. It is necessary to radiate thermal energy from both sides of the antenna if reasonable antenna sizes are to be maintained. Alternative approaches with two-sided radiation include: (1) a reduction of RF power in the center of the antenna, (2) redistribution of the center power modules to increase their spacing and the use of wave guides to direct RF energy to the appropriate microwave radiators, and (3) increase in antenna diameter to increase thermal and microwave rqdiation area, while simultaneously reducing power output from the satellite.

The low voltage required by the solid state modules resulted in a large dc/dc power conditioning penalty. At 50 kW ratings the converter specific weight estimate is 1.0 kg/kW. To reduce the dc-dc converter weight penalty it is necessary to project significant improvements in specific weight. One

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group



approach to lighter weights is to take advantage of larger converter ratings illustrated by the concept shown in Figure 1.1-2. Transformer weight varies to the 0.75 power of the rating if all parameters such as current density, flux density, etc., are kept constant.¹ Using a weight model with the magnetics (transformers) as 23.7% of the dc converter weight and the remaining elements scaled it is possible to project lightweight dc converters at large power ratings. The concept indicated in Figure 1.1-2 clusters the power modules to utilize central dc converters providing from 14.4 megawatts to 120 megawatts each. At these ratings, lower specific weights are anticipated for the converters and a direct comparison with the klystron antenna can be made. Another potential solution to the large masses due to step-down from high to low voltage is to use superconductivity technology to reduce conductor weights.



Figure 1.1-2. Solid State Antenna Power Distribution Concept

Three solar array mounted candidate solid state configuration concepts are identified in Figure 1.1-3. The solid state power modules are located on the solar array with power provided directly from the array at the required voltage. All three concepts restructure the solar blanket configuration to develop the low voltages required by the solid state devices and locate the dc-RF converters immediately adjacent to the solar blankets to reduce I^2R losses.

¹Westinghouse Electric Corporation, Report LY20686, prepared for Rockwell International, Satellite Power Systems Study, December 1977

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group





Figure 1.1-3. Solar Array Mounted Solid State Power Modules

In the first candidate satellite the construction form is the same as the point design, however, the summed RF energy must now be transferred over a wave guide rotating joint with a capacity of over 4 GW @ 2.45 GHz. The second candidate completely restructures the satellite and requires that the plane of the solar blanket be sun stabilized. The collected RF energy is transmitted to a free-flying stationkeeping satellite and retransmitted to the receiving rectenna. In order to control the RF beam it will be necessary to provide extremely precise stationkeeping and pointing/focusing control. The third candidate is similar to the second except that the satellite is stabilized to the rectenna boresite and the variable sun angle is compensated for by using an attached (or if desirable a free flying) reflecting mirror. The pointing accuracies required for this concept would be significantly less than for the second concept. The alternative concepts may eliminate power distribution and thermal effects but each still present significant design problems although these are now in different areas, e.g., new technology problems related to high power wave guides.

1.1.2 FLAT PLATE CONCENTRATOR WITH CONCENTRATION RATIO UP TO 2.7

A summary of the design concepts that had been given final consideration in the selection of a baseline design concept is shown in Figure 1.1-4. The concentration ratios, reflector shape and angle, and area requirements are indicated. The selected design for the baseline concept is the 60° Vee-trough configuration. It is felt that an advantage might be realized by a higher





Figure 1.1-4. Reflector Shape

slant angle. The geometry and ray traces for the Vee-trough design for a 60° and 71° reflector slant angle are shown. As illustrated, for a CR=2 the slant angle is 60° , and for a CR=2.4 the slant angle is 71° . The SPS structural cross sections were modeled to compare 60° , 65° , and 71° reflector angle (three troughs) reflectors. Performance and mass comparison was made. Mass items affected included mass structure, solar cells, reflectors and conductors. The results of this comparison is shown in Table 1.1-1. The net mass variation is minor. Bracketed mass numbers are referenced values. Subsystem cost comparison as a function of reflector angle is shown in Table 1.1-2. As the reflector angles increase the possible savings of the cost/mass are insufficient to overcome the penalty from added structural complexity. The recommendation resulting from this comparison is that the present baseline, utilizing CR=2 and 60° slant angle, should be retained.

1.1.3 COMPOSITES VERSUS ALUMINUM STRUCTURE

Using the substructure features of NASTRAN, the baseline design configuration (Figure 1.1-1 shown previously) was modeled. Using the CRT printouts, Figure 1.1-5 depict the buildup of the SPS structure through the combination of the substructures. The right-hand wing is a combination of three translated substructure number 1 units. Similarly, the left-hand wing is a combination of three substructure number 4 units. The center structure is a combination of the center section, substructure number 2, and the antenna and rotating ring, substructure number 3. Finally, the center section, the left-hand wing, and right-hand wing are combined to form the SPS structural model. Both composite and aluminum structure were analyzed. Thermal constraints included equilibrium operating temperatures, a construction temperature of 0°C and a temperature



PERFORMANCE PAR.	RMANCE PAR. REFLECTOR ANGLE			
AND MASS ELEMENT	60 ⁰	65 ⁰	71 ⁰	
TEMPERATURE CC	113	119	125	
	. 1816	. 179	. 176	
CRGEOM	2.0	2.286	2 576	
CREFF (EOL)		2.067	2.308	
ENERGY CONVERTED (W/M ²)	438. 5	488. 2	536 0	
ARRAY DESIGN FACTOR (. 89)	390. 27	434. 5	477.0	
SEASONAL VARIATION (. 91)	355. 1	395. 4	434.1	
FNVIR DEGRAD (. 96)	340. 9	379. 6	416.7	
SOLAR CELL AREA (106M ²)	30,06	27.0	24.6	
REFL. RATIO TO CELLS	2.0	3.04	4.84	
REFL. SURFACE (106M ²)	60,12	82.13	119.09	
DELTA MASS (10 ⁶ KG)				
STRUCT. & MECH.	(3,777)	+0.001	+0.222	
SOLAR BLANKET	(7,586)	-0.771	-1.376	
REFLECTOR	(1,088)	+0 398	+1.067	
POWER DISTR.	(1,166)	+0.054	+0.062	
SUBTOTAL A'S	(13, 617)	-0.318	-0, 025	

Table 1.1-1. Comparison of Reflector Angles

NOTE: •SUMMER SOLSTICE

Table 1.1-2. Subsystem Cost Comparison as a Function of Reflector Angle

		COST		
SUBSYSTEM ELEMENTS	60 ⁰	65 ⁰	710	FACTOR
STRUCTURES	390. 554	+ .081	+ 17.9	\$80. 66′KG
SOLAR BLANKET	1978, 481	-197. 823	-352.989	\$64, 65/M ²
REFLECTORS	150, 79	+ 52,24	+138.4	\$ 2,521 M ²
WIRING	3.64	+ .08	+ .09	\$ 1.52′KG
TRANSPORTATION	678, 525	- 15. 525	- 1.2	\$37. 5/KG
SUBTOTAL COST	3201, 99	-160, 947	-197.80	

COST IN MILLIONS OF DOLLARS (TFU)

NOTE- AVG COST REDUCTION FACTOR ~ .8611 TFU

	✔STAY WITH 600 REFL. ANGLE
	COST/MASS SAVINGS INSUFFICIENT
1	TO OVERCOME ADDED COMPLEXITY

during the solar eclipse(by the earth) of -150 °C. The results indicated maximum deflections of the aluminum array structure of 100 meters compared to only 1 meter for the composite structure. Rotating joint deflections of 0.88 meters were obtained compared with negligible composite deflections. Despite these large deflections, it was concluded that an aluminum structure could be built to SPS requirements. However, the results indicated that a structure material thickness of up to 30 mils is necessary compared to 10 mils previously assumed. This is due to the large stress magnitudes and low crippling allowables for aluminum. Depending upon design methodology and degree of ingenuity, there will be an increase in structural weight, as a result, of up to 10×10^6 kg for aluminum compared to 1.2×10^6 kg for composite structure.



Salellite Systems Division Space Systems Group

Figure 1.1-5. SPS Computer Program Structural Model

1.1.4 SATELLITE SYSTEM UPDATE

An update of the baseline SPS concept was documented as it had been defined through July 1978.¹ The mass of the updated SPS concept versus Exhibit A/B are shown in Table 1.1-3, and had resulted in an 8.3% increase in dry weight. The following tabulation identifies the reasons for these mass changes:

Collector Area

- Primary structure Eighteen additional 50-m tribeams across top of reflector bays
- Attitude Control Updated to reflect final Exhibit A/B
- Power Conditioning Equipment Addition of 40,000 low-voltage converters plus increase in switchgears due to drop in system efficiencies
- Power Distribution Increase in conductors and slip ring support
- Thermal Control Radiator system added (320,000 m²) for high voltage converters

Rockwell

International

¹Satellite Power Systems (SPS) Concept Definition Study, SPS Point Design Definition Update, NAS8-32475, DPD 558, July 30, 1978



SUBSYSTEM	END OF EXHIBIT A/B	UPDATED JUNE 21-22, 1978	Δ
COLLECTOR ARRAY -	(13 919)	(14 169)	(+ 0 250)
STRUCTURE & MECHANISM	3 777	3 825	+ 0 048
ATTITUDE CONTROL	0 095	0.116	+ 0 021
POWER SOURCE	8 831	8.831	-
PDC	1.166	1 347	+ 0 181
IMS	0 050	0 050	-
ANTENNA SECTION	(14.204)	(16 297)	(+ 2 093)
STRUCTURE & MECHANISM	1.685	1 682	- 0 003
THERMAL CONTROL	1.408	2 457	+ 1.049
MICROWAVE POWER	7 012	7 012	
PDC	3.469	4.516	+ 1 047
IMS	0 630	0 630	
TOTAL SPS	28 123	30.466	+ 2 343
GROWTH (30%)	8 437	9.140	+ 0.703
TOTAL SPS (WITHGROWTH)	36 560	39 606	+ 3 046

Table 1.1-3. Preliminary Baseline Concept (2-Tier Cross Section)

- Power Conditioning Equipment Addition of 144,000 low voltage converters and 136,000 regulators plus increase in switchgear due to lower system efficiency
- Power Distribution Increase in conductors due to power system efficiency

1.1.5 REFERENCE CONCEPT

A new Rockwell reference satellite concept was developed based on the NASA/ DOE defined reference SPS system.¹ The satellite portion of the NASA/DOE system is shown in Figure 1.1-6. Both the silicon and GaAs photovoltaic concepts are coplanar, and have a single microwave antenna located at the end of the satellite to provide 5 GW of power at the utility interface. The major overall difference between the two satellites is the use of flat concentrators on the GaAs concept (CR=2), whereas, the silicon concept does not use concentrators (CR=1). The mass properties for the NASA/DOE reference satellite is given in Table 1.1-4. The total mass, 34.1×10^6 kg for GaAs and 51.0×10^6 kg for the silicon concept, and includes a 25% growth factor. The mass is nearly evenly distributed between the solar array and antenna for the GaAs concept; whereas, the solar array is nearly twice the mass of the antenna for the silicon concept.

¹Concept Development and Evaluation Program, Reference System Report, U.S. Department of Energy and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, October 1978



Figure 1.1-6. NASA/DOE Reference Satellite Concepts

Table l.1-4.	NASA/DOE Reference Satellite Mass Propert:	ies
	(Millions of Kilograms)	

SUBSYSTEM	GAALAS CR = 2 OPTION	SILICON CR = 1 OPTION
SOLAR ARRAY	13,798	27.258
PRIMARY STRUCTURE SECONDARY STRUCTURE SOLAR BLANKETS CONCENTRATORS POWER DISTRIBUTION & CONDITIONING INFORMATION MANAGEMENT & CONTROL	4.172 0.581 6.696 0 955 J 144 0 050	3.388 0.436 22.051 1.134 0.050
ANTENNA	13.382	13.382
PRIMARY STRUCTURE SECONDARY STRUCTURE TRANSMITTER SUBARRAYS POWER DISTRIBUTION & CONDITIONING THERMAL CONTROL INFORMATION MANAGEMENT & CONTROL ATTITUDE CONTROL	0 250 0.786 7.178 2.189 2.222 0.630 0.128	0.250 0.786 7.178 2.180 2.222 0.630 0.128
ARRAY/ANTENNA INTERFACES*	0.147	0.147
PRIMARY STRUCTURE SECONDARY STRUCTURE MECHANISMS POWER DISTRIBUTION -	0.094 0.003 0.033 0.017	0.094 0.003 0.033 0.017
SUBTOTAL	27.327	40.787
CONTINGENCY (25%)	6.832	10,197
TOTAL	34.159	50,984

* ROTARY JOINT, SLIP RINGS, ANTENNA YOKE



The Rockwell reference satellite concept for GaAs solar cells CR=2 is shown in Figure 1.1-7. The design characteristics of this concept include: (1) 5 GW power to the utility interface; (2) Geosynchronous construction location; (3) single microwave antenna end-mounted; (4) GaAs solar cells with a concentration ratio of 2; (5) Y-POP attitude control and stationkeeping; (6) 45.5 kV dc power distribution; (7) composites as the structural material; and (8) klystron dc-RF conversion.



Figure 1.1-7. Rockwell Reference Satellite Concept

The mass properties for the Rockwell reference concept satellite is given in Table 1.1-5. The total mass of 36.8×10^{6} kg, includes a 25% growth factor. The dominate mass in the collector array is the solar cells. Structural mass is only 10% of the total mass. Antenna mass is mainly comprised of the RF radiators, klystrons and power distribution.

1.1.6 CONCEPT VARIATIONS

Variations of the Rockwell reference concept that were studied to provide data for selection of the best design concept included: concentration ratio (CR=1 and CR=2); number of troughs (3, 4, 5, and 6); antenna location (central and end); and solar cell material (GaAs and silicon).

The study results indicate that the silicon concept is much heavier than the GaAs concept. The silicon concept is optimized without concentrators; whereas, the GaAs concept is near-optimum at CR=2. As the number of troughs vary from 3 to 6, the length-to-width of the planform decreases. The higher number of troughs generally have lower wiring mass but construction is more complex. The centrally-located antenna concepts have the lowest mass but are



SUBSYSTEM	WEIGHT (MILLION KG)
COLLECTOR ARRAY	
STRUCTURE & MECHANISMS POWER SOURCE POWER DISTRIBUTION & CONTROL ATTITUDE CONTROL INFORMATION MANAGEMENT & CONTROL	1.811 8.440 2.889 0.116 0.050
TOTAL ARRAY (DRY)	(13, 306)
ANTENNA SECTION	
STRUCTURE & MECHANISMS THERMAL CONTROL MICROWAVE POWER POWER DISTRIBUTION & CONTROL INFORMATION MANAGEMENT & CONTROL TOTAL ANTENNA SECTION (DRY)	1. 486 2. 457 7. 012 4. 516 0. 630 (16. 101)
TOTAL SPS DRY WEIGHT	29, 407
GROWTH (25%)	7, 352
TOTAL SPS DRY WEIGHT WITH GROWTH	36.759
PROPELLANT PER YEAR	0.085

Table 1.1-5. Rockwell GaAs CR=2 Reference Satellite Mass Statement

somewhat more complex to construct. For both centrally-located and end-located antenna concepts, three troughs appear to be the best compromise for mass and constructability.

Mass property comparisons for an end mounted and center mounted antenna coplanar concept is shown for GaAs CR=2 in Table 1.1-6. The collector array for the center mounted antenna concept results in 1.636×10^6 kg less mass due primarily to the difference in power distribution mass $(1.503 \times 10^6$ kg versus 2.889×10^6 kg). The antenna section for both concepts are taken to be the same. Total SPS dry mass with 25% growth shows a savings of 2.045×10^6 kg for the center mounted antenna concept $(34.714 \times 10^6$ kg versus 36.759×10^6 kg).

A number of antenna concepts were studied. The major variations in antenna design are shown in Figure 1.1-8. The Rockwell baseline (2 Tier center mounted, tension web configuration) was modified to include a space frame antenna structure, both end mounted and center mounted, and a tension web structure, end mounted. Results of the trade study indicates that the central mounted antenna is preferred because of its reduced satellite mass. Variations for the klystron installation were also studied. Previous studies had considered cooling the klystron collector from both the front and rear sides of the antenna. The baseline, however, established during Exhibit A/B, is based on the "poke through" concept shown in Figure 1.1-9 which provided heat rejection on the front only and protected slip rings from excessive temperature. This reduced the propagation efficiency of the antenna. The Rockwell alternative klystron installation concept shown in Figure 1.1-9 provides more flexibility in that it allows heat rejection from the space facing surface in addition to the Earth side. During this study (Exhibit C), it was determined that it is thermally acceptable to radiate from the rear of the antenna, even with a centrally located antenna, provided that the slip rings are not located directly under the antenna. When comparing the two antenna structures, the thermal analysis concluded that the

SSD 79-0010-2-1



	CO-PLANAR	(3 TROUGH)
SUBSYSTEM	END MOUNTED ANTENNA	CENTER MOUNTED ANTENNA
COLLECTOR ARRAY		
	/1.911)	/1 541)
	997	1 012
	581	316
ARCHANISM	233	233
ATTITUDE CONTROL	(0.116)	(0,116)
POWER SOURCE	(8,440)	(8,440)
SOLAR PANELS	7.258	7.258
SOLAR PETECTORS	1,182	1,182
POWER DISTRIBUTION AND CONTROL	(2,889)	(1,503)
POWER CONDITIONING EQUIPMENT	(.262)	• (,262)
POWER DISTRIBUTION	(2,627)	(1,241)
CONDUCTORS AND INSULATION	(2,408)	1.022
SLIP RINGS	.219	219
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT & CONTROL	(0.050)	(0.050)
DATA PROCESSING	0.021	0.021
INSTRUMENTATION	0.029	0.029
TOTAL ARRAY, DRY	13,306	11,670
	(7, 494)	/1 /86
	193	(1,460)
	072	.103
	14	14
	191	191
	(2 457)	(2 457)
	851	851
	557	557
	1 049	1 049
	7 012	(7,012)
KIYSTRONIS	4.250	4.250
ATT SEN ELECTRONICS & PHASE CONTROL	.142	. 142
WAVEGUIDES	2.620	2.020
POWER DISTRIBUTION & CONTROL	(4.516)	(4.516)
POWER CONDITIONING FOUIPMENT	2.466	2,466
POWER DISTRIBUTION	(2.050)	(2.050)
CONDUCTOR & INSULATION	(1,904)	(1.904)
SLIP RING BRUSHES	(,139)	(.139)
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT & CONTROL	(.630)	(.630)
DATA PROCESSING	.380	.380
INSTRUMENTATION	.250	.250
TOTAL ANTENNA SECTION	16,101	16.101
TOTAL SPS DRY	29,407	27.771
GROWTH 25%	7.352	6.943
TOTAL SPS DRY WITH GROWTH	36,759	34.714

Table 1.1-6. Rockwell Reference Satellite Mass Comparison (End Mounted and Center Mounted Antenna)

space frame antenna primary structure would encounter severe heating from the klystron collector (radiation from the rear of the antenna). High temperature composites would be necessary to provide the needed structural strength. This is not a problem with the tension web concept. Each of the concepts shown could be adapted to either the tension web or space frame antenna design.





Figure 1.1-8. Antenna Design Concepts





Studies of attitude control and stationkeeping indicated that little difference in total thrust and propellant requirement exists among the various concepts. This is due to the dominance of the east-west stationkeeping requirements caused by solar pressure. East-west stationkeeping is required to assure non-interference of an SPS with another SPS or another satellite. If east-west stationkeeping thrust is constantly applied, all other attitude control and stationkeeping requirements can be satisfied by combined ion-thruster applications. Additionally, some relief can be obtained from efficiency losses due to solar seasonal variations by inclining the satellite up to 9° toward the sun. This is another "free" benefit obtained concurrent with east-west stationkeeping.

Eight different satellite configuration options that were studied are shown in Figure 1.1-10. These configurations were evaluated to obtain a better understanding of the impacts of solar cell selection (GaAs versus Si), antenna mounting location (end versus center), number of troughs (range from 3 to 10), concentration ratio (CR=2 versus nonconcentrated) and radiation degradation assumption (annealable versus non-annealable). For these studies, solar cell and power distribution efficiencies were held constant, as was the antenna mass.



Figure 1.1-10. Satellite Configuration Options

The summary data for each of the eight configurations is given in Table 1.1-7. The first Quarter baseline (2 Tier configuration) data provides a reference. Options 1 and 2 are GaAs CR=2 for an end mounted and a center mounted antenna. Options 6 and 7 differ from 1 and 2 in that a narrower width is evaluated (i.e., 3 trough wide versus 4). Options 3 and 4 are for GaAs CR=1 evaluating annealable and nonannealable assumptions. Similarly, options 5 and 6 are for Si CR=1. The data shows a negligible difference between 4 trough

CONFIGURATION		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	FIRST Q BASELINE
CELL MATERIAL CONC RATIO ANT MOUNT	GaAs 2 END		1		Si		GaAs 2		
RADIATION DEGRAD FACTOR CELL OUTPUT (W/M ²) SOLAR CELL AREA (10 ⁶ M ²) REFLECTOR AREA (10 ⁶ M ²)	.96 — 362.7 — 28.4 — 57.6 —		218.2 47.2	.84 190.9 53.95	.96 182.9 56.3	.70 133.4 77.2	.96 — 362.7 — 28.4 — 57.6 —		336.6 30.6 61.2
BAY DIMENSIONS (M) NUMBER SOLAR CELL BAYS	700 × 1700 4 × 8 = 32 -		6 X 9 = 54	6 X 10 = 60	700 X 2550 - 7 X 6 = 42	10 X 6 = 60	750 X 1700 - 3 X 10 = 30 -		600×1600 $3 \times 12 = 36$ (1350)
REFL BAY DIMENSION (M) PLAN FORM (M) PLAN FORM AREA (10 ⁶ M ²) NO. SWITCHGEAR (ON ARRAY)	1300 X 1700 5200 X 13600 70.72 1120		4200 × 15300 64,3 1890	4200 X 17000 71.4 2100	4900 X 15300 74.97 2436	7000 X 15300 107.1 3480	1400 × 1700 4200 × 17000 71.4 1140		1250 X 1600 3850 X 19200 73.92 1260
COLLECTOR ARRAY (10 ⁶ KG)									
STRUCTURE & MECH SOLAR PANELS SOLAR REFLECTORS POWER DISTRIBUTION	2.156 — 7.258 — 1.182 — 2.719	1,55	2.043 11.897 2.879	2.168 13.595 2.545	2,230 23,988 3.058	2.791 34.506 3.87	2.156 — 7.258 — 1.182 — 3.03	1.272	3.825 7.722 1.108 1.081
ATT CONTROL/IMS/ROT JT	.385	(12,53)	,350 (17,259)	.389 (18,697)	.408 (29.684)	.583 (41,750)	.385 (14.011)	(12,253)	,385 (14,127)
ANTENNA SECTION	16,297								_
SUBTOTAL 25% GROWTH	29.996 7.499	28.827 7.206	33.556 8.389	34.994 8.749	45.981 11.495	58.047 14.512	30,308 7,577	28.55 7.137	30.424 7.606
TOTAL	37.495	36.033	41.945	43.743	57.476	72.559	37.885	35,687	38.029

Table 1.1-7. Satellite Configuration Option Comparison Data ~ 5 GW Utility Interface

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group

Rockwell International



width and 3 trough width in terms of mass (<400,000 kg). However, there is a significant mass savings for a center mounted antenna configuration ($\sim 2.0 \times 10^{5}$ kg). A small difference in mass is shown between annealable and nonannealable GaAs CR=1 configurations. Major mass differences are shown for the silicon versus gallium arsenide and for annealable versus nonannealable silicon configurations.

1.2 PREFERRED CONCEPTS

1.2.1 RECOMMENDED CONCEPT ALTERNATIVES

As a result of the trade studies conducted on the various reference concept alternatives, the concept illustrated in Figure 1.2-1 was recommended for point design study. This coplanar concept has a single microwave antenna located in the center of the solar array. The solar array has 3 troughs containing GaAs solar cells with flat reflectors that give a CR=2. Construction and operation of this satellite are at geosynchronous orbit.



Figure 1.2-1. Recommended Satellite Concept for Point Design Study

A number of alternatives to the current DOE/NASA baseline were studied at Rockwell. Based on the results of these studies to date, the changes to the DOE/NASA baseline that are recommended are shown in Figure 1.2-2.

Results of a study of the efficiency chain indicates that the satellite has 4.61 GW output at the utility interface rather than the specified 5 GW. The Rockwell antenna layout was based on each klystron operating at 52 kW output power. Using efficiencies estimated (e.g., Exhibit A/B, 3rd Quarter), the system was sized to deliver 5.0 GW at the utility interface. Introducing updated estimates of device/element efficiencies in this design results in less power output at the utility interface. It was necessary to modify the klystron and increase its output to 56.5 kW/klystron. This new value results in slightly higher power densities at both the transmitter and the ionosphere as shown in Table 1.2-1. A redistribution of klystrons at the center of the antenna would be required to reduce these levels; however, this results in a power beam distribution function less than the optimum Gaussian.





_					
	UTILITY POWER	5 GW	EFFICIENCY CHAIN	4 61 GW	
•	ANTENNA LOCATION	ENO	 LOWER MASS (2 X 10⁶ Kg) STRUCTURE INTERFERENCE NOT A PROBLEM 	CENTER	
	BAY GEOMETRY	5 X 20 BAYS	EASIER CONSTRUCTION	3 X 108AYS	
	OVERALL DIMENSIONS	53 X 105 Km	ARRAY PACKING FACTOR	39 X 160 Km	
	OVERALL EFFICIENCY	6 97%	 PARTIAL SUN TRACKING SMALL CHANGES IN EFF CHAIN 	6 72%	
	MASS	34 X 10 ⁶ K _{ii}	 RESULT OF CHANGES 	TBD	

Figure 1.2-2. Recommended Changes to NASA/DOE Reference System - Overall GaAs Satellite Concept

KLYSTRON POWER OUTPUT		EFFICIENCY CHAIN UTILITY INTERFACE		POWER DENSITY AT TRANSMITTER	POWER DENSITY AT IONOSPHERE		
(EACH)	(TOTAL 135,864)						
52 KW	7.07 GW	EXHIBIT A/B 3rd QUARTER	5.0 GW	(4.61 GW)	21 K₩/M ²	23 MW/CM ²	
54.3 KW	7.39 GW	EXHIBIT A/B 4th QUARTER	5.0 GW	(4.81 GW)	21.9 KW/M ² .	24 MW/CM ²	
56.5 KW	7.676 GW	EXHIBIT C lst QUARTER	5.0 GW	(5.0 GW)	22.9 KW/M ²	25 MW/CH ²	
() = USING EXHIBIT C 1st QUARTER EFFICIENCY CHAIN							

Table 1.2-1. Utility Interface Power

Studies of the overall concept indicate that 3 troughs are preferred with a center-mounted antenna. Three troughs are preferred primarily because of the increased size of the construction base as the number of troughs increases. The center mounted antenna saves about 2 million kg of mass as compared to the end-mounted antenna. The satellite system end to end efficiency chain is continuously updated to reflect the latest values. The efficiency values used in the Rockwell study were compared to the values used in the NASA/DOE reference design for both GaAs and Silicon. These comparative efficiencies are listed in Table 1.2-2. The major variations in efficiency values are in the seasonal variation, margin, switchgear factor, antenna power distribution, rectenna energy collection, and ground interface. The array design factor is significantly different for the Silicon design. The recommended changes in satellite concept definition result in new planform dimensions, solar blanket area, and mass statement.



EFFICIENCY FACTOR	NASA/DOE REFERENCE GaAs	ROCKWELL STUDY ALTERNATIVE	NASA/DOE REFERENCE Si	ROCKWELL STUDY ALTERNATIVE
SOLAR ARRAY SUMMER SOLSTICE SEASONAL VARIATION REFLECTOR REFL DEGRAD SOLAR CELL EFF AT AMO (28 C) CELL TEMP DEGRAD (113°C) ARRAY DESIGN FACTOR UV & RADIATION DEGRAD MARGIN SWITCH GEAR FACTOR ARRAY PWR DISTRIB	.9675 .91 915 .20 .908 .901 .96 - _ .9368 .935	(975) 968 915 .20 .508 .1335 89 .96 975 997 939 9381	.9675 .91 .954 951 9273 - .9368 9935	(975) 968 173 -954 .89 9273 975 997 939 899
ANTENNA/GROUND ANTENNA POWER DISTRIB DC RF CONV TRANSMIT ANT ATMOSPHERIC LOSS RECT ENERGY COLLECTION RF DC CONV GROUND INTERFACE	-963 85 9653 -98 89 88 -97	.932 85 .96 .86 .862 .89 .936 .991 .936	.963 85 9653 98 89 88 .97	999 -932 85 96 98 862 89 936
OVERALL EFFICIENCY %	6 97	6.49*	7 058	6 176
MPTS EFFICIENCY (dc-RF-dc) %	(63 0)	(61.4)	(63 0)	(614)

*NOTE: TOTAL DELIVERED POWER = 5.6%

Three levels of configuration control can be applied to the point design concept: (1) basic controlling characteristics, (2) current overall system description, and (3) subsystem descriptions. The basic controlling parameters are relatively rigid and changed only at the highest program levels. The overall system characteristics are updated only occasionally; e.g., semiannually. The subsystem descriptions form the basis for the overall system characteristics and changed as required by justifying studies.

1.2.2 BASIC CONTROLLING CHARACTERISTICS

A summary of the NASA/DOE reference satellite configuration characteristics are given in Table 1.2-3. This data was taken from the Reference System Report, United States Department of Energy and the National Aeronautical and Space Administration, October 1978. Matched against these reference characteristics are the major Rockwell study alternatives. Under the basic parameters, a laser transmission concept is being evaluated. Data is primarily being developed to aid in a determination of its potential environmental acceptability. All other basic parameters are similar to the reference NASA/DOE baseline.

1.2.3 CURRENT OVERALL SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

The current Rockwell point design is sized to deliver 4.61 Gigawatts to the utility interface. The utility interface was evaluated along with alternative satellite configurations, e.g., 3 trough planar array with a center mounted antenna. Planform, solar array blanket area, reflector area and weight characteristics shown were derived from sizing parameters such as overall (end to end) efficiency.



Table 1.2-3.	NASA/DOE	Reference	Satellite	Configuration		
Characteristics						

LEVEL	PARAMETER	REFERENCE CHARACTERISTICS	STUDY ALTERNATIVE
I BASIC	FREQUENCY POWER DENSITY CONSTRUCTION LOCATION OPERATIONAL ORBIT TRANSMISSION TECHNIQUE PHOTOVOLTAIC TECHNIQUE	2. 45 GHz 23 MW/CM ² (RECT. CENTER) 1 MW/CM ² (RECT. EDGE) GEO GEO MICROWAVE GaAIAS SOLAR CELLS, CR = 2 SI SOLAR CELLS, CR = 1	LASER
li System	PWR @ UTILITIES INTERF. SOLAR ARRAY CONFIG. NUMBER OF TROUGHS ANTENNA MOUNT PLAN FORM SOLAR BLANKET AREA REFLECTOR AREA OVERALL EFFICIENCY	5. 0 GW PLANAR 5 (GaAs) END 55. 13 KM ² (GaAs) 54. 08 KM ² (Si) 26. 52 KM ² (GaAs) 52. 34 KM ² (Si) 53. 04 KM ² (GaAs) 6. 97% (GaAs) 7. 0% (Si) 24. 16 106 KG2 (GaAs)	4. 61 GW 3 CENTER 62. 4 KM ² 65. 5 KM ² 27. 0 KM ² 56. 3 KM ² 54. 0 KM ² 6. 47% 6. 18%
	HLLV COTV PLV POTV	34. 16X10 ⁰ KG (GaAs) 50. 98X10 ⁶ KG (Si) 2-STG, V-LAUNCH, SEQ. BURN, HORIZ. LAND, WINGED, REUSABLE, 425 MT TO LEO INDEPENDENT, REUSABLE, ELECTRIC ENGINE-POWERED MODIFIED SPACE SHUTTLE ORBITER 2-STAGE, REUSABLE, CHEM. FUEL	33.02X10° KG 52.46X10 ⁶ KG PARALLEL BURN 227 MT TO LEO
III SUBSYSTEM	POWER CONVER./DISTRIB. GaAs-BLANKET MATERIAL CELL THICKNESS CELL SUBSTRATE BOL CELL EFFICIENCY OPS TEMPERATURE BLANKET WEIGHT REFLECTOR MATERIAL BLANKET STOWAGE REFLECTOR STOWAGE PROJECTED COST	KAPTON (25 μ M) 25 μ M SYNTHETIC SAPPHIRE (INVERSE ORIENTATION) 20% (AMO, 28 C) 113 C (n = 18.15%) 0.252 KG/M ² AL-KAPTON (12.5 μ M) ROLL-UP ACCORDIAN-FOLD & ROLL-UP \$71/M ² (SOLAR BLANKET)	



Table 1.2-3. NASA/DOE Reference Satellite Configuration Characteristics (Cont.)

	a second a s		
LEVEL	PARAMETER	REFERENCE CHARACTERISTICS	STUDY ALTERNATIVE
	SI-CELL THICKNESS BOL CELL EFFICIENCY OPS TEMPERATURE- ANNEALING ASSUMPTION	50 μM 17.3% (AMO, 28 C) 36.5 C (η = 15.6% INDUCED (CO2 LASER 500 C)	· ·
III SUBSYSTEM (CONT.)	SI-PROJECTED COST COVER INTERCONNECTS STOWAGE ARRAY OUTPUT VOLTAGE CONDUCTORS POWER TRANSFER (ROT. JOINT)	\$35/M ² (SOLAR BLANKET) BOROSILICATE, 75 µM ELECTRICALLY BONDED (FRONT & BACK) SILVER-PLATED COPPER (12.5 µM) ROLL-UP OR FOLDED 40 TO 50 KV ALUMINUM SLIP RINGS/BRUSHES	
	STRUCTURES GEN. CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL ANTENNA CONFIGURATION ANTENNA PRIMARY STRUCTURE	GRAPHITE COMPOSITES SPACE FRAME OPEN TRUSS, AUTOMATIC, FAB. IN SPACE (130 M DEEP)	TENSION WEB
	ANTENNA SECONDARY STRUCTURE ROTARY JOINT SLOTTED WAVEGUIDES KLYSTRON INSTALLATION	DEPLOY, CUBIC TRUSS (9.93 M DEEP) 350 METERS DIAMETER ALUMINUM BACKSIDE OF ANTENNA	
	OPERATIONS ANTENNA POINTING RCS-THRUSTER TYPE THRUSTER GIMBAL NO. OF THRUSTERS	X-POP (LONG AXIS] ORBIT PLANE) CMG'S ARGON ION BOMBARDMENT INDIVIDUALLY 64 (16 PER CORNER)	,
	GRID LIFETIME MTBF AVERAGE POWER SPECIFIC IMPULSE THRUST PROPELLANT STORAGE	5000 HOURS 5 YEARS 34 MEGAWATTS 13,000 SEC 13 N CRY0GENIC	
	MICROWAVE MICROWAVE CONVERTER MICROWAVE BEAM	KLYSTRON 10 db EDGE TAPER GAUSSIAN (88% RADIATING ENERGY WITHIN 5 KM	SOLID STATE
	BEAM WIDTH AMPLITUDE TOLERANCE ANT./SUBARRAY MECH. ALIGNMENT NO. OF SUBARRAYS NO. OF POWER TUBES	RADIUS L BORESIGHT) 1.2 ARC MINUTES ±1 dB ACROSS SUBARRAY ±3 ARC MINUTES (GRATING LOBE CON- STRAINED TO ≤0.01 MW/CM ²) 7220 (10×10 M) 36 PER SUBARRAY AT CENTER 4 PER SUBARRAY AT EDGE	6993 (10.2×11.64 M) 50 6
	POWER PER TUBE FIRST SIDE LOBE GRATING LOBES ERROR BUDGET-TOTAL RMS Ø ERROR 	101,552 TOTAL 70 KW 0.08 HW/CM ² <0.01 HW/CM ² 10°	135,864 TOTAL 50 KW
	IRANSMII AKKAY POWER RADIATED (TRANSMIT ARRAY) THERMAL LIMITS (ANTENNA) MPTS EFFICIENCY	6.72 GW 22 KW/M ² 63%	6.79 GW 21 KW/M ² 61.4%
• • .	RECTENNA PANELS	MULTIPLE ELEMENTS FEEDING SINGLE DIODES OPEN-FACED	(STRIPLINE) OPAQUE



1.2.4 SUBSYSTEM DESCRIPTIONS

A review of the Rockwell study alternatives show no change from the DOE/ NASA reference characteristics for the power conversion/distribution subsystems. In the structure subsystem area a major study alternative is the tension web antenna structure. The Rockwell SPS microwave antenna concept evaluated and compared to the space frame is a hexagonal rigid frame (compression frame) to which is attached an adjustable cable system. The impacts on the satellite from the use of a tension web are on: mass, assembly time, and material selection. The tension web provides a very stiff and flat surface by a cable system. This configuration is thermally "stiff" but may be relatively complex in that it must be adjustable and self-compensating for effects of thermal variations. Antenna dynamics are a major area of concern requiring more detailed analysis to fully understand their impacts. The mass difference between a tension web structure and the space frame for a 1 km diameter antenna is not significant, i.e., .067×10⁶ kg tension web compared to .250×10⁶ kg space frame. The remainder of structural characteristics are the same as for the DOE/ NASA reference concept. Attitude control and stationkeeping characteristics are also the same. In the microwave subsystem, two overall approaches were studied for the solid state microwave transmission concepts: antenna-mounted and solar array-mounted. The antenna mounted concepts include two means of solving the power conditioning problem: (1) series/parallel connection of the power amplifiers to obtain up to 2000 volts and (2) use of a two-stage, high power dc/dc converter approach. The parallel/series approach suffers a severely reduced efficiency because of phase matching.

Two approaches have been identified for the solar-array-mounted concepts: (1) use of high-power waveguides to collect and distribute microwave energy from array-mounted solid-state conversion devices to a reflecting antenna, and (2) a sandwich approach which has the solar cells on one side and antenna on the other side. Since the sandwich concept must be earth oriented to direct the microwave beam, it is necessary to use reflectors to direct solar energy onto the solar cells. Studies of the wave guide approach have indicated very difficult technology problems related to RF mode control. Initial studies of the reflector concept have shown that this approach is economically competitive with the reference concept although the utility power level is only 0.78 GW compared to the 5 GW reference concept.

The Rockwell alternative antenna design utilizes a nominal 50 kW klystron tube; therefore, the number of power tubes, number of subarrays and tube distribution differ from the reference concept.

Five rectenna concepts were considered: (1) dense array (billboard); (2) YAGI array; (3) short backfire array; (4) trough, and (5) square parabolas. These rectenna concept descriptions are shown in Figure 1.2-3. The trough and square parabolas concepts are severely penalized due to low aperture efficiencies. It was concluded that the dense array (billboard) stripline rectenna concept be retained for purposes of rectenna constructability analysis.





Figure 1.2-3. Alternative Rectenna Concepts

1.2.5 ROCKWELL POINT DESIGN MASS STATEMENT

The mass statement for the Rockwell recommended satellite concept (center mounted antenna) is given in Table 1.2-4. The end mounted antenna concept mass is provided along with earlier mass generated at the 2nd Quarter period of Exhibit C study. The major mass reduction is caused by resizing for a 4.61 GW utility interface. This reduces the requirement for the solar array output from 10.3 GW to 9.51 GW. The power source mass reduction is a direct result of lower array output power. The power distribution mass is the result of a complete new analysis of conductor and conditioning equipment requirements.

Antenna structure and mechanism mass is the result of calculating mass from a layout drawing of the gimbal structure and resizing of the actual antenna itself. The thermal control radiators on the antenna were incorporated into the dc converter power conditioning mass. These mass estimates were reduced by incorporating a design change to provide the 40 kV klystron power directly from the solar array, thereby, reducing power conditioning requirements. The other klystron voltages will be provided by dc converters. The power distribution mass estimate was the result of a complete new analysis of the system.


Table 1.2-4. Solar Photovoltaic Power Conversion Mass Statement - ~10⁶ kg

	CO-PLANAR (3 TROUGH)				
	END-MOUNTE	D ANTENNA	CENTER-MOUNTED ANTENNA		
	2ND	3RD	4TH		
	QUARTER	QUARTER	QUARTER		
SUBSYSTEM	9/19-21/78	9/6-7/78	3/15/79		
COLLECTOR ARRAY					
STRUCTURE AND MECHANISMS	(1.881)	(1.260)	(1.122)		
PRIHARY STRUCTURE	. 997	.702	.702		
SECONDARY STRUCTURE	.581	. 358	.187		
MECHANISM	.233	.200	.233		
ATTITUDE CONTROL	(0.116)	(0.116)	(0.116)		
POWER SOURCE	(8.440)	(7.855)	(7.855)		
SOLAR PANELS	7.258	6.818	6.818		
SOLAR REFLECTORS	1.182	1.037	1.037		
POWER DISTRIBUTION AND CONTROL	(2.889)	(2.603)	(0.882)		
POWER CONDITIONING EQUIPMENT	0.262	0.193	.193		
POWER DISTRIBUTION	2.627	2.410	.689		
CONDUCTORS AND INSULATION	((2.408))	((2.367))	((0.646)) [
SLIP RINGS	((0.219))	((0.043))	((0.043))		
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT & CONTROL	(0.050)	(0.050)	(0.050)		
DATA PROCESSING	.021	.021	.021		
INSTRUMENTATION	.029	.029	.029		
TOTAL ARRAY, DRY	13.306	11.884	10.025		
ANTENNA SECTION					
STRUCTURE AND MECHANISM	(1.486)	(0.977)	(0.977)		
PRIMARY STRUCTURE	.183	.120	.120		
SECONDARY STRUCTURE	.972	. 599	.599		
ANTENNA	.14	.067	.067		
MECHANISM	.191	. 191	. 191		
THERMAL CONTROL	(2.457)	(1.408)	(1.408)		
KLYSTRON COOLING	.851	.851	.851		
INSULATION -	.557	.557	-557		
RADIATOR	1.049	-	-		
MICROWAVE POWER	(7.012)	(7.012)	(7.012)		
KLYSTRONS	4.250	4.250	4.250		
ATT. SEN. ELECTRONICS & PHASE CONTROL	.142	.142	.142		
WAVE GUIDES	2.620	2.620	2.620		
POWER DISTRIBUTION AND CONTROL	(4.516)	(4.505)	(4.505)		
POWER CONDITIONING EQUIPMENT	2.466	1.901	1,901		
POWER DISTRIBUTION	2.050	2.604	2.604		
CONDUCTOR AND INSULATION	((1.904))	((2.587))	((2.587))		
SLIP RING BRUSHES	((0.139))	((0.017))	((0.017))		
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT & CONTROL	(0.630)	(0.630)	(0.630)		
DATA PROCESSING	.380	.380	.380		
INSTRUMENTATION	.250	.250	.250		
TOTAL ANTENNA SECTION	26.101	14.532	14.532		
TOTAL SPS DRY	29.407	26.416	24.557		
GROWTH 25%	7.352	6.604	6.137		
TOTAL SPS DRY WITH GROWTH	36,759	33.02	30.694		

2.0 TRADE SUMMARY



2.0 TRADE SUMMARY

ξţ.

A review of the initial satellite baseline design concept was made and from this review, areas were identified for further study. The early part of the Exhibit C study focused on issues which are shown in Table 2.0-1. The trade study objectives were to reduce satellite design uncertainty and cost, mass, and design complexity. Special emphasis was placed on reducing overall cost. Following this preliminary investigation the study dealt with alternative reference satellite concepts to resolve system issues which included coplanar center mounted antenna, end mounted antenna, three and four trough and solid state microwave concepts. This section presents a summary of results of the various trade studies and analysis conducted during the course of this study.

SUBSYSTEM/ELEMENT	ANALYSIS/TRADE	PRELIMINARY STUDY CONCLUSION
SOLAR ARRAY	SOLAR CELL PERFORMANCE B.O.L. POWER SOLAR CELL COST E.O.L. REFLECTIVITY CONCENTRATION RATIO EARLY VERIFICATION	 GaAs SINGLE CRYSTAL CELL STILL PREFERRED ⇒ BEST FOR FUTURE MAY BE GAAS MULTIPLE BAND GAP POLYCRYST- ALLINE EXCESS SOLAR ARRAY POWER SHOULD BE UTILIZED ~297 MW (AVG), 490 MW (PEAK) GROUND STORAGE LOOKS MARGINAL E. O.L. REFLECTIVITY INCREASED FROM 0, 72 TO 0, 83~500 MW GAIN STAY WITH 60° SLANT ANGLE CR-2 OFFERS COST ADVANTAGE
POWER DISTRIBUTION	 EFFICIENCY CHAIN LOW VOLTAGE REQMT BLOCK DIAGRAMS & POWER CONTROL ANALYSIS 	 70 A. REDUCED FROM 6.05% TO 5.78% VERY DIFFICULT TO PROVIDE 40 KV TO 40 VDC (SOLID STATE)~0 5-1 KG/KW INITIAL INIERFACES, START OF INTEGRATED BLOCK DIAGRAM
STRUCTURES	NASTRAN ANALYSIS	INCREASED NUMBER OF BRACES FOR STABILITY PRELIMINARY STRESS ANALYSIS DESIGN LOOKS O K.
THERMAL	POWER CONDITIONING COMPONENT ANALYSIS SOLID STATE DEVICE ANALYSIS	 THERMAL CONTROL RADIATORS FOR VOLTAGE CONVERTERS 10⁶ KG MASS INCREASE THERMAL CONTROL CONCEPT FOR TRANSISTOR POWER MODULES 2X DEVICE WEIGHT
ANTENNA	SOLID STATE DC/RF CONVERSION	50 KW SOLID STATE POWER MODULE CONCEPT EFFICIENCY DC -RF 78-85% WAS 85%; POWER DISTRIB, 80-95% WAS 96%
RECTENNA	STRIP LINE VS "BACKFIRE" COAXIAL UTILITY INTERFACE AC VS DC DC TO AC CONVERSION CONCENTRATED VS DISTRIBUTED	STAY WITH STRIP LINE AC FOR < 300 MILES: DC FOR > 800 MILES CONTROLLED QUASI -SINEWAVE DEVELOPED FROM RECTENNA ARRAY SEGMENTS LOOKS ATTRACTIVE (DISTRIBUTED CONCEPT).

Table 2.0-1. System Study Areas



2.1 SOLAR ARRAY

The major study areas for the solar photovoltaic array included: (1) solar cell performance and cost; (2) impact of seasonal variations and possible use of beginning of life (BOL) excess power; (3) reflector slant angle; (4) reflector degradation allowance; and (5) array configuration options.

2.1.1 SOLAR CELLS PERFORMANCE AND COST

Recent work at RCA Laboratories¹ has shown some promise for amorphous silicon (A-Si). Thin film solar cells, $\leq 1 \ \mu m$ thick, have been fabricated using metal Schottsky barriers on discharge produced amorphous silicon. Power conversion efficiencies as high as 5.5% have been obtained. The theoretical limit for the A-Si solar cell has been estimated to be ~15%.

A comparison was made between single crystal GaAlAs, single crystal silicon, and amorphous silicon configurations shown in Figure 2.1-1 to assess their relative advantages/disadvantages. The current GaAlAs solar cell configuration (Exhibit A/B) was utilized at an assumed mass of 0.252 kg/m². The single crystal silicon solar cell stack configuration was taken from the NASA/JSC SPS study. An amorphous silicon configuration was modeled from an RCA paper.¹ An assumption was made that the A-Si cell stack weight is equivalent to 1 mil of glass with the same blanket configuration as utilized in the GaAlAs case. In all cases a concentration ratio of 1 was used. The impact of concentration ratio will be shown later.



¹Twelfth IEEE Photovoltaic Specialists Conference, page 893

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group



The relative performance and cost models used for these three solar cell configurations are shown in Table 2.1-1. For this comparison, the A-Si efficiency is a parameter with values ranging from 10% to 14%. The effect on mass for each configuration is shown in Table 2.1-2. The greatest mass penalty (18.659×10⁶ kg) occurs with single crystal silicon due to its greater cross section. The mass penalty for A-Si varies from 13.81×106 kg to 4.585×106 kg, depending upon its efficiency. The GaAs design is used as the reference for comparison. The major mass impact is driven by the performance model and occurs from solar cell and structural considerations due to the different solar array area requirements. Table 2.1-3 was prepared by translating these mass penalties into costs. The cost model used for this conversion is shown at the bottom of the table. The GaAs configuration is again used as the reference with the other cell costs representing cost deltas to the bracketed numbers. For example, silicon shows a cost increase of $$526.3 \overline{M}$ (subtotal) added to the $$4.731 \overline{B}$) GaAs cost. The cost advantage impact that comes from using reflectors (CR=2) is shown in Table 2.1-4. The Rockwell baseline SPS satellite utilizes GaAs solar cells at a concentration ratio of 2. Table 2.1-4 shows (subtotals) silicon CR=1 delta cost of +\$2126.73 M and GaAs CR=1 delta cost of +\$1572.88 M.

Table 2.1-1. Solar Cell Performance and Cost Models

		SUMMER SOLSTICE	
PARAMETER	GaAs	SILICON	A-SILICON
ENERGY ONTO CELL	1319.5 W/m ²	1319.,5 W/m ²	1319.5 W/m ²
CONVERSION EFFICIENCY	20%	17.33% -	10% - 14%
PWR OUTPUT F(T)	(1.0) 263.6 W/m ²	(.954) 218.11	(.954) 125.9 ÷ 176.2
ARRAY DESIGN FACTOR (.89)	234.8	194.1	112,1-156,8
SEASONAL VARIATION (.91)	213.7	176.6	101.9-142.7
ENVIR DEGRAD	(.96) 205.2	(.85) 150.1	(.85) 86.6 - 121.2

PERFORMANCE MODEL

() = PERFORMANCE DEGRADATION FACTOR

NOTE: AMORPHOUS SILICON THEORETICAL EFFICIENCY ~15%

COST MODEL							
SOLAR CELL CONFIGURATION	CELL/BLANKET MAT'L \$/m ²	CELL PROCESS \$/ni ²	BLANKET PROCLSS S/m ²	TOTAL S/m ²			
GaAs/Al ₂ O3	36,815	17.00	17.00	70,815			
SILICON	13,251	17.00	17.00	47.251			
A-SILICON	3.096	17.00	17.00	37,096			

MATERIAL COSIS: GALLIUM - \$500/KG

As	••	150/KG
SAPPHIRE	-	325/KG
SILICON	-	60/KG
OTHERS	-	20/KG

*ADL REFERENCE (COMPARISON)

	TOTAL S/m ²
	67,0
-	53.0
	-

*REFERENCE: "EVAL OF SOLAR CELLS & ARRAYS FOR POTENTIAL SOLAR POWER SATELLITE APPLICATIONS" ARTHUR D. LITTLE INC. NASA9-15294, MARCH 31, 1978



SOLAR CELL CONFIGURATION	AREA (10 ⁶ m ²)	RAY MASS (10 ⁶ kg)	∆ STRUCTURE (106 kg)	۵ PDC (10 ⁶ kg)	TOTAL <u>A</u> MASS (10 ⁶ kg)
GaAIAs/AI203	49.96	(12, 59)	(5,28)	(1, 845)	(19, 215)
SILICON	68.27	+16. 507	+1. 64	+, 512	+18. 659
A -S ILICON 10% 12% 14%	118. 4 98. 65 84. 55	+4. 34 < 1. 51 -0. 5	+6, 139 +4, 367 +3, 10	+3, 33 +2, 507 +1, 985	+13. 81 +8. 38 +4. 585

TADIE Z I Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z	Table	2.1-2.	Solar	Cell	Delta	Mass	Comparison:
---	-------	--------	-------	------	-------	------	-------------



() - REFERENCE MASS

STRUCTURAL MASS PENALTY = 0.087 kg/m² (SA)

• $\left(\frac{A_1}{A}\right)^2$ MAIN FEEDERS PDC u u

- $\binom{A_1}{A}$ REMAINDER

COST	GaAs	SILICON		A-SILICON	
PARAMETER			10%	12%	14%
SOLAR CELL	- (3. 53798)	-312, IM	+843M	+11377	-240. 9M
TRANSPORTATION	- (739, 31 M)	+699.71M	+517. 87M	+314.25 M	+171.93
STRUCTURE	(425, 88 M)	+132. 2811	+495. 17 M	+352. 24M	+250 05 ™
PDC	(28,05 M)	+6. 37 M	41.75M	34. 41M	33. 17 M
SUBTOTALS	- (4. 731 B)	+526.76M	+1897.79M	+813. 9M	+214. 25M [•]
30% GROWTH	•	158.028M	569. 34M	244. 17M	64.28 M
TOTAL -	-	+684.79M	2467.13M	1058. 07M	278. 53M
7200	MODEL (TELL)	h an			

Table 2.1-3. Solar Cell Cost Summary

TRANSPORTATION TO GEO - \$37.5/kg STRUCTURES = \$80, 66/kg

• 1.52/kg CONDUCTORS

• 65/kg SWITCH GEAR

A key difference between amorphous silicon and crystalline is expected to be in the manufacturing process. The use of A-Si should result in much lower process costs. The effect of a lower cell process cost for A-Si is shown in Figure 2.1-2. A comparison is made between A-Si with an efficiency of 10 to 14% and fixed design costs for single crystal silicon CR=1, GaAs CR=1 and GaAs CR=2.



DELTA COST						
PARAMETER	GaAs CR=2	SILICON CR=1	GaAs CR=1			
SOLAR CELL COST	(2320 M)	961 M	1274. 6 M			
TRANSPORTATION	(507 . 75 M)	935. 4 M	211. 5 M			
STRUCTURE	(285 . 51 M)	208, 1 M	75. 58 M			
P DC	(16, 91 M)	19, 5 M	11.2 M			
SUBTOTAL	(3130, 2 M)	2126 73	1572. 88 M			
GROWTH 30%	(939, 1 M)	638.01	471.86			
TOTAL	(4069, 3 M)	2764 75 M	1944. 74 M			

Table 2.1-4. Concentration Ratio Comparison ~ Delta Costs

() - REFERENCE COST



Figure 2.1-2. Solar Array Cost Comparisons

Amorphous silicon starts to look cost competitive at the higher efficiency, e.g., 14% for the lower costs (\sim \$20/m²). Single crystal silicon (even at high efficiency 17.3%) appears to be significantly higher in cost (Δ cost = \$2.13 B) compared to the GaAs CR=2 baseline.



2.1.2 SEASONAL VARIATIONS AND BEGINNING OF LIFE EXCESS POWER

Available solar array output power values are shown in Figure 2.1-3 for the different seasonal variations. A profile of the eclipse seasons is also shown. As indicated, there is approximately 10% additional power available $(375 \text{ W/m}^2 \text{ vs } 341 \text{ W/m}^2)$ from the solar array during spring and fall equinox periods as compared to summer solstice when taking into account change in solar input and the full 23.5° inclination angle (cosine) loss. A study was performed to assess potential worth of this excess power. Two possibilities for utilizing this energy exist: (1) size the satellite to deliver on a continuous basis all available power to the utility grid (this means that the grid must act as a variable demand), and (2) include a ground storage system and deliver the excess power from storage during the grid peak demand periods. This second alternative was evaluated.





Figure 2.1-3. Seasonal Variations in Available Power

Based on values shown in Figure 2.1-3, it was determined that the initial baseline concept had the potential to provide to the utility interface 5.5 GW maximum or 5.297 GW average power (compared to a nominal 5.0 GW). Various energy storage devices were considered for the ground storage system. Energy storage capital cost estimates are shown in Figure 2.1-4 (taken from procedings of the 12th IECEC conference). A compressed air energy storage technique was used for cost trade offs. A representative daily load curve is illustrated to show the slight impact caused by delivering this excess power from storage during peak demand periods. The charge cycle consists of 24 hours at 297 megawatts average with peaks of 500 megawatts. To generate this excess power the satellite would need to be modified. Satellite penalties associated with this are itemized in Table 2.1-5. Klystron resizing represents the major change. The cost analysis indicates that it is potentially cost-effective to modify the satellite and utilize this excess energy. A lower cost per satellite





IMPACT (POTENTIAL)
 REDUCES INV. PER SAT. BY \$47.3/KWe
 REDUCES TOTAL NO. SPS'S BY ~7
 <u>600 GW</u> ~ 113.3 SATELLITES
 5.297 GW

SPS

2-7

.1635

N/C

N/C

1.0152

. 3046

1.3198

(1.635)

(1.834)

(.630)

(28.123)

8<u>.437</u>

36.560

POWER DIST.

CONDIT.

DISTR

30% GROWTH

IMS

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL



(~\$47.3/kWe) can be achieved because the cost delta per added kilowatt is lower than the base satellite costs. The total program requirement of 600 GW could be met by 113.3 satellites, each with an average of 5.297 GW. A conclusion reached is that the SPS design criteria should specify provisions for utilization of excess solar array power.

2.1.3 REFLECTOR SLANT ANGLE

Three structural cross sections were compared to evaluate weight, cost, on-orbit assembly, and fabrication and design complexity for Vee-trough designs of 60°, 65° and 71° reflector slant angles. These cross sections are shown in Figure 2.1-5 with their configurational characteristics listed in Table 2.1-6. Performance and mass comparisons for these three configurations are given in Table 2.1-7. Weights and items affected by selection of slant angle include: array structure, solar cell area, reflector area, and conductors. The net weight variation is shown to be minor (\leq 318,000 kg). Bracketed mass numbers are reference values. The cost comparisons of affected items for each configuration is shown in Table 2.1-8. As the reflector angles increase, there is an overall savings in cost due to the higher effective concentration ratio and ` solar cell cost savings. The net savings in mass and cost does not appear to be sufficient to overcome penalties associated with orbit assembly and fabrication and design complexity inherently greater for the larger cross sections.

The recommendation is that the present baseline, utilizing CR=2 and 60° slant angle, be retained.

2.1.4 REFLECTOR DEGRADATION ALLOWANCE

The optical reflectance of aluminum as presented by Toulnkian¹ is shown in Figure 2.1-6. Reductions are shown in reflectance of evaporated films in the peak conversion wavelength region of GaAs which result in decreased reflection of desired solar radiation from the concentrators. It is expected that improvements will come from advanced technology developments by use of layered coatings or new aluminum mixtures. Radiation dose rates at geosynchronous orbit, 0° inclination, as functions of shield thickness are shown in Figure 2.1-7. In this 24-hour orbit, the natural Van Allen belt electrons are the primary radiation dose factor of concern. Solar flare particle and trapped fission electrons have secondary effects, except for a shield thickness $\geq 1 \text{ gm/cm}^2$. The 30 year SPS accumulative dosage is $<10^9$ rad. Test results reported by JPL (Wally Rowe) indicate that the threshold for mechanical reflectance degradation of kapton is 5×10^{10} rads.

An appropriate concentrator reflectivity value for SPS solar reflector design can be derived from measured data in the conversion band (spectral response) of GaAs. Data measured at Sandia² for an aluminized film indicate a beginning of life reflectivity of 0.87, and this value was applied to the SPS reflectors. Initial reflectivity values will not be affected by the film material. As part of the configuration update, lifetime deterioration estimates were recomputed. A math model of the meteoroid exposure levels was developed. The model indicates

¹Thermo Physical Properties Handbook

²Reference, R. B. Pettit, NASA A77-4907A



Figure 2.1-5. SPS Structural Cross-Section-Three Trough



SSD 79-0010-2-1



	REFLECTOR SLANT ANGLE			
STRUCTURAL CROSS SECTION	60°	65°	71°	
SATELLITE LENGTH (km)	20.9	19.35	19.11	
CROSS SECTION BEAM LENGTHS (km)	11.1	11.92	13.3	
CR _{GEOH}	2.0	2.286	2.576	
SOLAR CELL AREA 10 ⁶ m ²	30.06	27.0	24.6	
REFLECTOR SURFACE AREA 10 ⁶ m ²	60.12	82.13	119.09	

Table 2.1-6. Configuration Characteristics

Table 2.1-7. Comparison of Reflector Angles ,

PERFORMANCE PAR.	REF	ECTOR ANGL	E
AND MASS ELEMENT	60 ⁰	65 ⁰	710
TEMPERATURE C	113	119	125
	.1816	.179 -	.176
CRGEOM	2.0	2.286	2. 576
CREFF (EOL)	1.83	2.067	2. 308
ENERGY ONTO CELL (W/M ⁴)	2414.7	2727.4	3045.4
ENERGY CONVERTED (W/M ²)	438.5	488.2	536.0
ARRAY DESIGN FACTOR (. 89(390.27	434.5	477.0
SEASONAL VARIATION (. 91)	355.1	395.4	434.1
ENVIR. DEGRAD (. 96)	340.9	379.6	416.7
SOLAR CELL AREA (10 ⁶ M ²)	30.06	27.0	24.6
REFL. RATIO TO CELLS	2.0	3.04	4.84
DELTA MASS (106 KG)	00,12	02.12	117.07
STRUCT. & MECH.	(3.777)	+0.001	+0.222
SOLAR BLANKET	(7.586)	-0.771	-1.376
REFLECTOR	(1.088)	+0.398	+1.067
POWER DISTR.	(1.166)	+0.054	+0 062
SUBTOTAL 4'S	(13,617)	-0.318	-0 025

NOTE: *SUMMER SOLSTICE

Table 2.1-8. Subsystem Cost Comparison as a Function of Reflector Angle

COST IN MILLIONS OF DOLLARS ((TFU)
-------------------------------	-------

SUBSYSTEM ELEMENTS		COST		
	609	650	710	FACTOR
STRUCTURES	(390, 554)	+ .081	+ 17.9	\$80. 66/KG
SOLAR BLANKET	(1978, 481)	-197.823	-352.989	\$64. 65/M ²
REFLECTORS	(150, 79)	+ 52,24	+138.4	\$_2,52/ M ²
WIRING	(3, 64)	+ .08	+ .09	\$ 1.52′KG
TRANSPORTATION	(678, 525)	- 15.525	- 1.2	\$37. 5/KG
SUBTOTAL COST	(3201, 99)	-160, 947	-197,80	

NOTE: AVG COST REDUCTION FACTOR ~ .8611 TFU









that a loss of about one-half of one percent can be expected. Because of the relatively low temperatures of the reflectors, thermal cycling degradation due to eclipse passage should be slight and is estimated to be one percent. Consideration of these factors indicates that an end-of-life reflectivity value of 0.827 can be expected, i.e., BOL reflectivity = 0.872 with 30 year degradation allowance factors of: meteoroid damage = .995, thermal cycling degradation = 0.99, and radiation degradation = 0.965.

2.1.5 SOLAR ARRAY CONFIGURATION OPTIONS

Introduction

Eight different configuration options were compared (Figure 1.1-10). The reference coplanar satellite configuration, (4×8 matrix shown previously in Figure 1.1-7), was used as the starting configuration. The resulting solar array/blanket cross section was shown in Figure 1.1-7. A basic module with dimensions of 600 m wide × 750 m length is utilized in the tradeoffs. Two of these modules are combined to provide the necessary 45.5 kV to the power distribution network.





The different configuration options compared were shown previously in Figure 1.1-10, i.e., (1) reference coplanar (4×8 matrix, GaAs, CR=2, selfannealing assumption, end mounted antenna; (2) reference coplanar (4×8 matrix), GaAs, CR=2, self-annealing assumption, center mounted antenna; (3) GaAs coplanar (6×9 matrix) CR=1, self-annealing assumption, end mounted antenna; (4) GaAs coplanar (6×10 matrix), CR=1, non-annealing assumption, end mounted antenna; (5) silicon coplanar (7×6 matrix), CR=1, induced annealing assumption, end mounted antenna; (6) silicon coplanar (10×6 matrix), CR=1, non-annealing assumption, end mounted antenna; (7) GaAs coplanar (3×10 matrix), CR=2, selfannealing assumption end mounted antenna; and (8) GaAs coplanar (3×10 matrix), CR=2, self-annealing assumption, center mounted antenna.

The following parameters were evaluated to show relative comparisons:

Efficiency. Based on the best experimental data obtained to date (Rockwell Science Center) a projected efficiency for GaAs of 22.1% can be made. The highest output for thin silicon cells that has been measured is 14.5%.¹ This was accomplished at Spectrolab by an integrated optimization of shallow junctions with optimized grid patterns, back surface fields, textured front surfaces, back surface reflectors, and higher resistivity. The SPS solar array designs are based on GaAs efficiency of 20% and silicon 17.3%. These operating efficiencies will require major technology advancements, particularly, considering the need for mass production at low solar cell cost.

¹Development of High Efficiency, Radiation Tolerant, Thin Silicon Solar Cells, Spectrolab - JPL Contract 954600, Final Report, October 1977

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group



The SPS solar array efficiency chain used in these trade offs is shown in Figure 2.1-8. Array orientation corrections have been made as a result of the satellite being tipped 9.02° during summer solstice, thereby, reducing the inclination angle to the sun from 23.5° to 14.48°. The comparative solar array specific power output for GaAs (CR=1, CR=2) and silicon (CR=1) are shown. These values were used to size the solar array for the comparisons. Summer solstice is taken as the sizing requirement since power output is a minimum during this period.



Figure 2.1-8. Efficiency Chain Solar Cells

Radiation Degradation. The solar array electrical output is affected by the on-orbit environment which includes trapped particle radiation, solar flare proton radiation, ultraviolet radiation, and the temperature cycling associated with eclipse seasons. A composite 30 year GEO environment model is shown in Figure 2.1-9.

This model was developed in the Exhibit A/B study. A major contributor to the environmental model is solar flare protons. Estimated values were obtained by averaging the integral flux values for the five worst years of the 19th and 20th solar cycles and multiplying by 1.35 to allow for the six quiet years of the solar cycle. The values for a 11 year cycle are then multiplied by a factor of 3 to obtain a 30 year model. The natural trapped particle radiation environment was obtained from the "Solar Cell Radiation Handbook", TRW Report 21945-6001-RV-00. The values for damage equivalent 1 Mev electrons are taken from "A proposal for Global Positioning Satellite Electrical Power Subsystem", General Electric, Space Division Proposal No. N-30065, February 28, 1974.

The effects of 1 Mev electron radiation on solar cell power for 1-3 ohm cm N/P silicon solar cells of varying thickness is shown in Figure 2.1-10. These data are from "The Solar Cell Radiation Handbook", TRW Report 21945-6001-RV-00.





Figure 2.1-10. Normalized Maximum Power Versus 1-Mev Electron Fluence

2-14

SSD 79-0010-2-1



The data shown are a composite of data from several sources and represents the mean behavior of N/P conventional solar cell production in the United States. Solar cells produced with significant changes in composition may not show the same radiation loss factors. The significance of the data shown is that thinner solar cells, percentage wise, show less radiation degradation than thicker solar cells. The data shown is obtained by dividing solar cell maximum power at each radiation fluence by the cell output (different for each cell thickness) before irradiation.

Gallium arsenide and silicon cell test data results from tests conducted by Rockwell are shown by the dash lines to provide a comparison.

<u>Voltage</u>. The open circuit voltage and maximum power voltage curves of the GaAs cells are shown in Figure 2.1-11. The curves are representative of experimental results obtained at the Rockwell Science Center and Hughes Research Laboratory in their GaAs solar cell development programs. The relationship for the maximum power output voltage is $V_{mp} = -0.0018$ (V/°C)T +0.9 V. At the solar array operating temperature of 125°C, the maximum power voltage of the cell is 0.69 V. A reference V_{mp} for silicon is 0.475 V. These values were to determine the overall blanket dimensions. A basic 750 meter length was selected for voltage considerations. The width of the blanket is dictated by structural considerations and the desire to stay with modular blankets to stay within a 3 bay wide configuration. All other configurations are 600 meters widths. The silicon configuration requires 3 solar blankets in series to provide the necessary distribution voltage (compared to two blankets in series for GaAs).

<u>Mass.</u> The Si cell for advanced applications are projected to have a mass of from 0.53 to 0.338 kg/m². A mass of 0.427 kg/m² was used in the comparison. GaAs solar cells mass projections are from 0.304 to 0.228 kg/m². In this study, a mass of 0.252 kg/m² was used. These values are taken from the results of the Exhibit A/B study.

Configuration Option Comparisons

The summary comparison data on the eight configuration options was presented previously in Table 1.1-7. The preliminary trade results show that GaAs solar cells remain the preferred cell material (compared to silicon). This conclusion is based on GaAs having a higher efficiency (20% vs 17.3%); lower space radiation degradation (16% vs 30%); greater potential for self-annealing out of radiation damage (125°C threshold temperature vs >500°C); lower specific weight (0.252 kg/m² vs 0.427 kg/m²); improved compatibility with concentrators; improved temperature coefficient; and smaller solar cell area requirement.

Silicon solar cells offer advantages in that silicon material is easily available; however, scale up is required to obtain the necessary quantity of semi-grade silicon (e.g., 11850 metric tons per 5 GW system). A more mature silicon technology exists. Gallium arsenide solar cell development will require a new industry to reclaim gallium from bauxite and to produce the required solar cell quantity of $\sim 30 \times 10^6$ m² per 5 GW system.





Figure 2.1-11. Solar Panel Bay Dimensions ~ Voltage Consideration

The center mounted antenna configuration resulted in the lowest overall SPS mass. For the GaAs CR=2 center mounted configuration, power distribution mass savings ranged from 1.5×10^6 kg to 1.8×10^6 kg. Silicon CR=1 SPS mass penalties ranged from 21.8×10^6 kg to 36.9×10^6 kg depending upon annealing assumption. The GaAs CR=1 mass penalty ranged from 6.26×10^6 kg to 8.06×10^6 kg.

Mass differences between 4 trough and 3 trough width is negligible (<400,000 kg). However, the narrower 3 trough configuration is favored because of construction considerations.

The GaAs CR=2 configuration resulted in the lowest relative cost (Figure 2.1-2).

2.1.6 REFLECTIVITY PANEL TEST ANALYSIS

Literature data has shown that high film tensioning is required to obtain an adequate reflector surface which would make the concept impractical. This data was derived from small samples of reflecting material with a narrow beam of light. The small scale roughness has a great effect on the specular reflectivity. There is no data available for large scale panels.

Information is required to support the SPS solar array design using galliumarsenide solar cells with concentration ratio greater than one. High film tensioning will have a direct impact on the structure and make the concept impractical.

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group



A test program was initiated to determine the film stress requirements for aluminized plastic film reflectors. The major effort of this test program was accomplished by California State University - Fullerton under contract (M8M8GNS-892080E) to Satellite Systems Division of Rockwell International. Tests were performed on 18 inches square and 8 foot square panels. Sample backings were 1 mil mylar, 1/2 mil kapton and 1/2 mil teflon. All samples had 2000 angstroms of vapor deposited aluminum on the sun side. Test consisted of tensioning from 5 psi to 1000 psi (bi-lateral) and photographing a known grid pattern projected on to the imaging surface and measuring the deviation (at 0, 4, 24 and 48 hours) at each stress level.

Small samples required 400 to 1000 psi to obtain an imaging surface. The edge effect of the reinforcing tape had great effect on the tensioning required. Large samples of mylar took about 60 psi. Edge effects impacted the results. A follow-on test will be initiated to determine what impact tape splices have on the total reflectance of the panel.

Conclusion reached is that SPS design at tensioning requirements from 10-30 psi is acceptable when normalizing for edge effects (based on subjective normalization).

2.1.7 ELECTRIC ORBIT TRANSFER VEHICLE (EOTV) SOLAR ARRAY

A comparison between GaAs and Silicon solar cells was made for the EOTV requirements. The EOTV configurations are shown in Figure 2.1-12 along with a tabulation of solar array parameters and values. The silicon solar array mass is 725,904 kg compared to 263,511 kg for GaAs. This increased mass results from Si having a higher specific weight (.426 kg/m² vs .252 kg/m²) and a requirement for larger solar cell area (1,704,252 m² vs 886,950 m²). Note: The silicon configuration is non-concentrated while the GaAs has a concentration ratio of 2:1. The impact of reflector mass for the GaAs configurations are negligible. Note that the power output values for the array are taken at the beginning of life without degradation allowance for the space radiation environment.

The radiation environment for the EOTV was investigated and estimates made for the solar cell degradation. Trip times from LEO to GEO orbit of 90 days and 180 days were considered. The major contribution to solar cell degradation comes from trapped protons (Figure 2.1-13). Solar flares and trapped electrons were neglected. A nominal orbit inclination of 30 degrees was taken. About 8000 nmi trajectory data shows a lower inclination but the major damage would have already occurred, i.e., between 1000 and 7000 nmi. The analysis indicated that for one trip through the Van Allen belt, the radiation equivalent of 1 Mev electrons was approximately one order of magnitude greater than that received for the entire 30 year GEO operation, i.e., 5×10^{16} e/cm² compared to 5×10^{15} e/cm². Radiation degradations of 30-35% (Gallium Arsenide) and 50-55% (Silicon) are estimated for LEO to GEO using current SPS solar cell stack configurations and without annealing assumptions (Figure 2.1-14). The annealing characteristics of the solar cells is a critical consideration to an EOTV concept. This issue is identified for early resolution.



Figure 2.1-12. EOTV Solar Array Comparison (GaAs Versus Si Solar Cells) Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group









2-20

SSD 79-0010-2-1

OTV Versus Days



2.2 POWER DISTRIBUTION

The power distribution and control subsystem (PDS) trade studies dealt with overall distribution efficiency comparisons, conductor weights, power distribution technology, solid state antenna power distribution, and electric orbit transfer vehicle (EOTV) power distribution. Ground receiving station power distribution is considered in Section 2.7.

2.2.1 EFFICIENCY CHAIN

The satellite system end to end efficiency chain is continuously being updated to reflect the latest design. The Rockwell alternative SPS concept is sized to deliver 4.61 GW at the utility interface. The solar array efficiency chain was shown previously in Figure 2.1-8. To provide 4.61 GW at the utility interface requires a solar array output of 9.52 GW. The efficiency chain for the remainder of the system, i.e., rotating antenna and ground reception, is shown in Figure 2.2-1. The transmission efficiency values of primary interest are: 6% line loss on the solar array, 2% on the antenna, and 2% at the ground receiving station. Additional efficiency values include: klystron dc-RF conversion efficiency of 85%; rectenna RF to dc conversion efficiency of 89%; and power conversion efficiency (dc-dc) of 96%. An end to end efficiency comparison was made between the Rockwell study alternative efficiency values for both GaAs and Silicon and the NASA/DOE reference concepts. The major items are listed and shown previously in Table 1.2-1. There are some obvious inconsistencies; however, generally the major differences result from either the degree of conservativeness employed or from design detail deltas. For example, the antenna power distribution (.963 vs .932) and ground interface differences (.97 vs .936). By examining details of the Rockwell efficiency chain dc-dc converters (or dc-ac converters) with an efficiency factor of 0.96 combined with switchgear and feeder losses produce an efficiency factor of 0.932 for antenna power distribution and 0.936 for the ground interface compared to 0.963 and 0.97 in the reference configuration. It is obvious that the differences reflect both design details (switchgear arrangements) and degree of conservatism (selection of dc-dc conversion efficiencies).



Figure 2.2-1. Efficiency Chain - Rotating Antenna and Ground Reception



Overall system efficiency is taken to be the product of the end to end efficiency factors shown, with the exception that for the Rockwell alternative the summer solstice factor is not included in the overall efficiency. That is to say, effect of summer solstice are taken into account in sizing the solar array in that the solar input value used in the sizing is the summer solstice value, i.e., 1319.5 watts/m^2 . An alternative (simplified) method that might be used for calculating overall efficiency is to take the ratio of total delivered power at the utility interface and total intercepted solar power. Using this ratio the Rockwell alternative GaAs configuration overall efficiency is 5.6%. This ratio does provide an indication of configuration plan area effectiveness in addition to end to end efficiency factors.

The difference in seasonal variations are the result of design approaches. Attitude control and stationkeeping concepts that were studied by Rockwell in Exhibit A/B employed a Y-POP reference orientation with collector cosine losses up to 9% resulting from $\pm 23.5^{\circ}$ seasonal inclination. Analysis, during the Exhibit C study, showed that a significant advantage can be realized if the spacecraft is pointed more directly toward the sun. A roll angle of 9.0° can be realized with no propellant penalty or loss of control authority. Essentially, this is achievable by simultaneously countering the large gravity gradient torques and the solar pressure forces through use of the thrusters at one end of the spacecraft. With this bank angle, the collector seasonal variation factor (cosine loss) is reduced from 0.91 to 0.968.

2.2.2 CONDUCTOR WEIGHT

A number of power distribution configurations have been reviewed. Of the configurations reviewed, two were selected for which power distribution masses were calculated. The evaluated are: (1) end mounted antenna and (2) center mounted antenna (Figure 2.2-2).

Analysis

The electrical power distribution subsystem is configuration dependent. Basic elements of the solar array power distribution subsystem are: secondary feeders, main feeders, summing bus, tie bar and insulation. Similarly basic elements of the antenna are risers, summing bus, main feeders and secondary feeders. An examination of the formulae for calculating the conductor weight shows that the weight is increased by the square of the length of the conductor. The formula for determining the conductor cross section is:

$$A = \frac{\gamma IL}{\Delta V}$$

The formula for weight is:

 $\omega = \rho AL$

Where A = cross section area I = amperes flowing γ = resistivity of wire L = length of conductor





Figure 2.2-2. 3-Trough Coplanar Configurations

 $\Delta V = voltage drop in conductor$ $\omega = \text{conductor mass}$ $\rho = \text{density}$ Therefore $= \frac{\gamma IL}{\Delta V}(\rho L) = \frac{\rho \gamma IL^2}{\Delta V}$

It is seen that conductor mass varies as the square of its length. Examining the main elements of the power distribution subsystem it appears that the main feeders, tie bars and risers are impacted the most by a long, narrow configuration; while the summing bus favors a narrow configuration. The secondary feeders are not impacted to large extent by the aspect ratio of the configuration. The insulation weight is impacted by both the length of the conductor and its shape, i.e., flat or round. Round conductors require less insulation, being more compact. The round conductor also will have the lesser cooling surface for passive cooling, therefore, will become hotter, or require a greater cross section to operate at the same temperature.

Trades

Table 2.2-1 illustrates the weight differences of a long narrow (3×10 bay) versus a short-narrow (2-3×5 bay) configuration. Secondary support structure is directly effected by the amount of conductors (length) to be supported. The antenna configuration is the same for either candidate reviewed. It is seen that the summing busses, tie bars and secondary feeders are approximately the same. The desired trade-off is to design toward the array with a small aspect ratio.

		END-MO	JNTED AN	TENNA		CENTER-MOUNTED ANTENNA*					
CONDUCTORS	VOLTAGE DROP	CURRENT (AMPS)	LENGTH (M)	CROSS SECTION AREA,CM ²	TOTAL WEIGHT KG×10 ⁶	VOLTAGE DROP	CURRENT (AMPS)	LENGTH (M)	CROSS SECTION AREA, CM ²	TOTAL WEIGHT (KG)	
SECONDARY FEEDERS	250	306	750	0.2596	0.076	250	306	750	0.2596	0.076	
MAIN FEEDER 1	1550	7258	15,300	20.26	0.502	1550	7258	6800	8.916	0.196	
2			13,700	18.14	0.403		·	5100	6.684	0.110	
3			12,100	16.02	0.314			3400	4.446	0.449	
4			10,500	13.91	0.237			1700	2.228	0.012	
5			8,900	11.79	0.170	1550	7258	50	0.066	0.001	
6		-	7,300	9.67	0.114						
· 7			5,700	7.55	0.070						
8			4,100	5.43	0.036						
· 9			2,500	3.31	0.013						
10	1550	7258	900	1.19	0.002						
SUMMING BUS	800	108,870	3,250	125.08	0.220	800	54,436	3400	51,96	0.226	
TIE BUS	150	36,290	850	5.82	0.205	150	18,145	1000	34.21	0.222	
INSULATION					0.036				-	0.015	

Table 2.2-1. Comparison Principal Elements 3×10 Bay versus 2-3×5 Bay Coplanar Configuration

*EACH OF TWO IDENTICAL SOLAR WINGS

2-24

SSD 79-0010-2-1

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group

Rockwell International



2.2.3 POWER DISTRIBUTION TECHNOLOGY

An industry survey of power distribution technology was made to determine what the present and future development endeavors are within the industry to extend today's state of the art (SOA) for high voltage dc to dc converters and switchgear. The companies contacted are listed in Table 2.2-2. Problems and possible solutions were discussed with each company representative. Data on eight dc-dc converter systems were obtained and taken to be representative. The specific weight (kg/kW) for these eight systems are (Figure 2.2-3): (1) 37 watt, dc/dc converter, stripped down, unable to operate in a stand alone mode; (2) 250 watt, dc/dc converter, 20-80 volt dc input; (3) 1200 watt, dc/dc converter, 28 volt dc input; (4) 1500 watt, inverter operating at 20 kHz; (5) 2400 watt, dc/dc converter, 28 volt dc input; (6) 25 kW, extrapolation of TRW unit from (5); (7) 200 kW, dc/ac/dc power conditioner for dc motor drive, 600-900 volt dc input; and (8) 1.44 GW, dc high voltage transmission link, 800 kV, 1800 amps. A projection of technology gain was made based on these system data. The projections included gains from: (1) increase in operating frequency; (2) increase in efficiency; and (3) reduction in cooling system requirements. Equivalent size and mass reductions were calculated and a second, independent technology gain projected. The initial mass allocation of the system concepts are:

Magnetics	35%
Transformers	(25%)
Inductors	(10%)
Semiconductors and Mtg.	20%
Cooling system	30%
Mechanical	15%
Total	100%

Table 2.2-2. Companies Surveyed for Power Distribution Technology Assessment

SWITCH GEAR
ITT-JENNINGS - SAN JOSE, CA TRW - REDONDO BEACH, CA CUTLER-HAMMER - LOS ANGELES, CA WESTINGHOUSE - LIMA, OHIO WESTINGHOUSE - PITTSBURGH, PA HUGHES-RESEARCH - MALIBU, CA AIR RESEARCH - TORRANCE, CA RCA - MORRISTOWN, N.J. COMMONWEALTH EDISON - LOS ANGELES, CA HARTMAN ENGINEERING - LOS ANGELES, CA





Figure 2.2-3. Current dc Converter Technology Status

In this way a technology goal for 1988 was established at 0.5 kg/kW and 97% converter efficiency. Based on todays state of art for a 250 kW dc converter at 96% efficiency we would expect to be able to achieve 0.96 kg/kW using available 200-400 V dc semiconductors, 25 kHz switching, and heat pipe cooling. By 1983 it is expected that higher frequency (500-100 kHz) can be achieved and by reconfiguration of the transformers, semiconductor higher voltage ratings, improved power gain, and complementary high efficiency drive techniques a specific weight of 0.7069 kg/kW can be achieved. By 1988, improved power semiconductors (transistors, SCR's, power FETS), increased transformer and inductor performance, and new core materials, new conductors and insulation techniques will result in further improvements to the 0.5 kg/kW goal.

Specific weight projection for dc converters as a function of size is shown in Figure 2.2-4. The base case, 432 mW, 400 Hz and 1000 volts (point 1), was provided by Westinghouse as part of the Exhibit A/B effort. The solid curve is plotted using data adjusted for 100 kHz frequency and using a scaling relationship¹ (Po/P).⁷⁵. The dashed curve was drawn taking existing dc converter masses and extrapolating to the 432 MW, 100 kHz base case. The Rockwell mass statement uses 0.197 kg/kW for the dc converter weight (point 2).

Technology Weight Impacts

A mass comparison was made using the projected specific weight (0.197 kg/kW) and estimated 1988 specific weight (0.5 kg/kW) to show dc converter technology

¹Westinghouse Report LY20686, December 1977





Figure 2.2-4. Dc-dc Converter Specific Weight Projections

mass impact. The requirements for voltage conversion and regulation are listed in Table 2.2-3 along with the calculated mass for the items affected, i.e., main conductors, voltage converters, slip rings and brushes. A number of configuration options are shown: (1) 40 kV direct - provide the 40 kV voltage requirement direct from the solar array; (2) 40 kV, 32 kV direct - provide both 40 kV and 32 kV direct from the solar array; and (3) all klystron volt direct - provide all klystron voltages direct from the array. Note: The initial Rockwell baseline resulting from Exhibit A/B study provided all klystron voltages from dc converters. An examination of Table 2.2-3 shows total mass ranging between 3.505×10^6 kg and 7.081×10^6 kg. The heavier specific weight would necessitate design changes moving towards dedicated solar array voltages to provide the klystron voltages directly from the array and elimination of dc converter requirements.

Switchgear

Satellite switchgear requirements are tabulated in Table 2.2-4 giving candidate sizes and number of each required in the satellite power distribution subsystem. The specific weight shown (0.00682 kg/kW) is a design goal; however, current studies indicate it is attainable. The kg/kW is the ratio of the mass of the switchgear to the product of the voltage and current handled by it.

DECHATC



Table 2.2-3. Technology Weight Impacts (dc-dc Converter)

							10.0	mis		
			CONF	GURATIO	N OPTIONS				SP W	/T KW
ITEM	REF WT	1988 WTS	40 KV DIRECT	40 KV, 32 KV DIRECT	ALL KLYSTRON VOLT DIRECT	VOLTAGE 40 KV 32	POWER	QUANT	.1971	.5
MAIN CONDUCTORS	1,902	1.902	1,902	1.928	3.345	24 16 8	50.47 MW		17 11 16	H 11 11
	1.74	4.413	3.229	2.422	- 468	LV	.2 KW 1,62 KW	8,547 135,864]	3.4 2.1
REGULATORS	.048	.238	.400	.400	.238	REGULATORS ±1%	3.5 KW	135,864	.1	.5
SLIP RINGS	.043	.043	.043	.086	.215	*REFERENCE WEIGHTS - 2ND QUARTERLY **EST. 1988 WEIGHTS				
BRUSHES	.017	.017	.017	.084	.085					
SUBTOTAL	3.929	7.081	5.897	5.176	4,351					

WEIGHT IMPACT COMPARISONS (10⁶ KG)

Table 2.2-4. Satellite Switchgear Requirements

i. D.	VOLTAGE (kV)	CURRENT (A)	POWER (MW)	QUANTITY	WEIGHT (@.00682 kg/kW)
ON ARRAY	22.85 22.85 45.7	290 580 580	6.61 13.22 26.44	360 ` 330 ` 360	16, 229 29, 753 64, 915
1	43.1 2.285	7258 290	312.8 0.618	30 720	64,000 3,035
SUBTOTAL					177, 932
ON ANTENNA	45 45 45 45 45 45 40 40 40 40 8	6, 500 6, 407 2, 430 6, 077 9, 722 12, 150 75 50 10 0, 0125	279 264 100 250 400 500 3 2 0,35 0,001	32 32 16 20 30 274 1,278 6,993 135,864	60, 889 57, 528 10, 912 27, 280 54, 560 102, 300 7, 407 19, 576 16, 693 927
TOTALS				146, 355	536, 004

Two major options were considered for switching high current and high voltage power: (1) forced commutation - solid state or mechanical breakers; and (2) cross field interruptors - mechanical breakers. Arc suppression across the metalic switch contacts of switchgear for dc voltages above 50 volts is the principle problem. Solid state devices, i.e., power transistors and SCR's used in various combinations, have no arcing problem; however, development programs to extend this technology to high dc voltages (40 kV range) do not appear encouraging. Electromechanical switches of various designs seem to be the most plausible approach. The cross field discharge tube technique appears to be the most viable approach to meet the SPS mass and efficiency design goals.

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group



Rockwell International

The Rockwell alternative SPS concept has as its baseline the cross field switchgear with a specific weight of 0.00682 kg/kW and an efficiency of 99.9%. The Rockwell industry survey reinforced our conviction that these goals can be met. Hughes Research Laboratory at Malibu, California concurred with these goals based on extensive work done on ground equipment. Westinghouse projected an order of magnitude improvement to the mass goal (Exhibit A/B subcontracted effort).

The cross field interruptors operate by using the arc voltage to drive a magnetic field tube. This creates an ionization (plasma path) for the current flow (parallel path). This field is then removed and the interrupted current is directed into resistor components. The power circuit schematic for this concept is shown in Figure 2.2-5. The figure illustrates each event as a function of interrupt time. The current is being commuted from the contacts of the electromechanical switch to the cross field interrupter tube and finally to the resistor to complete the current limiting sequence in about 1.3 milliseconds. About 2 kilowatts of power is required for the magnetic field after the fault is initiated.



Figure 2.2-5. Cross Field Discharge Tube Operation

This device has been developed for terrestrial use and applied to a number of high dc voltage applications as listed in Table 2.2-5. At the Calham Laboratory the cross field interrupter is to give high voltage protection to an



CUSTOMER	APPLICATION	VOLTAGE	CURRENT	POWER
CULHAM LABORATORY Abingdon,uk	HIGH VOLTAGE SERIES PROTECTION OF A MEGAWATT NEUTRAL INJECTOR IN OPERATION 8 MONTHS	120 KV	40A •	4 8 MW
ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE,PALO ALTO, CA	HIGH VOLTAGE D.C. CIRCUIT BREAKER DEVELOPED FOR POWER SYSTEM EQUIPMENT SUPPORTED BY THE NATIONS ELECTRIC UTILITIES. TESTED AT SYLMAR SUCCESSFULLY.	92 KV	620A	57 Q4 MW
AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER SERVICE CORP N.Y., N.Y.	INSTALLED MUSKINGUM RIVER, OHIO A.C. CURRENT LIMITING IN 9 78 (EXPERIMENTAL TESTING)	(A.C.) 138 KV RMS	_4000A	552 MW
ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE,PALO ALTO, CA	BEING INSTALLED AT CELILO. NORTHERN TERMINAL PACIFIC HV-D.C. INTERTIE METALLIC RETURN TRANSFER BREAKER	60 KV	1000A	80 MW

Table 2.2-5. Hughes Research Laboratories Crossed-Field Interrupter Developments

injector system. The interrupter was stressed to 150 kV dc on a low level interruption test and installed for testing a 120 kV/2-4 megawatt dc supply connection to the injector test line. Both tests were successful. Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) successfully tested the crossed-field interruptor at the Sylmar Substation as a circuit breaker on the Pacific high voltage dc intertie. The crossed-field tube is being installed at the Northern Terminal Pacific inter-tie as a transfer breaker in a metal return interrupter.

A major sensitivity of the switchgear design is its component efficiency. The present system efficiency chain has fourteen switchgears required in the chain shown in Figure 2.2-6. (Note: There are three additional switchgears on the solar array for a total of 17.) A slight change in the switch efficiency has a significant impact on end to end efficiencies. For example, if the switch-gear efficiency reduces from 99.9% to 99.5% this has the following impact: $n_{SG} = .999^{14} = .986$ (reference case) compared to $.995^{14} = .932$.

2.2.4 SOLID STATE ANTENNA POWER DISTRIBUTION

An alternate approach to a solid state antenna design was evaluated taking advantage of advanced technology for lightweight dc converters as projected in Figure 2.2-4. Individual power modules of 52 kilowatts each were clustered and combined as shown in Figure 2.2-7 with central dc converters sized to provide from 14.4 megawatts to 120 megawatts to each cluster. At these large sizes, lower specific weights are projected for the converters. With this approach it is possible to make a direct comparison with the klystron antenna design.

A two step converter design concept was assumed with the second section providing the 100 V dc output. The front section was estimated to be equivalent (i.e., no heavier) than that of the klystron dc converters and the output section (low voltage section) estimated to increase overall converter mass by 38.6%, i.e., inductors 10%, semiconductors 10%, cooling system 13.6% and mechanical 5%. The new specific weight for the projected advanced dc converter was thereby taken as .273 kg/kW. (Note: Compared to .197 kg/kW in the klystron case.)



Figure 2.2-6. System Efficiency Chain - Photovoltaic (CR-2)

a star

Rockwell International

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group





Figure 2.2-7. Solid State Antenna Power Distribution Concept

A comparison between the power distribution subsystem mass for solid state concept options and the klystron reference concept is given in Table 2.2-6. For the first option, (100 V dc, 2% secondary feeder, 82% dc-RF option) a major weight penalty is shown for antenna module cabling [1.66×10⁶ kg versus .131×10⁶ kg (reference)] because of the low distribution voltage, i.e., 100 V dc. The second and third options illustrate approaches to reduce this penalty: (1) increase the allowable voltage drop from 2% to 7%; and (2) raise the distribution voltage from 100 V dc to 200 V dc. Raising the distribution voltage necessitates a series-parallel arrangement for the transistor devices. It was estimated that this would result in a drop in dc-RF efficiency from 82% to 78%. There are further trade offs between series-paralleling and large distribution voltage drop allowances. If, as a result of series-paralleling, dc-RF conversion efficiency drops below 78% then it would favor a larger voltage drop. The data shown in Table 2.2-6 indicates that solid state could be implemented at a power distribution mass penalty (compared to the reference klystron design) of probably less than 2×10⁶ kg.

2.2.5 ELECTRIC ORBIT TRANSFER VEHICLE (EOTV) POWER DISTRIBUTION.

The power distribution subsystem (PDS) mass comparisons for the different EOTV configurations that were studied are shown in Figure 2.2-8. A solar array voltage output of +2080 V dc was taken as the upper limit for power generation to stay within tolerable plasma power losses for low earth orbit operations. The 2080 V dc distribution results in the lowest mass concept and a power distribution subsystem mass of 288,440 kg. This configuration assumes a direct energy transfer of power from the solar arrays to the ion engines which are located at the four corners. This weight was calculated for a distribution



		CONCEPT OPTIONS (SOLID STATE)						
ITEM	KLYSTRON REFERENCE 85% dc-RF	100 VDC 2% SEC-FEEDERS 82% dc-RF	100 VDC 7% SEC. FEEDERS 82% dc-RF	200 VDC 2% SEC FEEDERS 78% dc-RF				
MAIN FEEDERS SECONDARY FEEDERS SUMMARY BUS TIE BAR INSULATION SWITCH GEAR REG & CONVERTERS ROTARY JOINT • AC THRUSTER CABL'G BATTERY SUPPORT STRUCT	1.860 .076 .219 .160 .047 .178 .009 .043 .0053 .006 .254	3.095	•3.254	3 254				
SUB NON RETATING	2 986	3.095	3.254	3 254				
CABLE FROM TOR TO AMT SUMMARY BUS ANTENNA CABLES ANTENNA MODULE CABLE SWITCH GEAR POT JOINT DC CONVERTERS INSULATION SUPPORT STRUCTURE SUBTOTAL ROTATING TOTAL PDS SOLAR ARRAY/REFLECTOR	1.29 .095 832 .131 .358 .017 1.543 .189 .450 4.955 7.941 7.782	1.295 .098 .486 1.66 .371 .0176 2.099 .299 .632 6.957 10.052 8.06	1.362 .103 .511 .475 .390 .0185 1.192 .315 .536 5.902 9.156 8.521	1 362 .103 .511 .415 .390 .0185 2.192 .315 .530 5.836 9.09 8.521				
TOTALS	15.723	18.112	17.677	17 611				

Table 2.2-6. Power Distribution Mass Comparison ~ Klystron and Solid State Concepts

efficiency of 94% (i.e., 6% line loss). The power distribution subsystem calculations ranged to 632,900 kg. A negative voltage system was then compared to show impact of higher voltage. A negative 6300 volts was selected for this purpose since this is the second voltage requirement of the EOTV thruster system. This concept requires power conditioning at each thruster to provide the high voltage dc (~1950 V) that is required by the engines. Note that the major power requirement is high voltage dc (~95% of the total engine power). The silicon solar array system was compared at the -6300 V dc since this represented the lowest mass approach that was studied. The comparison showed a power distribution subsystem of 307,090 kg using silicon solar cells compared to 229,550 kg (GaAs), i.e., a mass increase of ~33%. The +2080 volt direct energy transfer concept is the recommended approach even though it is slightly higher in mass since it minimizes the requirement for major power conditioning. The -6300 V dc system is lower in mass but there is a major issue in that the system may be susceptable to arcing problems in the low earth orbit plasma environment.

The solar array voltages must be kept as high as possible to reduce the wiring mass penalties, yet, power loss by current leaking through the surrounding plasma must be at an acceptable level. There is no significant flight test

EOTV CONFIGURATIOŅ	1300M - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -						
CELL MAT'L CR TRANS. VOLTAGE PANEL CONFIG.	GaAs — 2 – +2080V — SPLIT 2 PANELS	SPLIT 4 PANELS	SPLIT 4 PANELS	SPLIT 2 PANELS	SPLIT 4 PANELS	-6300V SPLIT 2 PANELS	SILICON 1 -6300V SPLIT 4 PANELS
WEIGHTS (10 ⁶ KG) INTERTIES MAIN FEEDERS SUMMING BUS TIE BARS SW GEARS POWER CONDIT. INSUL. SEC. STRUCT.	221,940 144,520 177,550 24,660 2,290 - 4,400 57,540	67,260 119,230 44,390 24,660 2,290 - 4,400 26,220	177,550 57,810 177,550 24,660 2,290 - 4,400 44,200	177,550 57,810 177,550 24,660 2,290 - 4,400 44,430	177,500 57,810 55,490 24,660 2,290 - 4,400 3,220	19,540 22,850 68,800 8,140 9,460 75,490 4,400 20,870	19,540 83,740 68,800 8,140 7,310 75,490 16,150 27,920
TOTAL	632,900	288,440	486,180	488,690	354,420	229,550	307,090

2-34

SSD 79-0010-2-1

TRATES EFF 92" , 94 94 , LACTOR 258 1 0 1 319

Figure 2.2-8. EOTV Power Distribution and Control Weight Comparisons


data available on plasma-current leakage. Planned experiments aboard the SPHINX satellite (February 1974) were lost due to a launch failure.

Plasma loss estimates used in the study were taken from different sources. A summary is shown in Table 2.2-7. The positive arrays have a greater leakage power compared to negative arrays by a factor of 43:1 (square root of electron to proton mass). Experiments conducted at NASA Lewis Research Center have shown arcing problems with negative arrays for relatively low voltages ~500 Volts. However, the explanation is not clear and therefore because of their lighter mass the negative systems should not be ruled out. The power loss was found to be proportionally smaller for larger arrays; however, it is expected that this proportionality saturates in the order of several thousand square meters. The present data is not adequate to accurately predict plasma power loss. This is clearly an issue that needs to be resolved and may require large array tests in space.

•	KENNERUD	GUIDICI	GUIDICI	KENNERUD	CONSERVATIVE
ALTITUDE	150 m ² ARRAY	(+5000_VOLTS)	(+2000 VOLTS)	28x10 ⁶ m ² ARRAY	ESTIMATES(ROCKWELL
(km)	(+2000 VOLTS)	(28x10 ⁶ m ²)	(28x106 m ²)	(+2000 VOLTS)	(+2000 VOLTS, 28x10 ⁰ m ²)
200 ்	67%	29.4%	11.8%	17%	17%
500	20%	14. 4%	5,99% .	5%	6%
1,000	5.7%	1.67%	0, 695%	1.4%	1.4%
10,000	0.5%	0.0025%	0.001%	0.13%	0.13%
GEO	0.13%	-	-	0. 03%	0.03%

Table 2.2-7. Plasma Loss Estimate for Solar Array

The simplified block diagram of Figure 2.2-9 illustrates the EOTV power distribution interfaces for the GaAs solar photovoltaic concept. The distribution subsystem consists of inter-ties, main feeders, summing bus; tie bar, switchgears, and dc converters. The solar arrays feed the load buses utilizing a direct energy transfer approach. Provisions are included with the PDS to switch power from any bus to any thruster location. The basic voltages supplied are +2080 V dc and -6300 V dc. Individual power supplies are to be included as required at the thrusters to supply any other voltages.



2-36

USS

79-0010-2-1



Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group





2.3 STRUCTURES

There were two areas of investigation in the structures trade study: Rockwell SPS microwave antenna concept involving use of a hexagonal rigid frame (compression frame); and NASTRAN structural analysis of thermal effects to compare aluminum with composite materials for structural members.

2.3.1 SPS MICROWAVE ANTENNA TENSION WEB - COMPRESSION FRAME CABLE CONCEPT

The Rockwell SPS satellite microwave antenna concept that was evaluated and compared to the space frame involves the use of a hexagonal rigid frame (compression frame) to which is attached an adjustable cable system. The cable must support the slotted antenna panels within acceptable optical tolerances. These antenna design requirements include:

- 1. Flat uniform surfaces to within 0.08 degree slope variation over the total diameter.
- Flatness mismatch between mechanical modules (30.62 m by 34.92 m) may not exceed 1/10 wavelength.
- 3. Distance between mechanical modules to be 1/10 wavelength.

Antenna Concept Description

The antenna outer frame (Figure 2.3-1) is a hexagon-shaped platform and rigid-frame structure with a cross section of a 50 m tribeam truss longeron. The base of the tribeam truss is on the interior face of the hexagonal frame which permits attachment of the slotted antenna panel cable support system.

The cable support system is a three layer cable array. The mid-plane cable array (shown in Figure 2.3-2) is a set of crossed cables, forming 30.62 m by 34.92 m rectangles, that are pretensioned and attached to a hexagonal shaped perimeter cable which is pretensioned and attached to the corners of the exterior located hexagonal-shaped, rigid frame structure. The mid-plane cable array pretension is reacted by compression in the outer, rigid frame. The size of cables and frame structure is defined by the amount of pretension applied. A small ring loop is loosely wrapped around each mid-plane cable crossing and permits in-plane relative cable motion but prevents normal to plane relative cable motion. The mid-plane cable array overall flatness is obtained by using three (every other one) of the six pretension attachments to the outer hexagonal frame as plane reference points (3 points determine a plane). The alternate three frame-attachment cables are aligned to the reference plane using laser optics. The panels of the phased-array antenna are connected to the mid-plane cable system with temperature-expansion-compensation fittings near cable crossings.

The accuracy of modern laser optical systems used as a metrology standard are two orders of magnitude more precise than the wavefront in-plane phase requirements of the SPS slotted antenna. Capstan actuator response rates can be readily isolated from the two cycle per day distortional input and readily provide true relative repositioning of all six cable support points.





Figure 2.3-1. Antenna Plane View Schematic



Figure 2.3-2. Antenna Section A-A Schematic

The mid-plane cable array behaves similar to a thin, flat membrane, such as a trampoline, to force fields applied normal to the plane of the array. Small deflections from flatness produce large forces in the cables. This deflection/force sensitivity can be reduced several orders-of-magnitude by placing a cable system front and rear of the mid-plane array and attaching these cable systems to the mid-plane array by support cables that are perpendicular and attach to the antenna panels near crossed-cable intersections of the midplane array (Figure 2.3-3). The cable systems each side of the mid-plane form deep curves similar to suspension bridge cable systems. The entire cable system is able to carry large mid-plane forces at low values of deflection. The overall appearance of the three cable arrays is thick near the outer hexagon frame and thin at the center of the antenna, similar to a negative meniscus lens used in optical systems (Figure 2.3-4).

Rockwell International



Figure 2.3-4. Three Membrane Negative Lens Concept



The perpendicular support cables perform the following functions:

- Provide a normal force load path interconnection among all three cable arrays;
- Provide adjustment of pretension forces in the perpendicular cable system;
- Provide precise position adjustment of local zones of the microwave multiple-panel, phased-array antenna surface.

Each corner of the antenna 30.62-m by 34.92-m microwave antenna panels is connected to the mid-plane cable array close to the cable crossings (Figure 2.3-3). At operating temperatures the panel is slightly smaller in planform than the space formed by the crossed cables of the mid-plane array. The panelto-cable attach fittings are slotted to permit inplane thermal expansion of antenna panels and mid-plane cables but prevent normal to mid-plane relative motion between cables and panels. Since thermal expansion is compensated for between individual panels and adjacent support cables, panel-to-panel thermal expansion problems are avoided.

A capstan pulley assembly is mounted near or on only one of the four antennapanel-to-mid-plane-cable attach fittings. The capstan assembly performs the following functions:

- Stores the perpendicular support cables prior to panel installation;
- Provides the pretensioning force to the support cable after panel installation;
- Provides mid-plane precise position adjustment of the antenna panel.

The support cable is connected to the antenna panel rather than the mid-plane cable crossing because of insufficient space between panels and cables due to the 1/10 wavelength panel-to-panel tolerance. The panel-to-cable attach fittings that have capstans are mounted as close as possible to the mid-plane cable crossing. The perpendicular cable for practical purposes is attached to the mid-plane cable intersection. Therefore, one support cable and capstan assembly per antenna panel is sufficient to provide normal-to-plane adjustment to each cable crossing of the mid-plane cable array. Perimeter antenna panels will require additional cable/capstan assemblies according to the number of exterior panel corners involved. The cable may be fabricated from metallic or nonmetallic structural material.

Cables located in the antenna radiation field, including the perpendicular support cables, should not interfere or distort transmitted radiation patterns.

The cable support system is exposed to heat sources such as: solar, earth albedo, electrical conductor, and transmitter panel power amplifier waste heat. The resulting cable system temperature profile is non-uniform depending mainly on local conditions along each cable. A mid-plane cable will probably be reasonably cool near the hexagon, perimeter support cable and significantly



hotter near the antenna center. The slotted fittings that attach the 30.62-m by 34.92-m antenna panels to the mid-plane, cross-cable system, the crossedcable ring ties and the cross-cables are anti-friction coated (non-volatile dry lubricant or low friction plating) to permit the mid-plane cables to freely expand and contract between hexagon perimeter support cables. Pretension in the crossed cables should include thermal expansion effects. Since the midplane cables are very long, they behave as springs to the pretension forces. Random heating and cooling along the crossed-cables will cancel most of the thermal expansion anomalies. However, pretension in all three hexagon-perimetercables is maintained near constant values by the constant-tension properties of the attachment to the corners of the rigid frame structure. The sag of the hexagon-perimeter-cables will change at constant tension and tends to maintain constant pretension in the entire cable system.

Laser optics maintain a check of the antenna radiation surface flatness and support frame shape. The received earth-to-SPS master phase control signal provides a standard for measuring SPS radiated beam wavefront quality. Tension sensors, laser optics and master signal data may be used to control both mechanical and electrical systems, as required, to maintain proper earth pointing and wavefront control of the transmitted power beam.

Impacts of Antenna Structure Concept on Satellite System

The major impacts on the satellite that result from the use of a tension web (compression frame) antenna structure when compared to the reference space frame are on: mass, assembly time, and material selection. The tension web provides a lightweight, easily assembled configuration and permits the use of low temperature composites. This configuration is a flat thermally "stiff" surface but relatively complex since it relies on a cable system which must be adjustable and/or self-compensating for effects of temperature variations. The antenna dynamics are still a major concern with this concept and require more detailed analysis to understand their impacts.

The antenna structure mass comparison is shown in Figure 2.3-5 for a 1 km diameter microwave antenna. The tension web mass, 0.067×10^6 kg, represents a lighter structure but when compared to the space frame, 0.250×10^6 kg, the difference is not thought to be significant considering a total antenna mass of ~14.5×10⁶ kg.

A further resolution of the antenna configuration issue (tension web versus space frame structural design) requires a more detailed structural dynamics analysis (NASTRAN) which must be combined with subsystem evaluations for configuration control, and microwave interactions.

2.3.2 NASTRAN STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS

The Rockwell 3-trough SPS design configuration (preliminary baseline concept resulting from Exhibit A/B study) was modeled using the substructuring features of NASTRAN; and the model was used to run three cases for investigating the thermal effects for two construction materials, aluminum and graphite. The results of the study indicated: (1) that the deflections for aluminum





Figure 2.3-5. Antenna Structure Mass Comparison

material are several orders of magnitude larger than for graphite material; (2) the stress magnitude for aluminum material runs three times (or more) larger than for graphite material; (3) pretensioning of the X-bracing for the aluminum (80 KSI) material is four times as high as for the graphite material (20 KSI); and (4) additions and modifications to the NASTRAN program are required to provide more efficient tool for stress/dynamic analysis of SPS structural systems. The high stress values in the aluminum material exceeded the crippling allowables for the cap element and will result in a gauge size increase (from the assumed 10 mil minimum gauge) leading to a larger structural weight for the SPS structure.

Structural Model

The Rockwell 3-trough design configuration, shown previously in Figure 1.1-1, was used for the study of the thermal effects versus material selection for the SPS structural system. The configuration was modeled using the substructuring features of NASTRAN and used the 50-meter tribeam, stabilized by X-tension braces, as the main beam element for the make-up of the substructures. The build-up of the SPS structural system from the substructures is shown in Figure 2.3-6 and is depicted through the use of CRT printouts. The right-hand wing is a combination of three translated Substructure No. 1 units. Similarly, the left-hand wing is a combination of three Substructure No. 4 units.





Figure 2.3-6. SPS Computer Program Structural Model

combination of the center section, Substructure No. 2, and the antenna and rotating ring, Substructure No. 3. Finally, the center section, the left-hand wing, and right-hand wing are combined to form the SPS structural model.

For the study, three cases were investigated considering two basic construction materials, metal (aluminum) and advanced composites (graphite/polysulfone). Case 1 assumes aluminum as the proposed construction material, with steel wire X-tension bracings and no prestressing of the X-bracings; Case 2 used graphite/ polysulfone as the beam material and graphite for the X-bracings, with initial prestress of 137 MPa (20 KSI); and Case 3 is the same as Case 1, except the X-braces have a prestress of 552 MPa (80 KSI). The pretension of the X-tension braces for Cases 2 and 3 was selected on the criteria that the braces were not to have compressive loads under the thermal and loading environment.

Structural Sectional and Material Properties

The basic structural element for the SPS structure is the 50-meter tribeam element, as shown in Figure 2.3-7, and is used throughout the structure with the exception of the antenna frame where a 30-meter tribeam element is used. The subelement makeup of the 30- and 50-meter beams are depicted in Figure 2.3-8. The sectional properties for the elements are listed in Table 2.3-1.

The material properties for the elements are a function of temperature. Table 2.3-2 lists the material properties at selected temperatures [room temperature, 177°C (composites) and 150°C (aluminum)]. The crippling stress of the cap element, not shown, is calculated to be 5800 psi at room temperature.



Figure 2.3-7. SPS Structural Cross-Sections



2-44

SSD 79-0010-2-1





Figure 2.3-8. Tribeam Structure Composition

2-45

SSD 79-0010-2-1





Rockwell International

•

ALUMINUM (2014-T6) MATERIAL PROPERTIES

ALLOWABLE STRENGTH	
8 2 8 2 r 4.5×10 N/M 2.41×10 N/M tu (65 KSI) (35 KSI) 8 2 8 2 r 3.93×10 N/M 2.0×10 N/M ty (57 KSI) (29 KSI) 8 r 8 2 8 r 1.72×10 N/M	
cy (58 KSI) (25 KSI)	
10 2 HODULUS OF ELASTICITY 7.24 x 10 N/H 6.83 x 10 ¹⁰ N/H (10.5 HSI) (9.9 HSI) (9.9 HSI) (9.10 HSI) (10.10 HSI) <td></td>	
POISSON'S RATIO . 0,33 0,33	
DENSITY 2796 KG/H ³ 2796 KG/H ³ (.101 LBS/IN ³) (.101 LBS/IN ³)	
COEFFICIENT OF THERHAL EXPANSION $22.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M/M}/^{\circ}\text{C}$ $23.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M/M}/^{\circ}$ (12.4 $\times 10^{-6} \text{ In/IN/°f})$ (13.0 $\times 10^{-6} \text{ IN/IN}/^{\circ}$	/*C /*F)

GRAPHITE COMPOSITE MATERIAL PROPERTIES

Phope 01 -	ROOH MERPELATURE	[17*C (350*F)
ALLOWABLE STRENGTH		
tu F X	6 2 4.27 π 10 Ν/Η (62 KS1)	8 2 3.59 x 10 N/H (52 KS1)
cu F X	82 3.93 x 10 N/H (57 KSI)	8 2 2,28 x 10 N/H (33 KSI)
84 7 XY	B 2 1.55 x 10 N/H (23 KSI)	B 2 1.17 x 10 H/H (17 KSI)
HODULII OF ELASTICITY	10 2	10 2
E X	9.65 x 10 N/H (14 HS1)	9.52 x 10 H/H (13.8 HSI)
E Y	2.76 x 10 N/H (4 HST)	2.41 x 10 H/H (3 5 H5T)
SHEAR HODULUS	10 2	10 2
G XY	2.41 x 10 N/H (3.5 HSI)	2.34 x 10 N/M (3.4 MSI)
POISSON'S RATIOS		
× XY	, 72	.80
DENSITY	3 1550 kg/m (.057 lbs/in)	3 1550 KG/M (.056 LBS/1N)
COFFFICIENT OF THERMAL EXPANSION MAN/*C	-6 .18 X 10	-6 .18 X 10
FIBER VOLUNE V	, 60	.60 '

TENSION THE MATERIAL PROPERTIES

PROPERTY	GRAPHITE	SPRINC WIRE 302 CRES
ULTIMATE TENSILE STRENGTH	16.5 x 10 ⁸ N/H ² (240 KST)	17.6 x 10 ⁸ N/M ² (255 KS1)
MODULUS OF ELASTICITY	2.34 x 10 ¹¹ N/H ² (34 HSI)	1.95 x 10 ¹¹ H/H ² (28.2 HSI)
DENSITY	1800 KG/M ³ (065 LBS/IN ³)	7920 KG/H ³ (.286 LBS/IN ³)
COEFFICIENT OF THERMAL EXPANSION	-0.4 x 10 ⁻⁶ H/H/*C (-0.22 x 10 ⁻⁶ 1N/IN/*F)	14.4 x 10 ⁻⁶ M/M/*C (8.0 x 10 ⁻⁶ IN/IN/*F)



Rockwell

2-47

.

SSD 79-0010-2-1



Thermal Profiles

The temperatures of the main structural elements for the composite and aluminum materials are given in Figure 2.3-9. The end of life temperatures were used and represent the most severe case. Figure 2.3-10 gives the temperature distribution used for the antenna and rotating ring structure in the computer program analysis.



Graphite Structural Configuration Temperatures



Aluminum Structural Configuration Temperatures

Figure 2.3-9. Basic Module Temperatures

Mechanical Loading

For this study the only mechanical loading considered to be acting on the structure is the reflector pretension loading as shown in Figure 2.3-11. The reflector pretension loading is assumed to be acting through the centroid of the tribeam element. The NASTRAN program beam element can not handle a concentrated or a distributive loading and therefore equivalent joint loads must be inputed to the program. A breakdown of the element point forces and the fixed-









Figure 2.3-11. Mechanical Loading

2-49

SSD 79-0010-2-1



end actions are shown in Figure 2.3-12. The values of the fixed-end actions must be added to the computer element loads for the true loads on the beam elements. The final member end reactions for a bar element used in the NASTRAN computer program is given by:

$$AMF = AM + FEA$$

where

AMF = matrix of the final member end actions
AM = matrix of the end action found by the computer program.
FEA = matrix of the fixed-end action for the bar element due to the reflector loading.

For the cases analyzed, the fixed-end actions are as follows:

	M _{1A}		-3.033 x 10 ⁵ N-M	1	0
	^{-M} 2A		1.751 x 10 ⁵ "		2,312 x 10 ⁵ N-M
	м _{1В}		-3.033 x 10 ⁵ "		0
FEA =	м _{2В}	=	1.751×10^5 "	FEA =	2.312 x 10 ⁵ "
(BEAM)	v ₁		-2278 N-	$\begin{pmatrix} 650M\\ BEAM \end{pmatrix}$	o
	v ₁		2278 "	· .	0,
	v ₂		1350 "		2137 N
	v ₂		-1350 "		-2 137 N
	A		0		0
	T		· 0		o

Deflection Results

Figure 2.3-13 summarizes the end deflections of the SPS unit for the three analysis cases considered. The deflections for the aluminum cases are several orders of magnitude larger than those of the graphite case. The pretension, the force necessary to keep the X-braces from becoming compressive under the given thermal and mechanical loading environment, is as follows:

Aluminum	design;	steel X-bracing	552 M	Pa (80	KSI)
Graphite	design;	graphite X-bracing	137 M	Pa (20	KSI)





(a) BAR ELEMENT FORCES



(b) FIXED-END ACTIONS

Figure 2.3-12. Nomenclature of Bar Element Forces and Fixed End Actions

Figures 2.3-14, 2.3-15, and 2.3-16 show the displacements for selected points on the various substructures of the SPS unit for the graphite construction Case 2, and the aluminum construction Case 3. A comparison of the displacements will give the amount of distortion that the reflectors, solar blankets, and other equipment would have to undergo for the various materials. The CRT sketches cannot be compared directly due to the displacement scale factors used by the computer program. For more detailed study of the displacements, the computer printouts are available, but are not included in this report due to its voluminous nature.

Stress Results

The stress of selected members is presented in Figure 2.3-17, for the graphite and aluminum material construction under pretensioning. As shown in the table, the stresses are much higher for the aluminum case than for the graphite case. The maximum bending stresses for many of the members exceed the crippling allowables (5800 psi at RT) of the elemental beam caps.



(c) CASE 3. ALUMINUM, PRETENSION IN X-BRACING



Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group

Rockwell International



Figure 2.3-14. Deflections - End Mounted

Rockwell



Figure 2.3-15. Deflections - Center Structure

States -

Ì

Rockwell International



Figure 2.3-16. Deflections - Antenna and Rotating Ring

Rockwell International

SSD 79-0010-2-1

STRESS MAGNITUDES ARE 3 TIMES AND LARGER FOR ALUMINUM AS FOR GRAPHITE MATERIAL.

TABLE . COMPRESSIVE STRESS COMPARISON									
CASE 2, COMPOSITE					CASE 3, ALUMINUM				
ELEMENT	AXIAL	STRESS	MAX. BE	ND STRESS	AXIAL	STRESS	MAX. BE	ND STRESS	
NO.	MPa	(KSI)	MPa	(KSI)	MPa	(KSI)	MPa	(KSI)	
101	-1.01	(14)	-8.72	(-1.26)	-5.88	(85)	-131.7	(~19.10)	
102	-1.02	(14)	-1.89	(27)	-2.85	(41)	-58.4	(-8.47)	
103	-0.87	(12)	-1.47	(21)	-9.54	(-1.38)	-72.7	(-10.50)	
104	-1.02	(14)	-1.89	(~.27)	-2.85	(41)	-58.4	(-8.47)	
105	~1.02	(14)	-8.72	(-1.26)	-5.88	(85)	-131.7	(-19.10)	
106	-1.99	(~.28)	-4.98	(72)	~9.81	(-1.42)	-41.3	(-5.99)	
107	-7.68	(-1.11)	-15,90	(-2.31)	-10.31	(-1.50)	-80.2	(-11.63)	
108	-0,71	(10)	~2.21	(32)	-3,20	(46)	÷8.4	(-1.22)	
109	-7.68	(-1.11)	-15.92	(-2.31)	-10.03	(-1.45)	-80.2	(-11.63)	
114	-0.21	(03)	~1.15	(16)	-2.74	(39)	-129.5	(-18.78)	
115	-0.70	(10)	-2.60	(37)	-4.46	(64)	-22.5	(-3.26)	
116	-7.65	(-1.11)	-16.59	(-2.41)	-15.70	(-2.28)	-110.1	(-15.97)	
117	-0.21	(03)	-1.52	(17)	-2.74	(39)	-129.5	(-18.78)	
118	-1.19	(17)	-3.85	(55)	-5.02	(~,73)	-59.5	(-8.63)	
119	-7.61	(-1.10)	-1.52	(22)	-11.06	(-1.68)	-36.1	(-5.24)	
1204	-2.08	(30)	-2.73	(39)	-8.26	(-1.20)	-80.7	(-11,70)	
1206	~7.58	(-1.10)	-17.62	(-2.56)	-27.48	(-3.99)	~85.1	(-12.34)	
1208	-7.01	(-1.02)	-18.09	(-2.62)	-8.66	(-1.26)	-49.6	(-7 19)	
1210	-6.94	(-1.01)	-18.53	(-2.69)	-9.83	(-1.43)	-37.1	(-5.38)	
1214	-6.90	(-1.00)	-16.22	(-2.35)	~12.71	(-1.84)	-17.7	(-2.57)	
1213	-7.53	(~1.09)	-9.11	(-1.32)	-32.55	(-4.72)	-102.6	(-14.88)	



SSD 79-0010-2-1

1208	1208 1210 1214 1213	-7.01 -6.94 -6.90 -7.53	(-1.02) (-1.01) (-1.00) (-1.09)	-18.09 -18.53 -16.22 -9.11
100 107	L	<u> </u>		<u>) </u>
	210 - 7			
101 1214 0 1204 1010 1114	119			
	213			

ELEMENT NUMBER

ELEMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

Figure 2.3-17. Results - Stress





NASTRAN Limitations

The thermal effects analysis of the SPS structure brought out several limitations in the NASTRAN computer program. For example:

- 1. Subelement level analysis of beam element is not practicable. The beam elements are themselves a structural subsystem.
- 2. Concentrated and/or distributed loadings capability for beam element not incorporated in NASTRAN. Equivalent joint loads must be used resulting in large manual effort.
- 3. Stress recovery for the beam elements is complex, requiring laborious error-prone manual operations.
- 4. Program cannot remove tension-only members which become compressive (negative) during solution.

Investigation into, and the corrections of, the defficiencies must be accomplished for further detailed stress/dynamic analysis of the SPS structure. Possible corrections may be through the use of pre- and post-processor programs in conjunction with the NASTRAN program and/or through the use of the DMAP feature of NASTRAN program.

Design Impacts

The impact of the results of this analysis upon the design of the SPS structure is summarized as follows:

- Depending upon design methodology and ingenuity, there will be an increase in the aluminum gauge size (presently 10 mils) due to the crippling allowables with a corresponding increase in structural weight.
- 2. The larger deflections for the aluminum construction may present a more complex design problem for the reflectors and solar blankets attachments, brush design of the rotating ring, configuration control, and attitude/stationkeeping control.
- 3. Based on the thermal stresses and deflections, advanced composites are recommended for SPS structures as the basic structural material. For the rotating ring and the inner support ring, where power transfer is required, a combination of aluminum and composite may be appropriate and needs to be investigated. Although advanced composites are recommended, final resolution of this issue requires the long-term survivability issue to be resolved.



2.4 THERMAL CONTROL

The thermal analysis consisted of computing temperature profiles for the satellite structure, analyzing heat rejection options for the klystron installation concepts, and evaluating solid state alternative concepts.

2.4.1 TEMPERATURE PROFILES

The NASTRAN structural analysis of the SPS system was conducted to determine thermal effects comparison between a metal (aluminum) and an advanced composite (graphite) material design concept. The temperature profiles of the structure are shown in Figures 2.4-1 through 2.4-3 for three stages of the composite structure life. The end of life profile (Figure 2.4-3) is considered to be the most severe thermal gradient, and is used for the thermal structural analysis. Corresponding temperature profiles for coated aluminum were computed as part of work performed during Exhibit A/B and are shown in SD 78-AP-0023-4, SPS Point Design Definition.

Results of an analysis to define thermal control requirements for the switchgear and high voltage dc converters are shown in Figure 2.4-4. For the switchgear the waste heat can be rejected passively by increasing case size to the required dimension. The data shown on the figure is applicable to a cubic configuration. To cool the dc converters, an active loop is required.



Figure 2.4-1. Photovoltaic Structural Configuration Temperatures - Beginning of Life







SSD 79-0010-2-1





Figure 2.4-4. Power Distribution Component Thermal Control

Due to their power level, thermal efficiency, and operating temperature restruction, relatively large radiator systems are required. Significant weight reduction is required and advanced technology must be developed to reduce the weight impact of these systems. System optimizations that offer potential for weight savings include: disk configurations and/or hemispherical; heat pipe integrated into the radiator; optimized radiator fin geometry; higher temperature electronics; and waste heat usage.

2.4.2 KLYSTRON HEAT REJECTION OPTIONS

The primary candidate klystron installation design concepts are illustrated in Figure 2.4-5. The initial Rockwell baseline power module "poke through" concept was selected during Exhibit A/B study because of thermal rejection considerations relative to potential overheating of the slip rings, temperature limitations on the electronics, and the postulated requirement (later deleted) for manned access to the antenna during operation. The alternate Rockwell concept, which has since been adopted, eliminates the performance uncertainty and efficiency losses resulting from poking the klystron tube through the radiator. This selection follows from analysis which demonstrates that the slip rings can be thermally protected and that the weight penalty associated with controlling electronic component temperatures is relatively





Figure 2.4-5. Klystron Design Concepts

small. This klystron installation concept appears more advantageous than the tubes sandwiched and on their sides as shown for the Boeing power module concept because of its less complex and lighter weight thermal control system. In addition, it appears more flexible in terms of distributing the heat to be rejected in both directions (space and earth).

The three options for the power tube installation were shown in Figure 1.1-9 with installation in a space frame configuration illustrated. Each of these concepts could be adapted to either the tension web or space frame antenna design.

If possible, utilization of low temperature composites (or aluminum) for the antenna structure is desirable to avoid increased complexity during the construction process and to preclude unnecessary additional program costs for the development of high temperature composite materials. Potential graphite fiber reinforced resin systems that might be utilized on large space structures are listed in Table 2.4-1. The maximum use temperatures shown are based upon General Dynamic quoted limits and Rockwell estimates. Further testing, including extended period outgassing determinations, could result in modifications of these values.

In the space frame configuration the primary structure is in close proximity to the high temperature, heat rejection surface of the klystron (assuming that the tube is not "poked through"). Independent studies by Rockwell, General Dynamics, and Grumman have demonstrated that temperatures in the central region of the antenna will exceed allowables for low temperature composites. For beam machine concepts this can complicate the construction scenario by potentially requiring the substitution of a different machine at some point in the assembly sequence.

SSD 79-0010-2-1.



MATERIAL	MAX. ALLOWABLE TEMP. °K -
THERMOSETTING RESINS	
EPOXY	395
PHENOLIC	435
POLYIMIDE	
ADDITION	475
CONDENSATION	560
THERMOPLASTIC MATERIALS	
POLYSULFONE	380
POLYIMIDE	590

Table	2.4-1.	Graphite	Composite	Materials
	Tem	perature l	Limits	

A temperature analysis was performed to compute thermal environments for the various installation options. A summary of the computed structural temperatures are given in Table 2.4-2. Results of this analysis show that for the space frame both the alternate and the sandwiched side installation concepts require high temperature composites for the structural materials. Low temperature polysufone materials are constructed to a 107°C (380 K) thermal environment. The thermal advantage of a tension web (compression frame) configuration is apparent from the tabulated summary. The tension web structural elements are distributed around the antenna periphery relatively distant from the power modules. The effect is further minimized due to the relatively small amount of energy intercepted from the high radiation area in the central portion of the antenna. Relatively low temperatures result. The tension web elements/cables could be manufactured simply from graphite filaments or possibly low temperature fibers (e.g., fiberglass) encased in a protective sheath. Temperature profiles and resultant thermal gradients will substantially influence antenna configuration, power module design and structural material selection.

Results of the thermal analysis suggests the continued use of the tension web (compression frame) structure and substitution of the improved, alternate Rockwell klystron concept. The center mounted antenna configuration, if modified to move the slip rings outward or reflector protected, is basically thermally equivalent to an end mounted antenna configuration. The space frame antenna concept requires the development of high temperature composite materials for all but the "poke through" klystron installation concept. The alternate Rockwell klystron installation concept is lightweight and permits a simple thermal control design. This also allows a flexibility in thermal design by being able to vary the percentage of waste heat rejected towards the earth, i.e., from ~10% to ~30%.

2.4.3 SOLID STATE ALTERNATIVE CONCEPTS

C-2

The concept initially studied for application of solid state dc/RF conversion assumed that 50 kW solid state power modules replaced 50 kW klystrons in an antenna configuration basically the same as that used for klystrons. In order to provide adequate efficiency and lifetimes, the temperature of the solid state devices need to be maintained \leq 150 C. To achieve this low

SSD 79-0010-2-1



		TEMPE	STRUCT				
-	POWER		KLYSTRON		JRFACE	SURFACE	
CONCEPT	КW	COLLECTOR	BODY	EARTH SIDE	SPACE SIDE	(COMP. MAX TEMP ~°C	
COMPR. FRAME							
"POKE THRU"	50	700	200	152 • •	60 [°]	70	
ALTERNATE	50	700	200	129**(140)	104**(60)	70	
SPACE FRAME							
"POKE THRU" '	50	700	200	152	60	<107	
ALTERNATE	50	700	200	129**(140)	104**(60)	Q127)	
BOEING	70	500	300		>150*	()150)	

Table 2.4-2. Antenna Temperature/Materials Considerations

KEY

- EXCEEDS LOW TEHP. COMPOSITE LIMITS
 - * = THERMAL THIN CONTROL
 - RADIATOR SURFACE

** = WITHOUT INSULATION

() = WITH INSULATION

• ALTERNATE CONCEPTS CAN BE MODIFIED TO ALLOW HEAT REJECTION FROM SPACE FACING SURFACE (i.e., with or without insulation)

• SPACE FRAME REQUIRES "POKED THRU" KLYSTRON FOR LOW TEMPERATURE COMPOSITE UTILIZATION

• COMPRESSION FRAME/TENSION WEB IS PREFERRED TO AVOID REQUIRE-MENT FOR HIGH TEMPERATURE COMPOSITES

temperature the radiator penalty was calculated to be $\sim 5.5 \times 10^6$ kg (150 C) and 13.0×10⁶ kg (60 C).

Alternative approaches that were judged to solve the thermal problem include: (1) a reduction of RF power in the center of the antenna to 12 kW/m^2 and radiation from both sides of the antenna; (2) redistribution of the center power module to increase their spacing and then using wave guides to direct RF energy to appropriate microwave radiators; and (3) increasing the antenna diameter to increase thermal and microwave radiation area while simultaneously reducing power output from the satellite.

The thermal problem can also be solved by locating the solid state power modules on the solar array. Wave guides would be used to direct the RF energy to a horn located on the end of the array that feeds a lens antenna. Studies of this wave guide approach have indicated very difficult technology problems related to RF mode control. A solution to the wave guide problem is to utilize a sandwich design which has the solar cells on one side and antenna on the other side and the use of reflectors to direct solar energy onto the solar cells.



2.5 ATTITUDE CONTROL AND STATIONKEEPING

During October 1978 the NASA recommended spacecraft configuration was specified to be coplanar with an end mounted antenna array. This configuration is illustrated in Figure 2.5-1. Because of the end mounted antenna the configuration has a large center of mass offset. This offset, when coupled to large effective solar pressure results in a substantial solar pressure torque about the axis perpendicular to the orbit plane.



Figure 2.5-1. ACSS Equipment Locations

A large solar pressure force acts on the structure because of the large surface area of the solar blankets and reflectors. This solar pressure force is the dominant orbit perturbation to be corrected by the stationkeeping system. For attitude control, the concern is how to accommodate the large unbalanced solar pressure torque and gravity gradient torque inherent in the coplanar configuration. Thus, for this configuration, these unbalanced torques have a larger impact on the design of the ACSS than for previous symmetrical Rockwell SPS configurations, (Final Report, SD 78-AP-0023, dated April 1978), which were designed to minimize these disturbance torques.

2.5.1 SOLAR PRESSURE MODEL

Because of the impact of the solar pressure force on the coplanar design, a more accurate model was necessary to describe the solar pressure force. To derive the model, it was assumed that solar energy was reflected specularly off the reflectors and diffusely off the solar cell blankets. Figure 2.5-2 shows





$$\Sigma F_{sp} = P_s A_b (1+R_b) + P_s A_R \cos 60^\circ [(1-R_R) \sin^2 60^\circ + (1+R_R) \cos^2 60^\circ] + 2(1+R_b) R_R P_s A_b \cos^2 60^\circ$$

FORCE ON BLANKET FROM DIRECT SUNLIGHT FORCE ON REFLECTORS FROM DIRECT SUNLIGHT FORCE ON BLANKET FROM REFLECTED SUNLIGHT

+ REFLECTIONS FROM BLANKET BACK ON REFLECTORS (NEGLECTED)

$$\Sigma F_{so} = P_{s} [A_{b}(1+R_{b})(1+R_{R}/2) + A_{R}/2(1-R_{R}/2)]$$

FOR CR = 2, $A_c = 2A_b$ AND SUBSTITUTING $R_b = 0.17$ & $R_g = 0.9$

$$\Sigma F_{SP} = 1.125 P_S A_C$$

OVERALL COLLECTOR HAS EQUIVALENT REFLECTIVITY = 0,125

Figure 2.5-2. Solar Pressure Force Model

the major steps that were taken in the derivation. The effects of secondary reflections from the solar cell blankets back onto the reflectors were found to be negligible and are not included. The result is a force expression as a function of the capture area of the blanket. The interpretation of this expression is that the overall collector has an equivalent reflectivity of .125.

2.5.2 RCS REQUIREMENTS

Attitude Control

The solar pressure and gravity gradient torques have been determined to be the dominant disturbances that will be encountered by the attitude control system. These torques are, therefore, the major factors driving the RCS propellant and thruster requirements. Argon ion bombardment thrusters have been assumed. The effect of these factors on the RCS requirements are shown in Figure 2.5-3. The data assumes the disturbance torques are balanced with torque couples and are obtained independently of the thrusters providing the stationkeeping forces.

Upon reviewing the data, the propellant requirements were found to be substantially larger than for the previous Rockwell symmetrical spacecraft (SD 79-AP-0023, dated April 1978). That vehicle had a total requirement of



	PROPELLANT MASS	NO. OF	THRUS	TERS*	F
FUNCTION	OVER 30 YEARS	Х	Y	Z	SP 1
•SOLAR PRESSURE •ANTENNA RADIATION PRESSURE	4.01			15.2	
• GRAVITY GRADIENT					
• ABOUT X	0. 124			1.66	M
• ABOUT Y	1.38			16.4	
• ABOUT Z	0. 124	1.6			27
	1.62	1.6		18.1	
TOTALS	5.63	1.6		33.3	6

* TORQUE COUPLES ASSUMED

**100-CM ARGON THRUSTERS, T = 13n, I_{SP} = 13,000 SEC, $M_{S/C}$ = 36.6×10⁶ KG, I_{XX} = 9.86×10¹⁶ KG-M², I_{YY} = 3.09×10¹² KG-M², I_{ZZ} = 10.2×10¹⁶ KG-M²

(INCLUDING GROWTH)

Figure 2.5-3. Attitude Control RCS Requirements**

less than 0.6% of the spacecraft mass over 30 years. Fortunately, attitude control and stationkeeping do not have to be considered independently. Appreciable savings can be realized by utilizing the thruster force for stationkeeping to provide the torques for attitude control.

Stationkeeping RCS Requirements and Correction Policies

For small contemporary spacecraft, the dominant stationkeeping ΔV is to correct the solar-lunar perturbations. However, Table 2.5-1 clearly shows that for an SPS vehicle the solar pressure perturbation dominates by a factor greater than five. To counter the solar pressure perturbation, two correction policies were considered for stationkeeping.

One stationkeeping policy considers the constant cancellation of the solar pressure force while the other policy for ΔV correction utilizes an approximate Hohmann transfer. Referring to Figure 2.5-4, the Hohmann transfer policy shows a potential propellant savings of 25%. However, this savings is not realized because during the coast periods large propellant consumption is required for attitude control. The preferred scheme is the continuous thrusting policy, and as will be subsequently shown, it virtually eliminates the propellant requirements for attitude control due to solar pressure and gravity gradient torques.



Table	2.5-1.	Stationkeeping	RCS	Requirements	*
-------	--------	----------------	-----	--------------	---

FUNCTION	∆V (m/s/YR)	PROPELLANT MASS (% S/C MASS OVER) 30 YRS	THRUST REQUIRED (N)	NUMBER OF THRUSTERS
SOLAR PRESSURE (E-W) ANTENNA RADIATION PRESSURE (E-W) EARTH TRIAXIALITY (E-W) STATION CHANGE (E-W)	282.5	6. 65	328	25.2
• SOLAR -LUNAR PERTURBATION (N-5)	53.3	1.25	124 ^{ự ự}	19 1 ^{4 4}
TOTALS	335. 8	7.90	452	44 3

¥ - 100 CM ARGON THRUSTER, T = 13 N, ISP = 13,000 SEC

¥¥ - THRUST ON 50% OF TIME

UNCORRECTED LONGITUDE PERTURBATION = Δλ • ±2.52°

• PROBABLY NOT ACCEPTABLE WITH POST-2000 TRAFFIC IN GEO

• CORRECTION POLICIES:



(PROPELLANT SAVINGS)



2.5.3 ACSS BASELINE DESIGN

Alternative Control Approaches

Figure 2.5-5 presents the RCS propellant and thruster requirements for five possible cases including body mounted and gimbaled thruster configurations, with and without complete correction of the solar pressure orbit perturbation, and with the addition of two dimensional inertia balancing or the use of quasiinertial free drift attitude mode (QI - FDAM) to minimize the impact of large cyclical gravity gradient torque. From the orbit perturbation considerations,



	THRUSTER CONFIGURATION		PROPELLANT MASS	NO. OF THRUSTERS REQUIRED*			REQUIRED*	Fra
SYSTEM	BODY FIXED	GIMBALED	OVER 30 YR	Х	Y	Z	TOTAL	t L
COMPLETE STATIONKEEP- ING & ATTITUDE CONTROL	x		8.0	1.6	19.1	34.3	55.0	
SAME		x	7.0			35.6	35.6	F _{sp}
SAME BUT SOLAR PRESS. TRAJ. PERTURBATION NOT CORRECTED (TORQUE COUPLES FOR ATTITUDE CONTROL)	X		5.4	1.6	-19.1	24.3	45.0	Fsp Fsp
SAME BUT SOLAR PRESS. TRAJ. PARTIALLY CORRECTED (TORQUE COUPLES NOT REQ'D)	X		3.9	1.6	19.1	18.3	39.0	F _{sp}
SAME BUT QIFDAM OR INERTIA BALANCING EMPLOYED (ELIMIN- ATES CYCLICAL G.G. ABOUT Y-AXIS)	X		8.0	1.6	19.1	26.1	46.8	
*DOES NOT INCLUDE REDUNDANCY OR SPARES								

Figure 2.5-5. RCS Requirements for Alternative Control Approaches

solar pressure stationkeeping correction is required and the preferred correction policy is a continuous force equal and opposite to the solar pressure force. The QI-FDAM approach with its $\pm 18^{\circ}$ attitude excursions is applicable to a CR=1 configuration but is not appropriate to the current CR=2 design. Inertia balancing is not easily achieved in the present coplanar design concept. Based on these considerations, all the alternatives except the first two rows are rejected. The gimbaled thruster configuration (row 2) is seen to result in a small propellant savings and substantially less thrusters and is selected on that basis.

ACSS Baseline Description

In Figure 2.5-1, the SPS coplanar configuration is illustrated along with the pertinent features of the baseline ACSS. The baseline ACSS features an argon ion bombardment thruster RCS whose characteristics are enumerated on the figure. The system operates an average of 36 thrusters. A total of 64 thrusters are included to provide the required redundancy. The redundancy was based on an annual maintenance/servicing interval, 5000 hour thruster grid lifetime and 5-year thruster MTBF. Sixteen thrusters are located on the lower portion of each corner of the spacecraft. Each thruster is gimbaled individually to facilitate thruster servicing, to permit operation of adjacent thrusters during servicing, and to provide the redundancy. The thrusters nominally establish



a force vector approximately in the direction of the sun to counter the solar pressure force (stationkeeping) which is the dominant thruster requirement. The thrusters are gimbaled through small angles (as illustrated) and differentially throttled to provide the remaining forces and torques for attitude control and stationkeeping.

Also shown in Figure 2.5-1 are the locations and type of sensors that make up the Attitude Reference Determination System (ARDS). The mass properties of the ACSS are summarized in Table 2.5-2. The summary includes the mass of the individual elements and propellant mass. The system average operating power which is proportional to propellant mass is 33.87 megawatts.

ITEM	MASS (x 10 ⁺³ KG)
ATTITUDE REFERENCE DETERMINATION SYSTEMS (7)	0.32
THRUSTERS - INCLUDING SUPPORT STRUCTURE, 64 @ 120 KG/THRUSTER	7.68
THRUSTER GIMBALS AND MOUNTING	TBD
TANKS, LINES, REFRIGERATION	15. 07
POWER PROCESSING EQUIPMENT	TBD
ARGON PROPELLANT - ANNUAL REQUIREMENT	85. 39
TOTAL (DRY)	23.07*
TOTAL (WITH PROPELLANT)	108, 46 *

Table	2.5-2.	ACSS	Mass	Summary
-------	--------	------	------	---------

- NOT INCLUDING TBD ITEMS

2.5.4 BANKING FOR SOLAR POINTING

Previous ACSS concepts for SPS have employed a Y-POP reference orientation in order to minimize the RCS propellant expenditure due to the large gravity gradient torques for large bank angles. Collector cosine losses up to 8.2% result from this orientation.

Significant advantages can be realized if the spacecraft is pointed more directly toward the sun. The collector cosine losses would be reduced which reduces the sizing requirement for the solar collector, thereby achieving a reduction in spacecraft mass and cost. The ideal bank angle (roll) is 23.5° which is achievable with an increase in propellant and thrusters to the baseline ACSS. However, partial solar pointing with no propellant and thruster penalty is an advantageous feature. As illustrated in Figure 2.5-6, a roll angle of 9.0° can be realized with no propellant penalty or loss of control authority. Essentially, this is achievable by simultaneously countering the large gravity gradient torques and the solar pressure force through the use of the thrusters that are at one end of the spacecraft. With this bank angle, the collector cosine loss is reduced to 3.2% from 8.2% for a Y-POP orientation (net savings equal to 5%). The figure illustrates the steps and calculations





- G_{SD} <u>A</u> SOLAR PRESSURE TORQUE
- $G_{\phi} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} GG \text{ TORQUE DUE TO BANK ANGLE } \phi$ = $\frac{3}{7}W_0^2 (I_Z - I_Y) \sin 2\phi$
- $F_{s/2} \Delta$ FORCE THAT CANCELS OUT SOLAR PRESSURE & TORQUE
- Fp 4 FORCE TO CONTROL GG TORQUE DUE TO . 3º PRINCIPAL AXIS MISALIGNMENT = 5.4 N
- F 4 FORCE TO CONTROL $G\phi$

FROM PREVIOUS ANALYSIS ALL TORQUES EXCEPT G ϕ ARE ACCOUNTED TO INSURE MODULATION ${\cal S}F\geq 0\,;\ F_{S/2}$ - Fp - F = 0

 $F = F_s/2 - F_P = 164 - 5.4 = 158.6 N$

F IS THE MAXIMUM FORCE AVAILABLE TO ROLL VEHICLE BY ANGLE ϕ

$$F_{l_{c}} = 3I_{2} W_{0}^{2} (I_{Z} - I_{Y}) \sin 2\phi \text{ AND } \phi = \frac{1}{2} \sin^{-1} \left[\frac{F_{l_{c}}}{\frac{3}{2} W_{0}^{2} (I_{Z} - I_{Y})} \right]$$

WITH THE VALUES OF F. l_{c} AND $3I_{2} W_{0}^{2} (I_{Z} - I_{Y}) = 7.84 \times 10^{6} \text{ NM}$

	φ	MAX	=	9.020
%	COS	LOSS	=	3.16

Figure 2.5-6. Maximum Bank Angle

involved in deriving the bank angle. It is concluded that the ACSS configuration described herein will permit partial solar pointing (bank angles up to 9.0 degrees) which results in a substantial reduction in collector cosine losses, and hence a reduction in the solar collector size requirement.


2.6 MICROWAVE POWER TRANSMISSION

Existing analysis of the microwave transmission system (MPTS) have continued to leave certain basic questions unanswered. The fundamental concern, of course, is how to select an optimum approach to the transfer of energy to Earth located users. Five of these questions concerning:

- Beam formation,
- Microwave Power Amplifier selection,
 - Klystron
 - Solid State
- Solid state definition and design,
- Phase error control, and
- · Antenna Array sidelobe variations and phase errors.

are discussed in the following section. Another, the question of the feasibility of laser energy transmission is partially discussed in Section 9, Volume V of this report. Other questions of this type will continue to be evaluated as they are identified, and as resources permit.

The analysis pertaining to the ground receiving station is discussed in Section 2.7.

2.6.1 BEAM FORMATION

The antenna configuration implemented in the present baseline consists of klystron power amplifier configured assemblies (subarrays) mounted in a planar configuration on the surface of the antenna structure. The klystrons are coupled to radiating wave guides in a selected energy pattern (beam). This beam is sized and shaped such that the ground receiving antenna (rectenna) portion of the ground receiving station intercepts better than 85% of the radiated energy.

Subarray configuration established in the baseline concept depends on the characteristics of the antenna beam efficiency, far field pattern and sidelobes. The beam efficiency is defined as the fraction of the total radiated power within the main beam. The beam efficiency is also a function of the far field pattern which is characterized by a main beam plus various sidelobes. The optimum aperture illumination distribution is one which places the most power within an angle subtended by the rectenna. Both Gaussian and Taylor distributions have been investigated to study the various parameters.

Gaussian Distribution

Although the analysis of the Gaussian shaped beam has been reported before, it is repeated here to afford the reader an opportunity to compare this analysis with the Taylor shaped beam analysis.



In the original Raytheon design, a Gaussian amplitude distribution with uniform phase distribution was used. The distribution is of the form

$$f() = e^{-0.115} (dB) \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^2$$

where r_0 equals array radius, r = radial coordinate, and (dB) is the amount of taper from center to edge of the array in dB. Plots of the resulting antenna pattern and beam efficiency are shown in Figures 2.6-1 through 2.6-4. In these figures, the normalized angular parameter used is $\sqrt{A_T A_R}/\gamma D$, where AT is transmitting array area, D is distance to measurement plane, γ is wavelength and A_R is the area of a disc whose radius subtends the pattern angle.



Figure 2.6-1. Pattern Efficiency for Uniform Illumination (0 dB Taper)



Figure 2.6-2. Pattern Efficiency for Uniform Illumination (5 dB Taper)





Figure 2.6-3. Pattern Efficiency for Uniform Illumination (10 dB Taper)



Figure 2.6-4. Pattern Efficiency for Uniform Illumination (15 dB Taper)

The taper was set by a permissible first sidelobe level to 10 dB. It may be seen from Figure 2.6-5 that the corresponding first sidelobe level may be about -23 dB. Figure 2.6-6 then shows equal beam efficiency contours plotted on a taper/beam-radius plane. For a 95% efficiency the minimum rectenna radius occurs for tapers of 10-15 dB. The least taper, 10 dB, is selected to minimize the power density at the array center needed to obtain the required total power. The corresponding rectenna radius is 5 km.

In actuality, 95% beam efficiency will not be obtained due to phase errors over the array surface, quantization of the taper, and outage of power modules.

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group







A ten-step quantization of the 10 dB taper was chosen in agreement with the selection made in previous studies. A five-step taper would have given 1% less beam efficiency. Combining the effects of phase error, quantization and outage, Figure 2.6-7 shows the resulting beam efficiency versus radius. The results shown in Figure 2.6-7 represents the baseline configuration with a rectenna collection efficiency of 88% for a 5 km radius.





Figure 2.6-7. Rectenna Collection Efficiency for Baseline Configuration

Taylor Distribution

and

An alternate way to investigate the antenna beam characteristics is through use of a Taylor distribution concept. Taylor distribution is defined by the equation

$$f(p) = \sum_{m=0}^{\overline{n}-1} \frac{2 F(\mu m, A, \overline{n})}{\left[J_{o}(\pi \mu m)\right]^{2} \pi^{2}} J_{o}(\mu m p)$$

$$F(\mu m, A, \overline{n}) = \begin{cases} 1 & m = 0 \\ J_{o}(\pi \mu m) & \frac{\overline{n}=1}{n-1} & \frac{\mu m^{2}}{\sigma^{2} \left[A^{2} + (n-\frac{1}{2})^{2}\right]} & 0 < m < \overline{n} \\ \pi & 1 - \frac{\mu^{2} m}{\mu n^{2}} \\ n = 1 & \mu m^{2} \\ n \neq m & m > \overline{n} \end{cases}$$

2–75



$$P = -\frac{\pi}{a} \delta$$

$$\delta = \text{ radial coordinate variable}$$

$$a = \text{ antenna radius}$$

$$\mu_{m} = \text{ solution of } J_{1}(\pi, m) = 0$$

$$A = \cosh^{-1} \eta$$

$$\overline{n} = \text{ integer controlling the uniformity of sidelobes}$$

$$\sigma = -\frac{\mu \overline{n}}{\left[A^{2} + (\overline{n} - \frac{1}{2})^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

$$= \text{ beam broadening factor}$$

Taylor Distribution provides a very attractive feature in that a circular source can be constructed with an optimum compromise between beamwidth and sidelobe level. Several cases have been investigated on a preliminary basis. These are presented in Figures 2.6-8 to 2.6-10. From these distributions, array configuration may be determined.



2-76





Additional data are required on far field pattern and beam efficiency. Also parametric comparisons must be made with the Gaussian Distribution to allow the determination of the optimum distribution from the antenna performance point of view, as well as to determine the environmental impacts (if any).

Antenna Beam Interference

The Rockwell suggested alternative satellite concepts were defined in two configurations: an end mounted antenna version, and a second with the antenna located at the center of the solar array as shown in Figure 2.6-11. During earlier design analysis some concern was raised because of the possibility of beam energy losses due to structural interference. The following section describes the problem, the approach to the analysis, and the conclusions and corrections to alleviate and/or avoid the potential impacts.



Figure 2.6-11. 3 Trough Coplanar Configurations

Procedure and Analysis. The problem geometry considered during the analysis is shown in Figure 2.6-12. The orbit selection (GEO), requires that to maintain the proper antenna pointing angle, the antenna must point such that at two times during the year the antenna centerline will be 17° off the vehicle axis. Furthermore, twice a day, the broad side of the solar panels lie in the plane of the antenna beam. When these two periodicities coincide the antenna aperture will be partially shadowed by the solar panels.



Figure 2.6-12. Problem Geometry

The assumptions, simplifications and procedures for the analysis are summarized in Figure 2.6-13. The assumptions considered for this analysis are:

- 1. The solar panels are considered an opaque absorbing screen so that reflections from the panels may be neglected.
- 2. The solar panels are sufficiently close to the antenna so that they may be considered to be at the antenna aperture. Figure 2.6-12 shows that the obstruction is about two antenna diameters from the aperture. Considering that the aperture is in the vicinity of 8000 λ across, this assumption is reasonable.
- 3. The rectenna is specified to be 42,000 km from the antenna, placing it in the Fraunhaufer region or far field, allowing the Fourier transform to describe the relationship between the aperture distribution and field distribution at the rectenna.
- 4. The aperture power distribution is Gaussian in shape, truncated at the one sigma point at the aperture edge and placed on a 10 dB pedestal. This is slightly different from the 10 dB Gaussian pattern being considered for the aperture, which would be closer to 1.6 sigma at the edge. The estimates given here for the main beam (unshadowed) thus have slightly higher side lobes and slightly narrower far field pattern than the 10 dB Gaussian pattern, as a result of a less tapered aperture distribution.



OBSTACLE IS AN OPAQUE FLAT SCREEN, NEGLECT REFLECTIONS 42,000 KM DISTANCE TO RECTENNA PRIMARY PATTERN: 10 dB GAUSSIAN PEDESTAL, AT APERTURE EDGE $\lambda = 0.1224$ M, APERTURE = 960 M PROCEDURE 1. PLOT APERTURE DISTRIBUTION (5 TERMS)-DETERMINE FAR-FIELD DISTRIBUTION 2. DIVIDE AMPLITUDE INTO 50 LEVELS, DISTANCE INTO 10 M AR TO FIND DISC VOLUMES 3. SUBTRACT SHADOW SECTORS FROM LOWER 9 DISCS, FIND SHADOW VALUE 4. POWER LOSS DUE TO BLOCKAGE ∝ SHADOW VOLUME 5. ESTIMATE SHADOW APERTURE SIZE, DISTRIBUTION-DETERMINE FAR-FIELD SHADOW NORMALIZE SHADOW PATTERN FOR BOTH TOTAL POWER AND SOLID ANGLE 6. SUBTRACT FROM MAIN BEAM FIELD, COMPUTE TOTAL FAR-FIELD & POWER PATTERN 7. MINOR AXIS 8. SHADOW PATTERN SIMPLIFICATIONS: POWER -0.1 SHADOW AT -SHAPE APERTURE 42 M 0.17 401 M APPROX. FIELD CONSIDER AS MAJOR AXIS POWER BY 1 - X² SEPARABLE IN . VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL 0.17 0.1 PATTERNS APPROXIMATE BY $(1 - \chi^2)^2$ Figure 2.6-13. Assumptions and Simplifications

5. The antenna aperture is circular, 960 meters in diameter, and the wavelength is .1224 meters (2.45 MHz).

The procedure followed in making the shadow effect analysis is as follows:

1. Express the aperture distribution in convenient analytic form, and determine the far field pattern from its Fourier transform. In this case, the aperture field distribution was expressed as the first five terms of an infinite power series representing the Gaussian power distribution, with an augmented first term to provide the 10 dB pedestal:

$$A(r) = \frac{233}{384} + \frac{116}{384} (1-r^2) + \frac{30}{384} (1-r^2)^2 + \frac{4}{384} (1-r^2)^2 + \frac{1}{384} (1-r^2)^4$$

. .

(This is squared to determine the aperture power distribution.) Use is made of the transform relationship:

$$\sum_{n} A_{n} (1-r^{2})^{n} \longrightarrow \sum_{n} A_{n} \frac{\Lambda n+1}{n+1}$$

2-80



to obtain the corresponding far field pattern:

 $G(u) = \frac{233}{384} \Lambda(u) + \frac{58}{384} \Lambda_2(u) + \frac{10}{384} \Lambda_3(u) + \frac{1}{384} \Lambda_4(u) + \frac{1}{1920} \Lambda_5(u)$

where $u = \frac{2a\Theta}{K\lambda}$, a = aperture radius

(This again is squared to determine the power pattern.)

- Plot the aperture power pattern, and section into discs and radius increments for graphical integration. In this estimate, 50 power levels were used to form 50 discs, and the radius increment used was 10 meters.
- 3. Find the shadow power by graphical integration of the shadow volume of the power distribution. In this case, the shadow power was estimated at 0.47% of the main beam power.

The pattern distortion caused by the shadow is obtained by subtracting the field pattern that would be generated by the shadow from the field of the main beam, then squaring to obtain the resultant power pattern.

The following assumptions and simplifications were used in estimating the shadow pattern:

- a) The pattern is a sector of the 960 meter aperture circle 42 meters deep and 401 meters across.
- b) The pattern can be approximated by a rectangle of these dimensions, so that the two dimensional directions become separable. The physical taper of the shadow is approximated by a taper in field, the narrow direction by a distribution of $1 X^2$, and the wide direction by $(1 X^2)^2$.

The transform relationship for the shadow yields a negative far field pattern of:

- $1 X^2 \rightarrow \Lambda_3/2(u)$ Minor axis narrow shadow (vertical as viewed by rectenna)
- $(1 X^2) \rightarrow \Lambda_5/2(u)$ Major axis wide shadow (horizontal as viewed by rectenna)

The shadow patterns are normalized so that the peak is the same, whether approached from the narrow side or the wide side. The shadow far field power, obtained by squaring, is normalized with the main beam power by the inverse of the solid angle subtended by each pattern, and by the amount of power in each pattern. The normalized shadow far field is then subtracted from the main beam far field. The power pattern at the rectenna with shadowing in both vertical (north-south) and horizontal (east-west) directions, is obtained by squaring the results.



Results. The resultant power patterns at the rectenna with and without maximum shadowing are shown in Figure 2.6-14 for the major axis at the rectenna (vertical) and Figure 2.6-15 for the minor (horizontal) axis.



Figure 2.6-14. Rectenna Power Pattern - Major Axis

The figures show a slight narrowing of the beam (about 50 meters at the rectenna) due to the power reduction at the peak of the beam of 1.6%, a slight rise in the first sidelobe (and all other odd numbered sidelobes; from .11 mW/cm² to .13 mW/cm²), and a slight shift of these sidelobes toward the main beam.

Also shown in Figures 2.6-14 and 2.6-15 is the beamwidth, at the rectenna, that would be produced by a uniformly illuminated satellite aperture with a diameter of 1 km. The uniformly illuminated aperture corresponds to previous computations for 1 mW/cm² at the edge of a 10×13 km rectenna. The Gaussian distribution should have a rectenna somewhat larger, about 11.6×15 km for 1 mW/cm² power density at its edge.

<u>Recommendations</u>. Although the power loss experienced during the twice yearly interference is seen to be very low ($\leq 1.6\%$), and falls within the initial system estimating uncertainty, this still represents unwanted (and unneeded) energy loss to the system. Furthermore the intercepted energy may cause additional thermal stress in the intercepting solar blankets and structure. The recommended approach was to remove the problem by adjusting the satellite geometry so that no interference could occur. The final dimensions, shown in Figure 2.6-11, satisfy this recommendation.





Figure 2.6-15. Rectenna Power Pattern - Minor Axis

2.6.2 MICROWAVE POWER AMPLIFIERS

The Microwave Power Transmission System (MPTS) array design is one of the most complex items in the Satellite Power System (SPS) concept primarily because of its demands upon the state-of-the-art in microwave power conversion devices, materials, system efficiencies and phase control.^{1,2} Also, different MPTS array designs using each of the various dc-to-microwave power conversion candidates can have a significant overall system impact on both the spacecraft and the rectenna configurations and performance requirements.

One of the key tradeoffs in the SPS MPTS array study involves the use of tubes or solid state devices as the basic elements of the dc-microwave power conversion modules. In the tube area, a choice is required between the use of klystrons, amplitrons or magnetrons. In the solid state device area, a choice is required between the use of various types of bipolar or field effect transistors.

¹SD 78-AP-007, Satellite Power System (SPS) Concept Definition Study, Rockwell International, June 21-22, 1978

²SD 78-AP-0023-4, Satellite Power Systems (SPS) Concept Definition Study -Final Report, Rockwell International, April, 1978



The primary difference between the use of the solid state devices or the microwave tube is that each will require a different SPS configuration due to dc-dc power conversion and thermal design impacts.¹

Microwave Power Tube Tradeoffs

One of the basic decisions in the power conversion area is the selection of the type of microwave tube to be used in the SPS MPTS array. Klystrons and cross field amplifiers (amplitrons and magnetrons) are the only tubes with high enough efficiencies to be considered.²,³

Table 2.6-1 and 2.6-2 provide some insight into the area of power tube tradeoffs. The klystron appears to be the best choice from the standpoints of gain, stability and noise.⁴,⁵,⁶

PARAMETER	OXIDE COATED	TYPE (NI MATRIX)	IMPREGNATED TUNGSTEN MATRIX		
TEMPERATURE · LABORATORY Model At 3A/cm ²	800°C	900°C	1080°C -		
· CONHERCIAL	760°C	950°C	1080°C		
LIFETIME FOR CATHODE TEMP. BETWEEN 700°C AND 1100°C UNDER LABORATORY CONDITIONS*	~10 ⁴ HRS. (1.1 YRS.)	~4.10 ⁴ HRS. (4.4 HRS.)	~10 ⁵ HRS. (11 YRS.)		
*LIFETIME IS SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCED BY SMALL AMOUNTS OF CHEMICALLY ACTIVE GASSES OR SULPHUR. ALSO, THE KLYSTRON LIFETIME IS TYPICALLY TWICE THE LIFETIME OF A MAGNETRON POWER AMPLIFIER WITH SIMILAR OUTPUT POWER LEVELS AND FREQUENCY RESPONSE.					

Table 2.6-1. Comparison of Tube Lifetimes for Various Types of Cathodes

Tubes Versus Solid State

Comparing the electrical performance and size/weight differences between the reference SPS configuration using klystron power modules or solid state power module might not reveal dramatic differences. However, the solid state devices do provide advantages when such items as lifetime, manufacturability, off-on operation and maintainability are considered (Table 2.6-3).

¹Ibid (1, page 2-83)

- ²G. Caryotakis, State of the Power Tube Industry 1976, Microwave Journal, Pp. 31-34, August, 1976
- ³T. Walsh, DoD and the Microwave Tube Industry, Microwave Journal, Pp. 19-42, July 1977
- ⁴E. Lien, Advances in Klystron Amplifiers, Microwave Journal, Pp. 33-39, December 1973
- ⁵F. Johnson, Interference Emission Filtering in High Power Microwave Transmitters, Microwave Journal, Pp. 67-72, January 1970
- ⁶F. Voltaggio, Jr., *Why Not Crossed-Field Amplifiers*, Microwaves, Pp. 58-63, January 1970



PARAMETER	KLYSTRON	MAGNETRON	AMPLITRON
PRESENT CW OUTPUT POWER LIMITATION	100 KW	50 KŴ	50 KW
PRESENT EFFICIENCY LIMITATIONS AT 1% BW	≥ 70%	70%	60%
• NOISE LEVEL	130 dB/KHz BELOW CARRIER	35 dB/KHz BELOW CARRIER	40 dB/KHz BELOW CARRIER
• RF STABILITY	G00D	POOR	FAIR
• GAIN	≥ 30 dB	10 dB-20 dB	7 dB-15 dB

Table 2.6-2. Performance Comparisons of Basic Tube Candidates

Table 2.6-3. Comparison of Solid State and Klystron Approaches for SPS MPTS

	SOLID STATE (TRANSISTOR)	KLYSTRON
• LIFETINE	LIFETIME POTENTIAL IS MUCH HIGHER FOR THE TRANSISTOR POWER MODULE.	CATHODE DEGRADATION AND HIGH VOLTAGE POWER SUPPLY BREAK- DOWN LIMIT LIFETIME.
• HIGH VOLUME PRODUCTION	THE SMALL SIZE OF THE SOLID STATE POWER HODULE PROVIDES MANUFACTUR- ING ADVANTAGES AND REPRODUCIBILITY POTENTIAL.	REQUIRES HIGH TOLCRANCES. LARGE SIZES INVOLVED. SIGNIFICANT HIGH VOLUME TEST PROBLEMS.
· OPERATION	CAN BE SLOWLY SWITCHED ON AND OFF WITHOUT DEGRADATION.	PARTS OF KLYSTRON MUST REMAIN "ON" AT ALL TIMES.
• MAINTAINABILITY	NO X-RAY PROBLEMS. SMALL MODULE SIZES ALLOW EASY REPLACEMENT.	SIGNIFICANT X-RAY AND LEAKAGE PROBLEMS WHICH ARE HAZARDOUS TO PERSONNEL. SUSCEPTIBLE TO MAGNETIC DISTURBANCES. MANY INTERCONNECTS. AUTOMATED REPL. TECH. REQ.

Klystron

Klystrons have been proposed by Varian for the MPTS converters.¹ A total efficiency of 86% is predicted.^{2'} This is based on the performance of the VKS-7773, 50 kW, 2.45 GHz klystron previously built which obtained an efficiency of 74.4% without a depressed collector. Addition of a depressed collector with 55% beam power recovery efficiency plus other minor changes

¹Ibid (1,2, page 2-83 and 2, page 2-84) ²Ibid (1, page 2-83)



leads to an 80% efficiency. Taking into account cathode heater and solenoid power, a final efficiency of 85% is used in the point design calculations.

The major drawback to the use of klystrons is the large number of electrode voltages which are required. The depressed collector design selected by Varian requires five unregulated voltages. In addition, two regulated power supplies are required to supply body current and establish the potential of the mod anode, which controls beam current. The present point design generates all these voltages from a single 40 kV bus by means of dc-dc converters.

Solid State Definition and Design

There are a number of possible solid state device candidates that could be considered for use on the SPS solid state power module. These include Electron Beam Semiconductor (EBS) devices,^{1,2,3} TRAPATT diodes,^{4,5,6} field effect transistors.⁷⁻¹¹ and bipolar transistors.^{12,13,14} Each have something to offer the SPS application. The EBS and TRAPP diode have upper efficiency limits of approximately 40-50%. This efficiency limitation makes them questionable candidates for the SPS Microwave Power Transmission System (MPTS). The various

- ⁵R. J. Trew, et al, The Operation of S-Band TRAPATT Oscillators with Tuning at Multiple Harmonic Frequencies, IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Pp. 1043-1047, December 1975
- ⁶S. M. Sze and R. Ryder, *Microwave Avalanche Diodes*, Proceedings of the IEEE, Pp. 1140-1154, August, 1971

⁷M. Fukuta, et al, Power GaAs MESFET with a High Drain-Source Breakdown Voltage, IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Pp. 312-317, June 1976

⁸H. F. Cooke, *Microwave Field Effect Transistors in 1978*, Microwave Journal, Pp. 43-48

⁹H. F. Cook, FETs and Bipolars Differ when the Going Gets Hot, Microwaves, Pp. 56-61, February 1978

¹⁰C. Liechti, Microwave Field-Effect Transistors-1976, IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Pp. 229-300, June, 1976

- ¹¹J. V. Dilorenzo, GaAs FET Development-Low Noise and High Power, Microwave Journal, Pp. 39-44, February 1978
- ¹²P. C. Parekh and J. Steenbergen, The 3 Keys to Good Transistor Design, Microwaves, Pp. 40-71, August 1973
- ¹³C. J. Nuese, et al, GaAs Vapor-Grown Bipolar Transistors, Solid State Electronics, Vol. 15, Pp. 81-91, 1972
- ¹⁴D. S. Jacobson, What are the Trade-offs in RF Transistor Design?, Microwaves, Pp. 46-51, July 1972

¹B. W. Bell, et al, An EBS (Electron Beam Semiconductor) High-Current Pulse Amplifier, IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, Pp. 361-363, June 1975

²D. J. Bates, et al, Current Reliability Results or Election Bombarded Semiconductor Power Devices, IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, Pp. 734-735, November 1974

³M. Weiner, et al, Output Capability of Electron Beam Semiconductor Bandpass Amplifiers Using Large Area Diodes, IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, Pp. 308-310, May 1974

⁴H. Kawamoto, et al, Advances in High-Power, S-Band TRAPATT-Diode Amplifier Design, Microwave Journal, Pp. 41-44, February 1974

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group



transistor candidates have efficiency potentials in excess of 80% and power output potentials in excess of 100 W. These capabilities place the transistor in the position of being the best semiconductor device candidate for the SPS solid state power module.

Design Drivers

Three basic solid state material/device limitations have a very significant impact on the spacecraft weight, MPTS array geometry, power distribution system configuration, overall efficiency and thermal profile. These three items are maximum breakdown voltage, output power and circuit efficiencies (Table 2.6-4) and they make up the three primary design drivers for SPS using solid state power converter modules. Figure 2.6-16 provides some insight into the supply voltage limitations caused by the transistor collector breakdown voltage. The high voltages in the kV range, required by the power tubes, are much more desirable from the standpoint of the spacecraft power distribution system in order to hold the size and weight of the power distribution system down to an acceptable level and to minimize I^2R losses. The low collector breakdown voltage limitation (<100 V) encountered with transistors is primarily due to the influence of semiconductor material doping and transistor device structure.

	DESIGN DRIVER	IMPACT
1.	MAXIMUM BREAKDOWN VOLTAGE (CAN PUT TWO TRANSISTORS IN SERIES TO INCREASE BREAKDOWN VOLTAGE BUT THIS WOULD REQUIRE A HIGH DEGREE OF TRANSISTOR REPRODUCIBILITY AND MATCH- ING AND COULD IMPACT EFFICIENCY WILL NOT HELP DC-DC CONV. PROBLEM SIGNIFICANTLY)	 COMPLETE REVISION OF POWER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM WEIGHT COST EFFICIENCY VERSUS LIFETIME
2.	OUTPUT POWER LIMITATIONS	• WEIGHT • RELIABILITY • OVERALL EFFICIENCY • THERMAL PROFILE • ARRAY GEOMETRY
3.	CIRCUIT EFFICIENCIES	 OVERALL EFFICIENCY THERMAL PROFILE WEIGHT RELIABILITY NOISE AND SPURIOUS MATERIAL CANDIDATES (SEMICONDUCTOR AND STRUCTURAL

Table 2.6-4. Solid State MPTS Design Drivers



• Theoretically, the basic form of BV_C shows a functional relationship between base width (W_B), epitaxial width (W_{EPI}) and doping concentration (N_A , N_0). In general:

$$BV_{C} = \frac{e}{2 \epsilon_{r}} \frac{N_{A}}{\epsilon_{0}} \frac{W_{B}^{2}}{\epsilon_{r}} \qquad (\text{UNIFORM BASE ALLOY TRANSISTOR})$$

$$BV_{C} = \frac{e}{\epsilon_{r}} \frac{e}{\epsilon_{0}} \left[N_{A} W_{EPI} W_{B} - \frac{N_{D}}{2} \frac{W_{EPI}^{2}}{2} + \frac{N_{A}}{2} \frac{W_{B}^{2}}{2} \right] (\text{double diffused transistor})$$

• FOR OPTIMUM CONDITIONS WITH THE DOUBLE DIFFUSED TRANSISTOR:

$$W_{EPI (OPT)} = \frac{N_A W_B}{N_D}$$

$$BV_C \approx \frac{e N_A W_B^2}{2 \epsilon_r \epsilon_0} \qquad (N_A \approx 2.10^{19} / \text{cm}^3, N_D \approx 5.10^{20} / \text{cm}^3)$$

• If $M_{\rm R}$ is approximately 4µ and $\epsilon_{\rm r}$ is 12:

BV_c ≈ 25V

• IT IS READILY APPARENT THAT THE TRANSISTOR BREAKDOWN VOLTAGE IS A FUNCTION OF DOPING AND DEVICE STRUCTURE.

Figure 2.6-16. Transistor Collector Breakdown Voltage $\langle BV_C \rangle$

Transistor Candidates

Of the many potential transistor candidates, the heterojunction bipolar transistor^{1,2,3} and the Static Induction Transistor (SIT)^{4,5} appear to be the most attractive from the standpoint of breakdown voltage, output power, gain and overall efficiency. The best material candidate for the heterojunction bipolar transistor appears to be a GaAs-(GaAl)As combination while the SIT might use GaAs.

Table 2.6-5 compares some critical physical properties for each transistor semiconductor material candidate. Table 2.6-6 provides a sample of the crystal orientations associated with a few transistor semiconductor material/substrate material candidates. Table 2.6-7 shows some of the limitations and performance constraints associated with each of the primary transistor candidates.

¹M. Konagai and K. Takahashi, (GaAl)As-GaAs Heterojunction Transistors with High Injection Efficiency, Journal of Applied Physics, Pp. 2120-2124, May 1975

²A. G. Milnes and D. L. Feucht, *Heterojunctions and Metal Semiconductor Junctions*, Academic Press, New York, 1972

³B. L. Sharma and R. K. Purchit, Semiconductor Heterojunctions, Pergamon Press, New York, 1974

⁴J. Nishizawa and K. Yamamoto, *High-Frequency High Power Static Induction Transistor*, IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, Pp. 314-322, March 1978

⁵Y. Kajiwara, et al, A New Microwave High Power Transistor (Static Induction Transistor), 1978 IEEE International Microwave Symposium Digest, Pp. 380-382, June 27-29, 1978



ITEM	SEMICONDUCTOR MATERIAL	COMMENTE
	LANDIVATES	CONTENTS
BIPOLAR		
KETEROJUNCTION	- GAA 5-(GAA1) A 5	- GOOD LATTICE MATCH, NO SEVERE MATERIAL INTERFACE PROBLEMS.
	- Si-InP	- THERMAL LIMITATIONS
	- GE-GAAs.	- MATERIAL INTERFACE PROBLEMS LIMITING CURRENT GAIN
HOMOJUNCTION	- GaAs	- EFFICIENCY POTENTIAL LOWER THAN HETEROJUNCTION
FET	- GAAs	- SURFACE STATE LIMITED, LOWEST BREAKDOWN VOLTAGE
STATIC INDUCTION TRANSISTOR	- GAAs	- HIGH BREAKDOWN VOLTAGE POTENTIAL, BULK MATERIAL CONDUCTION ADVANTAGES, LOW POVERS AT PRESENT

Table 2.6-5. Solid State Power Module Transistor and Semiconductor Material Candidates

 Table 2.6-6.
 Solid State Power Module Semiconductor/

 Substrate Material Combination Candidates

SENICONDUCTOR*	SUBSTRATE	CONVENTS
(111) GAA's	(CCGL) SAPPHIRE	- SOME STRESS PROBLEMS CAUSING INTERFACIAL DEFECTS. (ROCKNELL ERC SOLAR CELL CANDIDATE)
(111) GAAs	(1011) BEO	- REPRODUCIBILITY PROBLEM
(100 GAAS	(1122) BEO	- BEST FOR BEO
(111) GAA's	(1GII) BEO	- DEFECT AND IMPURITY PROBLEMS
(1CO GAAs	(110) SPINEL	- SOME DEFECT PROBLEMS
(111) GAA's	(111) SPINEL	- LESS DEFECT PROBLEMS: BEST FOR SPINEL
*MANY OF THE CURRENT GA BEEN FABRICATED-ON (1CO SUBSTRATES, (111) GAAS	As FET, HONOJUNCTION BIPOLAR AN) GAAs n+ SUBSTRATES OR CROMIUM APPEARS TO HAVE A DEFECT/IMPURI	D HETEROJUNCTION BIPOLAR DEVICES HAVE i doped semi-insulating (1GC) gaas ty probled is sinilar to (11) sulfor

GaAs Transistor Amplifier Performance Projections

Future performance levels anticipated for GaAs bipolar power transistor candidates can be based on present performance levels achieved with silicon bipolar transistors¹ (Figure 2.6-17). Also, future performance levels antic-ipated for GaAs field effect (FET) power transistor candidates (Figure 2.6-18) can be based on present GaAs FET performance^{2,3,4}.

¹E. J. Colussi, Internally Matched RF Power Transistors, Microwave Journal, Pp. 81-84, April 1978

²____, GaAs FETs Market and Technology Review, Microwave Journal, Pp. 22-31, February 1978

³D. Davis, *Microwave Power on the Rise*, Microwaves, Pp. 9-18, April 1974 ⁴I. Drukier, *GaAs FETs Opening Gates on Power Reservoir*, MSN, Pp. 49-55, April 1978





Table 2.6-7. Solid State Amplifier Transistor* Candidates

Figure 2.6-17. GaAs Bipolar SS Amplifier Projections on Si Bipolar SS Amplifier Outputs and Efficiencies

A more complete list of projected transistor performance parameters can be found in Table 2.6-8. Table 2.6-9 provides a list of projected transistor physical parameters.

GaAs Transistor Chip Layout and Schematic

A common-base push-pull amplifier is a strong power amplifier candidate from the standpoints of power output, efficiency and RF stability.





Figure 2.6-18. GaAs FET SS Amplifier Projections Based on Current GaAs FET Amplifier Outputs and Efficiencies

Table	2.6-8.	Compari	son	of	GaAs	Bipolar	anđ	GaAs	FET
	Pro	ojected	Perf	orn	nance	Paramete	ers		

Item		. GAAS BIPOLAR	<u>[</u>	GAAs FET				
. Power Output		90W		108W				
. EFFICIENCY *		≥ 78%		≤ 90%				
. SPURIOUS OUTPU	TS BELOW CARRIER	>50 dB		> 50 вВ				
. GAIN		20 вВ ,		22 oB				
 Voltage † 		≤40 V		< 25 V	_			
. JUNCTION TEMPE	RATURE $\begin{bmatrix} 180^{\circ}C & \text{Ambient} \end{bmatrix}$ $\theta = 1^{\circ}C/W$	<200°C [170°C	LIMIT]	< 200 ⁰ C	[170 ⁰ C LIMIT]		
, Class ∓		C	-	Ε	-			
. MTBF		<ll []≤<="" td="" years=""><td>2.10^4 A/CM^2</td><td><12 Yea</td><td>ars [J≤2•10⁴ A</td><td>i/CM</td></ll>	2.10^4 A/CM^2	<12 Yea	ars [J≤2•10 ⁴ A	i/CM		
RADIATION HARD RADS (SI)	NESS ∓	Lτ _J	= 200°C		L _{TJ} = 200°C	;		
NEUTRON		≤10 ¹⁵ N/CM. ²						
. 1 DB COMPRESSI	ом Розит (Р _о)	130₩		140W				
 TRANSISTORS WI 	LL REQUIRE INTERNAL IN	ірит/Оитрит Матсн	ing Networks.					
t HIGH FET SOURC	e Drain Breakdown Volt	age Requires Inl.	aid n^+ Source -	· DRAIN.				
+ Degradation In	\neq Degradation In Fall Time Due to R-C (1-2 α & 3 - 10pf) Favors							
CLASS C FOR	BIPOLAR AND CLASS E FO	DR FET.						
‡ABRUPT EMITTER-	BASE JUNCTION & OPTIMU	IM BASE WIDTH WIL	L INCREASE BIPC	LAR HARD	NESS.			



1TEN • SUBSTRATE MATERIAL • CHIP SIZE • CHIP THICKNESS • GEOMETRY* • METAILIZATION PROFILE • EMITTER OR GATE LENGTH • EMITTER OR GATE WIDTH • JUNCTION • DOPING	GAAs BIPOLAR GAAs, SAPPHIRE, BEO OR SPINEL 200 MIL x 50 MIL 8 MIL (THICK GAAS) INTERDIGITATED CR/PT/AU, AU/GE TI/W/AU, AU/SN, AU/ZN 1.5µ 60µ ION IMPLANT N ⁺ 2.10 ¹⁸ CM ⁻³ (3µ) N 10 ¹⁷ CM ⁻³ (5µ)	GAAs FET GAAs, SAPPHIRE, BEO OR SPINEL 300 MIL x 100 MIL 10 MIL (THIN GAAS)- INTERDIGITATED AUGE/PT (THERMAL AGING) NI/AU/GE, CR/PT/AU, AU/CR-PT AL (PER TI/W/AU (BURNOUT) 2.0 μ (4.5 μ channel) \leq 5000 μ INLAID N ⁺ SOURCE & DRAIN ⁺ N ⁺ REGION 3 10 ¹⁰ cm ⁻³ N REGION (0.2 μ THICK) 10 ¹⁷ cm ⁻³
• DIE-PACKAGE (IF APPLICABLE)	BEO	BEO
• NUMBER OF INDIVIDUAL CELLS	200 (60#)	100 (250)
 INTERDIGITATED CHOSEN FOR LO REASONABLE PROCESSING REQUIN 20 2500 wide FETs connected For high source-drain break 	DWEST r_b^{\prime} , GOOD FREQUENCY RESPONS REMENTS. IN PARALLEL ($\lambda/10$ LIMITATION CO DOWN VOLTAGE,	SE AND OUTPUT POWER AND DNSTRAINS INDIVIDUAL GATE WIDTHS.)

Table 2.6-9. Comparison of GaAs Bipolar and GaAs FET Projected Physical Parameters

The GaAs transistor chip could be configured to contain two high power transistor stages for the push-pull amplifier configuration of Figure 2.6-19; with low power drive transistors and associated internal matching circuits as shown in Figure 2.6-20. One of the primary key to high efficiency amplifier operation will be the performance of the transistor internal matching circuits.



Figure 2.6-19. Transistor Chip Schematic

2-92





Figure 2.6-20. Transistor Chip Layout

Low transistor output resistances combined with lead inductances, package parasitics and ballast resistors will not allow optimum matching techniques to be applied effectively at the external circuit level. The transistor output resistance is too low and the output reactance is too high at the collector port. Even considering the narrow bandwidths associated with the SPS MPTS array, integral matching network constraints will introduce gain-bandwidth limitations that will ultimately limit the maximum efficiency-gain-frequency factors associated with class C or class E amplifier performance. Therefore, internal matching at the transistor chip level (Figure 2.6-20) will be a definite requirement in order to achieve high efficiencies with significant gains.

Transistor chip sizes of 200 mil \times 50 mil or 300 mil \times 100 mil would be necessary along with internal matching and an interdigitated transistor geometry. High temperature tolerant bonds and alloy contacts must be developed. Metallization combinations such as Au Ge/Pt, Ti/W/Au, Cr/PT/Au or Au-Ga



eutectic alloys must be developed. Metallization combinations, such as these, will help¹⁻⁵ improve the lifetime and reliability compared with the Au/Ge/Ni metallization currently used in GaAs FET devices.

Amplifier Power Modules

Large numbers of the transistors can be combined to form high power modules with output powers ranging from 1 kW to 5 kW and higher^{5,7,8}. Amplifier designs with efficiencies in excess of 70% will be required (using narrow band Class C or Class E approaches) along with highly efficient power combining techniques.

Figure 2.6-21 provides some idea of how the solid state power module could be packaged. A single 2.5 in \times 4.0 in power module package could provide output powers up to 2.5 kW. The individual MIC substrates lie flat on the module housing in order to provide a good heat sink for the power transistors.

Based on the previous transistor electrical performance and physical profile projections, a wide range of solid state power module options are available (Table 2.6-10). Current optimization estimates indicate that the 1 kW to 5 kW output power range appears to be the best for the SPS MPTS array solid state power module.

Table 2.6-11 and 2.6-12 provide an indication of the efficiency and weight for each of the solid state power modules. As the module output power increases, the total efficiency will decrease due to the impact of additional power combiners.

¹D. Abbott and J. A. Turner, Some Aspects of GaAs MESFET Reliability, IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Pp. 317-321, June 1976

²M. Wittmer, Investigation of the Au-Ge-Ni System Used for Alloyed Contacts to GaAs, Solid State Electronics, Vol. 20, Pp. 433-439, 1977

³J. A. Higgins, R. L. Kuvas, F. H. Eisen and D. R. Ch'en, Low Noise GaAs FETs Prepared by Ion Implanation, Rockwell International Science Center, Final Report, 1978

⁴T. Moutouc, Let's Take Another Look at Transistor Reliability, Microwaves, Pp. 52-58, April 1973

⁵M. Flahie, *Reliability and MTF -- The Long and Short of it*, Microwaves, Pp. 36-44, July 1972

⁶A. Morse, *Modify Combiner Designs to Team High Power Amps*, Microwaves, Pp. 70-78, January 1978

^{&#}x27;_____, X-Band Planar Combiner Module Using GaAs FETs, Microwave Journal, p. 34, February 1978

⁸S. Bearse, Compact Radial Power Combiner Teams Up a Dozen Power GaAs FETs, Microwaves, p. 9, October 1977

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group



Figure 2.6-21. Basic 500 W, 1 kW or 2.6 kW Solid State Power Module Amplifier Configuration

Table 2.6-10. SPS Solid State Power Module Candidates Using Conventional Power Combining Techniques

ITEM		POWER OUTPUT AT 2.45	Gliz	
	500W	<u>1Kh.</u>	10KW	SOKW
BASIC AMPLIFIER (ONFIGURATION	115W Single Stage Class C or E (< 90% Efficiency)	236W Push Pull Class C or E (< 86% Efficiency)	247W Push Pull Class C or E (< 79% Efficiency)	260W Push Pull Class C or E (≤ 78% Efficiency)
• COUPLER CONFIGURATION	5 Way-Radial Line (Fused Silica or Sapphire)	5 Way-Radial Line (Fused Silica or Sapphire)	10-1KW Modules on a 10 Way-Radial Line Coupler (Fused Sili- ca or Sapphire)	12-2.6KW Modules on a 12 Way-Radial Line Coupler stacked with another-12 Modules (Sapphire)
SUPPLY VOLTAGE	40V	40V	25V	40 V
TRANSISTOR CANDIDATE	GaAs Bipolar	GaAs Bipolar	GaAS FET	GaAs Bipolar
NUMBER OF INDIVIDUAL SS POWER MODULLS	13,586,400	6,793,200	679,320	135,864
SIZE	4" Diam x 2"	4" Diam x 2"	17" Diam x 3"	20" Dian x 8"
INDIV SS PWR MOD WGT (with DC/DC Conv.)	2.5 lb.	2.7 lb.	22 16.	83 Ib.
🖨 STATUS	Could be built now with push-pull 0 50%- 60% eff. using 10- way combiner	Sıgnifıcanı R&D re- quired for transistor	Significant R&D re- quired for circuit eff., combiner loss and transistor out- put	Significant RED required for circuit eff , combiner loss, thermal management and transistor output

.



Table 2.6-11. Projected Solid State Power Module EfficiencyRequirements to Achieve Overall Efficiencies of at Least 78%

ITEM		POWER OUTPUT AT 2.45 GHz				
	<u>500 W</u>	1_KW	<u>10 ky</u>	<u>50 kw</u>		
BASIC AMPLIFIER CIRCUIT EFFICIENCY	92%	90 Z	90 %	95%		
OUTPUT RADIAL LINE COMBINER EFFICIENCY	95 % (0,23db)	95 х (0.23рв)	94% (0,2708)	93% (0.27db)		
MULTI-MODULE OUTPUT RADIAL LINE COMBINER EFFICIENCY	-	•	93% (0.31d8)	92% (0.03606)		
STACKING EFFICIENCY		-	_	95%		
OVERALL EFFICIENCY	90%	85.5%	79 X	78%		

Table 2.6-12. Projected Solid State Power Module Weights

ITEM		POWER OUTPUT	E AT 2.45 GH	2
	500_N	<u>1 KH</u>	<u>10 KW</u>	50KW
RF CIRCUITS AND COMBINERS	0,1LB	0.1LB	1.2LB	2.5LB
HEAT SINK	0.1LB	0.2LB	0.6LB	1.8LB
CONNECTORS	0.2LB	0.3LB	3.0LB	10.4LB
CASE	0.1LB	0.1LB	1.2LB	4.3LB
CONVERTER, REGULATOR CURRENT DISTRIBUTION CONTROL CIRCUITS	2.0LB	2.0∟в	16.0LB	64.018
TOTAL WEIGHT (INCLUDES LOX VOLTAGE SIDE OF DC/DC CONVERTER)	2.5LB	2.7LB	22.0LB	83.OLB

Power Combiner Analysis

The analysis described in the previous sections resulted in a low power $(\leq 100 \text{ W})$ basic power module. If antenna phase control techniques were to be applied at this level the result would be the need to phase control more than 7.6×10^6 basic devices. It is therefore necessary to provide further power combination to provide a more realistic phase control interface. Earlier solid state concepts assumed a power module equivalent to a klystron based unit (50 kW). Accordingly this same power level was selected for use in the solid state antenna evaluation.

Present combiner designs assume that typical loss factors of 0.3 dB per combiner is realistic for a basic 2 way unit. Furthermore, the number of power combiners required may be approximated by the equation:

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group



Since the desired output power is 50 kW it is necessary to iterate the equation until the input power multiplied by the combiner efficiency satisfies the requirement. This occurs when 10 combiners are used in a cascade mode. Under this condition the combiner efficiency is estimated to be 50% (10×0.3 dB/comb = 3 dB).

The combiner analysis is summarized in Figure 2.6-22.



Figure 2.6-22. Bipolar Solid State SPS Option



Class E^{1,2} and Class C^{3,4} Amplifiers

Results of recent computer simulation studies⁵,⁶ indicate that there is no significant difference between the efficiencies of the Class E amplifier and Class C amplifier for gains below 23 dB at 2.5 GHz. At gains of 23 dB, maximum efficiencies of 77.5% have been computed for the Class C amplifier and 82% for the Class E amplifier. These figures do not account for all circuit and transistor package parasitics. It appears that the Class E amplifier is more sensitive to the effects of parasitics and component variations than the Class C amplifier. In essence, the Class E amplifier efficiency may not be any better than the Class C amplifier efficiency under actual operating conditions.

From a solid state device standpoint, it appears that at 2.5 GHz, the GaAs bipolar transistor would be best for Chass C amplifier operation and the GaAs FET would be best for the Class E amplifier.

Thermal

Considering thermal effects on transistor lifetime and efficiency. Upper limits of 160° to 170° are anticipated for both the GaAs bipolar and GaAs FET devices.

The original thermal limitations imposed upon the SPS MPTS array electronics may not be as low as originally anticipated ($60^{\circ}C - 100^{\circ}C$). Recent work on the performance characteristics of silicon devices for geothermal instrumentation indicate that discrete junction FET, MOSFET devices and integrated CMOS devices can be fabricated to perform at ambient temperature levels up to $300^{\circ}C^{7}$. Certain CMOS devices can maintain useful characteristics at temperature levels approaching 275°C.

¹F. Raab, Effects of Circuit Variations on the Class-E Tuned Power Amplifier, IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits, Pp. 239-247, April 1978

- ²N. Sokal and A. Sokal, Class-E, A New Class of High Efficiency Tuned Single Ended Switching Power Amplifiers, IEEE Journal of Solid State Circuits, Pp. 168-176, June 1975
- ³J. Vidkjaer, A Computerized Study of the Class-C Biased RF Power Amplifier, IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits, Pp. 247-258, April 1978

 ⁴O. Pitzalis and R. Gilson, Broad Band Microwave Class-C Transistor Amplifiers, IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Pp. 660-668, November 1973
 ⁵D. J. Roulston, I. N. Hajj and P. R. Bryant, Evaluation of High Efficiency Microwave Power Transistors, Report to Rockwell International, Space Division, September 10, 1978

⁶D. J. Roulston, I. N. Hajj and P. R. Bryant, Evaluation of High Efficiency Microwave Power Amplifier Transistors, Report to Rockwell International, Space Division, June 1, 1978

⁷J. L. Prince, *Performance of Silicon Devices at Very High Temperatures*, Digest, 1978 Government Microcircuit Applications Conference, November 14-16 1978 Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group



Impact of Solid State Power Module Concept on MPTS Array and Spacecraft Configuration

The solid state power module approach will require a significantly more complex power distribution system compared with the present SPS point design using klystrons. Weight increases of 40% to 90% are anticipated for the solid state power module approach using present point concepts. Efficiencies for the power distribution system associated with the solid state approach have been estimated to be 80% using the present point concept.¹ Increased complexities, higher costs, higher weights and reduced efficiencies associated with the SPS MPTS array solid state power module power distribution system indicates that an alternate SPS configuration will be required if the solid state approach is implemented. Table 2.6-13 summarizes some of the preliminary implications for the solid state approach.

Table 2.6-13. Preliminary Implications for SPS Solid State Power Module and MPTS Array Configurations

SOLID STATE POWER MODULE CONFIGURATION	DESIGN DRIVER	REASONS
• 1 KW TO 5 KW POWER MODULE	 POWER DISTRIBUTION DC/DC CONVERTER. 	 CAPACITANCE LIMITATION ON TRANSFORMER WINDINGS.
	• THERMAL PROFILE	 TEMPERATURE LIMITATIONS ON STACKED MODULES.
	• EFFICIENCY	 LOWER POWER MODULES ARE MORE EFFICIENT
 HIGH TEMPERATURE (ALLOY) BONDING 	• LIFE-TIME	 CONVENTIONAL BONDS WILL FAIL OVER 200°C.
• MATCHED SERIES TRANSISTOR PAIRS" (NOT A GOOD IDEA FROM EFFICIENCY STANDPOINT)	● LIFE-TIME	 TRANSISTOR FAILURES TEND TO INCREASE AS BREAKDOWN VOLTAGE IS APPROACHED.
• MPTS ARRAY SIZE AND POWER DISTRIBUTION CHANGE	 POWER MODULE & ELECTRONICS TEMPERATURE 	 BASE TEMPERATURES IN EXCESS OF 250 C ARE ANTICIPATED. ≠
 MICROWAVE POWER DISTRI- BUTION SYSTEM MAJOR CHANGES. 	 TRANSISTOR BREAKDOWN VOLTAGE 	 LOW VOLTAGE (<190v) HIGH CURRENT PROBLEM,
*WHAT WE NEED IS A TRANSISTOR, CAPABLE OF DELIVERING 92% EFFICIENCY AT 2.45 GHz, WITH A SIMPLE STRUCTURE, HIGH TEMPERATURE CAPABILITY AND A BREAKDOWN VOLTAGE IN EXCESS OF 300V.		
≠ELECTRONICS ARE PRIMARY THERMAL DESIGN DRIVER (<100°C).		

2.6.3 SOLID STATE/WAVEGUIDE CONCEPTS

The data presented in this section develop a series of ideas as to the feasibility of adapting the dc/RF, solid state, wave guide principles, developed by Aerospace Corporation GSSPS to the MSFC four-trough galium-arsenide SPS under study contract to Rockwell International.

General Description

The general arrangement of the Aerospace GSSPS and the Rockwell SPS systems is shown in Figure 2.6-23. In the spring and fall of each year, the solar collector panels of GSSPS obscure each other. Aerospace Corporation suggests a

¹Ibid (item 1, page 2-83)



Figure 2.6-23. Dc/RF Solid State/Wave Guide SPS Concepts

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group





twice-per-orbit libration about the satellite roll axis to minimize this obscuration. In the winter and summer, the panels do not obscure each other due to the 23.5-degree angle between the earth equatorial and ecliptic planes. The libration cycles must be phased to cause a once-a-year precession if synchronization with the changing sun angle is to be maintained. All of these maneuvers may require a complex attitude control system for the 47 km long string-like satellite to maintain sun-pointing of the solar panels and earthpointing (to latitudes not on the equator) of the lens antenna.

The illustrated Rockwell configuration (Figure 2.6-24) simplifies sunpointing of solar cells and earth-pointing of the reflector-antenna using a simple mechanical rotary joint and pivot system containing no electrical or RF components. The satellite attitude is perpendicular to the equatorial orbit plane with the antenna located on the South Pole end of the satellite pointing toward northern earth latitudes. For south latitude antenna-pointing, the antenna is mounted on the North Pole end of the satellite. Ion propulsion units maintain active control over satellite attitude and either control-moment gyros or simple electric motor drives maintain earth-pointing of the antenna. The Aerospace Corporation GSSPS uses a three-layer (butterfly dipole/phaseshifter/butterfly dipole) lens antenna to transmit energy, emitted from a horn mounted on the end of the 47 km long main wave guide, in a coherent manner to earth. Latitude pointing and minor longitude pointing is accomplished by wavefront phasing and may require some mechanical pointing to maintain low sidelobe requirements.



Figure 2.6-24. Solid State Satellite Power System

The Rockwell configuration uses a paraboloidal wire mesh antenna to reflect and collimate energy transmitted by a wave guide mounted transmitter horn that is designed as a field lens to emit a spherical wave front. The wave guide horn is located at the focal point of the paraboloidal, wire mesh mirror.



The general configuration of the Rockwell/MSFC four-trough SPS is shown in Figure 2.6-24. An antenna support structure is attached at the center line of the solar array structure. The support structure includes a rotary ring assembly and an antenna assembly attached by pivots to the rotating element of the ring assembly. The rotating ring (axis along SPS center line) permits the daily rotation of the antenna; the pivot permits latitude antenna pointing.

RF wave guides and solar cell panel in transverse orientation are shown.

Rockwell dc/RF Solid-State/Wave Guide Concept Details

Figure 2.6-25 shows the basic elements needed to adapt the Aerospace Corporation solid-state, wave guide RF power transfer concept to the Rockwell concept.



Figure 2.6-25. Rockwell dc/RF Solid-State/Wave Guide Concept Details

Two options for solar cell, wave guide orientation are shown. Option 1 illustrates a transverse orientation of solar cells and RF wave guide feeds. Solar cells on each side of the wave guide generate and conduct dc electrical power to solid-state devices, mounted directly on the wave guide, that convert dc to RF power. The wave guides transmit the RF energy generated to a centrally located main wave guide where matching wave guide elements insert the lateral wave guide energy into the central wave guide connected to the transmitter horn.



Option 2 shows a longitudinal orientation of solar cells and waveguides. At the end of each structural bay, the longitudinal wave guides are connected to lateral wave guides which, in turn, are connected to the main longitudinal wave guide which feeds the transmitter horn. Power levels, wave guide matching, and system assembly procedures will determine which option is selected.

The antenna rotary joint shows the location of a section cut of the antenna illustrated at the right side of the chart. The geometry of the antenna, the relationship of the antenna horn to the antenna paraboloidal surface, and earth pointing of the collinated beam are shown below the section cut.

Geometry

The Aerospace Corporation lens antenna requires a diagonal mirror to permit attachment to the Rockwell SPS.

The case of a diagonal mirror pivoted at the intersection of the horn axis and the lens equatorial pointing axis is shown in the upper left-hand corner of the facing chart. For each degree the flat mirror rotates about the pivot point, the lens antenna must rotate two degrees about the pivot point remaining perpendicular to the fixed radial center line distance. Structural rigidity and alignment tolerance appear to be the key problems.

The case of two simple pivot motions is shown in the lower left-hand corner of Figure 2.6-26. As before, each degree of diagonal mirror rotation requires two degrees of lens antenna rotation. However, the lens in this case rotates around a fixed pivot point. The two pivot points thus may be rigidly attached to each other.

The pivot motion described accounts only for latitude pointing. Daily earth pointing (longitude fixed) of the lens/mirror assembly requires a rotary joint with its axis coincident to the wave guide/horn axis, rotating once every 24 hours. The overall structural complexity, mirror flatness, and lens tolerances make implementation of either of the lens/mirror suggestions difficult.

A third, reasonably simple, option is possible. If the transmitter horn is designed as a field lens to emit energy with a spherical wave front from the focal point of a paraboloidal mirror, the energy will be reflected parallel to the axis of the paraboloid and collimated into a flat wave front beam. In the near field, the energy profile will tend to be uniform.

In the far field, the energy profile will approximate a Gaussian sin x/x curve. A second mirror shape potential is an ellipsoid with one focus at the transmitter horn and the second focus at the center of the rectenna located on the earth. The ellipsoidal and paraboloidal contours are very nearly identical. Other antenna contours are possible if the lens horn has an apparent index of refraction as in coherent-light optics. The mirror index of minus one may be equated to the positive lens index to effect cancellation of mirror frequency aberrations of the system (if physical optics equivalence holds true). Wave shaping by the lens may also be used to correct for mirror surface anomalies to some degree. At issue in--How much?





Figure 2.6-26. Geometry

To effect beam pointing for daily motion, a rotary joint with axis coincident to the wave guide and transmitter horn axis is required. The plane of rotation is located at the paraboloid focal point. To effect beam latitude pointing a pivot axis in the plane of the rotary joint is required. These two axes allow the paraboloid center line to be pointed at any required angle to place the transmitted beam at the earth rectenna.

A preferred pivot angle direction is identified by rays (3) shown on Figure 2.6-26. A smaller mirror surface results. This is the reason that the antenna is located at the South Pole end of SPS for north latitude pointing and, conversely, on the North Pole end of SPS for south latitude pointing.

Parabolic Antenna Details

Figure 2.6-27 illustrates how a frame and tension wire array might provide a close tolerance wire-mesh paraboloidal mirror.

It is possible to create a paraboloidal shape if a uniform force field oriented parallel to an axis is applied to a membrane perpendicular to the axis. If a system of meridian planes radiates from the axis, each contains a flexible member (contour members) anchored to a frame--in turn, pulled upon by close uniformly spaced flexible members (force members)--with each supporting equal loads, a segment of a parabola will be formed in each meridian plane. The



Figure 2.6-27. Parabolic Antenna Details

exact segment of the parabola formed is determined by the angular relationship of the anchored meridian contour elements and the uniformly spaced elements representing the uniform force. Other flexible members located orthogonally to the meridian planes may be located to form concentric circles about the paraboloidal axis. The degree of surface compliance is determined by the closeness of the net pattern formed.

To effect the uniform force field upon the paraboloidal net, a system of flexible members is required with the members pulling in opposition to the . previously described net. This second array of flexible members is connected to the same frame. Since only one surface (the "mirror" surface) requires shape compliance, the counter-pull member array may permit the accumulation of the uniformly spaced members (force members) into fewer major strands reacted by a single flexible member for each meridian plane formed. No concentric circular counter force element members are required. Since all flexible members are anchored on the same frame, and interconnected to each other, a complete self-contained stable system of forces and nets will be established.

Figure 2.6-28 is an enlargement of solar cell/wave guide options described in Figure 2.6-25. The heavy line in the lower left-hand figure illustrates the transverse wave guide relative position to adjacent supporting structure.

Microwave Power Distribution Subsystem

Figure 2.6-29 shows the solid-state circuit diagram required for the Rockwell concept, the transistor mounting concepts contained in the Aerospace Corporation AIAA paper, and illustrates a conceptual transmitter horn mounted

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group





Figure 2.6-29. Microwave Power Distribution Subsystem

2-106


on the end of the main wave guide. All RF rotary joints described in the Aerospace Corporation ATAA paper have been eliminated in the Rockwell concept. The lens property of the transmitter horn has been utilized on low-power (relative to SPS) radar antennas. The concept of self-excited, electrically tuneable sidelobe suppressant cavities may be new. Tuneable wave shaping of the emitted spherical wave front may also be new. The question as to whether horn spilling can be prevented and sidelobe suppression at the horn accomplished. Other questions are: What surface compliance must the paraboloidal mirror have? Will the paraboloidal mirror surface require a phase-shift property? Can the transmitter horn effectively control and phase-shift portions of the wave front (if required) to maintain the proper power distribution at the earth rectenna? Is it possible to transmit a unique wave shape such as to produce a uniform field intensity at the rectenna? This last question poses the possibility of a 5000- to 6000-m diameter rectenna.

The attendant potential for cost reduction of the SPS system and the earth rectenna warrants a "proof-of-concept" study.

2.6.4 PHASE ERROR CONTROL

Introduction

There are several key issues associated with maintaining accurate control of the transmitted phase front. These are as follows:

- Obtaining an accurate reference phase of the subarray level,
- Compensating for phase changes in the power amplifiers and feed system, and
- · Compensating for subarray position errors and propagation effects.

The first two effects can be compensated internal to the satellite itself by measuring the phase shifts or deducing them by range measurements. The third effect requires either transmitting a pilot beam up from the ground and measuring the phase received at each subarray, or by making a number of field measurements on the ground and deducing the subarray phase errors from them. The pilot beam approach is defined as retrodirective phase control while the latter approach is called ground reference phase control.

Although the ground referenced phase control system is the simplest, (insofar as the antenna electronics are concerned), it does not correct well for ionospheric effects. The ground fields may not be related to the antenna aperture distribution by a simple Fourier transform because of the ionospheric and atmospheric perturbations between them. On the other hand, the retrodirective approach is very attractive because detailed field measurements on the ground are not required. As long as the propagation effects are equivalent at the pilot frequency and the power frequency, the retrodirective approach gives a direct and precise measurement of the subarray phases required and may also produce the correct reference phase by frequency conversion. Several previous retrodirective phase control systems were evaluated and found to have disadvantages from the standpoint of complexity, system errors, weight and cost. An



eclectic phase control system was chosen to combine the best properties of each previously derived retrodirective phase control system. The primary advantage of the eclectic system is that it reduces a significant amount of the error inherent with the other approaches.

Comparison of Certain Retrodirective Array Candidates

Some brief comments may be made in comparing the advantages and disadvantages of certain retrodirective array approaches.

1. Eclectic Retrodirective Array

Advantages

- Concept is proven on smaller scale systems
- · Concept can be analyzed and simulated with resonable ease
- Concept provides the easiest approach for "add-ons" modification or subsystem growth items

Disadvantages

- Subsystem hardware is not well defined
- · Certain subsystem interfaces have, as yet, not been defined
- Has significant interference problem without application of spread spectrum techniques to achieve processing gain
- 2. Spread Spectrum/Phase-Lock Retrodirective Array

Advantages

- Very good hardware definition
- Most hardware interfaces well defined
- · SNR improvement with processing gain
- Bandwidth narrowing using phase-lock techniques

Disadvantages

- Concept had not been simulated or demonstrated to provide adequate proof that it will point accurately or point at all
- Concept requires cost as phase-lock loops which have significant problems with false lock and dropping out of lock
- Difficult to analyze but can be simulated
- 3. Mechanical Retrodirective Array

Advantages

- Concept is proven on smaller scale systems
- Concept can be analyzed and simulated



Disadvantages

- Mechanical tolerance limitations and aging will significantly inhibit the system's pointing accuracy
- Difficult to implement electronic "add-ons" or modifications
- This system has size/accuracy limitations
- This approach has a greater reliability problem as the result of containing a greater number of large moving parts

2.6.5 ANTENNA ARRAY SIDELOBE VARIATIONS AND PHASE ERRORS

Antenna Array Sidelobe Variations as a Function of Surface Perturbations

The effect of a surface perturbation on a basic n element antenna array can be approximated by assuming the perturbation effectively increases the array spacing by a small amount (Figure 2.6-30).



L = Array Spacing in Wavelengths

Figure 2.6-30. Effective Increase in Array Spacing by Surface Perturbation

The basic antenna array element expression for field intensity $[E(\phi)]$ as a function of L and ϕ :

$$E(\phi) = \frac{\sin (2\Pi n L \sin \phi)}{n \sin (2\Pi L \sin \phi)}, \qquad (1)$$

where n is the number of elements in the array.

By differentiating $E(\phi)$ with respect to L, an expression can be derived which will show the fractional variation in $E(\phi)$ with respect to changes in ϕ :

$$\frac{d E (\phi)}{E (\phi)} = \frac{2\Pi \sin \phi}{\sin (n \ 2\Pi \ L \ \sin \phi)} \times \left[n \cos(n \ 2\Pi \ L \ \sin \phi) - \frac{\cos (2\Pi \ L \ \sin \phi)}{\sin (2\Pi \ L \ \sin \phi)} \right] dL$$
(2)

By substituting in various values of $\dot{\phi}$, a plot of antenna sidelobe variations versus array perturbation can be obtained. Equation 2 indicates that a 2λ protrusion caused by a tube laying on its side or a solid state power module could cause first and second sidelobe variations of 8 dB and 14 dB, respectively.

SSD 79-0010-2-1



This analysis makes a simplifying assumption. It takes a two dimensional problem and reduces it to one dimensional constraints. Although the assumptions in this analysis are over simplified, the results are reasonable enough to make some good approximations.

The results indicate that the perturbing effects of klystron tubes or solid state devices protruding from the surface of the MPTS antenna array could cause variations in sidelobe levels of at least 10 dB. For a uniform array with 0 dB Gaussian taper, this could result in a 0.7 dB reduction in main lobe power. For a uniform array of 10 dB Gaussian taper, the sidelobe variations could cause approximately 0.1 dB to 0.2 dB reductions in main lobe power. The effect on Taylor weighted antenna arrays would be similar.

Although not included in this analysis, it should be noted that Figure 2.6-30 implies a small variation in angle for Equation 1 where:

 $\psi = 2\Pi L \sin \phi$

(3)

The perturbation introduced by the klystron will cause a small angular deflection:

$$\psi = 2\Pi L \sin \phi + \delta \tag{4}$$

and this will tend to introduce beam steering.

Phase Error Analysis

The principal consideration in establishing the phase error tolerance for an antenna array is the degradation of effective radiated power (ERP) which the antenna component and subsystem errors introduce. Results achieved with a retrodirective Van Atta array¹ can be extrapolated to estimate the phase error tolerances required for the retrodirective SPS MPTS antenna array. Figure 2.6-31 indicates the power degradation as a function of the uniform phase error distribution. Figure 2.6-31 shows an approximate $\pm 29^{\circ}$ phase tolerance allowed for each array element if an ERP degradation of 1 dB is allowed. This constrains each antenna element to the following phase error tolerances:

- Radiating Element ±6°
- Amplifiers and Electronics ±8°
- Feed Network ±8°
- Phase Shifter ±7°

Again, simplifying assumptions are being made because the retrodirective Van Atta array has significant differences when compared with the SPS retrodirective antenna array. However, the Van Atta results do provide a good source of tolerance and phase error estimates for the SPS MPTS array.

¹J. M. Flaherty, *Microcircuit Phased-Array Electronic Countermeasures* System, Microwave Journal, Pp. 69-74, September 1969





Figure 2.6-31. MPTS Array RF Phase Error

The estimates indicate that each basic antenna element can have an rms phase error tolerance of from $+6^{\circ}$ to $\pm 8^{\circ}$. This requirement will place strict manufacturing requirements on each element, especially the phase shifters and feed networks.

SSD 79-0010-2-1



2.7 GROUND RECEIVING STATION

2.7.1 INTRODUCTION

The microwave power transmission system (MPTS) has been described as consisting of two major elements: 1) the orbiting transmission antenna, and 2) the ground receiving antenna (rectenna). The ground receiving station (GRS) consists of the ground element of the MPTS, (the rectenna), and the power distribution, power conversion, data management, and other supporting subsystems required to collect, convert and route power to the utility interface tie lines.

The following subsections of this report address the rectenna and power distribution subsystems only. The other subsystems are not considered but may be evaluated in future studies.

2.7.2 RECTENNA

Siting

The rectenna siting analysis is discussed in Section 2.8 of Volume V of this final report. Summarizing the information discussed in that section, the GRS site requires approximately 35,000 acres. Figure 2.7-1 shows a layout of a typical site. The inner ellipse containing the rectenna panels, 10×13 km, is about 25,200 acres or 72% of the total acreage. The area surrounding the inner ellipse is utilized for maintenance facilities, access roads, converter stations and the two peripheral rows of towers which support the 40 kV dc and 500 kV ac cables. The outer perimeter of the area is fenced for security reasons. The towers which support the 500 kV ac cables are constructed of steel girders footed in concrete and are approximately 230 ft (70 meters) high. The inner towers are each comprised of four tapered steel columns 60 feet (18.3 meters) tall. Fifty-four of the larger towers and 401 of the smaller towers are required, the latter figure translating into 1604 tubular members because of the configuration.

Receiving Antenna (Rectenna)

The rectenna is postulated as being composed of many panels, nominally 9×15 meters, arranged to be perpendicular to the line of sight to the satellite as shown in Figure 2.7-2. In this section, the configuration of an individual panel and factors with respect to its location in the rectenna will be identified.

The portion of the panel initially under analysis is the structure of the microwave network that connects the individual antenna elements together and to a rectifier. The two major contenders for this network are stripline, for low cost; and wave guide, for low loss. However, there are other considerations in addition to the type of transmission line used. The main items among these are the rectifier operating point, and the type of antenna elements comprising the panel, and these will be discussed before comparing interconnect network types.





Figure 2.7-1. Operational Ground Receiving Facility (Rectenna) - Typical



Figure 2.7-2. Rectenna Panel Assembly and Installation

2-113

•



<u>Rectifier Operating Point</u>. Efficiency of a solid state rectifier is a function of the power input level. This is illustrated in Figure 2.7-3.



Figure 2.7-3. Rectifier Operating Proficiency

The curve shows that at 6 watts RF input, the efficiency is about 90%. This establishes the benefit of operating the rectifier at a reasonably high power; for the purposes of this analysis, above 6 watts. The maximum power handling capability of a rectifier is a function of many parameters encountered during its detailed design. Without pursuing these design problems further in this section, a maximum of about 45 watts has been assumed. Thus, it is desired to keep the RF power input as high as possible, but no more than 45 watts and no less than 6 watts.

The incident microwave energy from the satellite is estimated to total 5.53 GW within an elliptical area with major- and minor-axis of 13 km and 10 km respectively. The relative energy variation along the major-axis is illustrated in Figure 2.7-4. The rectenna area is arbitrarily divided into five concentric zones, with power received per unit area diminishing from the center to the edge. The chart of Figure 2.7-5 shows these zones plotted for the 10×13 km rectenna, along with some of the other assumed rectenna characteristics. Table 2.7-1 shows the average power density in each zone, and also the power density at the center and edge.

An area of .183 square meters was taken as a unit power gathering area. (this will be shown to be equivalent to a 7×7 element array with $\lambda/2$ spacing between elements.) If one rectifier is allocated to each unit power gathering area, Table 2.7-1 shows that for four out of five of the zones, the rectifier will have more than 6, but less than 45 watts input. For the fifth zone, a group of nine areas is assigned to each rectifier to maintain rectifier power in the efficient range.

By these choices of rectenna unit area, efficient rectifier operation is assured, and a baseline established to compare microwave interconnecting networks.







2-115

SSD 79-0010-2-1



REGION	NOMINAL POWER DENSITY	WATTS PER CLUSTER	TYPICAL ALLOCATION CLUSTERS (49 ELEMENTS) PER DIODE	WATTS PER DIODE
CENTER	230 W/M ²	42.1	1	42, 1
1	220	40, 3	1	40.3
П	180	33.0	1	33.0
111	100	18.3	1	. 18.3
IV	52	9.5	1	9.5
v	. 18	3.3	9 •	29.7
EDGE	10	1.8	g +	16.2

Table 2.7-1. Billboard Summary

. 183 M²/CLUSTER

SATELLITE DRIFT < ± 0.2°

Type of Antenna

The 9×15 meter antenna panel can be anything between a dense ($\lambda/2$ spacing in both directions) array of radiating elements to a single antenna (9×15 meter parabola or horn, center or offset fed). The single element concept was discarded for a number of reasons: more power than could be handled by a single rectifier, too narrow a beam for ease in pointing and to accommodate satellite drift, and bulk that could cause complications in setting up the rectenna, were the major ones.

It was suggested that under certain conditions, the satellite might drift close to 3°. This is unlikely under most conditions, but the number provides a convenient unit for determining the fewest number of elements in a panel. If the beamwidth of an individual element is to be greater than 3°, and the pointing loss is to be held below 2%, the 3 dB beamwidth of that element must be broader than 19° or 20°, which is about .183 square meters.

Some general statements about antenna type and feed networks can be made at this point to illustrate trends in performance. Some of these statements can be modified through clever design, nevertheless they are valid over large regions of the design range.

Uniform illumination is approached (a desirable characteristic for the rectenna is uniform illumination. This means that the aperture efficiency is unity, all the energy impinging on the antenna is passed to the rectifier, and none is scattered back into space) most closely by a dense array. As the number of elements is reduced, the aperture efficiency drops. However, the drop in efficiency is not linear with the inverse of the number of elements, but rapidly stabilizes at about .7 as the number of elements decreases, provided that the detailed design has as its objective the maximization of aperture efficiency.

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group



As the number of elements increases, more interconnecting transmission lines and matching devices are required, increasing network losses. From the performance point of view (neglecting rectenna structure economics) the antenna design should be a tradeoff between aperture scattering loss and network loss.

The antenna configuration baseline has been bounded by adopting a .183 square meter unit area, and allowing the design to range from a dense array of 7×7 elements spaced $\lambda/2$ to a square parabola of this same area with a single feed element. Configurations intermediate to these are a Yagi array of 12 elements, each with a capture area of about one square wavelength; a short backfire array either square or hexagonal, with a capture area of about four square wavelengths, and a parabolic trough. These configurations are illustrated in Figure 2.7-6.





Figure 2.7-6. Panel Alternative



Estimated characteristics are shown in Table 2.7-2, which also indicates the number of elements required for each rectenna panel, and some comments on aperture efficiency and unknown parameters that require further study.

CONCEPT	NUMBER OF ELEMENTS (9 × 15 M PANEL)	DESCRIPTION	COMMENTS
DENSE ARRAY (BILLBOARD)	36044	DIPOLES, X/2 SPACING SQUARE CLUSTERS OF 49 ELEMENTS	STRIPLINE INTERCONNECT MATCHING LOSS EDGE EFFECTS { NEEDS STUDY
YAGI ARRAY	9011	λ SPACING, RECTANGULAR CLUSTERS OF 12 ELEMENTS	MUTUAL COUPLING EFFECT NEEDS STUDY
SHORT BACKFIRE ARRAY	2254	2λ SPACING, SQUARE CLUSTERS OF 4 ELEMENTS	BEAM WIDTH SLIGHTLY TOO NARROW, NFEDS STUDY
TROUGH	2205	18 PARABOLIC TROUGHS YAGI FEEDS SPACED λ	APERTURE EFFICIENCY
SQUARE PARABOLAS	540	540 PARABOLAS YAGI FED	APERTURE EFFICIENCY <.7

Table 2.7-2. Rectenna Concepts

Interconnecting Network

In comparing the loss of stripline with wave guide interconnecting networks, the approach taken was to interconnect 49 elements of a dense array arranged in a square, and show that the loss is tolerable. It is then assumed that any antenna configuration with a lesser number of elements will have less network loss. Following this, arrangements for interconnecting groups of .183 square meter unit areas are examined, for use in the fifth or outer zone of the rectenna, without regard for the consequent beam narrowing that will be experienced.

A stripline configuration is shown in Figure 2.7-7. If the square 7×7 element array has its central element connected to a rectifier, and other elements connected in series parallel, the average transmission line length may be shown to be $3/2 \lambda_g$. Figure 2.7-7 illustrates this method of connecting . for one quarter of the 7×7 element array using connections λ_g long in serpentine form to fit the $\lambda/_2$ spacing. A few places require $2\lambda_g$. This configuration appears to have the shortest average transmission line length but has some severe matching problems. These could introduce losses that dominate the normal transmission line loss, taken here as .015 dB/ λ_g , but much could be done in rectifier design to avoid matching networks. Both of these factors are unknown at present. Simple line loss amounts to .02 dB or 0.5% for stripline.

A wave guide configuration is shown in Figure 2.7-8. The 49 element array consists of seven lengths of wave guide with either 7 slots or 7 dipoles in each length. The array is also center fed by another wave guide that couples at the center of each guide. This may not be the simplest feed arrangement, but it has the shortest average waveguide run between a rectifier located at





• EFFICIENCY OF FEEDING ELEMENTS REQUIRES FURTHER STUDY

Figure 2.7-8. Wave Guide Fed Billboard



the center of the array and any slot or dipole. The average path length is again $3/2 \lambda_g$, and loss for aluminum guide with $\lambda_g = 1.2\lambda$ is .0044 dB or .1%.

Although wave guide has one fifth the loss of stripline, the stripline loss is tolerable, and very much less costly to produce.

In Zone V, (of Figure 2.7-5) several .183 square meter (49 element) units should be grouped to bring the power input to the rectifiers up to an efficient operating point. Figure 2.7-9 shows four possible groupings, including the grouping of 9 suggested earlier. Average loss using stripline interconnect is computed for each of these groupings, this loss to be added to the transmission loss within each .183 square meter unit. Loss for the grouping of 9 is computed at 1.8%, while because of the shortened path, the grouping of 6 has only half this loss. Because of the group interconnect loss, and possible additional matching losses, Zone V panels will have considerably more (estimated at four times) interconnection loss of ungrouped panels in other zones.

DOES NOT INCLUDE MATCHING NETWORKS 7/2λ 4 LINES $7/2 \lambda$ PATH TO 2 LINES 7/4 λ PATH TO DIODE = 7/4 $\sqrt{2}\lambda$ 4 LINES 7/2 √2λ 4 LINES 7/2 λ DIODE = $7/4\lambda$ =1,75λ =2.47λ AVERAGE AVERAGE PATH = 4.2 \ · PATH TO TO DIODE DIODE = 2,91 λ FOR $\lambda_a = .8\lambda$, LOSS = .015 DB/ λ_a , LOSS TO BE ADDED TO CLUSTER LOSS

			-	
.033 DB .8%	•	.045 D8 1.1%	.041 DB .9%	.079 DB 1.8%
104		Figure 2.7-9.	Cluster Interconnect	

In considering the use of simple dipoles, the adequacy of linear polarization under Faraday rotation was examined. Typical values of diurnal variation at 100 MHz were extracted from the "Handbook of Geophysics and Space Environment". The variation to be expected under average conditions is 450° to 3600°. Since variation is inversely proportional to the square of the frequency, these typical values may be extrapolated to .75° to 6° at 2.45 GHz. If linear polarization is used, this could result in 0.55% loss at 6°. This is summarized in Figure 2.7-10. Should this problem be of concern, it should be estimated for the specific geometry of the locations under consideration, and also for the statistics on time that atypical conditions cause rotations greater than typical.



FARADAY ROTATION(HANDBOOK OF GEOPHYSICS
AND SPACE ENVIRONMENT)TYPICAL $\Omega = 450^{\circ}$ TO 3600° AT 100 MHz - DIURNAL VARIATION
 $\Omega \propto \frac{1}{f^2}$ AT 2.45 GHz
TYPICAL $\Omega = .75^{\circ}$ TO 6°IF UNCORRECTEDLOSS - .024 DB - .55%
POSSIBLY LARGER UNDER ATYPICAL CONDITIONSFigure 2.7-10.Polarization Considerations

Conclusions

Several obvious conclusions can be drawn from the previous discussion. Paramount is the conclusion that stripline losses are not excessive and therefore cannot be considered to eliminate this approach from consideration. Eventhough the losses beyond about 5 km exceed the 1% guideline used in efficiency studies, the significantly better than guideline losses (0.5%) experienced in the inner areas more than offset the average, and infact, the overall effect may have been to improve the situation.

The use of waveguide concepts may provide improved conversion efficiencies. However, the probable increase in manufacturing costs may be prohibitive.

The general recommendation is therefore to assume a stripline approach but to continue with the analysis of alternative approaches.

Panel Installation

Figure 2.7-2 shows a panel in the installed position. The panels are 9.33×14.69 meters and are attached to continuous concrete footings at eight points as shown. A trade-off which considered eight individual footings versus continuous footings was made (Volume V). A maximum wind force of 90 mph was assumed. It was determined that the amount of concrete required for either approach was essentially the same but that the continuous footing concept was easier to install.

Threaded inserts are placed in the concrete during the pouring process and provide the means for mounting the panel attach fittings which are capable of longitudinal and lateral adjustment. Screw jacks are installed at each of the four rear attach points to allow for panel adjustment and alignment.

Details of panel construction are shown in Figure 2.7-11. Four standard size eight inch I beams, to which the attach fittings are secured, are spaced in the lateral (14.69 meters) direction. Galvanized steel hat sections (thin sheet, 0.020 inches thickness) of the dimensions as shown are mounted in the longitudinal direction (14.69 meters) and provide the mounting for the substrate containing the electronic components. The substrate (with components) is delivered to the on-site assembly plant, in strips of 9.33×0.74 meters.

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group





Figure 2.7-11. Rectenna Array Support Structure

The panels are supported in the inclined position by tubular steel members which provide an angle from the horizontal of 40° for this example, (latitude = 34°N). Each panel weighs 2080 kg, of which approximately 85% is steel.

Panel Hookup

From Table 2.7-2 it can be calculated that each panel can require approximately 735 diodes (in sectors I-IV, in sector V their will be approximately 82). Total number of diodes required in the farm is estimated to be approximately 330×10^6 . The output of each cluster/diode rectifier are series connected so as to provide 40.5 kV "voltage strings", (13 kW @ 0.321 amp.). It is estimated that the rectenna farm will have available approximately 329,000 strings. The number of diodes per string will vary depending upon location in the rectenna farm area. The average number per string is estimated to be approximately 1000⁺.

2.7.3 POWER DISTRIBUTION

The power available from each "voltage string" on the rectenna panels must now be collected and distributed to various feeders and buses. From there the power is routed through interface converters and eventually converted to utility inter-ties. Figure 2.7-12 represents a simplified schematic of the basic station distribution subsystem.







3.0 POINT DESIGN

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group



3.0 POINT DESIGN

3.1 INTRODUCTION

This section describes the Rockwell International Photovoltaic Satellite Based Satellite Power System (SPS) Point Design as it has been defined through March 1979. The point design to be presented is the result of a series of trade studies performed during the period January 1977 through March 1979 under contract NAS8-32475. The initial contract period effort is documented in Volume IV of report SD 78-AP-0023-4, Final Report, SPS Point Design Definition, dated April 1978. An updated version was released in July 1978 in document SD 78-AP-0113. The additional data established during the period August 1978 through March 1979 has been incorporated in this document.

The reader is cautioned that apparent inconsistencies may be observed during a review of this document. These inconsistencies result from the differing termination dates of the various subsystem trade and design activities. These inconsistencies will be identified whenever they exist in the text describing detailed subsystem point design(s).

The system relationship of the total Satellite Power System is depicted in Figure 3.1-1. This document discusses only the first two elements; the satellite and ground systems.

A summary of the primary program ground rules followed in development of this version of the photovoltaic (CR-2) satellite is as follows:

- IOC Date: 2000
- Program Size: 300 GW by 2028 (10 GW per year, average)
- System Life: 30 years
- Cost: 1977 constant dollars (7.5% discount rate)

Systems	Available	in	the	1980's:	Shuttle	1980
					IUS	1981
					OTV	1987
	Systems	Systems Available	Systems Available in	Systems Available in the	Systems Available in the 1980's:	Systems Available in the 1980's: Shuttle IUS OTV

This section covers the major subsystems of the satellite system including power conversion, power distribution and control, microwave, attitude control and stationkeeping, thermal control, structures, and information management and control. In addition, ground and space systems are described covering the rectenna and utility interface.





Figure 3.1-1. SPS System Relationships

3.2 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

3.2.1 GENERAL

Figure 3.2-1 establishes the relationship of the Satellite Power System (SPS) program elements. Discussion in the following paragraphs is limited to a description of the satellite and the associated ground receiving station.

The satellite may be considered to be made up of a nonrotating section, (associated with the conversion of solar energy to electrical energy), and a rotating section, (concerned with the conversion of electrical energy into its RF equivalent and the transmission of the RF to the associated ground receiver). The ground receiving station is treated as a single unit.

The point design photovoltaic concept is shown in Figure 3.2-1 and was designed to supply 4.61 GW of electrical power to the utility grid on the ground. The SPS is a three trough configuration having reflective membranes at a 60° slant angle. It has a single microwave antenna, located in the center or at the end of the configuration. The overall dimensions of the SPS troughs are approximately:

- Length 16 km
- Width 3.9 km
- Depth 0.564 km.





Figure 3.2-1. 5 GW Photovoltaic (CR-2)

The mass is estimated to be $30-33 \times 10^6$ kg and includes a 25% growth factor. In geosynchronous orbit the longitudinal axis of the SPS is oriented perpendicular to the orbital plane. The SPS design is based on construction in GEO.

Functional requirements for the photovoltaic SPS are summarized in Table 3.2-1. Primary operational requirements are summarized in Table 3.2-2.

Figure 3.2-2 presents the basic efficiency of the overall SPS and indicates the relative efficiencies of each of the major sub-elements of the system. Overall efficiency of the system is shown to be approximately 6.29%.

3.2.2 SATELLITE

The satellite is comprised of seven major subsystems (Figure 3.2-3). Attitude control directly affects power generation efficiency and includes satellite-rectenna pointing. Power generation, distribution and transmission are dominant mission functions, while thermal control is essential to dissipation of the large amounts of waste heat. Coordination of satellite operations is performed by the Information Management and Control Subsystem (IMCS) as shown in Figure 3.2-4.

All subsystems support the mission functions of power generation, distribution and transmission. Electrical power output from the solar panels is fed via switch gears into feeder buses and then into main distribution busses to the antenna (Figures 3.2-5 and 3.2-6). Power is also distributed to batteries so that critical functions, such as IMCS and thermal support, can be provided through solar eclipses.

The microwave power transmission subsystem (MPTS) (Figure 3.2-7) consists of dc-to-microwave conversion devices which feed an array antenna. Phasing control is maintained by use of a pilot beam originating at the rectenna and received at the satellite antenna.



Table 3.2-1. Point Design Solar Array Functional Requirements

PROCRAMMATIC	
ENERCY SOURCE	SOLAR
CAPACITY	5 GW DELIVERED TO UTILITY NETWORKS
LIFETINE	30 YEARS WITH WININUM PLANNED WAINTENANCE (SHOULD BE CAPABLE OF Extended life beyond 30 years with replacement)
IOC DATE	1998
OPERATIONS	GEOSYNCH ORBIT: 0-DFG INCLIN, CIRCULAR (35,786 km ALTITUDE)
RESOURCES	MINIMUM USE OF CRITICAL RISOURCES
COMMERCIALIZATION	COMPATIBLE WITH U.S. UTILITY NETWORKS
DEVELOPMENT	EVOLUTIONARY WITH PROVISIONS FOR INCORPORATING LATER TECHNOLOGY
DELECT POWER	POWER LEVEL IS DEFINED AS CONSTANT POWER LEVEL (EXCEPT DURING SOLAR ECLIPSE
WEIGHT GROWTH	25%.
ENERGY STORAGE	TO SUPPORT ON-BOARD SATELLITE SYSTEM OPERATIONS ONLY
FAILURE CRITERIA	NO SINGLE POINT FAILURE HAY CAUSE TOTAL LOSS OF SPS FUNCTION
ENERGY PAYBACK	LESS THAN 3 YR
COST	COMPETITIVE WITH GRND-BASED PWR GENERATION WITHIN LIFETIME OF SPS PROJECT
STORAGE	ONE YEAR ON BOARD WITHOUT RESUPPLY

Table 3.2-2. Point Design Solar Array Functional Requirements - Operations

NODE	ASSEMBLY	FUNCTIONS		
CONSTRUCTION	SUBSYSTEM	NONE		
INTER-ORBIT TRANSFFR	SUBSYSTEM	NONE		
OPERATIONS	SUBSYSTEM REFLECTOR	STEADY-STATE OPERATION SIZED FOR EOL POWER RATING		
eclipse	SUBSYSTEM BATTERIES	SHUT DOWN BEFORE ENTERING ECLIPSE STANDBY (ZERO POWER) TURN ON AFTER LEAVING ECLIPSE & ARRAYS REACH EQUIL- IBRIUM TEMPERATURE SUPPLY POWER FOR ESSENTIAL FUNCTIONS		
FAILURE/ MAINTENANCE	SUBSYSTEM PWR KODULL*	REDUNDANT OPERATION, AUTO SHUTDOWN, MANUAL STARTUP SHUT DOWN & ISOLATE FAILED MODULE, REPLACE SOLAR CELL BLANKET AND/OR REFLECTOR		
CHECKOUT	SUBSYSTEM	FAIL-SAFE CHECKS; CONTROL RESPONSE		
•SOLAR CELL/BLANKET/REFLECTOR WODULE				

٠.



Figure 3.2-2. Solar Photovoltaic (CR-2) Efficiency Chain

SSD 79-0010-2-1











Figure 3.2-4. Subsystem IMCS Relationships

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group





Figure 3.2-5. Power Generation Subsystem



Figure 3.2-6. Power Distribution Subsystem





Figure 3.2-7. Microwave Transmission Subsystem

Microwave control and solar eclipses are two major areas of consideration because they are critical to SPS operations. Beam control is initiated from the rectenna through the pilot beam, which is used in a conjugation scheme to provide phase control signals for fine pointing.

Another factor which must be considered when evaluating operational requirements are the solar eclipses which occur during the spring and autumn and last for up to 1.2 hours. Figure 3.2-8 shows the expected ecliptic periods and their duration as a function of the calendar year. For rectenna sites in the northern hemisphere the eclipses will be centered about midnight.

A reasonable way to view the satellite system is to consider the entire satellite as being made up of two major on-orbit assemblies operating in concert at GEO. These on-orbit assemblies are the non-rotating, sun oriented solar arrays; and the rotating, Earth oriented power antenna.



Figure 3.2-8. Eclipse Periods for Geosynchronous Circular Orbits

Rockwell International

3-9 9-



Non-Rotating (Solar Array)

The non-rotating subelement of the SPS satellite consists of the GaAlAs solar cells and the supporting subsystems required to operate the satellite in a sun oriented mode. Included in this subelement are Information Management and Control subsystem assemblies required to monitor and control the power generation devices; the power distribution network as well as all remaining subsystem functions. Figure 3.2-9 shows the basic energy flow path for one of the 1600×600-m electrical bays. The full satellite has 30 bays.

Rotating (Antenna)

The rotating subelement consists of the antenna primary and secondary structure, the microwave conversion and transmission assemblies and the elements of the various supporting subsystems required to operate the microwave transmission system. Figure 3.2-10 is a representation of the power distribution network on the antenna.

3.2.3 GROUND RECEIVING STATION

A major element in the SPS is the ground receiving station (GRS). This power receiver consists of a set of receiving antennas whose output is rectified, filtered and added to a summing network to form the system power output. The GRS is shown in Figure 3.2-11. The receiving antenna dipoles are constructed in striplines on dielectric material and assembled into panels tilted so as to be normal to the power beam from the satellite. Since the satellite is in synchronous orbit, it will be considered to be at a fixed location in space.

A large power collection grid subsequently gathers the power from all the panels and routes the collected power to large power conversion stations. The output of the conversion stations is then interconnected with one or more utility grids for consumer use.

3.2.4 OPERATIONS

Introduction

This section presents the considerations for a preliminary end-to-end operations scenario for a SPS system consisting of a single satellite and a single GRS. Where appropriate, requirements are identified for automated operations and for predicting and managing power outages.

Purpose

The purpose of this initial discussion is to outline startup and nominal functional operations for the satellite. This discussion is structured to develop a basic functional flow which can be expanded to cover the entire system, i.e., the space and ground elements, as the design evolves during the study.



Figure 3.2-9. Power Distribution - Nonrotating (Preliminary) Typical Bay

SSD 79-0010-2-1

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group

Rockwell International





Figure 3.2-11. Rectenna Installation

3 - 12.



Scope

This initial functional report covers only the satellite and its interfaces with the rectenna or its local control center. The scope is limited to identification and outlining of major operations areas for later verification and expansion. Ground-based functions, including the regional control center, are to be included in subsequent efforts.

Satellite functional descriptions are constrained to startup and nominal operations because of the limited time and resources available, and because these are fundamental operations areas affecting system feasibility and understanding.

Approach

Satellite control is functionally discussed from two perspectives: 1) nominal satellite and antenna control, and 2) startup and shutdown operations. These are then considered in a logical time/subsystem ordered sequence to facilitate development of a comprehensive operations scenario.

Operations Scenario

The operations begin with preliminary preparations for power generation and traces the power flow, enabling commands and system controls across the satellite-GRS/control center interfaces. The satellite is assumed to have been assembled and to have completed basic individual subsystems checkout. At this point it is expected to be ready for startup operations during which each subsystem is brought on line and integrated with other elements in logical order.

Start-Up. Start-up operations are divided between the satellite and its antenna.

Satellite Operations. Five major areas of satellite operations have been identified as follows:

- · Status checking of all system components
- · Attitude control to enable solar power conversion
- · Power generation by the solar blankets
- · Power distribution to the antenna rotary joint
- Voltage regulation to account for losses and aging

Status. Prior to start-up, all satellite subsystems must be checked for ready status. These include structure, attitude control, solar blankets, power distribution, thermal control and the information management and control system. A status check command or request would be expected to be received from the GRS control center indicating that the ground systems are ready for operations and that status of the satellite must now be checked. If the satellite is manned, this request might be a simple voice communications or a digital message displayed on a screen.



The IMCS is a central element in the checkout of all satellite subsystems. It is assumed to already be in an "on" status since it is required at all times for satellite operations, such as attitude control. However, it may be in a standby mode with only essential support functions operative. Control support to power generation, distribution, and microwave transmission may be in an "off" or standby status. Whatever the existing IMCS configuration and operational condition, the IMCS must be checked out. IMCS operative portions are placed into automatic sequencing checks and non-operating portions are brought on line and similarly status checked.

After IMCS checkout is complete, measurements data are accepted from the attitude control, structural, thermal, solar blanket and power distribution subsystems, and verified. These include temperatures, positions, pressures, voltages, etc. Next, limited control actions are issued to the various subsystems to check control loops and operating modes. Even though measurements and controls, as compared to the antenna, are relatively limited on the satellite, they still represent a hundred thousand or more data points which are sampled a number of times. Similarly over ten thousand control points are exercised. Such checkout sequences will be automatically implemented with human monitoring of test results on board the satellite in its master control center and/or on the ground in the rectenna control center. The time duration of such status checks may well be established by subsystem stabilization time constants rather than the actual time to automatically sequence through the various functions.

Attitude Control. Once all systems are checked out, the satellite must, concurrently, be oriented to its solar inertial attitude for power generation. For example, it may have been allowed to drift several degrees in attitude during the time since completion of assembly and basic checkout. Such drift errors must be corrected prior to initiation of power generation, Since stationkeeping is included in this function, the geographic location of the satellite centroid must be verified in relation to the rectenna location. Any undesirable drift must be eliminated and the satellite's longitude location refined.

The physical pointing angle of the microwave antenna is also controlled by this subsystem. Once the satellite achieves its proper solar orientation and longitude location with residual drift errors eliminated, the antenna gimbal drives are controlled to bring the antenna within boresight of the rectenna. This is likely to be a process that will take a significant period of time because of the large masses involved. Both support from the GRS site to assist boresighting, and power support from the solar blankets to the gimbal drives will be required.

Solar Blankets. The solar blankets are brought on line by closing switch gears between pairs of adjacent bay segments, each of which are 25×750-m and provide 276 amps nominal at 22.8 kV. Each bay has 24 segments. Two segments are connected to the first switch gear so that either one can be connected to corresponding segment pairs in the second panel, thus providing flexibility for current control and panel outages. Paired segments from the first panel nominally provide 552 amps to the 2nd bay panels which are then connected in series by a second set of switch gear to achieve the required voltage level of



45.7 kV. Bay segment pairs are sequentially brought on line from all 30 bays of the satellite until the power demands are met.

Power Distribution. Bay bus switch gears isolate each bay segment pair from the bay buses. As each bay panel pair is connected, it is tied to the main buses through closure of the related switch gear. During this connection process, bus temperatures and current measurements must be monitored to detect any shorts throughout the vast bus runs of the satellite so that controlled emergency disconnects can occur under IMCS or local breaker control to avoid catastrophic effects. Nominally, 208,000 amps at 42.9 kV are fed into the two antenna slip rings for transmission to Earth. During the startup sequence (as well as during nominal operations) it will be necessary to monitor ground power flows because of their possible influence on satellite procedures.

Voltage Regulation. Voltage regulation is obtained by selectively shorting module segments within the 2nd (series) panel. During the solar blanket connection process, voltage levels of each bay segment pair are monitored continuously to assure proper matching between outputs and the main antenna summing bus.

Antenna. Antenna startup operations are divided into status checking, power distribution, power storage, pointing initialization, thermal stabilization, acquisition of the rectenna and fine pointing including focus.

Starus. The electronic and pointing status of the microwave antenna must be verified prior to power distribution for space-ground transmission. For example, the previously verified boresight of the antenna to the rectenna may have drifted so that updating may be required. This type of boresight support, from the GRS control center, may be required on a continuous basis.

Concurrently with boresight verification, the power distribution, thermal and phase control electronics must be checked. The switch gears on the antenna must be verified for proper positioning and functioning. The ability of the thermal control system to provide both heating and cooling is confirmed. Finally the phase control electronics are activated to ensure that all components are properly functioning. The reference phase generator is energized and the reference distribution servos are exercised to confirm that they are operating properly. Retro electronics are activated so that the pilot beams from the GRS can be received and processed through the monopulse receiver subsystem. The beam programmers are also checked through use of built-in test procedures.

Power Distribution. If the satellite is experiencing a solar eclipse, internal battery power is utilized to energize the satellite emergency distribution buses. The main power module switch gears are opened while switches at the subarray, mechanical module and bus levels may be left closed to provide power to antenna electronics for checkout and thermal stabilization.

If the satellite is leaving an eclipse, antenna electronic phase control must be reactivated and power fed to the klystrons for transmission to Earth. The satellite's power distribution system is reenergized and stabilized; the antenna ring brush switch gears are closed, allowing power to be distributed



to the 32 dc-dc central converters. These provide the 59 kW to each klystron collector at 5 voltage levels. Five-pole switch gears are then closed allowing the power to enter the primary and secondary feeders, where additional sets of 5-pole switches determine whether 1 or both secondary feeders may be activated (redundantly). In the same manner, the 5-pole switch gears at the mechanical and power module levels are sequentially closed until power flows through the klystrons and is transmitted to Earth.

Power Storage. Prior to the satellite entering an eclipse, the batteries are checked and brought up to full storage capacity to ensure IMCS, thermal, attitude control, communications, and other vital functions when photovoltaic power is lost. Closed loop control of the charging process is maintained through the IMCS until the batteries are fully charged.

When the satellite enters the eclipse, the photovoltaic supply buses are shut down and the emergency buses provide subsystem power. Prior to this time, the klystron power modules are switched off. Non-essential subsystems are shut down or placed in a standby mode to preserve power during the up to 1.2 hours eclipse time. GRS control center support is used to update orbit predictions for eclipses and generally support scheduling of satellite operations prior to predictable power outages.

Initialization. Initialization of antenna operations begins with activation of the reference frequency module and computation of coarse phase angles by the beam programmers, which command the digital diode phase shifters. Pilot signals are fed through the retro electronics to initiate the phase conjugation process. Cathode heater power is applied during initial startup to bring the klystrons up to a stabilized temperature for accurate phase control. This heater power is maintained through all eclipses and other scheduled standby situations.

Under IMCS control, the amount of power fed to the klystron is increased while monitoring phase and pointing stability. All scheduled klystrons are brought on line simultaneously with this gradual process to first establish pointing and then the required power levels. Klystron operation is carefully monitored and local arc detection circuits/circuit breakers disconnect any power module which malfunctions.

Thermal Stabilization. Thermal stabilization of klystron cathode temperatures is extremely important to accurate phase control and fine pointing. Phase control and fine pointing are achieved using pilot beam and reference phase comparisons through the monopulse bridge and monopulse receiver. Phase error signals are provided to the beam programmer for correcting the coarse phase angle which was computed at startup. The klystron output phase is also fed back into a phase bridge. Thus, individual klystron manufacturing irregularities and aging differences are automatically accounted for.

During eclipses when excessive cooling could occur, the battery storage subsystem provides cathode heating capability to prepare the system for restart as the satellite emerges from the eclipse.



Conversely; antenna array temperatures on the back side where control electronics are mounted must remain less than 100°C. The IMCS continuously monitors component temperatures and adjusts power levels or heater input power (if required) to maintain required temperatures consistent with the passive heat pipe cooling system used on the antenna.

Phasing. Once the MPTS acquires the rectenna by locking on to the pilot signals, the antenna must also be phased (focused) so that all down-linked power remains within the confines of the rectenna and is within given safe power levels. The beam programmer computes a phase gradient to be applied across each subarray. This, in turn, is locked to the reference phase which is generated at the reference subarray.

After lock-on to the rectenna is achieved, phase gradient corrections begin to achieve the required beam pattern. Signal strength is also monitored at the GRS and transmitted to the satellite to provide independent confirmation of beam location and focus.

<u>Nominal Operations</u>. At this point, the satellite has reached stabilized power production and transmission of that power to the GRS. Power demands are constantly monitored at the GRS and transmitted to the satellite so that system surges can be mitigated and scheduled maintenance can be planned. For example, as midnight is reached at the users' locations, power demands reduce. Solar blankets, antenna components or other related power production elements may be removed from the line for replacement or repair on a progressive basis to avoid future unscheduled shutdowns.

Satellite and GRS status are constantly monitored so that any unscheduled power production or distribution changes can be accommodated. Constant communications, voice, data, and commands, are required between the satellite and the GRS control center to achieve effective coordination.

Progressive maintenance inspections are performed on the satellite to insure reliable operation. The IMCS is constantly monitoring the status of components. As out-of-tolerance conditions are noted, the affected element is scheduled for repair or replacement before failure. As a statistical basis is developed for predicting problem conditions, inspections and replacements are pre-scheduled at convenient times before the problem occurs. The GRS control center is expected to provide some support through logistical scheduling and data base maintenance.

Scheduled outages occur during solar eclipses. These time periods can be utilized for minor repairs and replacements. Prior to each eclipse, coordinated planning occurs between the satellite and GRS control center crews to develop a master maintenance schedule. Since an eclipse may last for a maximum of 1.2 hours, careful planning is needed to capitalize on this time for component replacements. Once replacement parts are installed and safe conditions are verified, selective activation of the affected subsystems is implemented to check out replaced parts in preparation for startup subsequent to the eclipse.



Communications

While communications have not been specifically studied in this preliminary analysis, a number of implications have been drawn. The satellite must maintain continuous contact with the GRS control center. This includes voice, data, video, and commands in both directions. In addition, the dual uplink pilot beams from the GRS are crucial to acquisition and fine pointing (within 0.02 degrees). The high EMI environment in the near vicinity of the satellite imposes difficult conditions for communications. This requires primary emphasis and special design considerations.

Intra-satellite communications are highly dependent upon optical data buses to avoid the EMI problem.

Space-ground communications may have to be encrypted to avoid command intrusions and interference. Data compression may be required if data traffic becomes too heavy due to large data base updates, significant amounts of video traffic, or excessive interference.

3.2.5 MASS PROPERTIES

A detailed mass property summary is shown in Table 3.2-3.

	WEIGHT (MILLIONS KG)	
SUBSYSTEM	CENTER MOUNT	END MOUNT
COLLECTOR ARRAY STRUCTURES AND MECHANISMS POWER SOURCE POWER DISTRIBUTION AND CONTROL ATTITUDE CONTROL INFORMATION MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL	1.122 7.855 0.882 0.116 0.050	1.260 7.855 2.603 0.116 0.050
TOTAL ARRAY (DRY)	10.025	11.884
ANTENNA SECTION STRUCTURE AND MECHANISMS THERMAL CONTROL MICROWAVE POWER POWER DISTRIBUTION AND CONTROL INFORMATION MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL TOTAL ANTENNA SECTION (DRY)	0.977 1.408 7.012 4.505 	0.977 1.408 7.012 4.505 <u>0.630</u> 14.532
TOTAL SPS DRY WEIGHT Growth (25%)	24.557 6.137	26.416 6.604
TOTAL SPS DRY WEIGHT WITH GROWTH	30.694	33.020

Table 3.2-3. Satellite Mass Properties

3 - 18


3.3 SUBSYSTEMS

3.3.1 SATELLITE

This section presents in some detail the selected subsystem concepts and characteristics of the various major satellite subsystems. The detailed subsystems are: 1) power generation; 2) power distribution and control; 3) microwave antenna; 4) information management and control; 5) attitude control and stationkeeping; 6) structures; and 7) thermal control.

Additional functional areas such as communications, safety, security, etc., have not been defined as of this time, and therefore although they are considered of major importance, are not included.

The relationships of the various subsystem elements onboard the satellite are shown in Figure 3.3-1.



Figure 3.3-1. Total System

Power Generation

The solar photovoltaic power subsystem consists of the solar cells, blankets, attachment devices, and includes the reflector membranes and attachment devices. Gallium aluminum arsenide (GaAlAs) cells have been selected as the point design solar cell. The cell is fastened to a thin-film Kapton substrate with an FEP adhesive. The photovoltaic power conversion subsystem is designed for a geometric concentration ratio of 2.



The functional requirements for the photovoltaic power subsystems were listed in Tables 3.2-1 and 3.2-2. The system efficiency block diagram was shown in Figure 3.2-2. Shown in the figure are power levels, efficiencies, temperatures, degradation factors and solar cell area requirements. A simplified integrated block diagram for the CR-2 point design concept is presented in Figure 3.3-2. The major assemblies and components that are required for the photovoltaic subsystem are shown in Figure 3.3-3.



Figure 3.3-3. Assembly Tree - Power Generation

Solar Cells. The solar cell used in the SPS design is a GaAlAs cell having an efficiency of 18.2 percent at Air Mass Zero (AMO) and 113°C. The cell consists of the GaAlAs junction, GaAlAs window, cover/substrate, current



collectors, and an anti-reflection coating. The basic cell design is the inverted GaAs/sapphire design having a weight of 0.252 kg/m^2 . The various cell designs that were investigated and the selected design are shown in Figure 3.3-4. The point design cell has a 20-µm sapphire substrate upon which is grown a 5-µm single crystal GaAs junction. A 500-Å GaAlAs window is then deposited on the 5-µm junction. The voltage and current characteristics of the cell as a function of operating temperature are shown in Figure 3.3-5.



Figure 3.3-4. Solar Cell Design



() ~ toL





Solar Blanket. The solar blanket consists of a 25-µm Kapton membrane upon which the cells are fastened with a thermosetting FEP adhesive. Also included in the blanket are the interconnects, transparent thermal coating required for thermal control, attachments, tensioning devices, and sensors. The solar cell blankets will be manufactured in blanket form and the solar cells attached. This assembly will then be rolled up on a drum type canister. It is postulated that the blankets will be 25 m wide by approximately 750 m in length. The canisters are then transported to orbit where the blankets are deployed via a rollout deployment-type operation.

The solar blanket layout for the GaAlAs point design configuration is shown in Figure 3.3-6 and 3.3-7. The solar panel in each trough (effective cell area) measures 600×750 -m \times 2 for 900,000-m². Twenty-four panels are required for the troughs. The total deployed solar area for the SPS is 27×10^6 m². The basic building block is a 1 m² module configuration and the cells are connected together in a series parallel arrangement. The module output is calculated to be 352.6 W/m² at the end of life. The cell characteristics and cell design used to form a submodule for the solar blanket is shown in Figure 3.3-6, the cell arrangement is illustrated in Figure 3.3-7.



Figure 3.3-6. Solar Panel Power Output

<u>Reflectors</u>. This reflector membranes are used on the SPS to reflect the sum onto the solar cell surfaces and obtain a nominal concentration ratio of 2. The reflector is made of 12.5 μ m (0.5 mil) aluminized Kapton. Reflectivity of the reflector was taken at 0.87 BOL and 0.83 EOL. The reflector membrane has a mass of 0.018 kg/m². The reflective membranes are mounted on the structure using attachments and tensioning devices. Tensioning based on structural limit of the existing beam design (with safety factor of 1.5) indicates that tensioning of up to 75 psi can be used.

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group





Figure 3.3-7. GaAlAs Solar Cell Blanket Cross Section

Reflectivity values for coated metal reflectors and thin film membranes are illustrated in Table 3.3-1. The thin film membranes utilizing an A& coating has the potential of low weight and cost with high reflectivity. The table indicates that an aluminized film of at least 200 Å is required for a reflectivity of 0.87. In the study, a 500 Å coating was used on the Kapton film. The radiation dose rates for a geosynchronous orbit are presented in Table 3.3-2. Long term and on-orbit testing is required to verify the actual reflectivity values to use for the design of the SPS reflectors. The Kapton film properties such as ultimate strength, coefficient of thermal expansion and dielectric strength as a function of temperature are shown in Figure 3.3-8.

Table 3.3-1. Reflectivity

SILVERED GLASS ~ 0.83 3M SCOTCHCAL 500 ~ 0.85 (ALUMINIZED ACRYLIC) SHELDAHL ALUMINIZED TEFLON ~ 0.97 <u>THIRTY YEAR DEGRADATION FACTORS</u> METEOROID FACTOR • 0.995 THERMAL CYCLING • 0.990 (DUE TO EXPANSION/CONTRACTION INITIATED BY ECLIPSE PASSAGE) RADIATION RESISTANCE • 0.965 (ALLOWANCE) 30 YEAR E.O.L. REFLECTIVITY • 0.827] (1) REFERENCE: R. 8. PETTIT NASA A77-49074







Results of analysis show weight savings with the utilization of concentrators. The selected design concept for the point design is the 60° Vee trough configuration. Weight, cost, on-orbit assembly and fabrication and design complexity were used in the selection of the 60° Vee point design configuration. Weight comparisons showed that penalties for ±1 degree misorientation in reflector oversizing at concentration ratios of 5 and penalties for 1000 psi tensioning are prohibitive. It appears that attitude control errors are controllable and that high membrane tensioning may not be a real requirement. Preliminary radiation tests indicate insignificant reflectivity degradation for aluminized thin film Kapton, e.g., MSFC tests at 10^{15} proton/cm² (2 MeV) and Rockwell tests at 10^{15} protons/cm (0.7 MeV). The point design solar array sizing model allows for 18% reflectivity degradation over 30 years which in view of some of the newer test data appears to be extremely conservative.

<u>Subsystem Characteristics</u>. The mass of the solar cells, solar blanket, and concentrator for the SPS are shown in Table 3.3-3. The mass of each component of the three major assemblies is defined. The total mass is 7.85×10^6 kg, (center mount) excluding the mass of the attachments and tensioning devices for the solar blanket and the concentrator, i.e., -0.79×10^6 kg additional mass required for attachments and tension devices accounted for in secondary structure.

The GaAlAs solar cell arrays are designed to generate the electrical power for the SPS. The system is capable of delivering 5 GW of electrical power to the utility user on the ground. The end-of-life (EOL) output of the array is 9.52 GW, delivered to the distribution system. The overall system efficiency of the SPS is 6.47%. The solar cells are fastened on a 25- μ m (1-mil) Kapton membrane which is mounted by tensioning devices or straps to the main structural members of the SPS. The array design parameters, efficiencies, materials of construction, area requirements, and mass are presented in Table 3.3-4.





(a) Ü Ultimate Tensile Strength and Coefficient of Thermal

Figure 3.3-8. Kapton Type H

SSD 79-0010-2-1



	MASS (KG × 10 ⁻⁶)
· SOLAR CELLS	
COMPONENT	
GaAs CELL	0.717
GaAlAs WINDOW	0.007
SAPPHIRE (COVER/SUBSTRATE)	2.150
CURRENT COLLECTORS	1.622
AIR COATING	0.002
SUBTOTAL	4.492
• SOLAR BLANKET	
KAPTON BLANKET	0.974
TRANSPARENT POLYMER-	-
THERMAL COATING	0.244
ADHESIVES	0.730
INTERCONNECTS	0.378
SUBTOTAL	2.326 (6.818)
· CONCENTRATOR	
KAPTON BLANKET	1.031
REFLECTIVE MATERIAL	0.006
SUBTOTAL	1.037
TOTAL WT: 7.85	55×10° KG

Table 3.3-3. Solar Photovoltaic Power Conversion Mass

Table 3.3-4. GaAlAs Solar Cell and Blanket Design and Performance Characteristics

ITEM	CHARACTERISTIC
ARRAY INTERCEPTED ENERGY CELL n AT 28°C, AMO CELL n AT 113°C, AMO ARRAY OUTPUT TO DISTRIB. BUS EOL ARRAY OUTPUT VOLTAGE CELL OUTPUT VOLTAGE AT 113°C CELLS IN SERIES SOLAR CELL SUBPANEL SIZE NUMBER OF BAYS PER SPS ARRAY DESIGN FACTOR REFLECTIVITY & DEGRADATION	69 GW 20% 18.15% 9.52 GW 45.7 kV 0.7 V 65,000 600×750 m 60 89% 0.90 80L, 0.72 E0L
CONCENTRATION RATIO Geometric Bol Eol	2 1.9 1.86
SOLAR CELL CONSTRUCTION COVER CELL INTERCONNECT SUBSTRATE ADHESIVE FILM TRANSPARENT THERMAL COATING SPECIFIC WEIGHT	20 μm SAPPHIRE 5 μm GaAlAs 12.5 μm SILVER MESH 12.5 μm FEP 25 μm KAPTON 6 μm POLYMER 0.2525 kg/m ² (0.0516 1b/ft ²)
DEPLOYED CELL & BLANKET AREA PLANFORM Solar cell area Reflector surface area	62.4 km ² 27 km ² 54 km ²
MASS Solar Cells Reflectors	6.818×10 ⁶ kg 1.037×10 ⁶ kg
TOTAL MASS	7.855×10° kg



Thin reflective membranes are used on the SPS to reflect the sun onto the solar cell surface and obtain a nominal concentration ratio of 2. The reflector is made of 12.5 μ m (0.5-mil) aluminized Kapton. The reflectors are mounted on the main structural members at a 60° angle with respect to the solar blanket. The reflector design parameters, operating temperatures, area, and mass are presented in Table 3.3-5.

Table	3.3-5.	SPS	Reflector	Design	and
	Performa	ance	Characters	istics	

]	ITEH	CHARACTERISTIC
MATERIAL KAPTON THICKNESS KAPTON SPECIFIC GRAVITY ALUMINIZED COATING THICKNESS WEIGHT OF ALUMINIZED COATING REFLECTOR SURFACE PROTECTIVE FILM COATING		ALUMINIZED KAPTON 12.5 KM 1.42 (0.018 KG/M ²) 400 ANGSTROM UNITS 96 KG/KM ² QUARTZ OR CALCIUM FLUORIDE
	REFLECTOR SUBPANEL SIZE NUMBER OF REFLECTOR PANELS REFLECTOR REFLECTIVITY/DEGRADATION CONCENTRATION RATIO GEOMETRIC CONCENTRATION RATIO REFLECTOR SLANT ANGLE FROM HORIZ.	600×750 M 120 0.87 80L, 0.83 EOL 2.0 1.9 BOL, 1.83 EOL 60 DEG
	OPERATING TEMPERATURE Top Reflectors Inboard Bottom Reflectors Outboard Bottom Reflectors	-52°C -46°C -73°C
	TOTAL AREA OF REFLECTORS Total weight of reflectors	108.0×10* H ² 1.037×10 ⁶ KG

Solar array switch gears as shown in Figure 3.3-9 are provided in each string of solar cells for isolation and maintenance. Voltage regulation of the solar array, power output, and beginning-of-life (BOL) excess power dissipation will be controlled by selective switching of isolation/regulation switch gears on array submodules. (Note: These switch gears are part of solar array assembly.) Optimum power output will be assured at all times by proper sizing and design of the submodules, their associated switch gear and IMCS control of the switching, in addition to control of the various loads. Voltages and currents being handled by the switch gears will be monitored by the IMCS to determine their status and to establish a need for the opening and closing of these switches. The switch gear and control are discussed in the power distribution and control and the IMCS sections of this report.

Power Distribution and Control

Introduction. The power distribution and control subsystem (PDS) receives power from the power generation subsystem, and provides the regulation and switching required to deliver power for distribution to the satellite power users. During the ecliptic periods, batteries will be utilized to supply the minimum required power to the various subsystems. Figure 3.3-10 illustrates the major assemblies comprising the PDS. Functional requirements are shown in Table 3.3-6. The subsystem consists of main feeders, secondary feeders, tie bars, summing buses, voltage converters, switch gear, circuit breakers,



Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group

Rockwell · International

٠

SSD 79-0010-2-1

1





Figure 3.3-10. PDS Assembly Tree

	والمتحدين والمراجع المتقاد والمحد ومحمد ومحمد والمتحد والمراجع والمتحد والمحاج والمح
LIFETINE	30 YEARS WITH MINIMUM PLANNED MAINTENANCE
OPERATIONS	GEOSYNCH ORBIT; O" INCLIN, CIRCULAR (35,786 km ALTITUDE)
COMMERCIALIZATION	COMPATIBLE WITH U.S. UTILITY NETWORKS
OUTPUT POWER	STEADY-STATE OPERATION 42.5 kV @ 208.3 kA AT SLIP-RING INTERFACE; 5 GW AT UTILITY INTERFACE
WEIGHT GROWTH	25%
TOTAL WEIGHT	SATELLITE PDS APPROX .95 kg/kW
ENERGY STORAGE	BATTERIES INCLUDED TO SUPPORT ON-BOARD SATELLITE SYSTEM OPERATIONS ONLY DURING ECLIPTIC PERIODS (2-40 MW HRS)
FAILURE CRITERIA	NO SINGLE POINT FAILURE MAY CAUSE TOTAL LOSS OF SPS FUNCTIONS
DEVELOPMENT	EVOLUTIONARY WITH PROVISIONS FOR INCORPORATING LATER TECHNOLOGY
RESOURCES	MINIMUM USE OF CRITICAL RESOURCES
SUBSYSTEN CHECKOUT	CONTINUITY, INSULATION RESISTANCE, FUNCTIONAL SWITCHING OF SWITCH GEARS

Table 3.3-6. PDS Functional Requirements

manually operated switches, slip rings, brushes, and subsystem cabling. Batteries, battery chargers, and boost converters are included for eclipse operations.

The major requirements are to deliver power at specified voltages and levels on a continuous basis throughout the solar seasons for a duration of 30 years. To assure 5 GW at the utility interface, the solar array will generate and deliver 9.52 GW [end of life (EOL)] at the power distribution and control interfaces. Each solar array module is designed for 45.7 kV output. The total array produces 208,300 A. Power delivered to the klystrons (major power user) through power distribution is 8.32 GW, requiring 8.92 GW transferred across the rotary slip ring power interface.



Alternate Configurations. A number of power distribution configurations have been reviewed. Of the configurations reviewed, two were evaluated: 1) end mounted antenna and 2) center mounted antenna (Figure 3.2-1).

End Mounted Antenna. The electrical power distribution system for the 3 trough coplanar end mounted antenna configuration is illustrated by Figure 3.3-11. A split bus is provided and each bus is carried through the rotary joint by its own slip ring. The split bus concepts offers protection from losing total array power in event of catastrophic damage to a section of the array.

Center Mounted Antenna. The center mounted antenna configuration has two identical, 3 trough coplanar - 15 bay arrays as compared to a single, 3 trough coplanar - 30 bay array, (Figure 3.2-1). Electrically the configuration is the same. The power distribution system block diagram is the same as shown in Figure 3.3-11. Principle differences between the end mounted antenna and the center mounted antenna are: shorter main feeders and a larger slip ring for the center mounted antenna. Methods for calculations of each system were the same.

The rotary joint of the end mounted antenna has a 300 meter slip ring while the center mounted antenna has a 800 meter diameter slip ring. Each system utilizes a total of 32 brush assemblies. Materially each slip ring has an aluminum core with coin-silver cladding with the brushes being 70% MoS_2 , 25 Mo+Ta with a current density of 7.75 amps/cm².

Sizing. A continuous review of subsystem efficiencies has been maintained in order to provide updated efficiency factors for sizing the SPS. The efficiencies of major components on the nonrotating solar array wing was shown in Figure 3.2-2. The major consideration for sizing the PDS is the power level (voltage and current) and line loss allowables. This subsystem was sized to handle EOL power levels of 9.52 GW at 45.7 kV.

Distribution. The power distribution subsystem utilizes flat (1 mm) aluminum (6101/T6) feeders at 20°C. These flat conductors are not considered part of the main structure; they will normally be passively cooled by radiation to free space. Main feeders are sized to an average transmission efficiency cf 94 percent (determined to be optimum on a cost basis).

<u>Conditioning</u>. The power conditioning converts existing bus voltage to the subsystem voltage required for the various subsystem loads. Major requirements are shown in the table on Figure 3.3-11 (klystron requirements). Five basic voltages (40 kV, 32 kV, 23 kV, 12 kV, and 8 kV) - klystron body voltage (40 kV), mod anode voltage (20 kV) and low voltages for cathod heater (20 V), solenoid operation (20 V), computer (20 V) and retro-electronic (20 V) - are required to operate 135,864 klystrons. These voltages at the required power level are provided by centralized dc/dc converters as shown in Figure 3.1-11 and 3.1-12. The point design provides 32 converters, each sized for 290 megawatts (7.19 kA).



Figure 3.3-11. PDS Simplified Block Diagram

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group



.

3-31

SSD 79-0010-2-1



Figure 3.3-12. Microwave Antenna - Power Distribution

3-32

Sateliite Systems Division Space Systems Group

| Rockwell International Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group



<u>Switching</u>. Switch gears are provided at the end of each string of solar cells for isolation and maintenance. Voltage regulation of the solar array power output, as well as beginning-of-life (BOL) excess power dissipation will be controlled by selective switching os isolation switch gears on array submodules. (Note: These switch gears are part of solar array assembly.) Optimum power output will be assured at all times by proper sizing and design of the submodules, their associated switch gear and IMCS control of the switching, in addition to control of the various loads. Voltages and currents being handled by the switch gears will be monitored by the IMCS to determine their status and to establish a need for the opening and closing of these switches.

Rotary Joint. The rotary joint is utilized to transfer energy through slip rings and brushes from the satellite fixed member to the satellite rotating member upon which the microwave antenna is located. The rotary joint assembly design characteristics are given in Table 3.3-7.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
TOTAL ASSEMBLY OPERATING VOLTAGE (kV) AMPS PER RING ASSEMBLY TOTAL MASS (kg)	42.9 104.2 TBD
SLIP RINGS (4) CORE CLADDING CORE SIZE (cm ²) DIAMETER (km) LENGTH (km)	ALUMINUM COIN-SILVER 41.3 (CROSS SECTION) 0.3 0.94
SHOE BRUSH (16/SLIP RING ASSEMBLY) MATERIAL SHOE SIZE (cm) CURRENT (A/cm ²) (MAX) CONTACT AREA (cm ²) QUANTITY	75% MoS ₂ , 25 Mo + Ta 12.7 W × 19 H × 68 L 7.75 864 64 BRUSHES PER SHOE ASSY
GROUNDING SINGLE POINT - COPPER BUS (THERMA	L ISOLATED FROM STRUCTURE)

Table 3.3-7. Rotary Joint Design Characteristics

Rotating Antenna Power Distribution. A schematic of the power distribution system for the rotating antenna is shown in Figure 3.3-12. Riser's from the brushes are routed on the antenna supporting yoke, across the antenna pivot, with a loop, to the dc/dc centralized converters. Switch gears are provided to allow isolation when performing maintenance. Power from the dc/dc converters are tied to a multi-conductor summing bus for delivering the necessary voltages to the klystrons. Each slip ring feeds 16 centralized dc/dc converters situated near the antenna pivot. The Multi-conductor summing bus runs along the 2 sides of the antenna adjacent to the pivot. The other slip ring supplies power similarly to 16 dc/dc converters on the opposite side of the antenna along with its accompaning summing bus. Thirty-three feeders, isolated by switch gear at the summing buses, are interconnected between the summing buses. Mechanical modules of klystrons are then powered from adjacent feeders and are isolated from each feeder by switch gear. The feeder interconnect from the summing buses and the mechanical module feeder between adjacent feeders are for redundancy in the event of faults. The IMCS controls the power flow to each mechanical module so that a combination of one summing bus and one feeder is supplying power at any one time. There are 777 mechanical modules



on the antenna. Each mechanical module has 9 subarrays and each subarray is isolated through switch gear.

Energy Storage. Batteries will be utilized during ecliptic periods to provide 2-40 MW/hour energy. The batteries will be a sodium chloride type and have a density of at least 200 Wh/kg.

Subsystem Characteristics. A weight breakdown for the PDC subsystem is shown in Table 3.3-8. The PDC accounts for 9.802×10^6 kg (center mounted), and 11.677×10^6 kg (end mounted). This represents 31.9% and 35.4% of the total mass for the center and end-mounted antenna concepts, respectively.

ІТЕМ	MASS 10 ⁶ Kg End Mounted	MASS 10 ⁴ KG CENTER MOUNTED
NON-ROTATING SECONDARY FEEDERS MAIN FEEDER SUMMING BUS TIE BUS INSULATION SWITCH GEAR SECONDARY STRUCTURE SLIP RING SUBTOTAL	0.076 1.861 0.220 0.160 0.041 0.178 0.254 <u>0.043</u> 2.833	0.076 0.371 0.114 0.110 0.015 0.178 0.068 0.049 0.981
ROTATING RISERS SUMMING BUS FEEDERS SECONDARY FEEDERS INTER-TIES HIGH VOLTAGE INTER-TIES LOW VOLTAGE INSULATION SWITCH GEAR CONVERTERS & REGULATORS SECONDARY STRUCTURE BRUSH ASSY SUBTOTAL	2.082 1.426 2.466 0.005 0.012 0.125 0.029 0.358 1.639 0.668 <u>0.017</u> 8.827	2.082 1.426 2.466 0.005 0.012 0.125 0.029 0.358 1.639 0.668 0.011
TOTAL	11.677	9.802

Table 3.3-8. PDC Subsystem Mass Statement

Microwave Power Transmission

Introduction. The microwave power transmission subsystem (MPTS) for SPS basically consists of two very large antenna array assemblies. An antenna 1 km in diameter serves as the transmitting array. A large number of power modules with 50 kW klystrons are mounted on the array structure. The klystrons serve as dc-to-microwave power converters and the microwave energy is beamed to an antenna array located on the earth's surface as part of the ground receiving station (GRS). The ground based antenna (rectenna) is defined in Section 3.3.2. Table 3.3-9 presents a summary of the MPTS and satellite antenna point design characteristics. The basis for the antenna analysis was a transmission capability of 6.96 GW. An assessed maximum klystron output of 50 kW (each) was established. If later, analysis shows a need for establishing a new beam distribution, the new power levels will be considered.



Table 3.3-9. Point Design Microwave Power Transmission System (MPTS) Satellite Antenna Characteristics

1.	MPTS SYSTEM (GAUSSIAN BEAM)	
	 FREQUENCY (GHz) MAXIMUM SATELLITE ARRAY POWER DENSITY (kW/m²) POWER DENSITY AT IGNOSPHERE (mW/cm²) 	2.45 21 23
	 MPTS EFFICIENCY (INCLUDES IONOSPHERE AND ATMOSPHERICS) (%) DC INPUT POWER TO MPTS FROM SOLAR ARRAY (GW) DC OUTPUT POWER TO UTILITY (GW) 	59.3 8.32 4.61
2.	SATELLITE MPTS ANTENNA ARRAY	
	 SIZE (TRANSMITTING DIAMETER) (km) AREA (km²) WEIGHT (kg) NUMBER OF MECHANICAL MODULES NUMBER OF SUBARRAYS NUMBER OF KLYSTRON POWER MODULES 	1 0.785 14.532×10 ⁶ 777 6,993 135,864

Design Configuration. The design data and analytical results for the 1 km diameter microwave antenna for the SPS is presented below.

Subarray Description. The 50 kW klystrons, selected as power converters, are mounted in reasonant cavity radiators (RCR) as shown in Figure 3.3-13. This assembly is defined as a power module. Its area varies so as to set the radiated power densities required over the array surface. There are ten density steps and corresponding module designs. These modules are assembled to form ten subarray types.



When the klystrons are removed from their mounts, the subarray power modules can be stacked in a compact form for transport. The ten point-design subarray configurations and the dimensions of the stacked packages are shown in Figures 3.3-14, 3.3-15, and 3.3-16. The parameters associated with each subarray type are also given. The subarray coding is keyed to the coding of Figure 3.3-17 showing the total array.





SHIPPING CONFIG SIZED FOR SHUTTLE BAY



A	15.18 15 10.002	s. 2////	12 63 69/042	TYPE PWR DENSITY	8. 10.52 KW/MJ
38	9x4	30	8 x 5	•NO. OF KLYSTRONS SUBARRAY & ARRANGEMENT	25 5 28
1,135 x 2 91 10 2 x 2 91 2,115 x 1,133 x 1	2.91 150 KG	1,70 x 2 33 10 2 x 2 33 1,410 x 1,70 x 2,33	113 KG	• PWR MOD SIZE • HINGED CONFIG • SHIPPING SIZE & WT.	2.04 x 2 33 10.2 x 2.33 1.175 x 2.04 x 2 33 110 KG
2.91	1.135	2.3	1.79		2.33
				<	
2.91	25	231×		. ' 233	2.04,
	1.136	10.2	1.70		102
237600 KG	2.115 < 2.91	\$= 9 × 62 - 2790 31 5270_KG	1.41 2.55	SHPG MODS 5x 9x & WT, 33	6600 KG

STANDARD SUBARRAY 10.2M x 11.64M x 20 CM

Figure 3.3-15. Standard Subarray Size - Block 2

. С-З

- -

٠.



Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group





SSD 79-0010-2-1



Rockwell International

Subarray Configurations. A subarray is defined as a portion of the total antenna array which has the same phase over its radiating surface. This definition will be modified where the subarray beam is steered to point in the direction of the pilot beam. In this case, the center of the subarray has a phase, set by a single retroelectronics assembly. In addition, there is a phase variation across the array face used to steer the subarray antenna pattern.

The subarray size selected is 11.64×10.2 m. Sets of nine subarrays are then supported by a secondary structure to form a 34.92×30.6 m mechanical module. The module is supported by connections to the antenna secondary structure.

In order to keep costs down and make fabrication easy it is desirable that the subarray radiators be made of metal. However, neither the total mechanical module nor the subarrays can be a single metal structure due to thermal effects. As an example, for aluminum with an expansion coefficient of 2.5×10^{-5} /°C and an operating temperature range of 100°C, the change in dimension for the mechanical module is 7.5 cm and for a subarray 2.5 cm. The resulting deviation from uniform spacing of the radiating elements in the subarray surfaces is too great.

The subarrays are made up of power modules, each fed by a single microwave amplifier. These modules vary from about 1.0 m to 6.0 m on a side. The corresponding thermal dimension changes are ± 0.13 cm to ± 0.76 cm or $\pm 0.010 \lambda$ to 0.062 λ around a design configuration. This is felt to be an upper limit on movement of the radiator elements. Therefore, the secondary support structure will be graphite-epon. Since the expansion coefficient of graphite-epon is an order of magnitude less than metals, only very small gaps between the mechanical modules are needed to accommodate thermal expansion.

The power level of all module amplifiers is the same. The size of the module radiating surface is varied to vary the power density weighting over the total array area. For instance, if 50-kW klystrons are used and the weighting is Gaussian, the number of modules per $11.96 \times 10.2\text{-m}$ subarray varies from 50 at the array center to 6 at the array edge in the case of a 5-GW SPS system with a l-km-diameter array. The module area then varies from 2.38 m² to 19.79 m². The layout of the ten subarray designs needed for a 10-step approximation to the Gaussian taper is shown in the section detailing the 5-GW microwave power transmission system (MPTS) point design. A ten-step quantization of a 10 dB taper (Figure 3.3-18) was chosen.

Resonant Cavity Radiator (RCR). The resonant cavity radiator selected is a modification of the basic slotted-guide radiator assembly. It is based on the observation that the traverse feeder forces loops in a standing wave field to appear at positions corresponding to the guide centers and nulls at positions corresponding to the guide walls. Therefore, if the guide walls are removed, we will still have the same field at the radiating slots as before and the radiated beam will be unperturbed.

However, we have gained several advantages. First, the radiator is now a shallow box with slots formed in one face. This is an easier structure to fabricate than the guide assembly. As a matter of fact, if we wish, we can make the box up out of two nesting trays as shown in Figure 3.3-19. If tray lips are a quarter-wave high, they form a choke joint which produces a short at crack formed by the lips making the RCR electrically continuous.





Figure 3.3-18. Pattern Efficiency for Uniform Illumination (10 dB Taper)



Figure 3.3-19. RCR Formed from Nested Trays

Next we have eliminated the weight of the guide walls. This is attractive since we are considering weight which must be transported to GEO.

Finally, we have decreased ohmic losses. The currents on the guide walls flow in opposite directions on the two sides of a partition. A portion of the top walls of two adjacent guides are cutaway in Figure 3.3-20 to show these currents. When the walls are removed these currents cancel and the ohmic loss associated with these currents is eliminated.

Klystron Amplifiers. Klystrons have been proposed by Varian for the MPTS converters¹,²,³. An efficiency of 86% is predicted³. This is based on the performance of the VKS-7773, 50 kW, 2.45 GHz klystron previously built which obtained an efficiency of 74.4% without a depressed collector. Addition of a depressed collector with 55% beam power recovery efficiency plus other minor changes leads to an 80% efficiency. Taking into account cathode heater and solenoid power, a final efficiency of 85% is used in the point design calculations.

¹High Efficiency Klystron CW Amplifier for Space Applications, A. D. LaRue, February 1976, Company Report

²Personal Communication, A LaRue to C. Tomita (11-24-76)

³High Efficiency Klystron CW Amplifier for Space Power Applications, A. LaRue, 1976 IEDM Tech. Digest





Figure 3.3-20. Klystron Power Module Guide Assembly

The major drawback to the use of klystrons is the large number of electrode voltages which are required. The depressed collector design selected requires five low regulation voltages. In addition, two regulated power supplies (1%) are required to supply body current and establish the potential of the mod anode, which controls beam current. The present point design generates all these voltages from a single 40 kV bus by means of switching converters plus precision regulators where required.

The collector can be run very hot and radiate directly to space, if it is made of a refractory material. Figure 3.3-21 shows that in such a collector assembly, made of pyrolytic graphite, the body cannot radiate directly to space as the collector can. The body cooling method selected is the use of heat pipes embedded in the klystron body walls and output drift tube. The heat-carrying pipes leave the klystron and carry heat to a set of pipes lying between the microwave slot radiators of the RCR face. This permits the disposal of klystron body waste heat downward toward earth and away from the satellite. A thermal blanket on top of the RCR prevents heat from traveling upwards. This makes it possible to keep electronics on the array back at 100°C. It also prevents radiated body heat from heating the satellite structure and slip, rings.

In the array center there are 42 klystrons per 100 m^2 subarray. The radiator area per tube is then 2.37 m^2 , if the microwave array structure is also used to radiate heat. The array face temperature is about 200°C for a power density of 21 kW/m².

Analysis of the latest efficiency chain, Figure 2.1-2, has indicated a need for a transmission power level of 6.79 GW. The nominal transmission capability of the 135,864 klystron amplifiers is calculated to be approximately 6.96 GW (klystrons operating at 50 kW nominal). This value was established at the time of the third quarterly report of the previous study and has been used for all layout and design effort for the satellite antenna as well as the ground receiving antenna (rectenna).

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group





• Figure 3.3-21. Collector Radiator

Klystron component masses and total mass are tabulated below:

COMPONENTS	MASS (kg)
PPM Magnet	2.0
Magnetic Poles	6.5
Electromagnet	13.0
Collector Assembly	5.5
Collector Heat Shield	0.1
Body Heat Shield	0.8
Copper Cavities	0.4
Heat Pipes in Tube	2.1
Electron Gun	0.6
TOTAL	31.0 kg
Specific Mass	0.62 kg/kW

Phase Array System - Eclectic Conjugate.

Introduction. A composite phase-conjugating system is proposed which embodies must of the good features of the systems already proposed. An overall block diagram is given in Figure 3.3-22.

The reference phase distribution system is a modification of the system proposed by Seyl and Leopold of JSC. It uses a group of traveling wave feeders which distribute the reference source to a set of array regions. These feeders are servoed to produce the same phase at all outputs by means of signals fed back to the feeder inputs. The amplifiers in a given region are excited by a local feeder distribution system using resonant feeder elements.



Figure 3.3-22. Microwave Antenna - Beam Generation and Control





The pilot phase regeneration system is based on the JPL design. However, the transmitter signal is used as the local oscillator. This signal is of very high amplitude. It was feared that if the transmitter signal is not incorporated into the phase regeneration loop, it would produce so many spurious signals the design may fail. Elimination of transmitter phase noise forces us to a multiplier arrangement such as JPL used.

A pair of reference signals are used symmetrically placed around the operating frequency in order to eliminate ionospheric phase errors and errors due to filter detuning. The reference signal and the regenerated pilot are fed into the phase conjugating circuit shown in Figure 3.3-23.



Figure 3.3-23. Phase Conjugating Electronics

Note that the output is $(2f_r - f_p)$. This is of the correct form to produce phase conjugation. If $f_r = f_p$, $f_T = f_p$; this is the most desirable condition. The only drawback is possible leakage of the high power transmitted signal into the phase reference distribution system. This is controlled by distribution of a reference at a frequency of $f_r/2$ which is converted to f_r by doubling at the point of usage.

The phase-conjugated signal, fT, (which is what we wish to transmit) is fed to a transistor preamp and then to a power divider where the signal is distributed to the klystron amplifiers. The divider outputs are fed through analog phase shifters to the klystrons, where power generation occurs. The klystron outputs are used to drive the standing wave arrays which comprise the subarray.

The same subarray is receiving the pilot signals coming up from the earth station. Diplexers allow the klystron signals to flow into the standing wave radiators, while diverting the pilot signal coming from the cavity into a power



combining network which feeds a monopulse bridge. Since the pilot signals are equally displaced from the transmitted signal, the 180°C change in phase needed in the diplexer occurs for both pilots. Alternately the standing wave guide assembly or cavity can be fed from a separate feeder. The klystron signal at the bridge input is reduced by about 30 dB. The pilot signals are about 1 mW at this point. The pilot signals are then fed to a monopulse bridge. The sun signal is fed to the pilot phase regeneration circuitry. This sum signal and also the difference signals are fed to a monopulse receiver as shown in Figure 3.3-24. Just as in the pilot phase regeneration circuits, the transmitted signal is used as the local oscillator.



Figure 3.3-24. Monopulse Receiver

The monopulse receiver outputs $\varepsilon \theta$ and $\varepsilon \phi$ are a measure of the angular deviation of the direction of reception of the subarray from the direction of arrival of the pilot beam. The same signal fT which was fed to the klystrons is fed to a second power divider. The outputs of this divider are fed through a set of phase shifters to an array of phase bridges.

The other set of inputs to these bridges is from taps which sample each klystron output in the standing wave radiators fed by the klystrons which comprise the subarray. These signals would be f_T , except that they have suffered phase shifts in the klystrons. They will be called f^t_T . The first input to the phase bridges is f_T at the center bridge corresponding to the center of the subarray. The other members of this set are F_T shifted by the phase shifters so as to point the subarray pattern in the direction of arrival of the pilot beam. The phase shifters are set by a beam programmer which uses $\varepsilon \theta$ and $\varepsilon \phi$ from the monopulse receiver to make the correction. The phase bridge outputs are amplified and used to control the analog phase shifters at the klystron inputs. These servo loops then remove phase shift errors caused by the klystrons and introduce a phase gradient across the subarray face which corrects for subarray tilt.



At the edge of the array, subarrays will have only about six klystrons. As a result the subarray beam can only be steered about one beamwidth before the rms phase error of the subarray becomes too great. However, even angular control of one beamwidth greatly reduces the angular tolerances in mechanical orientation of the subarrays.

Reference Frequency Distribution. The basic organization of this distribution system is shown in Figure 3.3-25. Since each region supplied by a primary feeder is fed directly from the phase reference system, there is no buildup of phase error due to repeated processing at the primary feeder output points. A feedback servo is used to hold the phase of the feeder outputs with respect to the source to a fixed value. This servo is shown in Figure 3.3-26.



Figure 3.3-25. Array Reference Signal Distribution System .



Figure 3.3-26. Reference Phase Distribution Servo

The reference source is at half the actual reference frequency. This signal enters the feeder through a diode phase shifter and a circulator. After reflection from the short at the other end of the feeder, it arrives back at the input and directed by the circulator into the output port. It then passes through a second phase shifter to a phase bridge. The other input to the bridge is the original reference signal.



The phase bridge output is an error signal which changes the phase shifter settings until the input to the feeder and the reflected signal from the feeder are in phase. The path delay through the shifters and feeder is then an integral number of wavelengths, since the forward and backward path delays are of equal length. Since the forward and return paths are of equal length, the forward path is an integral number of halfwaves long. This forward-path signal is sampled by the directional coupler/circulator arrangement. At port 2 of the circulator part of the signal is reflected back to the central station and part is fed to the doubler. When the signal is doubled to form fr in the harmonic multiplier driven by the probe the doubler output phase delay with respect to fr at the source will be twice the phase delay of $f_r/2$ or an integral number of full wavelengths. Therefore, the phase of the doubler output is the same as the phase of the source.

Each coax feeder serves an array region which is small enough to use a phase distribution system based on resonant feeders. Such regions are shown in Figure 3.3-25, designated as local subarray groups. The resonant feeder distribution concept depends on the fact that the phase at all points in a resonant cavity is approximately the same. The phase variation from point to point depends on the attenuation a wave experiences in traveling across the cavity. If the resonant feeder cavity is not too long, the cavity can be trapped along its length at the standing wave loops to obtain the reference phase. The accuracy with which the signals tapped from the feeder reproduce the reference phase depends on the total loss in the feeder.

If the resonant feeders are made of graphite-epox coated with metal or thin-wall invar, their thermal expansion coefficient can be of the order of 10^{-6} per °C or 10^{-4} for a 100°C change. The elongation is 2.4×10^{-3} m for a 25 m feeder. The corresponding change in electrical length at 2.45 GHz or 0.122 m wavelength is 7°. This small change will not destroy resonance, so it is felt that a servo to hold resonance is not required.

A servo to maintain resonance is required, if guide materials with larger expansion coefficients are used. An error signal for such a servo can be obtained by use of a loop and a dipole probe in the guide. At resonance, the electric and magnetic fields are in exact phase quadrature. These fields are sampled with a probe and a loop respectively and compared in a phase bridge to generate an off-resonance error signal. This signal can then be used to change the effective length of the line till it is exactly in resonance. Figure 3.3-27 shows such an arrangement.

Since the signal is removed from the feeder and then reinserted, it can be amplified to make up for losses in the phase shift loop and some of the guide losses.

Pilot Signal Regeneration. The pilot signal required should be at the same frequency as the transmitted signal. However, it could not be detected in the presence of the very high power transmitted signal, if it were at the same frequency. Therefore, it must be offset in frequency. It turns out that in order to control phase errors due to filtering and the ionosphere it is best to have two pilot signals displaced by equal amounts upward and downward from the transmitter frequency. Also since the transmitted signal is at such a





Figure 3.3-27. Electronic Servo Loop to Hold Feeder at Resonance

high power level, transmitter phase noise can be the dominant noise in pilot signal reception, if the pilot regeneration system is not planned with this in mind. The transmitter signal can also cause spurious frequencies by beating with other signals which can cause trouble.

The solution appears to be to include the transmitter signal in the regeneration process in such a way it cannot cause harm, rather than suffer its presence as an extraneous signal. This is done in the regeneration circuit shown in Figure 3.3-28. Since the output of the frequency quadrupler is the basic quantity, any change in phase in the multiplier will be divided by four. The multiplier should be two halfwave rectifier frequency doublers, rather than high efficiency multipliers using snap-back diodes. This will reduce phase errors due to the multiplier.

Information Management and Control

Introduction. The information management and control subsystem (IMCS) provides the interconnecting elements between and within all the varous satellites and ground-based operational subsystems. The IMCS also provides operational control of both the satellite and ground systems as well as providing all subsystem processing support for all but very special functions.

The IMCS consists of the on-board and ground-based processing equipment [central processing units (CPU) and memories], the inter- and intra-subsystem data network (data busses), the man-machine interfaces (display/control), and inter-system communication links, including RF, but excepting those specifically provided for the control and transfer of primary power, and all elements provided to accommodate activities related to system security, safety, or any other operation necessary to the continuing operation of the SPS.

Because of the early stage of program analysis, only those requirements imposed upon the IMCS by a kimited number of satellite operations have been identified. The identified requirements generally are limited to those associated with the immediate operations of an active satellite. Auxiliary





Figure 3.3-28. Pilot Signal Regeneration Circuit

functions such as ground/space communications, display/control, safety, security, etc., will be added when data become available.

Design Approach. The IMCS design selected for the baseline study is shown as a top level block diagram in Figure 3.3-29. This diagram illustrates a simplex (non-redundant) system. Local computer centers are envisioned to be located at substations located at selected sites in each trough and at the end sections. The end sites would house attitude control support centers. Processing support to structure alignment, microwave antenna beam pointing control, and additional attitude control functions would be housed in the center section near the antenna.

Three levels of data processing are illustrated in Figure 3.3-29: Master, Supervisory, and Remote. Subtiered to this hierarchy are microprocessors, Remote Acquisition and Control Units (RAC), and Submultiplexors (SM). Microprocessors as stand-alone processors have only been identified for the microwave antenna beam pointing function.

The IMCS hierarchy applicable to the microwave antenna subsystem, attitude control and stationkeeping subsystem, and power distribution subsystems is presented in Figure 3.3-30 through 3.3-32, respectively. These hierarchies are established to the level at which the IMCS and the using subsystem interfaces are apparent (e.g., physical/electrical interface).

Table 3.3-10 summarizes the estimated number of data interfaces (not measurements) that must be accommodated by the IMCS. Note specifically that the microwave antenna subsystem is by far the major contributor to the determination of the complexity of the IMCS electrical interface. Table 3.3-11 provides a very preliminary estimate of the control interface that must be accommodated



SSD

79-0010-2-1

Figure 3.3-29. SPS IMCS Top-Level Block Diagram

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group

Rockwell International

4



.

Figure 3.3-30. IMCS - MW Antenna

3**-**50

SSD 79-0010-2-1



Figure 3.3-31. IMCS - Attitude Control

SSD 79-0010-2-1



Figure 3.3-32. IMCS - Power Distribution





		ANALOG	DIGITAL	EVENT	TOTAL
М	ICROWAVE ANTENNA	6×10 ⁶	1×10 ⁶	2.1×10 ⁶	>9×10 ⁶
0	THER SUBSYSTEMS				
	STRUCTURE	35	35	35	>100
	ATT. CONTROL & STATIONKEEPING	900	800	1000	~3000
	POWER DISTRIBUTION	1000	100	2000	~3000
	INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	-	~19,000	-	~19,000
	THERMAL	16,000	-	-	16,000
	LIFE SUPPORT	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
	SAFETY AND SECURITY	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD

Table 3.3-10. Preliminary Data Interface Summary -Photovoltaic (CR-2) Configuration

Table 3.3-11. Preliminary Control Interface Summary -Photovoltaic (CR-2) Configuration

	PROPORTIONAL	EVENT	TOTAL
MICROWAVE ANTENNA	<13.6×10*	30×10 ⁺	<44×10 ⁴
OTHER SUBSYSTEMS		-	
STRUCTURE	~35	~35	<100
ATTITUDE CONTROL & STATIONKEEPING	-100	>300	<500
POWER DISTRIBUTION	-	>300	>300
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	-	>3000	>3000
THERMAL	-	-	-
LIFE SUPPORT	TBD	TBD .	TBD
SAFETY AND SECURITY	TBD	TBD	TBD

by the IMCS although the estimates are not supported by an in-depth analysis. Again, the microwave antenna system predominates.

<u>Major Assemblies</u>. Figure 3.3-33 identifies the major assemblies that form the IMCS. Six major assemblies have been identified at this time: (1) processors, (2) bus control units (BCU), (3) data bus, (4) remote acquisition and control units (RAC), (5) submultiplexers (SM), and (6) microprocessor (µp).

Processors. The satellite Master Control Computer (Figure 3.3-30) will operate with a 16-32 bit word format and have a 64K-128K word active memory plus a TBD billion word bulk storage facility. Second- and third-level processors (supervisory or local) will be 16-bit word assemblies and be limited to 16K-32K memories. In special cases, memory capacity may be increased to as much as 128K words. Assemblies or subassemblies identified as microprocessors (normally those units incorporated directly within the associated electronics) will incorporate an 8-bit-word format and use active 8K-64K word memories.





Figure 3.3-33. Assembly Tree - IMCS

Bus Control Unit. The bus control unit (BCU) provides the control necessary for data/command transfer over the subsystem data bus network. The BCU accepts instructions and data (or commands) from its associated processor and translates these data from a processor-compatible format to one compatible with the data network. It also accepts bus-compatible data and converts these data to processor formats. In addition, the BCU monitors the data traffic-performing bit and word checks as well as health/status checks.

In addition to data bus control, the BCU will provide a computer-tocomputer link where appropriate.

Data Bus. The data bus network accommodates multiplexed, digital data transmitted between the BCU and all other remotely located data acquisition and central devices associated with a specific processor/BCU combination. The bus link may utilize conventional wire techniques for short runs in low EMI areas or fiber-optic technology for long paths or through high EMI areas. Basic bit rate within the bus assembly is assumed to be 1.0 Mbps. Included in the data bus assembly are the data bus coupling devices used to connect the various remote units (one required per remote) as well as the bridge coupler required to transfer data across the microwave antenna rotary joints; the latter element is presently TBD.

Remote Acquisition and Control. The remote acquisition and control (RAC) assembly is the basic interface between the IMCS and the various operating subsystems. The RAC provides for data format conversion from the preconditioned analog, digital or event voltage/impedance levels, and converts these data into 8-bit digital, serial, equivalents. The RAC also accepts digital data words and outputs commands in a format compatible with the receiving subsystems.


Basic conversion (input/output) is assumed to be $\pm 1\%$ (e.g., 7-bit and sign). Voltage ranges and impedances are TBD.

Submultiplexers. The submultiplexer (SM) provides a means of expanding the capability of the RAC. The SM thus contains all of the capabilities of an RAC, but can only communicate with a single RAC rather than a given data bus. The number of SM's that can communicate with an RAC is presently TBD.

Microprocessor. The microprocessor (μ p) elements provide local, front-end processing of data obtained from the various using systems. These processors will handle the bulk of the system's monitoring and control task, sending raw data up through the computer hierarchy only when the task-levels exceed preestablished limits, or when detected out-of-tolerance conditions exceed local control boundaries. These devices are solid state and could normally be integrated within the user electronics. When necessary, the μ p can be located within the RAC's or SM's to provide local performance monitoring and control.

<u>Subsystem Summary</u>. Table 3.3-12 summarizes the number of IMCS elements required for the photovoltaic configuration. Table 3.3-13 summarizes the physical (weight, power, volume) requirements for this system.

		FUNCTION							
HARDWARE ELENENT	HASTER CONTROL CONPUTER	DISPLAY AND CONTROL	SUPER- VISORY COMPUTER	REMOTE COMPUTER	HICRO- PRCC	BUS CONTROL UNIT	AEMOTE ACQUIS. AND CONTROL	SU8+MUX	
SATELLITE CONTROL	2	1	-	•	-	2	-	•	
THERMAL CONTROL	-	-	2			7	85	·, 1,352	
STRUCT. ALIGN.	-	-	-	3	•	3	-	- "	
ATTITUDE CONTROL	-		١	10	-	11	28	148	
POWER DISTRIB.	•	-	2	5	-	7	85		
HICROWAVE ANTENNA CONTROL	•	-	3	34	777	792	787	2 9 ,500	
TOTAL	2	1	6	37	777	822	985	31,000	

Table 3.3-12. Hardware Summary - IMCS

Attitude Control and Stationkeeping (ACSS)

Introduction. The ACSS functional requirements include the pointing and stabilization of the solar collector, the microwave antenna, and geosynchronous orbit stationkeeping for the overall spacecraft. The point design performed is a relatively simple ACSS concept featuring argon ion electric thrusters for attitude control and stationkeeping, the Y-POP orientation (long axis perpendicular to the orbit panel) and partial inertia balancing of the spacecraft to minimize reaction control system (RCS) propellant consumption. An equatorial geosynchronous orbit (GEO) was selected over other alternative orbit inclinations to minimize the ground rectenna size (and cost) impact.



0.48

Table	3.3-13.	Mass,	/Power	/Volume	Summary	-	IMCS
-------	---------	-------	--------	---------	---------	---	------

UN AUTAVIAU		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					_
	OUANTITY	UNIT MASS	TOTAL MASS	UNIT POWER IXWD	TOTAL POWER	UNIT VOLUME	TOTAL VOLUME
HANUWARE ELEMENT	UDANITI		1640	(61)	1641	100-5	(())+)
MASTER CONTROL COMPUTER	2	500	1,000	2	4	04	08
DISPLAY & CONTROL SET	1	200	200	05	09	0.72	0.72
SUPERVISORY COMPUTER	5	14	70	0 07	0 35	10.01	0 05
REMOTE COMPUTER	23		322	0 07	1 61	0 0 7	0 23
MICRO PROCESSOR	-	5	-	0.02	-	0 003	-
BUS CONTROL UNIT	30	1 5	150	0.02	06	0.005	0 15
REMOTE ACQUISITION & CONTROL	198	5	990	0.02	396	0.005	0 99
SUB MULTIPLEXOR	1 500	3	4 500	0.01	15 0	0 003	45
SUBTOTAL			7 232		26 42		7 46
OTATING					•		
MASTER CONTROL COMPUTER	-	500	-	2		04	· –
DISPLAY & CONTROL SET	-	200	-	09	-	0 72	-
SUPERVISORY COMPUTER	1	14	14	0 07	0 07	0 01	0.01
REMOTE COMPUTER	14	14	156	1007	0 92	0.01	0 14
MICRO PROCESSOR	777	5	3,485	0 02	15 54	0 003	2 331
BUS CONTROL UNIT	792	5	3 960	0.02	15 84	0 005	3 9 E
REMOTE ACQUISITION & CONTROL	787	5	3,935	0 02	15 78	0 005	3 935
SUS MULTIPLEXOR	29 500	3	88 500	0.01	295.0	0 003	18 5
SUBTOTAL			100 490	1	343 17		98 876
TOTAL	L		108 000		389 6		106 3
A8LE							
NON ROTATING-WIRE (22GA)	1 200 KM	12 0.KM	14 000			2=10 ⁵ /KM)
FIBER OFTICS	90 KM	0 14/KM	17			2x10 6/KM	L.
ROTATING-WIRE	23 000 KM	1	279 000	1			0 48
FIBER OPTICS	350 KM	1	50	1			1

The ACSS dedicated subsystem elements are the RCS and the attitude reference determination system (ARDS). The principal features of these subsystem elements is summarized in Figure 3.3-34. The information management and control subsystem (IMCS) provides the interconnecting data paths for the ACSS components as well as the mini-processors for computation of the control algorithms. The mass properties requirements of the ACSS is summarized in Table 3.3-14. Passive figure control has been determined to be adequate for the CR=2 collector. Active figure control is employed for the microwave antenna. This utilizes laser figure sensing and three actuation systems at alternate corners of the antenna frame in order to maintain coplanarity of the antenna web to the three fixed attach points (tension web concept).

293 000

TOTAL

<u>Reaction Control System (RCS)</u>. The RCS thruster configuration and thruster characteristics are shown in Figure 3.3-34. These thrusters are identical to those employed on the electric orbit transfer vehicle, except that they are operated in a throttled mode for ACSS application. The thruster configuration is similar on each corner of the spacecraft. The configuration minimizes contamination of reflectors and solar blankets due to ion engine sputtering. Sixty-four thrusters (16 in each corner) are mounted in two axis gimbals to provide proper thrust vectors. During the stationkeeping ΔV , thrusters are throttled differentially to obtain yaw control torques. These thrusters provide the solar pressure stationkeeping correction (E-W) continuously except when in the earth's shadow. These thrusters also correct the other E-W stationkeeping perturbations. Roll and pitch attitude control torques (about the X and Y axes) are obtained by gimballing and throttling these thrusters at each of the four corners as required.





Figure 3.3-34. RCS Thruster Configuration

ITEM	MASS (×10 ⁺³ kg)
ATTITUDE REFERENCE DETERMINATION SYSTEMS (5)	0.32
THRUSTERS - INCLUDING SUPPORT STRUCTURE, 64 @ 120 kg/THRUSTER	7.68
TANKS, LINES, REFRIGERATION	- 15.07
POWER PROCESSING EQUIPMENT	TBD
ARGON PROPELLANT - ANNUAL REQUIREMENT	85.39
TOTAL	23.07*
TOTAL (WITH PROPELLANT)	108.46*
*NOT INCLUDING TBD ITEMS	

Table 3.3-14. ACSS Mass Summary

The SPS spacecraft is nominally designed to operate in a Y-POP orientation (i.e., long axis, Y-axis, perpendicular to orbit plane). Major advantages can be realized if the spacecraft is pointed more toward the sun. The collector cosine losses would be reduced which reduce the size of the solar collector, and thus a reduction in spacecraft mass and cost. The ideal maximum bank angle is 23.5 degrees which is achievable with an increase in propellant and thrusters. However, partial solar pointing with no propellant and thruster penalty is an advantageous feature. As illustrated in Figure 3.3-35, a roll angle of 9.0 degrees can be realized with no propellant penalty or loss of control authority.





- G_{SD} 4 SOLAR PRESSURE TORQUE
- $G_{\phi} \stackrel{4}{=} GG \text{ TORQUE DUE TO BANK ANGLE } \phi$ = $\frac{3}{2}W_0^2 (I_Z - I_Y) \sin 2\phi$
- Fs/2 4 FORCE THAT CANCELS OUT SOLAR PRESSURE & TORQUE
- Fp 4 FORCE TO CONTRÓL GG TORQUE DUE TO . 3º PRINCIPAL AXIS MISALIGNMENT = 5.4 N
- F 4 FORCE TO CONTROL Go

FROM PREVIOUS ANALYSIS ALL TORQUES EXCEPT G ARE ACCOUNTED TO INSURE MODULATION ${\cal L}F\ge0$; F_s/2 - F_P - F = 0

F = F₂/2 - Fp = 164 - 5.4 = 158.6 N

F IS THE MAXIMUM FORCE AVAILABLE TO ROLL VEHICLE BY ANGLE ϕ

$$F\ell_{c} = 3\ell_{2}W_{0}^{2}(I_{Z} - I_{Y}) \sin 2\phi \text{ AND } \phi = \frac{1}{2}\sin^{-1}\left[\frac{F\ell_{c}}{\frac{3}{2}W_{0}^{2}(I_{Z} - I_{Y})}\right]$$

WITH THE VALUES OF F, l_c AND $3l_2 W_0^2 (I_Z - I_Y) = 7.84 \times 10^6$ NM

ø	MAX		9.020	
% COS	LOSS	2	3.16	

Figure 3.3-35. Maximum Bank Angle

Essentially this is achievable by simultaneously countering the large gravity torques and the solar pressure forces through use of thrusters that are predominatly at one end of the S/C. With this bank angle the collector cosine loss is reduced to 3.2% from the 8.2% for the Y-POP operation (net savings equal 5%). Figure 3.3-35 shows the steps and calculations involved in deriving the bank angle.

The number of thrusters required to perform the various functions is summarized in Table 3.3-15. A number of thrusters are added as spares to accommodate the 5000-hour operating life of the thruster grids and random failures (assuming a 5-year MTBF). The system results in approximately 44 thrusters being operated on a time-averaged basis. The total number of thrusters including spares in the system is 64. The thruster configuration (Figure 3.3-34) has been designed with adequate spacing (10 m) to permit servicing (primarily grid replacement) of a thruster with a servicing cab while adjacent thrusters are operated.

The mass properties of the RCS is given in Table 3.3-14. The argon propellant is stored as a cryogen to prevent the large tank mass penalty that would result if the argon were stored as a gas. A small electric refrigeration system is located at each tank for heat loss makeup. Four argon tanks are provided at each of the four thruster modules (total of 16 tanks) in order to prevent loss of function for single point failures. The tank outer diameters are approximately 2.4 m. The tank sizing is based on annual propellant resupply.

The annual propellant requirements for attitude control and stationkeeping are presented in Tables 3.3-16 and 3.3-17.



	Number of Thrusters Required/				
Function	Fx	Fy	Fz		
Attitude Control					
Roll (M_x) and Pitch (M_y) Yaw (M_z)	1.6	,	18.1		
Stationkeeping					
Lunar/solar (N-S) Solar pressure (E-W)		•	19.1 25.2		
Total required/corner*	2		7		
Spares	7		6		
Total/corner (with spares)	3	-	13		
Total thrusters/spacecraft	64				
*Rounded up to even number					

Table 3.3-15. Summary of Thruster Requirements

Table 3.3-16. Attitude Control Propellant Requirements

FUNCTION	PROPELLANT MASS (% S/C MASS OVER 30 YEARS)
SOLAR PRESSURE ANTENNA RADIATION PRESSURE	4.01
GRAVITY GRADIENT	
ABOUT X About y About z	0.124 1.38 4
SUBTOTAL	1.628
TOTAL	5.638
100-cm ARGON THRUSTERS T = 13n ISP = 13,000 SEC	



FUNCT YON	ΔV/YR. (~H/SEC)	RCS PBOPELLANT REQ'U* OVER 30 YR (% S/C MASS)	THRUST REQ'D (N)		
EARTH TRIAXIALITY (E-W) SOLAR PRESSURE PERTURBATION (E-W)	282.5	6.65	328		
• STATION CHANGE MANEUVERS (E-W)	1.0	0.03			
- M.W. ANTENNA RADIATION PRESSURE (E-W)	NEGLIGIBLE				
• SOLAR/LUNAR PERTURBATIONS (N-S)	53.3	1.25	124**		
TOTAL	335.8	7.9	452		
* $I_{SP} = 13,000$ SEC, 100 CM ARGON THRUSTER, T = 13 N ** THRUST ON 50% OF TIME					

Table 3.3-17. Stationkeeping RCS Propellant Requirements

Attitude Reference Determination (ARDS). There are seven separate ARDS units on the spacecraft (Figure 3.3-34). The seven units are located on the collector (5) and the microwave antenna (2) to permit accurate control in the presence of structural bending without the use of complex autocollimation equipment working across large expanses of structure and across the microwave antenna rotary joint. Each ARDS unit consists of strapdown sun, star, and gyro sensors operating in conjunction with a dedicated mini-processor (provided by the IMCS) to yield three-axis attitude state data with an accuracy better than l arc-minute. Charge-coupled device (CCD) sensors are selected for the sun star sensors and are representative of the highly reliable, low-cost, sensor technology available in the near future. Electrostatic or laser gyros are representative of the gyro technology that may be commonplace in the SPS time frame.

<u>Control Algorithms</u>. No major problems are anticipated in stabilizing structural bending. In order to minimize structural dynamic interaction with the control system, the following features appear to be desirable for incorporation in the control algorithms:

- Weighted averaging of the attitude data from the five ARD's located on the solar collector body is a power means of decoupling or stabilizing the bending.
- Bending-state observers (or estimators) are also a powerful method of accomplishing the same objective.
- The use of a "quasi linear" thrust command policy can minimize structural vibration excitation as well as permitting the attitude control system to actively damp modal bending. A means of achieving such a policy is illustrated in Figure 3.3-36.



. THROTTLING PLUS ON-OFF SWITCHING POLICY PRODUCES APPROXIMATE LINEAR TORQUES

●CAN TAKE ADVANTAGE OF BEST FEATURES OF LINEAR CONTROL



Figure 3.3-36. Quasi-Linear Thruster Control Policy

A statistical estimation scheme (Kalman filter) should be employed to provide optimal estimates of the principal axis of inertia orientation error. This parameter is observable and directly affects the RCS propellant consumption for roll andyaw control.

A relatively simple ACSS featuring argon ion electric thrusters for stationkeeping and attitude control torques has been defined. Reasonably small RCS propellant resupply requirements (and costs) are possible as a result of the Y-POP orientation (long axis perpendicular to the orbit plane) and the spacecraft design requirement for two-dimensional inertia; balancing.

Structure

Introduction. This subsystem consists of the primary structure for the solar array, antenna, and rotary joint; secondary structure; and mechanisms. The primary structure assemblies are made up, basically, of the tribeam girders, tension cables, and joints. The fabrication and assembly of these structures are accomplished on orbit by beam machines and supporting auxiliary equipment.

<u>Tribeam Girder</u>. The general configuration and detailed breakout of the tribeam girder are illustrated in Figure 3.3-37.

The girder is 50 m on a side, and each bay is 50 m in length, stabilized by X-tension ties. Three longitudinal elements and the transverse struts, are formed by basic beam elements fabricated on orbit by a beam machine. The basic beam element is 2 m on a side with transverse structs every 2 m and modified triangular cap sections at the vertices. The cap sections, transverse struts, and X-tension braces are made from 60% graphite fiber composite sheets with approximately 88% cutouts, which is roll-formed, flanged, and welded by the beam machine to form a basic beam element 2-m on a side.





Figure 3.3-37. Photovoltaic Wing Structure Tiering

The pertinent physical properties of the tribeam girder basic beam element, cap section, and tension ties determined by this preliminary sizing analysis are listed in Table 3.3-18.

ITEM NO.	PARANETER	CAP SECTION	BASIC BEAN ELEMENT	TRIBEAM GIRDER	TENSION TIE			
1	A _{EM} - EFFECT!VE AREA FOR MASS CALCULATIONS IN M ²	0.035×10-3	0.21×10 ⁻³	0.21×10 ⁻³	3.17×10 ⁻⁵			
2	A _{EI} = EFFECTIVE AREA FOR MOMENT- OF-INERTIA CALCULATIONS IN M ²	0.064×10 ⁻³	0.19×10 ⁻³	0.19×10 ⁻³	3.17×10-5			
3	P - RADIUS OF GYRATION (M)	28.28×10""	820.78×10-3	20.236	1.59×10-8			
4	I = GEOMETRIC MOMENT OF INERTIA (M*)	5.1×10-*	0.128×10 ⁻³	237.5×10-1	7.9×10-11			
5	$E = MODULUS OF ELASTICITY - P_{e}$ (2)	9.65×1019	9.65×10 ¹⁰	9.65×10 ¹⁰	2.34×10 ¹¹			
6	∝ = COEFFICIENT OF THERMAL EXPANSION - M/M-°C	0.18×10 ⁻⁶	0.18×10 ⁻⁶	0.18×10 ⁻⁶	0.4×10-8			
7	μ = POISSON'S RATIO	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.32			
8	$F_n = \text{NATURAL FREQUENCY (Hz)}$	254	8.3	0.57(1)	8.4 10-3			
(1) (2)	 (1) TRIBEAN GIRDER LENGTH = 800 M (2) MATERIAL IS GRAPHITE COMPOSITE, 60% FIBER, VOLUME, MADE UP AS (0, ♦, 0) 							

Table	3.3–18.	Solar	Array	and	Rotary	Joint	Tribeam
		Girder	Charac	ter:	istics		



Antenna Structure. The tension web compression frame antenna structure concept, shown in Figure 3.3-38, consists of three major elements (1) the tension web to which the dc-to-RF conversion and transmission hardware is attached, (2) a catenary rope system which is attached to the perimeter of the tension web, and (3) a hexagonal compression frame. The tension web resists the lateral pressure loading described in Figure 3.3-39. The loading is transmitted to the vertices of the hexagonal compression frame via the catenary rope system. The compression frame members are loaded in pure compression and can be analyzed as columns. Three of the six catenary-to-compression-frame vertice attachments are fixed. The other three attachments at every other intersection have lateral adjustment jacks. The three fixed attachments describe a plane perpendicular to the desired boresight, and the adjustable attachments maintain the tension web as a flat surface. All six catenary rope/compression frame attachments have in-plane tensioning devices which maintain the tension web flat within the design limits. Antenna elevation (north-south) adjustments are accomplished by gimbals in the trunnion structure which attaches the antenna to the rotary joint.



Figure 3.3-38. Microwave Antenna Structure Selected Design Concept

The basic requirements for the compression frame tension web concept are as follows:

• 1-km-diameter surface (or equivalent)

Azimuth adjustments are made by the rotary joint.

- Web angular misalignment: ±0.08° under environmentally and operationally induced loads and temperatures
- · Optimize for light weight
- · Compatible with on-orbit fabrication and assembly
- · Compatible with operatonal equipment
- Service life: >30 years





Figure 3.3-39. Microwave Antenna Structure Design Condition

The antenna structure was subjected to a sizing analysis based on the environmentally and internally induced loads and the pressure forces indicated on Figure 3.3-39, as well as the design requirements listed above. This resulted in the physical properties for each of the three structural elements listed in Table 3.3-19. The tribeam girder side dimension and bay length dimension are 30.57 m. The catenary cables and tension web cables are woven graphite, 0.0396 m (1.56 in.) and 0.0064 m (0.25 in.) in diameter, respectively.

		Ċ	MPRESSION FRA	HE	{	
ITEM NO.	PARAMETER	CAP SECTION	BASIC BEAN Element	TRIBEAM GIRDER	CATENARY CABLE	TENSION WEB CABLE
1	A _{EM} = EFFECTIVE AREA FOR Mass calc. (M ²)	0.05×10 ⁻³	0.3×10-3	1.8×10**	1.2×10 ⁻³	3.167×10 ⁻⁵
2	A _{E1} = EFFECTIVE AREA FOR Moment-of-Inertia Calculations (H ²)	0.09×10 ⁻³	0.27×10=5	0.81×10-3	1.2×10 ⁻³	3.167×10 ⁻⁵
3	$\rho = \text{RADIUS OF GYRATION (H)}$	23.68×10-1	0.47	12.48	1.58×10 ⁻⁵	1.53×10 ⁻¹¹
4	I - GEOMETRY MOMENT OF INERTIA (M*)	5.15×10 ^{→8}	0.6×10**	0.126	1.2×10 ⁻⁷	7.9×10 ⁻¹¹
5	E - MODULUS OF ELASTICITY (P_)	9.65×101*	9.65×10 ^{1*}	9,65×10 ^{1 0}	13.79×1010	13.79×10 ¹⁹
6		0.18×10 ^{-¢}	0,18×10-*	0,18×10**	0	0
7	μ = POISSON'S RAT:0	0.4	0.4	0.4	TBD	TBD
8	MATERIAL	*	*	*	**	**
*	*GRAPHITE COMPOSITE, 60% FIBE *WOVEN GRAPHITE					

Table 3.3-19. Antenna Structure Elements Physical and Mechanical Properties



The space frame antenna structure concept is shown in Figure 3.3-40. This concept consists of two basic elements: the space frame primary structure, and the space frame secondary structure. The primary structure is an open truss structure, 138-m deep, with a quasi-square shape approximately 60-m on a side. The outer structure is modified to conform to the shape shown in Figure 3.3-38. The secondary structure consists of an open truss structure, 8-m deep, with a rectangular shape 30×33 -m on the two sides. The material used on this concept is the same as that used on the compression frame approach.



Figure 3.3-40. Space Frame Antenna Configuration

Rotary Joint. The rotary joint attached to the hexagonal center carrythrough structure is illustrated in Figure 3.3-41 for the center mount concept. The joint consists of a double set of inner stationary and out-rotating rings. The rings are modified 50-m tribeam girders fabricated on orbit by beam machines. Rotary joint dimensions are noted in Figure 3.3-42. The power transfer slip rings, etc., are discussed in the Power Distribution section. This concept can be termed "large structure/large power transfer rotary joint" configuration. The end mounted concept is illustrated in Figure 3.3-43.

Thermal Control

Introduction. The design of a solar power satellite is significantly influenced by thermal control considerations. The importance of this subsystem may extend well beyond the designation of specific thermal control components





Figure 3.3-41. Rotary Joint Structural Concept (Center Mount)

inasmuch as it is a major contributing element in the selection of structural configuration, power distribution network orientation, antenna power levels/ layout, and number and location of rotary joint slip rings.

This description includes those aspects of the SPS point design for which the thermal control subsystem elements tend to stand alone. In other areas supported by thermal control, thermal response effects are incorporated into the individual summary.

The thermal discussion documented here is presented relative to specific SPS system elements. It covers power conversion, the microwave antenna, and the rotary joint.

<u>Power Conversion</u>. A model of the photovoltaic baseline design was constructed to determine the adequacy of the design to meet blanket operating temperature specifications, and to identify the effect of coating optical properties on thermal gradients for incorporation in structural response analyses. The cross-sectional aspect of the modeled array is shown in Figure 3.3-44. Preliminary NASTRAN computations were based on the assumption that the basic material is anodized aluminum with values of $\alpha = 0.4$ and $\varepsilon = 0.8$ for absorptivity and emissivity respectively.



Figure 3.3-42. Rotary Joint Preliminary Dimensions

SSD 79-0010-2-1



Figure 3.3-43. Rotary Joint Structural Concept (End Mount)



Figure 3.3-44. Photovoltaic Structure Model



Anodization can also be used to provide a higher α/ϵ ratio (≈ 1.0) if desired. Computer thermal profiles for aluminum are shown in Figure 3.3-45. The thermal profile for a composite based structure is shown in Figure 3,3-46. The temperatures shown for the structure, blanket, and reflectors are based upon a solar constant of 1355 W/m^2 . Optical properties for the solar cells and concentrators were based upon nominal inherent values for the materials of construction, i.e., selective coatings were not assumed. There may be some concern over the use of anodization to provide desired optical properties due to possible degradation which might occur during reforming or joining operations in space. It appears that bending operations, such as brake forming, would affect the surface properties if the length change were significant, Degradation would result from cracking or crazing which would expose the underlying base material. The extent of emissivity reduction would also depend on the original thickness of the anodized film. Although some local hot spots could occur, it is not expected that the degree of additional heating would be excessive. Welding operations through an anodized layer are possible, but would likely result in poor attachment. Welding side to side would require an initial grinding or cutting operation. If the joining is end to end, a preliminary shearing step to remove the edges and expose the bare metal could be used.





Figure 3.3-45. Photovoltaic Structural Configuration Temperatures (Aluminum)

Several graphite fiber composites were considered as candidates for the satellite. Table 3.3-20 summarizes the estimated maximum temperature (based upon General Dynamics quoted data and on Rockwell estimates) for the various candidates.

The temperature of the solar blankets is 110°C to 112°C. As it is desired to operate at a temperature between 113-125°C to promote the GaAlAs selfannealing characteristic, some modification to the blanket design is expected. Because of the temperature uniformity of the three blanket sections, any changes







Table 3.3-20. Maximum Estimated Temperatures (Graphite Composite)

MATERIAL	MAX. ALLOWABLE TEMP. ^O K				
THERMOSETTING RESINS					
EPOXY PHENOLIC POLYIMIDE ADDITION CONDENSATION	395 435 475 560				
THERMOPLASTIC MATERIALS					
POLYSULFONE POLYIMIDE	380 590				



introduced would be common to the array. The simplest approach is to reduce the rear surface emissivity from the design value of 0.68 to 0.36; this can be achieved at a negligible weight impact by the somewhat complex approach of vapor depositing a mosaic grid of several hundred angstroms of aluminum, or more simply by adding approximately 1/4 mil of Kapton (or Mylar) rear-surfacecoated aluminum to the blanket. The required emissivity reduction would be moderated if self-annealing could be satisfied by periodic shutdown of individual bays to increase thermal loads through elimination of electrical conversion. The selected approach was to add the thin aluminized plastic layer.

Switch Gear. At 99.9% efficiency, thermal losses per unit are 12,000 watts and this level is accommodated by appropriate sizing to permit passive rejection. Relative base dimension requirements as a function of design temperature are shown in Figure 3.3-47 for a cubic configuration. At a postulated allowable temperature of 60°C a length of 2 meters is adequate and consequently it is expected that any mass additions relative to the previous design concept of a 1 meter cubed casing will be minimal.



Figure 3.3-47. Power Distribution Component Thermal Control - Switch Gear

<u>Microwave Antenna</u>. Thermal control of the microwave antenna includes protection of the klystrons and associated electronics, the antenna structure, and consideration of the impact of antenna thermal response on the rotary joint.

The antenna structure configuration can experience minimal distortions/ deflections due to imposed thermal gradients/stresses. A thermal model of the hexagonal frame/web structure for the center mounted configuration was

SSD 79-0010-2-1



developed for variable solar orientations to determine peak operating temperatures and thermal gradients, and to support dynamic structural response computations. The model, shown in Figure 3.3-48, assumed that the earth side of the web could be treated as an isothermal section at T = 200°C, and the space side of the web could be fixed at an isothermal temperature of 60°C. This represents a simplification because the hot side of the web will vary with time due to solar loading and will vary with distance from the center due to RCR density and size variation. There may also be some influence by the higher temperature collector radiators (≈700 °C) on structural temperature. The space side of the antenna changes temperature with sun loading also, and will probably operate between 0°C and 60°C (effective temperature).



ENCIRCLED NUMBERS REPRESENT SECTION NODES

Figure 3.3-48. Antenna Frame/Web Model

Nodal points were selected as shown (Figure 3.3-48), and the thermal analysis was conducted assuming that each section was represented as a uniform node at a single temperature. View factors to space and from element to element were determined from an exact representation of the section by using the CONFAC computer code.

Due to variation in solar incidence during each orbit, the sections of the frame will experience time-varying temperature. Temperature profiles are shown in Figure 3.3-49 for a typical orbit representing the response of a low α/ϵ anodize structural coating and, in Figure 3.3-50, for a degraded coating condition. (If could also represent a "dark" or high α/ϵ anodize structural coating.) For an eclipse condition, the minimum temperature levels and thermal profiles would markedly change. Temperature gradients during construction would also influence the structural design.

In the space frame configurations the primary structure is in close proximity to the high temperature, heat rejection surface of klystrons. Independent studies by Rockwell, General Dynamics, and Grumman have demonstrated that temperatures in the central region of the antenna will exceed allowables for low temperature composites. For beam machine concepts this can complicate the construction scenario by potentially requiring the substitution of a different machine at some point in the assembly sequence. This problem is illustrated in Figure 3.3-51 for the candidate polysulfone resin assuming a "worst case" condition. In this environment the temperature could exceed the allowable maximum even if the klystron heating is neglected.





Figure 3.3-49. Frame Temperature Variation with Solar Orientation (EOL)



Figure 3.3-50. Frame Temperature Variation with Solar Orientation (EOL)

SSD 79-0010-2-1



• ASSUME MAX. ALLOWABLE TEMP. = 380° K; α_{s} = .9 ϵ = .8

FOR THESE PROPERTIES THE MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE EFFECTIVE ANTENNA TEMPERATURE IS (APPROXIMATELY):

(.9) (1352) + .8 σ (T⁴_{MAX} -400⁴) = .8 σ 400⁴ OR T_{MAX} = 395⁰K

• FOR "WORST CASE" SOLAR LOADING ON ANTENNA (EVEN IF KLYSTRON EMITS ZERO POWER) ASSUMING RADIATOR HAS BLACK COATING AND ZERO CAPACITANCE STRUCTURE.

(.93) (1352) - .850 T⁴ OR T_{MAX} - 402⁰K

 UNDER WORST CASE ASSUMPTIONS LOW TEMP. COMPOSITES MAY BE MARGINAL FOR <u>ZERO POWER KLYSTRONS</u>.

Figure 3.3-51. Space Frame Configuration Limitations of Low Temperature Composites

For compression frame-tension web structures this situation can not occur. The frame will experience relatively low temperatures and the secondary structure is sufficiently removed from the center of the antenna to permit application of low temperature composites. This represents a substantial thermal advantage for tension web structures.

A thermal control design was developed for the klystron tubes to dissipate the waste heat levels and minimize interactions with the antenna structure and rotary joint. The thermal rejection requirement includes a cavity heat load of 3.267 kW and a collector heat load of 7.454 kW. It was determined that a heat pipe radiator for the cavity tube would provide a high-confidence/low-mass system. The relative orientation of the slots and the heat pipes in the baseline RCR is shown in Figure 3.3-52, along with the relative location of the insulation and electronics.

The primary candidate klystron study designs are illustrated in Figure 3.3-52. The initial Rockwell baseline power module was selected during Phase 1 because of thermal rejection considerations relative to potential overheating of the slip rings, temperature limitations on the electronics and the postulated requirement (later deleted) for manned access to the antenna during operation. The alternate Rockwell concept, which has been adopted during Phase 2, eliminates the performance uncertainty and efficiency losses resulting from poking the klystron tube through the radiator. This selection follows from analyses which demonstrate that the slip rings can be thermally protected and that the weight penalty associated with controlling electronic component temperatures is relatively small. This klystron concept appears more advantageous than the Boeing concept because of its less complex and lighter weight thermal control system. In addition, it appears more flexible in terms of distributing the heat to be rejected in both directions (space and earth).

The RCR design as shown (Figure 3.3-52), is representative of a unit near the center of the antenna. Modules will vary in size and heat pipe spacing/ number throughout the antenna. A top view exhibiting relative orientation of the klystron pipes for the "poke through" concept is presented in Figure 3.3-53. The rear mounted approach is shown in Figure 3.3-54. The heat pipes all use





Figure 3.3-53. Klystron Raidator Configuration (Poke Through)

3-75

SSD 79-0010-2-1





Figure 3.3-54. Klystron Radiator Configuration (Rear Mounted)

water as a working fluid encased in a copper liner. The outer tube is aluminum to eliminate the single-point contact of dissimilar metals. The spacing of the axial groove heat pipes is 11.4 cm. Any one of the arterial wicked pipes can fail and the system will still reject the incident heat load and maintain allowable temperature limits, although the structural response for this mode must be evaluated. There are four of these arterial wick pipes, each 1/2 inch 0.D.; and 28 axial groove pipes, each 3/8 inch 0.D. The layout of the pipes is dictated by the microwave slots which will not permit a more optimal radial distribution. Total mass of this subsystem is 6.18 kg.

Thermal distortions may occur as a result of various temperature gradients occurring in the RCR as shown in Figure 3.3-55. The temperature drop across the fin length will be about 40°C. Thermal gradients from one face of the RCR to the other face are shown as a function of internal emissivity. The value of 0.9 corresponds to the use of a high emissivity coating (e.g., black anodize) and the lower limit of 0.1 is representative of bare aluminum. Gradients at the end of the RCR depend upon the fraction of the surface that is used for radiator, and the view factor variation to the collector radiator. The value shown (63°C) is an average value for the high-density portion of the antenna.

The collector radiator (Figure 3.3-56) is required to dissipate the 7.5 kW of waste heat dissipated due to beam inefficiencies. The pyrolytic graphite structure must have a relatively high fin efficiency to maintain local temperature below 700°C. If required, radiation shields can be used to isolate the collector from the cavity radiator. This would reduce the effective rejection capability and require higher temperature operation on thicker fins. The effect of fin efficiency on radiator temperature is shown in Figure 3.3-57. It can be seen that the minimum possible operating temperature is only slightly below 700°C. This assumes that the waste heat distribution can be controlled and is rejected equally from the radiator segments.



ΔT1 • TEMP DROP BETWEEN HEAT PIPES



 ΔT_2 • TEMP DROP FROM TOP OF RADIATOR TO BOTTOM OF RADIATOR

EMISSIVITY OF OPPOSITE SURFACE	e	ΔT °r
	0.9	30
	0.5	50
	0.1	21 ⁰

Figure 3.3-55. Related Design Configurations



Figure 3.3-56. Collector Radiator



- ASSUME RAD FIN IS PYROLYTIC GRAPHITE
- COLLECTOR AREA IS 0. 173 M²

(8 IN. HIGH CONE, $r_1 = 7$ IN., $r_2 = 1.75$ IN.)

ASSUME ALL SECTIONS EQUAL

ASSUME $\alpha = \epsilon = 0.9$

ASSUME SOLAR FLUX (EFF) = 35 WATTS

FIN EFFICIENCY	TEMP
.7)	о_С .
1.0	687
0.9	712 BASELINE DESIGN
0.8	742
0. 7	776
0.6	818
0.5	868
04	G3A

Figure 3.3-57. Collector Radiator Analysis

Insulation is required for the back surface of the cavity radiator to restrict waste heat leaks which could increase temperature of the electronics to unacceptable levels. This insulation must be coated externally with low α/ϵ materials to limit the absorbed solar flux to which the surface is exposed during part of the orbit. Although many materials with low absorptivity/ emissivity ratios are available, they typically experience substantial degradation as a function of solar exposure time. To limit the rear surface to 60°C (maximum allowable temperature of electronics), it is seen in Figure 3.3-58 that the maximum α/ϵ ratio is about 0.5, which is below values typically obtained for extended-life inexpensive insulations. If refurbishment of degraded blanket is not possible, the electronics could be protected by isolation in finned containers or by use of active cooling. Alternatively, advanced blankets using more expensive external surfaces (e.g., quartz microsheet) could be applied at some cost penalty.

Temperature extremes across the antenna (center to edge) are presented in Table 3.3-21 for the Phase 1 baseline and improved klystron concepts. The edge values assume that the total allowable radiator area is used. Temperatures at the center were calculated for no rear surface insulation or collector shield. If the shield is used then the maximum value would be approximately 100°C. The high proportion of waste heat that can be rejected from the earth side (~30%) represents a significant advantage of this concept.

Additional sources of heat generation on the antenna are the high voltage dc-dc converters. The 32 units on the antenna operate at 96% efficiency and dissipate 300 megawatts each. To maintain a 60°C design limit active cooling must be provided. Figure 3.3-59 illustrates the required radiator area and associated system penalties at different temperature levels. For 60°C the antenna mass must be increased by about 1.3×10^6 kg for the purposed cooling system which utilizes an integrated heat pipe radiator design that incorporates optimized radial fin geometry.





Figure 3.3-58. Rear Surface Cavity Radiator Thermal Response

Table 3.3-21. 50 kW Klystron Radiator Thermal Levels

		ANTENNA CENTER	ANTENNA EDGE
BASELINE KLYSTRON (TUBE POKED THROUGH) EARTH SIDE RADIATOR		152 ⁰ C	-₄⁰c
IMPROVED KLYSTRON (COLLECTOR RADIATES IN SPACE DIRECTION)	EARTH SIDE SPACE SIDE	129 ⁰ C 104 ⁰ C	-14 ⁰ C -23 ⁰ C

Rotary Joint. The orientation of the rotary joint relative to the photovoltaic structure and the antenna is shown in Figure 3.3-60. Proximity to the space-facing side of the antenna substantially influences both the antenna design and the rotary joint thermal environment. Temperature levels for the slip rings and brush boxes were computed for the design configuration. The slip rings were assumed to be aluminum-core/coin-silver cladding of 1.1 km diameter, and I-beam shape with a current/wing design value of 112,500 A.

The temperatures shown in Figure 3.3-61 represent average values for the inner slip ring based on a voltage loop of 20 V across the slip ring-brush interface. For aluminum, the maximum allowable operating temperature is about 150°C. To provide assurance of structural integrity, it is necessary to demonstrate the excessive stresses do not result from the thermal gradients developed in the rings. Both rings were thermally modeled to include the





Figure 3.3-59. Power Distribution Component Thermal Control - HV Converters



Figure 3.3-60. Rotary Joint Installation

3--80





Figure 3.3-61. Slip Ring Temperature Variation With Efficiency

effects of electrical inefficiencies, antenna interactions, and solar heating. Temperature levels are shown in Figure 3.3-62. The outer ring can reach a higher temperature because it shields the inner ring from the sun. Brush assembly temperatures will approximate the slip ring values due to conduction across the contact area. Additional electrical losses are relatively negligible. During eclipse, the klystrons must be kept warm and thus some relatively large gradients may occur because radiation from the antenna is the sole heating source.

- INNER RING 65°C TO 115°C
- OUTER RING 65°C TO 130°C
- BRUSH ASSEMBLY TEMPERATURES SIMILAR
 TO SLIP RING VALUES
- FOR ALTERNATE KLYSTRON DESIGN RING TEMPERATURE CAN EXCEED 370°C
- ECLIPSE PROFILES NOT YET DETERMINED -MAY BE VERY SEVERE
- Figure 3.3-62. Rotary Joint Temperature Gradients



3.3.2 GROUND RECEIVING STATION

The ground receiving station (GRS) consists of two major system elements and several additional supporting subsystems (Figure 3.3-63). The first is the receiving antenna/rectifier circuit (rectenna) portion of the Microwave Power Transmission System. A second element consists of the power distribution subsystems required to collect, switch, converter, and transfer the received/ rectifier power to the associated utility power network. The remaining subsystem will only be touched upon.



Figure 3.3-63. Ground Receiving Station Subassembly Relationships

Each rectemna is designed to accept power from a single satellite and provide 5.5 GW (nominal) at the interface to the power distribution subsystem. As shown in Figure 3.3-64, the typical GRS site located at 34° N latitude includes an elliptical rectemna area, 13 km in the N-S direction by 10 km in the E-W direction. The overall GRS encompasses approximately 35,000 acres. The rectemna area consists of approximately 25,200 acres or 72% of the total acreage. The area surrounding the inner ellipse is utilized for maintenance facilities, access roads, converter stations and the two peripheral rows of towers which support the intermediate (40 kV dc) and high voltage (500 kV ac) transmission wires.

A summary of the GRS point design specification is provided in Table 3.3-22.

Rectenna

The rectenna subsystem consists of microwave receiving elements (dipoles), rectifiers, regulators and isolating motor switches (Figure 3.3-65). The dipoles are fabricated using a multilayer (sandwich) construction of copper and dielectric insulators formed into panels. A rectification element consisting of a GaAs diode and filters is added to convert the received microwave energy into dc. Conversion efficiency is estimated to be 89%.



Satellite Systems Division

Figure 3.3-64. Operational Ground Receiving Facility (Rectenna) - Typical

	1 1 11		- 1		A
"" > n / n		1100	1007 ME		
101110			FUILL	Destan	

FREQUENCY (GHz)	2.45
INTERCEPTED ENERGY (GW)	5.53
RECTENNA EFFICIENCY (%)	89
DIPOLE CLUSTER AREA (m²)	
INNER AREA ($R = 0$ TO 5.5 km)	0.186
PERIMETER (5.5-6.5 km)	1.674
DIPOLE CLUSTER OUTPUT (W)	6-42
VOLTAGE STRING OUTPUT	
VOLTAGE (kV)	40.5
CURRENT (A)	0.321
POWER (KW)	13.0
RECTENNA OUTPUT (GW)	4.93
PANEL DIMENSIONS (m)	9.33 H × 14.69 W
RECTENNA CONFIGURATION	ELLIPSE
RECTENNA DIMENSIONS (km)	10×13
NUMBER OF PANELS IN RECTENNA	580,500
PANEL AREA (km²)	79.56
GROUND AREA (km²)	102.1
GRS GROUND AREA (ACRES)	35,000 -

<u>Incident Radiation</u>. The energy transmission pattern at the transmitting antenna is specified to be 10 dB Gaussian (modified). The received energy levels in the rectenna area is approximated along the rectenna major axis (13 km N-S) in Figure 3.3-66. The limit of 23 mW/cm² at the center, reducing to 1.0 mW/cm² at the perimeter is compatible with present environmental limits as stated in the program guidelines.

Rockwell





Figure 3.3-66. Rectenna Incident Power Density

To further reduce the complexity of the design analysis it was decided to consider the incident energy as consisting of five doughnut shaped energy zones as illustrated in Figure 3.3-67. The average energy level within each zone was then estimated. The average level was then stated to exist at all points within the stated zones. The established average power density levels used to determine the various design parameters is identified in Figure 3.3-67.

Antenna. The receiving antenna is a multilayer copper/dielectric sandwich panel as shown in Figure 3.3-68. The total antenna system consists of 580,500 9.33×14.69 m panel assemblies. Each panel assembly is prepared by assembling and interconnecting 20 - 0.735 \times 9.33 m subpanels and mounting on a steel structure. The full panel assembly is illustrated in Figure 3.3-69. Also included on the antenna panel assemblies are the associated rectifier elements. The









Figure 3.3-68. Rectenna Systems Major Assembly/Component

SSD 79-0010-2-1



Satellite Systems Division

Rockwell

Figure 3.3-69. Rectenna Panel Assembly and Installation

equivalent schematic of the antenna electrical schematic is shown in Figure 3.3-70.



Figure 3.3-70. Simplified Schematic - Rectenna

The use of subarrays can cause problems if they are too large and become too directional. Motion of the satellite due to imperfect stationkeeping can produce unacceptable losses due to movement of the satellite off the peak of the subarray beams. However, if the rectenna is operated in conjunction with a single satellite, the directions of arrival of the power beam will be restricted to a small solid angle. The solid angle dimensions are set by the satellite orbit and the stationkeeping scenario. The subarray can then be sized so that only a small budget loss results due to pointing error, say 1%. By tilting the subarrays to various directions this loss can be made constant over the solid angle. Diodes can then be designed to have optimum efficiency at the power levels produced by the subarray at each location within the rectenna. Even a very moderate amount of subarraying can dramatically decrease the number of rectifiers.



The total rectenna efficiency budget is given in Table 3.3-23.

Table 3.3-23. Rectenna Loss Budget

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
LOSS	TYPICAL VALUE %
POINTING, L _P	1.0
SUBARRAY, L _{SA}	0.5
DIODE, L _D	3.0
JUNCTION, L _J	3.0
CIRCUIT, L _C	1.5
MISMATCH, L _R	1.0
COLLECTION BUS LOSS, L _B	1.0
TOTAL LOSS, L_{Σ}	11.0
Rectenna efficiency	89.0

The installation pattern used in the rectenna area is illustrated in Figure 3.3-71. The special equipment shown in the figure is representative of one approach to the installation/maintenance equipment design considered during the course of the study.



Figure 3.3-71. Panel Installation Operations

<u>Rectifier</u>. The rectifier assembly consists of a GaAs diode and input/ output filters. An illustration of a possible diode configuration is shown in Figure 3.3-68. The equivalent schematic of the rectifier/filter circuit is shown in Figure 3.3-70.



The individual rectifier outputs must be connected to form the panel output. The rear-most layer of the copper/dielectric sandwich provides the major interconnection path. Each of the 0.186 m² antenna dipole/rectifier assemblies are series connected so as to establish a 40.5 kV/0.321 A (13 kW) "voltage string". The number of cluster assemblies comprising each string will vary depending upon its location within the rectenna area, however, on the average their will be approximately 329,000 such strings. Each string will consist of approximately 1000 cluster assemblies, or in other words the rectenna farm area will contain approximately 330×10^6 rectifier/diode subassemblies.

Panel Configuration

The rectenna panel consists of a multilayer copper-dielectric sandwich subpanel containing dipoles tuned for 2.45 GHz, interconnects, ground plane and diode rectifier assemblies. These multilayer subpanels, shown in cross-section in Figure 3.3-68 are 9.33 m high by 0.735 m wide by 3.8 cm thick. The rectenna panels are comprised of steel I-beams and steel hat sections supporting these subpanels. The overall width of the rectenna panel is 14.69 m (20 of the subpanels per rectenna panel). The panels are mounted on pre-pour concrete foundations and inclined approximately 40° with respect to the ground. The general construction features are shown in Figure 3.3-72.



Figure 3.3-72. Rectenna Array Support Structure

Each panel (Figure 3.3-69) is secured to continuous footings at eight locations by fixtures which are imbedded in the concrete during pouring operations. Mounting attachments which provide for longitudinal and lateral adjustments are secured to the fittings. Screw jacks on each of the rear attach points provide for panel adjustment and alignment. Design of the fittings and foundation assumed a continuous wind force of 90 m/hr.



The panel switch gears and feeder lines are mounted above ground behind each panel as shown, although it is recognized that either above or below ground runs for the feeders is feasible.

<u>Regulators/Motor Switches</u>. The regulator units (not shown in schematic) accept and modulate the voltage string outputs to prevent current reversal. The motor switches (shown in Figure 3.3-73) provide isolation for each established voltage strong and are not considered part of the power distribution subsystem. These switches will be designed to open only under no-load conditions (with emergency override capabilities).

Power Distribution

Figure 3.3-73 illustrates the major assemblies comprising the power distribution and control subsystem. The power distribution assembly consists of the main feeders, secondary feeders, 40 kV dc and 500 kV ac buses, tie bars and power interface cabling for the various operating subsystems. The main feeders are sized to handle gradually increasing current loads starting at the center of the rectenna array and continuing to the perimeter. The feeders are grouped in each quadrant of the array to permit systematic maintenance and to avoid catastropic system failures. The main feeders utilize TBD cm round aluminum cables, uninsulated, mounted on insulated standoffs or in insulated raceways. Other feeders, tie lines, buses, etc., are sized to handle maximum estimated loads, at specified voltages. All cables are passively cooled by radiation to local environment.



Figure 3.3-73. Assembly Tree - Power Distribution and Control

The power distribution and control subsystem receives power from the rectenna subsystem and provides the switching required to deliver the power to the power conversion stations, and then delivers the power station outputs to interconnected utility interfaces. Power transmission, (high tension cabling), from the designated interface at the perimeter of the ground receiving station are the responsibility of the power utility. The grounding, electromagnetic interference control, and all shielding requirements are also included. The life expectancy of the power distribution system is 30 years.



The outputs from each voltage string motor switch is connected to feedlines which are routed behind each row of rectenna panels. The interconnection concept is illustrated in Figure 3.3-74.



Figure 3.3-74. Ground Receiving Station Schematic Block Diagram - Preliminary

Each feeder line is specified to handle a maximum of 5.0 MW. The feeder lines are then grouped (summed) to establish equivalent power sources of from 14-16 MW. The actual power level available from the feeder groups will vary depending upon incident energy levels and the number of voltage strings connected at any given time. The point design encompasses 1088 feeders grouped into 384 power "sources".

The individual "source" groups are switched onto a 40 kV secondary bus, which is routed entirely around the rectenna perimeter, as power demand requires. The secondary bus is a complete loop to permit even draw of power from the various rectenna sectors even in the case of system abnormalities. The power conversion stations then draw their power from the secondary bus.

Switching. Switch gears are used for:

• Isolation/selection of various power feeders as a result of changes in power demand or as the result of systematic element failures.


- Isolation/selection of power conversion stations as load demand varies or due to systematic failure.
- Isolation of loads as satellite power capability varies due to predicted (eclipse, maintenance, etc.) power reductions or due to unpredicted (systematic failures) power reductions.

The switch gears may be solid-state or electromechanical. The voltages and currents being handled by these switches will be monitored by the IMCS to determine their status and to establish a need for the automatic opening of these switches (circuit breaker function). Switch closure will be based upon fault status and power demand. During shutdown operations the system will be monitored and when certain conditions are reached a command signal will automatically open or close selected switches as required.

Transmission Towers

The periphery of the panel farm is ringed by 40 kV dc and 500 kV ac buses mounted on towers. The 40 kV dc bus is located approximately 50 meters outside the ellipse containing the panels; the 500 kV ac bus about 450 meters further out.

Based on Southern California Edison data, the 40 kV dc buses would be suspended on a series of hollow tapered steel poles. Four poles, connected by a crossbeam upon which the insulators are mounted, constitute one suspension unit. Each pole measures 0.46 meters diameter at the tip, 1.1 meters diameter at the base, and has a wall thickness of 0.013 meters. The poles are inserted into a 1.22 meter circular hole to a depth of 3 meters and surrounded by concrete. The spacing between pole units is about 91 meters; this requires 401 installations or a total of 1604 poles.

The 500 kV ac towers are similar to existing high tension towers, measuring 12.9 meters at the base with a height of about 70 meters above ground. The towers are spaced every 457 meters; since they do not completely rim the perimeter, only 54 towers are required, including 18 "deadend" towers.

The deadend towers are mounted on four concrete pilings 1.83 meters in diameter and extending 10.7 meters into the ground. The remainder of the towers require less footing and are secured to four concrete pilings measuring 1.1 meters in diameter and 6.1 meters deep.

Design and Performance Characteristics

The design and performance characteristics for the power distribution subsystem are listed in Table 3.3-24.

Converter Stations

The converter stations accept 40 kV dc power and output 500 kV ac or dc. The initial concept utilizes a solid-state inversion/step-up concept typified by an existing dc-ac conversion station located in Sylmar, California. The block diagram of the subsystem is shown in Figure 3.3-75.



Major Assembly	Requircments	Technology Issue
GENERAL Muss MTBF Life Efficiency Resupply and maintenance	Configuration dependent Subsystem dependent 30 years 88-98% (config. dependent) As needed	-
POWER DISTRIBUTION (PD) Mass Material Insulation Efficiency Subsystem cabling Resupply and maintenance Life	Mostly round conductor ('onf iguration dependent Aluminum 6001-T6 TBD 88-987 (config. dependent) Location and power dependent As required 30 years or greater	
SWITCH GEAR Density Type Power rating Voltage Liticioncy Life Resupply and maintenance	Approx. 0.00086 kg/kW Solid state Configuration dependent Config. and location dependent 99-99.92 30 years As required	Study is required to specify design requirements.
SECONDARY STRUCTURE Mass	TBDX of PDS weight was considered to be required for mounting and installation.	
CONTROL Temperature sensors Current sensors Voltage sensors Switch gear control Overcurrent Overvoltage Undercurrent Undervoltage	No. of sensors config. dependent No. of sensors config. dependent No. of sensors config. dependent Configuration dependent	

Table 3.3	-24. Design	and	Performance	Characteristics
-----------	-------------	-----	-------------	-----------------



Figure 3.3-75. Simplified Block Diagram -Converter Station

Specific design details of this subsystem was not derived during the preceeding study and must await clarification in a future study effort.

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group



Twelve stations are selected for the point design. Of these eleven are capable of handling the entire nominal power output (4.61 GW) of the GRS. The twelfth unit is a spare available in case of unexpected outage or during scheduled maintenance. Nominal power conversion capability of each station is specified as 400 MW.

Thermal

The rectenna configuration used to develop a thermal model to predict local temperature profiles is shown in Figure 3.3-76. Optical properties for the panel elements are based on those of mylar for the thicknesses shown on the module. Rectenna thermal model development included consideration of solar heating, local air convection, and waste heat arising from microwave conversion inefficiencies.



Figure 3.3-76. Rectenna Construction - Thermal Model

Temperature profiles are shown in Figure 3.3-77 for the rectenna panels in the high-density region. During the daylight hours, air convection tends to cool the panels and at night it acts as a heating source. The effect of air convection on the gradients is substantial, as evidenced by the large difference from the root to the end which arises due to transition from laminar to turbulent flow. Ground temperatures will typically be within 6°C of the module levels and will be somewhat warmer than the evening levels and cooler than the daytime values. For different climates (wind, overcast sky, air temperature) the temperatures will vary. In outer regions of the rectenna, the waste heat arising from conversion inefficiencies will be reduced--but this is a small fraction of the total heat load and will not greatly influence panel temperature levels. The thermal environments computed for the current rectenna configuration do not differ greatly from earlier analyses and it is expected that influences on the microclimate would be relatively unchanged from current predictions.

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group



	DAY (PEAK SUN)	NIGHT	
ROOT	56°C (132°F)	-17 ⁰ C (2 ⁰ F)	
end	33 ⁰ C (92 ⁰ F)	18 ⁰ C (64 ⁰ F)	

AIR TEMPERATURE - 27°C (80°F)

CONDUCTION IN RECTENNA PANEL NEGLECTED

ALL COMPUTATIONS BASED UPON STEADY STATE EQUILIBRIUM; GROUND HEAT SINK EFFECT NOT INCLUDED

Figure 3.3-77. Rectenna Panel Temperatures, High-Density Microwave Region

Information Management and Control

It is assumed that the subarray control circuit includes an "intelligent terminal." A local microprocessor will first receive local data. Much of this data will not change by very much as long as the subarray is operating correctly. The microprocessor will periodically read this data on a short time scale. If it stays within a preset tolerance range and a preset rateof-change tolerance, no data is put on the bus. If tolerances are exceeded, an immediate report is made to the central data processing station via the bus. In the case of data deviations which may indicate that equipment may be damaged the microprocessor may be programmed to take immediate action and then report. Indeed in the case of some critical events with short time constants, shutdown will be initiated by hard-wired paths and data reporting carried out after shutdown.

Data which stays within tolerance will be reported at much more infrequent intervals after averaging over this longer interval. Such data can be used to find secular changes in performance which indicate incipient failure. Such indications may be used to decide that unscheduled maintenance is needed. With proper array design, the subarray system will be accessible while the MPTS system is in operation. The maintenance is then carried out while the SPS is operating. This maintenance philosophy is the same as that used by the airlines.

Additional data will be collected from detectors located at specific intervals over the entire rectenna system to determine the relative shape and position of the microwave beam. This information as well as the health data collected from the array circuitry will be combined in a central processing complex where system status will be established and appropriate operational commands to the satellite and/or the ground complex will be generated.



The data management and control hierarchy for the ground complex is outlined in Figure 3.3-78. The primary approach, pyramidal, is similar to that selected for the satellite. Similar, but not necessarily space qualified, devices would be used to implement the ground data system. A description of the various IMCS devices is provided in Section 3.3.1.

Specific details on the number and type of measurements and controls for the GRS are TBD.



Figure 3.3-78. IMCS Hierarchy - Ground Receiving Station

Satellite Systems Division Space Systems Group



3-96

SSD 79-0010-2-1